



Published in final edited form as:

Crisis. 2020 March ; 41(2): 141–145. doi:10.1027/0227-5910/a000598.

Factors Associated With Increased Dissemination of Positive Mental Health Messaging On Social Media

Steven A. Sumner¹, Daniel A. Bowen², Brad Bartholow²

¹Office of Strategy and Innovation, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, USA

²Division of Violence Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, USA

Abstract

Background: The dissemination of positive messages about mental health is a key goal of organizations and individuals. *Aims:* Our aim was to examine factors that predict increased dissemination of such messages.

Method: We analyzed 10,998 positive messages authored on Twitter and studied factors associated with messages that are shared (re-tweeted) using logistic regression. Characteristics of the account, message, linguistic style, sentiment, and topic were examined.

Results: Less than one third of positive messages (31.7%) were shared at least once. In adjusted models, accounts that posted a greater number of messages were less likely to have any single message shared. Messages about military-related topics were 60% more likely to be shared (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] = 1.6, 95% CI [1.1, 2.1]) as well as messages containing achievement-related keywords (AOR = 1.6, 95% CI [1.3, 1.9]). Conversely, positive messages explicitly addressing eating/food, appearance, and sad affective states were less likely to be shared. Multiple other message characteristics influenced sharing.

Limitations: Only messages on a single platform and over a focused period of time were analyzed.

Steven A. Sumner, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, Mailstop F-63, Atlanta, GA 30341, USA, hvo5@cdc.gov.

Steven Sumner, MD, MSc, is Acting Senior Advisor for Data Science and Innovation with the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Atlanta, GA. Dr. Sumner is a physician researcher specializing in computational epidemiology and public health informatics.

Daniel Bowen, MPH, is an epidemiologist on CDC's Suicide, Youth Violence, and Elder Maltreatment Team at the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Mr. Bowen's expertise is in computational epidemiology.

Brad Bartholow, PhD, is Team Lead of the Suicide, Youth Violence, and Elder Maltreatment Team at the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Dr. Bartholow is trained as a community psychologist and co-authored CDC's *Technical Package for Suicide Prevention*.

Electronic Supplementary Material

The electronic supplementary material is available with the online version of the article at <https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000598>

ESM 1. Text and table (.pdf)

Detailed study methods and example words from lexical categories.

Conflict of Interest

We declare no competing interests.

Conclusion: A knowledge of factors affecting dissemination of positive mental health messages may aid organizations and individuals seeking to promote such messages online.

Keywords

social media; positive messaging; depression; suicide; Twitter

From 2001 to 2015, suicide rates increased 28% in the United States (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017), with the largest increases in suicidal behaviors occurring among youth. Consequently, increased attention is being paid to the influence of new media, such as social media and smartphones, on mental health (Twenge, Joiner, Rogers, & Martin, 2018). Although the area remains understudied, large cross-sectional studies across multiple nations have linked the prevalence of Internet usage to suicide rates (Shah, 2010) and smaller studies in diverse international settings have begun to examine the aspects of online social behavior associated with increased suicidal ideation (Dunlop, More, & Romer, 2011).

To date, leading research using social media data to study mental health has focused mainly on depression and suicide-related messages (Burnap, Colombo, & Scourfield, 2015; De Choudhury, Counts, & Horvitz, 2013; Jashinsky et al., 2014; O’Dea et al., 2015). Fewer studies have examined positive mental health messaging online.

While early research suggests social media-based interventions may hold potential in preventing self-harm (Robinson et al., 2016), little is known about the factors that influence dissemination of positive messages about mental health. Interestingly, prior research studying the spread of health-related social media messages has found that factors influencing dissemination of such messages have differed across disease topics (Kim, Hou, Han, & Himelboim, 2016; Mitchell, Russo, Otter, Kiernan, & Aveling, 2017; So et al., 2016). Consequently, in this study we examined characteristics of positive messages about mental health that were associated with greater social sharing.

Method

Data Collection and Outcome Variable

We collected positive messages on Twitter over a 1-week period from August 7, 2017, to August 14, 2017, using a series of 12 tags/keywords commonly employed in such messages (see Electronic Supplementary Material 1 online for list of words and detailed methodological information). Positive messages are defined as messages that attempt to provide support or aid to those with mental health problems through explicit encouragement or the sharing of information about mental health. Seven days following the collection of each message, the number of *retweets* or shares that the original message had was recorded. Given a marked right skew to the number of retweets (most messages experienced no social shares), the number of retweets was classified into a binary variable indicating whether the message was retweeted or not; this served as the primary outcome variable.

Predictors and Statistical Analysis

Characteristics of the account, message, linguistic style, sentiment, and topic were examined as possible predictors of whether a message was retweeted. Characteristics of messages were ascertained from metadata associated with each tweet or computer processing of linguistic and sentiment features (see Electronic Supplementary Material 1). The association of each predictor with the retweet status of a message was assessed using logistic regression. Variables significantly associated with the outcome in exploratory bivariable analyses ($p < .05$) were entered into a multivariable regression.

Results

Nearly 11,000 ($n = 10,998$) positive messages were collected over a 1-week period. Less than one third of such messages (31.7%) were shared (i.e., retweeted) at least once. In adjusted models (Table 1), accounts that (a) had a greater number of followers, (b) posted a smaller number of messages, (c) included visual media and links, and (d) sent messages in which another user was mentioned had a greater number of messages shared. Linguistically, messages that used a higher proportion of adjectives were significantly less likely to be shared (AOR = 0.4, 95% CI [0.2, 0.7]).

Certain topic areas were strongly predictive of retweets; messages about military-related mental health topics had 60% greater odds of being shared (AOR = 1.6, 95% CI [1.1, 2.1]) as were messages containing achievement-related keywords (AOR = 1.6, 95% CI [1.3, 1.9]). Conversely, supportive messages explicitly addressing eating/food (AOR = 0.6, 95% CI [0.4, 0.9]), appearance (AOR = 0.6, 95% CI [0.4, 0.9]), and sad affective states (AOR = 0.7, 95% CI [0.7, 0.8]) were less likely to be shared.

Discussion

Our investigation into the factors influencing diffusion of positive messages yielded some unique insights. First, although the number of followers of an account is a strong predictor of message spread, we found that the more posts an account had in total, the less likely any single message was to be shared. This suggests that sheer volume of supportive content produced by organizations or individuals may be less important than creating higher-quality messages.

Secondly, our study detected that inclusion of media content in a post increased social sharing. While seemingly intuitive, this finding differs from results of other research in the area of infectious diseases, where inclusion of media content was inversely related to message dissemination (Mitchell et al., 2017). Additionally, in topic areas such as cancer support, investigators found that the degree of positive sentiment in a message is associated with increased message spread (Kim et al., 2016). Interestingly, our study found that while messages containing achievement-related words (e.g., milestone, worthy, conquer, celebration, etc.) were more highly shared, those expressing general positive emotion (e.g., enthusiasm, cherish, happiness, etc.) were not shared to a significant degree. Lastly, of the major content areas examined, military-related mental health messages were shared most often. Positive messages that focused excessively on personal appearance, nutritional health,

or personal improvement, as suggested by the eating and appearance topic categories, while well-intentioned, were not widely spread, indicating that such messages may not be having the beneficial effect intended.

Limitations

Limitations of this research include the inability to capture all positive messages and that the study time period was limited. Furthermore, while we assessed dissemination of positive messages on social media, we did not assess how such messages influenced behavior, which is important for future research.

Conclusion

Our results offer some insights to improve health communication via social media. Consistent with social learning theory, which posits that behavior is, in part, learned through environment, observation, and social interaction, suicide-related behaviors are also influenced through the larger social milieu (Lester, 1987). Social media platforms present a novel space where large-scale social interaction and social learning is occurring, particularly in mental health (Colombo, Burnap, Hodorog, & Scourfield, 2016). Consequently, reaching larger audiences with positive messaging about mental health has the very real potential to influence healthy behaviors such as help-seeking. Indeed, early research suggests that positive messaging received online may even help prevent the development of suicidality (De Choudhury & Kiciman, 2017). Continued study of positive messages online may advance opportunities to promote mental health on a broader level than has previously been possible.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

References

- Burnap P, Colombo W, & Scourfield J (2015, 9). Machine classification and analysis of suicide-related communication on twitter. Paper presented at the 26th ACM Conference on Hypertext & Social Media in Guzelyurt, Northern Cyprus Retrieved from 10.1145/2700171.2791023
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. (2017). Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html>
- Colombo GB, Burnap P, Hodorog A, & Scourfield J (2016). Analysing the connectivity and communication of suicidal users on twitter. *Computer Communications*, 73, 291–300. 10.1016/j.comcom.2015.07.018. [PubMed: 26973360]
- De Choudhury M, Counts S, & Horvitz E (2013). Social media as a measurement tool of depression in populations. *Proceedings of the 5th Annual ACM Web Science Conference*, 47–56. Retrieved from 10.1145/2464464.2464480

- De Choudhury M, & Kıcıman E (2017, 5). The language of social support in social media and its effect on suicidal ideation risk. Paper presented at the International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media in Montreal, Canada.
- Dunlop SM, More E, & Romer D (2011). Where do youth learn about suicides on the Internet, and what influence does this have on suicidal ideation? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 52(10), 1073–1080. 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02416.x [PubMed: 21658185]
- Jashinsky J, Burton SH, Hanson CL, West J, Giraud-Carrier C, Barnes MD, & Argyle T (2014). Tracking suicide risk factors through Twitter in the US. *Crisis*. 10.1027/0227-5910/a000234
- Kim E, Hou J, Han JY, & Himelboim I (2016). Predicting retweeting behavior on breast cancer social networks: Network and content characteristics. *Journal of Health Communication*, 21(4), 479–486. 10.1080/10810730.2015.1103326 [PubMed: 27007166]
- Lester D (1987). *Suicide as a learned behavior*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Mitchell BG, Russo PL, Otter JA, Kiernan MA, & Aveling L (2017). What makes a tweet fly? Analysis of Twitter messaging at four infection control conferences. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 38(11), 1271–1276. 10.1017/ice.2017.170 [PubMed: 28826428]
- O’Dea B, Wan S, Batterham PJ, Calear AL, Paris C, & Christensen H (2015). Detecting suicidality on Twitter. *Internet Interventions*, 2(2), 183–188. 10.1016/j.invent.2015.03.005
- Robinson J, Cox G, Bailey E, Hetrick S, Rodrigues M, Fisher S, & Herrman H (2016). Social media and suicide prevention: A systematic review. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 10(2), 103–121. 10.1111/eip.12229 [PubMed: 25702826]
- Shah A (2010). The relationship between general population suicide rates and the Internet: A cross-national study. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 40(2), 146–150. 10.1521/suli.2010.40.2.146 [PubMed: 20465349]
- So J, Prestin A, Lee L, Wang Y, Yen J, & Chou W-YS (2016). What do people like to “share” about obesity? A content analysis of frequent retweets about obesity on Twitter. *Health Communication*, 31(2), 193–206. 10.1080/10410236.2014.940675 [PubMed: 26086083]
- Twenge JM, Joiner TE, Rogers ML, & Martin GN (2018). Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among US adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 6(1), 3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F2167702617723376>

Table 1.

Characteristics of social media messages associated with increased sharing online

Type of predictor	Prevalence of element of language (N = 10,998)			Bivariable OR			Adjusted OR(a)		
	n	%	OR	95% CI	P	AOR	95% CI	P	
Account/media characteristics									
Number of followers									
0–100	2,092	19.0	1.0 (ref)			1.0 (ref)			
101–500	3,118	28.4	1.7	[1.5, 2.0]	< .001	2.2	[1.9, 2.6]	< .001	
501–2,000	3,118	28.4	2.6	[2.3, 3.0]	< .001	4.0	[3.4, 4.9]	< .001	
2,001	2,670	24.3	4.9	[4.3, 5.6]	< .001	8.8	[7.3, 10.7]	< .001	
Number of prior posts									
0–500	2,355	21.4	1.0 (ref)			1.0 (ref)			
501–2,000	2,536	23.1	1.3	[1.2, 1.5]	< .001	0.7	[0.6, 0.8]	< .001	
2001–10,000	3,528	32.1	1.6	[1.4, 1.7]	< .001	0.5	[0.5, 0.6]	< .001	
10,001	2,578	23.4	1.7	[1.5, 1.9]	< .001	0.4	[0.3, 0.5]	< .001	
Media included									
Written text alone									
Photo	8,208	74.6	1.0 (ref)			1.0 (ref)			
Animated GIF	65	0.6	1.4	[0.8, 2.2]	.2500	1.4	[0.8, 2.4]	.1768	
Video	65	0.6	2.5	[1.5, 4.0]	< .001	2.3	[1.4, 3.8]	.0016	
URL link included in text									
No	2,275	20.7	1.0 (ref)			1.0 (ref)			
Yes	8,723	79.3	1.7	[1.6, 1.9]	< .001	1.2	[1.1, 1.4]	< .001	
Message directed at a user (user mentions)									
No	7,525	68.4	1.0 (ref)			1.0 (ref)			
Yes	3,473	31.6	1.5	[1.3, 1.6]	< .001	1.3	[1.2, 1.5]	< .001	
Linguistic features									
Emoji used									
No	10,174	92.5	1.0 (ref)						
Yes	824	7.5	1.0	[0.8, 1.1]	.7700				
Number of hashtags used									

Type of predictor	Prevalence of element of language (N = 10,998)			Bivariable OR			Adjusted OR(a)		
	n	%	OR	95% CI	P	AOR	95% CI	P	
0-1	3,392	30.8	1.0 (ref)						
2-6	7,054	64.1	1.0	[0.9, 1.1]	.4000				
6	552	5.0	0.9	[0.8, 1.2]	.5900				
Part of speech ^a	M (%)	SD (%)							
Nouns	30.6	9.9	1.3	[0.8, 1.9]	.2663				
Pronouns	3.8	4.9	0.3	[0.1, 0.8]	.0100	0.6	[0.2, 1.4]	.2384	
Verbs	11.5	7.5	1.1	[0.7, 2.0]	.0629				
Adjectives	9.5	7.1	0.4	[0.2, 0.7]	.0017	0.4	[0.2, 0.7]	.0015	
Adverbs	3.3	4.7	0.4	[0.2, 1.0]	.0566				
Lexical categories									
Sentiment categories ^b									
Achievement	575	5.2	1.7	[1.5, 2.1]	<.001	1.6	[1.3, 1.9]	<.001	
Positive emotion	1,629	14.8	0.9	[0.8, 1.0]	.1206				
Negative emotion	1,159	10.5	0.8	[0.7, 1.0]	.0157	1.0	[0.9, 1.2]	.6379	
Sadness	1,602	14.6	0.7	[0.6, 0.8]	<.001	0.7	[0.7, 0.8]	<.001	
Anger	181	1.6	0.8	[0.6, 1.1]	.1387				
Topic categories ^b									
Military	189	1.7	1.7	[1.2, 2.2]	<.001	1.6	[1.1, 2.1]	.0043	
School	761	6.9	1.2	[1.0, 1.4]	.0495	1.1	[0.9, 1.3]	.3816	
Science	461	4.2	1.2	[1.0, 1.5]	.0512				
Eating	167	1.5	0.6	[0.4, 0.8]	.0036	0.6	[0.4, 0.9]	.0283	
Appearance	142	1.3	0.5	[0.3, 0.8]	.0015	0.6	[0.4, 0.9]	.0294	

Note.

^aParts of speech variables were calculated as the percent of the total text in a given message that is the part of speech of interest.

^bSentiment and topic variables were coded as binary variables indicating whether the message contained the sentiment/topic of interest.