

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Reprod Toxicol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 December 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Reprod Toxicol.* 2019 December ; 90: 8–14. doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2019.08.003.

Maternal serum concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances during pregnancy and gestational weight gain: The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children

Kristin J. Marks^{a,b,*}, Zuha Jeddy^{b,c}, W. Dana Flanders^{a,b}, Kate Northstone^d, Abigail Fraser^{d,e}, Antonia M. Calafat^b, Kayoko Kato^b, Terryl J. Hartman^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States

^bNational Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Hwy, Atlanta, GA 30341, United States

^cOak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, 100 ORAU Way, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, United States

^dDepartment of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol BS8 2BN, United Kingdom

^eThe MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol BS8 2BN, United Kingdom

Abstract

Perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are chemicals used in the manufacture of consumer products. PFAS may act as endocrine disruptors, influencing metabolic pathways and weight-related outcomes. Previous studies observed an association between perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) and higher gestational weight gain among under-/normal weight mothers. We analyzed associations of maternal serum pregnancy concentrations of PFAS with gestational weight gain (GWG) using data from 905 women in a subsample of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Women were routinely weighed in antenatal check-ups; absolute GWG was determined by subtracting the first weight measurement from the last. Linear regression was used to explore associations of maternal PFAS concentrations with absolute GWG, stratified by prepregnancy body mass index. Associations of maternal PFOS, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), and perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS) concentrations with absolute GWG were null; 10% higher PFOS was associated with GWG of -0.03 kg (95% CI: -0.11, 0.06) among under-/normal weight mothers. Ten percent higher perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) was associated with a higher GWG of 0.09 kg (95% CI: 0.02, 0.16) among under-/normal weight mothers. Overall, findings suggest no

Conflict of interest

^{*}Corresponding author at: Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States. kristin.marks@emory.edu (K.J. Marks).

None declared.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2019.08.003.

association between maternal PFOA, PFOS, and PFHxS concentrations and GWG, and a weak positive association between maternal PFNA and GWG.

Keywords

ALSPAC; Endocrine disruptors; Perfluoroalkyl substances; Gestational weight gain; Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA); Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS); Perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS); Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)

1. Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are a group of synthetic chemicals used to make fluoropolymer coatings and products that resist heat, oil, stains, grease, and water. Fluoropolymer coatings are used in products such as clothing, furniture, adhesives, food packaging, non-stick cookware, and the insulation of electrical wire. Exposure to PFAS is ubiquitous and occurs through water, food, and indoor air [1]. PFAS can be found in circulating blood, breastmilk, cord blood, and can be transferred to the fetus through the placenta during pregnancy [2–6]. Frequently studied PFAS include perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), and perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA).

PFAS are a public health concern due to their environmental persistence and the ability of some PFAS to bioaccumulate in body tissue [7–10]. Half-lives in human serum are approximately 2–4 years for PFOA, 3–6 years for PFOS, and 5–16 years for PFHxS [11–14]. Data on the human half-life of PFNA are limited, though findings to date suggest that PFNA is more persistent in humans than PFOA [14], which is consistent with toxicokinetic data from rodents [15–19]. The prevalence, persistence, and bioaccumulative nature of PFAS in humans, wildlife, and the environment led to an industry phase out and replacement of some of these chemicals in the United States and Europe [7,20,21].

Studies suggest that PFAS exposure can have growth- and weight-related effects. For example, early life PFOA exposure is associated with an increased risk of childhood adiposity [22]. Data from humans and animals suggest that PFAS exposure may disrupt endocrine signaling [23,24], and alter adipocyte profiles [25] and the expression of adipocyte genes [26]. Because pregnancy is a period of increased susceptibility to the potential adverse effects of environmental contaminants due to physiological and behavioral changes [27], gestational weight gain (GWG) is of particular interest. GWG is an important predictor of a number of neonatal and maternal outcomes, such as those related to birth size and future obesity risk. Inadequate GWG is associated with risk of low birth weight, while excess GWG is associated with macrosomia (excessive birth weight) [28]. Excess GWG is also associated with gestational diabetes, increased weight retention, and obesity in mothers [29,30], and an increased risk of obesity in children [31]. Therefore, the identification of predictors of excess GWG is an opportunity to address the growing global obesity epidemic [32].

To date, few studies have examined the effects of endocrine disrupting chemicals on GWG. One study of American women (n = 218) found that some persistent organic pollutants (POPs), namely p,p'-dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane (p,p'-DDT) and PFOS, were moderately positively associated with GWG [33]. Another study of Canadian women (n = 1609) examined the association of PFAS with GWG, finding that maternal PFOS concentrations were positively associated with GWG among women who began their pregnancy as underweight or normal weight [34].

The current study aimed to explore whether maternal serum concentrations of PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA during pregnancy were associated with absolute gestational weight gain and IOM recommendations for GWG, taking into account pre-pregnancy BMI.

2. Study design and methods

2.1. Study population

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a prospective birth cohort of 14,541 pregnancies. ALSPAC enrolled pregnant women with an expected delivery date between 1 April 1991 and 31 December 1992 from three health districts in the former county of Avon, Great Britain. Information was collected on these parents and children through interviews, mailed questionnaires, and clinic visits. Details on ALSPAC recruitment and study methods have been described elsewhere [35,36].

Selection criteria for this subsample of ALSPAC differed for mothers of daughters and mothers of sons. A nested case–control study was conducted within the ALSPAC cohort to explore associations of prenatal maternal concentrations of various suspected endocrine disrupting chemicals and age at menarche among the daughters. Details of the nested case–control study are described elsewhere [37]. Cases were girls that obtained early menarche, defined as menarche prior to 11.5 years of age. To account for the nested case–control study design, the sample was weighted to adjust for under-representation of the true number of girls without early menarche (weight for cases was 1 and for controls was 15.1). Additional samples from mothers of sons were selected to maximize data on puberty and dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans. At the time maternal serum samples were selected to be analyzed for PFAS concentrations, there were 457 mother–son dyads who had maternal serum samples collected during pregnancy as well as two or more completed puberty questionnaires before the age of 13 and two or more DXA scans for sons. Because of the differences in sampling schemes, data from mothers of daughters and mothers of sons were analyzed separately.

The study website contains details of all the data that are available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool (http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/). We obtained ethical approval for the study from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee, the Local Research Ethics Committees, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Institutional Review Board. Mothers provided written informed consent for participation in the study. Consent for biological samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004). Informed consent for the use of data collected via

questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time.

2.2. Exposure assessment

The following PFAS were included in this analysis: PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA. Maternal serum samples were collected from mothers during pregnancy at median 18 weeks gestation (interquartile range (IQR): 11, 32). Serum concentrations of PFAS are considered to be relatively stable throughout pregnancy [38], therefore the earliest available serum sample was chosen in the event that multiple samples were available. Maternal serum samples were held in storage facilities at the University of Bristol until they were transferred under controlled conditions to the National Center for Environmental Health of the CDC in the United States for analysis. Samples were analyzed by on-line solid-phase extraction coupled to isotope dilution high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry [39,40]. Limits of detection (LODs) were 0.10 ng/mL (PFOA, PFHxS), 0.20 ng/mL (PFOS), and 0.082 ng/mL (PFNA) among the mothers of daughters, while LODs were 0.10 ng/mL for all four PFAS among the mothers of sons. We detected the four PFAS in all samples analyzed.

2.3. Outcome assessment

Women were routinely weighed in antenatal check-ups and six trained research midwives abstracted data from obstetric medical records. Data abstractions included every measurement of weight entered into the medical records and the corresponding gestational age and date (median number of repeat measurements per woman: 10; IQR: 9, 11). The first weight measurement (kg) was subtracted from the last to determine absolute weight gain, which was calculated for all women who had at least one weight measurement prior to 18 weeks and one weight measurement after 28 weeks gestation. The first weight measurement was collected at median 10 weeks (IQR: 8, 11) and the last weight measurement was collected at median 39 weeks (IQR: 38, 40). The time between the last weight measurement and delivery was brief (median: 0 weeks; IQR: 0, 1). The 2009 Institute of Medicine (IOM) definitions of recommended GWG [28] were used to allocate mothers into categories of below recommended, recommended, and above recommended GWG, based on weight measurements from the obstetric records.

Pre-pregnancy BMI was based on model-predicted pre-pregnancy weight (0 weeks gestation) determined through a previously described random effects model that included splines [41] and maternal report of height. Self-reported and predicted pre-pregnancy weight were highly correlated in ALSPAC mothers (Pearson's r = 0.93).

2.4. Covariates

Potential confounders were identified a priori based on previously published literature and biological plausibility. We considered the following as covariates: maternal race (white/non-white), maternal education (classified as < O-level (ordinary level: required, completed at age 16), O-level, or > O-level), predicted pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²), maternal smoking during pregnancy (any/none), maternal age at delivery (years), parity (nulliparous/

multiparous), gestational age at delivery (weeks), and gestational age at sample collection (weeks).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were conducted for each PFAS. Kruskal–Wallis and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests were utilized to compare median PFAS for each level of the covariates and to test for differences in PFAS serum concentrations between levels of recommended GWG.

The exposures studied were PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA, which were modeled as logtransformed continuous variables. Linear regression models were used to examine the association of maternal PFAS with absolute GWG. Because associations between maternal health and GWG often differ by pre-pregnancy BMI, the simplest strategy is to conduct analyses stratified by pre-pregnancy BMI category [28]. This approach produces results in a format similar to IOM guidelines, which are BMI category-specific [42]. Pre-pregnancy BMI, which was calculated using the mother's self-reported height and predicted weight at 0 weeks gestation, was categorized as underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5

BMI < 25 kg/m²), overweight (25 BMI < 30 kg/m²), or obese (> 30 kg/m²), and further collapsed as under-/normal weight and overweight/obese. Polytomous logistic regression models were used to examine the association of maternal PFAS with category of IOM recommended GWG. Residual analyses were conducted as part of evaluating model fit and assumptions. Multiple imputation using the fully conditional specification method was performed to address missing covariate and outcome data [43]; approximately 20% of observations had one or more variables with a missing value for which values were imputed. Given the exploratory nature of this study, we did not adjust for multiple comparisons.

Because the sampling schemes of mother–child dyads in the subsamples differed by sex, we combined results using meta-analytic techniques. We pooled the effect estimates from mothers of sons and mothers of daughters using fixed effects models. Statistical heterogeneity among the subsamples was assessed using the chi-square test (results were defined as heterogeneous for a *p* value < 0.10) [44]. The potential heterogeneity between groups was quantified using the \hat{I}^2 statistic, which describes the percentage of total variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. The \hat{I}^2 statistic is calculated using 100% × (*Q* – degrees of freedom)/*Q*, where *Q* is the Cochran's heterogeneity statistic, which is chi-square distributed [45]. Usually, values of the \hat{I}^2 statistic < 25% are indicative of low heterogeneity, those ranging between 25% and 75% of moderate heterogeneity, and those > 75% of high heterogeneity [45].

Data analysis was performed using SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC) with the exception of the metaanalytic procedures, which were performed using Stata 15 (College Station, TX).

3. Results

The study sample comprised predominantly white mothers (98.7%) who attained ordinary levels of education or higher (78.9%) (percentages are among mothers with non-missing data for each characteristic) (Table 1). Most mothers entered pregnancy at a normal BMI (67.6%) and were 25 years or older at delivery (83.7%). Nearly all mothers delivered at term

Among mothers of sons and mothers of daughters, median maternal concentrations of all four PFAS under study were higher among nulliparous women (Table 1). Among mothers of daughters, median maternal PFOA and PFOS concentrations were higher among white mothers than non-white mothers (PFOA: 3.8 versus 2.3 ng/mL; PFOS: 19.9 versus 14.6 ng/mL) and median maternal PFOS concentrations were lower among mothers who smoked during pregnancy (PFOS: 17.2 versus 20.5 ng/mL).

Of the 391 mothers of sons with data on weight gain, 44% gained adequate weight, 29% gained too little weight, and 27% gained too much weight according to IOM guidelines (Table 1). Of the 379 mothers of daughters with data on weight gain, 37% gained adequate weight, 32% gained too little weight, and 31% gained too much weight according to IOM guidelines. Median maternal PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, and PFNA concentrations did not differ by category of IOM recommended GWG.

In models considering absolute GWG as the outcome, there was little evidence of associations of PFAS with GWG among mothers who began their pregnancies as under- or normal weight (Table 2). For under- and normal weight mothers of daughters, 10% higher PFNA was associated with a higher GWG of 0.16 kg (95% CI: 0.06, 0.26), though it should be noted that the concentration range for PFNA is rather narrow (median: 0.5, IQR: 0.4, 0.7) (Supplemental Table 1). When the mothers of sons and mothers of daughters were combined through meta-analytic techniques, the positive association remained: 10% higher PFNA was associated with a higher GWG of 0.09 kg (95% CI: 0.02, 0.16), though there was considerable heterogeneity present (\hat{F} : 75.3%) (Table 2).

Associations among overweight/obese mothers were null. A weak negative association was observed between PFOA and absolute GWG among overweight/obese weight mothers of daughters (Table 2; Supplemental Table 1). For every 10% higher PFOA, GWG was -0.28 kg (95% CI: -0.57, 0.01) lower among overweight/obese mothers of daughters. When the mothers of sons and mothers of daughters were combined through meta-analytic techniques, the weak negative association remained: 10% higher PFOA was associated with a lower GWG of -0.20 kg (95% CI: -0.41, 0.02) (\hat{P} : 0.0%).

We conducted multiple sensitivity analyses of the categorization of pre-pregnancy BMI in analyses of absolute GWG. In Table 3, we examined four categories of BMI: underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5 BMI < 25 kg/m²), overweight (25 BMI < 30 kg/m²), and obese (> 30 kg/m²). When BMI was split into four categories, associations and direction were comparable to when BMI was dichotomized (under-/normal weight versus overweight/obese). For example, the weak association of PFNA and absolute gestational weight among under-/normal weight mothers (0.09 kg (95% CI: 0.02, 0.16)) persisted when examining under- and normal weight mothers separately (0.24 kg (95% CI: 0.00, 0.48) and 0.07 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.15), respectively). Results stratified by infant sex are presented in Supplemental Table 2.

Associations of maternal PFAS with IOM recommended GWG were largely null in adjusted models (Table 4), with the exception of PFOS and GWG below the recommendations among mothers of daughters. For 10% higher PFOS, mothers of daughters were 5% more likely (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.09) to gain below the recommended amount of weight, compared to mothers with adequate weight gain (Supplemental Table 3). When the mothers of sons and mothers of daughters were combined through meta-analytic techniques, this association remained: for 10% higher PFOS, mothers were 3% more likely to gain below the recommended amount of weight (95% CI: 1.00, 1.07), though there was moderate heterogeneity present (\hat{P} : 50.6%) (Table 4).

4. Discussion

We hypothesized that high maternal concentrations of PFAS in pregnancy would increase risk of gaining excessive weight throughout pregnancy since it has previously been shown that PFAS can alter the cell signaling involved in weight homeostasis, particularly as it relates to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors involved in adipogenesis [46,47]. However, results from the present study were largely null. While we observed a suggestion that under- and normal weight women may gain slightly more weight with higher maternal PFNA concentrations, this may be due to noise as the association was weak and must be interpreted with caution as the concentration range for PFNA is quite narrow (median: 0.5, IQR: 0.4, 0.7).

To put these findings in the context of previous studies of PFAS and GWG, two studies have found a significant association of PFOS with GWG, but no other PFAS. One study (n = 1609) reported that higher maternal PFOS concentrations in the first trimester were associated with modestly higher GWG among Canadian women with underweight or normal weight pre-pregnancy BMI ($< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$), but not among women with overweight or obese pre-pregnancy BMI ($< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$). This study did not examine PFNA, and maternal PFOA, PFOS, and PFHxS concentrations were notably lower in this modern Canadian study population than ALSPAC [34]. The second study (n = 218), which used self-reported GWG, also found that PFOS (collected pre-pregnancy) was moderately associated with GWG among women starting pregnancy with an underweight or normal weight BMI [33]. The maternal PFAS concentrations in this contemporary U.S. population were similar to ALSPAC concentrations, with the exception of PFNA, which was substantially lower among ALSPAC mothers [48]. Lastly, mothers from the U.S. and Canada were more prone to gaining above the IOM recommended GWG (40.8% (U.S.) and 56.5% (Canada) versus 29.0% in ALSPAC) [33,34].

Among women with underweight or normal weight pre-pregnancy BMI (< 25 kg/m²) in our study, PFNA, not PFOS, was associated with modestly higher GWG. That said, the remainder of our results are in line with previous studies: there appears to be no association of PFOA and PFHxS with GWG, regardless of pre-pregnancy BMI. While we were not able to replicate previous findings of an association of PFOS with GWG among mothers with underweight or normal weight pre-pregnancy BMI in our study of British mothers, we did observe a similar direction of association among women with underweight or normal weight pre-pregnancy BMI instead of PFOS). There were notable

differences in measurement that could account for the varying results observed, such as different timing of PFAS measurement (pre-pregnancy versus during pregnancy), different methods of collecting GWG data (self-reported versus medical record abstraction), different outcomes of GWG (absolute weight gain, rate of weight gain, adherence to IOM guidelines, etc.) and control for more confounding factors.

Previous ALSPAC studies of maternal PFAS concentrations during pregnancy have found growth and weight-related effects among offspring. Prenatal PFAS exposure, namely PFOS, was associated with smaller size at birth (weight, crown to heel length, and head circumference) [49,50], but larger size at 20 months (for PFOS) [49]. Additionally, prenatal exposure to PFOA and PFOS was associated with girls' percent total body fat at age nine within some strata of maternal education status [51]. While the previous studies have focused on prenatal exposure to PFAS and observed subtle disruptions to endocrine signaling and altered adipocyte profiles, the present study does not show the same effect with GWG among mothers.

Our study has several strengths, including the substantial covariate data available, prospective timing, and repeat weight measurements during pregnancy collected as part of routine care. Limitations include potential confounding by gestational transfer of PFAS to the fetus or maternal changes in serum volume [52], the inability to identify maternal and fetal contributions to GWG, the potential for dietary patterns to confound the association of PFAS with GWG, not examining the synergy between or cumulative effect of the PFAS under study, and the unclear temporal relationship between PFAS measurements and GWG. Additionally, it is possible that there was limited power in the overweight and obese group. Lastly, the concentration range for PFNA in this study was rather narrow, so caution should be taken in interpreting those results.

Another notable limitation is that the subsamples of mothers of sons and mothers of daughters used in this study differed from the overall ALSPAC cohort on some factors (data not shown). For example, mothers in our subsample were more likely to be highly educated and older than mothers in the overall cohort. These differences are unsurprising given that to be selected for our subsamples, children had to still be engaged with the study during puberty (completing two or more puberty questionnaires), and sons were required to also have two or more DXA scans, which required a clinic visit. Nonparticipation and loss to follow-up tends to be more pronounced among the less advantaged and less healthy [53–60].

Another limitation of studies of PFAS and other endocrine disrupting chemicals measured in blood is the concern about reverse causality and confounding because the outcome of interest may affect the measured biomarker level and there may be shared biological determinants of the exposure measure and outcome (e.g., hemodynamics), respectively [61]. Much of the work addressing this issue has been situated in studies of PFAS and birth size [62–67]. These previous studies have shown that reverse causality and confounding are less of a concern when there is a wide range of exposure and when blood samples are collected early in pregnancy [65,66]. We were able to address such concerns through design and analysis in our study. The majority of samples were collected early in pregnancy: one-third of mothers had blood sampled in the first 12 weeks and the median age of sample collection

was 18 weeks gestation, and we adjusted for gestational age (in weeks) of sample collection in our analyses.

Our exploratory examination of the relationship of maternal PFAS concentrations during pregnancy with GWG suggests that PFAS is not associated with absolute or recommended GWG. While we observed that under- and normal weight women may gain slightly more weight with higher maternal PFNA concentrations, it is possible that these findings are driven by chance. Complex pathways between maternal chemical burdens and GWG may exist, and further research in diverse populations is warranted to better understand this relationship and its potential implications for maternal and childhood obesity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Funding sources: The UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website. This work was specifically funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (AY5350). This publication is the work of the authors and they will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Funding

The UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website. This work was specifically funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (AY5350). AF is supported by a fellowship from the UK MRC (MR/M009351/1).

References

- Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry, Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls (Draft for Public Comment), US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service Atlanta, GA, 2009.
- [2]. Apelberg BJ, Witter FR, Herbstman JB, Calafat AM, Halden RU, Needham LL, Goldman LR, Cord serum concentrations of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) in relation to weight and size at birth, Environ. Health Perspect 115 (11) (2007) 1670. [PubMed: 18008002]
- [3]. Calafat AM, Wong L-Y, Kuklenyik Z, Reidy JA, Needham LL, Polyfluoroalkyl chemicals in the US population: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2004 and comparisons with NHANES 1999–2000, Environ. Health Perspect 115 (11) (2007) 1596. [PubMed: 18007991]
- [4]. von Ehrenstein OS, Fenton SE, Kato K, Kuklenyik Z, Calafat AM, Hines EP, Polyfluoroalkyl chemicals in the serum and milk of breastfeeding women, Reprod. Toxicol 27 (3) (2009) 239– 245. [PubMed: 19429402]

- [5]. Monroy R, Morrison K, Teo K, Atkinson S, Kubwabo C, Stewart B, Foster WG, Serum levels of perfluoroalkyl compounds in human maternal and umbilical cord blood samples, Environ. Res 108 (1) (2008) 56–62. [PubMed: 18649879]
- [6]. Inoue K, Okada F, Ito R, Kato S, Sasaki S, Nakajima S, Uno A, Saijo Y, Sata F, Yoshimura Y, Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and related perfluorinated compounds in human maternal and cord blood samples: assessment of PFOS exposure in a susceptible population during pregnancy, Environ. Health Perspect 112 (11) (2004) 1204. [PubMed: 15289168]
- [7]. Paul AG, Jones KC, Sweetman AJ, A first global production, emission, and environmental inventory for perfluorooctane sulfonate, Environ. Sci. Technol 43 (2) (2008) 386–392.
- [8]. Lau C, Butenhoff JL, Rogers JM, The developmental toxicity of perfluoroalkyl acids and their derivatives, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol 198 (2) (2004) 231–241. [PubMed: 15236955]
- [9]. Harbison RD, Bourgeois MM, Johnson GT, Hamilton and Hardy's Industrial Toxicology, John Wiley & Sons, 2015.
- [10]. Wang Z, Cousins IT, Scheringer M, Hungerbühler K, Fluorinated alternatives to long-chain perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids (PFCAs), perfluoroalkane sulfonic acids (PFSAs) and their potential precursors, Environ. Int 60 (2013) 242–248. [PubMed: 24660230]
- [11]. Olsen GW, Burris JM, Ehresman DJ, Froehlich JW, Seacat AM, Butenhoff JL, Zobel LR, Halflife of serum elimination of perfluorooctanesulfonate, perfluorohexanesulfonate, and perfluorooctanoate in retired fluorochemical production workers, Environ. Health Perspect 115 (9) (2007) 1298. [PubMed: 17805419]
- [12]. Bartell SM, Calafat AM, Lyu C, Kato K, Ryan PB, Steenland K, Rate of decline in serum PFOA concentrations after granular activated carbon filtration at two public water systems in Ohio and West Virginia, Environ. Health Perspect 118 (2) (2010) 222–228. [PubMed: 20123620]
- [13]. Worley RR, Moore SM, Tierney BC, Ye X, Calafat AM, Campbell S, Woudneh MB, Fisher J, Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in human serum and urine samples from a residentially exposed community, Environ. Int 106 (2017) 135–143. [PubMed: 28645013]
- [14]. Zhang Y, Beesoon S, Zhu L, Martin JW, Biomonitoring of perfluoroalkyl acids in human urine and estimates of biological half-life, Environ. Sci. Technol 47 (18) (2013) 10619–10627.
 [PubMed: 23980546]
- [15]. Lou I, Wambaugh C, Lindstrom A, Strynar M, Zehr R, Setzer R, H, Barton, modeling single and repeated dose pharmacokinetics of PFOA in mice, Toxicol. Sci 107 (2) (2009) 331–341.
 [PubMed: 19005225]
- [16]. Lau C, Anitole K, Hodes C, Lai D, Pfahles-Hutchens A, Seed J, Perfluoroalkyl acids: a review of monitoring and toxicological findings, Toxicol. Sci 99 (2) (2007) 366–394. [PubMed: 17519394]
- [17]. Ohmori K, Kudo N, Katayama K, Kawashima Y, Comparison of the toxicokinetics between perfluorocarboxylic acids with different carbon chain length, Toxicology 184 (2) (2003) 135– 140. [PubMed: 12499116]
- [18]. Tatum-Gibbs K, Wambaugh JF, Das KP, Zehr RD, Strynar MJ, Lindstrom AB, Delinsky A, Lau C, Comparative pharmacokinetics of perfluorononanoic acid in rat and mouse, Toxicology 281 (1) (2011) 48–55. [PubMed: 21237237]
- [19]. Li Y, Fletcher T, Mucs D, Scott K, Lindh CH, Tallving P, Jakobsson K, Half-lives of PFOS, PFHxS and PFOA after end of exposure to contaminated drinking water, Occup. Environ. Med 75 (1) (2018) 46–51. [PubMed: 29133598]
- [20]. Prevedouros K, Cousins IT, Buck RC, Korzeniowski SH, Sources, fate and transport of perfluorocarboxylates, Environ. Sci. Technol 40 (1) (2006) 32–44. [PubMed: 16433330]
- [21]. US Environmental Protection Agency, 2015 PFOA Stewardship Program, United States Environmental Protection Agency Homepage, 2010.
- [22]. Liu P, Yang F, Wang Y, Yuan Z, Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) exposure in early life increases risk of childhood adiposity: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15 (10) (2018).
- [23]. Hines EP, White SS, Stanko JP, Gibbs-Flournoy EA, Lau C, Fenton SE, Phenotypic dichotomy following developmental exposure to perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) in female CD-1 mice: low doses induce elevated serum leptin and insulin, and overweight in mid-life, Mol. Cell. Endocrinol 304 (1) (2009) 97–105. [PubMed: 19433254]

- [24]. Kjeldsen LS, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC, Perfluorinated compounds affect the function of sex hormone receptors, Environ. Sci. Poll. Res 20 (11) (2013) 8031–8044.
- [25]. Halldorsson TI, Rytter D, Haug LS, Bech BH, Danielsen I, Becher G, Henriksen TB, Olsen SF, Prenatal exposure to perfluorooctanoate and risk of overweight at 20 years of age: a prospective cohort study, Environ. Health Perspect 120 (5) (2012) 668. [PubMed: 22306490]
- [26]. Watkins AM, Wood CR, Lin MT, Abbott BD, The effects of perfluorinated chemicals on adipocyte differentiation in vitro, Mol. Cell. Endocrinol 400 (2015) 90–101. [PubMed: 25448844]
- [27]. Moya J, Phillips L, Sanford J, Wooton M, Gregg A, Schuda L, A review of physiological and behavioral changes during pregnancy and lactation: potential exposure factors and data gaps, J. Expos. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol 24 (5) (2014) 449–458.
- [28]. Yaktine AL, Rasmussen KM, Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines, National Academies Press, 2009.
- [29]. Mannan M, Doi SA, Mamun AA, Association between weight gain during pregnancy and postpartum weight retention and obesity: a bias-adjusted meta-analysis, Nutr. Rev 71 (6) (2013) 343–352. [PubMed: 23731445]
- [30]. Hedderson MM, Gunderson EP, Ferrara A, Gestational weight gain and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus, Obstetr. Gynecol 115 (3) (2010) 597–604.
- [31]. Mamun A, Mannan M, Gestational weight gain in relation to offspring obesity over the life course: a systematic review and bias-adjusted meta-analysis, Obes. Rev 15 (4) (2014) 338–347.
 [PubMed: 24321007]
- [32]. Williams EP, Mesidor M, Winters K, Dubbert PM, Wyatt SB, Overweight and obesity: prevalence, consequences, and causes of a growing public health problem, Curr. Obes. Rep 4 (3) (2015) 363–370. [PubMed: 26627494]
- [33]. Jaacks LM, Boyd Barr D, Sundaram R, Grewal J, Zhang C, Buck Louis GM, Pre-pregnancy maternal exposure to persistent organic pollutants and gestational weight gain: a prospective cohort study, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13 (9) (2016) 905.
- [34]. Ashley-Martin J, Dodds L, Arbuckle TE, Morisset A-S, Fisher M, Bouchard MF, Shapiro GD, Ettinger AS, Monnier P, Dallaire R, Maternal and neonatal levels of perfluoroalkyl substances in relation to gestational weight gain, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 13 (1) (2016) 146.
- [35]. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, Molloy L, Ness A, Ring S, Davey Smith G, Cohort profile: the 'children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, Int. J. Epidemiol 42 (1) (2013) 111–127. [PubMed: 22507743]
- [36]. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, Henderson J, Macleod J, Molloy L, Ness A, Ring S, Nelson SM, Lawlor DA, Cohort profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort, Int. J. Epidemiol 42 (1) (2013) 97–110. [PubMed: 22507742]
- [37]. Christensen KY, Maisonet M, Rubin C, Holmes A, Calafat AM, Kato K, Flanders WD, Heron J, McGeehin MA, Marcus M, Exposure to polyfluoroalkyl chemicals during pregnancy is not associated with offspring age at menarche in a contemporary British cohort, Environ. Int 37 (1) (2011) 129–135. [PubMed: 20843552]
- [38]. Fei C, McLaughlin JK, Tarone RE, Olsen J, Perfluorinated chemicals and fetal growth: a study within the Danish National Birth Cohort, Environ. Health Perspect 115 (11) (2007) 1677. [PubMed: 18008003]
- [39]. Kuklenyik Z, Needham LL, Calafat AM, Measurement of 18 perfluorinated organic acids and amides in human serum using on-line solid-phase extraction, Anal. Chem 77 (18) (2005) 6085– 6091. [PubMed: 16159145]
- [40]. Kato K, Basden BJ, Needham LL, Calafat AM, Improved selectivity for the analysis of maternal serum and cord serum for polyfluoroalkyl chemicals, J. Chromatogr. A 1218 (15) (2011) 2133– 2137. [PubMed: 21084089]
- [41]. Fraser A, Tilling K, Macdonald-Wallis C, Hughes R, Sattar N, Nelson SM, Lawlor DA, Associations of gestational weight gain with maternal body mass index, waist circumference, and

blood pressure measured 16 y after pregnancy: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), Am. J. Clin. Nutr 93 (6) (2011) 1285–1292. [PubMed: 21471282]

- [42]. Hutcheon JA, Bodnar LM, Good practices for observational studies of maternal weight and weight gain in pregnancy, Paediatr. Perinatal Epidemiol 32 (2) (2018) 152–160.
- [43]. Liu Y, De A, Multiple imputation by fully conditional specification for dealing with missing data in a large epidemiologic study, Int. J. Stat. Med. Res 4 (3) (2015) 287. [PubMed: 27429686]
- [44]. Greenland S, Quantitative methods in the review of epidemiologic literature, Epidemiol. Rev 9 (1987) 1–30. [PubMed: 3678409]
- [45]. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG, Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses, BMJ (Clin. Res. Ed.) 327 (7414) (2003) 557–560.
- [46]. Grun F, Blumberg B, Endocrine disrupters as obesogens, Mol. Cell. Endocrinol 304 (1–2) (2009) 19–29. [PubMed: 19433244]
- [47]. Heindel JJ, Newbold R, Schug TT, Endocrine disruptors and obesity, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol 11 (11) (2015) 653–661. [PubMed: 26391979]
- [48]. Louis GM, Sapra KJ, Barr DB, Lu Z, Sundaram R, Preconception perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances and incident pregnancy loss, LIFE Study, Reprod. Toxicol. (Elmsford, N.Y.) 65 (2016) 11–17.
- [49]. Maisonet M, Terrell ML, McGeehin MA, Christensen KY, Holmes A, Calafat AM, Marcus M, Maternal concentrations of polyfluoroalkyl compounds during pregnancy and fetal and postnatal growth in British girls, Environ. Health Perspect 120 (10) (2012) 1432–1437. [PubMed: 22935244]
- [50]. Marks K, Cutler A, Jeddy Z, Northstone K, Kato K, Hartman T, Maternal serum concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances and birth size in British boys, Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 222 (5) (2018) 889–895.
- [51]. Hartman TJ, Calafat AM, Holmes AK, Marcus M, Northstone K, Flanders WD, Kato K, Taylor EV, Prenatal exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances and body fatness in girls, Childhood Obes. (Print) 13 (3) (2017) 222–230.
- [52]. Kato K, Wong LY, Chen A, Dunbar C, Webster GM, Lanphear BP, Calafat AM, Changes in serum concentrations of maternal poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances over the course of pregnancy and predictors of exposure in a multiethnic cohort of Cincinnati, Ohio pregnant women during 2003–2006, Environ. Sci. Technol 48 (16) (2014) 9600–9608. [PubMed: 25026485]
- [53]. Strandhagen E, Berg C, Lissner L, Nunez L, Rosengren A, Toren K, Thelle DS, Selection bias in a population survey with registry linkage: potential effect on socioeconomic gradient in cardiovascular risk, Eur. J. Epidemiol 25 (3) (2010) 163–172. [PubMed: 20127393]
- [54]. Goldberg M, Chastang JF, Leclerc A, Zins M, Bonenfant S, Bugel I, Kaniewski N, Schmaus A, Niedhammer I, Piciotti M, Chevalier A, Godard C, Imbernon E, Socioeconomic, demographic, occupational, and health factors associated with participation in a long-term epidemiologic survey: a prospective study of the French GAZEL cohort and its target population, Am. J. Epidemiol 154 (4) (2001) 373–384. [PubMed: 11495861]
- [55]. Wilhelmsen L, Ljungberg S, Wedel H, Werko L, A comparison between participants and nonparticipants in a primary preventive trial, J. Chronic Dis 29 (5) (1976) 331–339. [PubMed: 939796]
- [56]. Heilbrun LK, Nomura A, Stemmermann GN, The effects of nonresponse in a prospective study of cancer, Am. J. Epidemiol 116 (2) (1982) 353–363. [PubMed: 7114044]
- [57]. Strandberg TE, Salomaa VV, Vanhanen HT, Naukkarinen VA, Sarna SJ, Miettinen TA, Mortality in participants and non-participants of a multifactorial prevention study of cardiovascular diseases: a 28 year follow up of the Helsinki Businessmen Study, Br. Heart J 74 (4) (1995) 449– 454. [PubMed: 7488463]
- [58]. Barchielli A, Balzi D, Nine-year follow-up of a survey on smoking habits in Florence (Italy): higher mortality among non-responders, Int. J. Epidemiol 31 (5) (2002) 1038–1042. [PubMed: 12435781]

- [59]. Knudsen AK, Hotopf M, Skogen JC, Overland S, Mykletun A, The health status of nonparticipants in a population-based health study: the Hordaland Health Study, Am. J. Epidemiol 172 (11) (2010) 1306–1314. [PubMed: 20843863]
- [60]. Reilly JJ, Kelly J, Long-term impact of overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence on morbidity and premature mortality in adulthood: systematic review, Int. J. Obes. (2005) 35 (7) (2011) 891–898.
- [61]. Savitz DA, Invited commentary: interpreting associations between exposure biomarkers and pregnancy outcome, Am. J. Epidemiol 179 (5) (2014) 545–547. [PubMed: 24401560]
- [62]. Buck Louis GM, Zhai S, Smarr MM, Grewal J, Zhang C, Grantz KL, Hinkle SN, Sundaram R, Lee S, Honda M, Oh J, Kannan K, Endocrine disruptors and neonatal anthropometry, NICHD Fetal Growth Studies – Singletons, Environ. Int 119 (2018) 515–526. [PubMed: 30055518]
- [63]. Johnson PI, Sutton P, Atchley DS, Koustas E, Lam J, Sen S, Robinson KA, Axelrad DA, Woodruff TJ, The Navigation Guide – evidence-based medicine meets environmental health: systematic review of human evidence for PFOA effects on fetal growth, Environ. Health Perspect 122 (10) (2014) 1028–1039. [PubMed: 24968388]
- [64]. Negri E, Metruccio F, Guercio V, Tosti L, Benfenati E, Bonzi R, La Vecchia C, Moretto A, Exposure to PFOA and PFOS and fetal growth: a critical merging of toxicological and epidemiological data, Crit. Rev. Toxicol 47 (6) (2017) 482–508. [PubMed: 28617200]
- [65]. Sagiv SK, Rifas-Shiman SL, Fleisch AF, Webster TF, Calafat AM, Ye X, Gillman MW, Oken E, Early-pregnancy plasma concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances and birth outcomes in project viva: confounded by pregnancy hemodynamics? Am. J. Epidemiol 187 (4) (2018) 793– 802. [PubMed: 29155920]
- [66]. Steenland K, Barry V, Savitz D, Serum perfluorooctanoic acid and birthweight: an updated metaanalysis with bias analysis, Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.) 29 (6) (2018) 765–776.
- [67]. Verner MA, Loccisano AE, Morken NH, Yoon M, Wu H, McDougall R, Maisonet M, Marcus M, Kishi R, Miyashita C, Chen MH, Hsieh WS, Andersen ME, Clewell HJ 3rd, Longnecker MP, Associations of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) with lower birth weight: an evaluation of potential confounding by glomerular filtration rate using a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model (PBPK), Environ. Health Perspect 123 (12) (2015) 1317–1324. [PubMed: 26008903]

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Characteristics of the mothers of Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) (1991–1992) sub-study population (N = 905) by maternal serum concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substance (ng/mL).

Marks et al.

srs of sons (N = 457)II $457 (100)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ nal race nb p nal race nb $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ ite 441^b $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ i-white $< 5^b$ $2.1 (2.0-6.3)$ i-white $< 5^b$ $2.1 (2.0-6.3)$ nal education ^C $n = 446$ $2.1 (2.0-6.3)$ nal education ^C $n = 446$ $2.1 (2.0-6.3)$ level $96 (21.5)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ -level $154 (34.5)$ $3.1 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -sound veight) $154 (34.5)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -sound veight) $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -sound veight) $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-1evel$ $196 (41.11)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-1evel$ $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-1evel$ $28 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-1evel$ $397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ $-1evel$ $114 (10.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-1evel$ $397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ $-1evel$ 1146.6 $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $-1evel$ 1146.6 $2.9 (2.2-3.7$	Characteristic ^a	Frequency n (%)	PFOA Median (IQR)	PFOS Median (IQR)	PFHxS Median (IQR)	PFNA Median (IQR)
II 457 (100) 3.0 (2.3-3.8) nal race n^b 3.0 (2.3-3.8) ite h^b 3.0 (2.3-3.8) -white 55^b 2.1 (2.0-6.3) and education ^C $n = 446$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8) -white $<5^b$ 2.1 (2.0-6.3) -white $<5^b$ 2.1 (2.0-6.3) -white $> <5^b$ 2.1 (2.0-6.3) -white $> <5^b$ 2.1 (2.0-6.3) -white $> <5^b$ 2.1 (2.0-6.3) -level $96 (21.5)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ -level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $196 (41.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $3.0 (2.3-3.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ -dobeso $2.2 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.6$	Mothers of sons $(N = 457)$					
nal race n^b ite n^b 441^b $30(2.3-3.8)$ -white $<5^b$ $2.1(2.0-6.3)$ nal education ^C $n = 446$ $2.1(2.0-6.3)$ nal education ^C $n = 446$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ -level $96(21.5)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ wel $154(34.5)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ -level $196(43.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ wel $154(34.5)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ -level $196(43.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ -sel $196(43.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ egnancy BMI, kg/m ² $46(11.1)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ -24.99 (normal weight) $63(15.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $5-24.99$ (normal weight) $63(15.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $600 ese$ $22(5.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $100 ese$ $22(5.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $100 ese$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ $100 ese$ $2.8(1.1.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ $100 ese$ $2.8(1.5)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $100 ese$ $2.8(1.5)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $100 ese$ $3.0(2.4-3.6)$ $1.64(1.6)$ $100 ese$ $3.0(2.4-3.6)$ $1.64(1.6)$ $100 al age at delivery, vearsn = 453100 al age, weeks26(5.7)3.4(2.4-3.6)100 al age, weeks26(5.7)3.4(2.4-3.6)100 al age, weeks2.9(2.3-3.8)100 al age, weeks2.9(2.3-3.8)$	Overall	457 (100)	3.0 (2.3–3.8)	13.8 (11.0–17.7)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
ite 441^b $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ $-white$ $< 5^b$ $2.1(2.0-6.3)$ $-white$ $< 5^b$ $2.1(2.0-6.3)$ $nal education^c$ $n = 446$ $n = 446$ $-level$ $96(21.5)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $-level$ $154(34.5)$ $3.1(2.3-3.8)$ $-level$ $156(43.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.9)$ $egnancy BML, kg/m^2$ $196(43.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.9)$ $egnancy BML, kg/m^2$ $196(43.9)$ $3.0(2.3-3.9)$ -524.99 (normal weight) $282(68.3)$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ $5-24.99$ (normal weight) $63(15.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $5-24.99$ (normal weight) $63(15.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ $5-24.99$ (normal weight) $63(15.3)$ $2.8(2.4-3.6)$ 13 smoking $n = 441$ $3.0(2.3-3.8)$ $al smokingn = 4413.0(2.3-3.8)al smokingn = 4573.0(2.3-3.8)al sm$	Maternal race	p^{p}				
$-w$ hite $< 5^b$ $2.1 (2.0-6.3)$ nal education c $n = 446$ nal education c $n = 446$ $-level$ $96 (21.5)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $-level$ $154 (3.45)$ $3.1 (2.3-3.9)$ $-level$ $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.9)$ $-level$ $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.9)$ $egnancy BMI, kg/m^2$ $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-24.99 (normal weight)$ $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.2-3.7)$ $5-24.99 (normal weight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $cobese)$ $222 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $299 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $al smoking$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $al smoking$ $n = 457$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $al smoking$ $2.9 (5.7)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $al smoking$ $2.0 (2.7)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $al smoking$ $2.0 (2.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ <td>White</td> <td>$_{441}^{b}$</td> <td>3.0 (2.3–3.8)</td> <td>13.9 (11.0–17.9)</td> <td>1.9 (1.4–2.5)</td> <td>0.4 (0.3–0.5)</td>	White	$_{441}^{b}$	3.0 (2.3–3.8)	13.9 (11.0–17.9)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
nal education C $n = 446$ -level96 (21.5)2.8 (2.4-3.6)-level154 (34.5)3.1 (2.3-3.8)-level196 (43.9)3.0 (2.3-3.9)-egnancy BMI, kg/m ² 46 (11.1)3.0 (2.3-3.8)-5.5 (underweight)46 (11.1)3.0 (2.3-3.8)-5-24.99 (normal weight)282 (68.3)3.0 (2.3-3.8)-5-24.99 (normal weight)282 (68.3)3.0 (2.3-3.8)-5-24.99 (normal weight)282 (68.3)3.0 (2.3-3.8)-5-24.99 (normal weight)282 (68.3)3.0 (2.3-3.8)al smoking $n = 441$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8)al smoking $n = 441$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8)al smoking $n = 441$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8) $n = 437$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8)3.0 (2.3-3.8)and age at delivery, years $n = 453$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8)and age, weeks $n = 457$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8)ional age, weeks $211 (46.6)$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	Non-white	$< 5^{b}$	2.1 (2.0–6.3)	12.7 (9.1–15.6)	1.3 (1.0-4.1)	0.2 (0.2–0.3)
-level $96 (21.5)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ wel $154 (34.5)$ $3.1 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.9)$ egnancy BMI, kg/m ² $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ egnancy BMI, kg/m ² $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $5-24.99 (normal weight)$ $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $5-24.99 (normal weight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.0 (2.4-3.6)$ $29.99 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $29.99 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $al smoking$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ n $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ n $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ n $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.6)$ n $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.4-3.6)$ n $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ n $n = 453$ $n = 453$ n $n = 457$ $n = 457$ n $n = 457$ $3.4 (2.4-3.6)$	Maternal education c	<i>n</i> = 446				
evel $154 (34.5)$ $3.1 (2.3-3.8)$ -level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.9)$ egnancy BMI, kg/m ² $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-5.4 underweight)$ $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-24.99 (normal weight)$ $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $-24.99 (normal weight)$ $282 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $-299 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.9)$ $al smoking$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $n = anoking$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = anoking$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = anoking$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = anoking$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ $n = anoking$ $n = 451$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = anoking$ $n = 453$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ $297 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $n = anoking$ $n = 457$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ $anohong and age, weeks$ $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$ $n = kes$ $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	< 0-level	96 (21.5)	2.8 (2.4–3.6)	13.9 (11.0–17.3)	1.9 (1.5–2.3)	$0.4\ (0.3-0.5)$
-level $196 (43.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.9)$ egnancy BMI, kg/m^2 $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.2-3.7)$ $5-24.99 (normal weight)$ $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.2-3.7)$ $5-24.99 (normal weight)$ $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $29.99 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $29.99 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $al smoking$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $n = al anoking$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = al age at delivery, years$ $n = 453$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ 59 $188 (41.5)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ $10 al age to delivery, years$ $n = 453$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = kets$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = kets$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = kets$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$	O-level	154 (34.5)	3.1 (2.3–3.8)	14.8 (11.9–18.9)	1.8 (1.3–2.3)	0.4(0.3-0.4)
egnancy BMI, kg/m ² .5 (underweight) $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.2-3.7)$ $5-24.99 (normal weight)$ $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $29.99 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $29.99 (overweight)$ $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $(obese)$ $22 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $al smoking$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 43$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.4-3.6)$ $n = 397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 32$ $n = 453$ $n = 32$ $n = 453$ $n = 32$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = ks$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = ks$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = ks$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$	> O-level	196 (43.9)	3.0 (2.3–3.9)	13.6 (10.7–17.2)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.3 (0.3–0.4)
(5) (underweight) $46 (11.1)$ $3.0 (2.2-3.7)$ $5-24.99$ (normal weight) $282 (68.3)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ 29.99 (overweight) $63 (15.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $(obese)$ $22 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.9)$ $al smoking$ $n = 441$ $22 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.6)$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 487$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $9.0.0$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $n = 453$ $n = 457$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = 457$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$ $n = kes$ $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	Pre-pregnancy BMI, kg/m ²					
-24.99 (normal weight) 282 (68.3) 3.0 (2.3-3.8) 29.99 (overweight) 63 (15.3) 2.8 (2.4-3.6) 29.99 (overweight) 63 (15.3) 2.8 (2.4-3.6) $(abese)$ 22 (5.3) 2.8 (2.4-3.9) al smoking $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $n = 441$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8) $n = 441$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8) $n = 441$ 3.0 (2.3-3.8) $n = 43$ $n = 453$ $n = 453$ $n = 453$ 54 (11.9) 3.0 (2.3-3.7) 29 188 (41.5) 3.2 (2.5-4.0) $a = 457$ $n = 457$ $a = 457$ $n = 457$ $a = 457$ $n = 457$ $a = 458$ $n = 457$ $a = 458$ $n = 457$ $a = 458$ $a = 451$ $a = 457$ $a = 457$ $a = 457$ $a = 431$ $a = 457$ $a = 458$ $a = 457$ $a = 453$ $a = 457$ $a = 453$ $a = 458$ a	<18.5 (underweight)	46 (11.1)	3.0 (2.2–3.7)	13.8 (10.1–18.4)	1.9 (1.5–2.3)	0.3 (0.2–0.4)
29.99 (overweight)63 (15.3) $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ (obese) $22 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ al smoking $n = 441$ $22 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.6)$ $n = 441$ $3.0 (2.4-3.6)$ $3.97 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ nal age at delivery, years $3.97 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $n = 453$ $n = 453$ $54 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-3.7)$ $10 al age, weeks$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = ks$ $n = 457$ $n = ks$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = ks$ $2.9 (2.3-3.8)$	18.5-24.99 (normal weight)	282 (68.3)	3.0 (2.3–3.8)	14.2 (11.2–18.6)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
(obese) $22 (5.3)$ $2.8 (2.4-3.9)$ al smoking $n = 441$ al smoking $n = 441$ $n = 44 (10.0)$ $3.0 (2.4-3.6)$ ne $397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ nal age at delivery, years $n = 453$ $54 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ ional age, weeks $n = 457$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	25-29.99 (overweight)	63 (15.3)	2.8 (2.4–3.6)	13.5 (10.6–17.1)	2.0 (1.5–2.5)	0.3 (0.3–0.4)
al smoking $n = 441$ $n = 441$ $44 (10.0)$ $3.0 (2.4-3.6)$ $n = 397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ $n = 453$ $n = 453$ $54 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 20 $211 (46.6)$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = ks$ $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	30 (obese)	22 (5.3)	2.8 (2.4–3.9)	12.0 (10.1–15.6)	1.7 (1.1–1.9)	0.3 (0.3–0.4)
i $44 (10.0)$ $3.0 (2.4-3.6)$ ie $397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ ial age at delivery, years $n = 453$ 5 $54 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 59 $54 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ $211 (46.6)$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $ional age, weeks$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ $n = 457$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	Prenatal smoking	n = 441				
act $397 (90.0)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.8)$ nal age at delivery, years $n = 453$ 5 $54 (11.9)$ $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 20 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ 20 $11 (46.6)$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ ional age, weeks $n = 457$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	Any	44 (10.0)	3.0 (2.4–3.6)	13.2 (11.0–17.2)	2.0 (1.7–2.6)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
and age at delivery, years $n = 453$ 554 (11.9)3.0 (2.3-3.7)29188 (41.5)3.2 (2.5-4.0)188 (41.5)2.9 (2.2-3.7)211 (46.6)2.9 (2.2-3.7)ional age, weeks $n = 457$ weeks26 (5.7)3.4 (2.4-3.9)weeks26 (5.7)3.4 (2.4-3.9)	None	397 (90.0)	3.0 (2.3–3.8)	14.0 (11.1–17.9)	1.9 (1.4–2.4)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
554 (11.9) $3.0 (2.3-3.7)$ 29 $188 (41.5)$ $3.2 (2.5-4.0)$ $211 (46.6)$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ $211 (46.6)$ $2.9 (2.2-3.7)$ ional age, weeks $n = 457$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ $3.4 (2.4-3.9)$	Maternal age at delivery, years	n = 453				
29188 (41.5)211 (46.6)ional age, weeks $n = 457$ weeks26 (5.7)weeks431 (94.3)	< 25	54 (11.9)	3.0 (2.3–3.7)	12.6 (10.6–16.9)	$1.6\left(1.1{-}1.9 ight)^{*}$	0.4 (0.3–0.4)
211 (46.6)ional age, weeks $n = 457$ weeks $26 (5.7)$ weeks $431 (94.3)$	25–29	188 (41.5)	3.2 (2.5–4.0)	14.1 (11.9–18.8)	1.8 (1.4–2.5)*	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
ional age, weeks n = 457 weeks 26 (5.7) weeks 431 (94.3)	30	211 (46.6)	2.9 (2.2–3.7)	13.9 (10.8–17.3)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)*	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
weeks 26 (5.7) weeks 431 (94.3)	Gestational age, weeks	n = 457				
weeks 431 (94.3)	<37 weeks	26 (5.7)	3.4 (2.4–3.9)	13.8 (12.8–17.0)	1.8 (1.5–2.7)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
	37 weeks	431 (94.3)	2.9 (2.3–3.8)	13.8 (10.9–17.9)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
	Parity	n = 442				

	;				
Characteristic ^a	Frequency n (%)	PFUA Median (IQR)	PFUS Median (IQR)	PFHXS Median (IQR)	PFNA Median (IQR)
Nulliparous	213 (48.2)	3.4 (2.7–4.2)*	$14.3 (11.8 - 18.0)^{*}$	2.0 (1.5–2.6)*	0.4 (0.3–0.5)*
Multiparous	229 (51.8)	2.6 (2.2–3.3)*	13.6 (10.6–17.0) *	1.8 (1.3–2.3)*	0.3 (0.2–0.4)*
Gestational weight gain ^d	n = 391				
Below	115 (29.4)	2.8 (2.3–3.7)	13.9 (11.2–17.6)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
Within	171 (43.7)	3.0 (2.3–3.8)	13.8 (11.0–17.7)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)
Above	105 (26.9)	3.1 (2.5–3.8)	13.6 (11.0–17.6)	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	0.4 (0.4 - 0.6)
Mothers of daughters $(N = 448)$					
Overall	448 (100)	3.7 (2.8-4.8)	19.8 (15.1–24.9)	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Maternal race	n = 431				
White	423 (98.1)	3.8 (2.9–4.8) *	$19.9\left(15.2{-}25.3 ight)^{*}$	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Non-white	8 (1.9)	2.3 (1.6–2.9)*	$14.6\ (8.1{-}18.4)^{*}$	1.4 (0.9–1.7)	0.5 (0.2–0.7)
Maternal education c	n = 429				
< 0-level	89 (20.7)	3.6 (2.8-4.4)	18.2 (14.9–23.3)	1.6 (1.3–2.2)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
O-level	140 (32.6)	3.7 (2.9–5.0)	19.6 (15.1–26.0)	1.6 (1.2–2.3)	0.6 (0.4–0.7)
> O-level	200 (46.6)	3.9 (2.8–4.8)	20.4 (15.2–25.3)	1.7 (1.2–2.2)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Pre-pregnancy BMI, kg/m ²	n = 401				
< 18.5 (underweight)	43 (10.7)	3.1 (2.4-4.7)	17.0 (14.0–24.7)	1.6 (1.0–2.7)	0.5 (0.3–0.6)
18.5-24.99 (normal weight)	268 (66.8)	3.8 (2.8-4.8)	20.2 (15.3–25.2)	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
25-29.99 (overweight)	63 (15.7)	3.5 (2.9–4.4)	19.2 (15.2–24.8)	1.7 (1.3–2.3)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
30 (obese)	27 (6.7)	4.1 (3.3–5.0)	20.4 (17.0–27.9)	1.5 (1.3–2.2)	0.7 (0.4–0.7)
Prenatal smoking	n = 427				
Any	79 (18.5)	3.4 (2.9–4.4)	17.2 (13.4–21.4) *	1.7 (1.3–2.4)	0.5 (0.3–0.7)*
None	348 (81.5)	3.8 (2.8–4.9)	20.5 (15.4–25.6)*	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.6 (0.4–0.7)*
Maternal age at delivery, years	<i>n</i> = 445				
< 25	92 (20.7)	3.9 (3.0-4.8)	18.5 (14.1–23.1)	1.6 (1.2–2.1)	0.5 (0.4–0.6)
25–29	164 (36.9)	3.8 (3.0-4.9)	20.7 (15.4–25.4)	1.6 (1.2–2.1)	0.6 (0.4–0.7)
30	189 (42.5)	3.6 (2.5–4.6)	19.7 (15.1–25.5)	1.7 (1.2–2.4)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Gestational age, weeks	<i>n</i> = 448				
< 37 weeks	17 (3.8)	4.4 (2.8–5.3)	22.7 (15.3–27.5)	1.7 (1.2–1.9)	0.6 (0.4–0.7)

Reprod Toxicol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 December 01.

Marks et al.

Author Manuscript

Characteristic ^a	Frequency n (%)	PFOA Median (IQR)	PFOS Median (IQR)	PFHxS Median (IQR)	PFNA Median (IQR)
37 weeks	431 (96.2)	3.7 (2.8–4.8)	19.6 (15.0–24.8)	1.6 (1.2–2.3)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Parity	n = 419				
Nulliparous	208 (49.6)	4.4 (3.4–5.4)*	21.5 (17.0–26.4)* 1.8 (1.4–2.4)*	1.8 (1.4–2.4)*	$0.6\left(0.40.7 ight)^{*}$
Multiparous	211 (50.4)	3.1 (2.4-4.0)*	18.2 (14.2–23.7) * 1.5 (1.1–2.2) *	1.5 (1.1–2.2)*	0.5 (0.3–0.7)*
Gestational weight gain ^d	n = 379				
Below	120 (31.7)	3.6 (2.8-4.6)	21.4 (15.7–25.6)	1.6 (1.2–2.4)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Within	141 (37.2)	3.9 (2.8–4.8)	20.4 (15.2–24.8)	1.6 (1.2–2.2)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)
Above	118 (31.3)	3.9 (2.9–4.9)	20.0 (15.5–25.6) 1.7 (1.3–2.3)	1.7 (1.3–2.3)	0.6 (0.4–0.7)

^aCompared using Kruskal–Wallis or Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests.

 $b_{\rm Counts}$ and percents suppressed due to small cell sizes.

c O-level = none, Certificate of Secondary Education, and vocational education, which are equivalent to no diploma or a GED in the United States. O-levels (ordinary levels) are required and completed at the age of 16. > O-level = A-levels (advanced levels) completed at 18, which are optional, but required to get into university; and a university degree.

d Refers to below, within, or above IOM recommended total gestational weight gain. For underweight women (BMI < 18.5), recommendations are 12.5–18 kg total weight gain; for normal weight women (BMI 18.5–24.9): 11.5–16 kg; for overweight women (BMI 25.0–29.9): 7–11.5 kg; and for obese women (BMI 30.0): 5–9 kg [28].

* Indicates p < 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Table 2

weight pre-pregnancy BMI and overweight/obese pre-pregnancy BMI, in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children sub-study population (N= Adjusted^a models of maternal perfluoroalkyl substance serum concentrations (ng/mL) and absolute gestational weight gain, stratified by under-/normal 905).

	Model	~ ~ ? P 0207 CI	107 OZU	Heterogeneity	ט י י י
	ISDOM	Coefficient		<i>p</i> -value ^c	I ² statistic
	Under-/normal weight 0.01	0.01	-0.08, 0.09 0.67	0.67	0.0%
FFUA	overweight/obese	-0.20	-0.41, 0.02	0.40	0.0%
0010	Under-/normal weight	-0.03	-0.11, 0.06 0.85	0.85	0.0%
LLC2	Overweight/obese	-0.12	-0.30, 0.06	0.99	0.0%
37112IQ	Under-/normal weight	0.00	-0.05, 0.05	0.26	19.8%
CXH11	Overweight/obese	0.02	-0.08, 0.11	0.66	0.0%
DEMA	Under-/normal weight	0.09	0.02, 0.16	0.04	75.3%
FLINA	Overweight/obese	-0.12	-0.31, 0.07 0.98	0.98	0.0%

Abbreviations: N, number; CI, confidence interval.

^a Adjusted for maternal education, prenatal smoking, maternal age at delivery, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational age at delivery, and gestational age at sample.

bCoefficient representing a 10% increase in the PFAS of interest.

^CThe P^2 statistic indicates the percentage of variance in a meta-analysis that is attributable to study heterogeneity rather than chance. It is calculated using 100% × (Q – df)/Q where Q is the Cochran's heterogeneity statistic, which is chi-square distributed [45].

Table 3

Adjusted^{*a*} models of maternal perfluoroalkyl substance serum concentrations (ng/mL) and absolute gestational weight gain, stratified by pre-pregnancy BMI, in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children substudy population (N= 905).

	Model	Coefficient ^b	95% CI	Hetero- geneity p-value	I^2 statistic ^c
	Underweight	0.06	-0.14, 0.26	0.32	0.0%
PFOA	Normal weight	-0.01		0.49	0.0%
PFOA	Overweight	-0.03	-0.33, 0.26	0.69	0.0%
	Obese	-0.29	-0.65, 0.08	0.40	0.0%
	Underweight	0.02	-0.24, 0.28	0.78	0.0%
PFOS	Normal weight	-0.03	-0.13, 0.06	0.89	0.0%
	Overweight	-0.04	-0.29, 0.21	0.10	62.4%
	Obese	-0.07	-0.36, 0.22	0.29	12.1%
	Underweight	0.01	-0.12, 0.13	0.42	0.0%
PFHxS	Normal weight	0.01	-0.05, 0.07	0.34	0.0%
PFHXS	Overweight	0.11	-0.03, 0.25	0.96	0.0%
	Obese	0.02	-0.15, 0.19	0.38	0.0%
	Underweight	0.24	0.00, 0.48	0.80	0.0%
DENIA	Normal weight	0.07	0.00, 0.15	0.04	76.0%
PFNA	Overweight	-0.07	-0.28, 0.13	0.17	48.1%
	Obese	-0.34	-0.99, 0.30	0.60	0.0%

Abbreviations: N, number; CI, confidence interval.

 a Adjusted for maternal education, prenatal smoking, maternal age at delivery, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational age at delivery, and gestational age at sample.

^bCoefficient representing a 10% increase in the PFAS of interest.

^{*c*}The l^2 statistic indicates the percentage of variance in a meta-analysis that is attributable to study heterogeneity rather than chance. It is calculated using $100\% \times (Q - df)/Q$ where Q is the Cochran's heterogeneity statistic, which is chi-square distributed [45].

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Adjusted^{*a*} models of maternal perfluoroalkyl substance serum concentrations (ng/mL) and category of IOM recommended gestational weight gain (below or above recommendations versus reference group: adequate weight gain) in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children sub-study population (N= 905).

	Model	OR ^b	95% CI	Hetero-geneity <i>p</i> -value	I^2 statistic ^c
PFOA	Below ^d	1.00	0.97, 1.04	0.31	1.3%
FFOA	Above ^e	1.00	0.96, 1.04	0.82	0.0%
DEOG	Below	1.03	1.00, 1.07	0.16	50.6%
PFOS	Above	0.99	0.95, 1.03	0.89	0.0%
DELL C	Below	1.00	0.99, 1.02	0.60	0.0%
PFHxS	Above	1.01	0.98, 1.03	0.38	0.0%
DENIA	Below	1.01	0.98, 1.05	0.75	0.0%
PFNA	Above	1.02	0.99, 1.06	0.66	0.0%

Abbreviations: N, number; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IOM, Institute of Medicine.

 a Adjusted for maternal education, prenatal smoking, maternal age at delivery, parity, pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational age at delivery, and gestational age at sample.

^bRepresents a 10% increase in the PFAS of interest.

^cThe l^2 statistic indicates the percentage of variance in a meta-analysis that is attributable to study heterogeneity rather than chance. It is calculated using 100% × (Q - df)/Q where Q is the Cochran's heterogeneity statistic, which is chi-square distributed [45].

 d Below recommendations for IOM recommended gestational weight gain.

 e Above recommendations for IOM recommended gestational weight gain.