QIV-HD CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT AND PHASE 3 SAFETY AND IMMUNOGENICITY STUDY RESULTS

October 23, 2019

Lee-Jah Chang, MD



Director Clinical Development, Sanofi Pasteur

AGENDA

Introduction

- Phase III High-Dose Quadrivalent Vaccine Study
 - Study design and results
- Next Steps and Summary
- Questions



Background on QIV-HD Vaccine Development

• TIV-HD is a high dose, inactivated trivalent influenza vaccine that has been available in the US since 2010.

- 115 million doses sold since licensure
- 2 out of 3 vaccinated adults 65+ years of age in the US received Fluzone HD vaccine during the 2018-2019 season (~22 million doses)
- Two distinct B influenza lineages (Victoria and Yamagata) have co-circulated for over a decade, making it difficult to predict which will predominate the next season.
- QIV-HD has been developed to address the frequent influenza B strain mismatches by incorporating a strain from each B lineage.
- QHD00013 is a pivotal Phase III study which evaluated the safety and immunogenicity of QIV-HD as compared to TIV-HD.



High Dose Studies Overview



SANOFI PASTEUR 🌍

Meta-analysis and Systemic Review of TIV-HD Efficacy/Effectiveness versus SD Vaccine

Over 14 million adults received TIV-HD in the studies.

	RCT and Obser	vational Studies	RCT Studies only (4 trials)		
Outcome	rVE (95%CI)	p-value	rVE (95%CI)	p-value	
Influenza-like Illness	15.9% (4.1% - 26.3%)	0.01	24.1% (10.0–36.1)	0.002	
Influenza Hospitalization	12.6% (7.1% - 17.9%)	<0.001	Not assessed		
Pneumonia Hospitalization	27.3% (15.3% - 37.6%)	<0.001	27.3% (15.3–37.6)	<0.001	
Pneumonia/Influenza Hospitalization	13.4% (7.3% - 19.2%)	<0.001	Not assessed		
Cardiorespiratory Hospitalization	17.9% (15.0% - 20.8%)	<0.001	17.9% (15.0% - 20.8%)	<0.001	
All-cause Hospitalization	8.4% (5.7% - 11.0%)	<0.001	11.9% (2.0–20.7)	0.019	



Lee et al. Meta-analysis and Systemic Review. Options X for the Control of Influenza. Aug 19, 2019.

Safety and Immunogenicity of High-Dose Quadrivalent Influenza Vaccine (QIV-HD) Administered by Intramuscular Route in Subjects Aged 65 Years and Older

Chang et al.

E-published ahead of print in Vaccine (Aug 2019): https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.08.016



Phase III QHD00013 Overview

QHD00013 Study Vaccine Groups



• Randomized, modified double-blind, active-controlled

SANOFI PASTEUR 🎝

• **Primary Objective:** to demonstrate non-inferior immunogenicity (based on HAI assay GMTs and seroconversion rates)



QHD00013 Study Overview





Demographics: Gender, Age, and Racial Origin

The randomized groups were balanced by age, gender, and racial origin.

(N= number of evaluable subjects)	<mark>QIV-HD</mark> (N= 1680)	TIV-HD1 (N= 423)	TIV-HD2 (N= 430)	Overall (N= 2533)
Males	703 (41.8%)	172 (40.7%)	191 (44.5%)	1066 (42.1%)
Females	977 (58.2%)	251 (59.3%)	239 (55.6%)	1467 (57.9%)
Mean age (years)	72.9	72.8	73.2	73.0
Percentage of subjects ≥75 yrs of age	35.4%	33.3%	38.1%	35.5%
Caucasian	91.2%	89.8%	89.5%	90.7%

Total subjects based on the Per-Protocol Analysis Dataset (PPAS) in this table. 5.1% attrition rate seen in the study.



QHD00013 Safety Overview

No related deaths or related AE of special interest in all study groups.

Subject experiencing at least one	QIV-HD (N= 1777)	TIV-HD pooled (N= 893)
Immediate unsolicited AE	5 (0.3%)	2 (0.2%)
AE leading to study discontinuation	1/1777 (<0.1%)	2/893 (0.2%)
SAE (within 28 days)	19/1777 (1.1%)	12/893 (1.3%)
SAE (entire study)	80/1777 (4.5%)	48/893 (5.4%)
Fatal	3/1777 (0.2%)	2/893 (0.2%)
AE of special interest	1/1777 (<0.1%)	2/893 (0.2%)



Solicited Reactions Overview



SANOFI PASTEUR 🎝

Solicited Reactions: Local and Systemic





QHD00013: Summary of Safety Results

- While higher percentages for some solicited reactions were observed for QIV-HD, the overall reactogenicity profile was comparable to TIV-HD.
 - QIV-HD and TIV-HD study groups showed similar rates of unsolicited events, AEs leading to study discontinuation, SAEs, fatal SAEs, and AEs of special interest.
- One related SAE: a subject reporting small fiber neuropathy diagnosed 42 days after QIV-HD vaccination with other concomitant etiologies (vitamin B12 deficiency and recent viral illness)
 - Our (the Sponsor) assessment was unrelated to study vaccine given the other more likely etiologies and symptom improvement with vitamin B12 supplementation



GMTs: QIV-HD versus TIV-HD

700

QIV-HD induced **non-inferior antibody responses (GMTs)** compared to TIV-HD against all 4 vaccine strains 28 days post-vaccination.



*Lower bound of the confidence interval (CI) should be >0.667 for non-inferiority to be reached.



Seroconversion: QIV-HD versus TIV-HD

QIV-HD induced **non-inferior seroconversion rates** compared to TIV-HD against all 4 vaccine strains 28 days post-vaccination.



*Lower bound of the confidence interval (CI) should be >-10% for non-inferiority to be reached.



Secondary Endpoint: Superiority for Alternate B Strain

QIV-HD induced an immune response superior to that induced by the TIV-HD that did not contain the corresponding B strain.

GMT	QIV-HD	TIV-HD	GMT ratio (QIV-HD/TIV-HD)	Lower Bound of the CI*
B/Brisbane	516	253	2.04	1.805
B/Phuket	578	282	2.05	1.806

Seroconversion	QIV-HD	TIV-HD	Difference in SC (QIV-HD—TIV-HD)	Lower Bound of the CI*
B/Brisbane	36.5%	15.2%	21.36%	17.01%
B/Phuket	46.6%	17.6%	29.04%	24.45%

*Lower bound of the confidence interval (CI) should be >1.5 for superiority to be reached with respect to GMT and >10% for superiority to be reached with respect to seroconversion.



Overall Key Study Results

Safety Results

- No safety issues were observed with QIV-HD in adults 65 years of age and older.
 - Safety profiles between QIV-HD and TIV-HD were similar.

Immunogenicity Results

- Primary Objective Met: QIV-HD was non-inferior to TIV-HD by GMTs and seroconversion rates for all 4 strains.
- Secondary Objective Met: QIV-HD induced an immune response superior to that induced by the TIV-HD that did not contain the corresponding B strain.

The study results demonstrated that addition of a second influenza B strain in QIV-HD did not impact the safety or immunogenicity of the other 3 strains in subjects 65 years of age and older.



•CBER action date: November 4, 2019

- •Assuming licensure:
 - HCPs will be able to pre-order QIV-HD in Q1 2020
 - TIV-HD will be entirely replaced by QIV-HD for the 2020-2021 season



Sanofi Pasteur's High Dose Influenza Vaccine





BACK UP



QHD00013: Race and Ethnicity

<mark>QIV-HD</mark> (N=1680)	TIV-HD1 (N=423)	TIV-HD2 (N=430)	Overall (N=2533)
9 (0.5)	2 (0.5)	3 (0.7)	14 (0.6)
12 (0.7)	2 (0.5)	3 (0.7)	17 (0.7)
114 (6.8)	36 (8.5)	32 (7.4)	182 (7.2)
3 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	5 (0.2)
1532 (91.2)	380 (89.8)	385 (89.5)	2297 (90.7)
6 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	2 (0.5)	9 (0.4)
0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
4 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	4 (0.9)	9 (0.4)
47 (2.8)	9 (2.1)	13 (3.0)	69 (2.7)
1630 (97.0)	413 (97.6)	415 (96.5)	2458 (97.0)
0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (<0.1)
3 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	5 (0.2)
	QIV-HD (N=1680) 9 (0.5) 12 (0.7) 114 (6.8) 3 (0.2) 1532 (91.2) 6 (0.4) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.2) 47 (2.8) 1630 (97.0) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2)	$\begin{array}{c c} \textbf{QIV-HD} \\ \textbf{(N=1680)} & \textbf{TIV-HD1} \\ \textbf{(N=423)} \\ \hline \\ 9 \ (0.5) & 2 \ (0.5) \\ 12 \ (0.7) & 2 \ (0.5) \\ 12 \ (0.7) & 2 \ (0.5) \\ 114 \ (6.8) & 36 \ (8.5) \\ 3 \ (0.2) & 1 \ (0.2) \\ 1532 \ \textbf{(91.2)} & 380 \ \textbf{(89.8)} \\ 6 \ (0.4) & 1 \ (0.2) \\ 1532 \ \textbf{(91.2)} & 380 \ \textbf{(89.8)} \\ 6 \ (0.4) & 1 \ (0.2) \\ 0 \ (0.0) & 0 \ (0.0) \\ 4 \ (0.2) & 1 \ (0.2) \\ \hline \\ & & & & & \\ 47 \ (2.8) & 9 \ (2.1) \\ 1630 \ (97.0) & 413 \ (97.6) \\ 0 \ (0.0) & 0 \ (0.0) \\ 3 \ (0.2) & 1 \ (0.2) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $



QHD00013: Solicited Reactions

Most frequently reported reactions: injection site pain and myalgia

Solicited reactions within 7 days after vaccine injection (Safety Analysis Set)

	Q (N	IV-HD =1777)	TIV- (N=	HD1 443)	TIV- (N=4	HD2 150)	TIV-HD (N=8	Pooled 93)
Subjects experiencing at least one:	n/M %	6 (95% CI)	n/M %	(95% CI)	n/M %	(95% CI)	n/M %	(95% CI)
Solicited reaction	938/1768 53	.1 (50.7;55.4)	235/440 53.4	(48.6;58.1)	207/449 46.1	(41.4;50.8)	442/889 49.7	(46.4;53.1)
Injection site reaction	779/1768 44	.1 (41.7;46.4)	189/440 43.0	(38.3;47.7)	165/449 36.7	(32.3;41.4)	354/889 39.8	(36.6; 43.1)
Bruising	23/1765 1.	3 (0.8;1.9)	6/439 1.4	(0.5 ; 3.0)	4/448 0.9	(0.2; 2.3)	10/887 1.1	(0.5; 2.1)
Erythema	110/1768 6.	2 (5.1; 7.5)	30/440 6.8	(4.6; 9.6)	21/449 4.7	(2.9; 7.1)	51/889 5.7	(4.3;7.5)
Induration	66/1766 3.	7 (2.9; 4.7)	17/439 3.9	(2.3; 6.1)	14/448 3.1	(1.7; 5.2)	31/887 3.5	(2.4; 4.9)
Pain	731/1768 41	.3 (39.0;43.7)	172/440 39.1	(34.5; 43.8)	152/449 33.9	(29.5; 38.4)	324/889 36.4	(33.3; 39.7)
Swelling	86/1766 4.	9 (3.9;6.0)	23/439 5.2	(3.3; 7.8)	19/448 4.2	(2.6;6.5)	42/887 4.7	(3.4;6.3)
Systemic reaction	548/1768 31	.0 (28.8;33.2)	132/440 30.0	(25.8;34.5)	132/449 29.4	(25.2;33.9)	264/889 29.7	(26.7; 32.8)
Fever	7/1761 0.	4 (0.2;0.8)	3/437 0.7	(0.1; 2.0)	5/448 1.1	(0.4; 2.6)	8/885 0.9	(0.4;1.8)
Headache	254/1768 14	.4 (12.8;16.1)	63/440 14.3	(11.2;17.9)	58/449 12.9	(10.0;16.4)	121/889 13.6	(11.4 ; 16.0)
Malaise	233/1768 13	.2 (11.6;14.8)	52/440 11.8	(9.0; 15.2)	67/449 14.9	(11.8;18.6)	119/889 13.4	(11.2; 15.8)
Myalgia	402/1768 22	.7 (20.8;24.8)	80/440 18.2	(14.7; 22.1)	88/449 19.6	(16.0;23.6)	168/889 18.9	(16.4; 21.6)
Shivering	95/1768 5.	4 (4.4;6.5)	20/440 4.5	(2.8;6.9)	22/449 4.9	(3.1;7.3)	42/889 4.7	(3.4;6.3)



Visual Example: Relative Efficacy Translates to Absolute Efficacy



SANOFI PASTEUR 🎝

How 24% Relative Efficacy Translates to Absolute Efficacy

Absolute Efficacy of SD vaccine	Relative Efficacy of HD vaccine	Absolute Efficacy of HD vaccine
10%		32%
20%		39%
30%	24% relative efficacy	47%
40%		54%
50%		62%
60%		70%
70%		77%
80%		85%



Efficacy Results of HD vs SD Fluzone Vaccine in a Randomized Clinical Trial

Compared to standard-dose (SD), the benefit of high-dose (HD) was demonstrated across age groups, influenza types, comorbidities, and frailty-associated conditions in 32,000 community-dwelling seniors

PRIMARY ENDPOINT	Similar to Vaccine Strains ¹	Year 1 ³	Year 2 ³
24.2% more efficacious* HD (N=228) vs. SD (N=301) (95% Cl: 9.7; 36.5)	35.4% (95% CI: 12.5; 52.5)	45.3% (95% CI: 6.9; 68.6)	20.7% (95% CI: 4.4; 34.3)
	65-74 Years of Age ² 75+ Years of Age ²		
	19.7% (95% CI: 0.4; 35.4)	32.4% (95% CI: 8.1; 50.6)	
Demonstrated SUPERIOR EFFICACY against primary endpoint compared to IIV3-SD ¹	≥1 High-Risk Comorbidity ² 1 Frailty-Associated Conditio		
	22.1% (95% CI: 3.9; 37.0)	27.5% (95% CI: 0.4; 47.4)	

*against laboratory-confirmed influenza illness caused by any virus type or subtype in adults 65 years of age and older



References: ^{1,2}DiazGranados CA et al. N Engl J Med 2014;371(7):635-645. ³DiazGranados CA et al. 2015 Vaccine;33(36):4565-4571.