

Supporting Information

**Estimating Personal Exposures from a Multi-Hazard Sensor Network**

Christopher Zuidema<sup>1,2</sup>, Larissa V. Stebounova<sup>3</sup>, Sinan Sousan<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Alyson Gray<sup>3</sup>, Oliver Stroh<sup>6</sup>, Geb Thomas<sup>6</sup>, Thomas Peters<sup>3</sup> and Kirsten Koehler<sup>\*,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD

<sup>2</sup> Department of Occupational and Environmental Health Sciences, University of Washington School of Public Health

<sup>3</sup> Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Public Health, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC

<sup>5</sup> North Carolina Agromedicine Institute, Greenville, NC

<sup>6</sup> Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

Supporting Information includes:

- 1 page of text
- 2 tables
- 1 figure

## Results for Stationary Routine

Comparisons of stationary routine personal DRI measurements and network-derived exposure estimates are shown in Table S2. The number of five-minute pairs of network-derived exposure estimates and DRI measurements,  $N$ , ranged between 84 (PM, August 2017) and 772 (CO, March 2018). The RMSE for the combined time period was 0.10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for PM, 1 ppm for CO, 29 ppb for O<sub>3</sub> and 1 dBA for noise. For the stationary routine, the fraction of estimates within a given percentage of the reference DRIs was highest for noise, with  $\geq 0.99$  combined network-derived exposure estimates falling within 10% of the personal DRIs. In comparison, 0.07, 0.20 and 0.1 of combined network-derived estimates were within 10% of personal DRI measurements for PM, CO and O<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Correlation between network-derived estimates and personal DRI measurements varied for each hazard as well, and for the combined time period, the Pearson's correlation coefficient,  $r$ , was equal to 0.51 for PM, 0.62 for CO, 0.67 for O<sub>3</sub>, and 0.75 for noise. However, for some specific sampling periods, the correlation was much higher than the combined period. For example, the Pearson's correlation coefficient for PM in August 2017 was equal to 0.82, for CO in December 2017 it was equal to 0.84, and for O<sub>3</sub> in August 2017 it was 0.80.

The difference between network-derived stationary exposure estimates and personal DRI measurements against their mean are presented graphically in Figure S1. For PM, CO, and O<sub>3</sub>, there was a general trend of underestimated exposure estimates with increasing hazard intensity. For noise, there was an even distribution of differences between network-derived exposure estimates and personal DRI measurements, near zero across the mean noise SPL observed.

Supplementary Figure and Table captions:

**Table S1. Low-cost sensors and personal DRIs used to measure occupational hazards.**

**Table S2. Comparison of personal DRI measurements and network-derived exposure estimates (pairs of five-minute averages) for the stationary routine.**

**Figure S1. Bland-Altman plots of the difference between network-derived exposure measurements and personal DRI measurements versus their mean for a) PM, b) CO, c) O<sub>3</sub>, and d) noise. The solid line indicates the mean difference and the dashed lines are the bounds of agreement. Circles are data from August 2017, squares are data from December 2017, and triangles are data from March 2018.**

| <b>Hazard</b>  | <b>Network Sensor</b>                               | <b>Personal DRI</b>                 | <b>OELs</b>         |                     |
|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                | <b>\$10–\$200</b>                                   | <b>\$100–\$15,000</b>               | <b>PEL</b>          | <b>TLV</b>          |
| PM             | GP2Y1010AU0F  | pDR-1500                            | 5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> | 5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> |
|                | (SHARP Electronics, Osaka, Japan)                   | (Thermo Scientific, Franklin, MA)   |                     |                     |
|                | Range <sup>1</sup> : 0.026 – 1.50 mg/m <sup>3</sup> | Range: 0.001-400 mg/m <sup>3</sup>  |                     |                     |
|                | Principle: light-scattering                         | Principle: light-scattering         |                     |                     |
| CO             | CO-B4   | EasyLog CO-300                      | 50 ppm              | 25 ppm              |
|                | (Alphasense Ltd., Essex, UK)                        | (Lascar Electronics Ltd., Erie, PA) |                     |                     |
|                | Range <sup>2</sup> : 0.01-12 ppm                    | Range: 0-300 ppm                    |                     |                     |
|                | Principle: electrochemical                          | Principle: electrochemical          |                     |                     |
| O <sub>3</sub> | OX-B431   | POM                                 | 100 ppb             | 50-200 ppb          |
|                | (Alphasense Ltd., Essex, UK)                        | (2BTechnologies, Boulder, CO)       |                     |                     |
|                | Range <sup>1</sup> : 4-150 ppb                      | Range: 0-10,000 ppb                 |                     |                     |
|                | Principle: electrochemical                          | Principle: UV absorption            |                     |                     |
| Noise          | Custom  | Spark 703+                          | 90 dBA              | 85 dBA              |
|                |   | (Larson-Davis Inc., Depew, NY)      |                     |                     |
|                | Range <sup>1</sup> : 65-94 dBA                      | Range: 40-143 dBA                   |                     |                     |
|                | Principle: sound pressure level                     | Principle: sound pressure level     |                     |                     |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Range characterized as the sensor's limit of detection (LOD) to the highest concentration included in calibration experiments.

<sup>2</sup> Range characterized as the sensor's limit of detection (LOD) to the electronically imposed ceiling.

OEL: Occupational Exposure Limit

PEL: Permissible Exposure Limit set by the Occupational Safety and health Administration (OSHA)

TLV: Threshold Limit Value set by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)

| Hazard         | Time Period     | # Simulated<br>Work Shifts, K | # 5-min<br>Pairs, N | DRI GM<br>(GSD)            | DRI AM<br>(ASD)    | RMSE                     | Pearson<br>Correlation | Fraction within Percent of DRI <sup>1</sup> |             |             |             |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                |                 |                               |                     |                            |                    |                          |                        | 10  | 25          | 50          | 100         |
| PM             |                 |                               |                     |                            |                    | units: mg/m <sup>3</sup> |                        |   |             |             |             |
|                | Aug-2017        | 2                             | 84                  | 0.32 (1.36)                | 0.33 (0.10)        | 0.07                     | 0.82                   | 0   | 0           | 0.14        | 0.65        |
|                | Dec-2017        | 4                             | 351                 | 0.22 (1.47)                | 0.24 (0.09)        | 0.08                     | 0.42                   | 0.11  | 0.35        | 0.55        | 0.7         |
|                | Mar-2018        | 4                             | 380                 | 0.58 (1.67)                | 0.65 (0.27)        | 0.08                     | 0.62                   | 0.05  | 0.22        | 0.78        | 0.99        |
|                | <b>Combined</b> | <b>10</b>                     | <b>815</b>          | <b>0.36 (1.88)</b>         | <b>0.44 (0.28)</b> | <b>0.10</b>              | <b>0.50</b>            | <b>0.07</b>                                 | <b>0.25</b> | <b>0.62</b> | <b>0.83</b> |
| CO             |                 |                               |                     |                            |                    | units: ppm               |                        |   |             |             |             |
|                | Aug-2017        | 3                             | 207                 | 5 (4)                      | 7 (3)              | 1                        | 0.66                   | 0.12  | 0.39        | 0.94        | 0.98        |
|                | Dec-2017        | 6                             | 553                 | 5 (1)                      | 5 (1)              | 0                        | 0.84                   | 0.34  | 0.95        | 1           | 1           |
|                | Mar-2018        | 8                             | 772                 | 5 (3)                      | 6 (3)              | 1                        | 0.56                   | 0.12  | 0.29        | 0.61        | 0.9         |
|                | <b>Combined</b> | <b>17</b>                     | <b>1532</b>         | <b>5 (3)</b>               | <b>6 (2)</b>       | <b>1</b>                 | <b>0.62</b>            | <b>0.2</b>                                  | <b>0.54</b> | <b>0.8</b>  | <b>0.95</b> |
| O <sub>3</sub> |                 |                               |                     |                            |                    | units: ppb               |                        |   |             |             |             |
|                | Aug-2017        | 3                             | 204                 | 28 (2)                     | 32 (15)            | 8                        | 0.80                   | 0   | 0           | 0           | 0           |
|                | Dec-2017        | 2                             | 180                 | 29 (1)                     | 30 (7)             | 25                       | 0.62                   | 0   | 0           | 0           | 0.03        |
|                | Mar-2018        | 8                             | 664                 | 94 (2)                     | 110 (55)           | 33                       | 0.57                   | 0.15  | 0.34        | 0.55        | 0.69        |
|                | <b>Combined</b> | <b>13</b>                     | <b>1048</b>         | <b>60 (2)</b>              | <b>80 (58)</b>     | <b>29</b>                | <b>0.67</b>            | <b>0.1</b>                                  | <b>0.22</b> | <b>0.35</b> | <b>0.45</b> |
| Noise          |                 |                               |                     |                            |                    | units: dBA               |                        |   |             |             |             |
|                | Aug-2017        | 3                             | 207                 | 82 (2) <sup>2</sup>        |                    | 1                        | 0.65                   | 1   | 1           | 1           | 1           |
|                | Dec-2017        | 6                             | 553                 | 80 (3) <sup>2</sup>        |                    | 1                        | 0.77                   | 1   | 1           | 1           | 1           |
|                | Mar-2018        | 8                             | 634                 | 83 (11) <sup>2</sup>       |                    | 1                        | 0.65                   | 0.99  | 1           | 1           | 1           |
|                | <b>Combined</b> | <b>17</b>                     | <b>1394</b>         | <b>82 (10)<sup>2</sup></b> |                    | <b>1</b>                 | <b>0.75</b>            | <b>1</b>                                    | <b>1</b>    | <b>1</b>    | <b>1</b>    |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Fraction of network-derived estimates that were within (±) 10, 25, 50 and 100% of the personal direct-reading instrument (DRI) measurements for each hazard.

<sup>2</sup> Noise calculations were performed on data transformed to the linear scale then transformed back to the dBA scale, and are not technically GMs and GSDs.

GM: geometric mean

GSD: geometric standard deviation

AM: arithmetic mean

ASD: arithmetic standard deviation

RMSE: root mean square error

