



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Vaccine. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Vaccine. 2020 May 06; 38(21): 3800–3807. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.01.018.

Use of Tetanus-diphtheria (Td) vaccine in Children 4–7 years of Age: World Health Organization Consultation of Experts

Shalini Desai^{a,*}, Heather M. Scobie^b, Thomas Cherian^c, Tracey Goodman^a, The Expert Group on the Use of Td vaccine in Childhood⁺

^aWorld Health Organization, 20 Appia Avenue, Geneva, Switzerland. ^bGlobal Immunization Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd, Atlanta GA USA. ^cMMGH Consulting GmbH, Kuerbergstrasse 1, 8049 Zurich, Switzerland.

Abstract

For lifetime protection against diphtheria and tetanus, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends six doses of diphtheria and tetanus containing vaccines. Td (reduced diphtheria toxoid, 2–5 IU) vaccines are currently licensed for ages 7 years and older, but use of Td vaccine for ages 4 years and older would have advantages for immunization programs in many low- and middle-income countries. For this reason, WHO convened an expert consultation to review the currently available evidence for the use of Td vaccine from 4–7 years of age which concluded: (1) no relevant biological difference in immune response in the relevant age group compared with children over 7 years of age; (2) adequate seroprotection in several studies with Td vaccine in the 4–7 age group and many studies using combination vaccines; (3) durable and protective response of at least 9–11 years duration in several longitudinal and modelling studies, (4) less reactogenicity compared with use of full-dose diphtheria vaccine, potentially improving the vaccination experience; and (5) adequate control of diphtheria in several countries using Td-containing combination vaccines in 4–7 year old children. On this basis, the experts concluded that from a

¹Some higher income countries use a spaced '2+1' DTCV immunization schedule with the first two doses separated by 2 months and the third booster dose provided around the first birthday. A '3+1' schedule is more common and includes delivery of three doses, usually separated by 4 weeks and completed within the first 6 months of life, and a booster dose in the second year of life. Both schedules provide robust immunity, although the latter is optimal for the epidemiological and programmatic context of low and middle-income countries [14, 15].

*Corresponding author: Corresponding author at: Shalini Desai, Expanded Programme on Immunization, Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals, World Health Organization, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. sdesai@who.int (S. Desai).

⁺Members listed at the end of document

Publisher's Disclaimer: Shalini Desai and Tracey Goodman work for the World Health Organization. The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy or views of the World Health Organization.

Heather Scobie and Tej Tiwari work the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Isabelle Bekeredjian-Ding, Krishna Bista, Thomas Cherian, Independent Consultant (Chair)

David Goldblatt, Markus Knuf, Pramod Meshram, Heather Scobie, Jann Storsäter, Tejpratap Tiwari, Sara Watle, declared that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Interests declared by participants of the aforementioned meeting were reviewed and conditionally approved by the meeting Secretariat before the meeting and publicly disclosed by the chair on the first day of the meeting (May 6, France).

programmatic perspective, Td vaccine given in ages 4–7 years, as a second booster dose in a six-dose series, would provide adequate protection against diphtheria and tetanus and recommended steps to include this age extension in the package insert.

Keywords

Diphtheria; Tetanus; vaccine; boosters; childhood; life-course

1. Background

In its most recent position papers on Diphtheria Vaccine (2017) and Tetanus Vaccine (2017), the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends six doses of diphtheria and tetanus containing vaccines (DTCV) for optimal lifetime protection against diphtheria and tetanus, including a primary series of three doses to be completed by 6 months of age and three booster doses given at: 12–23 months of age; 4–7 years of age; and 9–15 years of age. Ideally, an interval of at least 4 years between booster doses should be maintained [1, 2]. WHO recommended that as the second booster dose after the primary series, tetanus-diphtheria (Td) vaccine with reduced diphtheria toxoid (2–5 IU) may be used and is preferred for children aged 4 years and older because it has programmatic advantages such as simplified health worker training, allowing for fewer products in the cold chain and lower vaccine wastage [1, 3].

Worldwide, only 136 (70%) of 194 countries provide one or more DTCV booster doses during childhood [4]. As member states move towards achieving and sustaining Maternal and Neonatal Tetanus Elimination, issues around the need for booster doses and broader tetanus and diphtheria control have been raised [1, 2, 5]. Recent clusters of tetanus cases associated with the voluntary medical male circumcision program in Africa and diphtheria outbreaks occurring worldwide have highlighted the necessity to switch from tetanus toxoid (TT) to DTCVs and to protect all persons across the life course through provision of booster doses [1, 2, 5, 6]. Thus, Gavi the Vaccine Alliance will be supporting introduction of tetanus-diphtheria-pertussis containing vaccine (DTPCV) booster doses in low-income countries starting in 2021 [7].

Most Td combination vaccines, e.g., with acellular pertussis (aP) and/or inactivated poliovirus vaccine (IPV), have been licensed for ages 3, 4, or 5 years. However, currently available Td vaccines (e.g. products not in combination with other antigens) are only licensed for use in individuals over 7 years of age. Thus, the current WHO programmatic advice to use Td vaccine in ages 4 years is, in effect, an off-label recommendation [8]. To support this recommendation and with the aim of exploring what would be necessary to have this younger age group indication included in the summary of product characteristics and the package insert, WHO convened a consultation to review the available evidence on immunogenicity and safety of Td vaccine use in 4–7 year old children.

2. WHO Consultation of Experts

A Consultation of Experts on the “Use of Td vaccine in Children 4–7 years of Age” was convened in Menthon St. Bernard, France from 6–7 May 2019. Participants included subject matter experts in diphtheria, immunology, tetanus, vaccine regulation, and representatives of public health agencies (see list of participants at the end of the article). All manufacturers of WHO-prequalified Td vaccine products for children (5 manufacturers) were invited to participate, and representatives from three vaccine manufacturers participated in the first day of the two-day consultation.

The purpose of the consultation was to review the currently available evidence on the use of Td vaccine in children aged 4–7 years and to determine what additional data could be generated to support a change to the indication for the market authorization. WHO conducted a literature search and compiled relevant evidence in advance of the consultation for the experts to review. Notably, reviews of published and unpublished evidence on the use of Td containing vaccines for booster doses in preschool age conducted by public health agencies of several countries were considered [9–11]. This report provides a summary overview of the currently available evidence and the experts’ assessment of the data.

3. No relevant changes in maturity of the immune system between 4 and 7 years of age

Major changes and maturation of the immune system are known to occur rapidly in the first year of life. Through exposure to multiple antigens through early childhood, a specific antibody repertoire is generated and immunological memory is established. Between the ages of 4 and 7 years, the number and function of immune cells appear to be similar, with only minor differences in quantity and intrinsic function such as the response to polysaccharide antigens, but not protein antigens like diphtheria and tetanus toxoids [12, 13]. For toxin-mediated diseases such as diphtheria and tetanus, protective levels of toxin-neutralizing antibodies must be present at, or shortly after, exposure to prevent clinical disease, sequelae, and death (Box 1) [14, 15]. Available vaccine studies, across multiple antigens, suggest only minor differences in antibody response and persistence among vaccine recipients between 4 and 7 years of age compared with those older than 7 years [16, 17]. This trend is observed with combination vaccines that include tetanus and low dose diphtheria antigens as well as other combination vaccines.

Use of Td vaccines containing low dose diphtheria toxoid (2–5 IU) are often preferred to full dose (30 IU) DT containing vaccines in children aged 7 years, adolescents, and adults, in order to reduce the high rates of local and systemic adverse effects related to diphtheria booster vaccination in these age groups [14, 15]. Many studies have shown appropriate immune responses with the use of low dose diphtheria vaccine in these populations [14, 15]. Since immunological maturity and response to vaccines are similar in children 4–7 years compared to children over 7 years of age, the use of Td vaccine, from an immunological perspective can be supported in children 4–7 years of age.

4. Evidence base for immunogenicity of Td vaccines among children aged 4–7 years is limited, but supportive

For children aged 4–7 years who have received a three-dose DTCV primary series and a booster dose in the second year of life, there is one randomized controlled trial which assessed the immunogenicity of Td versus DT [18]. This study included children 6 years of age and showed similar seroprotection rates when Td was compared directly to DT as a booster dose. Two other studies demonstrated that Td vaccine provided a sufficient boost to diphtheria immunity in children aged 4–6 years and 6–9 years, respectively, but did not do a head-to-head comparison with DT [19, 20].

Data from 14 studies of combination vaccines using low-dose diphtheria antigen in combination with inactivated poliovirus (IPV) or combinations of acellular (aP) or whole-cell pertussis (wP) antigen were also reviewed. Since wP vaccine has been shown in some instances to elicit a greater immune response than aP vaccine [21, 22], studies of Td-containing vaccines among children 4–7 years were reviewed separately based on the type of pertussis containing vaccine (aP or wP) used for the primary series (Table 1). Despite differences in the study design and laboratory methods used, all of the studies reviewed showed sufficient seroprotection following a second booster dose (fifth dose) with a low dose diphtheria containing vaccine in children aged 4 to 7 years, irrespective of which pertussis vaccine was used in the primary series (Table 1 and Box 1). Interestingly, some studies even showed similar seroprotection rates when low-dose diphtheria antigen was given as the fourth dose (i.e., after a 2+1 primary schedule) of diphtheria containing vaccine, rather than the fifth dose (i.e., after a 3+1 primary schedule) as recommended by WHO (Table 1).¹

Up to a 2-fold higher geometric mean antibody titre was observed one month after vaccination between those who received full-dose versus low-dose diphtheria vaccine (Table 1). Since higher anti-diphtheria antibody titres above a threshold value of 0.1 IU/ml correlate with a more robust and durable protection against disease (Box 1), it was important to consider what impact, if any, this higher titre would have on the duration of immunity from vaccination with low-dose versus full-dose diphtheria vaccine.

5. Duration of protection declines over time but seroprotection is maintained until the next booster dose

Antibody titres following diphtheria booster vaccination sharply decline during the subsequent year, followed by a more gradual linear decline of the titres over time [14, 15]. As a result, 5 years after the booster dose, several longitudinal studies have demonstrated no significant difference in antibody titres between low (Td) and full dose (DT) diphtheria vaccine recipients [23–25]. Furthermore, mathematical modeling studies using these results

¹Some higher income countries use a spaced '2+1' DTCV immunization schedule with the first two doses separated by 2 months and the third booster dose provided around the first birthday. A '3+1' schedule is more common and includes delivery of three doses, usually separated by 4 weeks and completed within the first 6 months of life, and a booster dose in the second year of life. Both schedules provide robust immunity, although the latter is optimal for the epidemiological and programmatic context of low and middle-income countries [14, 15].

have estimated very little decline in antibodies between 5 and 10 years post-vaccination, regardless of booster dose formulation [26, 27]. The majority of recipients (as per modelled estimates) had seroprotective levels of antibody 9 and 10 years after the booster dose, with no major differences observed between vaccine groups [26, 27]. The model estimates for one study were extended to 11 years (i.e., one additional year, to simulate the case of a child receiving low dose diphtheria containing vaccine at 4 years of age, followed by a booster dose at 15 years of age) and similar results were observed (M. Voysey, personal communication) [27]. Therefore, evidence from longitudinal studies as well as modeled estimates suggest a durable response even when low-dose diphtheria vaccine is used as a second booster dose of diphtheria vaccine in children 4 to 7 years, similar to immunological studies in adolescents and adults that have demonstrated adequate seroprotection 10 years after Tdap booster vaccination [28, 29].

6. Low-dose diphtheria containing vaccines are less reactogenic

The original recommendation to use low-dose diphtheria antigen preferentially in children over 7 years was based upon a more favorable reactogenicity profile of this vaccine [14, 15]. Among the studies that compared reactogenicity between a low-dose and a full-dose diphtheria containing vaccine given as a booster dose in children ages 4–7 years, most demonstrated fewer injection site reactions in the low-dose diphtheria group (Table 1, see table footnote 3). Fewer injection site reactions improves the overall experience of immunization and enhances trust in vaccines. A few observational studies have noted decreased numbers and rates of spontaneously reported adverse events following immunization (AEFI) after a change to low dose diphtheria containing products [30, 31].

7. Experience in several countries indicates adequate population immunity and control of diphtheria when using Td vaccines as a booster in children 4–7 years of age

Many countries already provide Td-containing combination vaccines to children aged 4–7 years as part of their national immunization schedules, including Canada [32], Chile [33], Denmark [34],² Iceland [35], Ireland [36], Israel [37], Germany [38], Luxembourg [39], Netherlands [40], and South Africa [41]. In the U.K., both Tdap-IPV and DTaP-IPV have been deemed suitable for the preschool booster vaccination starting from 3 years and 4 months of age, regardless of the vaccine used for primary vaccination [42]. In Italy, a low-dose diphtheria booster vaccine (Tdap) is included for children aged 5–6 years in several regions, with a note in the national schedule recommending high vaccination coverage for the adolescent dose [10, 43].

Given that multiple national immunization programmes provide Td-containing combination vaccines to children aged 4–7 years and have been successful in controlling diphtheria, there is some empirical data to suggest that a low-dose vaccine would provide adequate protection

²Denmark has announced plans to change from low-dose diphtheria (DiTeKiPol-booster produced locally) to a full-dose booster (Tetravac) at age 5 years in 2019 under a new vaccine tender agreement with Sanofi Pasteur: <https://en.ssi.dk/news/news/2019/new-vaccine-formulation-in-the-childhood-vaccination-programme>.

as a second booster dose. Serosurveys available from several countries providing Td-containing vaccines at preschool/school entry, including Israel, Netherlands, and other in countries in Western Europe, have shown adequate population immunity and the effect of an immunity boost in this age group [44–46]. No substantial differences were noted in comparisons with countries providing a full-dose diphtheria booster dose at school entry, and all countries have had dramatic reductions in their reported number of diphtheria cases as a result of their immunization programmes [44].

During a period of limited global vaccine supply in 2015–2016 [47], the German regulatory authority conducted a review of published and unpublished data that supported the revision of the age indication for specific Tdap-IPV products to permit their use starting from 3 years of age [11]. In Germany, Tdap vaccines are used for booster vaccination (at ages 5–6 and 9–14 years and in adults) following a 3+1 primary immunization series. In Sweden and Norway, the public health agencies jointly conducted a rapid review to examine whether Tdap-IPV vaccines might replace the full-dose DTaP-IPV booster in children aged 4–8 years. Their national immunization schedules consist of 2+1 primary series, followed by a booster dose at 4–8 years of age (fourth dose). In the context of a 2+1 primary immunization series, these Nordic countries concluded that while there was some evidence to support the use of some of the Tdap-IPV products in ages 4–7 years, it was not considered sufficient to shift to programmatic use of the vaccine type as a fourth dose of diphtheria antigen [9]. This is in contrast to the WHO-recommended schedule for diphtheria containing vaccine, which consists of three-dose primary series followed by a booster dose in the second year of life (3+1) and another booster dose at ages 4–7 years (fifth dose) of diphtheria containing vaccine, for which the low-dose antigen is proposed.¹

In the 1990s, a diphtheria epidemic emerged in the Russian Federation and spread to all of the former states of the U.S.S.R. By 1994, due to susceptibility in older ages and vaccine hesitancy issues that resulted in low coverage of infants with diphtheria containing vaccines (60%–80%, or even lower in some areas) [48, 49]. In addition, several alternative immunization schedules were implemented using Td for the primary infant series, as well as at school entry; the age for this dose was also temporarily shifted from 6 to 9 years [48, 50]. A case-control study conducted at the end of the outbreak among school children aged 6–8 years demonstrated the effectiveness of a Td booster dose provided at school entry to protect against diphtheria [50].

8. A possible pathway for extending the age indication of Td vaccines

Vaccines, like medicines, must be licensed through the appropriate regulatory body at a country level. Regulatory approval for use of vaccines is product specific and based on the data submitted by the manufacturer requesting the market authorization. As a part of the regulatory review, the indications for use depend on the clinical evidence submitted and need to be provided in the summary of product characteristics (smPC) and package insert. Vaccine indications include age, immunization schedule, method of administration, and special considerations, along with other parameters. A WHO recommendation is considered “off-label” if the recommended use is not included in the indications within the smPC and package insert [8].

Manufacturers of Td vaccines (non-combination products) have not submitted safety and impact (efficacy and/or immunogenicity) data for use of their products in children 4–7 years of age, and, hence, do not have regulatory authorization for use of their products in this age group. Noting that Td containing vaccines have an established and longstanding history of immunogenicity and safety (Table 1) [14, 15], it was the consensus of the consultation that product specific immunogenicity and safety studies in the relevant age group would be sufficient for a public health programmatic recommendation to extend the use of Td vaccines to children from 4 years of age (see Conclusions section). Additional available data from Td combination vaccines provides supportive evidence for immunogenicity and safety.

To support product label extension, an immunogenicity study could possibly be sufficient, using a single arm design with Td vaccination of children aged 4–7 years who have received a documented 3+1 primary immunization series (doses at 6, 10, 14 weeks and 12–23 months), preferably with vaccine containing whole cell pertussis in countries that do not provide childhood booster doses. Analysis of immunogenicity and safety data collected before and one month after Td booster vaccination to demonstrate a boosting of antibody levels. A more robust randomized control trial comparing DT and Td vaccine in children aged 4–7 years with similar parameters to those above and a longer follow-up (e.g., 1, 3.5 and 5 years) would be helpful in terms of providing further generalizable evidence, but might not be essential for regulatory approval of each product.³ Careful attention should be paid to the study design and laboratory testing (validation of serology with international standards) to ensure interpretability of results (Box 1) [14, 15]. Additionally, publishing observational or post-marketing studies (i.e., epidemiological data) from countries introducing the preschool/school entry Td booster dose would be useful additional data for a change to the indication in the package insert. Finally, further strengthening of surveillance for diphtheria disease and AEFIs should be promoted to monitor the use of Td vaccination as the second booster dose in a six-dose series.

Conclusions

Based on the available evidence reviewed, the experts participating in the consultation concluded that from a public health perspective, the use of Td vaccine in 4–7 year old children as a second booster dose is fully supported on the following basis:

- Biologically, there is no relevant difference or developmental change in the immune systems of children aged 4–7 years versus children over 7 years of age;
- Adequate seroprotection using low-dose diphtheria as a booster dose in 4–7 year old children has been demonstrated in several studies with Td vaccine and many studies using Td in combination with other antigens;
- Longitudinal studies as well as modelling, suggest a durable and protective response of at least 9–11 years when low dose diphtheria antigen is given as booster dose in 4–7 year old children;

³Design of study should be discussed and agreed upon with the NRA of licensure.

- Low-dose diphtheria containing vaccines are generally less reactogenic in 4–7 year old children than full dose diphtheria containing vaccines, hence potentially improving the vaccination experience; and
- Experience from several countries indicates adequate control of diphtheria when using Td-containing combination vaccines as a second booster dose (fifth dose overall) in 4–7 year old children.

The experts emphasized the importance of providing an additional booster dose of Td vaccine between 9–15 years of age for lifetime protection in accordance with the WHO recommendations [1, 2].

To facilitate regulatory authorization for the use of low dose diphtheria containing vaccines in children 4–7 years, manufacturers are encouraged to discuss the submission of supportive data to their relevant regulatory authority. This data could be generated using a study design with immunogenicity and safety end points. The evidence summarized in this report also provides supporting evidence that can be used in regulatory applications. WHO committed to follow-up with the three major producers of Td vaccine and their respective National Regulatory Agencies to discuss implementing further studies for each product that would allow for an indication change in the package insert.

As an interim measure, WHO has distributed the report of the Consultation to all manufacturers of WHO pre-qualified Td vaccine products and inquired if they would add mention of the WHO recommendation for the use of Td as a second booster dose for 4–7 year old children to the product package inserts and vaccine labels [51].⁴

The Experts who participated in the consultation agreed that the ability to use Td vaccine in the 4–7 year age group as a second booster dose would potentially confer programmatic benefits and give countries greater flexibility for ensuring adequate immunity against diphtheria and tetanus as a part of a life course approach to immunization [5].

Funding

This work was supported by UNICEF and its donors for Maternal and Neonatal Elimination [Grant numbers 58514, 68273].

Appendix

The Expert Group on the Use of Td vaccine in Childhood:

Isabelle Bekeredjian-Ding, Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen, Germany

Krishna Bista, Nepal Pediatric Society, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁴For precedence, please see Green Cross Corporation, Trivalent Seasonal Influenza vaccine (GC FLU inj) product insert where the following statement was added:

6. Administration for pregnant or lactating womanWHO recommends "For countries considering the initiation or expansion of programmes for seasonal influenza vaccination, pregnant women should have the highest priority. Pregnant women should be vaccinated with TIV at any stage of pregnancy. This recommendation is based on evidence of a substantial risk of severe disease in this group and evidence that seasonal influenza vaccine is safe throughout pregnancy and effective in preventing influenza in the women as well as in their young infants, in whom the disease burden is also high [52, 53].

Thomas Cherian, Independent Consultant (Chair)

David Goldblatt, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health Biomedical Research Centre, University College London, London, UK

Markus Knuf (By phone), Helios Dr. Horst Schmidt Kliniken Wiesbaden, Wiesbaden, Germany

Pramod Meshram, The Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation (CDSCO), Government of India, New Delhi, India

Heather Scobie, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA

Jann Storsäter, The Public Health Agency of Sweden, Stockholm, Sweden

Tejpratap Tiwari (by phone), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA

Sara Watle, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

Other Meeting Participants:

Srinivas Kosaraju*, Biological E. Limited, Hyderabad, India

Novilia Sjafrri Bachtiar*, PT Bio Farma (Persero); Indonesia

Nora Dellepiane*, Serum Institute of India Limited, Pune, India

Merryn Voysey†, Oxford Vaccine Group, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

* Only attended on 6 May 2019. Did not participate in formulation of recommendations.

† Provided additional analysis of data from a published study[27] and did not participate in formulation of recommendations.

World Health Organization Secretariat:

Tania Cernuschi, Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Emer Cooke, Regulation of Medicines and other Health Technologies, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Shalini Desai, EPI, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Katia Gaudin-Billaudaz, EPI, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Tracey Goodman, EPI, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Joachim Hombach, Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Olivier Lapujade, Prequalification Team, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Melanie Marti, Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Carmen Rodriguez Hernandez, Prequalification Team, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

References

- [1]. World Health Organization. Tetanus vaccines: WHO position paper - February 2017. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec.* 2017;92:53–76. [PubMed: 28185446]
- [2]. World Health Organization. Diphtheria vaccine: WHO position paper - August 2017. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec.* 2017;92:417–35. [PubMed: 28776357]
- [3]. World Health Organization. WHO Recommendations for Routine Immunizations – Summary Tables https://www.who.int/immunization/policy/immunization_tables/en/; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [4]. World Health Organization. Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals: Data, Statistics and Graphics, https://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring_surveillance/data/en/; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [5]. World Health Organization. Protecting All Against Tetanus: Guide to sustaining maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination (MNTE) and broadening tetanus protection for all populations Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.
- [6]. WHO/UNICEF Joint Communique. Replacement of TT with Td vaccine for dual protection (28 June 2018), https://www.who.int/immunization/diseases/tetanus/WHO_UNICEF_Joint_communique_on_TT_to_Td_Replacement_Final28June2018.pdf?ua=1; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [7]. Gavi the Vaccine Alliance. Gavi Board starts framing Alliance’s approach to 2021–2025 period, <https://www.gavi.org/library/news/press-releases/2018/gavi-board-starts-framing-alliance-s-approach-to-2021-2025-period/>; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [8]. Neels P, Southern J, Abramson J, Duclos P, Hombach J, Marti M, et al. Off-label use of vaccines. *Vaccine.* 2017;35:2329–37. [PubMed: 28341112]
- [9]. The Public Health Agency of Sweden. Evaluation of immunogenicity and effectiveness of low dose dTap-IPV vaccine used as booster in 4–8 year old children, <https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/contentassets/61c155f8099646ccb2d9b80dfde820c6/evaluation-tap-ipv-vaccine-01192-2017.pdf>; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [10]. Gabutti G The value of booster vaccinations against diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis and poliomyelitis. *J Prev Med Hyg.* 2008;49:47–54. [PubMed: 18792533]
- [11]. Götz K, Bekerdjian-Ding I, [Paul-Ehrlich-Institut of the German Federal Ministry of Health]. Td/DT vaccines in 4–7 year olds: Experience from Germany. Presented at: WHO Ad Hoc Consultation of Experts on the Use of Td Vaccine in Children 4–7 Years of Age Anancy, France [May 6, 2019].
- [12]. Huenecke S, Behl M, Fadler C, Zimmermann SY, Bochennek K, Tramsen L, et al. Age-matched lymphocyte subpopulation reference values in childhood and adolescence: application of exponential regression analysis. *Eur J Haematol.* 2008;80:532–9. [PubMed: 18284628]
- [13]. Meyer CU, Birkholz J, Weins N, Doganci A, Gehring S, Zepp F, et al. Dendritic cells change IL-27 production pattern during childhood. *BMC Res Notes.* 2015;8:232. [PubMed: 26054397]
- [14]. World Health Organization. Immunological Basis for Immunization Series. Module 2: Diphtheria (2019 Update), https://www.who.int/immunization/documents/immunological_basis_series/en/; (In press)
- [15]. Scheifele DW, Ochnio JJ. Module 2: Diphtheria (Update 2009) Immunological Basis for Immunization Series. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009 p. 28.

- [16]. Knuf M, Helm K, Kolhe D, Van Der Wielen M, Baine Y. Antibody persistence and booster response 68months after vaccination at 2–10years of age with one dose of MenACWY-TT conjugate vaccine. *Vaccine*. 2018;36:3286–95. [PubMed: 29724511]
- [17]. Knuf M, Romain O, Kindler K, Walther U, Tran PM, Pankow-Culot H, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of the quadrivalent meningococcal serogroups A, C, W-135 and Y tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine (MenACWY-TT) in 2–10-year-old children: results of an open, randomised, controlled study. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2013;172:601–12. [PubMed: 23307281]
- [18]. Ciofi degli Atti ML, Salmaso S, Cotter B, Gallo G, Alfarone G, Pinto A, et al. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of adult versus paediatric diphtheria and tetanus booster dose at 6 years of age. *Vaccine*. 2001;20:74–9. [PubMed: 11567748]
- [19]. Meyer CU, Habermehl P, Knuf M, Hoet B, Wolter J, Zepp F. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of acellular pertussis booster vaccines in children: standard pediatric versus a reduced-antigen content formulation. *Hum Vaccin*. 2008;4:203–9. [PubMed: 18382142]
- [20]. Stojanov S, Liese JG, Bendjenana H, Harzer E, Barrand M, Jow S, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a trivalent tetanus, low dose diphtheria, inactivated poliomyelitis booster compared with a standard tetanus, low dose diphtheria booster at six to nine years of age. Munich Vaccine Study Group. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2000;19:516–21.
- [21]. Miller E, Ashworth LA, Redhead K, Thornton C, Waight PA, Coleman T. Effect of schedule on reactogenicity and antibody persistence of acellular and whole-cell pertussis vaccines: value of laboratory tests as predictors of clinical performance. *Vaccine*. 1997;15:51–60. [PubMed: 9041666]
- [22]. Pichichero ME, Badgett JT, Rodgers GC Jr., McLinn S, Trevino-Scatterday B, Nelson JD. Acellular pertussis vaccine: immunogenicity and safety of an acellular pertussis vs. a whole cell pertussis vaccine combined with diphtheria and tetanus toxoids as a booster in 18- to 24-month old children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1987;6:352–63. [PubMed: 3495775]
- [23]. Gajdos V, Vidor E, Richard P, Tran C, Sadorge C. Diphtheria, tetanus and poliovirus antibody persistence 5 years after vaccination of pre-schoolers with two different diphtheria, tetanus and inactivated poliomyelitis vaccines (Td-IPV or DT-IPV) and immune responses to a booster dose of DTaP-IPV. *Vaccine*. 2015;33:3988–96. [PubMed: 26087294]
- [24]. John T, Voysey M, Yu LM, McCarthy N, Baudin M, Richard P, et al. Immunogenicity of a low-dose diphtheria, tetanus and acellular pertussis combination vaccine with either inactivated or oral polio vaccine compared to standard-dose diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis when used as a pre-school booster in UK children: A 5-year follow-up of a randomised controlled study. *Vaccine*. 2015;33:4579–85. [PubMed: 26165918]
- [25]. Knuf M, Vetter V, Celzo F, Ramakrishnan G, Van Der Meeren O, Jacquet JM. Repeated administration of a reduced-antigen-content diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis and poliomyelitis vaccine (dTpa-IPV; Boostrix IPV). *Hum Vaccin*. 2010;6:554–61. [PubMed: 20448468]
- [26]. Chevart B, Burgess M, Zepp F, Mertsola J, Wolter J, Schuerman L. Anti-diphtheria antibody seroprotection rates are similar 10 years after vaccination with dTpa or DTPa using a mathematical model. *Vaccine*. 2004;23:336–42. [PubMed: 15530678]
- [27]. Voysey M, Kandasamy R, Yu LM, Baudin M, Sadorge C, Thomas S, et al. The predicted persistence and kinetics of antibody decline 9 years after pre-school booster vaccination in UK children. *Vaccine*. 2016;34:4221–8. [PubMed: 27364096]
- [28]. Mertsola J, Van Der Meeren O, He Q, Linko-Parvinen A, Ramakrishnan G, Mannermaa L, et al. Decennial administration of a reduced antigen content diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine in young adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51:656–62. [PubMed: 20704493]
- [29]. Tomovici A, Barreto L, Zickler P, Meekison W, Noya F, Voloshen T, et al. Humoral immunity 10 years after booster immunization with an adolescent and adult formulation combined tetanus, diphtheria, and 5-component acellular pertussis vaccine. *Vaccine*. 2012;30:2647–53. [PubMed: 22353673]
- [30]. Klar S, Harris T, Wong K, Fediurek J, Deeks SL. Vaccine safety implications of Ontario, Canada's switch from DTaP-IPV to Tdap-IPV for the pre-school booster. *Vaccine*. 2014;32:6360–3. [PubMed: 25252195]

- [31]. Thierry-Carstensen B, Sjolin Frederiksen M, Andersen PH, Stellfeld M. Spontaneously reported adverse reactions after diphtheria-tetanus revaccination at 4–6 years of age—a comparison of two vaccines with different amounts of diphtheria toxoid. *Vaccine*. 2004;23:668–71. [PubMed: 15542188]
- [32]. Government of Canada. Canada's Provincial and Territorial Routine (and Catch-up) Vaccination Routine Schedule Programs for Infants and Children, <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/provincial-territorial-immunization-information/provincial-territorial-routine-vaccination-programs-infants-children.html>; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [33]. Government of Chile Ministry of Health. Calendarios de Vacunación, <https://vacunas.minsal.cl/informacion-a-la-comunidad/calendario-de-vacunacion/>; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [34]. Statens Serum Institute. Det danske børnevaccinationsprogram, <https://www.ssi.dk/vaccinationer/boernevaccination>; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [35]. Directorate of Health Chief Epidemiologist of Iceland. National Childhood Vaccination Programme in Iceland as of September 2015, https://www.landlaeknir.is/servlet/file/store93/item27472/Almennar_bolusetningar_barna_eftir_september_2015_ENSKA.pdf; 2015 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [36]. Ireland Health Service Executive National Immunisation Office. School Programme 2019/2020: 4 in 1 and MMR, <https://www.hse.ie/eng/health/immunisation/hcpinfo/othervaccines/4n1mmr/>; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [37]. State of Israel Ministry of Health. Vaccines for Babies and Children https://www.health.gov.il/English/Topics/Pregnancy/Vaccination_of_infants/Pages/default.aspx; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [38]. Robert Koch Institute. Recommendations of the Standing Committee on Vaccination (STIKO) at the Robert Koch Institute – 2017/2018, https://www.rki.de/EN/Content/infections/Vaccination/recommendations/recommendations_node.html; 2017 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [39]. The Government of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. Calendrier des vaccinations, <http://sante.public.lu/fr/prevention/vaccination/calendrier-vaccinal/index.html>; 2019 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [40]. National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The National Immunisation Programme in the Netherlands Surveillance and developments in 2017–2018, <https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/2018-0124.pdf>; 2018 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [41]. Department of Health Republic of South Africa. Expanded Programme of Immunisation Revised Childhood Immunisation Schedule from December 2015, https://www.westerncape.gov.za/assets/departments/health/2016_schedule.pdf; 2016 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [42]. Public Health England. Pertussis: the Green Book, chapter 24 (4 2016), <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pertussis-the-green-book-chapter-24>; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [43]. Italy Ministry of Health. Il calendario vaccinale del Piano Nazionale di Prevenzione Vaccinale 2017–2019, http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_pagineAree_4829_listaFile_itemName_0_file.pdf; 2017 [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [44]. Edmunds WJ, Pebody RG, Aggerback H, Baron S, Berbers G, Conyn-van Spaendonck MA, et al. The sero-epidemiology of diphtheria in Western Europe. ESEN Project. European Sero-Epidemiology Network. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2000;125:113–25. [PubMed: 11057967]
- [45]. Swart EM, van Gageldonk PG, de Melker HE, van der Klis FR, Berbers GA, Mollema L. Long-Term Protection against Diphtheria in the Netherlands after 50 Years of Vaccination: Results from a Seroepidemiological Study. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0148605. [PubMed: 26863307]
- [46]. Valinsky L, Simhoni S, Bassal R, Agmon V, Yishai R, Green MS, et al. Prevalence and correlates of diphtheria toxoid antibodies in children and adults in Israel. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2006;12:968–73. [PubMed: 16961632]
- [47]. European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. Rapid risk assessment: Shortage of acellular pertussis-containing vaccines and impact on immunisation programmes in the EU/EEA (1st update, 2 February 2016), <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/rapid-risk-assessment-shortage-acellular-pertussis-containing-vaccines-and-0>; 2016 [Accessed 3 December 2019].

- [48]. Dittmann S, Wharton M, Vitek C, Ciotti M, Galazka A, Guichard S, et al. Successful control of epidemic diphtheria in the states of the Former Union of Soviet Socialist Republics: lessons learned. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181 Suppl 1:S10–22. [PubMed: 10657185]
- [49]. Galazka A Implications of the diphtheria epidemic in the Former Soviet Union for immunization programs. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181 Suppl 1:S244–8. [PubMed: 10657222]
- [50]. Vitek CR, Brennan MB, Gotway CA, Bragina VY, Govorukina NV, Kravtsova ON, et al. Risk of diphtheria among schoolchildren in the Russian Federation in relation to time since last vaccination. *Lancet.* 1999;353:355–8. [PubMed: 9950440]
- [51]. World Health Organization. Vaccine and immunization quality and safety, https://www.who.int/immunization/quality_safety/en/; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [52]. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Prequalified Vaccines: Influenza, seasonal (Trivalent) GC FLU inj, https://extranet.who.int/gavi/PQ_Web/PreviewVaccine.aspx?nav=0&ID=233; [Accessed 3 December 2019].
- [53]. World Health Organization (WHO). Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper - November 2012. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2012;87:461–76. [PubMed: 23210147]
- [54]. Dagan R, Igbaria K, Piglansky L, Van Brusteghem F, Melot V, Kaufhold A. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of reduced antigen content diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccines as a booster in 4–7-year-old children primed with diphtheria-tetanus-whole cell pertussis vaccine before 2 years of age. *Vaccine.* 1999;17:2620–7. [PubMed: 10418911]
- [55]. Kosuwon P, Warachit B, Hutagalung Y, Borkird T, Kosalaraksa P, Bock HL, et al. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of reduced antigen content diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccine (dTpa) administered as a booster to 4–6 year-old children primed with four doses of whole-cell pertussis vaccine. *Vaccine.* 2003;21:4194–200. [PubMed: 14505898]
- [56]. Collins CL, Salt P, McCarthy N, Chantler T, Lane L, Hemme F, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a low-dose diphtheria, tetanus and acellular pertussis combination vaccine with either inactivated or oral polio vaccine as a pre-school booster in UK children. *Vaccine.* 2004;22:4262–9. [PubMed: 15474717]
- [57]. Huang LM, Chang LY, Tang H, Bock HL, Lu CY, Huang FY, et al. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a reduced-antigen-content diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccine in healthy Taiwanese children and adolescents. *J Adolesc Health.* 2005;37:517.
- [58]. Lin TY, Wang YH, Huang YC, Chiu CH, Lin PY, Tang H, et al. Booster vaccination at 6–8 years of age with a reduced antigen content dTpa-IPV vaccine is immunogenic and safe after priming with whole-cell pertussis vaccine. *Hum Vaccin.* 2008;4:50–3. [PubMed: 18376147]
- [59]. Zhu F, Zhang S, Hou Q, Zhang Y, Xu Y, Ma X, et al. Booster vaccination against pertussis in Chinese children at six years of age using reduced antigen content diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccine (Boostrix). *Hum Vaccin.* 2010;6.
- [60]. Gustafsson L, Hallander HO, Netterlid E, Reizenstein E, Olin P. Antibody responses and clinical reactions to booster doses of TdCP + IPV, TdCP – IPV or DT + IPV at 5½ years of age after primary immunisation with DTcP (DTPa5) at 3, 5 and 12 months of age in Pertussis Vaccine Trial II Technical Report. Stockholm: Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control; 2000.
- [61]. Scheifele DW, Halperin SA, Ochnio JJ, Ferguson AC, Skowronski DM. A modified vaccine reduces the rate of large injection site reactions to the preschool booster dose of diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccine: results of a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2005;24:1059–66. [PubMed: 16371866]
- [62]. Langley JM, Predy G, Guasparini R, Law B, Diaz-Mitoma F, Whitstitt P, et al. An adolescent-adult formulation tetanus and diphtheria toxoids adsorbed combined with acellular pertussis vaccine has comparable immunogenicity but less reactogenicity in children 4–6 years of age than a pediatric formulation acellular pertussis vaccine and diphtheria and tetanus toxoids adsorbed combined with inactivated poliomyelitis vaccine. *Vaccine.* 2007;25:1121–5. [PubMed: 17045366]
- [63]. Ferrera G, Cuccia M, Mereu G, Icardi G, Bona G, Esposito S, et al. Booster vaccination of pre-school children with reduced-antigen-content diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis-inactivated poliovirus vaccine co-administered with measles-mumps-rubella-varicella vaccine: a randomized, controlled trial in children primed according to a 2 + 1 schedule in infancy. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2012;8:355–62. [PubMed: 22327497]

- [64]. Sanger R, Behre U, Krause KH, Loch HP, Soemantri P, Herrmann D, et al. Booster vaccination and 1-year follow-up of 4–8-year-old children with a reduced-antigen-content dTpa-IPV vaccine. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2007;166:1229–36. [PubMed: 17235521]
- [65]. Gajdos V, Soubeyrand B, Vidor E, Richard P, Boyer J, Sadorge C, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of combined adsorbed low-dose diphtheria, tetanus and inactivated poliovirus vaccine (REVAXIS®) versus combined diphtheria, tetanus and inactivated poliovirus vaccine (DT Polio®) given as a booster dose at 6 years of age. *Hum Vaccin.* 2011;7:549–56. [PubMed: 21441781]
- [66]. European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. Evaluation and assessment of serological immunity methods and external quality assessment scheme of diphtheria, <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/evaluation-and-assessment-serological-immunity-methods-and-eqa-scheme-diphtheria>; 2014 [Accessed 3 December 2019].

Highlights

- Low-dose tetanus-diphtheria (Td) vaccine is currently not licensed for children age 4–7 years
- Evidence suggests using Td as a second booster in ages 4–7 years yields durable immunity
- Evidence supports the recommendation to use Td booster dose in ages 4–7 years

Box. 1 Correlates of Diphtheria Protection and Considerations for Laboratory and Clinical Trials

Diphtheria antitoxin levels

- Measured in International Units per millilitre (IU/ml) by correlation to the WHO International Standard reference sera (10/262) through available laboratory methods [14, 15].
- A concentration of 0.01 IU/mL is considered the minimum level required for some degree of protection, generally correlating with protection against death [14, 15].
- Levels of 0.1 IU/mL are considered protective against disease, with higher levels generally correlating with less severe symptoms [14, 15].
- Levels of 1.0 IU/mL are associated with long-term protection [14, 15].

Diphtheria Laboratory Assays

- The Vero cell neutralization test (NT) is a functional *in vitro* assay for diphtheria antibodies that correlates highly with *in vivo* neutralization tests, and hence, is considered the reference assay [14, 15].
- Standard enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) are available, but only correlate well with NT results above 0.1 IU/ml, necessitating use of 0.1 IU/ml as a cutoff [14, 15].
- Other laboratory methods such as the double antigen ELISA (DAE), dissociation-enhanced lanthanide fluorescent immunoassay (DELFI), toxin binding inhibition (ToBI) assay, and newer bead-based multiplex immunoassays (MIA) are more accurate than standard ELISAs and can be used for accurately measuring lower seroprotective levels (0.01–0.1 IU/ml) [14, 15].
- Although all anti-diphtheria IgG results are given in IU/ml, care must be taken when comparing results from different laboratories, as has been demonstrated in a recent External Quality Assessment (EQA) for diphtheria serology within the European Diphtheria Surveillance Network [66].

Endpoints for Clinical Trials

- The primary endpoint measurement for vaccine clinical trials is usually immunogenicity, which in this case is diphtheria seroprotection assessed at a defined threshold, which can vary depending on the trial (0.01 IU/ml, 0.1 IU/ml, or 1.0 IU/ml).
- Antibody levels, usually expressed as geometric mean concentration (GMC), and reactogenicity, or the percentage of vaccinees reporting specific side effects, are usually reported as secondary endpoints.

Table 1.

Immunogenicity results from studies of low-dose diphtheria vaccine in children aged 4–7 years

Country, year, and reference	Age group	Time point	Low-dose diphtheria vaccine			Full-dose diphtheria vaccine		
			Vaccine	% seroprotection [95% CI] ¹	GMC in IU/ml [95% CI]	Vaccine	% seroprotection [95% CI] ¹	GMC in IU/ml [95% CI]
Primary series containing whole cell pertussis (wP)²								
Israel, 1999 [54] ³	4–7y	Pre	tdap	58	--	DTaP	46	--
		1m		100	7.6 [5.8–9.9]		100	13.3 [10.3–17.1]
Italy, 2001 [18] ^{2,3,4}	6y	Pre	Td	51	0.10 [0.07–0.14]	DT	49	0.09 [0.07–0.12]
		1m		99	7.7 [5.6–10.5]		100	14.1 [11.8–16.9]
Thailand, 2003 [55] ³	4–6y	Pre	Tdap	71	0.27	DTwP	85	0.40
		1m		99	3.12		99	4.98
England, 2004 [56], ³ 2015 [24], 2016 [27] ¹	3.5–	Pre	Tdap-IPV	67 [57–76]	0.44 [0.28–0.67]	DTaP-IPV	78 [68–86]	0.50 [0.32–0.78]
		1m		100 [96–100]	11 [8–16]		100 [96–100]	23 [16–34]
	5y	1y		89 [75–96]	0.99 [0.62–1.58]		97 [85–99]	1.42 [0.88–2.23]
		3y		72 [56–84]	0.22 [0.14–0.35]		69 [51–83]	0.29 [0.17–0.50]
		5y		75 [61–85]	0.23 [0.15–0.35]		79 [64–90]	0.34 [0.22–0.52]
	Pre	Tdap + OPV	63 [53–72]	0.41 [0.25–0.68]				
		1m		99 [95–100]	17 [12–24]			
		1y		96 [86–99]	1.10 [0.76–1.60]			
Taiwan, 2005 [57]	6–8 y	Pre	Tdap	--	--	--	--	--
		1m		100 [94–100]	4.7 [3.6–6.2]			
Taiwan, 2008 [58]	6–8 y	Pre	Tdap-IPV	79 [68–87]	0.22 [0.18–0.28]	--	--	--
		1m		100 [96–100]	4.39 [3.53–5.47]			
China, 2010 [59] ³	6–8y	Pre	Tdap	68 [60–75]	0.11 [0.10–0.12]	DT	72 [65–79]	0.12 [0.11–0.13]
		1m		100 [98–100]	1.06 [0.99–1.14]		99 [97–100]	1.04 [0.97–1.12]
Primary series containing acellular pertussis (aP)²								

Country, year, and reference	Age group	Time point	Low-dose diphtheria vaccine			Full-dose diphtheria vaccine		
			Vaccine	% seroprotection [95% CI] ^I	GMC in IU/ml [95% CI]	Vaccine	% seroprotection [95% CI] ^I	GMC in IU/ml [95% CI]
Germany, 2000 [20] ⁴	6–9y	Pre	Td	52	0.10 [0.08–0.12]	--	--	--
		1m		100	5.64 [4.79–6.65]			
		Pre	Td–IPV	59	0.11 [0.09–0.13]			
		1m		100	4.38 [3.81–5.02]			
Sweden, 2001 [60] ²	5.5y	Pre	Tdap–IPV	14 [9–19]	0.01 [0.01–0.01]	DT + IPV	16 [11–22]	0.01 [0.01–0.02]
		1m		97 [94–99]	2.47 [2.00–3.06]		99 [96–100]	5.73 [4.71–6.96]
		Pre	Tdap + IPV	15 [11–21]	0.01 [0.01–0.02]			
		1m		99 [97–100]	3.68 [3.03–4.47]			
Canada, 2005 [61] ³	4–6y	Pre	Tdap	79	0.16 [0.13–0.21]	DTaP–IPV	57	0.13 [0.11–0.17]
		1m		100	3.8 [3.2–4.4]		100	6.4 [5.3–7.7]
Canada, 2007 [62] ³	4–7y	Pre	Tdap + IPV	--	--	DTaP–IPV	--	--
		1m		90 [86–93]	6.10 [5.42–6.86]		94 [90–96]	13.6 [11.5–16.0]
Italy, 2012 [63] ^{2,3}	5–6y	Pre	Tdap–IPV	--	--	DTaP–IPV	--	--
		1m		100	9.2 [8.1–10.5]		100	21.3 [19.2–23.4]
Germany, 2007 [64] ³ , 2010 [25]	4–8y	Pre	Tdap–IPV	67 [64–71]	0.19 [0.18, 0.21]	--	--	--
		1m		100 [99–100]	4.46 [4.16, 4.79]			
		1y		100 [99–100]	1.28 [1.16, 1.43]			
		5y		98 ⁵	--			
		Pre	Tdap + IPV	64 [55–73]	0.18 [0.15, 0.23]			
		1m		100 [97–100]	4.10 [3.43, 4.9]			
		1y		100 [94–100]	1.19 [0.91, 1.56]			
Germany, 2008 [19] ³ , 2004 [26] ^{I,4}	4–6y	Pre	Tdap	85 [79–90]	0.30 [0.24–0.37]	DTaP	85 [76–92]	0.33 [0.25–0.44]

Country, year, and reference	Age group	Time point	Low-dose diphtheria vaccine			Full-dose diphtheria vaccine			
			Vaccine	% seroprotection [95% CI] ¹	GMC in IU/ml [95% CI]	Vaccine	% seroprotection [95% CI] ¹	GMC in IU/ml [95% CI]	
France, 2001 [65], 2015 [23] ²	6y	1m		100 [98–100]	11.1 [9.7–12.8]		100 [96–100]	24.4 [20.2–29.5]	
		3.5y		100 [97–100]	0.66 [0.57–0.77]		100 [95–100]	1.01 [0.80–1.26]	
		Pre	Td	86 [78–93]	0.43 [0.31–0.60]				
		1m		100 [96–100]	10.1 [8.1–12.5]				
		3.5y		100 [92–100]	0.84 [0.66–1.1]				
		Pre	Td-IPV	--	--		DT-IPV	--	--
		1m		99 [96–100]	3.7 [3.1–4.4]		99 [98–100]	23.3 [19.5–27.9]	
		5y		63 [54–72]	0.24 [0.18–0.33]		86 [79–91]	0.62 [0.48–0.81]	

Abbreviations: aP or Pa=acellular pertussis; CI=confidence interval; d=low-dose diphtheria toxoid; D=full-dose diphtheria toxoid; GMC=geometric mean concentration; IPV=inactivated poliovirus vaccine; IU/ml=international units per milliliter; m=month (post-vaccination); Pre=pre-vaccination; T=tetanus toxoid; y=year (post-vaccination); wP=whole cell pertussis

¹For better comparison across studies, seroprotection at the 0.1 IU/ml cutoff is reported in cases where a variety of cutoffs were included.

²The primary immunization series for studies conducted in Italy and Sweden was 2+1 doses; the rest of the studies were 3+1 doses (See footnote 1 in text). The Gajdos studies pre-dated France's change from 3+1 to 2+1 doses in 2013.

³Each of these studies demonstrated fewer injection site reactions in the low-dose diphtheria group compared with full-dose diphtheria vaccine.

⁴This Italian study is the only clinical trial with a direct comparison of Td and DT vaccine in the relevant age group; two German studies assessed immunogenicity of Td vaccine compared to combination vaccines.

⁵These results are from the Vero cell neutralization test. Results from ELISA were 89% seroprotection (0.1 IU/ml) for Tdap-IPV and 86% for Tdap + IPV.