



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Curr Opin HIV AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2015 November ; 10(6): 477–482. doi:10.1097/COH.0000000000000195.

Geographical targeting to improve progression through the sexually transmitted infection/HIV treatment continua in different populations

Sevgi O. Aral, Elizabeth Torrone, Kyle Bernstein

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Abstract

Purpose of review—The purpose of this study is to review and synthesize the recent literature on the use of geographical targeting to improve progression through HIV and sexually transmitted disease (STD) prevention and treatment continua in different populations.

Recent findings—Geographical targeting can help identify obstacles to progression through prevention and treatment continua for each stage and in specific geographic locations. Macro-level geographical targeting can help maximize allocative efficiency, while micro-level targeting of hot spots increases effectiveness of interventions. Migration into and out of geographical areas of interest constitutes a challenge to geographical targeting in that stage-specific monitoring strategies tend to yield inaccurate results when people leave the area. Despite these issues, it is possible to identify failures in each stage of the continuum by specific spatial location such as census tracts and focus improvement efforts accordingly.

Summary—Vulnerabilities, risk behaviours and infections all cluster across age, race-ethnicity, socioeconomic status, key populations, risk networks and geographic space. Spatial concentration may be the most important in this context, as it allows prevention programmes to identify and reach target populations more easily. Geographical targeting can be employed at both macro and micro levels and in combination with targeting of key populations and high-risk networks.

Keywords

allocative efficiency; HIV care continuum; risk networks; spatial targeting

INTRODUCTION

Optimum care for individuals and communities of people living with HIV and concurrent reduction in HIV transmission in populations involves identification of infected individuals, linkage to initial HIV care, long-term retention in care and treatment adherence, what is frequently referred to as the ‘continuum of care’ or ‘cascade of care’ [1]. The same

Correspondence to Dr Sevgi O. Aral, PhD, Division of STD Prevention, The National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention Centers 1600 Clifton Road, Mailstop E02, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA. Tel: +1 404 639 8259; SArar@cdc.gov.

Conflicts of interest

The findings and conclusions in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

framework is applicable to other sexually transmitted infections (STIs), particularly viral STI. The HIV treatment and care continuum as a concept evolved over time [2]. A similar model of ‘person-time-of-infection’, which focused not only on losses at each stage of the continuum but also incorporated time delays in each stage was introduced for STI treatment and prevention in 1999 [3,4]. Continuum models applied to other infectious diseases such as tuberculosis and malaria were published even earlier. Recently, the HIV care continuum is being used to measure longitudinal improvements and identify bottlenecks in HIV prevention [5,6]. Work to improve the HIV treatment and care continuum as a framework is accumulating [7,8■■,9–11]. One recent article incorporated the time dimension into the model, focusing on delays in each stage much like the STI, ‘person-time-of-infection’ model [12■].

Stage-specific measurement and monitoring strategies are needed in order to identify the most important obstacles and develop ways to overcome them [13]. Understanding how the continuum may vary across populations can help identify where interventions to overcome barriers may be most effective.

ROLE OF KEY POPULATIONS

Risk of acquiring and transmitting STI including HIV is unevenly distributed. Key populations such as MSM; female sex workers (FSWs); male sex workers (MSWs); male-to-female transgender women (transwomen); IDUs and adolescents and young adults with those risk behaviours mentioned previously are at a higher risk of acquiring and transmitting STI, including HIV, than other individuals in other age groups in the general population. Moreover, the societal context these key populations are embedded in tends to be different than that of the general population. Hence, parameters such as the accessibility, acceptability, effectiveness and efficiency of health services tend to differ across these population groups. Consequently, it is important to focus on these key populations and explore similarities and differences in STI/HIV treatment and care continua as they apply to different key populations. A number of investigators have studied the HIV treatment and care continuum in these key populations over the past couple of years.

In HIV epidemics wherein FSWs are key drivers of HIV transmission, the extent to which FSWs engage in the HIV care continuum could have a considerable impact on HIV transmission from FSWs to the wider population [14]. It is important to identify the impact that improving different stages of the FSW HIV care continuum could have on epidemic control. At this point in time, available routine programme data on HIV treatment among FSWs across settings may be inadequate [15■]. Data collected in the context of research studies may not be generalizable. Among transwomen in San Francisco, a high prevalence of HIV, coupled with modest antiretroviral therapy (ART) use and low virological suppression rates were observed, suggesting considerable room for improvement of the HIV treatment continuum in this key population [16].

In the USA, studies of the HIV treatment and care continuum among adolescents and young adults suggest that most interventions to address continuum drop offs have been developed for adults and may not be particularly generalizable to youth struggling with identity

formation, economic hardships and unstable housing [17]. This finding suggests that youth-focused interventions may be necessary to improve the HIV care continuum for adolescents and young adults. The need for the development of an interwoven prevention and treatment continuum approach for adolescents and young adults has been highlighted recently [18]. Such a prevention/treatment continuum would have HIV counselling and testing as its starting point; subsequent interventions for both HIV-negative and HIV-positive youth would be adolescent-centred, take advantage of technologies adolescents utilize in their daily lives and occur within the socioecological context of young people [18]. Young key populations such as young MSM require particular attention in this context.

CLUSTERING OF INFECTIONS AND RISK BEHAVIOURS: IMPLICATIONS FOR TARGETING

Risk behaviours for STI, including HIV, and STI/HIV themselves are unevenly distributed in populations, beyond the existence of key populations. Behaviours and infections tend to cluster by age, socioeconomic status, race-ethnicity, sexual preference, region and census tract [19–21]. Given the concentration of vulnerability, behavioural risk and infections in particular subpopulations, in the context of limited and declining resources allocated for HIV/STI prevention, it is important to target prevention efforts to individuals most likely to transmit infection to others [22].

Although risk behaviours, vulnerability and infections are clustered across parameters such as age, socioeconomic status, race–ethnicity and sexual preference, their geographic or spatial concentration may be the most important, as it provides a practical approach for targeting subpopulations most affected by HIV and other STI. Moreover, spatial approaches may facilitate an additional focus on gaps in the availability and accessibility of healthcare and prevention services. Prevention efforts may target areas wherein vulnerability, risk behaviours and infection concentrate and prevention and healthcare services are unavailable [23].

Recent work consistently supports the programme efficiency of spatially targeted prevention strategies in order to maximize the impact of prevention programmes on HIV/STI epidemics [24–26]. It has been known for many years that in sub-Saharan Africa, HIV infections cluster significantly in space, even within relatively small geographic communities [25]. A recent analysis illustrated extensive local variation in HIV disease burden in sub-Saharan Africa with 15% of the population being located in areas of intense HIV epidemics. There are stark spatial disparities in the epidemic within countries and local areas where both the burden and drivers of the HIV epidemic are concentrated [24–26]. Other work suggests that the epidemic impact and programme efficiency of an intervention such as voluntary medical male circumcision (VMMC) can be improved by prioritizing a subset of the male population for demand creation and service availability [26]. According to this model, maximum programme efficiency can be attained by targeting young sexually active men; geographic areas with higher HIV prevalence than the national average and groups whose sexual behaviour puts them at a higher risk for acquiring HIV [26].

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Spatial targeting can be implemented within smaller geographic areas. In high-incidence populations such as MSM targeting clusters of venues wherein MSM meet sex partners (sex partner meeting places or SPMPs), particularly high viral load venues, may be important for HIV/STI prevention [27■■]. It may be possible to employ spatial targeting at both the macro- and micro levels focusing prevention efforts in geographic areas where infections and risk behaviours cluster, and within those areas targeting venues where the highest-risk individuals such as sex workers, MSM or individuals with high viral loads meet sex partners.

One software package for modelling HIV epidemics and interventions has been applied to epidemics in a variety of economic settings with different epidemic types and disease burdens [28]. These applications suggest that in concentrated epidemics, targeting key populations lead to major efficiency gains, while in generalized epidemics, geographical targeting may be associated with opportunities for efficiency improvement.

The differentiation between targeting key populations and spatial targeting is complicated. The most practical approach to targeting key populations may often be geographic targeting, and conversely, geographic targeting may be a reflection of focusing on spaces wherein key populations concentrate. The differentiation between concentrated and generalized epidemics with respect to their implications for most effective targeting approaches may need to be explored further in future work. Some of the most interesting recent findings regarding spatial patterning of infections highlight the importance of concentrated sub-epidemics in generalized epidemic settings and the spatial concentration of key populations, as discussed below.

Recent studies of high-risk populations defined on the basis of geography show very high levels of both new and existing infections in the slums of Kenya; peri-urban communities of South Africa; and fishing villages in Uganda [29]. These findings were supported by phylogenetic analyses. The difficulty in targeting high-risk individuals is their identification. Moreover, membership in risk groups or key populations is highly dynamic; turnover in these groups is rather high with individuals moving in and out of them frequently. High-risk tends to be a 'stage' in individuals' lifetimes rather than a 'state'. Consequently, one of the most stable aspects of high-risk groups may be their spatial location or geography. It is interesting to note that most pro-grammatic work with key populations involves mapping, in-space as its first step [30]. The 'core group' concept is central to the predominant theoretical framework of transmission dynamics of STI, and it has evolved from studies showing geographic concentration of infections [30]. Moreover, the pattern of geographic concentration of high-risk groups (key populations; high-risk networks) is observed in both concentrated and generalized epidemics [29].

Geographic targeting has been explored for prevention studies as well [31]. A comparison of two rollout plans for antiretroviral-based microbicides in South Africa; a utilitarian plan that minimizes incidence by geographic targeting, and an egalitarian plan that maximizes geographic equity in access to interventions found that the utilitarian plan could prevent approximately 40% more infections than the egalitarian plan; and that geographic resource allocation decisions made at the beginning of a rollout, and the location where the rollout is initiated would be crucial to the success of the intervention.

SPATIAL CLUSTERING OF KEY POPULATIONS

Although in much discourse targeting of key populations and spatial targeting are posed as alternative approaches, evidence suggests that key populations are themselves spatially clustered [32–34]. Spatial analyses of factors associated with HIV infection among young people; geographic clustering of high-risk behaviours in hot spots for sexually transmitted infections; and appraisals of female sex workers, all highlight clustering of behaviours and key populations in geographic space. Moreover, HIV knowledge itself, which may be critical for HIV prevention and treatment, may also be spatially clustered [35].

PREVENTION AND TREATMENT CONTINUA AND GEOGRAPHICAL TARGETING

The continuum framework is now being applied to improve prevention and treatment of HIV and other STI within specific geographic locations. In light of the great spatial heterogeneity in prevention and care continua, application of stage-specific monitoring strategies within defined geographic areas, followed by efforts to improve each stage may have significant impact on HIV and STI prevention. Moreover, attention can be focused on spatial differences in the continuum within geographic areas. The Minnesota Department of Health has calculated an HIV treatment continuum using HIV surveillance data to better understand the HIV epidemic and the disparities that exist in the delivery of care among HIV-positive people in Minnesota [36■■]. The HIV treatment continuum differs for people who live in the 11 county metropolitan areas compared with those who live in Greater Minnesota. Although there were no differences in viral suppression by geography, this analysis will facilitate geographic targeting of stage-specific improvement efforts in HIV prevention and monitoring of changes in the trends over time. Similar approaches targeting resources and prevention may be fruitful in other geographic areas.

Spatial patterns were identified as a strong independent predictor of linkage to care, retention in care and viral suppression [37■■]. Data from a retrospective cohort of people newly diagnosed with HIV, identified from Philadelphia's Enhanced HIV/AIDS Reporting System, were analysed to identify geographic areas with poor outcomes [37■■]. Outcomes of interest included 'not linked to care', 'not linked to care within 90 days' (thereby incorporating the time dimension and the concept of delays), 'retained in care' and 'not virally suppressed'.

This approach to geographic targeting with high granularity, within administrative spatial units, may be effective in improving HIV and STI prevention and treatment continua. The analysis also exemplifies the relevance of the Program Science approach with its 'embedded scientists' studying routinely collected programme data towards improving programme outcomes [38].

LAYERED TARGETING: KEY POPULATIONS, NETWORKS AND GEOGRAPHY

Limited and declining resources allocated for STI prevention including HIV necessitate that prevention efforts be targeted to those most likely to transmit infection [22]. The well established observation that vulnerability, risk behaviours and infections are all clustered in populations facilitates targeting efforts. Moreover, availability, affordability and accessibility of services are also clustered and need to be considered in juxtaposition to the concentration of risk [23]. Targeting may be achieved through a focus on key populations, networks or geography; however, simultaneous application of all three lenses may yield the best results.

Current work in the field includes examples of targeting through multiple lenses. Effectiveness of outreach to key populations such as MSM and FSW for testing and linkage to care can be maximized through the use of social networks in 'hot spots' wherein these populations congregate [39]. Similarly, use of social networking sex seeking apps can facilitate creation of geospatial density maps of MSM [40].

Spatial targeting may be utilized at both the macro and micro levels sequentially. Efforts may be concentrated in areas of high HIV and STI incidence or prevalence and in those areas venues ('hot-spots') where key populations and their high-risk networks congregate may be targeted.

One challenge for geographic targeting is population movement in space. As out-migration removes individuals already reached for prevention and care from the area, in-migration brings new individuals into the area. Turnover in the population of interest renders monitoring the stages of the continuum difficult and the results may be inaccurate [41■■]. Interestingly, migration plays a critical role in the spatial clustering of high-risk networks and key populations, the creation of hot spots and the dissemination of infection [42]. Development of systematic approaches for prevention programmes to reach migrant populations may increase the impact of geographic targeting on limiting the spread of STI, including HIV.

CONCLUSION

Geographical targeting may maximize the effect of the HIV and STI prevention and care continua in improving prevention. Macro-level geographical targeting may maximize allocative efficiency, while micro-level geographical targeting may improve effectiveness of interventions. Geographical targeting automatically ensures that key populations and risk networks are targeted to the extent that they are reflected in space. However, key population and risk network targeting can be employed simultaneously with geographical targeting to maximize impact.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Patricia Jackson for her outstanding support in the preparation of this article.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

■ of special interest

■■ of outstanding interest

1. Gardner EM, McLees MP, Steiner JF, et al. The spectrum of engagement in HIV care and its relevance to test-and-treat strategies for prevention of HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; 52:793–800. [PubMed: 21367734]
2. Giordano TP. The HIV treatment cascade-a new tool in HIV prevention. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175:596–597. [PubMed: 25706538]
3. Aral SO, Wasserheit JN. STD-related healthcare seeking and health service delivery In: Holmes KK, Sparling PF, Mardh P-A, Lemon SM, Stamm WE, Piot P, Wasserheit JN, editors. *Sexually transmitted diseases*, 3rd ed. McGraw Hill; 1999 pp. 1295–1305.
4. Aral SO, Hogben M, Wasserheit JN. STD-related health-care SEEKING and health service delivery In: Holmes KK, Sparling PF, Stamm WE, Piot P, Wasserheit JN, Corey L, Cohen MS, Watts DH, editors. *Sexually transmitted diseases*, 4th ed. McGraw Hill; 2008 pp. 1803–1820.
5. Nosyk B, Montaner JSG, Colley G, et al. The cascade of HIV care in British Columbia, Canada, 1996–2011: a population-based retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; 14:40–49. [PubMed: 24076277]
6. Gardner EM, Young B. The HIV care cascade through time. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; 14:5–6. [PubMed: 24076276]
7. Alemnji G, Fonjungo P, Van Der Pol B, et al. The centrality of laboratory services in the HIV treatment and prevention cascade: the need for effective linkages and referrals in resource-limited settings. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2014; 28:268–273. [PubMed: 24742299]
- 8■■ Powers KA, Miller WC. Building on the HIV cascade: a complementary 'HIV States and Transitions' framework for describing HIV diagnosis, care, and treatment at the population level. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2015; 69:341–347. [PubMed: 25835604] This article proposes a schema complementary to the traditional treatment continuum. The 'HIV States and Transitions' framework is designed to more fully describe the dynamic aspects of HIV testing, care and treatment at the population level.
9. Underwood C, Hendrickson Z, Van Lith LM, et al. Role of community-level factors across the treatment cascade: a critical review. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2014; 66 (Suppl 3):S311–S318. [PubMed: 25007202]
10. Govindasamy D, Meghji J, Negussi EK, et al. Interventions to improve or facilitate linkage to or retention in pre-ART (HIV) care and initiation of ART in low- and middle- income settings – a systematic review. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2014; 17:19032. [PubMed: 25095831]
11. Krentz HB, MacDonald J, Gill MJ. High mortality among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected individuals before accessing or linking to HIV care: a missing outcome in the cascade of care? *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2014; 1–7.
- 12■ MacCarthy S, Hoffmann M, Ferguson L, et al. The HIV care cascade: models, measures and moving forward. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2015; 18:19395. [PubMed: 25735869] Authors suggest specific measures informed by global guidelines to evaluate the scope of and factors associated with delays to each stage of the cascade.
13. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, del Rio C, et al. Addressing the Achilles' heel in the HIV care continuum for the success of a test-and-treat strategy to achieve an AIDS-free generation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 59 (Suppl 1):S21–S27. [PubMed: 24926028]
14. Mountain E, Pickles M, Mishra S, et al. The HIV care cascade and antiretroviral therapy in female sex workers: implications for HIV prevention. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2014; 12:1203–1219. [PubMed: 25174997]

15■■■. Mountain E, Mishra S, Vickerman P, et al. antiretroviral therapy uptake, attrition, adherence and outcomes among HIV-infected female sex workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2014; 9:e105645. [PubMed: 25265158] This review of data on HIV treatment for FSWs highlights the need for the collection of routine programme data on HIV treatment among FSWs across settings.

16. Santos GM, Wilson EC, Rapues J, et al. HIV treatment cascade among transgender-women in a San Francisco respondent driven sampling study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014; 90:430–433. [PubMed: 24714446]

17. Zanoni BC, Mayer KH. The adolescent and young adult HIV cascade of care in the United States: exaggerated health disparities. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2014; 28:128–135. [PubMed: 24601734]

18. Bekker LG, Johnson L, Wallace M, Hosek S. Building our youth for the future. *J Int AIDS Soc* 2014; 18 (2 Suppl 1):20027.

19. Leichliter JS, Chesson HW, Sternberg M, Aral SO. The concentration of sexual behaviours in the USA: a closer examination of subpopulations. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010; 86 (Suppl 3):iii45–iii51. [PubMed: 20924050]

20. Chesson HW, Sternberg M, Leichliter JS, Aral SO. The distribution of chlamydia, gonorrhea and syphilis cases across states and counties in the USA, 2007. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010; 86 (Suppl 3):iii52–iii57. [PubMed: 21098057]

21. Chesson HW, Sternberg M, Leichliter JS, Aral SO. Changes in the state-level distribution of primary and secondary syphilis in the USA, 1985–2007. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010; 86 (Suppl 3):iii58–iii62. [PubMed: 20929854]

22. Aral SO, Cates W Jr. Coverage, context and targeted prevention: optimising our impact. *Sex Transm Infect* 2013; 89:336–340. [PubMed: 23270932]

23. Bernstein KT, Torrone E, Aral SO. Using hot spots to target STD prevention and treatment. ORAL presentation: World 2015 STI & HIV Congress in conjunction with the International Society for STD Research (ISSTDR); 13–16 September 2015; Brisbane, Australia.

24■■■. Cuadros DF, Abu-Raddad LJ. Spatial epidemiology of HIV infection in sub-Saharan Africa: a methodology for identifying populations at high risk of HIV infection in sub-Saharan Africa. ORAL presentation: World 2015 STI & HIV Congress in conjunction with the International Society for STD Research (ISSTDR); 13–16 September 2015; Brisbane, Australia. This comprehensive spatial analysis of the geographical clustering of HIV infection in 20 countries in sub-Saharan Africa shows extensive local variation in HIV disease burden across the region. The results identify priority geographic areas for HIV programming and support the program efficiency of spatially targeted prevention.

25. Tanser F, Barnighausen T, Cooke GS, et al. Localized spatial clustering of HIV infections in a widely disseminated rural South African epidemic. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009; 38:1008–1016. [PubMed: 19261659]

26. Awad SF, Sgaier SK, Abu-Raddad LJ. Enhancing program efficiency of voluntary medical male circumcision for HIV prevention: A novel modelling approach. ORAL presentation: World 2015 STI & HIV Congress in conjunction with the International Society for STD Research (ISSTDR); 13–16 September 2015; Brisbane, Australia.

27■■■. Reilly ML, Schumacher C, Fields EL, et al. Venue affiliation networks of newly diagnosed HIV-infected MSM in Baltimore, Maryland. ORAL presentation: World 2015 STI & HIV Congress in conjunction with the International Society for STD Research (ISSTDR); 13–16 September 2015; Brisbane, Australia. A study of venue affiliation networks shows how identification of high viral load venues is possible using HIV surveillance data and how sex partner meeting places may constitute hot spots that can be targeted by prevention programmes.

28. Kerr CC, Kelly SL, Stuart RM, et al. Achieving maximal health impact with available resources: Balancing the science, economics, and politics of HIV. ORAL presentation: World 2015 STI & HIV Congress in conjunction with the International Society for STD Research (ISSTDR); 13–16 September 2015; Brisbane, Australia.

29. Tanser F, de Oliveira T, Maheu-Giroux M, Bärnighausen T. Concentrated HIV sub-epidemics in generalized epidemic settings. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2014; 9:115–125. [PubMed: 24356328]

30. Wasserheit JN, Aral SO. The dynamic topology of sexually transmitted disease epidemics: implications for prevention strategies. *J Infect Dis* 1996; 174 (Suppl 2):S201–S213. [PubMed: 8843250]

31. Gerberry DJ, Wagner BG, Garcia-Lerma JG, et al. Using geospatial modelling to optimize the rollout of antiretroviral-based preexposure HIV interventions in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Nat Commun* 2014; 5:5454. [PubMed: 25462707]

32. Chimoyi LA, Musenge E. Spatial analysis of factors associated with HIV infection among young people in Uganda, 2011. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14:555. [PubMed: 24898872]

33. Ramjee G, Wand H. Geographical clustering of high risk sexual behaviors in ‘hot-spots’ for HIV and sexually transmitted infections in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. *AIDS Behav* 2014; 18:317–322. [PubMed: 23934268]

34. IKpeazu A, Momah-Haruna A, Madu Mari B, et al. An appraisal of female sex work in Nigeria – implications for designing and scaling up HIV prevention programmes. *PLoS One* 2014; 9:e103619. [PubMed: 25118691]

35. Buehler CP, Blevins M, Ossemane EB, et al. Assessing spatial patterns of HIV knowledge in rural Mozambique using geographic information systems. *Trop Med Int Health* 2015; 20:353–364. [PubMed: 25430042]

36. Minnesota Department of Health. Minnesota treatment cascade for people living with HIV/AIDS – April 2015 <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/idepc/diseases/hiv/hivtreatmentcascade.html> [Accessed 5 May 2015]. This report constitutes an example of how public health departments can use the HIV treatment continuum to identify stage-specific obstacles to HIV prevention in their geographic jurisdictions.

37. Eberhart MG, Yehia BR, Hillier A, et al. Behind the cascade: analyzing spatial patterns along the HIV care continuum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2013; 64 (Suppl 1):S42–S51. [PubMed: 24126447] This study is the first that identifies spatial patterns as a strong independent predictor of linkage to care, retention in care and viral suppression. The methodology used helps identify specific locations in the region where undesirable outcomes such as ‘not linked to care’ are clustered.

38. Blanchard JF, Aral SO. Program science: an initiative to improve the planning, implementation and evaluation of HIV/sexually transmitted infection prevention programmes. *Sex Transm Infect* 2011; 87:2–3. [PubMed: 21126962]

39. Mulongo S, Kapila G, Hatton T, et al. Applying innovative approaches for reaching men who have sex with men and female sex workers in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2015; 68 (Suppl 2):S248–S251. [PubMed: 25723991]

40. Delaney KP, Kramer MR, Waller LA, et al. Using a geolocation social networking application to calculate the population density of sex-seeking gay men for research and prevention services. *J Med Internet Res* 2014; 16:e249. [PubMed: 25406722]

41. Busking SE, Kent JB, Dombrowski JC, Golden MR. Migration distorts surveillance estimates of engagement in care: results of public health investigations of persons who appear to be out of HIV care. *Sex Transm Dis* 2014; 41:35–40. [PubMed: 24326579] This study highlights the impact of in and outmigration on the size of the HIV-infected population. The findings suggest that current estimates of the HIV care continuum may be too pessimistic. Individuals who appear to be out of HIV care may actually have left the area.

42. Lurie MN, Williams BG. Migration and health in southern Africa: 100 years and still circulating. *Health Psychol Behav Med* 2014; 2:34–40. [PubMed: 24653964]

KEY POINTS

- Geographical targeting may maximize effectiveness of the STI/HIV prevention and care continuum.
- Key population and risk network targeting can be employed simultaneously with geographical targeting to maximize impact.
- Spatial targeting may be utilized at both the macro and micro levels sequentially.
- Macro-level spatial targeting may maximize allocative efficiency, while micro-level spatial targeting may improve intervention effectiveness.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript