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## PREFACE

The 2002 Reproductive Health Survey is the seventh in a series of periodic enquiries conducted by the National Family Planning Board. The scope of the survey, as in earlier studies, is designated to update measures of fertility and contraceptive use and other reproductive health issues among women aged 1549 years, and men 15-24 years.

The findings will be beneficial as an evaluation and planning tool for the National Family Planning Programme. The final report of the 2002 Reproductive Health Survey is presented in three volumes:

## 1. Final Report

2. Young Adult Report
3. Regional Report

The opportunity is taken to express gratitude to Mrs. Carmen McFarlane for this follow-up to her 1997 survey and the Statistical Institute of Jamaica.

We acknowledge the financial support of the United States Agency for International Development, as well as the technical support of the Division of Reproductive Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Finally, no study of this sort could be possible without the cooperation of the citizens of Jamaica, who participated in the survey as respondents. It is with gratitude that we extend thanks and appreciation to them.

Olivia McDonald
Executive Director, NFPB
February 2005
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## CHAPTER 1

## BACKGROUND

### 1.1 HISTORICAL, GEOGRAPHICAL, DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL BACKGROUND

Jamaica is one of the islands in the Caribbean archipelago. It is located in the northwestern section and is one of the four islands that comprise the Greater Antilles. It is situated at latitude $18^{\circ}$ north and longitude $77^{\circ}$ west and is approximately 145 kilometres south of Cuba and 161 kilometres west of Haiti. The nearest part of the American continent is the Mosquito Coast of Honduras 499 kilometres to the south-west, while the Lesser Antilles and Trinidad lie 1,609 kilometres to the east and south-east.

It is English-speaking and share cultural links with the other English-speaking territories of the region. At the regional level, it is a member of CARICOM, the Caribbean Community; at the international level, it is one of the group of countries that form the Latin American and Caribbean Region (ECLAC) and at the wider level, is a member of the United Nations and its affiliate organizations.

Jamaica itself has a maximum length of 235 kilometres and widths varying from 35 to 82 kilometres, with a total area of 4,244 square miles or 10,991 square kilometres. It is divided into three counties -Cornwall in the west, Middlesex in the centre and Surrey in the east. There are fourteen parishes: Trelawny, St. James, Hanover, Westmoreland and St. Elizabeth in the county of Cornwall; St. Mary, St. Ann, Manchester, Clarendon and St. Catherine in the county of Middlesex; and Kingston, St. Andrew, St. Thomas and Portland in the county of Surrey.

Other administrative divisions have been defined and used for many purposes, the most important being the breakdown into constituencies, defined by law, which form the political divisions of the country. However, the most significant administrative division for this study is the breakdown into health regions. The composition of the health regions have varied from time to time. The current divisions comprise the following grouping of parishes and these are used in this study:

- Region 1 Kingston, St. Andrew, St. Thomas and St. Catherine
- Region 2 Portland, St. Mary and St. Ann
- Region 3 Trelawny, St. James, Hanover and Westmoreland
- Region 4 St. Elizabeth, Manchester and Clarendon

The population of Jamaica at the end of 2001 was $2,612,500$ and the annual growth rate was 0.6 percent. The crude birth rate (per 1000 mean population) was 20.60 with a crude death rate of 6.22 ; the rate of natural increase was accordingly 14.38 per 1000 mean population. The natural rate of growth of the population has been falling over recent years.


Heallh Region 1 Health Region 2 Health Region 2 Health Region 4
$=$ Kinustom, St. Andrew, St. Thomas, St. Catherine
$=$ Portland, St. Mary, St. Ann
$=$ Trelawny, St. James, Hanover, Westmoreland
$=$ St. Elizabeth, Manchester, Clareudon

Both the birth and death rates have been declining but at different rates. In 1861, the birth rate was 39.6 per 1000 mean population with a death rate of 32.2 . By 1970 , the birth rate was still in the high 30 s ( 39.1 ) but fell more rapidly in the 1970s and 1980s. In 1982 it was at 30.9 and by 1991 it had fallen to 25.1 . The movement in the death rate was more dramatic. In 1970, it had fallen to 8.2. Since then, the decline in birth rates has been more significant than in death rates. The distribution of the population over the period covered by the three earlier contraceptive prevalence and reproductive health surveys (1992, 1996 and 2001) although not equal in proportion has remained fairly consistent as may be seen in Table 1.i below:

Table 1.i
Distribution Of The Population By Health Region In The Last Three Pre-Survey Years (Percent Distribution)

| Region | 1992 | 1996 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 46.6 | 46.8 | 46.5 |
| 2 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.1 |
| 3 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 17.6 |
| 4 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

Source: Demographic Statistics 2002, Statistical Institute of Jamaica.
Age distribution is important to any study of fertility, contraceptive behaviour and reproductive health. Of particular significance is the proportion of women of childbearing age. Also of importance is the proportion of young adults, both male and female, in the population. In general, the population under 15 years of age has been identified as the infant and child population, the population between 15 and 24 as the young adult population and the female population between the ages of 15 and 49 as those in the childbearing years. Thus, demographic enquiries and, in particular, contraceptive prevalence and reproductive health surveys have focussed on breakdowns of the population into these broad age groupings. Table 1.ii below presents breakdowns of the male and female population at the end of the years preceding the last three surveys.

Table 1.ii
Age Distribution Of The Total Population
End Year 1992, 1996 and 2001

|  | Male |  | Female |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 1992 | 1996 | 2001 | 1992 | 1996 | 2001 |
| 0-4 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 10.8 |
| 5-14 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 21.9 | 22.5 |
| 15-24 | 20.2 | 19.1 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 18.1 |
| 25-34 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.0 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 15.0 |
| 35-49 | 13.9 | 15.5 | 17.2 | 13.9 | 15.7 | 17.1 |
| $50+$ | 15.3 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.8 | 16.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Demographic Statistics 2002, Statistical Institute of Jamaica.

Another important factor is the distinction between urban and rural areas. It is generally recognized that residential location can have an impact on quality of life and accordingly can exert a strong influence on attitudes and behaviours. Thus, the sample design for the Survey provided for differentiation between urban and rural residence.

Table 1.iii
Percent of the Population in Urban Areas *
1970, 1982, 1991

| Percent of the Population |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Area | 1970 | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 29.6 | 26.8 | 26.3 |
| Other Urban Areas | 14.4 | 22.6 | 25.1 |
|  |  |  | 51.4 |
| Percent of Total Urban Areas | 44.0 | 49.4 |  |

* Urban areas as defined for purposes of the censuses.

Source: Reports of the 1970, 1982 and 1990 Population Censuses, Department of Statistics, Jamaica and The Statistical Institute of Jamaica.

### 1.2 POPULATION POLICIES AND PROGRAMMES

Jamaica, along with other members of the international community, has experienced the intensification of pressures of high population growth on available resources generated within their countries and the constraints which this has placed on social and economic progress. Growing disparities in social benefits obtaining within the economy point to a real need for adopting a strategy of planned population programmes aimed at reducing the rate of growth of the population within a policy of continued improvement in the welfare of the people.

An important aspect of the pursuance of such a policy is the requirement for assessments to be made at frequent intervals of the demographic behaviour of the people. In this connection, the measurement of fertility and mortality occurring at specific periods of development, as well as other related phenomena, become necessary. Fertility levels are directly determined by a series of events which, either as a matter of individual volition or indirectly through socio-cultural practices, interfere with or impinge on the biological conditions for birth. One such is the proportion of the female population of reproductive age who live in stable unions such as formal marriages and consensual unions. At another level, one can identify two factors associated with the control of fertility, generally within stable unions: contraception (defined as any deliberate practice, including abstinence and sterilization, undertaken to reduce or eliminate the risk of conception) and induced abortion. At the third level are two behavioural variables that affect fertility but which are not likely to be related to attained family size: lactation in fecundity (determined by the length and intensity of breastfeeding) and the frequency of intercourse. Other factors such as sterility, spontaneous inter-uterine mortality, and the duration of viability of ova and sperm, affect fertility but in a physiological rather than behavioural way. In addition, any study of fertility must take account of associated socio-economic, cultural and environmental influences which affect its level and movement, identifying factors which impact on these measurements.

Mortality rates in Jamaica have fallen dramatically over the past fifty years. While there has been significant increases in the life expectancy of the population, there are certain areas such as infant and child mortality where existing levels appear to be still above acceptable standards. A proper assessment of the extent of the problem becomes difficult since recent studies have confirmed possible inaccuracies in the official estimates which are of concern to health administrators.

The concerns identified above are reflected in the proposed programme of work to be covered in the 2002 Reproductive Health Survey as set out in the identified objectives and scope of work, a continuation of the work programmes of the earlier Reproductive Health Survey and still earlier Contraceptive Prevalence Surveys. Thus, the 2002 Reproductive Health Survey will form part of the continuing series of periodic enquiries undertaken in Jamaica, aimed at providing information on fertility levels and related factors which affect contraceptive use, unintended pregnancies and reproductive health.

### 1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME

The main objectives of the 2002 RHS are to update measures of contraceptive use and fertility among Jamaican women aged 15-49 years and young adult males aged 15-24 years and also to provide information on knowledge, attitudes and practices related to family planning and fertility. It also aims at assessing other factors which may have affected reproductive behaviour, including risk factors for unintended pregnancy, so that program interventions may be improved.

Long-term and immediate objectives have been identified and these are set out below.

1. LONG-TERM OBJECTIVES: The 2002 Reproductive Health Survey shall:
a) Obtain for Jamaica the needed data on fertility and contraceptive behaviour for the planning, implementation and evaluation of the country's family planning and related programs.
b) Obtain for Jamaica related data on unintended pregnancies and maternal-child health services.
c) Provide data to be disseminated through a series of four seminars at regional level and one at national level for program and policy officials to improve service delivery in reproductive health.
2. IMMEDIATE OBJECTIVES: The 2002 Reproductive Health Survey (RHS) will:
a) update measures of contraceptive use and fertility among women aged 15-49 years and young adult men aged $15-24$ years.
b) provide a wide range of information on the knowledge, attitude and practice related to reproductive health.
c) assess other conditions, which may affect reproductive behaviour so that programme interventions may be improved.

The RHS will provide data:

- on fertility and contraceptive behaviour for planning, implementation and evaluation of the reproductive health programme.
- on unintended pregnancies in the realization of the objectives of the NFPB.
- for dissemination, through a series of five seminars at the national and regional levels, to programme and policy officials in order to improve reproductive health services.

The RHS is designed to assess specific health behaviours related to fertility, family planning and pregnancy and related health care. Both estimates of prevalence and general attitudes towards most of the factors will be included. The primary purpose of the RHS is to assess the general reproductive health conditions and contraceptive use island wide.

The RHS will provide decision makers in the NFPB with data to make informed policy choices related to:

1) Fertility

Estimates of current fertility levels of unintended pregnancies will be made.
2) Family Planning

Knowledge, use and source of contraception will be estimated. Differentials in contraceptive use across parishes and regions and by other socio-demographic characteristics will be identified in order to assess programme impact and indicate who might benefit from special interventions and programme effort.
3) Attitudes towards Reproduction

General information on attitudes toward desired family size, birth spacing, breastfeeding, contraceptive use and behaviour related to sexually transmitted diseases will be collected.

### 1.4 ADMINISTRATION

The Reproductive Health Survey 2002 was an undertaking of McFarlane Consultants and the Statistical Institute of Jamaica (STATIN), as commissioned by the National Family Planning Board, Jamaica. McFarlane Consultants provided the Project Director and was responsible for questionnaire design and testing, development of all operational manuals, training of field staff on the questionnaire and training of manual editors and coders. STATIN, on the other hand, was responsible for designing the sample and updating the sampling frame, providing training facilities, providing interviewers and supervisors trained to carry out surveys, conducting the fieldwork. In addition, they were responsible for manual coding in those cases where this was not done in the field as well as manual and computer editing of the data. Their final output was to provide clean data sets for both the male and female surveys. Centers For Disease Control (CDC) joined the team as consultants in sampling, questionnaire development, training, field testing and field work as well as data processing and analytic review.

A minimum of six thousand females aged $15-49$ years and two thousand males aged $15-24$ years were required to respond to questionnaires on which information including their knowledge, use and attitude towards family planning methods; as well as their reproductive history were to be collected. Two separate questionnaires were prepared - a male questionnaire which would be used in the survey of males and another, a female questionnaire to be used in the female surveys.

## The Sample Design

The design of the sample for the 2002 Reproductive Health Survey was completed in December 2001. It was a three-stage stratified sample design, with the first stage being the selection of 659 enumeration areas, called Enumeration Districts (EDs), using the 2001 Census sectors as the sampling frame. This selection was done with probability proportional to the number of households and was accomplished by using a systematic sample with a random start. Each ED needed to have at least 80 households to allow for the selection of two contiguous but independent clusters (one for the female sample and one for the male sample) in the second stage. If an ED contained less than 80 households it was merged with a contiguous ED to form a primary sampling unit (PSU). Thus, the first stage selection yielded 521 PSUs ( 252 urban and 269 rural) used for the independent female and male samples.

In the second stage of sampling, clusters of households were randomly selected in each PSU chosen in the first stage (separate households were selected for the female and male samples). Finally, in the third stage, in each of the households in the female sample, one woman aged 15-49 years was selected at random for interviewing; in the male sample one man aged 15-24 years was randomly selected in each of the sampled households.

The first stage sample selection, that is, the selection of EDs, was completed in December 2001. In order to complete the second stage selection, i.e. a selection of dwellings within the EDs, the sample frame had to be updated, as the available sample which was employed in the 1997 Reproductive Survey had used the 1991 census as frame and this was considered to be obsolete because of a number of socio-economic changes in the country. Therefore, a new sample was selected for the Reproductive Health Survey. The exercise of listing all dwellings in the selected EDs began in January 2002 and ended in May 2002. During the listing exercise, over ninety contract workers were employed, in addition to STATIN's sixty-five regular interviewers and fifteen supervisors. At the end of the listing exercise, the second-stage selection of dwellings was done. The thirdstage selection of one eligible female and male for the respective surveys was done by the interviewers on visits to the selected households.

## The Pretest

A pretest of draft questionnaires for both the female and male surveys was undertaken under the supervision of the Principal Investigator. Pretest training was conducted during the period March 13 -15, 2002, with debriefing done on March 21, 2002. Approximately thirty nine (39) persons attended the training sessions on each of the four days.

Fourteen interviewers were used to conduct field visits, with eight interviewers completing female questionnaires and expected to complete a minimum of fifteen interviews each, while six interviewers completed male questionnaires and expected to do twenty questionnaires each. Each interviewer was assigned one ED, with a total of fourteen EDs used in the Pretest. Interviews were conducted during the period March 16-20, 2002.

A total of one hundred and seventy five questionnaires were completed in the pre-test, with seventy one being male questionnaires and one hundred and four being female questionnaires. The completed questionnaires were analyzed by the Principal Investigator, and based on her findings and the results of the debriefing, changes were made to the pretest questionnaires.

## Printing of Documents

The final copy of the questionnaires and manual to be sent to the printers were received in early September 2002. The process of correction required frequent correspondence between STATIN and the Principal Investigator. After the training for the main survey, all errors identified on the questionnaires were corrected. The questionnaires were then designed as scannable documents, to facilitate the scanning of the questionnaires, which was the selected method of data entry.

The enumeration manuals were finalized and printed by STATIN's Printery before the start of the main training. A supplement to the enumeration manual was also prepared and printed after the main training. This contained new instructions arising from corrections made to the questionnaires after the training, as well as clarification of points raised during the training which were considered as requiring additional explanation.

A total of seven thousand eight hundred $(7,800)$ female individual questionnaires and three thousand $(3,000)$ male individual questionnaires were printed by the specialist printers for the main survey. Also printed were approximately 16,000 male household questionnaires and 20,000 female household questionnaires. In addition, a number of control forms were required to keep check of the movement of the documents. These included :-

Form CSDS 6 - List of households to be enumerated.
Form CSDS 14 - Interviewer's daily progress report.
Form CSDS 62 - Record of completed work assignment
All forms, with the exception of the male and female questionnaires, were printed by STATIN's Printery.

## Field Work for Main Surveys

## a) Training of interviewers, supervisors and related persons

The training of field staff was scheduled for Monday September 30 - Friday October 4, 2002, with the fieldwork slated to start immediately afterwards. However, in the interim, the date for the general elections was announced - October 16; and a decision was taken to do the training as scheduled, but to start the fieldwork after the general elections.

Training for the main survey was conducted over five days, from September 30 to October 4, 2002. A total of one hundred and forty nine participants took part in the training exercise, which was conducted at four locations across the island, using two trainers per venue.

The fieldwork for the 2002 reproductive Health Survey began on Saturday October 26, 2002 and ended on May 9,2003 . A total of one hundred and thirty (130) interviewers, 15 Supervisors and 3 Senior Supervisors,
worked on the survey, which was conducted in 521 Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), spread throughout all parishes of the island.

As shown in Table 1.1.1, in order to complete the female survey 17,878 households were visited and 7,805 eligible women (aged 15-49 years) were identified; 7,168 completed the individual questionnaires ( 91.8 percent response rate). Similarly, the 2,437 interviews of males aged $15-24$ years were completed after visiting 15,950 households containing 2,795 eligible respondents, for a 87.2 percent response rate (Table 1.1.2).

Due to the sensitive nature of the data, male interviewers were only allowed to interview male respondents, while female interviewers could interview either male or female respondents.

## b) Difficulties Encountered

A number of problems were encountered in the field. First there was the general problem of the violence throughout the society, and so interviewers were afraid to go into some areas after dark, which is often the only time when some respondents could be found at home. Also, because only the selected person should be interviewed and no proxy reporting was allowed, it sometimes took many call backs to find the person selected.

As was expected, it proved difficult to find eligible males in the households. However, this was planned for and sufficient households were selected, to complete under normal circumstances, the required number of male questionnaires.

The field work took longer than budgeted to complete. This occurred for a number of reasons, chief of which were:

- The general elections were held October 15,2002 and led to unrest throughout the island, both before and after the elections.
- The Christmas season saw a reduction in the number of persons interviewed, as persons were more reluctant to complete the lengthy questionnaire during the festive season.

As a result of these factors and mainly because of the general volatile situation in the country, STATIN was unable to achieve the required quota of interviews. After discussion with consultants from the Centers For Disease Control (CDC) who entered the program sometime after it had started, additional households were selected in some parishes in order to complete the minimum number of questionnaires to achieve $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

## c) Editing and Coding

Editor/Coder manuals were prepared and printed and Editor/Coders were trained in early January 2003. Editing and coding of the questionnaires were done mainly by experienced editor/coders employed by STATIN although some contract workers were hired and trained for this exercise. Approximately twenty editors were used, including contract workers employed on the project.

Manual editing and coding began in January 2003 and ended in July 2003. The editing and coding procedure included checking the questionnaires to ensure that all required fields were completed; that the skips built
into the interview process were adhered to; and that fields were correctly completed. Also, after the scanning, from the consistency edit program, fields in error were corrected and returned to the Computer Division for correction.

## d) Computer Processing of the Data

Processing of the data was done differently than before. In previous RHS surveys, the data from the questionnaires were keyed by data entry operators. For this Survey, the questionnaires were scanned directly into database files. This was done to allow for faster, more efficient processing. This required that the questionnaires be prepared and printed differently for the scanning process. The questionnaires were printed and scanned by Moore-Tech, a subsidiary of Moore Business Forms Ltd., using Fujitsu scanners and Cardiff Teleforms software.

Scanning of the questionnaires took place between January and June 2003. The scanning process included some very basic editing of the data, such as ID checks, age checks and some range checks. Detailed computer editing was programmed by STATIN's Information Technology division. The software used for the detailed consistency edits was the U.S. Census Bureau's CSPRO, as well as SAS.

## e) Weighting

Weighting of the data was done by consultants from the Center for Disease Control. This organization has worked on previous Reproductive Health Surveys for Jamaica's National Family Planning Board. The weights calculated and applied were: sampling weights -because only one woman was selected from each household with women of reproductive age, and one male was selected from households with men of reproductive age, all results have been weighted to compensate for the fact that some households included more than one eligible female or male respondent - and post-stratification weights to account for unequal urban-rural distribution of the population. Except for Tables 1.1.1 and 1.1.2, all tables in this report present weighted results. The unweighted number of cases, used for variance estimation, are shown in each table.

## f) Final Data Sets

The completed unweighted data set for the female sample was emailed to the Principal Investigator in July 2003 and the completed unweighted male data set was emailed in August 2003. However, the Principal Investigator and the CDC consultants identified some additional corrections that needed to be made to the data.

Additional corrections were made by both STATIN and CDC, and the final female data set with weights was created by CDC and delivered to the Principal Investigator in February 2004, while the final male data set with weights was created by CDC and sent to the Principal Investigator in March 2004.

TABLE 1.1.1
Household And Individual Interview Status
By Residence And By Health Region - Women Aged 15-49 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Characteristic | Total | Other |  | Health Region |  |  | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | KMA | Urban | Rural | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Household Visits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified Eligible Respondent | 43.7 | 42.6 | 48.2 | 41.0 | 46.1 | 39.5 | 41.0 | 45.4 |
| No Eligible Respondent | 36.1 | 28.8 | 31.8 | 40.1 | 31.2 | 39.7 | 39.7 | 37.8 |
| Occupant Not at Home | 9.6 | 14.5 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 7.1 |
| Unoccupied Dwelling | 7.0 | 7.8 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 7.4 |
| Household Refusal | 3.7 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Households Visited | 17,878 | 2,915 | 4,677 | 10,286 | $\mathbf{6 , 8 5 8}$ | 3,391 | 4,268 | 3,361 |
| Eligible Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed Interviews | 91.9 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 91.5 | 93.2 | 88.0 | 91.5 | 92.7 |
| Selected Respondent Refused Selected Respondents Not | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| At Home | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| Respondent Incapable Of Interview | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Eligible Women Identified | 7,805 | 1,241 | 2,253 | 4,311 | 3,191 | 1,338 | 1,750 | 1,526 |
| No. Of Completed Interviews | 7,168 | 1,144 | 2,079 | 3,945 | 2,972 | 1,177 | 1,602 | 1,415 |

TABLE 1.1.2
Household And Individual Interview Status
By Residence And By Health Region - Men Aged 15-24 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristic | Total | KMA | Urban | Rural | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |

## CHAPTER 2

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SURVEY POPULATION

### 2.0 GENERAL

As in all demographic studies, age is the main background variable to be used to analyse the results of the survey and five year age cohorts have in general been used in this report. The report is centered around females in the age groups 15 to 49 years. However, as mentioned earlier, the study of young adults in the population does provide insights into behavioural patterns and needs for services including education and counselling. Thus, the age cohort 15 to 24 years for both males and females are included in this report. In some cases, further age breakdowns have been introduced. These concentrate mainly on the age groups $15-$ 17, 18-19, 20-22 and 23-24. Other background variables which have been perceived as influencing behaviour or providing insights into needs have also been added. These include education level, employment status, area of residence, frequency of attendance at religious services, union status, parity, birth order, socioeconomic status and others have also been included, depending on the data being analysed. Although, as mentioned earlier, data for young adults will be included in this report, the emphasis will be on results pertaining to women 15-49 years. A second report will present, in detail, results for young adult females and males. A third report will bring together factors which are relevant to regional performance.

### 2.1 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF THE SURVEY POPULATION

The age distribution of the survey population is shown in Table 2.1.1 for women and Table 2.1.2 for men. In both tables, five year age cohorts are used. Comparisons are shown for the last three survey years, 1993, 1997 and 2002. End year estimates for the years preceding these surveys are also included. The age dispersion of females in the 15-49 age group for the survey year 2002 shows that directional movements over the period are consistent with lower fertility over time. Looking at the age distribution of the series, all age groups in the distribution for women fall within acceptable sampling error limits with perhaps fewer than expected young adults and women in the 25-29 age group. There also were minor discrepancies between survey and demographic estimates in several age groups.

### 2.2 MARITAL AND UNION STATUS

Marital or union status, regarded as one of the primary determinants of fertility in any population, is generally used to determine the degree to which women of reproductive age are sexually active. In populations in which most of childbearing activities occur within established unions, this can be approximated by nuptiality however defined or by the proportion of time women spend in formal or informal unions.

In Jamaica, as in many Caribbean countries, sexual unions occur not only in the context of these formal or informal unions but also in visiting relationships and especially in the case of young adults, within relationships that are not necessarily steady, such as having a boyfriend or girlfriend with whom there is some sexual activity. In the 1997 Survey, information was collected on whether or not the respondent had a boyfriend and if yes, whether or not they were having sex. Based on the answer given, one of the categories used in 1997 in the classification of current union status was "boyfriend, with sex". These questions were not
asked in the 2002 Survey. Accordingly, the categories used in the classification of current union status in this Report omits this classification and includes persons who are not currently in an established union as having "No Steady Partner".

The breakdown which has been employed is:
a) Legally married - a union in which a woman or a man is legally married and lives with her or his spouse.
b) Common-law - a union in which a woman or a man lives with a partner to whom he or she is not legally married.
c) Visiting - a union in which a woman or a man reports having regular sexual relations with a partner with whom she or he does not live.
d) No Steady Partner - that is, all respondents who were not in any of the three categories identified above.

Utilizing these concepts, the union status of females covered in the survey is shown by age groups in Table 2.2.1. The overall patterns are consistent with that expected in the Jamaican concept. A higher percentage, 19 percent in 2002 compared with 16 percent in 1997, were in the most stable union, legally married, while a lower percent, 19.7 percent in 2002 compared with 23.5 percent in 1997, were in common-law unions. Combined, there was a slight fall in the percentage of those in the two more stable unions. The percentage in visiting relationships as well as those with no steady partner showed slight increases.

The patterns in relation to age distribution showed little change on the overall. For those legally married, the fall in the age group 45-49 years observed in 1997 was maintained although there was a decline in the age group 40-44 years. In respect of common-law unions, the percentage in all age groups except for the age group 40-44 years showed declines while those in visiting relationships on the overall increased. These percentages are shown in Table 2.1 below. Table 2.2.1 sets out the relationships by age group. The pattern where higher percentages of women in visiting relationships were in the younger age groups was maintained and, in fact, the percentage in the 15-19 age group actually increased.

Table 2.i
Percent Distribution of Women Aged 15-49 Years By Current Union Status - 1993 CPS, 1997 RHS and 2002 RHS

| By Current Union Status |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Status | 2002 RHS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ RHS | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3} \mathbf{C P S}$ |
|  | 19.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Married, Living With Husband | 19.7 | 23.5 | 13.4 |
| With Common-Law Partner | 30.0 | 28.5 | 24.3 |
| With Visiting Partner | 31.3 | 31.9 | 32.1 |
| No Steady Partner |  |  | 30.2 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| All Women | $\mathbf{( 7 1 6 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 3 8 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 1 0 0}$ |
| No. Of Cases |  |  |  |

### 2.3 EMPLOYMENT STATUS

As mentioned earlier, selected socio-demographic characteristics will be presented as appropriate to allow for the assessment of their impact on the survey population. The main characteristics which has been presented in this Chapter are employment status, educational levels, frequency of attendance at religious services, parity and socio-economic status. Other characteristics which will be presented throughout the Report are: geographic areas which include area of residence, health region and parish, and these will be introduced as appropriate to the topic being presented.

The economic activity of the population and particularly of women is an important factor that influences levels of fertility. In this study, distinctions are made as to whether respondents were economically active, that is, whether or not they were in the labour force and if not, what was their status. This includes whether or not they were students, homemakers, at home and not keeping house, incapable of working, or in another category. Table $2 . i i$ gives the employment status of the survey respondents for 2002 with comparative percentages for 1997.

Table 2.ii
Percent Distribution of Women Aged 15-49 Years And Men 15-24 Years By Whether Or Not They Were Or Out Of The Labour Force

| Employment Status | Women Aged 15-49 <br> 2002 | Men Aged 15-24 <br> 1997 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 41.1 | 44.9 | 38.3 | 50.2 |
| $\quad$ Unemployed | 9.9 | 11.9 | 15.8 | 18.2 |
| Total In The Labour Force | $\mathbf{5 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| At Home | 37.0 | 29.0 | 10.9 | 2.6 |
| Students | 12.6 | 13.7 | 34.2 | 28.9 |
| Incapable Of Working / Other | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{7 1 6 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 3 8 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 4 3 7 )}$ |
| No. Of Cases * |  | $\mathbf{( 2 2 7 7 )}$ |  |  |

* Data missing for 2 men in 1997.

In the year 2002, a smaller percentage of both women and men were in the labour force than in 1997 while a higher percentage of both women and men were at home. Percentage-wise, less women were students in the latter period. The reverse is true for men.

Is there an impact by age on these movements and if so, in what age group is the greatest impact found? Tables 2.iii and 2.iv show, by age, percentages of females and males by whether or not employed as well as by other employment status categories. The age impact is clearly demonstrated in these two tables, for women and for men. In the case of women, employment rose with increasing age up to age 39 and fell thereafter. For men, as in the case of women, it rose with increasing age up to age 24 (the oldest age represented). For women, the percentage unemployed was highest among the $20-24$ year olds. For men, it was among the 18-19 year olds. As would be expected, students represented high percentages in the 15-19 age groups for both women and men.

Has there been changes to the employment status of women and men over the period? The percentages of the population inside the labour force has fallen between 1997 and 2002 for both women and men. For women, the converse was seen among those who stayed at home while for men, it was in relation to those who were students.

1 able 2. in
Employment Status By Age Group
Compared With 1997
Women Aged 15-49 Years

| Age Group | In The Labour Force |  |  |  | Not In The Labour Force |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employed | Un- <br> Employed | Total |  | At Home | Student | Other | Total | No. Of <br> Cases |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 18.0 |  | 24.3 | 57.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (985) |
| 20-24 | 33.1 | 15.8 | 48.9 |  | 41.5 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (923) |
| 25-29 | 46.7 | 13.3 | 57.0 |  | 37.4 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1148) |
| 30-34 | 50.3 | 9.0 | 59.3 |  | 39.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (1262) |
| 35-39 | 58.6 | 6.8 | 65.4 |  | 33.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (1122) |
| 40-44 | 49.8 | 8.1 | 58.9 |  | 40.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (885) |
| 45-49 | 53.4 | 4.2 | 57.6 |  | 40.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (648) |
|  |  | 1 | 9 | 9 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.7 | 15.3 | 26.0 |  | 17.2 | 56.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (960) |
| 20-24 | 40.8 | 20.4 | 61.2 |  | 27.9 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 46.6 | 11.5 | 68.1 |  | 29.2 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 56.6 | 9.1 | 65.7 |  | 32.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1195) |
| 35-39 | 61.5 | 7.7 | 69.2 |  | 30.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (934) |
| 40-44 | 51.2 | 4.6 | 61.8 |  | 43.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (610) |
| 45-49 | 59.4 | 4.3 | 63.7 |  | 35.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (421) |

Table 2.iv
Employment Status By Age Group
Compared With 1997
Men Aged 15-24 Years

| Age Group | In The Labour Force |  | Not In The Labour Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employed | UnEmployed | Total | At Home | Student | Other |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of } \\ & \text { Cases } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 20 | 2 |  |  | Total |  |
| 15-17 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 16.4 | 9.6 | 73.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (901) |
| 18-19 | 35.6 | 25.0 | 60.0 | 15.5 | 22.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 20-22 | 60.7 | 18.5 | 79.2 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (653) |
| 23-24 | 69.2 | 16.4 | 85.6 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (352) |
|  |  | 1 | 99 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 28.0 | 3.7 | 68.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (799) |
| 18-19 | 50.8 | 28.6 | 79.4 | 3.3 | 17.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (407) |
| 20-22 | 72.5 | 19.2 | 91.4 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (646) |
| 23-24 | 82.1 | 14.2 | 96.3 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (425) |

Data missing for 2 men in 1997.

As indicated earlier, any analysis of the population covered in the survey, both female and male, must take account of the activities of those who are employed; whether or not they are working regularly in their present job as well as the time spent on the job. These have already been explored in Tables 2.ii, 2.iii and 2.iv. To examine these factors in greater detail, it is necessary to go to the major tables. Table 2.3.2 looks at whether or not they are working regularly at their present job while Tables 2.3.3 and 2.3.4 identify percentages working by hours worked.

As was mentioned above, 41 percent of women and 37.6 percent of men were employed. Of these, 92.7 percent of women and 91.3 percent of men were working regularly. For women, the oldest age group, 45-49, had the lowest percentage working regularly ( 88.3 percent); for men, the youngest age group, 15-19, had the lowest ( 87.0 percent). For both women and men, the Kingston Metropolitan Area had the highest percentage ( 95.2 percent for women and 92.9 percent for men). The pattern in the other areas was not consistent.

As would be expected, the level of schooling for both women and men appears to have some influence on the regularity of work, lower among those with the lower levels of schooling and higher among those who have more years of education. Frequency of attendance at church services appear to have had less impact as the movements did not follow a regular pattern. With respect to union status, on the other hand, the pattern is for an increasing percentage among women, the less stable the union. In respect of men, the trend generally is in reverse. In respect to socio-economic status, higher percentage are observed for the higher status, reducing based on the ranking.

Table 2.3.3 gives the percentage of employed females ranked by groupings of numbers of hours worked. Here, the overall trend is not consistent, varying between age groups. On the overall, by far the highest percentage was in the category 40 hours. In general, there was no real consistency among the selected characteristics. Women appear to work longer hours than men, as may be seen when a comparison is made with Table 2.3 .4 which gives the corresponding information for men. Here, also, the patterns in all of the characteristics chosen show little consistency.

### 2.4 EDUCATIONAL LEVEL

Education is another important variable associated with fertility. Attitudes of women and men towards desired family size do have an impact on their behaviour. Thus, this study has cross-classified this variable with a number of the features being analysed. In the survey, education level was defined by years of schooling completed. In some instances, the breakdown identifies no schooling separately; in others, this category is grouped with completion of 1 to 9 years.

The percent distribution of female respondents falling into the category which identifies "no schooling" is shown by age in Table 2.4.1 for 2002 and 1997 and for male respondents, in Table 2.4.2 for 2002 only. As may be observed, only 0.1 percent of the female population surveyed in 2002 and 0.2 percent in 1997 had no schooling whatsoever. Accordingly, the category " $0-9$ years" is more frequently applied than the breakdown used in this table.

In all age groups, percentages rose as levels of education got higher. Also, on the overall, percentages increased up till the middle age groups, falling thereafter. This was not the pattern among respondents at the lower levels of education where it rose with age. For male respondents, the percentage on the overall rose with age in all age groups except among the age group 15-17 years.

### 2.5 RELIGION

It is generally felt that religious beliefs play some part in the attitudes and practices which impact on fertility. Attendance at religious services has been used as the factors depicting the extent of the religious feelings of respondents although earlier studies have shown a somewhat weak correlation between most of the variables studied and religion. Available data (not shown) demonstrate shifts in membership away from more traditional denominations towards non-traditional churches which are more revival in nature. Hence the movement away from the use of denominations to that of general church attendance.

Table 2.5.1 shows the relationship between female respondents in respect of their attendance at religious services for the year 2002 with comparable data for the year 1997; the corresponding table for male respondents for the year 2002 only is given in Table 2.5.2. The pattern of attendance of female respondents at religious services has remained virtually the same as in 1997. Slightly over one third of respondents attend religious services at least once per week and a little over 20 percent attend only on special occasions. The next highest group was among those who attend once per month. Only a small proportion, 10.2 percent in 1997 falling to 7.7 percent in 2002, indicated that they never attended at all. As in 1997, among the most frequent church goers, attendance was high in the 15-19 age group, falling off with increasing age until age 30 when it begins again to increase. In 1997, the increase took place from respondents over age 35. Male respondents attend less frequently than their female counterparts with attendance on special occasions more frequent than at regular services, the exception being among the 15-17 year olds.

### 2.6 CHILDREN EVER BORN

The number of liveborn children which a female respondent has will have an impact on her desire for more children. Hence, another variable studied is the number of children ever born. This can be seen in Table 2.6.1 for the years 2002 and 1997. The percentage of women with no children fell in 2002 over 1997 (from 31.4 percent to 29.6 percent) although among the younger age groups (in the range of $15-29$ years) there were increases in percentages. For women with one child ever born, that percentage also fell but those with two children as well as those with four or more, the percentages increased. In the case of male respondents, only 8.4 percent had fathered children, hence the impact of children would be less on male respondents than on female respondents. Accordingly, this variable is not used as frequently as number of liveborn children female respondents have had.

### 2.7 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

A further classification used to analyse the reproductive variables studied is the socio-economic status, based mainly on the types of possessions found in the respondent's household. These represent goods and services found in the home, an estimate of overcrowding and the type of water and sanitary services available. Goods and services include the ownership of items such as a refrigerator, a land-based telephone ${ }^{1}$, a computer and a working motor vehicle. The other items included are: the number of rooms in the house, as well as the types of piped water and toilet facilities available in the home. The educational level of the respondent as readership of newspapers is also considered. The index is divided into three categories: low, medium and high.

The socio-economic status of women has been cross-classified with almost all, if not all of the variables analysed and its impact has been identified in all of the topics studied in the following chapters. It is therefore useful to present the background of the index used in the study.

Table 2.iv below shows the percentages of female and male respondents falling in the three socio-economic status indices derived from the combination of factors used in the compilation of the index.

Table 2.iv
Percentage Weights Of The Indices Women Aged 15-49 Years And Men Aged 15-24 Years

| Index | Females | Males |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Low | 20.0 | 16.7 |
| Medium | 44.1 | 43.2 |
| High | 36.0 | 40.2 |

Thus, in 2002, a higher percentage of female respondents ( 20.0 percent) fell in the low scale than of male respondents ( 16.7 percent) while a higher percentage of males were in the higher scale ( 40.2 percent compared with 36.0 percent of females). In the middle scale, the percentage of females were slightly higher (44.1 percent compared with 43.2 percent for males). ${ }^{2}$

2 It should be noted that the index used in 2002 is similar to that used in 1997 but differs from the Index applied in 1993. In the 1993 Index, four levels were used: $1=$ High, $2=$ Medium, $3=$ Low, and $4=$ Very Low. For the methodology used in the 1993 Index, see 1993 Contraceptive Survey - Jamaica, Volume 1 - Administrative Report, Appendix II.

TABLE 2.1.1
Age Distribution of Women 15-49 Years By Five-Year Age Group
Compared With 1993 CPS And 1997 RHS
And 1992, 1996 And 2001 STATIN Estimates
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | 1992 <br> End Year <br> Estimates | 1993* <br> CPS | 1996 <br> End Year <br> Estimates | 1997 <br> RHS | 2001 <br> End Year <br> Estimates | 2002 <br> RHS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 20.8 | 21.8 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 18.4 | 17.8 |
| $20-24$ | 19.3 | 22.1 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 16.2 | 14.8 |
| $25-29$ | 17.3 | 19.2 | 16.6 | 17.7 | 15.7 | 14.9 |
| $30-34$ | 14.9 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 16.0 |
| $35-39$ | 11.5 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 14.6 |
| $40-44$ | 8.0 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 12.6 |
| $45-49$ | 7.2 | - | 7.8 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 9.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 615,933 | $(3,100)$ | 650,297 | $(6,384)$ | 683,408 | $(7,168)$ |

() $=$ Unweighted Cases.

* The 1993 Survey covered 15-44 year old women only.

TABLE 2.1.2
Age Distribution of Men Aged 15-24 Years By Five-Year Age Group
Compared With 1993 CPS And 1997 RHS
And 1992, 1996 And 2001 STATIN Estimates
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | 1992 <br> End Year <br> Estimates | 1993 <br> CPS | 1996 <br> End Year <br> Estimates | 1997 <br> RHS | 2001 <br> End Year <br> Estimates | 2002 <br> RHS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 53.2 | 53.8 | 53.9 | 53.0 | 54.6 | 57.3 |
| $20-24$ | 46.8 | 46.2 | 46.1 | 47.0 | 45.4 | 42.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | 239,485 | $(1,052)$ | 237,234 | $(2,279)$ | 232,194 | $(2,437)$ |
| 0 | $=$ | Unweighted Cases. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 2.2.1
Current Union Status Of Women Aged 15-49 Years
By 5-Year Age Groups
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Status | Total | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 19.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 15.7 | 24.1 | 26.9 | 38.2 | 35.7 |  |
| Common-Law | 19.7 | 4.5 | 20.9 | 27.9 | 25.7 | 23.3 | 20.0 | 17.4 |  |
| Visiting | 30.0 | 31.0 | 47.7 | 35.0 | 27.9 | 25.9 | 20.1 | 16.0 |  |
| No Steady Partner | 31.3 | 64.5 | 26.6 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 23.9 | 21.6 | 31.0 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No. Of Cases | $(7168)$ | $(989)$ | $\mathbf{( 9 3 7 )}$ | $(1176)$ | $(1311)$ | $(1160)$ | $(921)$ | $(674)$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 7 |  |  |  |
| Married | 16.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 12.7 | 21.8 | 27.0 | 42.0 | 32.9 |  |
| Common-Law | 23.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 30.4 | 33.8 | 30.7 | 20.0 | 21.7 |  |
| Visiting | 28.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 39.2 | 25.8 | 21.7 | 12.7 | 15.2 |  |
| No Steady Partner | 31.9 | 69.2 | 46.6 | 17.7 | 18.6 | 20.6 | 25.3 | 30.0 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No. Of Cases | $(6384)$ | $(960)$ | $(1031)$ | $(1233)$ | $(1195)$ | $(934)$ | $(610)$ | $(421)$ |  |

TABLE 2.3.1
Employment Status Of Women Aged 15-49 Years
By Five Year Age Groups Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Employment Status | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  | 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed Out Of Home | 33.9 | 7.0 | 28.8 | 39.9 | 42.7 | 47.4 | 39.3 | 40.8 |
| Employed At Home | 5.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 9.2 |
| Part-Time Out Of Home | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 |
| Part-Time At Home | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Unemployed | 9.9 | 9.9 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 4.2 |
| Student | 12.3 | 57.2 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| At Home | 36.1 | 24.3 | 41.5 | 37.4 | 39.1 | 33.3 | 40.6 | 40.9 |
| Other | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (985) | (923) | (1148) | (1262) | (1122) | (885) | (648) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed Out Of Home | 36.7 | 9.8 | 34.5 | 47.4 | 46.5 | 50.2 | 39.1 | 42.9 |
| Employed At Home | 8.2 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 16.5 |
| Part-Time Out Of Home | 4.7 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| Part-Time At Home | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
| Unemployed | 11.9 | 15.3 | 20.4 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 7.7 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| Student | 13.7 | 56.1 | 10.7 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| At Home | 29.0 | 17.2 | 27.9 | 29.2 | 32.9 | 30.0 | 43.1 | 35.6 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (6384) | (960) | (1031) | (1233) | (1195) | (934) | (610) | (421) |

TABLE 2.3.2
Employed Population By Whether Or Not They Work Regularly In Their Present Job
Women Aged 15-49 Years And Men Aged 15-24 Years
By Selected Demographic Characteristics (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Employed Respondents Working Regularly (At Least 5 Days Every Fortnight) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Working <br> Regularly | Percent <br> Not Working <br> Regularly | N | Percent <br> Working <br> Regularly | Percent Not Working Regularly | N |
|  | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |
| Total | 92.7 | 7.3 | $(3,014)$ | 91.3 | 8.7 | (922) |

Age Group

| 15-19 | 90.4 | 9.6 | (77) | 87.0 | 13.0 | (273) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-24 | 93.4 | 6.6 | (311) | 93.1 | 6.9 | (649) |
| 25-29 | 95.6 | 4.4 | (512) | -- | - | -- |
| 30-34 | 92.0 | 8.0 | (650) | -- | - | -- |
| 35-39 | 95.2 | 7.5 | (647) | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 93.9 | 6.1 | (460) | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 88.3 | 11.7 | (357) | - | -- | -- |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 95.2 | 4.8 | (612) | 92.9 | 7.1 | (151) |
| Urban | 94.7 | 5.3 | (1001) | 90.6 | 9.4 | (242) |
| Rural | 88.7 | 11.3 | (1401) | 91.1 | 8.9 | (529) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 85.1 | 14.9 | (217) | 83.0 | 17.0 | (72) |
| 10-12 | 88.8 | 11.2 | (941) | 89.6 | 10.4 | (394) |
| 13 Or More | 95.2 | 4.8 | (1814) | 93.7 | 6.3 | (451) |

Frequency Of Church Attendance **

| At Least Weekly | 91.8 | 8.2 | $(1183)$ | 92.6 | 7.4 | $(162)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At Least Monthly | 94.1 | 5.9 | $(606)$ | 91.3 | 8.7 | $(143)$ |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 90.7 | 9.3 | $(314)$ | 94.8 | 5.2 | $(120)$ |
| Special Occasions | 93.4 | 6.6 | $(692)$ | 90.2 | 9.8 | $(277)$ |
| Never | 92.9 | 7.1 | $(179)$ | 89.9 | 10.1 | $(209)$ |

Current Union Status

| Married | 89.9 | 10.1 | $(781)$ | $* *$ | $* *$ | $(12)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Common-law Partner | 92.4 | 7.6 | $(702)$ | 95.0 | 5.0 | $(62)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 92.8 | 7.2 | $(818)$ | 91.4 | 8.6 | $(494)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 95.3 | 4.7 | $(713)$ | 90.2 | 9.8 | $(354)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 79.8 | 20.2 | $(502)$ | 85.8 | 14.2 |
| High | 92.9 | 7.1 | $(1210)$ | 92.2 | 7.8 | $(181)$ |
| Medium | 96.6 | 3.4 | $(1302)$ | 92.7 | 7.3 | $(385)$ |
| Low |  |  |  |  | $(356)$ |  |

- Data missing for 42 women and 5 men.
** Data missing for 40 women and 11 men.

TABLE 2.3.3
Employed Population By Number Of Hours Worked Per Week - Women Aged 15-49 Years By Selected Demographic Characteristics
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Employed Population By Hours Worked Per Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & <10 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10<20 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20<30 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30<40 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | 40 <br> Hours | $41-<49$ Hours | $50+$ <br> Hours | Total | N |
| Total | 2.0 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 51.4 | 14.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (3040) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 10.3 | 53.0 | 13.1 | 14.1 | 100.0 | (77) |
| 20-24 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 55.7 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 100.0 | (312) |
| 25-29 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 57.3 | 12.5 | 14.2 | 100.0 | (517) |
| 30-34 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 52.7 | 13.3 | 15.9 | 100.0 | (655) |
| 35-39 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 48.8 | 16.5 | 15.3 | 100.0 | (654) |
| 40-44 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 9.5 | 47.0 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (465) |
| 45-49 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 47.2 | 13.5 | 15.6 | 100.0 | (360) |

## Residence

| Kingston Metro Area | 2.0 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 58.0 | 9.5 | 18.7 | 100.0 | $(614)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Urban | 1.5 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 55.2 | 15.2 | 15.6 | 100.0 | $(1012)$ |
| Rural | 2.4 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 42.6 | 16.8 | 12.3 | 100.0 | $(1414)$ |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ | 2.2 | 7.9 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 39.9 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 100.0 | $(217)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ | 2.7 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 9.7 | 41.4 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 100.0 | $(946)$ |
| 13 Or More | 1.6 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 7.2 | 57.2 | 12.9 | 14.8 | 100.0 | $(1835)$ |

Frequency Of Church Attendance **

| At Least Weekly | 2.2 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 53.7 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 100.0 | $(1194)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| At Least Monthly | 1.8 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 52.7 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 100.0 | $(609)$ |
| Rarely | 2.6 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 43.6 | 13.1 | 22.3 | 100.0 | $(319)$ |
| Special Occasions | 1.9 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 48.0 | 13.8 | 18.0 | 100.0 | $(697)$ |
| Never | 0.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 7.4 | 55.5 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 100.0 | $(180)$ |

Current Union Status

| Married | 2.5 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 9.3 | 52.4 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 100.0 | $(791)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common-law Partner | 2.6 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 48.6 | 14.3 | 17.5 | 100.0 | $(710)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 1.9 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 50.3 | 14.2 | 16.6 | 100.0 | $(820)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 1.1 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 53.9 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 100.0 | $(719)$ |

Socio Economic Index

| Low | 5.1 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 36.3 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 100.0 | $(505)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 1.4 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 47.8 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 100.0 | $(1221)$ |
| High | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 59.4 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 100.0 | $(1314)$ |

- Data missing for 42 women.
** Data missing for 41 women..

TABLE 2.3.4
Employed Population By Number Of Hours Worked Per Week - Men Aged 15-24 Years By Selected Demographic Characteristics (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Employed Population By Hours Worked Per Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & <10 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-<20 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-<30 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-<40 \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | 40 <br> Hours | $41-<49$ <br> Hours | $50+$ <br> Hours | Total | N |
| Total | 1.7 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 10.6 | 39.2 | 23.6 | 17.6 | 100.0 | (931) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 17.9 | 36.7 | 21.9 | 12.9 | 100.0 | (275) |
| 20-24 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 40.3 | 24.3 | 19.6 | 100.0 | (656) |

Residence

| Kingston Metro Area | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 45.9 | 14.7 | 26.4 | 100.0 | $(152)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Urban | 1.6 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 38.1 | 25.7 | 18.8 | 100.0 | $(249)$ |
| Rural | 1.6 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 14.1 | 37.0 | 26.3 | 12.9 | 100.0 | $(530)$ |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 12.6 | 34.0 | 19.8 | 25.1 | 100.0 | $(72)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10-12$ | 2.1 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 12.5 | 35.5 | 25.1 | 17.3 | 100.0 | $(398)$ |
| 13 Or More | 1.7 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 8.9 | 42.6 | 23.0 | 17.1 | 100.0 | $(456)$ |

Frequency Of Church Attendance **

| At Least Weekly | 3.7 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 44.1 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | $(163)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| At Least Monthly | 1.4 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 37.0 | 23.1 | 21.1 | 100.0 | $(145)$ |
| Less Than Once/Month | 0.0 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 11.1 | 32.0 | 21.8 | 25.8 | 100.0 | $(121)$ |
| Special Occasions | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 13.2 | 43.5 | 25.5 | 11.1 | 100.0 | $(279)$ |
| Never | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 11.2 | 36.0 | 27.6 | 17.5 | 100.0 | $(212)$ |

Current Union Status

| Married | *** | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | $* * *$ | 100.0 | $(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Common-law Partner | 0.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 9.6 | 52.7 | 8.9 | 22.7 | 100.0 | $(62)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 38.9 | 26.6 | 17.9 | 100.0 | $(501)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 2.9 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 12.3 | 37.3 | 21.6 | 16.0 | 100.0 | $(356)$ |

Socio Economic Status

| Low | 2.2 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 16.6 | 33.0 | 21.1 | 17.2 | 100.0 | $(182)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 11.6 | 42.1 | 23.2 | 14.7 | 100.0 | $(388)$ |
| High | 1.5 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 38.9 | 25.1 | 20.9 | 100.0 | $(361)$ |

* Data missing for 5 of the 931 men represented.
** Data missing for 11 of the 931 men represented.
*** Less than 25 cases.

TABLE 2.4.1
Educational Level Of Women Aged 15-49 Years
By Five Year Age Groups Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Educational Level | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 00 | 2 |  |  |  |
| None | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 1-9 Years | 7.8 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 22.6 |
| 10-12 Years | 32.7 | 38.3 | 26.1 | 24.8 | 33.0 | 33.6 | 35.8 | 38.5 |
| 13 Years Or More | 58.3 | 56.2 | 68.8 | 70.0 | 59.3 | 56.4 | 52.6 | 36.4 |
| Not Stated | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (989) | (937) | (1176) | (1311) | (1160) | (921) | (674) |
|  |  |  | 1 | 99 | 7 |  |  |  |
| None | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 1-9 Years | 33.8 | 28.7 | 18.9 | 23.3 | 30.7 | 41.3 | 57.8 | 69.1 |
| 10-12 Years | 52.9 | 61.9 | 65.5 | 60.3 | 52.9 | 43.8 | 31.2 | 19.6 |
| 13 Years Or More | 12.4 | 8.7 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 15.9 | 13.7 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| Not Stated | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (6384) | (960) | (1031) | (1233) | (1195) | (934) | (610) | (421) |

TABLE 2.4.2
Educational Level Of Men Aged 15-24 Years By Five Year Age Groups (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Educational Level |  |  |  | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0-9 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-\mathbf{1 2} \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | 13 Years Or More | Not Stated |  |  |
| Total | 7.4 | 40.4 | 51.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (2437) |
| 15-19 Yrs. | 8.0 | 44.9 | 45.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (1432) |
| 15-17 Yrs | 9.3 | 52.1 | 37.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (901) |
| 18-19 Yrs | 6.0 | 33.7 | 59.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 20-24 Yrs | 6.5 | 34.4 | 58.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (1005) |
| 20-21 Yrs | 6.8 | 35.4 | 57.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (653) |
| 22-24 Yrs | 6.2 | 32.8 | 60.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (352) |

TABLE 2.5.1
Frequency Of Attendance At Church By Women Aged 15-49 Years By Five Year Age Groups Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Frequency Of <br> Attendance At Church | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 37.8 | 41.4 | 26.6 | 29.1 | 35.0 | 32.6 | 48.9 | 52.3 |
| At Least Monthly | 18.5 | 16.0 | 19.8 | 21.4 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 17.2 | 15.0 |
| < Once Per Month | 12.7 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 10.1 |
| Special Occasions | 22.1 | 19.3 | 28.2 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 22.8 | 16.9 | 15.0 |
| Never | 7.7 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| No Response | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (989) | (937) | (1176) | (1311) | (1160) | (921) | (674) |
|  |  |  |  | 19 | 7 |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 32.0 | 33.0 | 26.2 | 23.9 | 28.4 | 34.4 | 49.1 | 46.5 |
| At Least Monthly | 18.7 | 20.0 | 18.9 | 20.3 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 15.1 | 17.3 |
| < Once Per Month | 14.1 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 12.2 | 11.3 |
| Special Occasions | 24.4 | 21.3 | 27.3 | 28.4 | 28.6 | 23.0 | 17.6 | 17.3 |
| Never | 10.2 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 5.3 | 7.7 |
| No Response | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (6384) | (960) | (1031) | (1233) | (1195) | (934) | (610) | (421) |

TABLE 2.5.2
Frequency Of Attendance At Church By Men Aged 15-24 Years
By Five Year Age Groups
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Frequency Of Attendance At Church |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At Least Weekly | At Least Monthly | < Once <br> Per Month | Special Occasions | Never | Not Stated | Total | N |
| Total | 22.5 | 16.6 | 13.8 | 25.7 | 20.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (2437) |
| 15-19 Yrs. | 25.3 | 17.6 | 14.1 | 22.7 | 19.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (1432) |
| 15-17 Yrs | 29.0 | 19.1 | 14.2 | 18.1 | 18.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (901) |
| 18-19 Yrs | 19.5 | 15.3 | 14.1 | 29.8 | 20.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 20-24 Yrs | 18.9 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 29.7 | 22.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (1005) |
| 20-21 Yrs | 20.7 | 15.2 | 12.8 | 28.1 | 22.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (653) |
| 22-24 Yrs | 15.7 | 15.3 | 14.3 | 32.4 | 21.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (352) |

TABLE 2.6.1
Number Of Live Births To Women Aged 15-49 Years By Five Year Age Groups Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| No. Of Live Births | Age Group |  |  |  |  | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 29.6 | 84.1 | 43.9 | 24.6 | 12.0 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 6.4 |
| One | 20.0 | 13.9 | 35.0 | 29.9 | 21.2 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 10.2 |
| Two | 18.3 | 1.8 | 15.1 | 24.9 | 25.2 | 22.9 | 21.9 | 20.3 |
| Three | 13.1 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 22.0 | 17.5 |
| Four Or More | 19.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 22.6 | 32.1 | 40.1 | 45.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (989) | (937) | (1176) | (1311) | (1160) | (921) | (674) |
| $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 31.4 | 79.4 | 41.8 | 20.9 | 12.1 | 12.8 | 4.4 | 6.8 |
| One | 21.4 | 17.4 | 32.9 | 30.5 | 20.7 | 14.5 | 7.7 | 12.4 |
| Two | 16.9 | 3.0 | 17.9 | 22.7 | 25.0 | 20.8 | 17.5 | 13.2 |
| Three | 13.1 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 14.1 | 21.6 | 19.1 | 30.2 | 14.1 |
| Four Or More | 17.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 11.9 | 20.7 | 32.9 | 40.4 | 53.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (6384) | (960) | (1031) | (1233) | (1195) | (934) | (610) | (421) |

TABLE 2.6.2
Number Of Children Fathered By Men Aged 15-24 Years
By Five Year Age Groups
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Percent By Number Of Children Fathered |  |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | One | Two Or More | Total |  |
| Total | 91.6 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (2436)* |
| 15-19 | 98.2 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (1432) |
| 15-17 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (901) |
| 18-19 | 96.1 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 20-24 | 82.8 | 13.0 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (1004) |
| 20-21 | 86.3 | 10.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (652) |
| 22-24 | 76.4 | 17.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 | (352) |

## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY AND FERTILITY-RELATED FACTORS

### 3.1 AGE AT MENARCHE AND SEXUAL EXPERIENCE

The age at menarche (first period) has a positive effect on fertility since it affects the time interval during which women could become pregnant. Thus, it is an important body of data in any study of fertility and reproduction. This subject was addressed by asking each respondent the question: "How old were you when your first period started (first started menstruation)?" From the answers given, the mean age at menarche can then be calculated. Table 3.1.1 gives this mean age which is further classified by current age, education level and the socio-economic status. Comparisons with results from the 1997 Reproductive Health Survey (RHS), the 1993 and 1989 Contraceptive Prevalence Surveys (in which the same question was asked) are also shown in Table 3.1.1.

The mean age at menarche in 2002 was 13.1 years, almost the same mean as in 1997, but lower than in 1993 and 1989 (when it was 13.8 and 14 years, respectively). In all four surveys, the mean age has risen with increasing age of the respondents. In 2002 survey for example, it increased from 12.7 years in the age group 15-19 years up to 13.6 years in the oldest age group ( $45-49$ years). An examination of age at menarche by education level and by the socio-economic status is presented only for the most recent surveys. In both surveys (2002 and 1997), the age patterns at first period decreased as the level of education got higher and as the socio-economic status moved to the higher levels. A likely explanation is that women with higher levels of education and higher socioeconomic status have better health and nutrition; in addition, better educated women tend to be younger than those with the lower levels of education and younger age was associated with lower age at menarche in both surveys.

The next area of study was that relating to women who ever had sexual relations. This has been studied in respect of their age and the frequency of their attendance at church. The results are presented in Table 3.1.2, with comparisons over the age breakdowns between the results of the 1997 RHS and the 1993 CPS and with respect to attendance at church, with the 1997 RHS survey results.

The proportion of women who reported sexual experience is fairly similar over the three surveys: 88.8 percent in 2002, 87.6 percent in 1997 and 88.1 percent in 1993. Differences are mainly in the younger age group, which tends to report sexual experience in a smaller proportion: 58.9 percent in age group 15-19 in 1993, falling to 51.4 percent in 1997 and falling further to 49.3 percent in 2002. In the age group 20-24, there was an increase from 90.5 percent in 1993 to 93.0 percent in 2002 (although the percentage had dropped to 89.9 percent in 1997). In all three years, the percentages were above the national average. The percentages continued to rise generally with increasing age although in 1997, there was a slight falling off at age 45.

With respect to frequency of attendance at church services, the lowest percentage of sexually experienced women in both 1997 and 2002 was in the category of those who attended most frequently, that is, at least weekly; and in both years, it rose as attendance became less frequent. It fell, however, for those who never attended although it still remained higher than the national level.

Table 3.1.3 presents similar data for men. Here, there was, in 2002, a slightly lower percentage of sexually experienced men than women ( 82.1 percent versus 88.8 percent). The proportion of sexually experienced men was lower than in 1997 ( 84.9 percent) and in 1993 ( 84.4 percent). The pattern of increased sexual experience with age was fairly similar over all three surveys. Also, the inverse correlation with the frequency of church attendance is similar in 2002 and with $1997{ }^{1}$.

Table 3.1.4 presents data for 2002 on the age at which women's first sexual experience took place as well as their age at the birth of their first child. Comparative data for 1997 are presented in Table 3.1.4 cont'd. In 1997, 13.5 percent of women had by age 15 years had their first sexual experience; in 2002, the percentage had risen to 16.1 percent. It remained higher in 2002 than in 1997 over almost all the age groups. However, the median age at first intercourse in 2002 was lower than in 1997 ( 17.0 years versus 17.3 years).

With respect to age at first birth, the percentages fluctuated between the two surveys, lower in some age cohorts and higher in others. For example, women who in 1997 had their first birth by age 18 represented 24.1 percent versus 23.0 percent in 2002; by ages 22 and 25 years, the percentages of women who gave birth to a first child were lower in 1997 than in 2002 ( 48.6 and 55.1 percent, respectively, in 1997, and 51.4 and 61.1 percent, respectively, in 2002) Overall, the percentage who experienced childbearing was higher in 2002 than in 1997 ( 70.1 percent compared with 59.8 percent) and the median age at first birth fell slightly(20.7 years in 2002 from 21.2 years in 1997).

The above table examined the age at which women's first sexual experience took place. Within this context, it is interesting to observe respondents' views on the ideal age when a child should be given sex education. This is explored in Tables 3.1.5 and 3.1.6. It should be noted that coverage of this question was restricted to young adult women only, that is, those between age 15-24 years. The majority of young women ( 63.5 percent) feel that the ideal age to be given sex education is at $10-12$ years, well below the average age of menarche.

There was little variation based on respondents' selected characteristics or geographic areas of residence. Women with less than 10 years of education (who were also more likely to be aged 15-19 years) were slightly less likely to favour sex education before age 13 compared to better educated women ( 69.6 versus 78.9 percentage). With respect to frequency of church attendance, the most favoured age groups were 10 to 12 years, increasing with lower frequencies of attendance. A similar pattern may be seen when examining it in terms of stability of unions. The pattern among socio-economic groupings was not so pronounced.

### 3.2 BREASTFEEDING AND POSTPARTUM INSUSCEPTIBILITY

In any study of fertility and its related factors, breastfeeding patterns play a significant role. Breastfeeding is important on two accounts. In the first instance, it can improve the health of infants, mainly through ensuring adequate nutrients and providing maternal antibodies to prevent disease in newborns. Secondly, by delaying the return of ovulation, it increases the length of the interval between pregnancies.

Breastfeeding is very common in Jamaica. In 2002, 95.2 percent of women who had a birth within the last five years prior to the survey breastfed their last child (Table 3.2.1). This was slightly lower than the comparative percentage of 96.7 percent in 1997. In 1997, the percentage of women breastfeeding was slightly higher in the Kingston Metropolitan area than in rural areas, 97.2 percent compared with 96.5 percent in the rural areas. In other urban areas, it almost at the level of the Rural Areas, 96.4 percent. The differences between the areas are, however, relatively small. In 2002, the differences continue to be small but unlike 1997, the percentage was highest in rural areas at 96.3 percent (slightly lower than in 1997). In both Kingston Metropolitan area and other urban areas, the proportion of women who breastfed had fallen (to 92.9 and 95.2 percent respectively).

In 1997, Health Regions 1 and 2 had the higher percentages ( 97.5 and 97.2 percent respectively), while the lower percentages of 96.9 and 95.1 percent were found in Health Regions 3 and 4, respectively. In 2002, the highest percentage was in Health Region 3 ( 96.5 percent) and the lowest ( 94.7 percent) was in Health Region 1. In both years, breast feeding was highest among mothers aged 25-29 years. In general, mothers in the younger age groups had lower percentages than their older counterparts except for mothers who were in the 45-49 age group as shown in 2002. This age cohort was not separated from the 40-45 age group in 1997. Finally, in both years, more mothers with higher levels of education breast fed their babies than those with lower levels. Here, again, the differences were small.

Table 3.2.2, looks at the percentage of women who had a birth in the 12 months prior to the Survey who: 1 . were still breastfeeding, 2 . were postpartum amenorrheic, 3 . had not resumed sexual intercourse and 4 , were postpartum insusceptible. The four conditions are cross-classified by residence, health region, age group and years of education. Comparisons are made with similar conditions in 1997.

The percent of women who had had a birth in the 24 months prior to the survey and were still breastfeeding rose in 2002 (from 56.0 percent to 60.3 percent). Percentages fell in the Kingston Metropolitan area (from 51.6 percent to 49.7 percent) but rose in the other two areas of residence. It also rose in all health regions. Four of the five age groups studied showed increases but some where of a greater magnitude than others; there was a slight fall in age group $25-29$ years (from 56.4 percent to 55.6 percent). In both surveys, breastfeeding decreased with increasing levels of education, but the 2002 levels were higher across all three educational sub-groups.

With respect to postpartum amenorrhoea ${ }^{1}$, the overall percentage rose slightly ( 23.6 percent in 1997 and 24.4 percent in 2002). The differences in the two years vary in degree by the characteristic examined. However, they are fairly consistent throughout.

With respect to the percentage of women who have not resumed sexual intercourse since the birth of their last child, here the percentage fell (from 29.0 percent in 1997 to 24.4 percent in 2002), with decreases generally in respect of the characteristics presented. Again, the patterns remain fairly consistent, varying only in degree with the characteristic being examined.

With respect to postpartum insusceptibility ${ }^{2}$, the percentages have also fallen (from 37.2 percent in 1997 to 34.5 percent in 2002). The fall was most marked in respect of area of residence in other urban areas and with respect to health regions, in Health Region 4. The movement in relation to age group was mixed with the most significant fall being in age group 30-34 years.

Table 3.2.3 presents percentages of women who were in the four conditions described in the preceding two tables, for 2002 and 1997 respectively. Here, the percentages are cross-classified by months since birth and the mean (in months). The mean months for breastfeeding has fallen from 13.3 months in 1997 to 8.7 months in 2002. It remained the same for postpartum amenorrhoea ( 5.6 months) but fell also for not resumed sex (from 6.8 months to 4.8 months) and for postpartum insusceptibility (from 8.7 months to 6.2 months).

The opinions of respondents about the ideal breastfeeding duration in 2002 have changed significantly since the 1997 survey, as shown in Table 3.2.4. In 2002, a far larger percent of women ( 25.0 percent in 2002 compared with 9.0 percent in 1997) expressed the view that $1-6$ months was the ideal duration of breastfeeding, whereas 41.7 percent of women indicated that $7-12$ months was the ideal (the corresponding percentage in 1997 was 33.9 percent). On the other hand, while only 13.4 percent in 2002 identified over one year, this percentage was much higher in 1997 ( 36.0 percent).

There was little difference in women's perception of the ideal breastfeeding duration according to the characteristics studied, except that a higher percentage of women in the youngest age group, 15-19 years, gave no opinion, due most likely to the fact that they had had little experience with breastfeeding. The opinions on the whole, reflected current practices, since the mean duration of breastfeeding was, in general, over 11 months (as shown in Table 3.2.1).

Although a very high percent of women breastfeed their babies, those women who did not breastfeed were asked for a reason why they did not. The answers are given in Table 3.2.6. The sample used in this question is very small so that the data should be regarded as setting overall trends. Nevertheless, almost half of the women ( 48.3 percent) indicated that their reason was that the baby refused. Under one-fifth ( 16.8 percent cited insufficient milk while a little over ten per cent ( 11.7 percent) indicated that the child had died. A slightly lower percentage ( 9.4 percent) stated that the child was sick.

The percent of women aged 15-49 years who had a birth in the 24 months prior to the survey who had not resumed sexual intercourse and who were accordingly postpartum insusceptible has been discussed. Tables 3.2.7 and 3.2.8 look in more detail at the length of time after birth those women took before resuming sexual intercourse. This examination is carried out by selected characteristics which include residence, health

[^0]region, parish, educational level, frequency of attendance at church services, socio-economic status and number of liveborn children.

### 3.3 CURRENT SEXUAL ACTIVITY

Current sexual activity is measured based on whether or not respondents had had sexual intercourse at least once in the past 30 days before the interview. The results are shown in Table 3.3.1. Some 54.4 percent of women interviewed were sexually active. This compares with 53.4 percent in 1997. Conversely, reported current sexual activity among men had decreased from 48.2 percentages in 1997 to 44.1 percentages in 2002.

The pattern is, as expected, influenced by the existing type of union relationship, with the more sexually active in the more stable unions and the less sexually active in the less stable or no union. Thus, 85.7 percent of women who were married and living with their husbands were sexually active with a slightly lower percentage ( 83.3 percent) of women in common-law unions; 65.8 percent were in visiting unions while 7.3 percent who were currently sexually active had no steady partner. The pattern was fairly similar in 1997.

For men, the total in 2002 was less than in 1997 ( 44.1 percent compared with 48.2 percent).
Comparisons based on union status is not possible due to the sample size, in one instance, and the lack of uniformity in the classifications (referred to earlier) on the other.

Sexually experienced women aged 15-49 and men aged 15-24 who were currently sexually active at the time of the survey are presented in Table 3.3.2, based on their age, years of education, current union status, frequency of church attendance and socio-economic status. In this table comparisons with 1997 are not shown, since it is only on the basis of current union status that it is available as is shown in the previous table. Here, the age impact is clear. Percentages are lowest in the age group 15-19. In the case of women, where the broader age group is available, the percentages rise to age group 25-29 and fluctuate thereafter. With respect to years of education, percentages are highest for both women and men in the middle level while they increase with rising levels for socio-economic status group. The movement based on frequency of attendance at church is inconsistent. Table 3.3 .3 carries the exploration even further. It explores the impact of the geographic areas - area of residence, health region and parish. In the case of women, the highest percentage is found in the rural areas and the lowest in the Kingston Metropolitan area; for men, the highest is in other towns while rural areas has the lowest. Health Region 2 has the highest percentage in the case of women while Health Region 1 has the lowest; for men, Health Regions 1 and 4 are among the highest.

Table 3.3.4 shows the relationship status of the last sexual partner cross-classified with the current union status of the women. Here, in 2002 as in 1997, almost all of the women in marital unions had their last sexual intercourse with their current partner. A slightly lower percentage of those in common law unions had their last sexual intercourse with their current partner. In the case of those in visiting unions, the last sexual intercourse was with someone other than their current visiting partner but rather with someone else described as a boyfriend. It should be observed that in relation to this category, there is a possibility of confusion, although interviewers have tried to avoid it. In the case of "boyfriend with sex", all are classified as having sex with a boyfriend because of the derivation of this variable. In 1997 when the question was asked more directly, the pattern observed was similar to that of "no steady partner" which would be a more accurate representation of the situation.

Tables 3.3.5 and 3.3.6 next explore the relationship status of women aged 15-49 years who are sexually experienced. The selected characteristics presented in Table 3.3.5 are age group, years of education, frequency of church attendance and socio-economic status while the breakdown by geographic areas are presented in Table 3.3.6. In general, most women reported stable relationships with their last sexual partners, regardless of background characteristics. A similar pattern was observed in the 1997 survey (Table 3.3.7).

Respondents who were not currently sexually active at the time of the survey, were asked a follow-up question to determine whether or not they were sexually active in the past three months. The question asked was: "Have you had sexual intercourse in the past three months?" The results derived from this question are shown in Table 3.3.8. Of those not currently sexually active in $2002,38.7$ percent were sexually active in the past three months prior to the interview. This compares with 35.4 percent in 1997. As in the case of currently sexually active women, those in more stable unions were more likely to report sexual activity than those outside of unions. Unlike those currently sexually active, though, women in marital unions were not as sexually active as those in common-law or visiting relationships. The definitional problem related to "boyfriend" again is obvious, which affects the comparisons with the 1997 survey.

### 3.4 FERTILITY RATES

Age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) and the total fertility rate (TFR), calculated for the two years prior to each survey, are given in Table 3.4.1 for the years 1975, 1983, 1993, 1997 and 2002. From this table, it can be observed that the TFR has consistently been falling over the past 27 years, reaching 2.5 children per woman in 2002. This represents a fall of $11 \%$ compared to 1997 and $45 \%$ (two children per woman) compared to 1975. The decline in 2002 was evident in the younger age groups (from 112 in 1997 to 79 in 2002 for age group 15-19 years and from 163 to 124 for age group 20-24 over the same period). It rose only slightly for the age group 25-29 (from 112 to 118) and women older than 34 years. Since women aged 15-24 contribute to $40 \%$ of the TFR, the recent decline in TFR is likely due to the decline in the age specific fertility rates among young adults.

In 2002, comparing areas of residence, the highest TFR of 2.8 was in the Rural Area, with 2.4 in the Kingston Metropolitan area and 2.2 in other urban areas. This was not the pattern in 1997. As in 2002, the highest TFR was in the rural areas. On the other hand, in 1997, the lowest was found in the Kingston Metropolitan area.

Table 3.4.3 examines the extent of childlessness in Jamaica. Data are shown for 2002, 1997, $1993^{1}$ and 1989. The extent of childlessness in Jamaica has been gradually falling over the period. In 1989 it was 33.2 percent, fell to 32.0 percent in 1993 and further to 31.5 percent in 1997 and 29.7 percent in 2002. Because of longer exposure to the risk of pregnancy, the percentage of childless women decreases with increasing age. In 1997, the highest percentage was in the Kingston Metropolitan area; in 2002 it was in other urban areas. The percentage rose the more schooling the women had received and also with the increase in socio-economic status. In general, the percentages fell with decreasing frequency of attendance at church services.

The rate of abortion in Jamaica is low and accordingly, the number of respondents reporting they had ever had induced abortions is very small. Table 3.4.4, however, gives an indication of these women's reasons for having an abortion. The most frequent reason (given by 30 percent of women who had had an abortion) was: "Could not afford another child". The percentage of women who offered this reason was lowest among the younger women ( 18.7 percent in the age group 15-29 years, rising to 41.0 percent among those 40 years and over). Next was that "the pregnancy was life threatening" ( 23.4 percent). For this latter reason, the highest percentage was among women in the youngest age group, that is, in age group 15-29 (26.0 percent) followed closely by those in age group 30-39 years ( 25.5 percent). The other significant reasons given was that the "respondent did not want children". In under five per cent of cases the reason was that the "partner did not want children".

### 3.5 PLANNING STATUS OF LAST PREGNANCY

A measure of the success of women and men in having their desired number of children at the time they want to have them is the extent of planning which takes place with regard to their family. Thus, questions have been included on the extent of planning which takes place. The questions relate to the current pregnancy or to the last live birth in the five years prior to the survey. Two main questions are asked: "When you became pregnant, did you want to become pregnant?" If not, "Was it that you wanted no more children, or that you just wanted to wait longer before another pregnancy?" On the basis of the responses to these questions, each pregnancy(either current or ended in live birth) has been classified as "planned", "mistimed", "unwanted", "unplanned, unknown status" (that is, not known whether mistimed or unwanted) or "unknown". Planned births are defined as those that were wanted at the time of occurrence; mistimed births are those that occurred earlier than intended (but were wanted at some time in the future); and unwanted births are those that were not wanted, either then or at any future time. Thus, the mistimed, unwanted, and unknown births can be combined as an estimate of unplanned births.

Table 3.5.1 provides such estimates for the women aged $15-44$ as a whole as well as a cross-classification by area of residence, number of liveborn children, age, years of education, socio-economic status and relationship status. Comparative information is given for 1997, 1993 and 1989. From this table, it will be observed that the proportion of planned conceptions has continually increased since 1989 (from 25.4 percent in 1989 to 29.1 in 1993, 34.4 in 1997 and 37.5 percent in 2002). Conversely, the percentage of "unwanted" pregnancies fell slightly over the period (from 19.9 percent in 1989, to 19.0 percent in 1993, 18.3 percent in 1997 and 15.9 percent in 2002).

1 The data cover women aged 15-49 years except for the year 1993 when only women 15-44 years were covered.

With regards to area of residence and to age, the emphasis has shifted slightly over the period. Whereas the extent of planning the birth was noticeably higher in the Kingston Metropolitan area in 1997, the degree of difference between the three areas in 2003 was less and, in fact percentages were slightly higher in the other urban areas over the Kingston Metropolitan area. With regards to age, the least degree of planning in 1997 was observed in the youngest age group ( 13.2 percent), rising with the increase in age up to age group 35-39 years (when it reached 45.2 percent), and declining thereafter. In 2002, the pattern of increase was similar, although the peak was at an earlier age group (30-34 years). Among all the other characteristics, the patterns from 1997 were maintained; rising percentages from the lowest levels of schooling and of socio-economic status to the highest. As would be expected, planning was highest among the most stable unions, decreasing thereafter with the lessening of stability in the relationship.

A further aspect of planning can be indicated by the desire for additional children. Accordingly, Table 3.5.2 has been presented to facilitate examination as to the extent of this desire. Again, comparisons with 1997 are provided. Of note is the fact that those desiring no children is less in 2002 than in 1997 ( 35.6 percent compared with 46.5 percent). Also, significantly more women, percentage-wise, desired four or more children in 2002 than in 1997 ( 4.8 percent compared with 1.6 percent), although these percentages are relatively small.

Finally, women were asked: "In your opinion, at what age is a woman responsible to have
her first child?" The answers are set out in Table 3.5.3. In both 1997 and 2002, almost half of the women felt that the age group 20-24 years was the ideal age group; in 1997, the next choice was for those 18-19 years while in 2002 it was the higher age group, that is 25 years and over. The selected characteristics used did not show any marked distinctions between the answers.

TABLE 3.1.1
Mean Age At First Menstrual Period
Women Aged 15-49 Years By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPSs 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  | 1989 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Characteristics | Mean Age At |  | Mean Age At |  | Mean Age At First Period N |  | Mean Age At |  |
| Total * | 13.1 | (7100) | 13.2 | (6259) | 13.8 | (3063) | 14.0 ( | (6070) |

Age Group

| $15-19$ | 12.7 | $(981)$ | 12.7 | $(950)$ | 13.2 | $(550)$ | 13.6 | $(1380)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 13.0 | $(928)$ | 13.0 | $(1020)$ | 13.9 | $(619)$ | 14.0 | $(1208)$ |
| $25-29$ | 13.1 | $(1169)$ | 13.3 | $(1210)$ | 13.9 | $(663)$ | 13.8 | $(1147)$ |
| $30-34$ | 13.3 | $(1304)$ | 13.4 | $(1174)$ | 14.0 | $(584)$ | 14.1 | $(837)$ |
| $35-39$ | 13.3 | $(1147)$ | 13.5 | $(908)$ | 14.1 | $(411)$ | 14.3 | $(618)$ |
| $40-44$ | 13.4 | $(910)$ | 13.6 | $(590)$ | 14.4 | $(238)$ | 14.3 | $(510)$ |
| $45-49$ | 13.6 | $(661)$ | 13.8 | $(407)$ | - | - | 14.6 | $(370)$ |

Years Of Schooling **

| $0-9$ | 13.5 | $(628)$ | 13.5 | $(2264)$ | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10-12$ | 13.2 | $(2505)$ | 13.1 | $(3235)$ | - | - | - | - |
| 13 Or More | 13.1 | $(3892)$ | 12.9 | $(7080)$ | - | - | - | - |

Socio-Economic Status

| Low | 13.4 | $(1684)$ | 13.5 | $(2228)$ | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 13.2 | $(3049)$ | 13.3 | $(2041)$ | - | - | - | - |
| High | 12.9 | $(2367)$ | 12.9 | $(1990)$ | - | - | - | - |

* Data missing in 2002 for 38 women. Also, 30 women reported that they never had sexual intercourse.
** Data missing for 75 women who did not remember at what educational level they had their first sexual intercourse.
Not covered in Survey or not available.

TABLE 3.1.2

## Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Had Sexual Relations <br> By Age And Church Attendance <br> Compared With The 1997 RHS And The 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Who Ever Had Sexual Relations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total * | 88.8 | (7168) | 87.6 | (6384) | 88.1 | (3110) |
| Age Group ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 49.3 | (989) | 51.4 | (960) | 58.9 | (553) |
| 15-17 | 32.1 | (568) | 37.7 | (567) | 44.1 | (340) |
| 18-19 | 72.5 | (421) | 71.5 | (393) | 79.9 | (213) |
| 20-24 | 93.0 | (937) | 89.9 | (1031) | 90.5 | (628) |
| 25-29 | 95.8 | (1176) | 97.4 | (1233) | 97.5 | (667) |
| 30-34 | 98.7 | (1311) | 98.6 | (1195) | 99.0 | (594) |
| 35-39 | 98.4 | (1166) | 99.0 | (934) | 99.0 | (420) |
| 40-44 | 100.0 | (921) | 99.7 | (610) | 99.3 | (248) |
| 45-49 | 99.7 | (674) | 98.9 | (421) | - | - |
| Church Attendance *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 82.6 | (2707) | 79.8 | (2060) | - | - |
| At Least Monthly | 91.9 | (1368) | 87.2 | (1223) | - | - |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 93.4 | (861) | 89.9 | (909) | - | - |
| Special Occasions | 93.5 | (1631) | 94.8 | (1532) | - | - |
| Never | 90.7 | (524) | 92.4 | (613) | - | - |

* Data missing in 2002 for one woman.
** The 1993 Contraceptive Prevalence Survey included only women 15-44 years of age.
*** Data missing in 2002 for 77 women.
- Not asked in the Survey.

TABLE 3.1.3
Percent of Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Have Ever Had Sexual Relations
By Age And Church Attendance
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Who Ever Had Sexual Relations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 82.1 | (2437) | 84.9 | (2279) | 84.4 | (1052) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 73.0 | (1432) | 73.9 | (1207) | 74.5 | (566) |
| 15-17 | 62.0 | (901) | 64.4 | (799) | 63.9 | (380) |
| 18-19 | 90.2 | (531) | 90.7 | (408) | 94.9 | (186) |
| 20-24 | 94.2 | (1005) | 97.6 | (1072) | 96.2 | (486) |
| Church Attendance * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 67.3 | (547) | 69.5 | (419) | - | - |
| At Least Monthly | 82.0 | (410) | 80.5 | (335) | - | - |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 86.7 | (329) | 83.6 | (319) | - | - |
| Special Occasions | 89.2 | (618) | 91.5 | (585) | - | - |
| Never | 86.5 | (503) | 92.4 | (610) | - | - |

* Data missing for 30 men.
- Not asked in the Survey.

TABLE 3.1.4
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years
Who Had Their First Sexual Relation And Their First Birth Before Selected Ages And Median Age At First Sexual Relation And First Birth By Current Age Group Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Current Age Group |  |  |  | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | $0 \quad 0$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Had First Sexual Relations Before: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 15 | 16.1 | [17.8] | 21.8 | 11.7 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 13.6 |
| Age 18 | 58.2 | [45.9] | 71.9 | 58.7 | 61.0 | 56.4 | 59.0 | 57.7 |
| Age 20 | 76.9 | [48.1] | 87.6 | 83.3 | 83.5 | 82.7 | 82.9 | 84.0 |
| Age 22 | 82.9 | 48.1 | [92.0] | 90.6 | 92.6 | 90.2 | 91.7 | 93.0 |
| Age 25 | 85.7 | 48.1 | [92.5] | ] 94.7 | 95.4 | 94.6 | 97.3 | 97.6 |
| Any Age | 87.2 | 48.1 | 92.5 | 95.2 | 98.4 | 98.1 | 100.0 | 99.6 |
| Never Had Sex | 12.8 | 51.9 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Median Age At First Sex (Years) | 17.0 | 17.1 | 16.6 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| No. Of Cases * | (6167) | (965) | (877) | (1028) | (1091) | (945) | (750) | (511) |
| Had First Birth Before: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 15 | 3.4 | [1.5] | 4.1 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 3.7 |
| Age 18 | 23.0 | [ 9.5] | 20.9 | 21.0 | 29.5 | 26.8 | 28.8 | 30.9 |
| *Age 20 | 39.7 | [15.8] | 39.1 | 40.3 | 46.9 | 48.4 | 46.2 | 51.5 |
| Age 22 | 51.4 | 15.8 | [52.2] | ] 54.7 | 59.9 | 62.1 | 63.5 | 65.6 |
| Age 25 | 61.1 | 15.8 | [56.1] | ] 69.4 | 70.3 | 74.5 | 79.5 | 77.3 |
| Any Age | 70.1 | 15.8 | 56.1 | 75.2 | 87.9 | 90.4 | 95.3 | 93.4 |
| Never Had A Birth | 29.9 | 84.2 | 43.9 | 24.7 | 12.1 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 6.6 |
| Median Age At First Birth (Years) | 20.7 | ** | 21.1 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 19.8 |
| No. Of Cases | (7082) | (988) | (935) | (1170) | (11296) | (1141) | (903) | (649) |

[] Time exposed partially truncated.

* Data missing for 1001 women who did not remember their age at first sex.
** Data missing for 86 women who did not remember when they had their first birth.

TABLE 3.1.4 Cont'd
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years
Who Had Their First Sexual Relation And Their First Birth Before Selected Ages And Median Age At First Sexual Relation And First Birth

By Current Age Group Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

[] Time exposed partially truncated.
.. Not applicable.

* Excludes women who did not remember their age at first sex or their age when they had their first birth.
** Less than 50 percent of cases had a birth, which is too few to calculate.

TABLE 3.1.5
Ideal Age When A Child Should First Be Given Sex Education By Selected Characteristics - Women Aged 15-24 Years (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ideal Age When Child Should First Be Given Sex Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 10-12 | 13-14 | 15-17 | $18+$ | Don't Know | Total | No. Of Cases |
| Total | 14.0 | 63.5 | 14.1 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (1910) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.3 | 62.8 | 15.7 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (984) |
| 20-24 | 14.7 | 64.3 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (926) |

Residence

| Kingston Metro. Area | 14.3 | 63.8 | 14.0 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (288) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Other Urban | 15.5 | 64.3 | 13.8 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | $(524)$ |
| Rural | 12.7 | 62.8 | 14.2 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | $(1098)$ |

Years Of Education*

| $0-9$ Years | 13.1 | 56.5 | 14.0 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 7.3 | 100.0 | $(100)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ Years | 11.2 | 64.6 | 14.7 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | $(681)$ |
| 13 Or More Years | 15.4 | 63.5 | 13.7 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | $(1112)$ |

Frequency Of
Church Attendance **

| At Least Once Per Week | 15.7 | 61.8 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (653) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At least Once Per Month | 13.9 | 63.4 | 15.8 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (352) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 14.6 | 63.7 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (239) |
| Special Occasions | 12.9 | 64.7 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (468) |
| Never | 9.2 | 67.5 | 11.2 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 100.0 | (180) |

Current Union Status

| Married | 23.7 | 60.3 | 12.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | $(65)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Common Law Union | 14.6 | 63.9 | 14.4 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | $(337)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 14.1 | 63.6 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | $(687)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 13.3 | 63.4 | 15.0 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | $(821)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 10.4 | 61.1 | 16.9 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | $(464)$ |
| Medium | 12.0 | 64.9 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | $(890)$ |
| High | 18.8 | 62.9 | 12.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | $(556)$ |

[^1]TABLE 3.1.6
Ideal Age When A Child Should First Be Given Sex Education
By Geographic Areas - Women Aged 15-24 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Geographic Areas | Ideal Age When Child Should First Be Given Sex Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10-12$ | $13-14$ | $15-17$ | $18+$ | Don't <br> Know | Total | No. Of <br> Cases |  |  |
|  | $\underline{14.0}$ | $\underline{63.5}$ | $\underline{14.1}$ | $\underline{4.9}$ | $\underline{0.9}$ | $\underline{2.7}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ | $\underline{(1910)}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.8 | 60.9 | 15.4 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | $(732)$ |  |
|  | 13.9 | 66.8 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | $(304)$ |  |
|  | 13.9 | 67.8 | 11.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 100.0 | $(456)$ |  |
|  | 10.3 | 64.0 | 14.1 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | $(418)$ |  |

## Parish

| Kingston | 23.1 | 59.0 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 100.0 | $(69)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| St. Andrew | 13.0 | 63.5 | 14.7 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | $(251)$ |
| St. Thomas | 13.5 | 55.8 | 26.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(102)$ |
| Portland | 21.9 | 62.2 | 9.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | $(87)$ |
| St. Mary | 4.9 | 77.1 | 11.6 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(107)$ |
| St. Ann | 16.3 | 62.3 | 12.3 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | $(110)$ |
| Trelawny | 10.0 | 64.7 | 17.8 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | $(115)$ |
| St. James | 7.6 | 63.1 | 17.5 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 100.0 | $(114)$ |
| Hanover | 14.1 | 66.3 | 14.7 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(108)$ |
| Westmoreland | 22.7 | 75.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(119)$ |
| St. Elizabeth | 9.7 | 59.2 | 15.1 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | $(138)$ |
| Manchester | 7.7 | 69.7 | 14.2 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(114)$ |
| Clarendon | 12.9 | 61.5 | 13.4 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 100.0 | $(166)$ |
| St. Catherine | 17.3 | 59.5 | 15.6 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | $(310)$ |

TABLE 3.2.1
Percentage of Women Who Breast-Fed Their Last Child And The Mean Duration of Breast-Feeding* By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS Women Aged 15-49 Who Had a Birth Within 5 Years of Their Interview 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Who <br> Breastfed Last Child |  | Mean Duration Of Breastfeeding <br> (In months) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2002 | 1997 | 2002 | 1997 |
| Total | $\underline{95.2}$ | $\underline{96.7}$ | $\underline{11.1}$ | $\underline{13.1}$ |

Residence

| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 92.9 | 97.2 | 10.8 | 12.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Urban Areas | 95.2 | 96.4 | 10.4 | 13.0 |
| Rural Areas | 96.3 | 96.5 | 11.3 | 13.7 |

Health Region

| 1 | 94.7 | 97.5 | 11.3 | 12.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 95.0 | 97.2 | 10.5 | 13.6 |
| 3 | 96.5 | 96.9 | 11.5 | 13.2 |
| 4 | 95.4 | 95.1 | 11.1 | 13.7 |

Age Group

| $15-19$ | 94.8 | 96.4 | 7.3 | 14.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-24$ | 95.0 | 96.2 | 9.5 | 12.6 |
| $25-29$ | 97.2 | 97.3 | 10.0 | 13.3 |
| $30-34$ | 95.2 | 96.8 | 13.1 |  |
| $35-39$ | 94.6 | $96.8)$ | $13.2)$ |  |
| $40-44$ | 95.5 |  | 11.4 |  |
| $45-49$ | 93.5 | - | 12.7 | - |

## Years Of Education **

| $0-9$ Years | 94.7 | 95.2 | 12.5 | 13.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10-12$ Years | 94.7 | 95.2 | 12.0 | 12.3 |
| 13 Years and More | 95.6 | 96.0 | 10.4 | 10.5 |

* Calculated using current status information rather than recalled duration. Mean duration of exclusive breastfeeding has not been calculated for 2002.
** . Data missing for 58 women.
- Not asked in the Survey.

TABLE 3.2.2
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had A Birth In The 24 Months Prior To
The Survey Who: 1. Are Still Breastfeeding; 2. Are Postpartum Amenorrheic;
3. Have Not Resumed Sexual Intercourse; 4. Are Postpartum Insusceptible

By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | BreastFeeding | Postpartum Amenorrhea | Not resumed Sexual <br> Intercourse | Postpartum Insusceptible* | No. Of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 200 | 2 |  |  |
| Total | 60.3 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 34.5 | (1145) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 49.7 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 33.1 | (175) |
| Other Urban Areas | 58.5 | 24.5 | 28.0 | 36.0 | (289) |
| Rural Areas | 66.0 | 25.3 | 22.9 | 34.3 | (681) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 57.0 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 34.0 | (449) |
| 2 | 66.6 | 31.2 | 25.3 | 41.3 | (176) |
| 3 | 62.4 | 20.4 | 20.8 | 29.2 | (277) |
| 4 | 63.1 | 24.4 | 26.0 | 36.4 | (243) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 Years | 66.5 | 29.9 | 40.9 | 50.8 | (125) |
| 20-24 Years | 61.3 | 23.6 | 24.9 | 33.4 | (265) |
| 25-29 Years | 55.6 | 21.7 | 19.6 | 29.5 | (288) |
| 30-34 Years | 58.9 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 29.2 | (256) |
| 35 And More Years | 59.9 | 26.5 | 22.4 | 34.6 | (211) |
| Years Of Education ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 69.6 | 32.1 | 22.2 | 36.8 | (94) |
| 10-12 Years | 64.3 | 28.1 | 22.5 | 37.1 | (389) |
| 13 Years And More | 57.7 | 22.0 | 25.8 | 33.3 | (654) |

* Amenorrheic and/or not yet resumed sexual intercourse at the time of the Survey.
** Data missing for 8 women.

TABLE 3.2.2 Cont'd
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had A Birth In The 24 Months Prior To
The Survey Who: 1. Are Still Breastfeeding; 2. Are Postpartum Amenorrheic;
3. Have Not Resumed Sexual Intercourse; 4. Are Postpartum Insusceptible

By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Breast- <br> Feeding | Postpartum <br> Amenorrhea | Not resumed Sexual <br> Intercourse | Postpartum Insusceptible* | No. Of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 199 | 7 |  |  |
| Total | 56.0 | $\underline{23.6}$ | $\underline{29.0}$ | 37.2 | (1294) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 51.6 | 19.7 | 27.6 | 33.5 | (242) |
| Other Urban Areas | 55.4 | 22.6 | 33.1 | 41.0 | (205) |
| Rural Areas | 58.4 | 25.9 | 28.6 | 38.2 | (847) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 53.5 | 21.2 | 28.5 | 35.7 | (390) |
| 2 | 58.0 | 26.3 | 36.9 | 43.3 | (287) |
| 3 | 56.0 | 19.9 | 26.2 | 30.5 | (320) |
| 4 | 58.4 | 28.0 | 26.6 | 49.1 | (297) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 Years | 60.5 | 27.3 | 44.2 | 49.5 | (179) |
| 20-24 Years | 53.4 | 20.7 | 30.8 | 36.3 | (364) |
| 25-29 Years | 56.4 | 24.0 | 21.0 | 31.3 | (318) |
| 30-34 Years | 55.8 | 24.9 | 27.5 | 38.9 | (255) |
| 35 And More Years | 56.1 | 23.7 | 19.1 | 31.0 | (178) |
| Years Of Education ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 60.4 | 28.0 | 25.2 | 37.5 | (457) |
| 10-12 Years | 55.0 | 20.5 | 30.8 | 36.7 | (723) |
| 13 Years And More | 46.9 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 39.9 | (108) |

* Amenorrheic and/or not yet resumed sexual intercourse at the time of the Survey.
** Data missing for 6 women.

TABLE 3.2.3
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had A Birth In The 24 Months Prior To
The Survey Who: 1. Are Still Breastfeeding; 2. Are Postpartum Amenorrheic;
3. Have Not Resumed Sexual Intercourse; 4. Are Postpartum Insusceptible

By Months Since Births Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| No. Of Months Since Birth | BreastFeeding | Postpartum Not Resumed <br> Sexual <br> Intercourse <br> Amenorrhea  |  | Postpartum Insusceptible | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20 | 02 |  |  |
| 0-2 | 97.6 | 80.0 | 84.9 | 91.1 | (146) |
| 3-4 | 85.3 | 34.5 | 48.0 | 67.8 | (123) |
| 5-6 | 75.3 | 22.9 | 12.0 | 29.3 | (81) |
| 7-8 | 63.6 | 17.6 | 26.3 | 34.3 | (79) |
| 9-10 | 62.0 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 24.0 | (105) |
| 11-12 | 52.1 | 11.3 | 16.9 | 28.2 | (84) |
| 13-18 | 46.6 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 13.8 | (305) |
| 19-24 | 32.0 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 11.4 | (222) |
| Total | 60.3 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 34.5 | (1145) |
| Mean (In Months) | 8.7 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 6.2 | ... |
|  |  | 19 | 97 |  |  |
| 0-2 | 97.4 | 83.3 | 93.0 | 98.8 | (157) |
| 3-4 | 75.3 | 44.5 | 64.9 | 78.1 | (94) |
| 5-6 | 87.4 | 35.5 | 30.1 | 49.8 | (98) |
| 7-8 | 59.0 | 24.4 | 22.6 | 40.7 | (111) |
| 9-10 | 60.8 | 17.4 | 24.3 | 31.9 | (138) |
| 11-12 | 46.8 | 9.0 | 16.1 | 23.0 | (112) |
| 13-18 | 41.1 | 5.6 | 10.9 | 15.9 | (312) |
| 19-24 | 22.8 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 9.9 | (271) |
| Total | 56.0 | 23.6 | 29.0 | 37.2 | (1294) |
| Mean (In Months) | 13.3 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 8.7 | $\ldots$ |

TABLE 3.2.4
Respondents' Opinions Of Ideal Breast-Feeding Duration - Women Aged 15-49 Years By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Totals (Percent Distribution)

## 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ideal Desired Breastfeeding Duration |  |  |  |  | Total | No. Of <br> Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1-6 \\ \text { Months } \end{gathered}$ | 7-12 <br> Months | $>12$ <br> Months | As Long as Possible | Not Stated |  |  |
|  |  |  | 200 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total | 25.6 | 41.7 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | (7156)* |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 27.9 | 40.7 | 12.5 | 7.3 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (988) |
| 20-24 | 24.5 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 27.3 | 40.0 | 13.2 | 14.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | (1175) |
| 30-34 | 25.8 | 40.0 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 25.1 | 41.7 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (1157) |
| 40-44 | 24.0 | 42.5 | 12.2 | 18.6 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (916) |
| 45-49 | 23.1 | 44.2 | 15.2 | 15.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (672) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 28.7 | 36.6 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 5.6 | 100.0 | (1140) |
| Urban | 26.9 | 40.8 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 | (2076) |
| Rural | 23.1 | 44.9 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (3940) |
| Years Of Education ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 15.6 | 44.0 | 20.1 | 15.2 | 5.1 | 100.0 | (637) |
| 10-12 Years | 21.7 | 43.7 | 14.3 | 15.1 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (2526) |
| 13 Years Or More | 29.0 | 40.4 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (3916) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 24.8 | 42.4 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (2702) |
| At Least Monthly | 28.4 | 41.4 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 5.2 | 100.0 | (1367) |
| Less Than Once A Month | 24.2 | 41.4 | 13.8 | 15.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | (860) |
| Special Occasions | 25.6 | 41.4 | 12.5 | 15.9 | 4.6 | 100.0 | (1627) |
| Never | 24.0 | 40.9 | 16.0 | 12.9 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (523) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 26.0 | 42.6 | 13.0 | 15.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (1542) |
| Common-law Partner | 23.3 | 42.1 | 16.0 | 14.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (1733) |
| Visiting Partner | 25.5 | 42.8 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 4.7 | 100.0 | (1959) |
| No Current Partner | 27.0 | 39.8 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (313) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 22.4 | 43.8 | 16.0 | 13.3 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (1702) |
| Medium | 22.9 | 43.6 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (3073) |
| High | 30.8 | 38.2 | 11.2 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 | (2381) |

[^2]TABLE 3.2.4 Cont'd
Respondents' Opinions Of Ideal Breast-Feeding Duration - Women Aged 15-49 Years By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Special Characteristics | Ideal Desired Breastfeeding Duration |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. Of } \\ & \text { Cases } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-6 <br> Months | 7-12 <br> Months | $>12$ <br> Months | As Long as Possible | Not Stated |  |  |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9.0 | 33.9 | 36.0 | 13.8 | 7.2 | 100.0 | (6384) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.2 | 29.4 | 32.0 | 10.0 | 18.6 | 100.0 | (960) |
| 20-24 | 9.9 | 35.9 | 34.0 | 13.6 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 11.2 | 34.3 | 36.0 | 14.3 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 7.5 | 37.6 | 36.8 | 14.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (1195) |
| 35-39 | 5.6 | 35.8 | 39.7 | 15.1 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (934) |
| 40-44 | 8.6 | 30.9 | 41.6 | 15.7 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (610) |
| 45-49 | 7.8 | 33.1 | 37.9 | 18.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (421) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 11.2 | 34.5 | 32.8 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 100.0 | (1450) |
| Urban | 9.5 | 34.4 | 36.1 | 12.6 | 7.5 | 100.0 | (1094) |
| Rural | 7.2 | 33.4 | 38.4 | 15.6 | 5.5 | 100.0 | (3840) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 7.4 | 30.8 | 41.0 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| 10-12 Years | 9.3 | 35.3 | 34.4 | 13.1 | 8.0 | 100.0 | (3285) |
| 13 Years Or More | 11.8 | 36.9 | 31.1 | 15.1 | 5.2 | 100.0 | (719) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 8.3 | 33.8 | 35.5 | 14.8 | 7.7 | 100.0 | (2060) |
| At Least Monthly | 7.0 | 33.6 | 39.3 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (1223) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 8.1 | 38.2 | 30.8 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 100.0 | (909) |
| Special Occasions | 10.6 | 33.3 | 37.5 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 100.0 | (1532) |
| Never | 12.5 | 30.3 | 35.8 | 13.5 | 7.9 | 100.0 | (613) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 6.6 | 35.1 | 38.2 | 16.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (1185) |
| Common-law Partner | 9.4 | 31.6 | 40.4 | 15.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (1839) |
| Visiting Partner | 10.0 | 36.7 | 35.7 | 11.9 | 5.7 | 100.0 | (1624) |
| Boyfriend / With Sex | 8.7 | 33.3 | 35.7 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 100.0 | (265) |
| No Steady Partner | 9.0 | 32.5 | 31.7 | 13.3 | 13.5 | 100.0 | (1471) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 11.1 | 36.3 | 30.4 | 14.2 | 8.0 | 100.0 | (2025) |
| Medium | 8.9 | 33.1 | 38.0 | 12.7 | 7.3 | 100.0 | (2088) |
| High | 6.4 | 31.7 | 41.2 | 14.7 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (2271) |
| 1993 Total | 12.3 | 35.7 | 37.6 | 9.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | (3095) |

TABLE 3.2.5

## Respondents' Opinions Of Ideal Breast-Feeding Duration - Women Aged 15-49 Years By Geographic Areas - Percent Distribution 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Ideal Desired Breastfeeding Duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Areas | 1-6 <br> Months | $7-12$ <br> Months | $\begin{aligned} & >12 \\ & \text { Months } \end{aligned}$ | As Long as Possible | Not Stated | Total | No. Of Cases |
|  |  |  | 2 | 02 |  |  |  |
| Total | $\underline{25.6}$ | 41.7 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | (7156)* |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 26.3 | 38.3 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 4.8 | 100.0 | (2966) |
| Region 2 | 22.6 | 44.4 | 12.4 | 14.6 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (1174) |
| Region 3 | 28.7 | 40.5 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 | (1601) |
| Region 4 | 23.4 | 49.2 | 12.5 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 100.0 | (1415) |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 20.8 | 40.5 | 17.3 | 13.9 | 7.5 | 100.0 | (240) |
| St. Andrew | 28.8 | 37.3 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 | (1042) |
| St. Thomas | 18.3 | 52.3 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (408) |
| Portland | 22.4 | 55.7 | 12.8 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (383) |
| St. Mary | 17.3 | 45.3 | 14.0 | 20.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (404) |
| St. Ann | 26.0 | 37.5 | 11.1 | 15.6 | 9.8 | 100.0 | (387) |
| Trelawny | 20.7 | 37.2 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | (375) |
| St. James | 19.5 | 50.7 | 11.4 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (401) |
| Hanover | 15.0 | 48.2 | 14.5 | 19.9 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (399) |
| Westmoreland | 48.2 | 28.8 | 4.2 | 16.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (426) |
| St. Elizabeth | 26.4 | 45.4 | 13.3 | 9.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 | (509) |
| Manchester | 22.6 | 56.9 | 10.8 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (388) |
| Clarendon | 22.2 | 44.9 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (518) |
| St. Catherine | 25.8 | 37.0 | 14.9 | 17.6 | 4.7 | 100.0 | (1276) |

TABLE 3.2.6
Reasons Why Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have
Never Breast-Fed Did Not By Selected Characteristics
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY
Reasons Why Respondent Never Breast-Fed Last Child

| Selected Characteristics | Inconvenient | Had Nowhere |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Had To Work | Insufficient Milk | To Store Milk | Baby Refused | Child Sick | Child <br> Died | Other | Total | No of Cases |
| Total | 1.9 | $\underline{0.6}$ | 16.8 | 0.0 | 48.3 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 100.0 | (217) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (9) |
| 20-24 | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | (21) |
| 25-29 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 9.4 | 14.3 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (25) |
| 30-34 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 31.9 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 11.2 | 100.0 | (50) |
| 35-39 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 8.6 | 100.0 | (42) |
| 40-44 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 60.5 | 3.9 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 100.0 | (34) |
| 45-49 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 55.3 | 9.6 | 14.5 | 10.2 | 100.0 | (36) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 3.1 | 1.2 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 40.6 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 13.3 | 100.0 | (47) |
| Other Urban | 2.8 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 12.3 | 100.0 | (63) |
| Rural | 0.0 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 10.2 | 16.2 | 8.4 | 100.0 | (107) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 8.2 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 100.0 | (93) |
| Region 2 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 4.7 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 100.0 | (45) |
| Region 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 60.5 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (35) |
| Region 4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 100.0 | (44) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 48.3 | 4.2 | 21.9 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (29) |
| 10-12 Years | 0.0 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 56.8 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 6.5 | 100.0 | (87) |
| 13 Years Or More | 3.7 | 0.7 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 15.7 | 100.0 | (100) |
| Frequency Of Attendance at Religious Services* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 3.0 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 48.8 | 8.0 | 16.6 | 12.4 | 100.0 | (72) |
| At Least Monthly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 19.9 | 4.9 | 13.5 | 100.0 | (38) |
| Less Than Once A Month | 2.6 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.2 | 100.0 | (36) |
| Special Occasions | 2.1 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (47) |
| Never | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 50.7 | 3.9 | 10.5 | 17.8 | 100.0 | (23) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 4.3 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 7.0 | 12.7 | 7.1 | 100.0 | (50) |
| Common-law Partner | 1.9 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 12.7 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (63) |
| Visiting Partner | 1.6 | 0.9 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 37.6 | 7.7 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 100.0 | (57) |
| No Current Partner | 0.0 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 14.4 | 100.0 | (47) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 11.7 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 100.0 | (59) |
| Medium | 2.1 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 100.0 | (87) |
| High | 2.8 | 1.8 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 12.3 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (71) |

* Data missing for one woman. ** Less than 25 cases.

TABLE 3.2.7
Number Of Weeks After Last Baby's Birth Before Resuming Sexual Relations
Women Aged 15-49 Years By Selected Characteristics
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY
(Percent Distribution)

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Resuming Sexual Relations After: |  |  |  |  | Not <br> Yet <br> Resumed |  |  | No. Of <br> Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<1$ <br> Week | $1-4$ <br> Weeks | $5-8$ <br> Weeks | $9-52$ <br> Weeks | More Than One Year |  | Not <br> Remember | Total |  |
| Total | 0.1 | $\underline{2.3}$ | 17.1 | 55.0 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 100.0 | (3100) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 0.0 | 0.7 | 20.6 | 47.1 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 13.8 | 100.0 | (280) |
| 10-12 Years | 0.2 | 1.9 | 17.2 | 56.7 | 4.7 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 100.0 | (1132) |
| 13 Years Or More | 0.0 | 2.7 | 16.4 | 55.0 | 6.7 | 10.7 | 8.4 | 100.0 | (1666) |
| Frequency Of <br> Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 0.0 | 2.2 | 19.2 | 52.7 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 100.0 | (869) |
| At Least Monthly | 0.2 | 2.1 | 16.0 | 57.8 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 8.6 | 100.0 | (606) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.8 | 53.8 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 7.5 | 100.0 | (433) |
| Special Occasions | 0.2 | 2.2 | 15.8 | 55.9 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 100.0 | (864) |
| Never | 0.0 | 5.5 | 11.9 | 54.6 | 5.1 | 12.6 | 10.1 | 100.0 | (296) |

Socio-Economic Index

| Low | 0.1 | 2.3 | 18.3 | 54.3 | 5.9 | 11.9 | 7.2 | 100.0 | $(1118)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 0.1 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 56.5 | 5.6 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 100.0 | $(1327)$ |
| High | 0.0 | 2.6 | 17.3 | 53.1 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 100.0 | $(665)$ |

Birth Order

| 1 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 15.3 | 54.1 | 6.9 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 100.0 | $(934)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2-3$ | 0.0 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 56.4 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 100.0 | $(1312)$ |
| $4-5$ | 0.0 | 1.6 | 16.0 | 55.1 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 100.0 | $(596)$ |
| $6+$ | 0.4 | 0.9 | 21.5 | 51.7 | 4.6 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 | $(258)$ |

Age Of Mother At Birth

| Under 20 Years | 0.0 | 3.0 | 16.1 | 55.6 | 6.3 | 12.3 | 6.6 | 100.0 | $(480)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-29$ Years | 0.0 | 2.3 | 17.7 | 56.4 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 100.0 | $(1603)$ |
| $30-39$ Years | 0.1 | 1.9 | 16.4 | 52.8 | 6.4 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 100.0 | $(921)$ |
| $40-49$ Years | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 49.4 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 14.9 | 100.0 | $(96)$ |

- Data missing for 22 women.
** Data missing for 32 women.

TABLE 3.2.8
Number Of Weeks After Last Baby's Birth Before Resuming Sexual Relations
Women Aged 15-49 Years By Geographic Regions
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY
(Percent Distribution)

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Resuming Sexual Relations After: |  |  |  |  | Not Yet Resumed | Does <br> Not <br> Remember | Total | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} <1 \\ \text { Week } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-4 \\ \text { Weeks } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-8 \\ \text { Weeks } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9-52 \\ & \text { Weeks } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | More Than One Year |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.1 | 2.3 | 17.1 | 55.0 | 6.2 | 9.5 | $\underline{9.8}$ | 100.0 | (3100) |
| Kingston Metro Area | 0.0 | 4.0 | 16.7 | 52.3 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 10.9 | 100.0 | (410) |
| Other Urban | 0.1 | 2.1 | 14.7 | 55.2 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 100.0 | (841) |
| Rural | 0.0 | 1.7 | 18.6 | 55.9 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 100.0 | (1849) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 22.5 | 72.3 | 6.6 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (1559) |
| Region 2 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 19.8 | 77.1 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 15.7 | 100.0 | (549) |
| Region 3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 17.3 | 80.8 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 100.0 | (838) |
| Region 4 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 25.6 | 70.9 | 5.5 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 100.0 | (732) |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 0.0 | 7.4 | 14.0 | 54.4 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 100.0 | (106) |
| St. Andrew | 0.0 | 2.8 | 18.2 | 52.3 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 10.4 | 100.0 | (372) |
| St. Thomas | 0.0 | 7.1 | 23.4 | 51.1 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 100.0 | (183) |
| Portland | 0.0 | 0.4 | 18.3 | 61.0 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 100.0 | (204) |
| St. Mary | 0.0 | 1.9 | 8.8 | 43.2 | 10.6 | 12.7 | 22.8 | 100.0 | (168) |
| St. Ann | 0.4 | 2.9 | 22.8 | 45.2 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 17.3 | 100.0 | (165) |
| Trelawny | 0.0 | 1.5 | 17.9 | 51.8 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 11.2 | 100.0 | (158) |
| St. James | 0.0 | 0.4 | 11.9 | 53.9 | 5.1 | 16.6 | 12.2 | 100.0 | (204) |
| Hanover | 0.0 | 1.4 | 17.1 | 64.2 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 9.6 | 100.0 | (190) |
| Westmoreland | 0.0 | 1.7 | 10.3 | 70.9 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (175) |
| St. Elizabeth | 0.0 | 1.6 | 25.5 | 39.7 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 16.5 | 100.0 | (228) |
| Manchester | 0.0 | 0.8 | 33.4 | 47.8 | 2.0 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (175) |
| Clarendon | 0.0 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 64.8 | 6.2 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (254) |
| St. Catherine | 0.2 | 1.7 | 13.6 | 59.4 | 5.6 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 100.0 | (518) |

TABLE 3.3.1
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Currently Sexually Active* By Current Relationship Status Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | WOMEN |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 54.4 | (7168) | 53.4 | (6384) | 44.1 | (2437) | 48.2 | (2279) |
| Married | 85.7 | (1542) | 81.8 | (1185) | ** | (13) | 90.5) | (177) |
| Common Law Partner | 83.3 | $\begin{gathered} f \\ (1733) \end{gathered}$ | 80.1 | (1839) | 87.8 | (83) | ) |  |
| Visiting Partner | 65.8 | (1959) | 66.2 | (1624) | 69.2 | (983) | 74.9 | (691) |
| Girlfriend/Boyfriend With Sex | -- | -- | 54.2 | (265) | -- | -- | 65.1 | (458) |
| No Steady Partner | 7.3 | (1934) | 2.8 | (1471) | 21.1 | (1358) | 10.2 | (953) |

* "Sexually Active" is defined as having had sexual relations in the past 30 days.
** Less than 25 cases.
-- Not covered in the survey.

TABLE 3.3.2
Percent of Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-49 Years And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Currently Sexually Active

By Selected Characteristics
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | WOMEN |  | MEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 61.4 | (6558) | 53.8 | (1981) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.5 | (506) | 41.8 | (1028) |
| 20-24 | 60.1 | (888) | 66.3 | (953) |
| 25-29 | 68.8 | (1142) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 30-34 | 64.7 | (1293) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 35-39 | 61.2 | (1145) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 40-44 | 65.5 | (915) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 45-46 | 54.1 | (699) | n.a. | n.a. |
| Years Of Education** |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 57.8 | (607) | 52.7 | (158) |
| 10-12 Years | 64.9 | (2301) | 54.2 | (820) |
| 13 Years Or More | 60.0 | (3576) | 53.8 | (987) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 85.7 | (1540) | ** | (13) |
| Common-law Partner | 83.4 | (1728) | 87.8 | (83) |
| Visiting Partner | 65.4 | (1947) | 69.5 | (978) |
| No Current Partner | 11.2 | (1343) | 31.5 | (907) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance ${ }^{\text {*** }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 59.0 | (2343) | 39.1 | (361) |
| At Least Monthly | . 64.5 | (1281) | 52.5 | (331) |
| Least Than Once Per Month | 63.2 | (817) | 59.2 | (280) |
| Special Occasions | 61.6 | (1558) | 59.7 | (549) |
| Never | 60.4 | (486) | 56.1 | (436) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 58.6 | (1608) | 52.0 | (377) |
| Medium | 61.1 | (2814) | 52.4 | (846) |
| High | 63.4 | (2136) | 56.1 | (758) |

* Data missing for 74 women and 16 men.
** Less than 25 cases.
*** Data missing for 73 women and 24 men.
n.a. Not applicable.

TABLE 3.3.3
Percent of Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-49 Years And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Currently Sexually Active

By Geographic Areas
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Geographic Areas | WOMEN |  | MEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 61.4 | (6558) | 53.8 | (1981) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 57.6 | (1039) | 55.3 | (331) |
| Other Towns | 58.7 | (1910) | 57.1 | (549) |
| Rural Areas | 65.2 | (3609) | 50.9 | (1101) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 58.8 | (2734) | 54.6 | (782) |
| Region 2 | 65.4 | (1088) | 51.0 | (380) |
| Region 3 | 64.6 | (1444) | 52.8 | (424) |
| Region 4 | 63.1 | (1292) | 54.4 | (395) |
| $\underline{\text { Parish * }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Hanover | 72.9 | (363) | 55.8 | (82) |
| St. Thomas | 70.2 | (375) | 69.9 | (63) |
| St. Ann | 68.7 | (351) | 51.6 | (161) |
| St. Elizabeth | 68.5 | (471) | 49.6 | (127) |
| St. Mary | 64.7 | (374) | 53.4 | (128) |
| Westmoreland | 64.5 | (387) | 62.1 | (132) |
| Trelawny | 64.3 | (333) | 54.6 | (85) |
| Manchester | 61.9 | (347) | 55.0 | (126) |
| St. James | 61.3 | (361) | 42.7 | (125) |
| Portland | 60.7 | (363) | 45.5 | (91) |
| Clarendon | 60.5 | (474) | 56.6 | (142) |
| St. Andrew | 59.1 | (949) | 55.3 | (303) |
| St. Catherine | 57.5 | (1188) | 51.4 | (348) |
| Kingston | 56.0 | (222) | 65.2 | (68) |

* Parishes are listed in descending order of women who are sexually active.

TABLE 3.3.4
Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner
By Current Relationship Status Compared With 1997 RHS
Women Aged 15-49 Who Are Sexually Experienced (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Current <br> Relationship <br> Status | Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Common Law | Visiting Partner | Boy Friend | Other | Total | No. Of Cases |
| 20002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1332) |
| Common Law Union | 94.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1454) |
| Visiting Partner | 4.4 | 82.9 | 11.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (1331) |
| No Steady Partner | 20.3 | 31.9 | 28.3 | 19.5 | 100.0 | (159) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 99.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (969) |
| Common Law Union | 94.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1474) |
| Visiting Partner | 2.3 | 89.9 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (1094) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | 18.3 | 21.8 | 27.9 | 32.1 | 100.0 | (142) |
| No Steady Partner | 29.2 | 37.6 | 26.9 | 6.3 | 100.0 | (42) |

TABLE 3.3.5
Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner By Selected Characteristics Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Are Sexually Experienced
(Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Married/ <br> Common <br> Law | Visiting <br> Partner | Boy Friend | Friend | Casual Acquaintance | Other <br> Relatives <br> Partner | Other | Total | No of Cases |
| Total | 49.6 | 36.2 | 10.9 | $\underline{2.0}$ | 0.6 | $\underline{0.0}$ | 0.6 | 100.0 | (6544) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.3 | 47.4 | 37.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (506) |
| 20-24 | 29.4 | 49.3 | 17.2 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (887) |
| 25-29 | 49.6 | 37.6 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1139) |
| 30-34 | 56.2 | 33.5 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (1291) |
| 35-39 | 60.1 | 32.1 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (1144) |
| 40-44 | 66.1 | 27.3 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (910) |
| 45-49 | 67.2 | 26.9 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (667) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 60.8 | 27.1 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (605) |
| 10-12 Years | 51.1 | 35.5 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (2298) |
| 13 Years Or More | 47.1 | 38.0 | 12.1 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (3560) |

Frequency Of Church Attendance **

| At Least Weekly | 63.1 | 24.4 | 8.7 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(2335)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At Least Monthly | 45.8 | 38.7 | 13.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | $(1281)$ |
| Least Than Once Per Month | 43.0 | 46.8 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | $(817)$ |
| Special Occasions | 40.0 | 42.5 | 13.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(1554)$ |
| Never | 38.7 | 44.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | $(484)$ |

Socio-Economic Status

| Low | 48.5 | 36.3 | 10.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | $(1603)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 47.3 | 37.2 | 12.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(2810)$ |
| High | 53.2 | 34.9 | 9.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(2131)$ |


| $*$ | Data missing for 75 women. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ** $\quad$ Data missing for 73 women. |  |

TABLE 3.3.6
Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner By Geographic Areas
Women Aged 15-49 Years Whe Are Sexually Experienced (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


* Parishes are shown in descending order based on percentages in married or common-law relationships.

TABLE 3.3.7
Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner By Selected Characteristics
Women Aged 15-49 Years
Who Had Sexual Intercourse During The 30 Days Prior To The Interview
(Percent Distribution)
1997 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

| Selected Characteristics | Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Married/ <br> Common <br> Law | Visiting Partner | Boy Friend | Friend | Casual <br> Acquain- <br> tance | Other Relatives/ Partner | Other | Total | No. Of |
| Total | 60.7 | 31.8 | 6.3 | $\underline{0.9}$ | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (4276) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.8 | 55.1 | 24.8 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (262) |
| 20-24 | 39.9 | 44.9 | 13.4 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (589) |
| 25-29 | 60.2 | 34.5 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (810) |
| 30-34 | 65.3 | 29.4 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (891) |
| 35-39 | 71.1 | 25.3 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (743) |
| 40-44 | 76.1 | 21.1 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (607) |
| 45-49 | 79.0 | 19.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (374) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 71.8 | 22.0 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (368) |
| 10-12 Years | 61.3 | 31.9 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1573) |
| 13 Years Or More | 58.6 | 33.3 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (2290) |
| Current Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1332) |
| Common-law Partner | 94.5 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1454) |
| Visiting Partner | 4.4 | 82.9 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (1331) |
| No Current Partner | 20.3 | 31.9 | 28.3 | 14.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (159) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 78.6 | 17.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1472) |
| At Least Monthly | 54.7 | 36.5 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (851) |
| Least Than Once Per Month | 52.8 | 41.8 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (549) |
| Special Occasions | 49.4 | 38.0 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (1048) |
| Never | 46.9 | 44.2 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (305) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  | $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 59.2 | 32.7 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (1073) |
| Medium | 58.4 | 32.9 | 7.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1823) |
| High | 64.2 | 29.9 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (1416) |

[^3]TABLE 3.3.8
Of Women Aged 15-49 Who Are Not Currently Sexually Active The Percentage Who Had Sexual Intercourse In The Past Three Months By Relationship Status
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Relationship Status | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent | No. of <br> Cases | $\underline{1997}$ <br> Percent | No. of <br> Cases |  |
| Total | $\underline{38.7}$ | $\underline{(2288)}$ | $\underline{35.4}$ | $\underline{(2091)}$ |
| Married | 54.7 | $(204)$ | 56.8 | $(215)$ |
| Common Law | 63.7 | $(273)$ | 59.7 | $(362)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 63.6 | $(620)$ | 56.1 | $(528)$ |
| Boyfriend With Sexual Relations | -- | - | 52.5 | $(122)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 16.0 | $(1191)$ | 8.1 | $(864)$ |

TABLE 3.3.9
Relationship Status With Last Sexual Partner
By Current Relationship Status Compared With 1997 RHS (Percent Distribution)
Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Who Are Currently Sexually Active 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Current <br> Relationship <br> Status | Relationship With Last Sexual Partner |  |  |  | Casual <br> Acquaintance Other |  | Total | No. Of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Married/ <br> Common <br> Law | Visiting Partner | Girl <br> Friend | Father's Partner |  |  |  |  |
| 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married / Common Law | 67.7 | 1.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (96) |
| Visiting Partner | 0.5 | 32.9 | 48.9 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (928) |
| Girlfriend With Sex | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| No Steady Partner | 0.8 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 76.2 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (907) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married / Common Law | 66.2 | 7.6 | 23.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (161) |
| Visiting Partner | 0.3 | 41.0 | 45.1 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (502) |
| Girlfriend With Sex | 1.2 | 3.2 | 77.8 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (298) |
| No Steady Partner | 0.0 | 5.7 | 19.6 | 44.8 | 27.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (89) |

TABLE 3.4.1
Age-Specific Fertility Rates And Total Fertility Rate Jamaica *
By Maternal Age
Compared With 1975 Fertility Survey, 1983, 1989 And 1993 CPSs And 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Maternal Age Group | Age-Specific Fertility Rate Year Of Survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1975 | 1983 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Of Su } \\ 1989 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1993 | 1997 | 2002 |
| 15-19 | 137 | 122 | 102 | 107 | 112 | 79. |
| 20-24 | 234 | 190 | 164 | 160 | 163 | 124 |
| 25-29 | 207 | 150 | 131 | 131 | 112 | 118 |
| 30-34 | 155 | 110 | 96 | 99 | 101 | 91 |
| 35-39 | 101 | 73 | 60 | 69 | 55 | 59 |
| 40-44 | 54 | 40 | 30 | 42 | 20 | 23 |
| 45-49 | 9 | 8 | 4 | -- | 0 | 3 |
| Total Fertility Rate | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | - 2.8 \$ | 2.5 |

Calculated For 2 Years Before The Date Of Interview.
-- The 1993 Jamaica Contraceptive Prevalence Survey only included women 15-44 years of age.

TABLE 3.4 .2
Age-Specific Fertility Rates And Total Fertility Rate (TFR) Jamaica* By Maternal Age And By Area of Residence

Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | Kingston <br> Metropolitan <br> Area | Other <br> Urban | Rural | Kingston <br> Metropolitan <br> Area | Other <br> Urban | Rural |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

* Calculated For 2 Years Before The Date Of Interview.

TABLE 3.4.3
Percent Of All Women 15-49 Years of Age Who Are Childless And Percent Distribution By Current Age Group And Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPS Totals 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 |
|  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| Total | 29.7 | * $84.3{ }^{\text {k }}$ | 43.9 | $\underline{24.6}$ | 10.8 | $\underline{5.4}$ |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 30.0 | 83.6 | 46.2 | 29.6 | 11.1 | 8.0 |
| Other Urban | 31.1 | 86.7 | 44.5 | 27.9 | 15.3 | 4.7 |
| Rural | 28.4 | 83.0 | 42.2 | 19.7 | 7.2 | 4.6 |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 29.4 | 82.4 | 46.7 | 28.6 | 11.8 | 7.1 |
| 2 | 31.2 | 87.8 | 44.5 | 27.9 | 15.3 | 4.7 |
| 3 | 31.4 | 85.7 | 38.6 | 19.4 | 10.5 | 4.6 |
| 4 | 28.1 | 84.6 | 41.7 | 18.4 | 9.0 | 1.9 |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 14.6 | 86.1 | 22.7 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 |
| 10-12 | 25.7 | 80.2 | 31.0 | 16.5 | 7.1 | 4.6 |
| $13+$ | 34.0 | 86.8 | 49.6 | 28.6 | 13.9 | 6.8 |
| Socio-Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 17.0 | 71.1 | 20.6 | 9.1 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| Medium | 28.2 | 84.4 | 40.1 | 17.2 | 6.2 | 4.3 |
| High | 38.0 | 91.6 | 63.5 | 41.4 | 19.9 | 8.3 |
| Church Attendance * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 35.4 | 95.5 | 66.4 | 33.3 | 15.5 | 5.1 |
| At Least Monthly | 29.0 | 86.0 | 44.0 | 27.5 | 8.8 | 6.0 |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 24.2 | 76.5 | 32.4 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 5.8 |
| Special Occasions | 23.6 | 70.6 | 34.0 | 17.7 | 7.0 | 5.6 |
| Never | 29.2 | 68.9 | 27.3 | 31.0 | 11.3 | 5.2 |

[^4]TABLE 3.4.3 Cont'd
Percent Of All Women 15-49 Years of Age Who Are Childless And Percent Distribution By Current Age Group And Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPS Totals 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  |  |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 31.5 | 79.4 | 41.8 | $\underline{20.8}$ | 12.1 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 6.8 |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 35.7 | 86.3 | 49.7 | 28.7 | 15.5 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Other Urban | 32.4 | 81.8 | 43.2 | 15.8 | 15.6 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 12.0 |
| Rural | 28.1 | 74.1 | 35.0 | 15.7 | 8.8 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 6.2 |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 35.0 | 83.9 | 47.0 | 27.1 | 15.6 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 7.4 |
| 2 | 27.1 | 77.6 | 36.9 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 7.8 |
| 3 | 32.9 | 77.2 | 43.0 | 22.3 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 7.9 |
| 4 | 25.5 | 72.8 | 32.1 | 12.4 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 3.7 |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 19.9 | 71.5 | 22.8 | 10.2 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 5.4 |
| 10-12 | 36.2 | 80.9 | 42.6 | 20.6 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 8.9 |
| $13+$ | 42.1 | 94.4 | 63.5 | 44.7 | 19.4 | 10.4 | 13.6 | 13.5 |
| Socio-Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 19.2 | 66.9 | 17.5 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 3.4 |
| Medium | 31.3 | 78.8 | 40.6 | 21.1 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 |
| High | 41.0 | 88.1 | 58.4 | 31.6 | 20.8 | 12.4 | 5.5 | 12.4 |
| Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 38.5 | 90.0 | 59.8 | 30.7 | 19.3 | 13.6 | 6.0 | ** |
| At Least Monthly | 32.3 | 81.1 | 40.5 | 17.5 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 2.4 | 8.1 |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 30.7 | 79.1 | 37.7 | 23.6 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 8.2 |
| Special Occasions | 24.4 | 67.1 | 33.7 | 14.7 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 5.1 |
| Never | 26.7 | 67.8 | 27.7 | 18.6 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 12.1 | 3.8 |
| 1993 Total | 32.0 | 79.5 | 38.8 | 17.0 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 7.4 | - |
| 1989 Total | 33.2 | 82.9 | 37.7 | 19.7 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 10.4 |

** Fewer than 25 cases.
-- The 1993 Jamaica Contraceptive Prevalence Survey included women of 15-44 years of age only.

TABLE 3.4.4
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Had At Least One Abortion By Main Reason For Having The Abortion By Age Group 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main Reason | Total | $\underline{15-29}$ | $\underline{30-39}$ | $\underline{40-49}$ |
| Could Not Afford Another Child | 30.0 | 18.7 | 28.7 | 41.0 |
| Pregnancy Was Life Threatening | 23.4 | 26.0 | 25.5 | 18.7 |
| Respondent Did Not Want Children | 11.2 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 15.8 |
| Partner Did Not Want Children | 4.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 6.1 |
| Risk Of Birth Defects | 2.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.4 |
| Did Not Have A Partner | 2.4 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 23.3 | 24.8 | 35.4 | 7.9 |
| Don't Know | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 6.1 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases * | $(102)$ | $(26)$ | $(44)$ | $(32)$ |

* Data missing for 23 women.

TABLE 3.5.1
Planning Status Of Current Pregnancies And Most Recent Pregnancies Resulting In A Live Birth According to Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1989 And 1993 CPS Totals Women 15-44 Who Had A Live Birth In Previous 5 Years Or Are Currently Pregnant * (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Planning Status |  |  |  | Unknown | TOTAL | No. Of <br> Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted | Unplanned Unknown Status |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20 | 02 |  |  |  |
| Total | 37.5 | 41.6 | 15.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (2991) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 38.2 | 45.4 | 11.3 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (442) |
| Other Urban | 39.7 | 41.8 | 14.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (853) |
| Rural | 35.6 | 39.7 | 19.2 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (1696) |
| Number of Liveborn Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 40.2 | 49.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 100.0 | (89) |
| 1 | 40.9 | 54.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (871) |
| 2 | 43.1 | 43.4 | 9.0 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (722) |
| 3 | 41.9 | 35.4 | 16.3 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 4+ | 24.2 | 25.5 | 44.5 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (778) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.4 | 87.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (206) |
| 20-24 | 28.6 | 61.0 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (570) |
| 25-29 | 42.5 | 46.8 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (742) |
| 30-34 | 49.0 | 27.7 | 19.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (740) |
| 35-39 | 41.2 | 19.0 | 32.7 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (494) |
| 40-44 | 37.2 | 11.3 | 39.5 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 100.0 | (239) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 31.6 | 34.7 | 27.7 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (238) |
| 10-12 Years | 32.0 | 43.1 | 19.3 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (1037) |
| 13 Years Or More | 41.1 | 41.6 | 12.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (1691) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 27.4 | 42.5 | 24.8 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (909) |
| Medium | 35.5 | 46.3 | 14.2 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (1335) |
| High | 50.9 | 32.5 | 10.1 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (747) |
| Current Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 60.0 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (647) |
| Common Law | 38.6 | 39.0 | 18.3 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (1012) |
| Visiting Relationship | 27.6 | 53.8 | 13.1 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (895) |
| No Current Partner | 30.2 | 47.8 | 16.9 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (437) |

Data missing for 25 women.

TABLE 3.5.1 Cont'd
Planning Status Of Current Pregnancies And Most Recent Pregnancies Resulting In A Live Birth According to Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1989 And 1993 CPS Totals Women 15-44 Who Had A Live Birth In Previous 5 Years Or Are Currently Pregnant
(Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Planning Status |  |  | Unplanned <br> Unknown Status | Un- | TOTAL | No. Of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned | Mistimed | Unwanted |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $9 \quad 9 \quad 7$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 34.4 | 43.0 | 18.3 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (2935) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 41.2 | 37.3 | 17.0 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (583) |
| Other Urban | 33.4 | 46.3 | 14.7 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (464) |
| Rural | 30.9 | 45.1 | 20.0 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (1888) |
| Number of Livebom Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 43.5 | 42.4 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 100.0 | (114) |
| 1 | 39.8 | 55.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (816) |
| 2 | 38.2 | 47.0 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (734) |
| 3 | 28.8 | 40.3 | 27.0 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (545) |
| 4+ | 24.5 | 22.2 | 50.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (726) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.2 | 79.4 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (271) |
| 20-24 | 27.7 | 59.1 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (660) |
| 25-29 | 37.9 | 42.0 | 16.5 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (788) |
| 30-34 | 43.7 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (679) |
| 35-39 | 45.2 | 17.3 | 32.6 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (391) |
| 40-44 | 34.9 | 11.2 | 49.4 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 | (146) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 30.5 | 37.9 | 27.0 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (1075) |
| 10-12 Years | 34.4 | 47.9 | 13.6 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (1564) |
| 13 Years Or More | 45.9 | 32.7 | 16.4 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (276) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 25.2 | 44.7 | 26.3 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (1305) |
| Medium | 35.6 | 43.6 | 16.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (935) |
| High | 45.2 | 40.0 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (695) |
| Current Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 57.3 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (559) |
| Common Law | 34.7 | 39.9 | 21.3 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (1146) |
| Visiting Relationship | 28.0 | 52.7 | 14.1 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (847) |
| No Steady Partner | 23.6 | 53.2 | 19.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (383) |
| 1993 Total | 29.1 | 47.8 | 19.0 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (1543) |
| 1989 Total | 25.4 | 51.9 | 19.9 | -- | 2.8 | 100.0 | (2299) |

* No data on years of education for 15 women. -- The unplanned, unknown status category was not tabulated in 1989.

TABLE 3.5.2
Number Of Additional Children Desired By Women 15-49 Years of Age By Current Number Of Live Births

Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Current No. Of Births | Number Of Additional Children Desired * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ | Don't <br> Know ** | Total | No. Of Cases |
|  | 2 O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 35.6 | 23.8 | 25.6 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| 0 | 12.6 | 51.1 | 21.6 | 7.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (1786) |
| 1 | 28.0 | 35.6 | 13.7 | 2.4 | 18.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (1475) |
| 2 | 46.7 | 28.8 | 18.5 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (1426) |
| 3 | 60.9 | 26.3 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (1031) |
| 4 Or More | 83.0 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (1450) |
|  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 46.5 | $\underline{17.5}$ | 18.1 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 13.2 | 100.0 | (6384) |
| 0 | 11.4 | 16.1 | 44.3 | 7.9 | 3.5 | 16.8 | 100.0 | (1627) |
| 1 | 30.9 | 36.7 | 13.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 15.4 | 100.0 | (1350) |
| 2 | 62.6 | 16.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (1236) |
| 3 | 79.8 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 100.0 | (904) |
| 4 Or More | 90.1 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 100.0 | (1267) |

* Additional children calculated by subtracting births from Question 634. Women who said they wanted less children were counted as wanting no more children.

Includes "As many as possible" and "As many as God sends".

TABLE 3.5.3
Age Respondents Consider A Woman Is Responsible Enough To Have Her First Child By Selected Characteristics - Women Aged 15-49
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age When Responsible Enough For First Child |  |  |  |  |  | Total | No. Of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less <br> than <br> 18 | 18-19 | 20-24 | 25+ | When <br> She Is <br> Mature * | Other |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 00 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.2 | 17.4 | 46.7 | $\underline{17.0}$ | 5.2 | 12.6 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.0 | 17.8 | 43.7 | 20.0 | 6.1 | 11.3 | 100.0 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 0.9 | 17.5 | 44.1 | 17.0 | 5.0 | 15.5 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 1.3 | 18.1 | 44.4 | 17.3 | 5.0 | 13.9 | 100.0 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 0.4 | 18.7 | 46.3 | 16.9 | 5.2 | 12.7 | 100.0 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 2.0 | 15.7 | 48.9 | 17.3 | 5.4 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 1.4 | 16.9 | 52.0 | 13.9 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 100.0 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 2.0 | 16.6 | 50.1 | 14.9 | 5.6 | 11.0 | 100.0 | (674) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 1.1 | 17.1 | 44.1 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 13.8 | 100.0 | (1144) |
| Other Urban | 0.7 | 15.5 | 49.3 | 20.2 | 3.2 | 11.1 | 100.0 | (2079) |
| Rural | 1.7 | 18.9 | 46.0 | 15.2 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 100.0 | (3945) |
| Years Of Education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 2.2 | 22.4 | 49.6 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 9.8 | 100.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 Years | 1.8 | 18.8 | 47.0 | 15.4 | 5.0 | 12.2 | 100.0 | (2529) |
| 13 Or More Years | 0.7 | 16.0 | 46.4 | 18.7 | 5.3 | 13.1 | 100.0 | (3924) |
| Frequency of Church Attendance *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once/ Week | 1.0 | 15.2 | 45.2 | 19.1 | 7.2 | 12.5 | 100.0 | (2707) |
| At least Once/ Month | 1.0 | 16.4 | 48.3 | 17.0 | 5.0 | 12.4 | 100.0 | (1368) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 1.0 | 18.4 | 49.9 | 14.0 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 100.0 | (861) |
| Special Occasions | 1.4 | 19.3 | 48.0 | 15.3 | 4.4 | 11.7 | 100.0 | (1631) |
| Never | 2.6 | 24.1 | 41.1 | 16.1 | 2.4 | 13.8 | 100.0 | (524) |
| Current Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 1.2 | 13.6 | 49.5 | 16.8 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 | (1542) |
| Common Law Union | 1.8 | 22.9 | 48.6 | 12.3 | 2.8 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (1733) |
| Visiting Partner | 1.3 | 19.3 | 46.5 | 16.5 | 4.9 | 11.4 | 100.0 | (1959) |
| No Current Partner | 0.9 | 14.4 | 43.9 | 20.6 | 6.4 | 13.9 | 100.0 | (1934) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 1.9 | 25.4 | 45.5 | 12.9 | 4.1 | 10.7 | 100.0 | (1705) |
| Medium | 1.5 | 17.2 | 49.2 | 15.8 | 5.0 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (3079) |
| High | 0.6 | 13.2 | 44.2 | 28.8 | 6.0 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (2384) |

[^5]TABLE 3.5.3 Cont'd

## Age Respondents Consider A Woman Is Responsible Enough To Have Her First Child By Selected Characteristics - Women Aged 15-49 Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age When Responsible Enough For First Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than <br> 18 | 18-19 | 20-24 | $25+$ | When She Is Mature | Other | Total | No. Of Cases |
|  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.5 | 16.9 | 44.4 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 100.0 | (6383) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.2 | 16.6 | 42.1 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 12.6 | 100.0 | (959) |
| 20-24 | 2.0 | 18.9 | 42.5 | 14.7 | 8.8 | 13.1 | 100.0 | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 1.5 | 17.1 | 41.6 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 100.0 | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 0.9 | 15.7 | 49.1 | 13.8 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 100.0 | (1195) |
| 35-39 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 45.9 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 100.0 | (934) |
| 40-44 | 1.2 | 14.8 | 48.7 | 12.4 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (610) |
| 45-49 | 1.7 | 15.3 | 44.5 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 12.9 | 100.0 | (421) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 1.4 | 16.0 | 45.0 | 14.4 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 100.0 | (1450) |
| Other Urban | 1.1 | 14.7 | 41.6 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 100.0 | (1093) |
| Rural | 1.6 | 18.3 | 44.9 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 100.0 | (3840) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 2.2 | 18.3 | 45.5 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 12.6 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| 10-12 Years | 1.4 | 17.8 | 44.6 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 100.0 | (3284) |
| 13 Or More Years | 0.0 | 9.3 | 41.3 | 16.9 | 17.7 | 14.7 | 100.0 | (719) |
| Frequency of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once/ Week | 0.7 | 14.8 | 42.9 | 14.2 | 15.3 | 12.1 | 100.0 | (2060) |
| At least Once/ Month | 2.2 | 14.9 | 48.7 | 12.3 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 100.0 | (1222) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 1.4 | 16.4 | 44.4 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (909) |
| Special Occasions | 1.3 | 19.7 | 45.1 | 13.4 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 100.0 | (1532) |
| Never | 2.8 | 20.8 | 39.9 | 15.1 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 100.0 | (613) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 1.1 | 12.7 | 48.2 | 11.1 | 14.1 | 12.9 | 100.0 | (1185) |
| Common Law Union | 2.3 | 21.6 | 46.3 | 10.9 | 8.6 | 10.3 | 100.0 | (1839) |
| Visiting Partner | 1.8 | 18.5 | 46.7 | 12.0 | 9.1 | 11.9 | 100.0 | (1623) |
| Boyfriend/With Sex | 0.1 | 20.2 | 38.4 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 9.5 | 100.0 | (265) |
| No Current Partner | 0.9 | 13.1 | 39.3 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 13.1 | 100.0 | (1471) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 2.7 | 19.9 | 45.3 | 11.5 | 9.4 | 11.2 | 100.0 | (2271) |
| Medium | 1.4 | 17.8 | 46.2 | 12.6 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 100.0 | (2088) |
| High | 0.6 | 13.9 | 42.2 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 13.2 | 100.0 | (2024) |
| 1993 Total | 1.4 | 9.7 | 44.2 | 21.5 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (3110) |

## CHAPTER 4

## REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

Chapter 4 deals with the reproductive health of women. It explores matters relating to general health-seeking behaviour and covers their experience relating to maternal health and child care, cancer screening and deals particularly with information on pap smears, breast self-examination and family history of cancer. It further looks at the extent to which women have undergone gynecological examinations. Behavioural habits such as smoking, drinking and taking drugs are also included.

### 4.1 GENERAL REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH PROBLEMS

There are a number of health conditions to which women are exposed during their reproductive years which can impact on their reproductive outcome. Some of these include diabetes, anaemia, heart disease, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), which include salpingitis and endometritis. Others are: urinary infection, asthma and hepatitis. Sores, warts and ulcers on the genital area have also been identified. The prevalence of some of these are identified in Table 4.1.1.

Only 4.1 percent of the women in the sample had been told by a doctor that they had diabetes. In general, the reports of disease were progressively higher over the age groups so that, in the age group 45-49 years, the percentage rose to 11.4 percent; in the youngest age group, $15-19$ years, it was only 1.2 percent. The percentage was lowest in the rural areas and highest in urban areas not including the Kingston Metropolitan area. The group with the lowest level of education had the highest prevalence while those at the highest, the lowest percentage. Church attendance ought to have little impact on the occurrence of diabetes, but perhaps the prevalence was highest among those who attended church services most frequently because they tend to be older and better educated than those with less frequent attendance.

What were the patterns among relationships? Reports of diabetes were highest among women in the most stable unions and it fell through the movement towards less stable unions. Here it is clear that the impact of the age composition of these relationships impact on the prevalence in the different age groups with lower prevalence in younger age group and higher prevalence among the higher age groups. Socio-economic status appears not to have a great influence on prevalence.

The prevalence of self-reported anaemia among the women surveyed was higher than that of diabetes; 7.0 percent of respondents indicated that they had been told by a doctor that they had the disease. Age did not appear to have a significant impact on reports of anaemia. The figures would suggest that place of residence appear to influence anaemia prevalence. However, account should be taken of the relative ease with which women in the different areas can and do seek medical attention and this could impact the degree of prevalence observed. As in the case of diabetes, religion appears to have some impact but this is probably coincidental. Stability of unions does not appear to have a positive impact on women with anaemia. On the other hand, there appears to be a strong relationship between their socio-economic status and the prevalence of anaemia.

The women in the sample reported low prevalence of heart disease and pelvic inflammatory disease ( 1.5 and 1.6 percent respectively). In the case of heart disease, the percentages show no consistent pattern in almost all of the characteristics analyzed. Only among the socio-economic status group was any observed pattern and even there, the variations are not very strong. For those with PID, there were more consistent trends. In general, the prevalence of the diseases grew stronger with increasing age. With respect to most of the other
characteristics, the correlation was weak. Urinary tract infection was the disease most generally reported by women. The percentage of women who reported that they have had a urinary tract infection was 11.2 percent. Asthma was next highest with 8.7 reporting positively. The incidence of hepatitis B , on the conditions investigated was, however, the lowest, at 0.1 percent.

The next condition investigated was that of a vaginal discharge which was not menstrual. Respondents were asked: "In the past twelve months, have you had a vaginal discharge which was not menstrual?" The answers are presented in Table 4.1.2. A total of 16.1 percent answered yes, they had had a vaginal discharge that was not menstrual in the past twelve months while 82.3 percent said no; 1.3 percent reported that they were not sure while a small percentage ( 0.3 percent) refused to supply an answer. The highest prevalence was in the 20-24 age group, falling thereafter with increasing age. Reports of vaginal discharge were the highest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and the lowest in rural areas. Of women in unions, those legally married and living with their husbands had the lowest prevalence, while those in visiting unions had the highest prevalence. Those with no steady partner, many of them without sexual experience, have the lowest prevalence. There was no marked difference in prevalence between the three socio-economic statuses although the higher the status, the lower the prevalence appeared to be. As mentioned earlier, the correlation between frequency of attendance at church services appear strong.

In addition to the information about women who had a vaginal discharge in the past twelve months, a further question was asked if women had experienced symptoms of other conditions which sometimes could accompany the discharge. Thus, information was obtained on whether there was itching, painful urination, painful intercourse or lower abdominal pain accompanying the discharge. The results are shown in Table 4.1.3. The results show that 56.5 percent of women who had a vaginal discharge that was not menstrual experienced itching also; in 17.1 percent and 16.2 percent of the cases, it was accompanied by painful urination and painful intercourse, respectively, while in 33.7 percent of the cases, it was accompanied by lower abdominal pain.

There was some variation in patterns depending on the condition observed. Where the condition was accompanied by either itching, painful urination or painful intercourse, the percentage rose with increasing age. In the case of those women whose secondary condition was lower abdominal pain, the movement by age was not consistent. The prevalence was, by and large, greatest in the rural areas growing less in other urban areas and was lowest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area. There was some variation in this pattern, however. In most cases, more women with lower levels of education experienced these symptoms that those with higher levels. There seemed to be little correlation in respect to the other selected characteristics.

Were women being treated for these conditions? Table 4.1.4 presents the percentages of those who were and those who were not. The majority of women who were told that they had these conditions have received treatment for them: 88.3 percent in the case where the discharge was accompanied by itching, 92.7 percent in the case of painful urination, 81.5 percent for those who experienced pain at intercourse and 86.7 percent for those with lower abdominal pain.

Where did they get the treatment? The percentage distribution of places where they got treatment is set out by age groups in Table 4.1.5. Treatment was obtained mainly from private doctors ( 66.1 percent). Government health clinics and health centres represented the second most used source ( 22.4 percent), while government hospitals and private hospitals were the third and the fourth largest sources ( 7.0 percent and 2.0 percent, respectively). All other sources were under one percent (with the total amounting to slightly under 5 percent). The pattern was the same across all age groups.

### 4.2 REGULARITY AND OUTCOME OF MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS

Section 2 in this Chapter looks at the health-seeking behaviours, particularly the timing and outcomes of reproductive health preventive practices among all respondents. It firstly examines whether or not respondents have had a gynecologic examination, the timing of such examination (if they have had at least one exam) and the reasons for not having one. The first table in the section, Table 4.2.1, presents the prevalence of gynecologic exams by current age. It found that 43 percent of women reporting in the 2002 survey had had at least one gynecologic examination. Percentages started at 12.8 percent for those in the youngest age group (15-19) rising with advancing age to 58.1 percent in the oldest age group ( $45-49$ years). For the more than 50 percent of those who had not, reasons were sought. The answers are shown in Table 4.2.2. Overall, "never thought of it" ( 34.7 percent), "she is healthy and has no problems" ( 17.2 percent), "doctor did not recommend" ( 10.9 percent) and "respondent feels she does not need one" (10.7 percent) accounted for the main reasons.

The main reason for never having a gynecologic exam was greatly influenced by the respondent's age. In the age group 15-19 years, the two most frequent reasons given were that the respondent never thought about it ( 25.1 percent) and she had never had sexual intercourse ( 18.0 percent) Other reasons given were that respondents was healthy and not needing an exam ( 16.9 percent) or that she was not sexually active ( 12.0 percent).

Was there anything of significance among the other age groups? Well,"not thinking about needing an exam or not" was the most important reason in all age groups, but was more prevalent after age 19; similarly "lack of doctor recommendation was a reason given more often by women aged 20 or older than by those aged 1519 , whereas lack of sexual experience or current activity were only seldom mentioned by women aged 20 or older.

Of interest was the timing of the last routine gynecologic examination (not pregnancy-related). This information is given in Table 4.2.3. Of the under 50 percent of respondents who had had an examination, 44 percent had had the examination less than one year ago, 22 percent had had it $1-2$ years ago, 11 percent 2-3 years ago and 20 percent 3 or more years ago. In general, timing of last routine exam reflects an age impact; a higher percentage of younger women has had the examination less than one year ago than those who were older (from 52.4 percent of those in age group 15-19 years to 38.1 percent of those in the oldest age group, 45-49 years. The reverse is true for those who have had the examination three or more years ago.

Health Region 1 has the most favourable record while Health Region 2 has the least favourable. The impact of the patterns by parish explains this. All parishes in Health Region 1 had over or near fifty percent having had a gynecological examination less than a year ago and more than twenty percent 1-2 years ago. On the other hand, all parishes in Health Region 2 had under thirty percent respondents reporting the examination under a year ago while between 20 and 30 percent had it over 3 years ago. With respect to socio-economic status, a higher percentage of women in the higher status groups had the examination more recently than those in the lower status group. At the same time, childless women and women with only one child (presumably younger women) had the examination more recently than those with higher number of live births (presumably older women).

The next topic addressed in the section on medical examinations was the screening for cervical cancer (pap smear test). It has been recommended that women of reproductive age should have a pap smear done annually. In the present survey, as shown in Table 4.2.4, 58.9 percent of women had ever had a pap smear done, an increase compared to the level of 50 percent in 1997. This includes 20 percent of women who had the test done within the past year, an increase from the 15 percent in 1997.

The percentages of those who had ever had at least one pap test rose with advancing age, but this increase is likely to be confounded by longer times of exposure among older women; pap test within the past year, however, was also substantially higher among women aged 25 or older, which suggests that there is a true positive correlation between age and the practice of pap test screening. This is by and large so for both time periods. There was also differences by health region; in both years, the highest percentage of those who had ever done a pap smear was in Health Region 1 while the lowest was in Health Region 2. The patterns which applied to those who had had a gynecologic examination with respect to levels of schooling and number of live born children apply here also.

How have women responded to the results of the pap smear tests? The vast majority ( 92.9 percent) did not need follow-up treatment, as shown in Table 4.2.5. As would be expected, the selected characteristics did not show any marked differentiation between those who needed treatment and those who did not. What is important would be whether or not they have been told they needed treatment. As shown in Table 4.2.6, only 6.3 percent of women were told they need follow-up treatment after the pap test. Of those, 70 percent got the treatment and the 30 percent remaining did not take the treatment. There was no consistent response to the action concerning treatment based on age; with respect to area of residence, the high percentage who got treatment was in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and the lowest in other urban areas. Unexpectedly, the pattern is for lower percentages of those who went for treatment among the women who attended church services most frequently, decreasing with lower frequencies of attendance; the same is not, however, with respect to years of schooling, to socio-economic status and also to current relationship status.

Does age have an impact on the type of treatment recommended for follow-up? Table 4.2.7 looks at this association. A number of medical recommendations are generally prescribed for those women who test positive on a pap smear test. One is to repeat the pap smear test. Two others are to administer medicine in the form of tablets or cream. Another is to refer the patient to a specialized clinic for further analysis and/or treatment. The table identifies the percentage of women for which these recommendations were given.

In the case of the respondents surveyed, 15 percent were ordered to have a repeat smear, 53 percent were given medicine in the form of tablets and a further 15 percent were given medicine in the form of a cream; ten percent were referred to the clinic for further analysis and treatment. In the case of the repeat smear, some 10 percent of women in age groups 25-29, 9 percent in age group 25-29, 7 percent in age group 35-39 and 23 percent in age group 45-49 were ordered to have repeat smears. In the case of tablets ordered, the percent rose with advancing age, from almost 50 percent among the 25-29 year olds to 70 percent for the 35-39 year olds but falling to 56 percent for the 40-49 year olds. The percentage for which cream was prescribed varied with age, declining with advancing age. Age did not seem to have had an impact in relation to those referred to the clinic.

The next area of study was the reason offered by those respondents who had never had a pap smear done. This is represented in Table 4.2.8. Of those 41.6 percent of women who had never had a pap smear done, one quarter said that the never thought of it, 15 percent said they had no partner and/or was not sexually active and a further one-eighth admitted that they were afraid "it could be painful". The reason given was affected by respondent's age. The reason "never thought of it", rose with advancing age; so also, to a lesser extent, the reason "she is afraid it could be painful". On the other hand, the reason "she has no partner and/or she is not sexually active" was given mainly by the 15-19 year olds ( 31.0 percent) and there were lower and lower percentages as age of respondent advanced. This trend was also observed, with some variation, however, among those who said "doctor has not recommended it", "she is healthy and has no gynecologic problems" and "she does not feel that the test is necessary".

The next health behaviour to be examined was the self-examination of the breast. Table 4.2.9 identifies the percentage of respondents who were taught by a health professional to carry out self examination of the breast. It also identifies how many respondents had, in fact, carried out such examination and if so, how many did the examination in the past year and how many in the past month. It should be noted that the percentage of women taught by a doctor to do a self breast examination has increased over the period; from 34.1 percent in 1997 to 42.8 percent in 2002. Also, it is important to note that the percentage of respondents who have ever done a breast self-examination, have done an exam during the past year, or during the past month have been increasing.

Whereas 42.8 percent had been taught by a health professional to do the examination, almost two thirds had in fact carried out the examination, including nearly sixty percent in the past year and 40 percent in the past month. Respondents were asked whether or not immediate family members had had cancer and based on their replies, it is clear that more women who had a history of cancer in their family had carried out the examination (either in the past month, in the past year, or ever) compared to those without a history in their family. The age factor is strong in influencing women to carry out the self-examination; the older they are, the more frequent do they carry out the examination. The doctor's advice as well as the carrying out of this activity are more prevalent in the Health Region 1 (and its parishes) than in other regions and is directly correlated with the socio-economic status.

### 4.3 HEALTH-RELATED BEHAVIOURAL FACTORS

As indicated earlier, the study seeks to identify a number of other health-related behaviours factors as reported by the respondents in the sample. They relate to, among others, the prevalence of smoking, drinking and taking drugs. In this section, the general smoking and drinking habits will be examined while in the next section these habits are examined during the most recent pregnancy. Table 4.3.1 identifies those female respondents who, as a general habit, smoke and drink. A relatively small percentage ( 6.7 percent) indicated that they can be classified as ever smokers, having smoked 100 or more cigarettes in their whole life, and a much larger percentage ( 41.3 percent) admitted that they have consumed 12 or more alcoholic drinks in their whole life.

Both ever smoking and ever drinking were reported more often by residents in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and less often by rural residents, although not much less than the residents in the other urban areas. Age was definitely a factor; the younger the respondent, the less likely she was to be smoking; with respect to drinking, however, the 15-19 year olds drank the least; this escalates among the women in their 20s, falling off to age 44 and then increasing in the age 45-49 year group. Levels of education and socio-economic status seem to have dissimilar effects on their habit of smoking and drinking; in the case of smoking, the higher the level, the more they smoke while the reverse was generally true with respect to drinking. Frequency of attendance at church services had the same effect for both smokers and those who drank, the more frequent the attendance the less the indulgence was. Relationship status, on the other hand, did not appear to have a strong impact.

In the next table, Table 4.3.2, an attempt is made to distinguish women who are current smokers from those who are not as well as those who practice the habit throughout their life time. Here, it may be observed that more than half of the respondents who have smoked in their life time are current smokers. A higher percentage of current smokers was observed in the Kingston Metropolitan Area; it decreased with less urbanization, as did the percent who ever smoked. The patterns by age and by levels of education are mixed. In the case of frequency of attendance at religious services, the lowest percentage who were smokers were among those who attended services at least once a week; for the rest, the highest was those who attended
church services at least once per month, falling thereafter as regularity of attendance decreased. A similar pattern was observed based on the stability of relationships. With respect to socio-economic status, those in the lowest status category had the highest percentage of smokers in the group as well as the highest percent of current smokers. The percentages fell as the status increased.

How old were regular smokers when they started smoking? Table 4.3 .3 sets out this information. The age when most smokers started smoking was between 15 and 19 years ( 40.9 percent started when they were at those years). The next highest percentage was in the age group 20-29 years (19.4 percent). Ages 10-14 accounted for a further 12.8 percent; while few started before 10 years and less than 5 percent started when they were 30 years and over. This pattern is consistent in all areas of residence, for all age groups, by union status, levels of education, socio-economic status and frequency of church attendance. The intensity varies with the different characteristics. For example, two-thirds of the women in age group 20-24 started smoking at between the ages of 15 to 19 years while the comparable percentage of those in the $45-49$ age group was one-quarter. By and large, the incidence of starting to smoke was highest in the age group 20-24 years, declining with advancing age except for age group $40-44$ when it was again high; the reverse is true for the movement for those staring between ages 20-29. No clear pattern emerged when the other characteristics were reviewed.

And what of the drinking habits of respondents? Table 4.3 .4 gives percentages for those who had had 12 or more drinks in their whole life, those who had had 1 or more drinks in the past month and those who had had 5 or more drinks on one occasion in the past month (binge drinkers). Somewhat more than 40 percent of respondents had had 12 or more alcoholic drinks in their whole life; slightly less than one quarter ( 23.3 percent) had consumed at least one drink of alcoholic beverages in the past month and 6.3 percent had been binge drinking in the past month. As was observed earlier, the heaviest drinkers were those who resided in the Kingston Metropolitan Area. Women aged 20-24 were the hardest drinkers while those between 15-19 drank the lightest. There was some evidence that those who attended church more frequently drank less; so also did those who were in less stable unions. Those with low socio-economic status drank less than the average, the percentage rising with each level.

Information was also gathered relating to patterns of drinking in a typical week. This is shown in Table 4.3.5. Nearly two-thirds of the respondents (64.1 percent) indicated that they did not drink at all; 26.1 percent had less than 5 drinks in a typical week while very low percentages ( 2.8 and 1.6 percent respectively) consumed 5 to 9 and over 9 drinks in a typical week. Again, as seen earlier, except for the youngest age group, drinking decreased with advancing age.

### 4.4 MATERNAL HEALTH AND CHILD CARE

The next section deals with maternal health and child care. The importance of receiving prenatal care during pregnancy is well recognized and has been emphasized in government programmes aimed at reducing infant mortality and improving the health of mothers. It is necessary, therefore, to analyse the care given to mothers during their pregnancy and at the birth of their children. Table 4.4.1 gives the percentage of women who had pregnancies resulted in live births in the last five years and who had prenatal care for these pregnancies. It also gives the percentage starting the prenatal care in the first, second and third trimesters of the pregnancy. Almost all respondents ( 98.1 percent) had prenatal care, ranging from a low of 90.5 percent in St. James to 100 percent in Manchester and Clarendon. Percentages were highest in the rural areas ( 99.1 percent) and lowest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area ( 96.8 percent). Also, the percentage for first births was highest ( 99.2 percent) while it was lowest ( 90.5 percent) for those with six or more births.

Among those with prenatal care, first visit was in the first trimester for 60 percent of the pregnancies, in the second trimester for 34 percent and in the third trimester for 4.6 percent of the pregnancies; 0.7 percent did not state when the prenatal care began. The highest percentage beginning care in the first trimester was in the Kingston Metropolitan Area ( 73.4 percent) and the lowest ( 53.8 percent) in rural areas. Also, mother's age as well as birth order impacted on the time of starting care, with the highest percentage among the youngest mothers and those without prior I births, advancing with maternal age and parity. Socio-economic status appeared, also, to have an impact on the onset of prenatal care, with the highest percentage starting early ( 78.3 percent) occurring among those in the high status category and the lowest ( 48.7 percent) in the low status category.

The next table, Table 4.4.2, gives percentages of women using various types of health care facilities for their prenatal care. Type of health care facilities are presented, cross-classified by age group, educational levels, socio-economic status and birth order. Corresponding results derived from the surveys of 1997 (RHS), with totals from 1993 and 1989 (CPSs) are presented for comparative purposes. In 2002, most women ( 73.5 percent) received prenatal care from a government clinic or health care centre. A small percentage of women ( 5.2 percent) went to government hospitals for their prenatal care. A significant proportion of women utilised private clinics ( 17.4 percent) or hospitals ( 1.6 percentage). This pattern is similar with patterns documented in earlier surveys; On the whole, the high usage of public facilities has remained fairly constant. The use of private doctors, which was 17.4 in 2002, appears to have increased somewhat over the percentage in 1997 ( 16.8 percent) but was still below the 1993 estimate of 18.6 percent.

With respect to the use of government health centres and clinics, the percentage using is the highest among the youngest age group (under 20 years of age), trending downward with increasing age. The utilization of government hospitals showed, on the whole, a reverse trend. In 1997, the pattern was similar for health clinics and centres, falling on the overall with increasing age and, as in 2002, rising for government hospitals with increasing age. The pattern for private hospitals also advanced with increasing age. Looking at birth order, percentages using health centres and clinics rose with advancing age while the reverse was true for government hospitals. These patterns are consistent with that observed in 1997. With respect to educational levels and socio-economic status, in both years, the percentages using government health centres or clinics moved downwards with increasing level of education and socio-economic status.

The source of "most of" prenatal care has, additionally, been analyzed by geographic areas, firstly by the degree of urbanization, next by health regions, and lastly by parishes. These are shown in Table 4.4.3 in which 1997 comparisons are also shown. As would be expected, government sources are more heavily used in rural areas where, in 2002, the percentage using was 85 percent. In the other urban areas, the women reported using government sector facilities were 71.8 percent while the percentage in the Kingston Metropolitan Area was 72.9 percent. In 1997, the percentage in the rural area was also the highest ( 85.3 percent) and showing reductions directly related to the degree of urbanization (with 77.8 and 74.4 percent in other urban towns and the Kingston Metropolitan Area, respectively). On the whole, there was some change in the public/private sector mix over the period. In 1997, the ratio was 81:19, falling to 79:21 in 2002.

What is the picture at the health region level? There was not much change in patterns of use between both periods. In 2002, Health Region 4 had the highest usage of government facilities while it was lowest in Health Region 1. In 1997, on the other hand, it was lowest in Health Regions 1 and 4 and highest in Health Region 2. There was greater variation by parishes than by health region. In the parishes of St. Thomas and Trelawny, usage of government facilities in 2002 was over 90 percent while it was over 80 percent in Portland, St. Mary, Clarendon, Hanover, Westmoreland, St. Elizabeth and Manchester. In 1997, St. Thomas, Hanover and St. Mary had over 90 percent usage, while Portland, Trelawny, Westmoreland, St. Ann,

Manchester, St. Elizabeth and St. James had over 80 percent. In 1997, no parish had less than 74 percent while in 2002, St. Ann had usage of 70 percent and St James had usage in the 60s.

The number of prenatal visits made by women who have had live births during the five years preceding the survey are presented in Table 4.4.4, with comparative numbers from the 1997 survey. The groups used are: $1-4$ visits, 5 visits and 6 or more visits. There has been a increase in the number of prenatal care visits made by women since 1997; while 76.4 percent of women made 6 or more visits during their pregnancies in 1997, the percentage in 2002 rose to 82.2 percent. Correspondingly, the percentages who made between one and four visits fell, from 12.8 percent in 1997 to 9.1 percent in 2002. The increase was, however, not consistent across the parishes. In both periods, those who attended private facilities and those who were pregnant with their first child were more likely to have had 6 or more prenatal visits.

The next table, Table 4.4.5, shows the percentages of women by month when their prenatal care began. Comparative figures for 1997 are also shown. The increase in the percentage of visits in the first trimester of pregnancy is related to the total number of prenatal care visits shown in Table 4.4.4. In 2002, 28.7 percent of women started their prenatal care within the first two months of their pregnancy and a further 31.8 percent started in the third month; in 1997, the comparative percentages were 28.9 and 28.2 percent, respectively. Thus, by the fifth month the percentages in 2002 were higher than they were in 1997, with the combined percentage of women starting prenatal care up till the fifth month of pregnancy in 2002 being 89.9 percent compared to 83.8 percent in 1997. The pattern within the selected characteristics appeared similar over the two periods.

The area in which women started prenatal care earliest was in the Kingston Metropolitan Area (KMA) where 45.2 percent started their prenatal care within two months compared to 32.4 and 20.4 percent, respectively, in other urban areas and in rural areas. By the end of the fifth month, the difference between the Kingston Metropolitan Area and in Other Urban Areas narrowed (93.9 and 9.6 percent respectively); in rural areas, however, only 88.3 percent of women started prenatal care by the end of the fifth month. Similarly, in 1997, the percentage of those starting within the first two months of pregnancy was the highest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area; by the time they had completed five months, however, the percentages were fairly close; 87.0 percent in the KMA, 84.2 percent in other urban areas and 82.2 percent in rural areas. In 2002, those using private facilities were twice as likely to start prenatal care in the first two month as those using public facilities; by the end of the third month, only 55.6 percent of the visits to public facilities were made while the corresponding percentage of visits to private facilities was 81.6 percent. The difference still persist even by the end of the fifth month; 88.8 percent of those using government facilities started care compared to 94.2 percent of those using private facilities. With respect to birth order, the percentages of women starting prenatal care in the first two months decreased with the increase in parity. This was so in both 2002 and to a lesser extent in 1997.

The next topic studied in this section was in relation to high risk pregnancies. High risk pregnancies include pregnancies to women aged 16 years old or less at the time of conception, those to women 30 years old or more with no previous pregnancies, those to women 35 years old or more with 2 or more previous pregnancies, or those to women with 5 prior pregnancies, regardless of their age. The same definition was used in the 1997 survey.

Table 4.4.6 presents the percentage of pregnancies that have been classified as "high risk", using the criteria set out above. The percentage using the 2002 criteria was 24.2 percent, slightly higher than the 21.0 percent observed in 1997, using the 1997 criteria. The percentage in 2002 was lowest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and highest in other urban areas; in 1997 it was highest in the rural areas and lowest in other urban areas. In all of the other characteristics, there was no consistent pattern between the two survey years.

Table 4.4.7 presents percentages of all pregnancies and high risk pregnancies for which timing and number of visits for prenatal care was inadequate in 2002 and in 1997. There was a significant overall decline in the percentage of pregnancies for which prenatal care was inadequate ( 20.5 percent compared with 35.4 percent in 1997), reflecting an improvement in frequency and onset of prenatal care. A similar decline was noticeable in the proportion of high-risk pregnancies with inadequate care ( 57.3 percent in 2002 compared with 65.8 percent in 1997). The improvement in prenatal care was seen in all geographic areas, at all ages of conception in sources of prenatal care and in every birth order.

Table 4.4.8 further identifies the adequacy of prenatal care given to high-risk pregnancies of respondents over the past five years, using the criteria developed by the Ministry of Health. ${ }^{1}$ On the overall, as was seen above, the percentage of pregnancies for which prenatal care was considered adequate increased between 1997 and 2002 (from 34.2 to 42.7 percent). It increased in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and in rural areas. Only in other urban areas did it fall. The fall was in Health Region 3; all other health regions showed increases. In five of the thirteen parishes assessed, the percentage fell. These were: St. Thomas, St. Mary, Trelawny, St. James and St. Catherine.

Table 4.4.9 also looks at the adequacy of prenatal care for normal-risk first and subsequent pregnancies. Some 27 percent of women with normal-risk first pregnancies received inadequate care; for subsequent pregnancies, the percentage was lower ( 17.3 percent). In 1997, the percentage of first pregnancies with inadequate care was higher ( 29.0 percent), but lower for subsequent pregnancies. Again, the higher levels of inadequate prenatal care were experienced in the rural areas; the health regions giving the least adequate care was Region 4 while Region 1 continues to offer the better care. Also, a higher percentage of those receiving inadequate care received this care from public facilities than those being serviced by private facilities.

In looking at the maternal health of both mother and child, one has to be concerned about the habits of the mother especially in areas which can bring harm to the child. Accordingly, an area studied is the practice of women in relation to smoking, drinking and the usage of hard drugs. Tables 4.4.10 and 4.4.11 address these concerns. Table 4.4.10 investigates incidence of using hard drugs during pregnancy. Here, it may be observed that the usage of drugs during the last pregnancy of respondents was very low. Marijuana had the highest incidence ( 1.5 percent). For the smoking of marijuana, women in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and those with age at conception younger than 20 years had the greatest tendency. The use of other drugs including cocaine and crack was also very low ( 0.2 percent and 0.1 percent, respectively).

Table 4.4.11 examines the habits of ever pregnant and currently pregnant woman in relation to smoking and drinking. From the results, only a small percentage of women who have ever had a pregnancy or are currently pregnant have smoked or drank during their pregnancy and in fact, these percentages have fallen over the period since 1997. In 2002, as in 1997, the highest percentages were in the Kingston Metropolitan Area. Women whose socio-economic status is low and those who have the lowest level of schooling have the highest tendency to smoke and to drink.

Prenatal care was defined as "adequate" in those cases where prenatal care began by the $4^{\text {th }}$ month of pregnancy and there were 10 or more visits; "partially adequate" where prenatal care began by the $4^{\text {th }}$ month but with $6-9$ visits; and "inadequate" where prenatal care began after the $4^{\text {th }}$ month or in which there were less than 6 visits.

The next two tables in this section examine details of the delivery process. Table 4.4.12 looks at the place of delivery for births during last five years while Table 4.4.13 presents the percentages of babies who were delivered by the specified health personnel. In 2002, a lower percentage of babies were delivered at home or at a private medical facility than in 1997 ( 5.2 percent versus 9.6 percent). These percentages are, however, still relatively small as the majority were born in government hospitals. In 2002, 32.8 percent were born in a teaching hospital, 33.1 percent in one of the regional hospitals and a further 23.2 percent in other government hospitals, a total of 89.1 percent. This compares with 83.4 percent of babies delivered in government facilities. The place of delivery is, of course determined by the location of hospitals and this is reflected in the classifications by geographic areas. The socio-economic status of the woman also influences the choice of a place of delivery. Hence, much higher percentages of women in the high socio-economic status category use private medical facilities than the rest.

Finally, slightly less than three-quarters of the births were delivered by a trained nurse or midwife in both 2002 and 1997. In both periods nearly all of the rest were delivered by a doctor. One point of interest, delivery by a "nana" (traditional birth attendant) in 1997 was 3.0 percent and it had fallen to 1.1 percent in 2002.

A number of procedures are carried out during prenatal care. Among these are periodic checks of blood pressure, the administration of anti-tetanus vaccinations and testing for the HIV virns. In regards to the former, respondents were asked if they were told that they had high blood pressure (HBP) during their most recent pregnancy. The answers are represented in Table 4.4.14. One quarter of the women who had been pregnant indicated that they had been told so during their most recent pregnancy. This was almost twice as high as the 13.5 percent in 1997.

It is not possible to determine from this study whether there is a true increase in the prevalence of pregnancyrelated high blood pressure or if the increase is the effect of better reporting. A higher percentage of women in the Kingston Metropolitan Area reported HBP in 2002 compared to women in other urban or rural areas. This was the reversal of the reporting in 1997. Similar to the 1997 findings, the percentage reporting HBP during pregnancy in 2002 increased with advancing age at conception.

What of the percentage who received one or more tetanus injections during pregnancies over the last five years? A much lower percentage ( 56.1 percent) reported that they had received one or more tetanus injections in 2002 compared to 1997 ( 76.7 percent). The variations by area of residence were slight but in 1997 it was highest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area ( 60.7 percent) and lowest in Other Urban Areas ( 51.6 percent). With respect to health regions, it was highest in Health Region 3. The percentage rose with higher ages of conception up to the age group 20-29 in 2002 and throughout in 1997. A higher percentage of women who received adequate prenatal care (as classified by the Ministry of Health) received the injection than those who did not.

Another procedure which is sometimes carried out during prenatal care is the testing for the HIV/AIDS virus. Table 4.4.16 examines the prevalence of this testing among the last pregnancy of respondents. Over half of the respondents ( 56.1 percent) had been given the test as part of their prenatal care during their last pregnancy. The highest percentage ( 60.7 percent) was in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and the lowest ( 51.6 percent) in Other Urban Areas. The highest percentage was in the parish of Hanover which, together with Kingston, were the only two parishes to record over seventy percent testing. Manchester and St. Mary, on the other hand were the only two parishes to record testing of under fifty percent (49.3 and 43.5 percent respectively). Age at conception of pregnancy appeared not to have had a direct impact on the degree of testing.

### 4.5 FORCED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

A concern of authorities has been the extent to which women of reproductive age are exposed to forced sexual intercourse. This information was first collected as part of the behavioural factors which impact on women's reproductive history in 1997. In that year it was found that one in five women in the sample had at some time been forced to have sexual intercourse against her will. The 2002 data showed little change in the overall percentage. This phenomenon was found in all three areas of residence and among all age groups. Levels were not significantly different in the three areas, although it was lowest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area. Age differentials were not consistent. In both years, the movement within levels of education and socioeconomic status has been from highest percentages for women in the low levels, moving downwards as the levels moved upward.

How have these percentages differed as one examines current relationships? Prevalence of forced sexual intercourse among women grouped by their current union status cross-classified by their relationship with the perpetrators is shown in Table 4.5.2. As can be seen in the table, the vast majority of women reported forced sexual intercourse perpetrated by someone they knew, while abuse by a stranger was rare. Overall, about one in four women ( 26.4 percent) reported sexual abuse by a boyfriend, followed by one in five who reported abuse by a husband or common-law partner. In a number of cases the perpetrator was a friend or casual acquaintance ( 17.2 percent and 12.1 percent). The relationship with the perpetrator was very similar in 1997.

In both survey years, women in formal or consensual unions were much more likely to report lifetime sexual abuse by either a husband or common-law partner than by a boyfriend or other type of perpetrator; (the percentages were 35.1 percent and 32.5 percent, respectively, in 2002 and 33.9 percent and 43.0 percent, respectively in 1997). Women in visiting relationships were more likely to report sexual abuse by a boyfriend or a visiting partner.

TABLE 4.1.1

## Medical Conditions Which Respondents Were Told By A Doctor They May Have Had Women 15-49 Years By Specific Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Medical Conditions Which Respondents Were Told By A Doctor They Had |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Diabetes | Anemia | Heart <br> Disease | PID | Urinary Tract Infection | Asthma | Hepatitis B | N |
| Total | 4.1 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 0.1 | (7168) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 10.4 | 0.1 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 1.7 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 0.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 2.8 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 12.3 | 9.3 | 0.2 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 13.8 | 8.4 | 0.1 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 0.1 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 12.3 | 6.8 | 0.0 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 11.4 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 . | 13.3 | 9.2 | 0.0 | (674) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 3.9 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 12.5 | 11.1 | 0.2 | (1144) |
| Other Urban Areas | 5.2 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 12.8 | 8.7 | 0.0 | (2079) |
| Rural | 3.4 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 9.3 | 7.5 | 0.1 | (3945) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 0.1 | (2529) |
| 13 Or More | 3.5 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 0.1 | (3924) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 5.1 | 8.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | (2707) |
| At Least Monthly | 3.8 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 0.0 | (1368) |
| Less than Once A Month | 3.8 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 0.2 | (861) |
| Special Occasions | 3.6 | 6.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 0.1 | (1631) |
| Never | 2.3 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 0.2 | (524) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 7.2 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 17.5 | 8.8 | 0.1 | (1542) |
| Common-law Partner | 4.0 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 11.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | (1733) |
| Visiting Partner | 2.9 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 0.1 | (1959) |
| No Current Partner | 3.4 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 0.1 | (1934) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 0.1 | (1705) |
| Medium | 2.7 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 0.0 | (3079) |
| High | 6.1 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 14.1 | 9.2 | 0.1 | (2384) |

[^6]TABLE 4.1.2
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who In The Past Twelve Months Had A Discharge That Was Not Menstrual By Specific Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Had Vaginal Discharge That Was Not Menstrual In Past Twelve Months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not Sure | Refused | Total | No. of Cases |
| Total | 16.1 | 82.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 12.4 | 85.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 20.9 | 77.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 20.1 | 77.8 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 17.4 | 81.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 15.2 | 83.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 15.5 | 82.6 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 9.5 | 89.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (674) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 20.1 | 78.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1144) |
| Other Urban Areas | 17.0 | 81.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (2079) |
| Rural | 13.5 | 84.5 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (3945) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 16.0 | 81.6 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 17.8 | 80.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (2529) |
| 13 Or More | 15.3 | 83.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (3924) |

## Frequency Of Church Attendance *

| At Least Weekly | 13.4 | 85.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | $(2706)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At Least Monthly | 16.2 | 82.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | $(1366)$ |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 17.0 | 80.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | $(860)$ |
| Special Occasions Only | 17.1 | 81.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | $(1630)$ |
| Never | 25.3 | 73.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | $(523)$ |

## Current Union Status

| Married | 15.4 | 83.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | $(1539)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common-law Partner | 18.0 | 80.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | $(1731)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 19.5 | 78.8 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | $(1959)$ |
| No Current Partner | 12.0 | 86.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | $(1933)$ |

## Socio Economic Status

| Low | 16.8 | 81.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | $(1705)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 16.5 | 81.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | $(3079)$ |
| High | 15.3 | 83.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | $(2384)$ |

* Data missing for 77 women.

TABLE 4.1.3
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who In The Past Twelve Months Had A Vaginal Discharge That Was Not Menstrual And Who Along With The Discharge Had Other Conditions

By Specific Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Had Other Conditions Along With The Discharge |  |  |  | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Itching | Painful <br> Urination | Painful Intercourse | Lower Abdominal Pain |  |
| Total | 56.5 | 17.1 | 16.2 | 33.7 | (1112) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 61.0 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 22.4 | (116) |
| 20-24 | 60.2 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 34.3 | (186) |
| 25-29 | 58.2 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 32.1 | (225) |
| 30-34 | 57.9 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 36.3 | (217) |
| 35-39 | 54.2 | 18.1 | 13.2 | 39.8 | (175) |
| 40-44 | 47.8 | 15.6 | 12.9 | 32.4 | (126) |
| 45-49 | 47.6 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 43.6 | (67) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 48.7 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 22.6 | (228) |
| Urban | 62.1 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 38.4 | (336) |
| Rural | 57.1 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 37.4 | (548) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 59.7 | 12.4 | 19.3 | 39.3 | (102) |
| 10-12 | 58.6 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 37.4 | (412) |
| 13 Or More | 55.1 | 17.2 | 14.5 | 30.5 | (586) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance * |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 58.9 | 17.1 | 16.1 | 33.5 | (372) |
| At Least Monthly | 49.3 | 15.8 | 10.5 | 33.0 | (209) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 59.1 | 15.0 | 17.6 | 34.3 | (149) |
| Special Occasions Only | 56.3 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 35.8 | (261) |
| Never | 59.2 | 24.4 | 20.5 | 29.4 | (109) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 60.2 | 18.9 | 14.2 | 38.0 | (222) |
| Common-law Partner | 56.6 | 16.3 | 19.6 | 35.3 | (294) |
| Visiting Partner | 58.7 | 18.9 | 17.8 | 35.4 | (360) |
| No Current Partner | 50.2 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 26.1 | (236) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 57.3 | 15.3 | 20.7 | 36.7 | (279) |
| Medium | 56.6 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 33.4 | (485) |
| High | 56.0 | 20.2 | 12.0 | 32.2 | (348) |

[^7]TABLE 4.1.4
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who In The Past Twelve Months Had Other Conditions Along With The Discharge By Whether Or Not Treated By Specific Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Condition | Treated | Not <br> Treated | Not <br> Specified | Total | No. Of <br> Cases |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Itching |  |  |  |  |  |
| Painful Urination | 88.3 | 11.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | $(638)$ |
| Painful Intercourse | 92.7 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(189)$ |
| Lower Abdominal Pain | 81.5 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(205)$ |

TABLE 4.1.5
Source Where Treated For The Specific Conditions - Women Aged 15-49 Years Who In The Past Twelve Months Had Other Conditions Along With The Discharge

By Age Group - (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source Of Treatment | Total | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ |
| Private Doctor | 66.1 | 51.6 | 62.2 | 69.5 | 67.6 | 61.9 | 74.7 | 78.8 |
| Gov't Health Clinic / Centre | 22.4 | 35.3 | 23.0 | 15.7 | 22.8 | 29.1 | 17.3 | 14.7 |
| Government Hospital | 7.0 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 2.7 |
| Private Hospital | 2.0 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Private Clinic | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pharmacy | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Self-Treatment | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Treatment Recommended | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| By Friend / Relative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factory / Workplace | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Don't Know / Don't Remember | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. Of Cases | $(841)$ | $(64)$ | $(145)$ | $(180)$ | $(165)$ | $(130)$ | $(103)$ | $(54)$ |

TABLE 4.2.1
Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Did Or Did Not Have A Gynecological Examination
(Percent Distribution)
JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Had Gynecological Examination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{\text { Yes }}$ | No | Don't <br> Remember | Don't <br> Know | Total | No. of Cases |
| Total | 43.0 | 56.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| 15-19 | 12.8 | 87.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 30.5 | 69.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 44.9 | 54.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 54.4 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 55.8 | 44.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 57.9 | 41.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 58.1 | 41.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (674) |

TABLE 4.2.2
Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Did Not Have Gynecological Examination By Principal Reason Why Not By Age Group
(Percent Distribution)
JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Principal Reason For Having / Not <br> Having Gynecologic Examination * | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ |
| Never though of it | 34.7 | 25.1 | 36.4 | 40.3 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 37.4 | 38.4 |
| She is healthy and has no problems | 17.2 | 16.9 | 18.8 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 17.4 | 13.7 |
| Doctor has not recommended | 10.9 | 5.9 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 15.4 | 17.4 | 11.9 |
| Respondent feels she does not need one | 10.7 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 10.7 |
| Never had sexual intercourse | 5.7 | 18.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Not currently sexually active | 4.4 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| She does not like the examination | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| There is not time to get one | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| She forgets about it | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.6 |
| She is embarrassed to have the exam | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Difficult to get an appointment | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Does not like the place/facility | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Waiting time is too long | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Other | 6.6 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 9.7 |
| Does not know | 5.0 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | 4079 | 874 | 655 | 683 | 639 | 534 | 408 | 286 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4.2.3
Timing Of Last Routine Gynecologic Examination (Not Pregnancy Related)
By Socio-Demographic Characteristics - Women Aged 15-49 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Less Than 1 Year Ago | $\begin{gathered} I-2 \\ \text { Years Ago } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-3 \\ \text { Years Ago } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3+ \\ \text { Years Ago } \end{gathered}$ | Don't Remember | Total | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 44.1 | $\underline{21.9}$ | 10.9 | 20.1 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (3074) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 52.4 | 25.8 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 100.0 | (114) |
| 20-24 | 56.5 | 22.2 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (280) |
| 25-29 | 51.8 | 22.6 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (491) |
| 30-34 | 43.7 | 24.2 | 10.2 | 19.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (670) |
| 35-39 | 40.8 | 21.9 | 9.9 | 23.9 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (622) |
| 40-44 | 35.4 | 19.3 | 13.3 | 29.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (510) |
| 45-49 | 38.1 | 19.2 | 11.6 | 27.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (387) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 48.8 | 21.5 | 9.1 | 17.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (1571) |
| 2 | 27.5 | 29.5 | 15.8 | 23.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (336) |
| 3 | 40.4 | 23.4 | 13.4 | 21.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (694) |
| 4 | 37.2 | 19.0 | 13.0 | 27.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (473) |
| Parish * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Andrew | 52.1 | 20.2 | 7.6 | 16.7 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (604) |
| Kingston | 48.4 | 28.0 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (119) |
| St. Thomas | 47.6 | 25.4 | 15.9 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (161) |
| Clarendon | 46.7 | 18.4 | 10.5 | 19.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | (195) |
| St. Catherine | 45.4 | 21.4 | 10.0 | 20.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (687) |
| Westmoreland | 44.9 | 23.3 | 13.2 | 19.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (240) |
| St. James | 42.5 | 19.7 | 13.8 | 22.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (194) |
| Trelawny | 37.6 | 22.5 | 10.6 | 27.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (112) |
| St. Elizabeth | 30.9 | 24.8 | 13.4 | 29.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (135) |
| Manchester | 28.6 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 36.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (143) |
| St. Ann | 28.3 | 27.7 | 20.1 | 19.4 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (122) |
| St. Mary | 28.2 | 28.2 | 7.7 | 32.4 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (99) |
| Portland | 25.5 | 33.0 | 14.9 | 23.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (115) |
| Hanover | 20.9 | 34.9 | 16.3 | 25.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (148) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 35.4 | 20.2 | 12.0 | 28.9 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (435) |
| Medium | 41.3 | 19.5 | 11.6 | 23.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (1195) |
| High | 48.4 | 24.3 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (1444) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 56.2 | 23.6 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (537) |
| 1 | 48.9 | 22.7 | 11.0 | 14.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (792) |
| 2 | 38.9 | 20.3 | 11.9 | 24.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (733) |
| 3 | 41.3 | 22.7 | 10.0 | 23.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (493) |
| $4+$ | 32.5 | 20.4 | 11.7 | 33.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (519) |

* Parishes are listed in descending order based on those who had had a routine gynecologic examination less than one year ago.

TABLE 4.2.4
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Have: Ever Had A Pap Smear, And Who Had A Pap Smear In The Past 3 Years, Past 2 Years And In The Past Year By Selected Characteristics

Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ \text { Had Pap Smear } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | N | Had pap smear |  |  |  |
|  | Past |  | Past | $\begin{gathered} \text { Past } \\ 1 \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ |  | Ever | Past 2 Years | Past <br> 1 Year | r N |
| Total * | 58.9 | 42.3 | 34.1 | $\underline{20.5}$ | (7113) | 50.1 | 27.4 | 15.0 | (6349) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 4.8 | (989) | 10.2 | 8.3 | 5.3 | (955) |
| 20-24 | 39.0 | 33.2 | 27.5 | 16.7 | (937) | 37.9 | 27.3 | 15.4 | (1025) |
| 25-29 | 66.5 | 50.1 | 40.1 | 24.9 | (1176) | 57.3 | 34.2 | 19.7 | (1228) |
| 30-34 | 75.0 | 55.7 | 44.6 | 25.8 | (1311) | 65.3 | 35.0 | 17.7 | (1191) |
| 35-39 | 78.2 | 53.0 | 42.2 | 26.3 | (1147) | 73.3 | 35.9 | 18.4 | (927) |
| 40-44 | 84.2 | 55.7 | 44.6 | 26.4 | (913) | 75.9 | 31.0 | 16.2 | (603) |
| 45-49 | 81.7 | 51.0 | 40.7 | 23.5 | (667) | 72.1 | 27.9 | 15.8 | (420) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 63.0 | 46.8 | 38.7 | 24.4 | (2944) | 54.5 | 30.8 | 16.5 | (2253) |
| 2 | 41.7 | 28.7 | 22.5 | 10.2 | (1166) | 36.9 | 20.9 | 12.2 | (1205) |
| 3 | 61.9 | 42.9 | 34.4 | 19.5 | (1597) | 49.8 | 27.0 | 14.8 | (1606) |
| 4 | 56.3 | 38.5 | 29.2 | 17.4 | (1406) | 48.9 | 24.3 | 13.5 | (1285) |
| Parish ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Thomas | 73.8 | 51.1 | 40.9 | 25.6 | (406) | 57.1 | 27.0 | 11.6 | (437) |
| Trelawny | 68.1 | 42.0 | 33.7 | 19.6 | (373) | 52.5 | 25.1 | 10.2 | (424) |
| St. James | 67.6 | 43.3 | 37.3 | 23.4 | (400) | 51.7 | 32.1 | 20.0 | (398) |
| Kingston | 63.6 | 46.8 | 36.8 | 20.2 | (238) | 53.5 | 28.2 | 14.7 | (178) |
| Clarendon | 63.0 | 43.2 | 35.2 | 22.8 | (512) | 52.7 | 31.0 | 19.9 | (476) |
| St. Andrew | 62.6 | 49.1 | 41.6 | 25.7 | (1031) | 53.3 | 30.0 | 15.4 | (780) |
| St. Catherine | 60.9 | 44.1 | 36.1 | 23.8 | (1269) | 56.1 | 33.7 | 19.8 | (858) |
| Hanover | 56.1 | 33.3 | 37.5 | 11.1 | (398) | 58.1 | 23.4 | 12.4 | (375) |
| Westmoreland | 55.1 | 47.2 | 21.8 | 19.1 | (426) | 41.8 | 23.0 | 11.9 | (409) |
| Manchester | 51.3 | 34.5 | 25.5 | 14.9 | (386) | 50.9 | 20.1 | 8.3 | (405) |
| St. Elizabeth | 51.0 | 36.6 | 24.7 | 12.3 | (508) | 40.5 | 18.4 | 9.4 | (404) |
| Portland | 47.0 | 32.3 | 26.5 | 13.4 | (379) | 43.1 | 24.8 | 15.6 | (402) |
| St. Ann | 42.6 | 32.0 | 23.9 | 10.4 | (384) | 37.7 | 22.8 | 14.2 | (380) |
| St. Mary | 34.2 | 20.6 | 16.9 | 7.0 | (403) | 31.4 | 15.2 | 6.8 | (423) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 58.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 17.9 | (632) | 50.8 | 22.4 | 11.8 | (2312) |
| 10-12 | 57.1 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 16.8 | (2507) | 47.4 | 27.3 | 14.7 | (3266) |
| $13+$ | 59.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 22.7 | (3898) | 59.4 | 40.5 | 24.5 | (717) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 53.9 | 33.5 | 24.6 | 14.0 | (1690) | 44.0 | 19.8 | 9.2 | (2251) |
| Medium | 56.2 | 38.6 | 30.7 | 17.8 | (3053) | 48.2 | 24.6 | 12.3 | (2081) |
| High | 65.0 | 51.7 | 43.6 | 27.3 | (2370) | 56.3 | 35.4 | 21.6 | (2017) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 22.3 | 12.2 | 5.1 | 2.8 | (1787) | 21.0 | 15.1 | 9.7 | (1620) |
| 1 | 68.7 | 27.1 | 19.1 | 9.0 | (1474) | 59.1 | 36.6 | 20.0 | (1342) |
| 2 | 75.0 | 24.9 | 18.5 | 11.5 | (1426) | 64.2 | 33.6 | 17.9 | (1228) |
| 3 | 77.8 | 22.5 | 17.6 | 12.4 | (1031) | 65.5 | 31.2 | 17.3 | (899) |
| $4+$ | 74.9 | 20.0 | 13.2 | 9.4 | (1450) | 66.4 | 29.1 | 13.7 | (1260) |

TABLE 4.2.5
Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Had a Pap Smear Test by Whether or not Were They Told They Needed Treatment After the Test

By Selected Characteristics
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Did Not Need Treatment | Needed Treatment | DK/DR <br> Refused | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 92.9 | 6.3 | $\underline{0.8}$ | 100.0 | (4437) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 91.8 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (93) |
| 20-24 | 89.7 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (409) |
| 25-29 | 92.4 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (781) |
| 30-34 | 93.2 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (973) |
| 35-39 | 93.5 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (891) |
| 40-44 | 92.6 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (746) |
| 45-49 | 95.0 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (544) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 92.6 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (770) |
| Other Urban | 92.6 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (1397) |
| Rural | 93.3 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (2270) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 88.4 | 10.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (372) |
| 10-12 Years | 92.6 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (1486) |
| 13 Or More Years | 93.7 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (2525) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once/ Week | 92.7 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (1682) |
| At least Once/ Month | 92.6 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (853) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 93.1 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (544) |
| Special occasions | 93.2 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1004) |
| Never | 93.3 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (295) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 94.3 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (1246) |
| Common Law Union | 92.3 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (1180) |
| Visiting Partner | 91.9 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (1133) |
| No steady partner | 93.0 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (878) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 92.8 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (941) |
| Medium | 92.6 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (1841) |
| High | 93.2 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1655) |

[^8]TABLE 4.2.6
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had a Pap smear Test and Were Told They Needed Treatment After Having the Test And Received The Treatment

By Socio-Demographic Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Needed Follow-up Treatment |  | Got Treatment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 6.3 | (4437) | 69.2 | (274) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.7 | (93) | 63.1) | (39)) |
| 20-24 | 9.1 | (409) | ) | ) |
| 25-29 | 7.0 | (781) | 72.7 | (54) |
| 30-34 | 6.5 | (973) | 70.4 | (67) |
| 35-39 | 5.3 | (891) | 71.2 | (48) |
| 40-44 | 6.2 | (746) | 68.7) | (86)) |
| 45-49 | 4.9 | (544) | ) | ) |

Area Of Residence

| Kingston Metro. Area | 6.6 | $(770)$ | 75.4 | (50) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Urban | 6.7 | $(1397)$ | 64.3 | $(89)$ |
| Rural | 5.9 | $(2270)$ | 70.2 | $(135)$ |

Health Region

| Region 1 | 6.8 | $(1996)$ | 70.7 | $(130)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region 2 | 6.9 | $(521)$ | 43.8 | $(42)$ |
| Region 3 | 4.8 | $(1070)$ | 74.4 | $(51)$ |
| Region 4 | 6.1 | $(850)$ | 73.0 | $(51)$ |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ Years | 10.8 | $(372)$ | 69.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ Years | 6.5 | $(1486)$ | 75.0 |
| 13 Or More Years | 5.6 | $(2525)$ | 67.1 |

10-12 Years
(2525)

Current Union Status

| Married | 5.5 | $(1246)$ | 62.5 | $(64)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common Law Union | 6.6 | $(1180)$ | 71.4 | $(80)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 7.0 | $(1133)$ | 70.1 | $(77)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 6.3 | $(878)$ | 72.7 | $(53)$ |

Socio Economic Index

| High | 6.2 | $(941)$ | 76.0 | $(63)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 6.6 | $(1841)$ | 66.8 | $(122)$ |
| Low | 6.2 | $(1655)$ | 68.9 | $(89)$ |

* Data missing for 54 women who needed follow-up treatment and 4 women who sought treatment.

TABLE 4.2.7
Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Were Told They Needed Treatment After A Pap Smear Test Who Followed Up On Treatment By Type Of Treatment By Age Group (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Total | $15-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ |
|  |  |  |  | $40-49$ |  |  |
|  | 15.2 | 25.6 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 23.3 |
|  | 53.0 | 35.8 | 50.2 | 51.8 | 70.0 | 55.6 |
|  | 14.6 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 19.1 | 12.4 | 7.9 |
|  | 9.7 | 2.4 | 9.5 | 17.8 | 7.7 | 9.0 |
| Referred To Clinic | 5.8 | 17.1 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 4.2 |
| Other | $(191)$ | $(25)$ | $(37)$ | $(47)$ | $(35)$ | $(47)$ |
| No. Of Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4.2.8
Main Reason Why Respondent Never Had A Pap Smear By Age Group
Women Aged 15-49 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Main Reason | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| Never thought of it | 25.4 | 23.4 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 26.2 | 24.6 | 38.7 | 32.7 |
| She has no partner/she is not sexually active | 15.1 | 31.0 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| She is afraid it could be painful | 12.4 | 3.4 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 21.2 | 19.6 | 18.5 | 17.4 |
| Doctor has not recommended it | 8.1 | 6.0 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 16.1 |
| She is healthy, has no gynecologic problems | 8.0 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| She does not feel the test is necessary | 7.7 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 2.7 |
| Never heard of it | 4.9 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.4 |
| Does not have time to go for tests/forgets about it | 3.7 | 1.1 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 1.7 |
| She is atraid of the results | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 2.2 |
| She is too embarrassed to get test or a pelvic examination | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.9 |
| Other | 6.6 | 3.0 | 7.6 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 9.4 |
| Don't know | 5.2 | 4.0 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 2.2 |
| Refused to answer | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (2676) | (893) | (522) | (385) | (330) | (256) | (167) | (123) |

TABLE 4.2.9
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Have: Been Taught By A Health Professional To Do A
Breast Self-Examination, Who Have Ever Done A Breast Self-Examination
And Percentage Who Did A Breast Self-Examination In The Past Year And In The Past Month By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Taught To Do Breast Self-Exam | Ever Did Breast Self-Exam | Did Exam Past Year | Did Exam Past Month | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 02 |  |  |
| Total | 42.8 | 64.8 | 57.8 | 39.5 | (7168) |
| Breast Cancer In Family |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 52.3 | 70.4 | 64.8 | 47.4 | (281) |
| No | 42.4 | 64.5 | 57.5 | 39.1 | (6887) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 22.2 | 41.2 | 36.9 | 25.2 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 36.7 | 60.5 | 52.4 | 34.9 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 45.5 | 67.5 | 59.6 | 36.8 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 50.0 | 66.4 | 61.5 | 42.1 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 51.5 | 71.1 | 64.0 | 45.4 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 52.1 | 78.2 | 70.8 | 48.1 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 49.3 | 77.6 | 69.7 | 52.9 | (674) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 48.4 | 69.6 | 62.1 | 42.2 | (2974) |
| 2 | 35.3 | 59.4 | 53.1 | 32.4 | (1177) |
| 3 | 36.9 | 57.6 | 51.3 | 37.8 | (1602) |
| 4 | 38.2 | 61.5 | 54.9 | 38.1 | (1415) |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Andrew | 51.2 | 71.7 | 63.7 | 44.7 | (1046) |
| St. Catherine | 46.6 | 68.0 | 61.0 | 38.8 | (1277) |
| Kings ton | 46.5 | 73.7 | 64.2 | 45.7 | (240) |
| St. Elizabeth | 44.4 | 60.8 | 51.5 | 39.0 | (509) |
| Portland | 44.2 | 79.7 | 73.6 | 49.6 | (385) |
| Westmoreland | 43.9 | 61.1 | 55.4 | 44.4 | (427) |
| St. Thomas | 43.4 | 61.3 | 55.5 | 46.0 | (411) |
| Trelawny | 41.6 | 68.3 | 61.0 | 40.3 | (375) |
| Manchester | 39.2 | 63.4 | 57.7 | 37.5 | (388) |
| Clarendon | 33.3 | 60.5 | 54.7 | 38.0 | (518) |
| St. Ann | 32.8 | 50.9 | 46.5 | 22.5 | (387) |
| St. Mary | 31.3 | 54.6 | 45.4 | 32.6 | (405) |
| Hanover | 31.0 | 46.5 | 43.9 | 32.8 | (399) |
| St. James | 30.1 | 53.3 | 45.2 | 32.1 | (401) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 34.6 | 56.0 | 47.9 | 34.6 | (1705) |
| Medium | 39.9 | 62.7 | 55.6 | 37.7 | (3079) |
| High | 51.0 | 72.2 | 66.0 | 44.3 | (2384) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 29.0 | 52.8 | 47.4 | 30.3 | (1787) |
| 1 | 47.8 | 68.6 | 61.4 | 43.2 | (1474) |
| 2 | 52.3 | 70.8 | 62.8 | 42.0 | (1426) |
| 3 | 51.3 | 72.1 | 65.2 | 45.1 | (1031) |
| $4+$ | 44.2 | 73.0 | 60.2 | 43.5 | (1450) |

TABLE 4.2.9 Cont'd
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Have: Been Taught By A Health Professional To Do A Breast Self-Examination, Who Have Ever Done A Breast Self-Examination And Percentage Who Did A Breast Self-Examination In The Past Year And In The Past Month By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Taught To Do Breast Self-Exam | Ever Did Breast Self-Exam | Did Exam <br> Past Year | Did Exam Past Month | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 34.1 | 54.5 | 45.3 | 27.8 | (6383) |
| Breast Cancer In Family |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 49.3 | 63.0 | 53.2 | 38.0 | (241) |
| No | 33.5 | 54.2 | 45.0 | 27.5 | (6142) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.1 | 34.1 | 27.4 | 15.6 | (960) |
| 20-24 | 32.6 | 51.4 | 44.5 | 28.3 | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 42.1 | 57.5 | 47.1 | 30.2 | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 40.2 | 65.8 | 55.2 | 33.0 | (1194) |
| 35-39 | 41.4 | 61.0 | 51.3 | 33.0 | (934) |
| 40-44 | 43.3 | 67.4 | 56.1 | 33.2 | (610) |
| 45-49 | 36.2 | 61.9 | 48.4 | 27.9 | (421) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 39.8 | 59.8 | 50.0 | 30.8 | (2259) |
| 2 | 23.1 | 45.5 | 38.0 | 24.1 | (1216) |
| 3 | 30.6 | 49.3 | 37.2 | 24.0 | (1618) |
| 4 | 31.5 | 53.1 | 46.5 | 26.8 | (1290) |
| Parish * |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Andrew | 43.9 | 63.8 | 52.7 | 30.3 | (783) |
| St. Catherine | 39.8 | 56.2 | 48.2 | 33.5 | (857) |
| Kingston | 34.2 | 58.3 | 52.1 | 28.3 | (179) |
| St. Elizabeth | 31.9 | 50.3 | 43.6 | 32.8 | (404) |
| Portland | 30.6 | 54.0 | 48.7 | 33.3 | (406) |
| Westmoreland | 27.0 | 31.8 | 21.2 | 9.2 | (409) |
| St. Thomas | 20.1 | 51.8 | 36.7 | 25.6 | (440) |
| Trelawny | 27.1 | 56.9 | 45.6 | 33.8 | (430) |
| Manchester | 32.1 | 55.0 | 47.8 | 24.3 | (407) |
| Clarendon | 30.9 | 53.4 | 47.2 | 24.8 | (479) |
| St. Andrew | 43.9 | 63.8 | 52.7 | 30.3 | (783) |
| St. Ann | 25.5 | 53.1 | 45.4 | 30.3 | (382) |
| St. Mary | 14.1 | 28.6 | 19.8 | 8.8 | (428) |
| Hanover | 40.1 | 61.6 | 37.6 | 19.8 | (376) |
| St. James | 31.4 | 54.7 | 45.5 | 32.5 | (403) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 23.9 | 43.4 | 34.8 | 20.9 | (2271) |
| Medium | 31.5 | 53.2 | 43.8 | 26.6 | (2088) |
| High | 44.0 | 64.1 | 54.6 | 34.1 | (2024) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 24.3 | 44.7 | 36.7 | 22.5 | (1627) |
| 1 | 41.5 | 61.5 | 52.1 | 32.5 | (1350) |
| 2 | 37.4 | 59.7 | 50.6 | 31.9 | (1235) |
| 3 | 40.1 | 59.4 | 50.5 | 29.3 | (904) |
| 4+ | 34.9 | 54.7 | 43.6 | 26.8 | (1267) |

* Order of the parishes based on descending percentages of women in taught to do breast examination in 2002.

TABLE 4.3.1
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Ever Smoked Cigarettes And/Or Ever Drank Alcohol By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Of Women Who: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smoked | N | Drank | N |
| Total | 6.7 | (7157) | 41.3 | (7151) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 10.7 | (1141) | 53.5 | (1139) |
| Other Urban | 6.1 | (2078) | 38.6 | (2076) |
| Rural | 5.2 | (3938) | 37.2 | (3936) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.7 | (989) | 31.4 | (987) |
| 20-24 | 4.1 | (937) | 46.2 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 6.3 | (1172) | 43.9 | (1173) |
| 30-34 | 7.8 | (1309) | 43.8 | (1310) |
| 35-39 | 8.8 | (1159) | 41.2 | (1158) |
| 40-44 | 11.1 | (917) | 41.0 | (914) |
| 45-49 | 10.3 | (674) | 44.6 | (672) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 10.8 | (635) | 41.3 | (637) |
| 10-12 Years | 7.4 | (2526) | 40.2 | (2520) |
| 13 Or More Years | 5.8 | (3919) | 41.8 | (3917) |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance * |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once/ Week | 4.3 | (2704) | 30.8 | (2701) |
| At least Once/ Month | 5.7 | (1366) | 44.7 | (1365) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 8.2 | (858) | 49.4 | (859) |
| Special Occasions | 9.4 | (1628) | 48.3 | (1627) |
| Never | 11.1 | (524) | 51.7 | (522) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 5.4 | (1541) | 33.0 | (1538) |
| Common Law Union | 9.6 | (1726) | 45.9 | (1730) |
| Visiting Partner | 7.0 | (1958) | 50.0 | (1954) |
| No Current Partner | 5.5 | (1932) | 35.0 | (1929) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 9.0 | (1703) | 38.3 | (1701) |
| Medium | 7.2 | (3074) | 40.7 | (3073) |
| High | 4.9 | (2380) | 43.5 | (2377) |

* Data missing for 77 women.

TABLE 4.3.2
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Has Smoked At Least 100 Cigarettes In Her Whole Life By Whether Or Not Is Current Smoker By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Of Women Who Smoked At Least 100 Cigarettes In Her Whole Life |  | Of Those Who Smoked At Least 100 Cigarettes In Their Whole Life: Percent Who Are Current Smokers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No of Cases | Percent |  | No of Cases |
| Total | 6.7 | (7151) | 58.8 |  | (492) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 10.7 | (1141) | 62.5 |  | (132) |
| Other Urban | 6.1 | (2078) | 58.3 |  | (134) |
| Rural | 5.2 | (3938) | 55.5 |  | (226) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.7 | (989) | ** |  | (13) |
| 20-24 | 4.1 | (937) | 65.8 |  | (43) |
| 25-29 | 6.3 | (1172) | 67.4 |  | (66) |
| 30-34 | 7.9 | (1309) | 59.4 |  | (94) |
| 35-39 | 8.8 | (1159) | 64.7 |  | (103) |
| 40-44 | 11.1 | (917) | 54.5 |  | (100) |
| 45-49 | 10.3 | (674) | 49.6 |  | (73) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 10.8 | (635) | 53.6 |  | (68) |
| 10-12 | 7.4 | (2526) | 61.3 |  | (196) |
| 13 Or More | 5.8 | (3919) | 58.7 |  | (221) |
| Church Attendance ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once/ Week | 4.3 | (2704) | 35.7 |  | (113) |
| At least Once/ Month | 5.6 | (1366) | 70.9 |  | (75) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 8.2 | (858) | 64.5 |  | (67) |
| Special Occasions | 9.4 | (1628) | 67.7 |  | (173) |
| Never | 11.2 | (524) | 62.5 |  | (60) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 5.4 | (1541) | 32.6 |  | (77) |
| Common Law Union | 9.6 | (1726) | 68.8 |  | (155) |
| Visiting Partner | 7.0 | (1958) | 66.4 |  | (146) |
| No Steady Partner | 5.4 | (1932) | 54.1 |  | (114) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 9.0 | (1703) | 64.2 | 34.6 | (155) |
| Medium | 7.2 | (3074) | 60.1 | 39.6 | (221) |
| High | 4.9 | (2830) | 51.0 | 48.5 | (116) |

* Data missing for 77 women who are classified as smokers and for an additional 7 women who are current smokers.
** Data missing for 77 women who are classified as smokers and for an additional 4 women who are current smokers.

TABLE 4.3.3
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Smoke Cigarettes Regularly By Age When
She Started Smoking By Selected Characteristics
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Age When She Started Smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 10 <br> Years | $\begin{aligned} & 10-14 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30+ \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Not A Regular Smoker | Doesn't Remember | Refused | Total | N |
| Total | 1.6 | 12.8 | 40.9 | 19.4 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (492) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 1.4 | 24.7 | 61.3 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (56) |
| 25-29 | 1.4 | 9.6 | 42.1 | 23.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 12.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (66) |
| 30-34 | 2.3 | 12.1 | 37.5 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (94) |
| 35-39 | 0.8 | 12.4 | 34.7 | 30.4 | 8.2 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (103) |
| 40-49 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 37.8 | 19.4 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (173) |

Area Of Residence

| Kingston Metro Area | 0.4 | 15.4 | 46.7 | 18.9 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 0.6 | $100.0(132)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other Urban | 2.7 | 9.1 | 40.3 | 18.7 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 1.4 | $100.0(134)$ |
| Rural | 1.7 | 13.4 | 35.6 | 20.4 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 10.7 | 1.1 | $100.0(226)$ |

Health Region

| Region 1 | 1.6 | 14.1 | 42.6 | 19.5 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 0.6 | $100.0(267)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region 2 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 38.4 | 16.1 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 15.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| Region 3 | 4.3 | 13.4 | 37.4 | 15.5 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 12.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Region 4 | $0.02)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R | 0.0 | 9.5 | 38.5 | 24.5 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| $(70)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ Years | 4.5 | 16.0 | 33.3 | 2.94 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(68)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10-12$ Years | 1.9 | 14.1 | 44.0 | 18.3 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 7.1 | 0.8 | $100.0(196)$ |  |
| 13 Or More Years | 0.6 | 11.3 | 40.9 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 1.3 | $100.0(221)$ |  |

Current Union Status

| Married | 0.5 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 18.5 | 16.6 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | $(77)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common Law Union | 0.8 | 11.0 | 47.2 | 19.4 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 9.8 | 0.0 | $100.0(155)$ |  |
| Visiting Partner | 2.0 | 12.7 | 41.8 | 22.3 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 1.7 | $100.0(146)$ |  |
| No Steady Partner | 2.5 | 12.8 | 40.9 | 16.3 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 2.7 | 7.8 | 1.5 | $100.0(114)$ |  |

Socio Economic Status

| Low | 3.7 | 12.5 | 37.2 | 16.8 | 5.8 | 11.3 | 2.7 | 9.7 | 0.3 | $100.0(155)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 0.7 | 14.8 | 43.0 | 16.1 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 8.2 | 0.6 | $100.0(221)$ |
| High | 1.0 | 9.6 | 40.9 | 24.1 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 2.3 | $100.0(116)$ |

* Data missing for 7 women.

TABLE 4.3.4
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who 1: Had 12 Alcoholic Drinks In Whole Life; 2: Had Alcoholic Drink In Past Month; 3: Had 5 Or Drinks on one Occasion In Past Month By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Of Women Who Have Had Alcoholic Drinks: |  |  | No of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 Or More <br> In Whole Life | 1 Or More <br> In Past Month | 5 Or More Drinks on One Occasion In Past Month |  |
| Total | 41.3 | $\underline{23.3}$ | 6.3 | (7151) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 31.4 | 18.5 | 4.2 | (987) |
| 20-24 | 46.2 | 27.6 | 7.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 43.9 | 28.2 | 6.7 | (1173) |
| 30-34 | 43.8 | 25.2 | 7.8 | (1310) |
| 35-39 | 41.2 | 23.2 | 6.9 | (1158) |
| 40-44 | 41.0 | 18.9 | 6.6 | (914) |
| 45-49 | 44.6 | 21.1 | 4.7 | (672) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 53.5 | 33.3 | 11.2 | (1139) |
| Other Urban | 38.6 | 20.6 | 4.8 | (2076) |
| Rural | 37.2 | 20.5 | 5.0 | (3936) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 43.9 | 26.7 | 8.0 | (2966) |
| Region 2 | 42.8 | 18.3 | 4.2 | (1171) |
| Region 3 | 32.9 | 18.1 | 4.8 | (1599) |
| Region 4 | 40.4 | 22.0 | 4.6 | (1415) |
| Years Of Education ** |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 41.2 | 19.3 | 5.7 | (637) |
| 10-12 | 40.2 | 21.6 | 5.8 | (2520) |
| 13 Or More | 41.8 | 24.8 | 6.6 | (3917) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 33.0 | 15.1 | 2.4 | (1538) |
| Common Law Union | 45.9 | 27.5 | 9.0 | (1730) |
| Visiting Partner | 50.0 | 30.9 | 9.9 | (1954) |
| No Steady Partner | 35.0 | 18.5 | 3.6 | (1929) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 38.2 | 20.4 | 5.2 | (1701) |
| Medium | 40.9 | 22.8 | 6.5 | (3073) |
| High | 43.5 | 25.7 | 6.6 | (2377) |

Data missing for 77 of the 7151 women reported on.

TABLE 4.3.5
Number Of Alcoholic Drinks Women Aged 15-49 Years Have In A Typical Week By Selected Characteristics - Women Who Have Had 12 Or More Alcoholic Drinks (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected <br> Characteristics | None | Under <br> 5 | $5-9$ | Over <br> 9 | Don't <br> Know | Refused | No of <br> Total <br> Cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 64.1 | 26.1 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | $(2865)$ |  |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-24$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $25-29$ | 62.3 | 29.9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(702)$ |  |
| $30-34$ | 61.0 | 29.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(473)$ |  |
| $35-39$ | 63.3 | 23.6 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | $(558)$ |  |
| $40-49$ | 72.6 | 19.3 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | $(652)$ |  |

## Area Of Residence

| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 67.3 | 25.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(615)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Urban | 65.6 | 23.8 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 100.0 | $(789)$ |
| Rural | 60.9 | 28.2 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(1461)$ |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ | 72.0 | 20.5 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(256)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10-12$ | 62.7 | 26.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | $(1013)$ |
| 13 Or More | 64.1 | 26.3 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | $(1564)$ |

## Current Union Status

| Married | 75.7 | 17.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | $(486)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common Law Union | 58.2 | 31.7 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | $(765)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 58.6 | 30.8 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(939)$ |
| No Steady Partner | 70.4 | 19.8 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | $(675)$ |

Socio Economic Status

| Low | 85.0 | 27.3 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | $(642)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Medium | 85.2 | 25.4 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | $(1215)$ |
| High | 85.4 | 26.1 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(1008)$ |

[^9]TABLE 4.4.1
Number Of Visits For Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Previous 5 Years - Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS - Percent Distribution 2002 JAMAICA REPRODLCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Had Prenatal Care |  | Trimester When Prenatal Care Began |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No. of Cases* | First | Second | Third | Not Stated | Total | N |
| Total | 98.1 | (3079) | 60.7 | 34.0 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (3044) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 96.8 | (409) | 73.4 | 22.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (400) |
| Other Urban | 97.3 | (837) | 64.4 | 31.1 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (828) |
| Rural | 99.1 | (1833) | 53.8 | 40.2 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1816) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 98.0 | (1171) | 68.0 | 27.7 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (1155) |
| 2 | 98.9 | (530) | 52.3 | 42.9 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (525) |
| 3 | 95.3 | (725) | 59.0 | 34.5 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (713) |
| 4 | 99.8 | (653) | 52.2 | 41.4 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (651) |
| Parish** ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 99.3 | (105) | 72.4 | 20.9 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (104) |
| St. Andrew | 96.8 | (369) | 73.8 | 22.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (361) |
| St. Thomas | 98.5 | (183) | 53.0 | 40.8 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (180) |
| Portland | 98.5 | (199) | 53.2 | 41.0 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (196) |
| St. Mary | 99.0 | (166) | 54.3 | 42.3 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (165) |
| St. Ann | 99.2 | (165) | 50.4 | 44.6 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (164) |
| Trelawny | 99.1 | (157) | 52.0 | 37.2 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (155) |
| St. James | 90.5 | (203) | 57.0 | 37.0 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (197) |
| Hanover | 98.1 | (190) | 65.3 | 31.4 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (188) |
| Westmoreland | 98.2 | (175) | 62.4 | 31.2 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (173) |
| St. Elizabeth | 99.4 | (226) | 49.3 | 46.6 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (224) |
| Manchester | 100.0 | (171) | 56.2 | 30.9 | 12.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (171) |
| Clarendon | 100.0 | (256) | 50.9 | 46.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (256) |
| St. Catherine | 98.8 | (514) | 64.8 | 31.0 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (510) |
| Mother's Age At Birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 20 Years | 98.4 | (478) | 50.3 | 44.6 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (474) |
| 20-29 Years | 99.0 | (1592) | 62.7 | 32.1 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1580) |
| 30-39 Years | 96.6 | (914) | 63.3 | 31.5 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (898) |
| 40-49 Years | 97.0 | (95) | 65.1 | 28.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (92) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 99.2 | (926) | 66.3 | 29.7 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (920) |
| 2-3 | 98.5 | (1304) | 61.8 | 33.2 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (1293) |
| 4-5 | 98.9 | (593) | 56.3 | 37.2 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (586) |
| $6+$ | 90.5 | (256) | 42.0 | 49.2 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (245) |
| Years Of Schooling *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 99.5 | (276) | 54.9 | 36.5 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (275) |
| 10-12 Years | 99.5 | (1120) | 53.4 | 41.1 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (1111) |
| 13 Or More Years | 97.1 | (1660) | 66.0 | 29.3 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (1635) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 96.2 | (1112) | 48.7 | 43.4 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (1091) |
| Medium | 99.6 | (1314) | 60.5 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (1310 |
| High | 97.9 | (653) | 78.3 | 18.9 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (643) |

* Data missing for 30 women who did not state if they had prenatal care.
** $\quad$ Parishes are listed in descending order based on the percentage beginning prenatal care in the first trimester.
*** Data missing for 23 women who had prenatal care but did not state their level of schooling.

TABLE 4.4.2
Principal Source Of Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Previous 5 Years - Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had Prenatal Care

By Selected Socio Demographic Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPS Totals
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  |  |  |  | Rural | Private |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Selected | Gov't | Gov't | Private | Maternity | Doctor | Not |  | No. of |  |
| Characteristics | Clinic | Hospital | Hospital | Centre | /Clinic | Other | Stated | Total | Cases |



Birth Order

| 1 | 67.7 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 22.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(920)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2-3$ | 72.3 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 18.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | $(1293)$ |
| $4-5$ | 82.7 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 11.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | $(586)$ |
| $6+$ | 83.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | $(245)$ |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ Years | 83.9 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(275)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ Years | 81.2 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 11.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | $100.0(1111)$ |  |
| $13+$ Years | 67.2 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 22.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | $(1635)$ |

Socio Economic Status

| Low | 86.7 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(1091)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 77.0 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | $(1310)$ |
| High | 47.9 | 8.7 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 38.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(643)$ |

* Data missing for 23 women.

TABLE 4.4.2 Cont'd
Principal Source Of Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In
The Previous 5 Years - Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had Prenatal Care
By Selected Socio Demographic Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPS Totals
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected | Gov't | Gov't | Private | Private |  |  | No. of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characteristics | Clinic | Hospital | Doctor | Hospital | Other | Total | Cases |


| Total | 76.6 | 4.4 | $\underline{16.8}$ | 1.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (3777) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 83.0 | 3.1 | 12.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (268) |
| 20-24 | 78.4 | 4.9 | 15.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (906) |
| 25-29 | 79.8 | 2.9 | 15.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (1074) |
| 30-34 | 71.9 | 4.4 | 20.1 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (852) |
| 35-39 | 70.1 | 7.3 | 20.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (483) |
| 40-49 | 72.7 | 5.5 | 20.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (194) |

Birth Order

| 1 | 70.0 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 20.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(1131)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | 79.3 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 15.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | $(953)$ |
| 3 | 77.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 16.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | $(737)$ |
| 4 | 81.7 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 12.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(452)$ |
| $5+$ | 85.5 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 11.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | $(503)$ |

Education Attained

| $0-9$ Years | 84.3 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | $(1495)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $10-12$ Years | 75.8 | 3.9 | 18.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | $(1942)$ |
| $13+$ Years | 51.9 | 6.8 | 36.4 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | $(317)$ |

## Socio Economic Status

| Low | 89.1 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | $(1857)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 78.6 | 3.5 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | $(1154)$ |
| High | 53.1 | 8.3 | 33.4 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | $(766)$ |


| 1993 Total | 72.6 | 7.1 | 18.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1865) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1989 Total | 80.1 | 7.8 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | $(3004)$ |

TABLE 4.4.3
Source Of "Most Of" Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Previous Five Years - Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had Prenatal Care By Geographic Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Gov't <br> Clinic | Gov't <br> Hospital | Private Doctor/ clinic | Private Hospital | Other | Not Stated | Total | No of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 20 | 02 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 73.5 | 5.2 | 17.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (3044) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 63.4 | 9.5 | 20.4 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (400) |
| Other Urban | 65.5 | 6.3 | 25.5 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (828) |
| Rural | 82.1 | 2.9 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (1816) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 68.5 | 7.2 | 20.5 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (1155) |
| 2 | 77.1 | 2.3 | 14.6 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (525) |
| 3 | 73.6 | 5.7 | 15.8 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (713) |
| 4 | 81.0 | 2.4 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (651) |
| Parish* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Thomas | 90.9 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (180) |
| Trelawny | 90.8 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (155) |
| Portland | 87.9 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (196) |
| St. Mary | 84.2 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (165) |
| Clarendon | 83.6 | 0.3 | 14.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (256) |
| Hanover | 82.6 | 4.8 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (188) |
| Westmoreland | 81.5 | 0.4 | 15.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (173) |
| St. Elizabeth | 79.6 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (224) |
| Manchester | 78.5 | 4.9 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (171) |
| St. Catherine | 68.9 | 5.2 | 24.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (510) |
| Kingston | 65.7 | 4.5 | 27.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (104) |
| St. Ann | 65.5 | 4.5 | 18.1 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 2.3 | 100.0 | (164) |
| St. Andrew | 64.3 | 11.4 | 17.4 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (361) |
| St. James | 55.8 | 12.5 | 22.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (197) |

* The order of the parishes is based on the highest percentage of prenatal care provided by government clinics.

TABLE 4.4.3 Cont'd
Source Of "Most Of" Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In
The Previous Five Years - Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had Prenatal Care
By Geographic Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristic | Gov't Clinic | Gov't Hospital | Private Doctor | Private <br> Hospital | Other | Total | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 199 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Total | 76.6 | 4.4 | 16.8 | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{0.8}$ | 100.0 | (3777) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 63.4 | 11.0 | 21.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (709) |
| Other Urban | 74.4 | 3.4 | 21.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (568) |
| Rural | 84.0 | 1.3 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (2500) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 69.6 | 8.5 | 18.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (1140) |
| 2 | 87.2 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (826) |
| 3 | 82.5 | 3.4 | 13.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (935) |
| 4 | 76.7 | 1.4 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (876) |
| Parish ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Thomas | 94.8 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (228) |
| Trelawny | 87.1 | 0.6 | 12.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (279) |
| Portland | 89.2 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (264) |
| St. Mary | 92.6 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (294) |
| Clarendon | 73.2 | 1.1 | 24.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (338) |
| Hanover | 91.7 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (182) |
| Westmoreland | 85.7 | 0.3 | 13.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (254) |
| St. Elizabeth | 79.9 | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 100.0 | (226) |
| Manchester | 79.1 | 1.7 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (312) |
| St. Catherine | 69.9 | 5.9 | 22.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (422) |
| Kingston | 61.8 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (120) |
| St. Ann | 82.8 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (268) |
| St. Andrew | 67.3 | 9.6 | 17.7 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (370) |
| St. James | 74.3 | 7.4 | 17.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (220) |

* The order of the parishes is based on the highest percentage of prenatal care provided by government clinics.

TABLE 4.4.4
Number Of Visits For Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Previous 5 Years - Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS - Percent Distribution 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Number of Prenatal Visits |  |  | Unknown | Total | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-4 | 5 | 6 Or More |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total | 9.1 | 7.9 | 82.2 | $\underline{0.8}$ | 100.0 | (3044) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 6.1 | 2.7 | 90.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (400) |
| Other Urban | 7.6 | 6.6 | 85.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (828) |
| Rural | 11.1 | 10.5 | 77.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (1816) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7.6 | 5.1 | 86.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (1155) |
| 2 | 13.2 | 17.8 | 68.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (525) |
| 3 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 82.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (713) |
| 4 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 81.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (651) |
| Parish* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 10.4 | 1.5 | 88.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (104) |
| St. Catherine | 6.8 | 5.6 | 86.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (510) |
| St. Andrew | 5.8 | 2.9 | 89.8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (361) |
| Hanover | 7.1 | 2.4 | 90.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (188) |
| St. James | 10.8 | 13.1 | 74.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (197) |
| St. Elizabeth | 6.0 | 6.3 | 85.9 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (224) |
| Westmoreland | 6.4 | 6.3 | 87.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (173) |
| Clarendon | 9.8 | 8.0 | 82.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (256) |
| Manchester | 12.9 | 10.9 | 75.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (171) |
| St. Thomas | 18.3 | 17.1 | 63.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (180) |
| St. Mary | 16.8 | 22.2 | 61.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (165) |
| St. Ann | 8.3 | 15.8 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (164) |
| Trelawny | 11.1 | 2.3 | 85.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (155) |
| Portland | 17.3 | 16.9 | 63.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (196) |
| $\underline{\text { Source Of Prenatal Care** }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 9.7 | 8.8 | 80.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (2466) |
| Private Facility | 5.8 | 3.7 | 90.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (591) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 85.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (920) |
| 2-3 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 83.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (1293) |
| 4-5 | 10.0 | 11.2 | 78.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (586) |
| $6+$ | 18.4 | 7.3 | 74.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (245) |

* Parishes are listed in descending order according to percentage of pregnancies with six or more prenatal care visits.
** Data are missing for 28 births for which source of prenatal care could not be classified as either public or private.

TABLE 4.4.4 Cont'd
Number Of Visits For Prenatal Care For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Previous 5 Years - Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS - Percent Distribution 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Number Of Prenatal Visits |  |  |  | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-4 | 5 | 6 Or More | Total |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| Total | 12.8 | 19.8 | 76.4 | 100.0 | (3725)* |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 7.3 | 4.8 | 87.9 | 100.0 | (709) |
| Other Urban | 9.9 | 5.7 | 84.4 | 100.0 | (558) |
| Rural | 16.4 | 15.2 | 68.4 | 100.0 | (2458) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 84.4 | 100.0 | (1137) |
| 2 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 62.3 | 100.0 | (815) |
| 3 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 76.3 | 100.0 | (913) |
| 4 | 15.3 | 11.4 | 73.4 | 100.0 | (860) |
| Parish ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 7.6 | 4.2 | 88.2 | 100.0 | (120) |
| St. Catherine | 6.4 | 7.5 | 86.1 | 100.0 | (422) |
| St. Andrew | 8.5 | 6.5 | 85.0 | 100.0 | (370) |
| Hanover | 6.2 | 8.8 | 85.0 | 100.0 | (180) |
| St. James | 10.2 | 6.8 | 82.9 | 100.0 | (216) |
| St. Elizabeth | 11.8 | 10.3 | 77.9 | 100.0 | (216) |
| Westmoreland | 10.4 | 14.2 | 75.4 | 100.0 | (244) |
| Clarendon | 16.9 | 8.8 | 74.4 | 100.0 | (336) |
| Manchester | 15.2 | 15.2 | 69.5 | 100.0 | (308) |
| St. Thomas | 23.0 | 11.1 | 66.1 | 100.0 | (225) |
| St. Mary | 16.5 | 18.4 | 65.2 | 100.0 | (293) |
| St. Ann | 19.5 | 17.8 | 62.7 | 100.0 | (260) |
| Trelawny | 23.3 | 17.8 | 58.9 | 100.0 | (273) |
| Portland | 22.3 | 20.8 | 56.9 | 100.0 | (262) |
| Source Of Prenatal Care *** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 14.0 | 11.9 | 74.2 | 100.0 | (3111) |
| Private Facility | 7.4 | 6.4 | 86.2 | 100.0 | (591) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 80.6 | 100.0 | (1121) |
| 2 | 15.2 | 10.3 | 74.5 | 100.0 | (940) |
| 3 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 76.5 | 100.0 | (722) |
| 4 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 73.5 | 100.0 | (445) |
| $5+$ | 17.2 | 12.9 | 70.0 | 100.0 | (496) |

* Data for the number of prenatal visits missing for 52 births.
** Parishes are listed in descending order according to percentage of pregnancies with six 6 or more prenatal care visits.
*** Data are missing for 23 births for which source of prenatal care could not be classified as either public or private.

TABLE 4.4.5
Month Of Pregnancy When Prenatal Care Began For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births
In The Previous Five Years - Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care By
Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


[^10]TABLE 4.4.5 Cont'd
Month Of Pregnancy When Prenatal Care Began For All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Previous Five Years - Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care By

Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Month of Pregnancy When Prenatal Care Began |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | Don't Remember | Total | No. of Cases |
|  |  |  |  |  | 99 | 7 |  |  |
| Total | $\underline{28.9}$ | $\underline{28.2}$ | $\underline{16.7}$ | 10.0 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (3777) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 41.6 | 23.2 | 14.6 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | (709) |
| Other Urban | 33.5 | 28.9 | 14.8 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (568) |
| Rural | 21.3 | 30.7 | 18.3 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 7.7 | 100.0 | (2500) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37.8 | 25.9 | 15.0 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 100.0 | (1140) |
| 2 | 21.3 | 30.3 | 21.0 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 100.0 | (826) |
| 3 | 23.5 | 30.8 | 18.6 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 100.0 | (935) |
| 4 | 24.1 | 28.8 | 15.5 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 7.0 | 100.0 | (876) |
| Parish * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 34.0 | 16.0 | 11.8 | 17.4 | 13.9 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (120) |
| St. Andrew | 44.9 | 24.4 | 12.4 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | (370) |
| St. Catherine | 29.9 | 31.9 | 19.6 | 9.4 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 100.0 | (422) |
| Westmoreland | 23.2 | 39.0 | 18.9 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (254) |
| Clarendon | 21.7 | 28.9 | 14.4 | 16.5 | 11.8 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (338) |
| St. Thomas | 39.5 | 24.8 | 14.7 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (228) |
| Trelawny | 14.9 | 28.9 | 22.0 | 12.3 | 8.9 | 13.1 | 100.0 | (279) |
| St. Elizabeth | 25.8 | 22.3 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 24.7 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (226) |
| Portland | 20.1 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | (264) |
| St. Mary | 14.1 | 34.5 | 24.1 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (294) |
| St. Ann | 26.5 | 30.2 | 16.9 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 14.3 | 100.0 | (268) |
| St. James | 29.8 | 24.1 | 16.1 | 9.4 | 14.1 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (220) |
| Manchester | 26.1 | 32.4 | 18.9 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 100.0 | (312) |
| Hanover | 18.7 | 31.3 | 20.0 | 11.7 | 4.8 | 13.5 | 100.0 | (182) |
| Source Of Prenatal Care** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 23.5 | 29.8 | 18.8 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (3159) |
| Private Facility | 53.0 | 21.8 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (595) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 34.7 | 26.6 | 14.5 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 4.6 | 100.0 | (1131) |
| 2 | 27.4 | 29.4 | 17.6 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (953) |
| 3 | 28.6 | 27.9 | 17.9 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 100.0 | (737) |
| 4 | 24.1 | 27.7 | 17.5 | 11.1 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 100.0 | (452) |
| $5+$ | 19.7 | 31.6 | 19.3 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 100.0 | (503) |

[^11]TABLE 4.4.6
Percentage Of Pregnancies Of Women 15-49 In The Previous 5 Years That Were Classified As High-Risk* According To Age And Parity Criteria By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2002 |  | 197 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No of Cases | Percent | No of Cases |
| Total | $\underline{24.2}$ | (3109) | 21.0 | (3821) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 20.1 | (412) | 19.4 | (722) |
| Other Urban | 25.3 | (1178) | 18.0 | (575) |
| Rural | 25.1 | (2312) | 22.6 | (2524) |
| $\underline{\text { Health Region }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.4 | (1703) | 19.4 | (1159) |
| 2 | 27.3 | (651) | 19.4 | (827) |
| 3 | 27.3 | (966) | 24.9 | (944) |
| 4 | 24.1 | (824) | 21.9 | (891) |
| Parish ** |  |  |  |  |
| Trelawny | 29.4 | (158) | 22.5 | (280) |
| St. Elizabeth | 29.1 | (230) | 21.4 | (232) |
| St. James | 28.9 | (204) | 27.3 | (225) |
| Portland | 28.5 | (206) | 21.8 | (265) |
| St. Ann | 28.5 | (166) | 19.3 | (268) |
| Westmoreland | 25.3 | (175) | 23.9 | (256) |
| Hanover | 24.8 | (190) | 23.8 | (183) |
| St. Catherine | 24.5 | (518) | 17.5 | (428) |
| St. Thomas | 24.0 | (183) | 21.7 | (231) |
| St. Mary | 23.7 | (168) | 17.8 | (294) |
| Manchester | 23.3 | (175) | 22.9 | (317) |
| Kingston | 22.1 | (106) | 16.9 | (124) |
| Clarendon | 21.9 | (256) | 21.3 | (342) |
| St. Andrew | 19.8 | (374) | 21.3 | (376) |
| Age At First Birth |  |  |  |  |
| 13-16 | 100.0 | (163) | 100.0 | (226) |
| 17-19 | 0.0 | (429) | 0.0 | (554) |
| 20-24 | 0.1 | (786) | 0.5 | (1119) |
| 25-29 | 4.9 | (806) | 3.3 | (926) |
| 30-34 | 28.6 | (562) | 27.5 | (649) |
| 35-45 | 100.0 | (363) | 100.0 | (345) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 31.3 | (1121) | 23.7 | (1885) |
| Medium | 19.6 | (1330) | 19.0 | (1164) |
| High | 23.2 | (658) | 19.1 | (772) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25.1 | (936) | 26.5 | (1137) |
| 2 | 7.9 | (755) | 5.6 | (961) |
| 3 | 13.5 | (560) | 8.9 | (746) |
| 4 | 17.9 | (374) | 15.3 | (461) |
| 5 Or More | 63.9 | (484) | 61.9 | (515) |

[^12]TABLE 4.4.7
Percent Of All Pregnancies And High-Risk Pregnancies Of Women Aged 15-49 In Past 5 Years For Which The Timing And Number Of Visits During Prenatal Care Was Inadequate According To Ministry Of Health Criteria* And By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent N |  |
|  | All Pregnancies |  | High Risk Pregnancies |  | All Pregnancies |  | High Risk Pregnancies |  |
| Total | $\underline{20.5}$ | (3735) | 57.3 | (710) | 35.4 | (3769) | 65.8 | (766) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 16.8 | (510) | 53.1 | (81) | 25.6 | (722) | 48.1 | (132) |
| Other Urban | 20.1 | (1022) | 55.3 | (195) | 27.1 | (565) | 52.0 | (104) |
| Rural | 22.1 | (2203) | 63.0 | (434) | 42.5 | (2482) | 76.6 | (530) |
| Region $\quad$ ( ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 17.3 | (1443) | 47.8 | (251) | 27.5 | (1156) | 53.1 | (212) |
| 2 | 26.2 | (618) | 84.9 | (129) | 42.8 | (816) | 87.8 | (157) |
| 3 | 24.7 | (877) | 74.3 | (177) | 36.3 | (922) | 63.7 | (213) |
| 4 | 21.0 | (797) | 46.1 | (153) | 42.0 | (875) | 72.1 | (184) |
| Parish ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 18.5 | (105) | ** | (23) | 41.2 | (124) | ** | (19) |
| St. Andrew | 15.7 | (369) | 45.0 | (72) | 24.3 | (376) | 50.5 | (75) |
| St. Thomas | 26.4 | (183) | 84.2 | (41) | 43.2 | (228) | 77.0 | (41) |
| Portland | 35.0 | (199) | 75.6 | (52) | 46.6 | (263) | 95.8 | (57) |
| St. Mary | 27.8 | (166) | 98.2 | (33) | 46.5 | (293) | 91.0 | (47) |
| St. Ann | 19.2 | (165) | 77.9 | (44) | 38.7 | (260) | 81.9 | (53) |
| Trelawny | 22.1 | (157) | 75.6 | (43) | 47.4 | (274) | 63.2 | (60) |
| St. James | 30.8 | (203) | 84.9 | (46) | 32.9 | (221) | 53.7 | (58) |
| Hanover | 17.7 | (190) | 47.0 | (45) | 31.6 | (181) | 59.6 | (38) |
| Westmoreland | 21.4 | (175) | 70.8 | (43) | 35.7 | (246) | 77.3 | (57) |
| St. Elizabeth | 21.1 | (226) | 47.8 | (58) | 48.6 | (222) | 70.4 | (48) |
| Manchester | 21.8 | (171) | 44.9 | (36) | 37.8 | (313) | 72.3 | (69) |
| Clarendon | 20.4 | (256) | 45.7 | (59) | 42.3 | (340) | 72.7 | (67) |
| St. Catherine | 16.9 | (514) | 45.1 | (115) | 22.6 | (428) | 43.4 | (77) |
| Age At Conception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-16 | 39.7 | (163) | 61.7) | (163) | 71.4 | (223) | 71.4 | (223) |
| 17-19 | 29.3 | (426) | ) | ) | 34.8 | (546) |  | (0) |
| 20-24 | 16.8 | (779) | ** | (1) | 31.9 | (1104) | ** | (6) |
| 25-29 | 14.0 | (801) | 87.0 | (37) | 21.0 | (911) | 74.4 | (38) |
| 30-34 | 13.6 | (557) | 61.2 | (156) | 31.9 | (641) | 66.3 | (157) |
| 35-45 | 29.9 | (353) | 50.4 | (353) | 59.4 | (342) | 59.4 | (342) |
| Source Of Prenatal Care ${ }^{* * * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 22.1 | (2501) | 63.8 | (571) | 37.4 | (311) | 68.5 | (644) |
| Private Facility | 11.8 | (550) | 26.9 | (128) | 21.6) | (591) | 50.2) | (106) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27.0 | (926) | 51.1 | (231) | 38.4 | (1227) | 64.7 | (279) |
| 2 | 12.6 | (747) | 54.9 | (53) | 28.5 | (948) | 62.8 | (55) |
| 3 | 12.7 | (557) | 38.9 | (71) | 28.1 | (731) | 56.0 | (62) |
| 4 | 11.6 | (373) | 49.0 | (61) | 35.4 | (454) | 63.4 | (68) |
| $5+$ | 33.9 | (476) | 69.1 | (294) | 52.2 | (508) | 70.8 | (302) |

[^13]TABLE 4.4.8
Adequacy Of Visits For Prenatal Care of High-Risk Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Past 5 Years Of Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care According To Ministry Of Health Criteria* And By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Adequacy Of Prenatal Visits Care |  |  |  | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not <br> Adequate $(<6)$ | Partially Adequate (6-9) | Adequate $(10+)$ | Total |  |
|  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Total | 34.6 | 22,7 | 42.7 | 100.0 | (710) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 30.4 | 12.7 | 56.9 | 100.0 | (81) |
| Other Urban | 33.8 | 21.5 | 44.8 | 100.0 | (195) |
| Rural | 36.5 | 26.5 | 37.0 | 100.0 | (434) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| , | 30.5 | 17.3 | 52.2 | 100.0 | (251) |
| 2 | 33.5 | 51.4 | 15.1 | 100.0 | (129) |
| 3 | 49.1 | 25.2 | 25.7 | 100.0 | (177) |
| 4 | 31.1 | 15.0 | 53.9 | 100.0 | (153) |
| Parish ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. James | 59.8 | 25.1 | 15.0 | 100.0 | (46) |
| Portland | 55.7 | 29.9 | 14.4 | 100.0 | (52) |
| Trelawny | 51.9 | 23.7 | 24.4 | 100.0 | (43) |
| St. Thomas | 47.5 | 36.7 | 15.8 | 100.0 | (41) |
| Hanover | 37.6 | 9.4 | 52.9 | 100.0 | (45) |
| Westmoreland | 36.3 | 34.5 | 29.2 | 100.0 | (43) |
| St. Mary | 33.7 | 64.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (33) |
| St. Elizabeth | 32.7 | 15.1 | 52.2 | 100.0 | (58) |
| Manchester | 31.8 | 13.1 | 55.1 | 100.0 | (36) |
| St. Catherine | 31.1 | 14.0 | 54.9 | 100.0 | (115) |
| Clarendon | 29.4 | 16.3 | 54.2 | 100.0 | (59) |
| St. Andrew | 25.9 | 19.1 | 55.0 | 100.0 | (72) |
| St. Ann | 18.9 | 59.0 | 22.1 | 100.0 | (44) |
| Kingston | *** | *** | *** | 100.0 | (23) |
| Source Of Prenatal Care **** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 39.0 | 24.8 | 36.2 | 100.0 | (571) |
| Private Facility | 14.4 | 12.5 | 73.1 | 100.0 | (128) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 29.1 | 22.0 | 48.9 | 100.0 | (231) |
| 2 | 35.4 | 19.5 | 44.1 | 100.0 | (53) |
| 3 | 20.3 | 18.6 | 61.1 | 100.0 | (71) |
| 4 | 26.4 | 22.6 | 51.0 | 100.0 | (61) |
| 5+ | 44.2 | 24.9 | 31.0 | 100.0 | (294) |

[^14]TABLE 4.4.8 Cont'd
Adequacy Of Prenatal Care of High-Risk Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Past 5 Years Of Women Aged 15-49 Who Had Prenatal Care According To Ministry Of Health Criteria* And By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Adequacy of Prenatal Care |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inadequate $(<6)$ | Partially <br> Adequate (6-9) | Adequate $(10+)$ | Total | No. of Cases |
|  |  | 97 |  |  |  |
| Total | 36.4 | $\underline{29.5}$ | 34.2 | 100.0 | $(766)^{* *}$ |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 23.5 | 24.6 | 51.9 | 100.0 | (132) |
| Other Urban | 26.8 | 25.2 | 48.0 | 100.0 | (104) |
| Rural | 44.0 | 32.5 | 23.4 | 100.0 | (530) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27.6 | 25.5 | 46.9 | 100.0 | (212) |
| 2 | 49.1 | 38.7 | 12.2 | 100.0 | (157) |
| 3 | 38.6 | 25.1 | 36.3 | 100.0 | (213) |
| 4 | 39.2 | 32.9 | 27.9 | 100.0 | (184) |
| Parish*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. James | 41.3 | 12.5 | 46.3 | 100.0 | (58) |
| Portland | 57.5 | 38.4 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (57) |
| Trelawny | 49.4 | 13.9 | 36.7 | 100.0 | (60) |
| St. Thomas | 27.9 | 49.2 | 23.0 | 100.0 | (41) |
| Hanover | 25.0 | 34.6 | 40.4 | 100.0 | (38) |
| Westmoreland | 34.5 | 42.9 | 22.6 | 100.0 | (57) |
| St. Mary | 47.8 | 43.3 | 9.0 | 100.0 | (47) |
| St. Elizabeth | 45.9 | 24.6 | 29.5 | 100.0 | (48) |
| Manchester | 33.0 | 39.4 | 27.7 | 100.0 | (69) |
| St. Catherine | 26.4 | 17.0 | 56.6 | 100.0 | (77) |
| Clarendon | 41.4 | 31.3 | 27.3 | 100.0 | (67) |
| St. Andrew | 25.3 | 23.5 | 49.5 | 100.0 | (75) |
| St. Ann | 45.8 | 36.1 | 18.1 | 100.0 | (53) |
| Kingston | **** | **** | **** | **** | (19) |
| Source Of Prenatal Care ***** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 38.2 | 30.4 | 31.5 | 100.0 | (644) |
| Private Facility | 23.0 | 27.2 | 49.8 | 100.0 | (106) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 34.3 | 30.5 | 35.3 | 100.0 | (279) |
| 2 | 30.4 | 32.4 | 37.2 | 100.0 | (56) |
| 3 | 22.9 | 33.1 | 44.0 | 100.0 | (62) |
| 4 | 33.4 | 30.0 | 36.7 | 100.0 | (68) |
| $5+$ | 44.1 | 26.6 | 29.4 | 100.0 | (302) |

[^15]TABLE 4.4.9
Percent Of Normal-Risk Pregnancies Of Women Aged 15-49 In The Past 5 Years For Which The Timing And Number Of Visits During Prenatal Care Was Inadequate According To Ministry Of Health Criteria*
By Whether First Or Subsequent Pregnancy And By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | First Pregnancies ** |  |  |  | Subsequent Pregnancies ** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | $\underline{27.0}$ | (926) | 29.0 | (848) | 17.3 | (2153) | $\underline{26.5}$ | (2154) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 13.2 | (149) | 18.2 | (191) | 9.4 | (260) | 21.3 | (388) |
| Other Urban | 17.4 | (284) | 21.7 | (150) | 13.2 | (553) | 21.4 | (301) |
| Rural | 27.0 | (493) | 38.2 | (507) | 15.9 | (1340) | 30.4 | (1368) |
| $\underline{\text { Health Region }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 15.2 | (387) | 20.3 | (288) | 12.9 | (784) | 21.8 | (631) |
| 2 | 28.9 | (141) | 43.8 | (177) | 9.6 | (389) | 26.4 | (457) |
| 3 | 23.7 | (211) | 26.1 | (204) | 12.3 | (514) | 28.3 | (475) |
| 4 | 26.2 | (187) | 37.2 | (179) | 18.7 | (466) | 32.3 | (494) |
| Parish ${ }^{* * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Mary | 37.4 | (47) | 47.8 | (63) | 4.0 | (119) | 33.3 | (173) |
| Westmoreland | 30.0 | (59) | 23.2 | (55) | 8.9 | (116) | 20.7 | (125) |
| St. Elizabeth | 28.9 | (58) | 44.0 | (40) | 30.2 | (168) | 42.2 | (132) |
| Clarendon | 28.6 | (82) | 42.6 | (65) | 16.7 | (174) | 30.9 | (199) |
| St. Ann | 26.7 | (46) | 39.2 | (62) | 8.5 | (119) | 21.6 | (136) |
| Portland | 23.4 | (48) | 50.7 | (52) | 15.9 | (151) | 26.2 | (148) |
| St. James | 22.2 | (66) | 19.5 | (52) | 9.7 | (137) | 29.7 | (104) |
| Manchester | 21.0 | (47) | 28.3 | (74) | 12.0 | (124) | 27.2 | (163) |
| Trelawny | 19.1 | (36) | 47.0 | (59) | 18.5 | (121) | 42.0 | (148) |
| St. Catherine | 18.2 | (175) | 17.1 | (118) | 16.4 | (339) | 18.2 | (228) |
| St. Thomas | 17.7 | (47) | 37.7 | (46) | 10.4 | (136) | 31.2 | (130) |
| Hanover | 16.9 | (50) | 25.0 | (38) | 16.1 | (140) | 24.0 | (98) |
| Kingston | 16.7 | (38) | 41.2 | (25) | 15.6 | (67) | 32.9 | (76) |
| St. Andrew | 11.4 | (127) | 15.4 | (99) | 9.6 | (242) | 18.8 | (197) |
| Age At Conception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-16 | 37.9 | (148) | **** | (0) | **** | (15) | **** | (0) |
| 17-19 | 36.3 | (295) | 34.9 | (362) | 12.3 | (131) | 34.7 | (183) |
| 20-24 | 19.1 | (255) | 27.7 | (348) | 15.3 | (524) | 34.1 | (733) |
| 25-29 | 15.5 | (145) | 13.8 | (137) | 13.6 | (656) | 20.1 | (704) |
| 30-34 | 7.3 | (63) | **** | (0) | 14.3 | (494) | 18.5 | (436) |
| 35-45 | **** | (20) | **** | (0) | 31.1 | (333) | **** | (0) |
| Source Of Prenatal Care ***** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Facility | 24.1 | (697) | 33.2 | (650) | 14.9 | (1804) | 27.3 | (1727) |
| Private Facility | 9.6 | (219) | 14.1 | (189) | 9.4 | (331) | 15.2 | (291) |

[^16]TABLE 4.4.10
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Used Hard Drugs During Their Last Pregnancy By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Type Of Drugs Used |  |  | Other | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marijuana | Cocaine | Crack |  |  |
| Total | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2456 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 354 |
| Other Urban Areas | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 681 |
| Rural Areas | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1421 |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 977 |
| 2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 421 |
| 3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 550 |
| 4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 508 |
| Age at Conception of Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<17$ | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117 |
| 17-19 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 310 |
| 20-24 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 591 |
| 25-29 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 649 |
| 30-34 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 462 |
| 35-49 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 327 |
| Years of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 213 |
| 10-12 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 854 |
| $13+$ | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1369 |
| Church Attendance** |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once A Week | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 718 |
| At Least Once A Month | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 482 |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 334 |
| Special Occasions | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 675 |
| Never | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 223 |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently Married | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 541 |
| Common Law Union | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 854 |
| Visiting Partner | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 707 |
| No Steady Partner | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 354 |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 788 |
| Medium | 2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 1090 |
| High | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 578 |

* Data missing for 20 women.
** Data missing for 24 women.

TABLE 4.4.11
Percent Of Ever Pregnant And Currently Pregnant Women Aged 15-49 Who Smoked Cigarettes And/Or Drank Alcohol During Their Last Or Current Pregnancy By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent OfEver Pregnant Women WhoDuring Last Pregnancy: |  | Percent OfCurrently Pregnant Women WhoDuring This Pregnancy: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smoked | Drank | N | Smoked | Drank | $\underline{N}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.6 | 6.4 | (2456) | $\underline{2.8}$ | 5.6 | (288) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 4.8 | 9.4 | (354) | 0.0 | 10.7 | (48) |
| Other Urban | 3.5 | 4.5 | (681) | 2.3 | 3.6 | (90) |
| Rural | 3.0 | 6.4 | (1421) | 4.6 | 4.4 | (150) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 4.2 | 7.4 | (977) | 0.8 | 6.3 | (132) |
| Region 2 | 4.1 | 8.6 | (421) | 6.0 | 1.6 | (39) |
| Region 3 | 3.5 | 7.3 | (550) | 8.3 | 4.3 | (51) |
| Region 4 | 2.0 | 2.6 | (508) | 2.6 | 6.0 | (66) |
| Age At Conception Of Last Birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-16 | 1.8 | 4.8 | (117) | 0.0 | 28.3 | (3) |
| 17-19 | 2.1 | 7.9 | (310) | 4.4 | 4.4 | (44) |
| 20-24 | 2.6 | 6.1 | (591) | 2.9 | 2.4 | (51) |
| 25-29 | 4.5 | 6.1 | (649) | 1.7 | 3.8 | (75) |
| 30-34 | 3.9 | 6.4 | (462) | 1.6 | 7.0 | (70) |
| 35-45 | 5.6 | 7.0 | (327) | 4.3 | 9.9 | (45) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 4.5 | 6.9 | (788) | 8.4 | 7.3 | (89) |
| Medium | 3.9 | 7.5 | (1090) | 0.8 | 4.1 | (111) |
| High | 1.8 | 3.9 | (578) | 0.8 | 6.1 | (88) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 7.1 | 9.7 | (213) | 4.3 | 6.8 | (25) |
| 10-12 | 3.5 | 7.4 | (854) | 5.7 | 5.1 | (91) |
| 13 Or More | 3.1 | 5.5 | (1369) | 1.2 | 5.7 | (168) |

* Data missing for 20 women who were ever pregnant and 4 women who were currently pregnant.

TABLE 4.4.11 Cont'd
Percent Of Ever Pregnant And Currently Pregnant Women Aged 15-49 Who Smoked Cigarettes And/Or Drank Alcohol During Their Last Or Current Pregnancy By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Of <br> Ever Pregnant Women Who During Last Pregnancy: |  |  | Percent Of <br> Currently Pregnant Women Who During This Pregnancy: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smoked | Drank | N | Smoked | Drank | N |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.1 | 7.6 | (3035) | 2.9 | 4.8 | (375) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 4.3 | 8.0 | (615) | 3.0 | 5.1 | (79) |
| Other Urban | 3.9 | 7.7 | (489) | 3.0 | 9.6 | (56) |
| Rural | 4.0 | 7.4 | (1931) | 2.9 | 3.3 | (240) |
| Age At Conception Of Last Birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-16 | 4.1 | 7.5 | (137) | ** | ** | (10) |
| 17-19 | 3.8 | 6.7 | (376) | 0.0 | 2.6 | (50) |
| 20-24 | 3.7 | 7.9 | (795) | 3.9 | 5.0 | (93) |
| 25-29 | 3.4 | 7.1 | (757) | 3.2 | 2.6 | (105) |
| 30-34 | 3.0 | 8.5 | (568) | 4.4 | 8.9 | (76) |
| 35-45 | 8.5 | 8.5 | (334) | 2.2 | 6.5 | (41) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 5.5 | 10.1 | (1336) | 4.5 | 6.1 | (190) |
| Medium | 3.4 | 7.0 | (968) | 1.6 | 2.9 | (113) |
| High | 3.1 | 5.1 | (731) | 1.8 | 4.7 | (72) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 6.2 | 10.6 | (1109) | 3.7 | 8.2 | (139) |
| 10-12 | 3.5 | 6.5 | (1619) | 3.0 | 3.1 | (198) |
| 13 Or More | 0.3 | 4.3 | (287) | 0.0 | 2.4 | (34) |

** Fewer than 25 cases.

TABLE 4.4.12
Place Of Delivery Of All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Past Five Years
Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics -Percent Distribution
Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Place Of Delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Characteristics | Teaching Hospital(1) | Regional <br> Hospital(2) | Other Gov't Hospital | Home(3) | Private Medical Facility | Other | Total | No. of Cases |


| Total | 32.8 | 33.1 | $\underline{23.2}$ | 5.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (3079) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 85.3 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (409) |
| Other Urban | 27.1 | 46.5 | 16.8 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (838) |
| Rural | 16.7 | 35.2 | 34.9 | 8.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (1832) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 51.8 | 27.2 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (1170) |
| 2 | 3.6 | 36.1 | 52.2 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (530) |
| 3 | 44.0 | 29.4 | 15.1 | 6.2 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (725) |
| 4 | 3.1 | 45.4 | 36.9 | 9.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (654) |
| Parish* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trelawny | 11.9 | 27.9 | 40.2 | 17.5 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (158) |
| Clarendon | 4.1 | 19.9 | 60.6 | 12.3 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (256) |
| St. Ann | 2.6 | 70.6 | 13.7 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (165) |
| Manchester | 2.6 | 78.1 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (172) |
| Hanover | 31.3 | 10.3 | 50.7 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (190) |
| St. Elizabeth | 1.8 | 47.1 | 33.1 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 10.6 | 100.0 | (226) |
| St. James | 85.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 7.5 | 100.0 | (202) |
| St. Catherine | 23.9 | 54.2 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (514) |
| St. Thomas | 11.1 | 0.8 | 83.1 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (183) |
| St. Mary | 3.3 | 18.1 | 74.2 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (166) |
| Westmoreland | 11.7 | 80.5 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (175) |
| Portland | 5.3 | 2.0 | 88.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (199) |
| Kingston | 87.4 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (105) |
| St. Andrew | 85.3 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (368) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 24.3 | 31.1 | 30.5 | 9.9 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (1113) |
| Medium | 36.2 | 34.9 | 22.5 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (1313) |
| High | 38.5 | 32.5 | 13.8 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (653) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 19.7 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (925) |
| 2 | 31.3 | 34.0 | 24.1 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (747) |
| 3 | 34.5 | 28.9 | 24.3 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (557) |
| 4 | 29.0 | 28.8 | 27.4 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (373) |
| $5+$ | 28.1 | 31.8 | 24.9 | 11.4 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (477) |
| Pregnancy Risk Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Risk | 29.3 | 38.3 | 19.6 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (711) |
| Low Risk | 34.0 | 31.4 | 24.3 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (2368) |

(1) Victoria Jubilee, University Hospital, Cornwall Hospital.
(2) Savanna-La-Mar Hospital, Mandeville Hospital, St. Ann’s Bay Hospital, Spanish Town Hospital.
(3) Respondent's home or the home of a friend or relative.

* Parishes are listed in descending order according to percentage of home deliveries.

TABLE 4.4.12 Cont'd
Place Of Delivery Of All Pregnancies Resulting In Live Births In The Past Five Years Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics -Percent Distribution Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Place Of Delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teaching Hospital(1) | Regional Hospital(2) | Other <br> Gov't <br> Hospital | Home(3) | Private <br> Medical <br> Facility | Other | Total | No. of Cases |
|  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\underline{29.7}$ | $\underline{26.3}$ | $\underline{27.4}$ | 9.6 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (3820) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 69.7 | 17.2 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (722) |
| Other Urban | 20.4 | 34.8 | 33.0 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (575) |
| Rural | 11.3 | 28.9 | 38.4 | 14.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (2523) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 56.4 | 18.4 | 13.8 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (1159) |
| 2 | 5.2 | 34.7 | 44.7 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (826) |
| 3 | 34.1 | 29.0 | 18.6 | 13.1 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (944) |
| 4 | 2.1 | 31.0 | 42.7 | 16.1 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 100.0 | (891) |
| Parish* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trelawny | 3.7 | 3.7 | 58.4 | 25.6 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (280) |
| Clarendon | 2.5 | 13.4 | 64.9 | 16.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | (342) |
| St. Ann | 2.7 | 61.9 | 13.0 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (268) |
| Manchester | 1.7 | 59.1 | 19.9 | 14.9 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (317) |
| Hanover | 39.8 | 16.9 | 28.1 | 10.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (183) |
| St. Elizabeth | 2.0 | 20.3 | 34.8 | 17.2 | 4.1 | 21.4 | 100.0 | (232) |
| St. James | 71.4 | 2.3 | 10.9 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (225) |
| St. Catherine | 23.6 | 50.6 | 13.5 | 8.7 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (428) |
| St. Thomas | 4.8 | 0.3 | 89.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (231) |
| St. Mary | 10.6 | 14.6 | 66.1 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (294) |
| Westmoreland | 7.7 | 75.2 | 2.0 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (256) |
| Portland | 3.3 | 1.2 | 87.1 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (264) |
| Kingston | 91.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (124) |
| St. Andrew | 80.2 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (376) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 20.2 | 25.2 | 34.4 | 15.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (2260) |
| Medium | 40.1 | 28.4 | 22.6 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (1018) |
| High | 41.3 | 26.1 | 14.1 | 1.3 | 14.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (542) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 33.4 | 29.9 | 25.1 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (1136) |
| 2 | 31.1 | 25.7 | 27.1 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (961) |
| 3 | 28.9 | 22.2 | 28.1 | 12.5 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (746) |
| 4 | 25.0 | 23.8 | 29.6 | 15.9 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | (461) |
| $5+$ | 21.0 | 25.1 | 31.8 | 16.0 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (515) |
| Pregnancy Risk Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Risk | 30.1 | 27.0 | 27.3 | 4.1 | 9.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | (775) |
| Low Risk | 29.6 | 26.1 | 27.4 | 4.5 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (3045) |
| (1) Victoria Jubilee, <br> (2) Savanna-La-Mar <br> (3) Respondent's ho <br> $*$ Parishes are liste | ersity Hospita ital, Mandevil the home of escending ord | Cornwall Hos Hospital, St. friend or relati a according to | pital. <br> Ann’s Bay <br> e. <br> percentage | ospital, Span <br> home delive | h Town Ho | pital. |  |  |

TABLE 4.4.13
Principal Person Who Assisted At Delivery
All Pregnancies Of Respondents That Resulted In Live Births In The Past 5 Years
Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


* Traditional Birth Attendant, Lay Midwife
** Parishes are listed in descending order according to doctor assisting at delivery.

TABLE 4.4.13 Cont'd
Principal Person Who Assisted At Delivery
All Pregnancies Of Respondents That Resulted In Live Births In The Past 5 Years Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Doctor | Principal Person Who Assisted At Delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Trained <br> Nurse Or <br> Midwife | Nana* | Other | No One | Total | No. of Cases |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll}19 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\underline{20.7}$ | 73.9 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | $\underline{100.0}$ | (3820) |
| Residence - - - - - - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 41.1 | 55.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (722) |
| Other Urban | 17.5 | 77.0 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | (575) |
| Rural | 11.0 | 82.9 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (2523) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 35.1 | 59.5 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (1159) |
| 2 | 7.2 | 89.1 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (826) |
| 3 | 18.6 | 76.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (944) |
| 4 | 9.1 | 84.2 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (891) |
| Parish** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Andrew | 46.2 | 50.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (376) |
| Kingston | 34.5 | 55.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (124) |
| St. Catherine | 26.4 | 66.9 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (280) |
| St. James | 32.6 | 63.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (268) |
| Hanover | 10.4 | 87.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (183) |
| Clarendon | 9.2 | 80.8 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (232) |
| Trelawny | 10.0 | 83.5 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (428) |
| Manchester | 7.8 | 87.9 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (342) |
| Portland | 7.2 | 89.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (264) |
| St. Elizabeth | 11.0 | 85.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (317) |
| St. Thomas | 12.1 | 85.9 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (231) |
| St. Ann | 7.1 | 88.4 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (225) |
| St. Mary | 7.2 | 89.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (294) |
| Westmoreland | 11.1 | 82.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (256) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 9.6 | 81.7 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (1885) |
| Medium | 21.8 | 73.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (1163) |
| High | 38.3 | 60.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (772) |
| Birth Order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 28.4 | 69.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (1136) |
| 2 | 20.7 | 74.0 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (961) |
| 3 | 17.5 | 75.3 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (746) |
| 4 | 13.1 | 78.5 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | (461) |
| $5+$ | 9.5 | 81.6 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (515) |

* Traditional Birth Attendant, Lay Midwife
** Parishes are listed in descending order according to doctor assistance at delivery in 2002.

TABLE 4.4.14
Percent Of Women Told They Had High Blood Pressure During Their Most Recent Pregnancy - Women Aged 15-49 Whose Blood Pressure Was Checked During Pregnancy In The Past 5 Years By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  |  | 997 |
| Total | $\underline{24.5}$ | (2456) | $\underline{13.5}$ | (3821) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 27.7 | (354) | 12.9 | (582) |
| Other Urban | 21.2 | (681) | 14.2 | (469) |
| Rural | 25.2 | (1421) | 13.7 | (1826) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23.4 | (977) | 12.7 | (914) |
| 2 | 22.2 | (421) | 12.7 | (601) |
| 3 | 29.2 | (550) | 13.6 | (734) |
| 4 | 24.6 | (508) | 15.5 | (628) |
| Parish * |  |  |  |  |
| Westmoreland | 34.6 | (139) | 10.0 | (196) |
| Hanover | 32.8 | (140) | 9.5 | (160 |
| Trelawny | 31.7 | (116) | 14.1 | (197) |
| St. Mary | 31.1 | (130) | 9.3 | (213) |
| Kingston | 28.1 | (93) | 20.0 | (84) |
| St. Elizabeth | 26.7 | (179) | 16.8 | (176) |
| St. Andrew | 26.5 | (318) | 12.0 | (303) |
| Clarendon | 25.6 | (193) | 16.5 | (243) |
| St. James | 22.6 | (155) | 17.9 | (181) |
| Manchester | 21.8 | (136) | 13.5 | (209) |
| St. Catherine | 21.1 | (427) | 11.6 | (353) |
| St. Ann | 19.9 | (132) | 15.9 | (190) |
| Portland | 17.6 | (159) | 10.3 | (198) |
| St. Thomas | 14.0 | (139) | 9.9 | (174) |
| Age At Conception Of Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 16 | 18.8 | (117) | 8.2 | (129) |
| 17-19 | 17.3 | (310) | 7.6 | (363) |
| 20-24 | 21.6 | (591) | 12.7 | (763) |
| 25-29 | 22.1 | (649) | 14.1 | (703) |
| 30-34 | 29.8 | (462) | 14.9 | (544) |
| 35-45 | 33.6 | (327) | 26.1 | (322) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 24.4 | (788) | 14.6 | (1255) |
| Medium | 23.7 | (1090) | 13.9 | (920) |
| High | 26.0 | (578) | 11.9 | (702) |

* Parish order based on percentage of women who, in 2002, were told that they had high blood pressure.

TABLE 4.4.15
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Who Received One Or More Tetanus Injections During Pregnancies In The Past 5 Years By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | .2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No of Cases | Percent | No of Cases |
| Total | 56.1 | (3079)* | 76.7 | (3819) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 60.7 | (409) | 75.2 | (721) |
| Other Urban | 51.6 | (837) | 75.7 | (575) |
| Rural | 57.3 | (1833) | 77.8 | (2523) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 55.0 | (1171) | 73.4 | (1158) |
| 2 | 57.0 | (530) | 80.5 | (826) |
| 3 | 63.1 | (725) | 83.8 | (944) |
| 4 | 52.9 | (653) | 74.7 | (891) |
| Parish ** |  |  |  |  |
| Hanover | 78.5 | (190) | 86.6 | (183) |
| Kingston | 71.9 | (105) | 85.8 | (124) |
| St. James | 66.9 | (203) | 81.9 | (225) |
| St. Ann | 62.8 | (165) | 83.1 | (268) |
| Portland | 60.4 | (199) | 85.0 | (264) |
| Trelawny | 58.2 | (157) | 77.5 | (280) |
| St. Thomas | 57.1 | (183) | 79.3 | (231) |
| St. Andrew | 56.9 | (369) | 77.4 | (375) |
| Clarendon | 54.7 | (256) | 75.0 | (342) |
| St. Elizabeth | 54.2 | (226) | 81.7 | (232) |
| Westmoreland | 52.4 | (175) | 88.3 | (256) |
| St. Catherine | 50.2 | (514) | 61.9 | (428) |
| Manchester | 49.3 | (171) | 70.2 | (317) |
| St. Mary | 43.5 | (166) | 73.5 | (294) |
| Age At Conception Of Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |
| 13-16 | 51.4 | (163) | 74.5 | (226) |
| 17-19 | 54.9 | (426) | 73.7 | (554) |
| 20-24 | 54.5 | (779) | 77.5 | (1119) |
| 25-29 | 60.7 | (801) | 77.4 | (926) |
| 30-34 | 57.7 | (557) | 77.7 | (648) |
| 35-45 | 52.3 | (353) | 78.8 | (344) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 56.1 | (1112) | 75.3 | (1885) |
| Medium | 56.0 | (1314) | 77.8 | (1162) |
| High | 56.4 | (653) | 77.8 | (772) |
| Adequacy of Prenatal Care According to Ministry of Health Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| Adequate | 57.0 | (2480) | 78.2 | (2411) |
| Not Adequate | 52.6 | (599) | 74.0 | (1356) |

* Data missing for 30 women. ** Parishes are shown in order of percentages of women who received tetanus injections in 2002.

TABLE 4.4.16
Percent Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Had An HIV Test
During Last Pregnancy By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


TABLE 4.5.1
Percentage Of Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-49 Years
Who Have Ever Been Forced To Have Sexual Intercourse
By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No of Cases | Percent | No of Cases |
| Total | 20.0 | (6516) | $\underline{20.4}$ | (5690)* |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 17.5 | (1030) | 18.9 | (1295) |
| Other Urban | 19.7 | (1896) | 22.3 | (978) |
| Rural | 21.4 | (3590) | 20.8 | (3417) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20.4 | (503) | 25.9 | (509) |
| 15 | 21.3 | (31) | - | -- |
| 16 | 25.8 | (57) | -- | -- |
| 17 | 19.0 | (95) | - | -- |
| 18 | 20.8 | (152) | - | -- |
| 19 | 18.9 | (170) | -- | -- |
| 20-24 | 22.7 | (885) | 21.9 | (936) |
| 25-29 | 18.2 | (1136) | 22.3 | (1189) |
| 30-34 | 21.5 | (1284) | 20.2 | (1156) |
| 35-39 | 18.5 | (1137) | 18.5 | (906) |
| 40-44 | 18.5 | (907) | 16.6 | (590) |
| 45-49 | 19.7 | (664) | 10.8 | (404) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 24.6 | (1601) | 23.1 | (2086) |
| Medium | 19.5 | (2791) | 21.8 | (1867) |
| High | 17.7 | (2124) | 16.9 | (1737) |
| Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 25.0 | (599) | 21.3 | (2103) |
| 10-12 | 20.7 | (2291) | 20.4 | (2892) |
| 13 Or More | 18.7 | (3551) | 16.9 | (650) |

[^17]TABLE 4.5.2
Relationship To Last Person Who Forced Respondents To Have Sexual Intercourse Women Aged 15-49 Who Have Ever Been Forced To Have Sexual Intercourse By Current Union Status Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Relationship To <br> Most Recent Perpetrator | Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Married | Common Law | Visiting Partner | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boyfriend } \\ \text { With } \\ \text { Sex } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No <br> Steady <br> Partner |
|  | 20002 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boyfriend | 26.4 | 21.2 | 28.3 | 28.8 | .. | 25.0 |
| Husband/Common Law Partner | 20.0 | 35.1 | 32.5 | 6.5 | . | 16.3 |
| Friend | 17.2 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 16.8 | . | 18.6 |
| Casual Acquaintance | 12.1 | 13.7 | 8.5 | 11.5 | . | 15.3 |
| Visiting Partner | 11.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 21.8 | . | 11.7 |
| Relative | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.4 | . | 3.3 |
| Gang Rapist | 2.5 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 | . | 3.1 |
| Mother's Partner | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | . | 1.4 |
| Father | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | . | 0.6 |
| Other | 2.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 4.7 | .. | 2.8 |
| Refusal | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | .. | 1.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | .. | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (1321) | (248) | (361) | (410) | . | (302) |
|  | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boyfriend | 26.1 | 21.1 | 15.1 | 33.3 | 43.1 | 27.2 |
| Husband/Common Law Partner | 20.5 | 33.9 | 43.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 16.6 |
| Friend | 12.6 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 32.6 | 15.3 |
| Casual Acquaintance | 12.1 | 15.3 | 13.8 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 9.1 |
| Visiting Partner | 17.1 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 33.0 | 2.8 | 17.9 |
| Relative | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 8.6 |
| Unknown Rapist | 5.8 | 10.8 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 3.6 |
| Other | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (1188) | (173) | (407) | (360) | (65) | (183) |

## CHAPTER 5

## CONTRACEPTIVE KNOWLEDGE

### 5.1 KNOWLEDGE OF CONTRACEPTIVE METHODS

The Jamaican population has been exposed to widespread contraceptive knowledge for over 33 years and accordingly, knowledge of contraceptive methods particularly the older methods has been almost universal for many, many years. This is reflected in the results shown in Table 5.1.1 which identifies the extent of knowledge of specific contraceptive methods among female respondents. The pill and the condom are the two most well-known methods. Next, are the injectables and female sterilization. Over ninety percent of respondents have heard of these four methods. Withdrawal, one of the natural methods advocated by many religious organizations, and is one of the oldest methods practised in Jamaica, was not in the past well known and in fact, in 1989, less than sixty percent of female respondents had heard of it. Knowledge has been growing over the years so that, in 1997 and again in 2002, 84 percent of respondents had heard of it. The IUD is the other method which was relatively well know from as far back as in 1989.

A campaign to promote male sterilization (vasectomy) was launched some years ago. This has resulted in a small rise in knowledge over the years. Abstinence has also been promoted, particularly among young people as a sure method. It was not studied until 2002 when over two-thirds of female respondents said they had knowledge of it. On the overall, with less knowledge of the other methods, particularly the more modern ones, they all showed increasing knowledge over the years.

What about knowledge among male respondents? This is given in Table 5.1.2. As in the case of the females, knowledge of the condom, the pill and the injectables was almost universal, all being above 90 percent. Withdrawal, female sterilization and abstinence were next; all three at or near three-quarters of the respondents. Knowledge of vasectomy is still not growing and in fact is well below that for their female counterparts. There was also little growth in knowledge among the other methods including the more modern methods. Also, despite promotion of the calendar or rhythm method, knowledge still remained low.

Table 5.1.3 looks at the effect of age on knowledge of specified contraceptive methods on female respondents. With respect to condoms, the pill, injectables and female sterilization, the almost universal knowledge of these methods obtained throughout all age groups except in the case of female sterilization and to a lesser extent, injectables, it was lower among those in the 15-19 age group. Although not always the same impact, age is shown to be a feature in the extent of knowledge in all of the methods surveyed; for all methods, the youngest age group has the lesser knowledge. In some cases the knowledge base rises with increasing age; in others, it peaks at different age groups depending on the method then falls back as age increases. The pattern in 2002 is by and large similar to that found in 1997.

Table 5.1.4 examines the impact of residence on the extent of knowledge of the specified methods. Here, as in the case of age, the universality of knowledge in the four methods identified above obtains. With respect to the other methods, in general, knowledge appear lowest in rural areas while it is higher in urban areas, fluctuating between the Kingston Metropolitan Areas and other towns depending on the method. The pattern in 2002 is also fairly similar to that seen in 1997.

Do levels of education have a similar effect on knowledge? It is clear that they do. Greater knowledge is seen in all methods among the respondents with higher levels of schooling, both in 1997 and again in 2002, as shown in Table 5.1.5.

### 5.2 OPINIONS ON SPACING OF BIRTHS, PREGNANCY AND SEXUALITY

In order to find out more about respondents' attitudes towards the creation of families, a series of questions were included in the survey to try to obtain greater insights into their responses to family creation. The first such question was: "How old do you think it is best for a child to be before another child is born"? Answers are reflected in Table 5.2.1.

Only 5.6 percent of female respondents felt that it should be "under 2 years". The opinion about " $2-4$ years" and "more than four years" was almost evenly split ( 43.2 percent and 46.2 percent respectively). In 1997, the pattern was similar with a slight edge being given to " $2-4$ years". The percentages varied depending on the selected characteristic examined. For example, there was a downward trend, though slight, among those selecting "under 2 years" when examined by age; place of residence did not appear to have an impact as did most of the other selected characteristics. For the other time periods, older women tended to favour "2-4 years" while the reverse was true for "more than 4 years"; so also were those in the three socio-economic status categories. In most of the other characteristics, the opinions were mixed. Again, the 1997 answers patterned these trends.

Finally, in Table 5.2.2, respondents' responses to selected statements on sexuality, pregnancy and attitudes toward life are demonstrated. With respect to the statements on sexuality and pregnancy, the percentage who agree with the statements is given; in the case of the statements on attitudes towards life, in some cases what is represented is agreement with the statement, in which case, "(A)" has been inserted in the table while in others where the percentage disagreeing is represented. In these latter cases, the symbol "(D)" is shown in the table.

Nearly half of the respondents agreed with the statement: "A girl can only get pregnant if she has seen her first period". This is the same level as in 1997 which seems to suggest that more education needs to be given to women on this topic. The agreement with the statement was higher among those with less schooling. This is the same pattern as in 1997. In respect of the statement: "It is not necessary to use a condom with a steady partner", a lower percentage of women agreed to it ( 22.2 percent in 2002 as against 26.9 percent in 1997) but this is still too high a percentage for this vital message and here, again, there may be the need for more education on this subject. Higher levels of agreement were reflected among those with lower levels of schooling.

It is satisfying to note that low levels of agreement are found among female respondents who think that "a girl must have a baby before she is 18 " and that these low levels have in fact fallen over the period. Here again, the higher levels of agreement are among those with the lower levels of schooling. And finally, the notion that "a girl can avoid getting pregnant by having sex standing up, using Pepsi or going out to sea" appears to be finally disappearing; Only 2.5 percent in 2002 agreed with this statement, a fall from the also low level of 4.3 percent in 1997.

What about attitudes to life demonstrated by respondents through their agreement or disagreement with the selected statements? A somewhat high although falling percentage of women agreed with the statement: "When you get what you want, it is usually because you worked hard at it". Level of education did not have a significant impact on the answers. Also, a relatively high percentage disagreed with the adage that: "To get what you want, you have to conform to the wishes of others". There was little change in this view over the period and those with higher levels of education had the greater conviction. There was also strong disagreement with the suggestion that: "Your life is mostly controlled by people with more power than you". Again, women with higher levels of education disagreed the strongest. What about: "What others in your family want should always come first before what you want"? Here again, more than three-quarters of respondents, both in 1997 and again in 2002, disagreed with the suggestion.

Less than a quarter of the respondents agreed that: "You can generally determine what will happen in your own life". This level has fallen from about one third in 1997 while there was little change in respect of agreement of the statement: "Planning too far ahead is not wise since many things turn out to be a matter of good or bad luck"; in both years, less than 30 percent agreed.

TABLE 5.1.1
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Have Heard of Specified Contraceptive Methods Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPSs 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | 2002 | 1997 | 1993* | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condom | 99.4 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 98.9 |
| Pill | 99.2 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 99.0 |
| Injectable | 98.8 | 99.0 | 97.1 | 96.0 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.6 | 94.9 | 95.1 | 92.0 |
| Withdrawal | 83.9 | 83.7 | 75.1 | 58.7 |
| IUD | 81.6 | 83.4 | 84.1 | 83.1 |
| Male Sterilization | 69.5 | 64.9 | 57.9 | 55.8 |
| Abstinence | 68.5 | - | - | -- |
| Diaphragm | 66.2 | 70.3 | 65.3 | 61.3 |
| Spermicides | 50.1 | 64.3 | 60.2 | 57.9 |
| Morning After Pill *** | 48.8 | 11.4 | - | - |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 48.2 | 50.1 | 43.4 | 34.9 |
| Norplant | 47.1 | 36.6 | 21.8 | - |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (6383)** | (3110) | (6112) |

* Women aged 15-44.
** Data on knowledge of contraceptive methods is missing for 1 woman in the 1997 survey.
*** Had not yet been licensed.

TABLE 5.1.2
Percent of Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Who Heard of Specified Contraceptive Methods Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condom | 99.5 | 98.8 | 98.0 |
| Pill | 97.4 | 96.6 | 94.4 |
| Injectable | 92.1 | 92.2 | 80.7 |
| Withdrawal | 76.3 | 73.8 | 69.1 |
| Female Sterilization | 74.6 | 73.0 | 76.4 |
| Abstinence | 68.8 | -- | -- |
| Male Sterilization | 49.9 | 52.6 | 37.8 |
| Diaphragm | 44.0 | 38.7 | 33.4 |
| Morning After Pill | 39.0 | -- | -- |
| lUD | 35.5 | 40.6 | 35.4 |
| Norplant | 27.5 | 22.6 | 10.0 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 27.2 | 29.5 | 23.2 |
| Spermicides | 23.8 | 33.5 | 21.8 |
| Other | 4.8 | -- | -- |
| No. of Cases | $(2,437)$ | $(1052)$ |  |

* Data on knowledge of contraceptive methods is missing for 1 man in the 1997 survey.

TABLE 5.1.3
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Heard of Specified Contraceptive Methods By 5-Year Age Group Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

| Method | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  | 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condom | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 99.2 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.4 |
| Pill | 99.2 | 98.2 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 99.5 |
| Injectable | 98.8 | 97.2 | 99.0 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 98.7 | 99.0 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.6 | 87.8 | 92.2 | 94.4 | 96.8 | 97.8 | 98.2 | 98.3 |
| Withdrawal | 83.9 | 71.0 | 86.0 | 86.8 | 90.3 | 87.4 | 86.2 | 80.9 |
| IUD | 81.6 | 59.6 | 76.3 | 83.4 | 90.3 | 90.2 | 89.3 | 90.6 |
| Male Sterilization | 69.5 | 59.2 | 66.1 | 67.9 | 76.3 | 75.1 | 73.4 | 71.6 |
| Abstinence | 68.5 | 69.5 | 67.6 | 66.6 | 68.9 | 69.9 | 69.7 | 66.6 |
| Diaphragm | 66.2 | 60.4 | 61.7 | 65.1 | 70.9 | 70.4 | 70.5 | 65.6 |
| Spermicides | 50.1 | 37.0 | 41.2 | 44.1 | 53.2 | 58.4 | 64.0 | 62.5 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 48.2 | 40.6 | 47.0 | 49.4 | 53.5 | 50.2 | 50.7 | 46.9 |
| Norplant | 47.1 | 37.4 | 49.0 | 49.8 | 55.5 | 50.4 | 44.4 | 42.2 |
| Morning After Pill | 48.8 | 48.7 | 58.6 | 53.2 | 51.7 | 46.9 | 39.5 | 37.7 |
| Other | 13.4 | 12.9 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 16.6 | 12.8 | 9.7 | 7.4 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (989) | (937) | (1176) | (1311) | (1160) | (921) | (674) |
| $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condom | 99.3 | 99.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 99.7 | 98.1 | 99.0 |
| Pill | 99.3 | 98.1 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 98.8 | 100.0 |
| Injectable | 99.0 | 98.0 | 99.0 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.0 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.9 | 87.2 | 95.2 | 95.9 | 97.8 | 98.1 | 97.5 | 98.2 |
| Withdrawal | 83.7 | 68.3 | 87.4 | 89.9 | 87.9 | 88.7 | 84.8 | 82.6 |
| IUD | 83.4 | 63.1 | 82.3 | 88.2 | 90.9 | 90.6 | 92.7 | 91.9 |
| Male Sterilization | 64.9 | 54.4 | 62.4 | 68.4 | 69.2 | 69.5 | 70.1 | 68.4 |
| Abstinence | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- |
| Diaphragm | 70.3 | 59.7 | 66.6 | 72.7 | 74.0 | 75.2 | 77.1 | 79.1 |
| Spermicides | 64.3 | 48.4 | 58.6 | 66.4 | 70.8 | 72.8 | 77.5 | 73.4 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 50.1 | 39.3 | 50.6 | 51.3 | 56.2 | 55.0 | 52.6 | 51.0 |
| Norplant | 36.6 | 31.1 | 40.3 | 40.7 | 40.0 | 36.4 | 30.9 | 31.6 |
| Morning-After Pill | 11.4 | 6.8 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 8.8 | 6.3 | 7.4 |
| No. of Cases | (6383) | (959) | (1031) | (1233) | (1195) | (934) | (610) | (421) |

TABLE 5.1.4
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Have Heard of Specified Contraceptive Methods
By Area of Residence
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Area OfResidence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Kingston Metropolitan Area | Other <br> Urban | Rural |
|  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Condom | 99.4 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 99.5 |
| Pill | 99.2 | 98.9 | 99.7 | 99.0 |
| Injectable | 98.8 | 98.3 | 99.2 | 98.7 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.6 | 92.1 | 96.6 | 94.4 |
| Withdrawal | 83.9 | 85.0 | 88.5 | 79.9 |
| IUD | 81.6 | 85.8 | 85.2 | 76.8 |
| Male Sterilization | 69.5 | 77.7 | 75.4 | 61.1 |
| Abstinence | 68.5 | 77.0 | 73.3 | 60.8 |
| Diaphragm | 66.2 | 73.5 | 72.2 | 58.2 |
| Spermicides | 50.1 | 56.4 | 57.3 | 41.7 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 48.2 | 60.9 | 54.1 | 37.5 |
| Morning After Pill | 48.8 | 53.4 | 57.3 | 41.7 |
| Norplant | 47.1 | 66.1 | 50.0 | 35.5 |
| Other | 13.4 | 12.0 | 15.3 | 12.8 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (1144) | (2079) | (3945) |
|  | 1997 |  |  |  |
| Condom | 99.3 | 99.7 | 99.3 | 99.0 |
| Pill | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.3 |
| Injectable | 99.0 | 99.3 | 98.3 | 99.0 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.9 | 95.0 | 93.1 | 95.3 |
| Withdrawal | 83.7 | 87.7 | 85.5 | 80.2 |
| IUD | 83.4 | 87.6 | 81.1 | 81.0 |
| Male Sterilization | 64.9 | 75.6 | 66.1 | 56.6 |
| Abstinence | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Diaphragm | 70.3 | 77.8 | 73.9 | 63.5 |
| Spermicides | 64.3 | 69.9 | 64.8 | 59.9 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 50.1 | 57.0 | 53.4 | 44.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 11.4 | 15.9 | 13.3 | 7.5 |
| Norplant | 36.6 | 45.8 | 36.9 | 29.8 |
| No. of Cases | (6383) | (1450) | (1093) | (3840) |

TABLE 5.1.5
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Have Heard of Specified Contraceptive Methods
By Years Of Education Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Years Of Education * |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 0-9 \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-12 \\ & \text { Years } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13+ \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 \begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Condom | 99.4 | 98.4 | 99.6 | 99.4 |
| Pill | 99.2 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 99.5 |
| Injectable | 98.8 | 97.2 | 98.7 | 99.0 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.6 | 94.5 | 93.3 | 95.4 |
| Withdrawal | 83.9 | 71.8 | 79.1 | 88.2 |
| IUD | 81.6 | 76.3 | 77.3 | 84.7 |
| Male Sterilization | 69.5 | 53.2 | 59.3 | 77.5 |
| Abstinence | 68.5 | 51.7 | 61.3 | 74.9 |
| Diaphragm | 66.2 | 46.4 | 58.0 | 73.5 |
| Spermicides | 50.1 | 36.9 | 42.9 | 55.8 |
| Morning After Pill | 48.8 | 30.1 | 38.4 | 57.3 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 48.2 | 31.0 | 37.9 | 56.1 |
| Norplant | 47.1 | 33.0 | 42.1 | 50.4 |
| Other | 13.4 | 7.3 | 10.6 | 15.9 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (638) | (2529) | (3924) |
| $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Condom | 99.3 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 |
| Pill | 99.3 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 |
| Injectable | 99.0 | 98.6 | 99.2 | 99.5 |
| Female Sterilization | 94.9 | 94.2 | 94.6 | 98.0 |
| Withdrawal | 83.7 | 77.9 | 85.4 | 91.9 |
| IUD | 83.4 | 79.0 | 83.7 | 93.3 |
| Male Sterilization | 64.9 | 52.0 | 68.1 | 86.0 |
| Abstinence | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Diaphragm | 70.3 | 58.6 | 73.3 | 88.1 |
| Spermicides | 64.3 | 55.3 | 65.9 | 80.6 |
| Morning After Pill | 11.4 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 25.3 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 50.1 | 37.4 | 52.0 | 74.2 |
| Norplant | 36.6 | 25.5 | 39.1 | 55.1 |
| No. Of Cases | (6383) | (2325) | (3284) | (719) |

* Data missing for 77 women in 2002 and 55 women in 1997 who did not give their level of education.

TABLE 5.2.1
Respondents' Opinions Of Ideal Interval Between Births By Selected Characteristics Women Aged 15-49 Years Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total
(Percent distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


TABLE 5.2.1 Cont'd
Respondents' Opinions Of Ideal Interval Between Births By Selected Characteristics Women Aged 15-49 Years Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total

Percent Distribution
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Ideal Interval Between Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Characteristics | Under <br> $\stackrel{2}{\text { Years }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2-4 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | More <br> Than 4 <br> Years | No Opinion | Total | N |
| $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.3 | 49.1 | 40.4 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (6383) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.5 | 47.5 | 42.3 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (960) |
| 20-24 | 5.2 | 43.0 | 45.4 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 4.9 | 44.7 | 42.9 | 7.5 | 100.0 | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 3.1 | 50.6 | 39.9 | 6.5 | 100.0 | (1195) |
| 35-39 | 4.3 | 54.4 | 36.1 | 5.2 | 100.0 | (934) |
| 40-44 | 4.6 | 58.2 | 33.1 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (610) |
| 45-49 | 5.5 | 57.8 | 33.2 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (421) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 4.5 | 46.8 | 42.0 | 6.7 | 100.0 | (1450) |
| Other Urban | 4.0 | 50.7 | 40.5 | 4.9 | 100.0 | (1094) |
| Rural | 4.3 | 50.4 | 39.2 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (3840) |
| Years of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 4.2 | 50.6 | 39.0 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| 10-12 Years | 4.2 | 46.0 | 43.6 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (3285) |
| 13 Or More Years | 5.4 | 59.1 | 30.6 | 4.9 | 100.0 | (719) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5.1 | 50.4 | 39.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | (1627) |
| 1 | 4.1 | 43.1 | 45.5 | 7.4 | 100.0 | (1350) |
| 2 | 4.0 | 46.9 | 42.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (1236) |
| 3 | 4.0 | 51.7 | 37.4 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (904) |
| $4+$ | 3.7 | 54.8 | 36.3 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (1267) |
| Current Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 4.3 | 56.6 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | (1185) |
| Common Law Union | 3.9 | 44.7 | 44.2 | 7.3 | 100.0 | (1839) |
| Visiting Partner | 4.8 | 44.8 | 43.6 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (1624) |
| Boyfriend | 3.3 | 57.5 | 32.0 | 7.2 | 100.0 | (265) |
| No steady partner | 4.3 | 52.1 | 38.2 | 5.5 | 100.0 | (1471) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High | 4.3 | 48.8 | 39.9 | 7.1 | 100.0 | (2271) |
| Medium | 4.0 | 45.9 | 43.5 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (2088) |
| Low | 4.7 | 52.1 | 38.2 | 5.0 | 100.0 | (2025) |
| 1993 Total | 6.5 | 45.5 | 43.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | (2864) |

TABLE 5.2.2
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Agree Or Disagree With Selected Statements On Sexuality, Pregnancy And Attitudes Toward Life

By Years Of Education Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Statements | Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 0-9 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-12 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13+ \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 0-9 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-12 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13+ \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |
| Percent Who Agree With Statements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 02 |  |  | 19 | 97 |  |
| Sexuality / Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A girl can only get pregnant if she has seen her first period. | 44.4 | 56.8 | 48.1 | 40.7 | 44.9 | 51.3 | 43.3 | 34.6 |
| It is not necessary to use a condom with a steady partner. | 22.2 | 27.5 | 23.8 | 20.6 | 26.9 | 32.5 | 24.5 | 22.0 |
| A girl must have a baby by the time she is 18 . | 7.6 | 15.8 | 9.8 | 5.2 | 9.4 | 13.9 | 8.2 | 2.5 |
| A boy must have sex to show he is a man. | 4.3 | 11.3 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 1.9 |
| A girl can avoid getting pregnant by having sex standing up, using Pepsi or going to the sea | 2.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 1.1 |
| Attitudes Toward Life | \%. Who Agree (A) Or Disagree (D) With Statements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| When you get what you want, it is usually because you worked hard at it. (A) | 87.3 | 88.9 | 85.6 | 87.9 | 89.3 | 90.1 | 88.5 | 90.5 |
| To get what you want, you have to conform to the wishes of others. (D) | 86.4 | 78.5 | 84.1 | 88.8 | 84.6 | 79.7 | 75.5 | 93.3 |
| Your life is mostly controlled by people with more power than you. (D) | $82.6$ | 73.1 | 79.3 | 85.7 | 82.1 | 79.3 | 82.1 | 88.7 |
| What others in your family want should always come first before what you want. (D) | 71.0 | 73.1 | 68.5 | 74.3 | 78.8 | 74.3 | 80.6 | 83.3 |
| You can generally determine what will happen in your own life. (A) | 23.7 | 20.6 | 20.9 | 25.6 | 33.9 | 29.4 | 35.4 | 39.7 |
| Planning too far ahead is not wise since many things turn out to be a matter of good or bad luck. (A) | 27.8 | 31.7 | 31.4 | 25.2 | 28.6 | 30.6 | 29.4 | 20.0 |
| No. of Cases * | (7091) | (638) | (2529) | (3924) | (6328) | (2325) | (3284) | (719) |

Data on education missing for 56 women in 1997 and 77 women in 2002.

## CHAPTER 6

## CONTRACEPTIVE USAGE

### 6.0 GENERAL

Chapter 6 looks at contraceptive usage by the respondents. The emphasis is on contraceptive usage by females, although it does include information on usage by men. It first examines whether or not the women have ever use contraceptives. Usage is classified by selected characteristics, which include area of residence, age, parity, relationship status, levels of education, socio-economic status and frequency of attendance at religious services as well as by method. Comparisons over time are also shown, some with data from the 1997 RHS, others going back to the 1993 and 1989 CPSs. Next, first use of contraceptives is explored in terms of method used and relationship to the partner. Current use is also studied extensively, both in respect of all women as well as women in union. The chapter concludes with a look at sources.

### 6.1 EVER USE OF CONTRACEPTIVES

Tables 6.1.1 to 6.1.8 look at the effect of selected characteristics on ever use of contraceptives. Not only is the information presented in total and by method but also by combinations of modern and traditional methods. Modern methods include condom, pill, injectables, female sterilization (otherwise called tubal ligation), male sterilization (or vasectomy), the Intra-Uterine Device (IUD), spermicides and the diaphragm. Included also are two of the newer methods- the implant (Norplant) and the Emergency Contraceptive Protection Pill (known also as the Morning After Pill). The more traditional methods (often referred to as the natural methods) include abstinence, withdrawal and the rhythm methods (known also as the Calendar or Billings method).

Table 6.1.1 looks at female respondents aged 15-49 years who reported having ever used any contraceptive method and compares the information with the 1997 and 1993 surveys. ${ }^{1}$ There was an increase of over five percentage points in the period between 1997 to 2002. Moving from 80.2 percent in 1997 and 79.2 percent in 1993 (but only 15-44 year old women were interviewed in 1993), the prevalence of 85.3 percent ever users in 2002 is the highest ever reported in Jamaica. Increases were evident in all three areas of residence but there was little significance to the impact of urbanization, negative or positive, on usage. Age was a more important variable as length of exposure does have an effect on those who have ever used a method. In all three years, usage rose with increasing age up to peak in the middle years and falling back thereafter. Parity (which is positively correlated with age) also seems to have a similar impact. It is worth noting, however, the increase of ever use among women who are childless(from slightly over 50 percent in 1997 to 57.4 percent in 2002). The impact of the type of union relationship as measured by levels of stability of unions is not as evident; nor is the effect of education. Socio-economic status as well as the frequency of attendance at religious services seem to be inversely correlated with ever use of contraception; lower percentages of users were documented among women with higher levels of education and weekly church attendance.

[^18]Table 6.1.2 follows up by examining, the trends in ever usage of each contraceptive method over time. Here, the four time periods are: 1989, 1993, 1997 and 2002. In this table, the overall upward movement is even more clearly observed. What were the methods which contributed most significantly to this upward movement? The increasing use of condoms, injectables and withdrawal heads the list. Ever use of condoms more than doubled since 1989 ( 32.8 percent vs. 72.7 percent); only in the last five years the increase was by almost one fourth ( 23 percent). In the case of injectables, the increase was by 48 percent since 1989, including an increase by 21 percent since 1997. Ever usage of withdrawal almost tripled since 1989, including a 39 percent increase since 1997. Other methods showing increases were the pill and the rhythm method. Only the Intra-Uterine Device (IUD), spermicides and the diaphragm showed a slight decrease in ever use.

In Table 6.1.3, the age impact on ever use of specific contraceptive methods is further analysed. The pattern referred to earlier, of usage rising with increasing age up to a peak and falling thereafter, is evident in many of the individual methods, although the peak usage of each method varies. In the case of condoms, the peak in usage is at age group 25-29 years, falling off thereafter while for the pill, the peak is at the older age group, 30-34 years. The injectables peak even later, at age group 35-39 years. The IUD, which is not as commonly used as the other methods referred to, is seldom used by the younger age groups; in fact, usage does not reach five percent until after age 30 . Other methods which do show a consistent pattern of usage increasing with age are tubaligation (female sterilization) and spermicides. For these two methods, usage increases significantly at age group 35-39 years, rising over each subsequent age group. Female sterilization is rarely considered by younger women and is under 10 percent up to age group 30-34 years. Conversely, male sterilization, although rarely used in Jamaica, is mostly reported to be the method used by partners of women 25-29 and $30-35$ years ( 0.3 percent). With respect to overall usage of modern methods, the peak is in the $30-34$ year age group, while the peak for traditional methods is at an younger age group ( $25-29$ years).

Table 6.1.4 looks at the impact of education on respondents. As mentioned earlier, on the overall, the impact appears to be not marked. Looking at it method by method, however, a different picture emerges. Although the use of any modern method is the highest among women with less than a high school education, several modern methods(condom, the IUD, the Morning After pill and Norplant) show a clear increase in usage with the, increase in education attainment; for the pill, injectables, and tubal ligation, the reverse takes place. The usage of traditional methods, however, is positively correlated with education, regardless of the specific method used.

And what about area of residence? Here, as shown in Table 6.1.5, the signals are again mixed; in the cases of the pill, abstinence, the rhythm method, the IUD and spermicides are there clear directional movements of falling use with decreasing levels of urbanization. For injectables and tubal ligation, however, usage was, the highest among rural residents.

With respect to parity, as shown in Table 6.1.6, although on the overall, the tendency is for increasing percentages with rising parity, when compared by method, the movements are again mixed. For women who have had children, the percentages of those who have used long term or permanent methods (tubal ligation, injectables and the IUD) and withdrawal increased with growing parity; for users of the condom and the rhythm, the percentages moved in the reverse.

Table 6.1.7 examines ever usage of contraception $t$ by health region and, as in the case of area of residence, the signals are mixed. So, are they in Table 6.1.8, where the ever us by parish is presented. Perhaps the only clear differentials with respect to parishes are related to the larger parishes (Portland, St. Mary and St. Ann) that tend to have highest or lowest percentages for some methods. On the overall, method use was highest in Portland and lowest in St. Mary while in three of the four methods with the highest percentage of use, highest and lowest were found in one of the three parishes named above.

### 6.2 FIRST USE OF CONTRACEPTIVES

Table 6.2 .1 gives the mean age at which contraceptives were first ever used. Comparisons are given by selected characteristics and over time (since 1993). In order to facilitate comparisons with the 1993 CPS, only women within the ages of 15 and 44 years are presented in this table. Mean age at first use has remained fairly steady over the period with little variation by selected characteristics. There was some change when the percentages are studied in relation to the number of live born children which the respondent had at the time of first using contraception, as is shown in Table 6.2.2. As mentioned earlier, the percentage of women who were childless at the time of first using contraception has fallen (from 54.3 percent in 1997 to 53.7 percent in 2002). At the same time, the percentage of women who used contraceptives for the first time after having their first child has increased (from 29.8 percent to 33.3 percent) while the percentage of those who have had two or more children fell (from 15.9 percent to 13.1 percent). The effect of age has been fairly consistent over the period; the younger women were more likely to be childless at the time of first using contraception and less likely to have one and particularly two children than older women. Similarly, the better educated women and women living in households with high socioeconomic status were more likely to be childless at first use while most of women with no high school and those with low socioeconomic status had at least one child when they started using contraception. As could be expected, there is a direct correlation between the number of living children and the number of children when the woman first started to use contraception: while almost two thirds of women with one child started to use contraception before having their child, the majority of women with three or more children started to use contraception only after giving birth to their second child.

### 6.3 CURRENT USE OF CONTRACEPTIVES

Current use of contraceptives is next studied, both for all women and for those who were in a cohabitating relationship (legal marriage, common-law union, or visiting partner) at the time of the survey. Usage by men is also included in this section. In earlier surveys, most of the comparisons given were for women in union only. Table 6.3.1 looks at the mean age of all women and those in unions who are currently using a contraceptive method. In general, the mean ages of current users are increasing. For the condom, the mean age of women in union was 25.2 years in 1993, rose to 27.2 years in 1997 and to 27.8 years in 2002. The mean age for women in union using the pill in 2002 was 29.6 years, higher than in 1997 and 1993 (27.9 years and 27.4 years, respectively). For injectables, the mean age of current users were also increasing: comparative percentages were 27.4 years in 1993, 28.2 years in 1997 and 29.2 years in 2002. A similar pattern was observed for withdrawal; from 27.4 percent in 1993, 28.2 years in 1997 and 31.0 years in 2002. The mean age of the IUD rose from 27.7 in 1993 to 29.1 years in 1997 and further to 34.3 years in 2002. Finally, the use of tubal ligation showed increases, from 36.3 and 37.0 years in 1993 and 1997, respectively, to 37.8 years in 2002. The mean ages for all women are in the same direction but slightly less than for those in union except in the case of female sterilization where it was a fraction more.

Table 6.3.2 next looks at current use of specific methods by the type of union relationship in ( 2002 and 1997 surveys ( 1993 data are also shown, but only for any type of contraceptive method). Relationships shown are: married and living with husband, common law unions and visiting relationships for all three years. In 1993 and 1997, the other relationships presented are: boyfriend, with sex; boyfriend, without sex and no current partner. In 2002, however, all of the latter categories are combined into one as "no current partner". Overall, current contraceptive prevalence for all women have gradually increased ,from 48.3 percent in 1993 to 50.3 percent and 53.0 percent in 2002. The increases in the three recognized union relations (married, commonlaw and visiting), are clear; it is not so clear in the other relationships. ${ }^{1}$

Although the pill, the condom and tubal ligation have maintained their position as the three most used method, the order has changed between 1997 and 2002; in 1997, the pill had the highest percentage of use and the condom the second highest; by 2002, the order was reversed and the condom became the most used method and the pill the second most used. All of the other methods maintained their place in 2002 compared to the 1997 survey. In both surveys, use was highest among women who were in visiting relationships; among the other two established unions, use was slightly higher among those in common-law unions than among those in married unions.

What effect does any or all of the selected characteristics have on patterns of usage? Table 6.3 .3 looks at cross-classifications by area of residence, region, level of education, parity and socio-economic status for all women (in the 2002 survey) and for women in union (in 2002, 1997 and 1993 surveys). ${ }^{2}$ As expected, since women in current union relationships are more likely to be currently sexually active and to have a greater frequency of intercourse, contraceptive prevalence is higher among women currently in union than those who are not. As a result, the contraceptive prevalence among all women is lower than that among women in union ( $(53.0$ percent vs. 69.1 percent). There was little difference, however, in the pattern of usage by selected characteristics among all women and those in union; the percentage of current users in both groups was highest in rural areas and lowest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area, slightly decreased with the increase in the socio-economic status, and was lower among those who were childless than among women with at least one child. The contraceptive prevalence among women in union has varied somewhat over the years, but among all subgroups there is a clear upward trend from 1993 to 2002.

Table 6.3.4 takes the comparison for women in union even further, presenting prevalence of specific methods and comparing it to previous levels since the 1983 survey. Again this confirms the rising pattern of use over the period (mainly because a three-fold increase in the use of condoms and a 50 percent increase in the use of injectable methods) and the gradual fall in usage of the pill, tubal ligation, and the IUD. In Table 6.3.5, users of any methods, modern methods and traditional methods are separately identified, regardless of their union status. Here it may be seen that almost all female users ( 95.6 percent) are using a modern method. This is so regardless of the background characteristics. Table 6.3.5A, which shows similar information for women in union, identifies a similar pattern. Similarly, virtually all women in union currently using contraception ( 95.2 percent) were using a modern method in 1997 survey.

1 This is not surprising given the lack of comparability described earlier.
2
In 1993, current use of contraception was examined only in relationship with the health region and parity.

Current usage by method for all women is shown in Table 6.3 .6 while for women in union, the comparative results are presented in Table 6.3.6A. In the latter table, comparisons with 1997 and 1993 are presented. Table 6.3.6 looks at all women who are 15-49 years and are current users; these are presented by age groups and by the individual method currently used. The patterns are similar to those who have ever used contraception. So, also are those for women in union shown for 1997 and 2002 in Table 6.3.6A.

The cross-classifications by health region, by number of live births, by years of education and by frequency of church attendance are further shown in Tables 6.3.7 to 6.3 .10 (for all women) and 6.3.7A to 6.3.10A (for women in union). Here again, patterns are close to those for contraception ever used.

Table 6.3.11 and 6.3.11A look at the percentage of all women and women in union currently using a specific contraceptive method by whether or not they were using a secondary method in addition to the primary method. Comparisons with 1997 are also given. Among women in union, there has been a slight increase in the use of a secondary method over the period (from 11.6 percent in 1997 to 15 percent in 2002). By far, the most popular secondary method was the condom, presumably used for additional protection against sexually transmitted diseases. Its use as a secondary method had increased since 1997, from 11.2 percent to 13.3 percent, particularly has almost doubled for users of tubal ligation and injectables.. The use of withdrawal and other natural methods as secondary methods, although very low, has tripled among women using the condom as a primary method. There were only slight changes in the use of other secondary methods.

Table 6.3.12 presents the percentage of contraceptive users who are currently using a second method for all women and women in union cross-classified by selected characteristics. Here it may be seen that the highest percentage of current users who, in addition, use a secondary method are the 20-24 year olds, with the percentage falling with advancing age. Women in urban areas are more likely to use a second method than those in rural areas. The highest usage is in Health Region 1 and the lowest in Health Region 4. With respect to the parishes, St. Mary, Kingston, and Portland have the highest percentages, with usage at or exceeding 20 percent. Westmoreland and St. Ann had the lowest usage, with both parishes below 10 percent. Both in respect to levels of schooling and socio-economic status, usage increased with rising levels.

Table 6.3.13 is the only table in this Chapter which presents information on men. It gives the percent of young adult men who used contraception with their last sexual partner. It covers those who had sexual intercourse in the last 30 days and gives the information by age group and by method. Comparative percentages are given for 1997 and 1993. As in the case of the women respondents, the percentage of men using contraception with their last sexual partner has increased over time: from 68.1 percent in 1993 to 79.6 percent in 1997 and 85.3 percent in 2002. The condom is the most preferred method, particularly among the youngest age group. Higher usage of condoms over time (from 50.9 percent in 1993, to 61.1 percent in 1997 and to 72.9 percent in 2002) is in fact accounting for most of the increase in contraceptive use at last intercourse among men. The pill is the second most used method at the last intercourse, more so among the older age group than the younger groups. The use of this method is, however, falling. Withdrawal and injectables were the only other methods used to any degree by young men but their usage is low.

### 6.4 SOURCE OF CONTRACEPTIVES

The source from which selected methods of contraceptives are derived are identified in Table 6.4.1 for all women and 6.4.1A for women in union. Comparisons with 1997 and 1993 are included in this latter table. Breakdowns are between urban and rural areas. Pharmacies were the first source for the pill and the condom, followed by public health centres or clinics for the pill and shops and supermarkets for the condom. This is so in both urban and rural areas. For the injectables, most women identified government health centres or clinics as the first source, while female sterilization was done mainly in government hospitals; some tubal ligations were also done in private hospitals and a few by private doctors.

Table 6.4.2 looks at the source of most contraceptive methods for women aged 15-49 years who are not in union. As for women in union, the pharmacy is the most used source for the pill. For the condom, the pattern for women not in union is different from their counterparts who are in union; the pharmacy in their case is the main source although a fair percentage in 1997 as well as in 2002 obtain their supplies from the shop or supermarket.

Next, the perceived availability of family planning services is examined (Table 6.4.3). There is little change in the perception about availability of family planning services when 2002 is compared with 1997. A lower percentage ( 30.6 percent compared with 41.0 percent in 1997) reported that they can get these services at any time. On the other hand, a higher percentage ( 63.9 percent compared with 56.1 percent in 1997) said that they could obtain them from government clinics or health centres but only on certain days. How is this supported by the length of time spent to get to the main source of contraception? This is presented in Table 6.4.4. There was, by and large, not much change over time, when compared to 1997.

TABLE 6.1.1
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Ever Used Any Contraceptive Method By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\underline{2002}$ |  | 1997 |  | $\underline{1993}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 85.3 | (7168) | 80.2 | (6384) | 79.2 | (3110) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 84.5 | (1144) | 81.2 | (1450) | -- | -- |
| Other Urban | 85.7 | (2079) | 78.8 | (1094) | 82.6 | (872) |
| Rural | 85.4 | (3945) | 80.3 | (3840) | 77.4 | (2238) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 86.0 | (2974) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 2 | 84.3 | (1177) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 | 83.6 | (1602) | . -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 | 85.6 | (1415) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 46.8 | (989) | 45.4 | (960) | 47.5 | (553) |
| 20-24 | 90.2 | (937) | 83.0 | (1031) | 82.1 | (628) |
| 25-29 | 93.8 | (1176) | 92.5 | (1233) | 92.8 | (667) |
| 30-34 | 95.9 | (1311) | 93.0 | (1195) | 90.7 | (594) |
| 35-39 | 94.2 | (1160) | 91.4 | (934) | 924 | (420) |
| 40-44 | 94.2 | (921) | 90.2 | (610) | 81.1 | (248) |
| 45-49 | 93.5 | (674) | 83.2 | (421) | ... | ... |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 57.4 | (1788) | 50.6 | (1627) | 51.3 | (863) |
| 1 | 95.8 | (1486) | 91.9 | (1350) | 89.0 | (662) |
| 2 | 97.0 | (1423) | 95.2 | (1236) | 91.3 | (579) |
| 3 | 98.3 | (1036) | 95.2 | (904) | 95.8 | (412) |
| $4+$ | 97.7 | (1435) | 94.7 | (1267) | 95.3 | (584) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 95.8 | (1542) | 93.2 | (1185) | 92.1 | (488) |
| Common Law | 97.1 | (1733) | 94.9 | (1839) | 92.4 | (904) |
| Visiting Partner | 97.2 | (1959) | 94.9 | (1624) | 91.4 | (892) |
| Not in union | 60.1 | (1934) | 51.0 | (1736) | 50.0 | (826) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 87.3 | (638) | 80.9 | (2325) | -- | -- |
| 10-12 | 85.3 | (2529) | 79.5 | (3285) | -- | -- |
| $13+$ | 85.0 | (3924) | 82.6 | (719) | -- | -- |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 89.3 | (1705) | 82.6 | (2271) | 79.6 | (1456) |
| Medium | 85.9 | (3079) | 81.6 | (2088) | 84.8 | (647) |
| High | 82.4 | (2384) | 77.7 | (2025) | 73.5 | (682) |
| Church Attendance ${ }^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 77.9 | (2707) | 70.2 | (2060) | 64.8 | (474) |
| At Least Monthly | 89.1 | (1368) | 81.5 | (1223) | 77.7 | (277) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 90.6 | (861) | 62.5 | (909) | 85.7 | (1277) |
| Special Occasions Only | 90.9 | (1631) | 89.2 | (1532) | -- | ) |
| Never | 87.5 | (524) | 85.6 | (613) | 81.2 | (160) |

-- These data were measured differently in 1993 and so are not comparable with the 1997 or 2002 data.
... In 1993 only women aged 15-44 were included in the survey.

* Data on Years of Education missing for 77 women in 2002 and 55 women in 1997.
** Data on Church Attendance are missing for 77 women in 2002, 49 women in 1997 and 322 women in 1993.

TABLE 6.1.2
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Ever Used A Contraceptive Method By Specified Method Used Compared With 1989 And 1993 CPSs And 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Used | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any Method | $\underline{85.3}$ | 80.2 | 79.2 | 70.5 |
| Condom | 72.7 | 58.7 | 52.5 | 32.8 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 52.4 | 51.2 | 47.6 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 29.3 | 19.5 | 13.6 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 27.8 | 24.0 | 22.7 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | -- | -- | -- |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 11.0 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 2.9 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | -- | -- | -- |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -- |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.4 | -- | -- | -- |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (6383) | (3110) | (6112) |

[^19]TABLE 6.1.3

## Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Used A Contraceptive Method <br> By Specified Method Used By Age Group 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Used | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used Any Method | 85.3 | 46.8 | 90.2 | 93.8 | 95.9 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 93.5 |
| Used Modern Methods | 84.4 | $\underline{46.2}$ | $\underline{88.9}$ | 93.2 | 95.2 | 92.8 | 93.2 | 92.2 |
| Used Traditional Methods | 41.2 | $\underline{19.8}$ | 43.8 | 50.8 | 49.4 | $\underline{46.9}$ | 43.7 | 36.1 |
| Condom | 72.7 | 44.9 | 82.8 | 85.0 | 82.7 | 75.3 | 73.7 | 68.0 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 13.4 | 47.3 | 66.9 | 73.0 | 72.5 | 72.5 | 62.1 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 19.6 | 41.0 | 48.1 | 46.9 | 44.4 | 41.0 | 34.9 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 6.9 | 29.7 | 38.5 | 40.9 | 45.3 | 43.2 | 39.2 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | 17.1 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 23.0 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 22.8 |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 8.0 | 16.8 | 23.6 | 30.3 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 9.4 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 6.8 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (989) | (937) | (1176) | (1311) | (1160) | (921) | (674) |

TABLE 6.1.4
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Used A Contraceptive Method By Specified Method Used By Years Of Education 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Used | Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 0-9 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-12 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13+ \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Don't Know Remember |
| Used Any Method | 85.3 | 87.3 | 85.3 | 85.0 | 92.6 |
| Used Modern Methods | 84.4 | 86.4 | 84.3 | 84.0 | 91.6 |
| Used Traditional Methods | 41.2 | 36.0 | 38.8 | 43.1 | 45.6 |
| Condom | 72.7 | 68.5 | 71.9 | 73.8 | 65.6 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 62.9 | 56.1 | 55.8 | 63.5 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 35.4 | 37.1 | 40.6 | 45.6 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 49.8 | 39.8 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | 16.2 | 18.1 | 24.9 | 19.5 |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 7.6 | 22.3 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 9.9 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 9.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.2 |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 5.3 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 4.6 |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (638) | (2529) | (3924) | (76) |

TABLE 6.1.5
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Used A Contraceptive Method
By Specified Method Used By Area Of Residence 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Used | Area Of Residence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Kingston <br> Metropolitan Areas | Other <br> Urban <br> Areas | Rural Areas |
| Used Any Method | 85.3 | 84.5 | 85.7 | 85.4 |
| Used Modern Methods | 84.4 | 83.7 | 84.6 | 84.5 |
| Used Traditional Methods | 41.2 | 38.6 | 44.6 | 39,9 |
| Condom | 72.7 | 72.2 | 74.3 | 71.8 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 60.7 | 55.8 | 55.0 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 35.7 | 42.0 | 38.6 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 32.4 | 29.6 | 37.2 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | 31.7 | 23.8 | 15.7 |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 11.2 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 11.5 | 9.6 | 6.4 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 3.7 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 2.9 |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (1144) | (2079) | (3945) |

TABLE 6.1.6

## Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Used A Contraceptive Method By Specified Method Used By Number Of Live Births 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Number Of Live Births <br> 1 <br> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Births | Births | 2 <br> Births | 3 <br> Births | $4+$ <br> Births |
| $\underline{\text { Used Any Method }}$ | $\underline{85.3}$ | $\underline{57.4}$ | $\underline{95.8}$ | $\underline{97.0}$ | $\underline{98.3}$ | $\underline{97.7}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Used Modern Methods }}$ | $\underline{84.4}$ | $\underline{56.3}$ | $\underline{94.8}$ | $\underline{96.3}$ | $\underline{97.5}$ | $\underline{96.7}$ |
| $\underline{\text { Used Traditional Methods }}$ | $\underline{41.2}$ | $\underline{25.5}$ | $\underline{48.1}$ | $\underline{49.6}$ | $\underline{48.2}$ | $\underline{45.4}$ |
| Condom | 72.7 | 53.7 | 86.2 | 81.5 | 81.4 | 73.7 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 23.8 | 62.1 | 72.5 | 73.8 | 74.7 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 24.3 | 44.8 | 47.0 | 46.2 | 43.8 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 2.8 | 29.2 | 45.5 | 52.4 | 62.3 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | 22.4 | 25.3 | 20.4 | 22.3 | 18.9 |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 19.9 | 29.6 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 4.7 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 7.7 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 6.9 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 5.7 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| No. of Cases | $(7168)$ | $(1788)$ | $(1486)$ | $(1423)$ | $(1036)$ | $(1435)$ |

TABLE 6.1.7
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Used A Contraceptive Method
By Specified Method Used By Health Region 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Health Region |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Used Any Method | 85.3 | 86.0 | 84.3 | 83.6 | 85.6 |
| Used Modern Methods | 84.4 | 85.0 | 83.7 | 82.5 | 84.6 |
| Used Traditional Methods | 41.2 | 41.5 | 30.2 | 51.3 | 38.8 |
| Condom | 72.7 | 73.8 | 69.4 | 74.6 | 70.5 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 58.4 | 52.0 | 54.7 | 56.0 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 39.0 | 27.8 | 50.3 | 37.1 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 33.1 | 33.6 | 33.1 | 35.2 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | 25.4 | 17.3 | 21.9 | 16.4 |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 9.9 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 10.9 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 5.8 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (2974) | (1177) | (1602) | (1415) |

TABLE 6.1.8
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Used A Contraceptive Method
By Specified Method Used By Parish
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method Used | Total | Kingston | St. <br> Andrew | St. <br> Thomas | Port- <br> land | St. <br> Mary | St. <br> Ann | Trelawny | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { St. } \\ \text { James } \end{gathered}$ | Hanover | Westmoreland | St. <br> Elizabeth | Manchester | Clarendon | St. Catherine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used Any Method | $\underline{85.3}$ | 86.7 | 84.4 | 85.4 | 91.1 | 80.2 | 83.0 | 84.0 | 83.0 | 83.9 | 83.8 | 88.4 | 83.4 | 85.7 | 87.5 |
| Used Modern Methods | 84.4 | 85.3 | 83.7 | $\underline{84.4}$ | 91.1 | 79.4 | 82.3 | 83.1 | 81.6 | 83.5 | 82.7 | 88.3 | 81.5 | 84.8 | 86.3 |
| Used Traditional Mthds | 41.2 | 42.2 | 37.4 | 39.3 | 38.1 | 13.7 | 36.4 | 41.5 | 46.6 | $\underline{57.8}$ | 59.1 | 35.5 | 35.1 | 44.1 | 45.5 |
| Condom | 72.7 | 72.0 | 72.4 | 70.8 | 77.9 | 65.9 | 66.7 | 66.8 | 77.7 | 76.7 | 74.6 | 71.2 | 69.4 | 71.0 | 75.9 |
| Pill | 56.5 | 55.5 | 60.1 | 56.1 | 62.7 | 48.0 | 48.6 | 55.5 | 54.5 | 55.2 | 54.2 | 62.4 | 53.8 | 53.8 | 57.4 |
| Withdrawal | 39.1 | 40.8 | 34.4 | 37.2 | 34.3 | 13.4 | 33.3 | 40.5 | 45.2 | 57.6 | 57.5 | 34.8 | 34.0 | 41.3 | 43.4 |
| Injectables | 33.6 | 46.0 | 30.2 | 49.5 | 48.0 | 28.8 | 28.4 | 43.5 | 28.9 | 30.4 | 33.1 | 38.6 | 36.8 | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| Abstinence | 21.9 | 41.3 | 27.3 | 11.5 | 17.1 | 5.3 | 25.2 | 14.7 | 20.6 | 7.9 | 33.2 | 18.2 | 14.4 | 16.9 | 22.9 |
| Female Sterilization | 9.9 | 4.6 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 6.9 | 15.9 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 9.9 |
| Calendar / Rhythm | 8.6 | 8.1 | 11.4 | 6.3 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 10.9 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 9.9 | 11.6 |
| IUD | 4.9 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 5.5 |
| Morning After Pill | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.7 |
| Spermicides | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Diaphragm | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Norplant | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Male Sterilization | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| No. of Cases | (7168) | (240) | (1046) | (411) | (385) | (405) | (387) | (375) | (401) | (398) | (427) | (509) | (388) | (516) | (1277) |

TABLE 6.2.1
Mean Age (In Years) When Women Aged 15-44 Years* First Used A
Contraceptive Method By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Mean | $N$ | Mean | $N$ | Mean | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
| Total | 19.5 | (5269) | 19.5 | (4540) | 19.7 | (2280) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 20.0 | (834) | 19.3 | (1085) | -- | -- |
| Other Urban | 19.5 | (1537) | 19.2 | (734) | -- | -- |
| Rural | 19.2 | (2898) | 19.7 | (2721) | -- | -- |
| Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.8 | (465) | 15.7 | (431) | 16.1 | (241) |
| 20-24 | 18.0 | (818) | 17.6 | (845) | 17.9 | (513) |
| 25-29 | 19.3 | (1044) | 19.3 | (1054) | 19.7 | (569) |
| 30-34 | 20.1 | (1167) | 20.4 | (994) | 21.1 | (458) |
| 35-39 | 20.8 | (997) | 21.9 | (761) | 22.5 | (336) |
| 40-44 | 21.8 | (778) | 22.7 | (455) | 24.0 | (163) |
| Years Of Education ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 19.7 | (389) | 19.8 | (1550) | -- | -- |
| 10-12 | 19.1 | (1805) | 19.1 | (2422) | -- | -- |
| $13+$ | 19.6 | (3025) | 19.9 | (534) | -- | -- |
| Church Attendance *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 20.2 | (1756) | 20.5 | (1238) | -- | -- |
| At Least Monthly | 19.6 | (1057) | 19.6 | (882) | -- | -- |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 19.2 | (684) | 19.2 | (688) | -- | -- |
| Special Occasions | 18.7 | (1316) | 18.8 | (1232) | -- | -- |
| Never | 18.8 | (397) | 18.7 | (468) | -- | -- |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 18.0 | (961) | 17.9 | (801) | 17.7 | (402) |
| 1 | 19.3 | (1261) | 18.9 | (1100) | 19.0 | (557) |
| 2 | 19.9 | (1185) | 19.9 | (1021) | 19.8 | (477) |
| 3 | 20.2 | (838) | 19.9 | (730) | 20.7 | (346) |
| $4+$ | 20.2 | (1024) | 21.3 | (888) | 22.0 | (498) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 19.2 | (1304) | 19.6 | (1645) | -- | -- |
| Medium | 19.3 | (2284) | 19.2 | (1487) | -- | -- |
| High | 19.9 | (1681) | 19.6 | (1408) | -- | -- |

* For purposes of comparison with the 1993 CPS, the data used include only women aged 15-44 years. The analysis includes only women who have ever used contraception and who remember the age when they first started using it.
** . Information missing for 50 women in 2002.
*** Information missing for 59 women in 2002.
- These variables were measured differently in 1993 and are therefore not comparable.

TABLE 6.2.2

## Number Of Living Children When Women Aged 15-44 Years* First Used A Contraceptive Method By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY



[^20]TABLE 6.3.1
Mean Age of All Women Aged 15-44 Years And Those Currently in a Union
By Contraceptive Method Currently Being Used
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Mean Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Women |  | Women in Union |  |  | 1997 | 1993 |  |
|  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean | N |
| Condom | 27.5 | (1203) | 27.8 | (1093) | 27.2 | (704) | 25.2 | (365) |
| Pill | 29.6 | (1011) | 29.6 | (951) | 27.9 | (986) | 27.4 | (508) |
| Injectables | 29.2 | (693) | 29.2 | (635) | 28.2 | (544) | 27.4 | (147) |
| Withdrawal | 30.9 | (122) | 31.0 | (115) | 28.2 | (116) | 27.7 | (65) |
| IUD | 34.1 | (56) | 34.3 | (54) | 29.1 | (41) | ** | (21) |
| Female Sterilization | 37.9 | (536) | 37.8 | (466) | 37.0 | (474) | 36.2 | (277) |

** Fewer than 25 cases.

TABLE 6.3.2
Percentage Of All Women Aged 15-49 Years Currently Using A Contraceptive By Relationship Status And Method Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> Women | Married | Common <br> Law <br> Union | Visiting Partner | Boy <br> Friend With Sex | Boy Friend No Sex | No Current Partner* |
|  | 200002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 53.0 | 68.2 | 69.4 | 69.6 | $=$ | $=$ | 17.6 |
| Condom | 17.8 | 16.1 | 18.7 | 31.3 | - | - | 5.4 |
| Pill | 13.0 | 14.5 | 18.8 | 19.2 | - | - | 2.7 |
| Tubal Ligation | 9.9 | 23.9 | 10.9 | 4.8 | - | - | 5.7 |
| Injectables | 8.7 | 7.2 | 16.4 | 10.7 | - | - | 2.9 |
| Withdrawal | 1.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | - | - | 0.6 |
| IUD | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | - | - | 0.1 |
| Natural Methods | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.0 |
| Vaginal Methods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.2 |
| Not Using | 47.0 | 31.8 | 30.6 | 30.4 | $=$ | $=$ | 82.4 |
| Total | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (7168) | (1542) | (1733) | (1959) | - | - | (1934) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 50.3 | 63.7 | 66.0 | 66.9 | 62.4 | 4.7 | 11.9 |
| Condom | 13.6 | 9.8 | 15.5 | 22.0 | 16.4 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| Pill | 15.3 | 16.1 | 21.0 | 24.0 | 16.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Tubal Ligation | 10.0 | 26.1 | 11.2 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 6.4 |
| Injectables | 8.1 | 7.6 | 14.1 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| Withdrawal | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| IUD | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Natural Methods | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vaginal Methods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Vasectomy | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 49.7 | 36.3 | 34.0 | 33.1 | 37.6 | 95.3 | 88.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | $\underline{100.0}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (6384) | (1185) | (1839) | (1624) | (265) | (138) | (1333) |
|  |  |  | 19 | 93 |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 48.3 | 66.2 | 60.7 | 61.0 | 58.5 | $\underline{2.7}$ | 10.3 |

* No Current Partner in 2002 includes Boyfriend With Sex And Boyfriend Without Sex.

TABLE 6.3.3
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Years And Those Currently in a Union* Who Are Currently Using Any Contraceptive Method By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | All Women |  | Women Currently In Union * |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 ** |  |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Total | 53.0 | (7168) | 69.1 | (5234) | 65.9 | (4648) | 61.9 | (2283) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 48.4 | (1144) | 63.5 | (802) | 66.1 | (1044) | -- | -- |
| Other Urban | 51.6 | (2079) | 67.3 | (1490) | 67.4 | (795) | -- | -- |
| Rural | 56.3 | (3945) | 73.1 | (2942) | 65.3 | (2809) | -- | -- |

Region

| 1 | 52.3 | $(2974)$ | 67.4 | $(2133)$ | 65.9 | $(1652)$ | 64.3 | $(817)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 54.5 | $(1177)$ | 68.4 | $(878)$ | 65.5 | $(888)$ | 61.6 | $(494)$ |
| 3 | 52.4 | $(1602)$ | 70.9 | $(1161)$ | 66.2 | $(1154)$ | 56.9 | $(500)$ |
| 4 | 54.3 | $(1415)$ | 71.5 | $(1062)$ | 65.9 | $(954)$ | 60.1 | $(472)$ |

Years Of Education ***

| $0-9$ | 54.2 | $(638)$ | 67.1 | $(464)$ | 63.1 | $(2325)$ | -- | -- |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ | 53.8 | $(2529)$ | 69.3 | $(1854)$ | 67.3 | $(3285)$ | -- | -- |
| $13+$ | 52.2 | $(3924)$ | 69.4 | $(2859)$ | 68.1 | $(719)$ | -- | -- |

Number Of Live Births

| 0 | 31.5 | $(1788)$ | 61.4 | $(854)$ | 56.0 | $(700)$ | 56.6 | $(351)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 54.5 | $(1486)$ | 65.5 | $(1177)$ | 63.2 | $(1083)$ | 60.0 | $(533)$ |
| 2 | 62.2 | $(1423)$ | 70.8 | $(1167)$ | 68.8 | $(1031)$ | 56.2 | $(514)$ |
| 3 | 67.3 | $(1036)$ | 75.6 | $(842)$ | 73.8 | $(755)$ | 70.9 | $(371)$ |
| $4+$ | 66.4 | $(1435)$ | 73.4 | $(1194)$ | 69.0 | $(1079)$ | 67.7 | $(514)$ |

Socio Economic Status

| Low | 58.3 | $(1705)$ | 70.6 | $(1280)$ | 62.6 | $(1760)$ | -- | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 53.1 | $(3079)$ | 69.8 | $(2260)$ | 66.8 | $(1519)$ | -- | - |
| High | 50.0 | $(2384)$ | 67.4 | $(1694)$ | 68.1 | $(1369)$ | - | - |

[^21]TABLE 6.3.4
Percentage of All Women Aged 15-49 Years And Those Currently in a Union Who Are Currently Using Contraception, By Method Compared With 1983, 1989 And 1993 CPSs And 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Current Method | Women Currently In Union * |  |  |  | 2002 | All Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1983 | 1989 | 1993** | 1997 |  | 1997 | 2002 |
| Any Method | 51.4 | $\underline{54.6}$ | $\underline{62.0}$ | 65.9 | $69.1$ | 50.3 | 53.1. |
| Condom | 7.6 | 8.6 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 23.5 | 13.6 | 17.8 |
| Pill | 19.3 | 19.5 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 17.8 | 15.3 | 13.0 |
| Injectables | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 8.7 |
| Female Sterilization | 10.9 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 9.9 |
| Withdrawal | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | $1.9{ }^{\circ}$ |
| IUD / Vaginal Methods | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Natural Methods | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 - | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Implant | -- | -- | $0 . .1$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Not Using | 48.6 | 45.4 | 38.0 | 34.1 | 30.9 | 49.7 | 47.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | $\underline{100.0}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (1939) | (4153) | (2283) | (4648) | (5234) | (6388) | (7168) |

* Union includes legally married and living together, common law union and visiting partner.
** The 1993 survey included women 15-44 years of age only.
- Not applicable as the implant was not yet available.

TABLE 6.3.5
Percent of Women Aged 15-49 Who Are Currently Using Any Contraceptive Method, Any Modern Method Or Any Traditional Method By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent <br> Using <br> Any <br> Method | Percent <br> Using Any <br> Modern ، <br> Method | Percent Using Any Traditional Method | Percent Of All Users Who Use A Modern Method | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Total | 53.0 | 50.7 | 2.3 | 95.6 | (7168) |
| Residence - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 48.4 | 45.7 | 2.6 | 94.5 | (1144) |
| Other Urban | 51.6 | 49.4 | 2.2 | 95.7 | (2079) |
| Rural | 56.3 | 54.1 | 2.2 | 96.1 | (3845) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 52.3 | 49.6 | 2.7 | 94.8 | (2974) |
| 2 | 54.5 | 53.8 | 0.7 | 98.8 | (1177) |
| 3 | 52.4 | 50.3 | 2.1 | . 96.0 | (1602) |
| 4 | 54.3 | 51.9 | 2.4 | 95.6 | (1415) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (29.2) | 28.7 | 0.5 | 98.2 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 57.1 | 55.0 | 2.1 | 96.3 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 59.2 | 56.4 | 2.7 | 95.4 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 60.9 | 57.4 | 3.6 | 94.2 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 60.0 | 58.1 | 2.0 | 96.7 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 58.3 | 55.2 | 3.1 | 94.7 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 50.5 | 47.8 | 2.7 | 94.6 | (674) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 31.5 | 30.6 | 0.9 | 97.0 | (1788) |
| 1 | 54.5 | 52.5 | 2.0 | 96.4 | (1486) |
| 2 | 62.2 | 59.7 | 2.5 | 96.0 | (1423) |
| 3 | 67.3 | 63.4 | 3.9 | 94.2 | (1036) |
| $4+$ | 66.4 | 62.8 | 3.6 | 94.6 | (1435) |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 68.2 | 64.1 | 4.1 | 94.0 | (1542) |
| Common Law Union | 69.4 | 66.1 | 3.3 | 95.3 | (1733) |
| Visiting Relationship | 69.6 | 67.3 | 2.3 | 96.8 | (1959) |
| Years Of Education *** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 54.2 | 52.1 | 2.1 | 96.1 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 53.8 | 51.9 | 1.9 | 96.5 | (2529) |
| $13+$ | 52.2 | 49.7 | 2.6 | 95.1 | (3924) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 58.3 | 56.0 | 2.3 | 96.0 | (1705) |
| Medium | 53.1 | 50.8 | 2.3 | 95.6 | (3079) |
| High | 50.0 | 47.7 | 2.3 | 95.4 | (2384) |

[^22]TABLE 6.3.5A
Percent Of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years Who Are Currently Using Any Contraceptive Method, Any Modern Method Or Any Traditional Method By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Characteristics | Percent <br> Using <br> Any <br> Method | Percent <br> Using Any * <br> Modern <br> Method | Percent Using Any Traditional Method | Percent Of All Users Who Use A Modern Method | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2002 |  |  |  |
| Total | 69.1 | 66.1 | 3.0 | 95.6 | (5234) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 63.5 | 60.1 | 3.4 | 94.6 | (802) |
| Other Urban | 67.3 | 64.6 | 2.7 | 96.0 | (1490) |
| Rural | 73.1 | 69.9 | 3.1 | 95.7 | (2942) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 67.4 | 64.2 | 3.5 | 94.8 | (2133) |
| 2 | 68.4 | 67.5 | 0.9 | 98.6 | (878) |
| 3 | 70.9 | 67.8 | 3.1 | 95.7 | (1161) |
| 4 | 71.5 | 68.5 | 3.0 | 95.8 | (1062) |
| Age Group | (68.8) |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 68.8) | 67.3 | 1.5 | 97.8 | (363) |
| 20-24 | 70.2 | 67.7 | 2.4 | 96.5 | (736) |
| 25-29 | 70.7 | 67.8 | 2.9 | 95.9 | (961) |
| 30-34 | 71.3 | 67.2 | 4.1 | 94.2 | (1063) |
| 35-39 | 70.9 | 68.3 | 2.6 | 96.3 | (909) |
| 40-44. | 66.5 | 62.9 | 3.6 | 94.6 | (725) |
| 45-49 | 61.7 | 58.0 | 3.7 | 94.0 | (477) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 61.4 | 59.8 | 1.6 | 97.4 | (854) |
| 1 | 65.5 | 63.0 | 2.6 | 96.1 | (1177) |
| 2 | 70.8 | 67.8 | 3.0 | 95.7 | (1167) |
| 3 | 75.6 | 71.2 | 4.4 | 94.2 | (842) |
| $4+$ | 73.4 | 69.5 | 3.8 | 94.8 | (1194) |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 68.2 | 64.1 | 4.1 | 94.0 | (1542) |
| Common Law Union | 69.4 | 66.1 | 3.3 | 95.3 | (1733) |
| Visiting Relationship | 69.6 | 67.3 | 2.3 | 96.8 | (1959) |
| Years Of Education *** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 67.1 | 64.1 | 3.1 | 95.4 | (464) |
| 10-12 | 69.3 | 67.0 | 2.3 | 96.6 | (1854) |
| $13+$ | 69.4 | 66.0 | 3.4 | 95.1 | (2859) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 70.6 | 67.6 | 3.0 | 95.8 | (1280) |
| Medium | 69.8 | 66.7 | 3.1 | 95.6 | (2260) |
| High | 67.4 | 64.4 | 3.0 | 95.5 | (1694) |

[^23]TABLE 6.3.5A Cont'd
Percent of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Who Are Currently Using Any Contraceptive Method, Any Modern Method Or Any Traditional Method By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Using Any Method | Percent * <br> Using Any Modern Method | Percent <br> Using Any Traditional Method | Percent <br> Of All Users <br> Who Use A <br> Modern Method | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 65.9 | 62.8 | 3.1 | 95.2 | (4648) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro Area | 66.1 | 63.4 | 2.7 | 95.9 | (1044) |
| Other Urban | 67.4 | 64.7 | 2.7 | 96.1 | (795) |
| Rural | 65.3 | 61.7 | 3.6 | 94.5 | (2809) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 65.9 | 63.1 | 2.8 | 95.7 | (1652) |
| 2 | 65.5 | 62.8 | 2.8 | 95.8 | (888) |
| 3 | 66.2 | 63.0 | 3.2 | 95.2 | (1154) |
| 4 | 65.9 | 61.9 | 4.0 | 93.9 | (954) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 58.7 | 55.3 | 3.3 | 94.3 | (321) |
| 20-24 | 66.9 | 62.9 | 3.9 | 94.1 | (771) |
| 25-29 | 67.8 | 65.5 | 2.3 | 96.7 | (1043) |
| 30-34 | 68.8 | 65.0 | 3.7 | 94.6 | (1014) |
| 35-39 | 66.1 | 63.0 | 3.0 | 95.4 | (758) |
| 40-44 | $\checkmark 67.8$ | 64.9 | 2.9 | 95.7 | (444) |
| 45-49 | 55.3 | 52.8 | 2.5 | 95.5 | (297) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 56.0 | 52.9 | 3.2 | 94.4 | (700) |
| 1 | 63.2 | 60.5 | 2.7 | 95.7 | (1083) |
| 2 | 68.8 | 65.2 | 3.6 | 94.8 | (1031) |
| 3 | 73.8 | 70.2 | 3.6 | 95.1 | (755) |
| $4+$ | 69.0 | 66.1 | 2.9 | 95.9 | (1079) |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 58.3 | 61.0 | 2.7 | 95.8 | (1766) |
| Common Law Union | 69.9 | 63.4 | 2.7 | 96.0 | (1558) |
| Visiting Relationship | 70.1 | 63.2 | 3.4 | 94.4 | (483) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 63.1 | 60.2 | 2.9 | 95.4 | (2325) |
| 10-12 | 67.3 | 64.0 | 3.3 | 95.1 | (3285) |
| $13+$ | 68.1 | 64.8 | 3.3 | 95.1 | (719) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 62.6 | 59.2 | 3.4 | 94.6 | (1760) |
| Medium | 66.8 | 63.7 | 3.1 | 95.1 | (1519) |
| High | 68.1 | 65.0 | 3.0 | 95.6 | (1369) |

* Female sterilization, vasectomy, injection, pill, IUD, condom, spermicides and diaphragm.
** Withdrawal and natural methods, such as the calendar, Billings and rhythm methods.

TABLE 6.3.6
Percentage of Women Aged 15-49 Years Currently Using Contraception
By Age Group and Method
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ |
| Any Method | $\underline{53.0}$ | $\underline{29.2}$ | $\underline{57.2}$ | $\underline{59.2}$ | $\underline{60.9}$ | $\underline{60.0}$ | $\underline{58.3}$ | $\underline{50.5}$ |
| J Pill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J Condom | 13.0 | 5.5 | 15.9 | 21.0 | 18.2 | 15.0 | 9.8 | 2.9 |
| Tubal Ligation | 17.8 | 19.2 | 25.9 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 10.4 |
| Injectable | 9.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 8.0 | 16.8 | 23.6 | 30.3 |
| J Withdrawal | 8.7 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 14.2 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 2.8 |
| Diaphragm | 1.9 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
| IUD | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Natural Methods | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Implant | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Spermicides | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total | $\underline{47.0}$ | $\underline{70.8}$ | $\underline{42.9}$ | $\underline{40.8}$ | $\underline{39.1}$ | $\underline{40.0}$ | $\underline{41.7}$ | $\underline{49.5}$ |
| No. of Cases | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

TABLE 6.3.6 A
Percentage of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Currently Using Contraception By Age Group and Method Compared With 1997 RHS AND 1993 CPS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  | 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 69.1 | $69.8$ | 70.2 | 70.7 | 71.3 | 70.9 | 66.5 | 61.7 |
| Pill | 17.8 | 14.7 | 20.3 | 25.3 | 20.9 | 18.7 | 12.0 | 4.2 |
| Condom | 23.5 | 43.3 | 31.7 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 19.2 | 16.3 | 14.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 8.5 | 18.4 | 25.4 | 34.1 |
| Injectables | 11.4 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 16.9 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 4.0 |
| Withdrawal | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| IUD | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Natural Methods | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diaphragm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Not Using | 30.9 | 31.2 | $\underline{29.8}$ | 29.3 | $\underline{28.7}$ | $\underline{29.1}$ | 33.5 | 38.3 |
| Total | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (5234) | (363) | (736) | (961) | (1063) | (909) | (725) | (477) |
|  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 65.9 | 58.6 | 66.9 | 67.8 | 68.8 | 65.9 | 67.8 | 55.3 |
| Pill | 21.2 | 17.9 | 24.6 | 30.3 | 24.3 | 16.0 | 10.1 | 3.7 |
| Condom | 17.0 | 26.9 | 23.8 | 16.6 | 13.8 | 15.4 | 9.5 | 7.5 |
| Tubal Ligation | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 12.1 | 19.9 | 40.0 | 38.7 |
| Injectables | 10.9 | 8.0 | 12.6 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Withdrawal | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| IUD | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| Natural Methods | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Vasectomy | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Spermicides | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Not Using | 34.1 | 41.4 | 33.1 | 32.2 | $\underline{31.2}$ | 34.1 | $\underline{32.2}$ | 44.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | $(4,648)$ | (321) | (771) | (1043) | (1014) | (758) | (444) | (297) |

TABLE 6.3.6 A Cont'd
Percentage of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Currently Using Contraception
By Age Group and Method
Compared With 1997 RHS AND 1993 CPS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
|  |  | 19 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 62.0 | 58.8 | 61.1 | 60.3 | 64.8 | 63.1 | 64.0 | $\cdots$ |
| Pill | 21.5 | 16.6 | 26.0 | 25.9 | 23.6 | 18.1 | 5.2 | -- |
| Condom | 16.9 | 34.4 | 21.7 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 10.1 | 3.0 | -- |
| Tubal Ligation | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 13.8 | 26.8 | 48.8 | -- |
| Injectables | 6.2 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 1.8 | -- |
| Withdrawal | 2.9 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | - |
| IUD | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | -- |
| Natural Methods | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | -- |
| Vaginal Methods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -- |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -- |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | -- |
| Not Using | 38.0 | 41.2 | 38.9 | 39.7 | 35.2 | 36.9 | 36.0 | -- |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -- |
| No. of Cases | (2283)* | (195) | (472) | (573) | (509) | (351) | (183) | -- |

[^24]TABLE 6.3.7
Percentage of Women Aged 15-49 Currently Using Contraception
By Health Region By Method
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | By Health Region |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Method | $\underline{53.0}$ | $\underline{52.3}$ | $\underline{54.5}$ | $\underline{52.4}$ | $\underline{55.3}$ |
| Condom | 17.8 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 18.7 | 16.1 |
| Pill | 13.0 | 12.3 | 16.0 | 11.5 | 14.4 |
| Tubal Ligation | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 9.9 |
| Injectables | 8.7 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 10.7 |
| Withdrawal | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| IUD | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Natural Methods | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Not Using | $\underline{47.0}$ | 47.7 | $\underline{45.5}$ | 47.6 | 45.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | $7168)$ | $(2974)$ | $(1177)$ | $(1602)$ | $(1415)$ |

TABLE 6.3.7 A
Percentage of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Currently Using Contraception By Health Region By Method Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Totals (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY


TABLE 6.3.8
Percentage of Women Aged 15-49 Years Currently Using Contraception By Number Of Live Births and Method From 2002 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| Any Method | $\underline{53.0}$ | $\underline{31.5}$ | $\underline{54.5}$ | $\underline{62.2}$ | $\underline{67.3}$ | $\underline{66.4}$ |
| Condom | 17.8 | 21.9 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 10.6 |
| Pill | 13.0 | 7.6 | 19.9 | 17.2 | 14.2 | 9.4 |
| Tubal Ligation | 9.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 19.9 | 29.6 |
| Injectables | 8.7 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 14.7 | 11.5 | 12.0 |
| Withdrawal | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| IUD | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Natural Methods | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Not Using | 47.0 | $\underline{68.5}$ | $\underline{45.5}$ | 37.8 | 32.7 | 33.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | $(7168)$ | $(1788)$ | $(1486)$ | $(1423)$ | $(1036)$ | $(1435)$ |

TABLE 6.3.8 A
Percentage of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Currently Using Contraception
By Number Of Live Births and Method
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| 20002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 69.1 | 61.4 | 65.5 | 70.8 | 75.6 | 73.4 |
| Pill | 17.8 | 15.8 | 24.3 | 20.1 | 16.7 | 11.2 |
| Condom | 23.5 | 41.8 | 23.9 | 20.8 | 19.7 | 12.7 |
| Tubal Ligation | 11.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 20.3 | $30.7<$ |
| Injectables | 11.4 | 1.2 | 11.8 | 16.4 | 12.9 | 13.6 |
| Withdrawal | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| IUD | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Natural Methods | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $0.0{ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Not Using | 30.9 | 38.6 | 34.5 | $\underline{29.2}$ | $\underline{24.4}$ | $\underline{26.6}$ |
| Total Number of Cases | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (5234) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ (854) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (1177) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (1167) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ (842) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (1194) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 65.9 | 56.0 | 63.2 | 68.8 | 73.8 | 69.0 |
| Pill | 21.2 | 19.2 | 28.6 | 25.6 | 18.9 | 11.3 |
| Condom | 17.0 | 31.0 | 18.6 | 15.7 | 10.4 | 9.3 |
| Tubal Ligation | 12.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 8.8 | 18.7 | 33.8 |
| Injectable | 10.9 | 1.8 | 9.3 | 14.0 | 20.4 | 10.7 |
| Withdrawal | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| IUD | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Natural Methods | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Vasectomy | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Spermicides | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Not Using | 34.1 | 44.0 | 36.8 | 31.2 | $\underline{26.2}$ | 31.0 |
| Total <br> Number of Cases | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (4648) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (700) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (1083) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (1031) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (755) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (1079) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 62.0 | 56.6 | 60.0 | $\underline{56.2}$ | 70.9 | 67.7 |
| Not Using | 38.0 | 43.4 | 40.0 | 43.8 | 29.1 | 32.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (2283) | (351) | (533) | (514) | (371) | (514) |

TABLE 6.3.9
Percentage of Women In Union 15-49
Currently Using Contraception By Years Of Education and Method From 2002 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Years Of Education |  |  |  | Don't know / Remember |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | 13+ |  |
| Any Method | 53.0 | 54.2 | 53.8 | 52.2 | 61.9 |
| Pill | 13.0 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 13.9 | 11.6 |
| Condom | 17.8 | 14.3 | 16.0 | 19.2 | 24.5 |
| Tubal Ligation | 9.9 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 7.6 | 22.3 |
| Injectables | 8.7 | 10.0 | 10.9 | 7.5 | 0.4 |
| Withdrawal | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| IUD | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural Methods | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diaphragm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 47.0 | 45.8 | 46.2 | 47.8 | 38.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (7168) | (638) | (2529) | (3924) | (77) |

TABLE 6.3.9 A
Percentage of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years Currently Using Contraception By Years Of Education and Method

Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Years Of Education* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | 13+ | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | 13+ |
|  | 20002 |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 69.1 | 67.1 | 69.3 | 69.4 | 65.9 | 63.1 | 67.3 | 68.1 |
| Pill | 17.7 | 14.0 | 16.8 | 18.8 | 21.2 | 17.6 | 22.9 | 23.9 |
| Condom | 23.5 | 17.4 | 20.8 | 25.8 | 17.0 | 12.3 | 19.4 | 20.3 |
| Tubal Ligation | 11.8 | 18.7 | 14.3 | 9.4 | 12.3 | 17.7 | 8.9 | 10.9 |
| Injectable | 11.4 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 8.0 |
| Withdrawal | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| IUD | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Natural Methods | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | - | - | -- |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Not Using | 30.9 | 32.9 | 30.7 | 30.6 | 34.1 | 36.9 | 32.7 | 31.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (5234) | (464) | (1854) | (2859) | (4607) | (1763) | (2358) | (486) |

* Data missing for 57 women in 2002, and 41 women in 1997.

TABLE 6.3.10

## Percentage Of All Women Aged 15-49 Years Currently Using Contraception <br> By Frequency Of Church Attendance and Method <br> (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  | Never |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | At Least Weekly | At Least Monthly | Less <br> Than <br> Monthly | Special <br> Occasions |  |
|  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 53.0 | 47.5 | 58.2 | 58.5 | 54.3 | 55.6 |
| Pill | 13.0 | 10.3 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 10.3 |
| Condom | 17.8 | 14.2 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 18.2 | 20.3 |
| Tubal Ligation | 9.9 | 13.6 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 6.9 |
| Injectables | 8.7 | 6.0 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 12.2 |
| Withdrawal | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 3.5 |
| IUD | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Natural Methods | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Diaphragm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Not Using | 47.0 | 52.5 | 41.8 | 41.5 | 45.7 | 44.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases* | (7168) | (2707) | (1368) | (861) | (1631) | (524) |

* Data on frequency of church attendance are missing for 77 women

TABLE 6.3.10 A
Percentage of Women In Union Aged 15-49 Currently Using Contraception
By Frequency Of Church Attendance and Method
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method <br> At Least | Frequency Of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | At Least Weekly | Than Monthly | Less Special Monthly | Occasions | Never |
| Any Method | 69.1 | 20002 |  | 69.9 | 66.4 | 70.3 |
|  |  | 68.8 | 72.2 |  |  |  |
| Pill | 17.7 | 15.9 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 20.0 | 13.3 |
| Condom | 23.5 | 21.0 | 26.5 | 25.2 | 23.1 | 26.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 11.8 | 17.8 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 8.2 |
| Injectables | 11.4 | 9.0 | 11.7 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 15.2 |
| Withdrawal | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.3 |
| IUD | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| Natural Methods | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Diaphragm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Morning After Pill | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Not Using | 30.9 | 31.2 | $\underline{27.8}$ | 30.1 | 33.6 | 29.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases* | (5234) | (1811) | (1037) | (676) | (1265) | (383) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 65.9 | 61.9 | 69.3 | 67.9 | 66.0 | 65.7 |
| Pill | 21.2 | 15.1 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 23.1 | 19.7 |
| Condom | 17.0 | 13.6 | 19.1 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 20.4 |
| Tubal Ligation | 12.3 | 20.0 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
| Injectables | 10.9 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 13.4 |
| Withdrawal | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| IUD | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Natural Methods | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Vasectomy | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Spermicides | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Not Using | 34.1 | 38.1 | 30.7 | 32.1 | 34.0 | 34.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases * | (4614) | (1277) | (896) | (709) | (1243) | (489) |

* Data on frequency of church attendance are missing for 62 women in union in 2002 and 34 in 1997.

TABLE 6.3.11
Percentage Of Current Contraceptive Users Who Are Concurrently Using A Secondary Contraceptive Method By Primary And Secondary Method Used

All Women Aged 15-49 Years
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Primary Method * | Secondary Method Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | None | Condom | With- <br> drawal | Natural <br> Methods | Other | Total | N |
| Any Method | $\underline{85.7}$ | $\underline{12.8}$ | $\underline{0.8}$ | $\underline{0.4}$ | $\underline{0.4}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ | $\underline{(4025)}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pill | 71.2 | 28.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(1033)$ |
| Injectable | 77.3 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | $(718)$ |
| IUD | 85.5 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(65)$ |
| Tubal Ligation | 90.0 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(749)$ |
| Condom | 96.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | $(1269)$ |
| Withdrawal | 97.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(134)$ |
| Natural Methods | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | $(27)$ |

* Number of cases for selected primary methods do not add up to number of cases for all primary methods because only those primary methods with 25 or more users appear in this table.

TABLE 6.3.11A
Percentage Of Current Contraceptive Users Who Are Concurrently Using A Secondary Contraceptive Method By Primary And Secondary Method Used Compared With 1997 RHS - Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Primary Method * | Secondary Method Used |  |  |  | Other | Total | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Condom | Withdrawal | Natural Methods |  |  |  |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 85.0 | $13.3{ }^{\text {² }}$ | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (3660) |
| Pill | 71.2 | 28.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (973) |
| Injectable | 75.8 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (659) |
| IUD | 85.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (63) |
| Tubal Ligation | 89.4 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (632) |
| Condom | 96.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | (1155) |
| Withdrawal | 97.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (126) |
| Natural Methods | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (27) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Method | 88.4 | 11.2 | $\underline{0.2}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | (3058) |
| Pill | 75.9 | 24.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (998) |
| Injectable | 85.5 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (548) |
| IUD | 91.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (42) |
| Tubal Ligation | 94.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | (590) |
| Condom | 99.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (723) |
| Withdrawal | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (123) |

TABLE 6.3.12
Percentage Of Current Contraceptive Users Who Are Concurrently Using A Secondary
Contraceptive Method By Selected Characteristics
All Women And Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | All Women |  | Women In Union |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N |  |
| Total | 14.3 | (4025) | 15.0 | (3660) |  |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.8 | (303) | 15.6 | (251) |  |
| 20-24 | 19.2 | (577) | 20.1 | (537) |  |
| 25-29 | 17.0 | (722) | 17.3 | (684) |  |
| 30-34 | 14.4 | (841) | 14.6 | (774) |  |
| 35-39 | 14.4 | (710) | 15.5 | (643) |  |
| 40-44 | 10.1 | (537) | 10.6 | (482) |  |
| 45-49 | 7.3 | (335) | 6.7 | (289) |  |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban Areas | 15.8 | (1711) | 17.1 | (1526) |  |
| Rural Areas | 12.6 | (2314) | 12.7 | (2134) |  |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 15.4 | (1623) | 16.6 | (1456) |  |
| Region 2 | 15.2 | (673) | 15.2 | (613) |  |
| Region 3 | 13.3 | (912) | 13.6 | (835) |  |
| Region 4 | 2.1 | (817) | 12.4 | (756) |  |
| Parish * |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Mary | 21.9 | (228) | 22.7 | (104) |  |
| Kingston | 21.5 | (114) | 23.2 | (106) |  |
| Portland | 21.1 | (244) | 20.0 | (220) |  |
| St. Thomas | 19.2 | (227) | 19.2 | (204) |  |
| Trelawny | 17.1 | (205) | 17.1 | (189) |  |
| Hanover | 16.7 | (236) | 16.9 | (215) |  |
| St. Catherine | 15.5 | (732) | 17.3 | (654) |  |
| St. Andrew | 13.9 | (550) | 14.4 | (492) |  |
| St. James | 13.8 | (222) | 13.9 | (199) |  |
| St. Elizabeth | 13.6 | (328) | 13.8 | (302) |  |
| Manchester | 12.4 | (220) | 12.5 | (205) |  |
| Clarendon | 10.6 | (269) | 11.1 | (249) |  |
| Westmoreland | 9.1 | (249) | 9.9 | (232) |  |
| St. Ann | 6.4 | (201) | 7.0 | (189) |  |
| Years Of Schooling ** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 9.8 | (358) | 9.0 | (315) |  |
| 10-12 Years | 13.4 | (1431) | 14.6 | (1299) |  |
| 13+ Years | 15.6 | (2190) | 16.0 | (2009) |  |
| Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 14.1 | (1027) | 15.1 | (911) |  |
| Medium | 14.3 | (1749) | 14.9 | (1614) |  |
| High | 14.5 | (1249) | 15.1 | (1135) |  |
| ** $\begin{array}{ll}\text { * } & \text { Paris }\end{array}$ | arishes are listed in descending order of percentages of all women. <br> Data missing for 46 cases of all women and 37 cases of women in union for which information on the level of education was not collected. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.3.13
Percent Of Young Adult Men Who Used Contraception With Their Last Sexual Partner
Men Aged 15-24 Who Had Sexual Relations In The Last 30 Days
By Age Group and Method Used
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Method | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |  | 1993 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age Group |  | Age Group |  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 | Total | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Any Method | 85.3 | 88.7 | 83.0 | 79.6 | 82.5 | 78.1 | 68.1 | 69.1 | 67.5 |
| Condom | 72.9 | 83.2 | 66.2 | 61.1 | 72.3 | 55.4 | 50.9 | 55.5 | 48.4 |
| Pill | 7.7 | 3.1 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 5.3 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 7.9 | 14.2 |
| Injectable | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Withdrawal | 2.6 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 3.2 |
| Diaphragm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Natural Methods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IUD | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Spermicides | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Implant | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tubal Ligation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Vasectomy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ECP | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | . | .. | . | . | . | . |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| No Method | 14.7 | $\underline{11.3}$ | 17.0 | 20.4 | 17.4 | $\underline{21.9}$ | 31.9 | 30.9 | 32.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (1054) | (420) | (634) | (1067) | (344) | (723) | (1052) | (566) | (486) |

- These data, from the 2002 and 1997 Reproductive Health Survey which interviewed only men aged 15-24 years, and the 1993 CPS survey which interviewed men aged 15-54 years, are from questions asked only of men 15-24 years of age in both surveys. These young adult men were asked if they had sexual relations in the past 30 days and, if so, if they used contraception with their last sexual partner.

TABLE 6.4.1

## Source Of Most Prevalent Contraceptive Methods By Method Currently Used And Urban Or Rural Residence Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS <br> All Women Aged 15-49 Years <br> (Percent Distribution) <br> 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Contraception | Contraceptive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill |  | Condom |  |  | Injection |  |  | Female Sterilization |  |  | Rural |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy | 64.8 | 76.1 | 51.2 | 44.3 | 50.4 | 39.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Public Health Centre/Clinic | 28.5 | 16.4 | 43.0 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 12.0 | 88.7 | 83.3 | 92.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Doctor | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Private Clinic | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Outreach Worker | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shop / Supermarket | 3.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 37.1 | 32.4 | 43.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Government Hospital | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 86.9 | 82.5 | 91.2 |
| Workplace | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Hospital | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 3.6 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 2.6 |
| Don't Know | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (1033) | (439) | (594) | (1271) | (580) | (691) | (718) | (248) | (470) | (749) | (312) | (437) |

TABLE 6.4.1 A
Source Of Most Prevalent Contraceptive Methods By Method Currently Used And Urban Or Rural Residence Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS

Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Contraception | Contraceptive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female Sterilization |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill |  | Condom |  |  | Injection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| $\underline{2} 0002$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy | 64.3 | 75.9 | 50.9 | 45.3 | 51.0 | 37.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Public Health Centre/Clinic | 29.3 | 17.3 | 43.4 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 13.2 | 88.1 | 81.9 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Doctor | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Private Clinic | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Outreach Worker | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shop / Supermarket | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 36.8 | 32.8 | 42.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Government Hospital | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 88.4 | 83.9 | 92.2 |
| Workplace | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Hospital | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 9.7 | 4.1 |
| Other / Don't Know | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 2.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (973) | (410) | (563) | (1157) | (534) | (623) | (659) | (219) | (440) | (632) | (244) | (388) |

TABLE 6.4.1 A Cont'd
Source Of Most Prevalent Contraceptive Methods By Method Currently Used And Urban Or Rural Residence Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS

Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Contraceptive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source Of Contraception | Pill | Contracepive MehodCondom |  |  |  | Injectables |  | Female <br> Sterilization |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
|  | 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy | 58.8 | 71.6 | 46.2 | 53.6 | 59.7 | 45.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Public Health Centre/Clinic | 35.3 | 21.8 | 48.5 | 18.0 | 12.4 | 25.7 | 84.0 | 81.2 | 86.4 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 |
| Private Doctor | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Clinic | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Outreach Worker | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shop / Supermarket | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 16.0 | 12.8 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Government Hospital | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 87.6 | 82.3 | 92.9 |
| Workplace | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Hospital | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 2.4 |
| Other | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (998) | (384) | (614) | (723) | (335) | (388) | (548) | (187) | (361) | (590) | (227) | (363) |

TABLE 6.4.1 A Cont'd

## Source Of Most Prevalent Contraceptive Methods By Method Currently Used And Urban

 Or Rural Residence Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPSWomen In Union Aged 15-49 Years
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Contraception | Pill <br> Total | Condom |  |  |  | Injectables |  | Female Sterilization |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| 1993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy | 46.7 | 55.4 | 43.1 | 48.7 | 65.1 | 37.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Government Health Centre | 44.7 | 31.4 | 50.2 | 28.5 | 16.2 | 36.9 | 88.4 | 79.9 | 92.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Outreach Worker | 2.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Government Hospital | 1.3 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 88.7 | 84.3 | 91.6 |
| Private Hospital | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 2.0 |
| Private Clinic | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 4.4 |
| Shop / Supermarket | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 12.4 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 2.8 | 7.9 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 2.0 |
| Don't Know | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 2.5 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (507) | (117) | (390) | (358) | (121) | (237) | (145) | (35) | (110) | (274) | (84) | (190) |

TABLE 6.4.2

## Source Of Most Prevalent Contraceptive Method By Method Currently Used <br> Compared With 1997 RHS <br> (Percent Distribution) <br> Women Not In Union Aged 15-49 Years <br> 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

Contraceptive Method

| Source Of Contraception | Contraceptive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2} 002$ |  |  | Female Sterilization | Pill | 19 Condom | $97$ <br> Injection | Female <br> Sterilization |
| Pharmacy | 71.5 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.8 | 57.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Public Health Centre/Clinic | 16.5 | 2.6 | 94.2 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 6.7 | 83.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Doctor | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 |
| Private Clinic | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Outreach Worker | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shop / Market | 9.7 | 39.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Government Hospital | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 91.9 |
| Workplace | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Private Hospital | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
| Other | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Don't Know | 1.5 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | - | - | - | -- |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $0 \quad 100.0$ |
| Number Of Cases | (60) | (114) | (59) | (117) | (67) | (116) | (51) | (103) |

TABLE 6.4.3
Availability Of Family Planning Services At Government Clinics / Health Centres Reported By Women Aged 15-49 Who Obtain Contraception From Government Sources By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Percent Who Can Obtain Family Planning Services At Government Clinics / Health Centers: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At <br> Any Time | Only C Times O Days | Not Sure | Total | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 30.6 | 63.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | (1144) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 17.5 | 72.6 | 9.9 | 100.0 | (116) |
| Other Urban | 33.9 | 60.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | (236) |
| Rural | 32.4 | 63.2 | 4.3 | 100.0 | (792) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 18.9 | 75.4 | 5.7 | 100.0 | (379) |
| 2 | 26.8 | 60.3 | 12.8 | 100.0 | (210) |
| 3 | 56.0 | 42.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (277) |
| 4 | 34.6 | 62.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (278) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 31.5 | 66.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (402) |
| Medium | 29.0 | 64.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (548) |
| High | 32.9 | 57.2 | 9.9 | 100.0 | (194) |
|  |  | 19 |  |  |  |
| Total | 41.0 | 56.1 | 3.0 | 100.0 | (1167) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 31.8 | 66.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | (158) |
| Other Urban | 45.5 | 50.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (184) |
| Rural | 43.5 | 53.6 | 2.9 | 100.0 | (825) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 31.4 | 67.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (317) |
| 2 | 36.2 | 61.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (249) |
| 3 | 69.3 | 26.4 | 4.3 | 100.0 | (288) |
| 4 | 40.1 | 55.8 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (313) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 41.3 | 55.3 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (706) |
| Medium | 43.3 | 54.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (334) |
| High | 34.6 | 62.9 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (127) |

TABLE 6.4.4
Length Of Time To Travel To Source Of Contraception Reported By
Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Time To Source Of Contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { Minutes* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { Minutes } \end{aligned}$ | 15-29 <br> Minutes | $\begin{aligned} & 30-59 \\ & \text { Minutes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $60+$ <br> Minutes | No <br> Response | Total | N |
|  |  |  | 02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.4 | 42.9 | $\underline{28.0}$ | $\underline{18.8}$ | 5.3 | 2.6 | $\underline{100.0}$ | (3008) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 2.7 | 47.2 | 25.9 | 15.3 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (431) |
| Other Urban | 2.5 | 55.4 | 28.2 | 10.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (824) |
| Rural | 2.1 | 32.7 | 28.8 | 26.3 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (1743) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.3 | 46.4 | 27.2 | 15.4 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (1197) |
| 2 | 0.9 | 35.3 | 26.4 | 23.9 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | (511) |
| 3 | 4.6 | 51.5 | 28.8 | 12.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (668) |
| 4 | 1.8 | 33.8 | 30.1 | 27.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (632) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 1.2 | 38.6 | 28.0 | 24.1 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (752) |
| Medium | 2.2 | 42.2 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (1327) |
| High | 3.3 | 46.6 | 28.2 | 14.0 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 100.0 | (929) |
|  |  |  | 19 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.5 | 32.6 | 32.1 | $\underline{20.8}$ | 7.6 | 4.5 | 100.0 | (2552) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 3.1 | 44.9 | 30.5 | 13.7 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (565) |
| Other Urban | 1.9 | 34.1 | 38.8 | 15.4 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (447) |
| Rural | 2.4 | 23.2 | 31.0 | 27.7 | 11.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 | (1540) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.5 | 40.6 | 30.8 | 16.4 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | (871) |
| 2 | 2.9 | 29.4 | 25.1 | 25.6 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 100.0 | (487) |
| 3 | 5.1 | 30.1 | 32.2 | 19.0 | 8.1 | 5.5 | 100.0 | (674) |
| 4 | 0.4 | 19.6 | 39.3 | 28.5 | 9.7 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (520) |
| Socio Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 1.4 | 24.7 | 30.5 | 26.4 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 100.0 | (1152) |
| Medium | 3.1 | 33.8 | 34.6 | 19.9 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (828) |
| High | 3.4 | 41.8 | 31.1 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 100.0 | (572) |

[^25]
## CHAPTER 7

## HORMONAL CONTRACEPTIVE USAGE

This Chapter deals with hormonal contraceptive use and looks at usage of the Oral Contraceptive Pill, the Emergency Contraceptive Pill, otherwise known as the Morning After Pill, and Injectables. It makes comparisons in respect of usage with results from earlier surveys. It also investigates respondents' perceptions as to the effectiveness and safety of the use of the above methods. Data has been presented by selected characteristics so as to determine whether or not they appear to influence usage.

### 7.1 PILL USE

The Pill is the most widely used method of contraception in Jamaica. A number of marketing strategies relating to the Pill are conducted in Jamaica resulting in a change in the pattern of pill use over the period. In 1997, the strategies employed included the distribution of pills through a public sector program using government health facilities. Pills distributed through this program include Lo-Femenal and Ovral. There was also the social marketing program, known as the "Personal Choice Program", geared toward shifting access to pills from the public sector to the private sector. The Perle and Minigynon brands of pills were marketed under the Personal Choice Program. Under the Commercial Sector programme, which was not subsidized by the Government, brands such as Nordette, Tri-Regol and Gynera were sold in pharmacies and other outlets.

As was indicated, the strategies have changed since then. For example, Minigynon is no longer in the Personal Choice programme as it has been shifted to the Commercial Sector. So has Ovral. Another point of to note is that there appears to be some ambiguity on the part of respondents relating to 'brands' of pills. For example, it is felt by some that a number of women associate Perle with pills in general and use this name for all brands of pills. Also, there appears to be confusion on the part of some women between Ovral and Ovedon. All these factors must be borne in mind when trying to understand what has been happening to the reported use of various brands. ${ }^{1}$ Comparisons of the programmes over 1997 and 2002 must therefore take all of the above factors into account.

During the coding and editing stage, brands recorded under the 'other' category were given additional codes only where there was a certain percentage of similar answers. In the case of Lo-Femenal, which was not pre-coded in the questionnaire and was accordingly entered under 'Other, specify', there was not a large number of answers to justify coding it under a separate category in the cleaning/editing phase. As was mentioned, anecdotal evidence suggests that some pill users loosely refer to Lo-Femenal pills as the Perle brand. Thus the entries under Lo-Femenal could have been understated.

The usage of the different brands will be presented, by area of residence in Table 7.1.1, and by socioeconomic status (SES) in Table 7.1.2. Perle is seen as the pill of choice in all areas and in all SES groups. Its usage has increased since 1997, particularly among residents in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and women with low or intermediate socio-economic status. In both periods, the second most widely used pill, Minigynon, was most popular in other urban areas. Although its use in 2002 almost doubled overall, the highest increase was reported by residents in rural areas and those with low SES. Ovral, used mainly by women in the lower socio-economic status groups and in rural areas, appears to have become less popular in 2002. So have Nordette, Tri-Regol and Gynera. The trend in use of Lo-Femenal cannot be directly assessed but it is likely to have decreased since 1997.

As indicated earlier, respondents were asked to state their perceptions of the effectiveness of the pill to prevent pregnancy. The question asked was: 'In your opinion, if a woman takes the pill correctly, how sure can she be that she will not become pregnant?' The responses used in this question were: 'Completely sure', 'Almost sure', 'Some risk of pregnancy' and 'Not sure at all'. Provision was also made for those who felt that they did not know. Their responses are set out in Table 7.1.3. The responses are presented with crossclassifications by region, age group, education levels, whether or not they ever used pills and whether or not they are currently using pills. Comparisons with 1997 are also provided in Table 7.1.3 cont\d.

On the overall, 38.0 percent of women answered that they were completely sure while a further 26.0 percent indicated that they were almost sure. Thus, almost two-thirds of the women were almost or completely sure the pill is effective in presenting pregnancy. Almost one in seven women ( 14.7 percent) felt that there was some risk of pregnancy. A further 7.7 percent were not sure while 13.6 percent said that they did not know. The highest percentage of those who were almost or completely sure was in Health Region 3 ( 70.5 percent), next to highest in Health Region 2 ( 66.1 percent) while the lowest was in Health Region 1 ( 61.5 percent).

Confidence in the pill's effectiveness increased with age up to age 44. It also increased over rising levels of schooling. As would be expected, more women who had ever used or were currently using had confidence in the effectiveness of the pill. Also, higher percentages of women who had never used or were not currently using felt that there was some risk of pregnancy or were not sure it would prevent pregnancy while a higher percentage of them did not know.

Comparing the selected characteristics between 1997 and 2002, the percentages were, on the overall, similar. However, at the Health Region level, the pattern was different except for Health Region 2 that had, as mentioned earlier, the lowest percentage of those almost or completely sure in Health Region 2. Age patterns were also somewhat different. Among all the other characteristics, the patterns were consistent.

Respondents were further asked: 'In your opinion, how safe for a woman's health is the pill?' Answers provided were: 'Completely safe', 'Almost completely safe', 'Not very safe', 'Unsafe' and 'Depends on the woman'. Also, there was provision for a 'Don't know' answer. A similar question was asked in 1997 but the answers were somewhat different. In this survey, the answers were: 'Safe', 'Not safe', 'Depends on the woman' and 'Don't know'. For comparison, therefore, the first two groups in 2002 could be added to equate to 'Safe' in 1997 and the next two grouped for comparison with 'Unsafe' in 1997. Table 7.1.4 presents the answers for 2002 while Table 7.1.4 cont'd gives the corresponding percentages in 1997. As in the previous table, selected characteristics were studied. These were: age group, education levels as well as ever and current users of the pill.

Using the suggested classification in 2002 and comparing it with 1997, the percentage of women who considered the pill to be safe for a woman's health was not significantly different in 2002 compared to 1997 ( 34.8 percent compared with 35.5 percent). As in 1997, in 2002, the lowest percentage of those who felt that the pill was safe for a woman's health was in the youngest age group ( 27.8 percent and 26.7 percent respectively), while the highest was among women currently using the pill ( 57.8 and 59.8 percent respectively).

Of note are the high percentages ( 15.2 percent in 2002 and 20.3 percent in 1997) of women who did not have an opinion on this question. In both surveys, the greatest contributors to these percentages were women aged 15-19 years ( 27.8 percent in 2002 and 36.0 percentage in 1997).

### 7.2 KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF THE EMERGENCY CONTRACEPTIVE PROTECTION (MORNING AFTER) PILL

The Emergency Contraceptive Protection Pill, known also as the Morning After Pill is becoming more and more accepted in Jamaica and although, as mentioned in an earlier chapter, only 0.2 percent of women were currently using it, its place in the family planning programme is becoming more important. Accordingly, some information was gathered in relation to knowledge and usage of this method. Table 7.2.1 gives a picture of the percent of women who knew of the method and of those who have ever used it. Selected characteristics are presented to allow for a study of the differentials over these variables. The characteristics selected are: age group, level, area of residence, educational level, frequency of attendance at religious services and socio-economic status.

Almost half of the respondents had heard of the method but less than 4 percent had ever used. Usage is highest among younger women and particularly those with higher levels of education. Knowledge of the method is lowest in the rural area and among those whose socio-economic status is low.

### 7.3 INJECTION USE

The use of injectables in relation to it safety was also studied. As in the case of the Pill, the categories relating to degrees of safety used in the 1997 survey were expanded in the 2002 survey. In 1997, the categories used were: 'Safe' and 'unsafe', together with: 'Depends on the woman' and 'Don't know'. In 2002, the categories are: 'Completely safe', 'Almost completely safe', 'Not very safe' and 'Not safe', together with 'Depends on the woman' and 'Don't know'. Results are shown in Table 7.3.1 for the survey years 2002 and 1997. The selected characteristics used in the earlier tables are repeated here.

The patterns here mirrors that of the pill. In this case, 23.7 percent in 2002 found the injectables either completely safe or almost completely safe; the comparative figure in 1997 was 24.9 percent. At the same time, 42.0 percent in 2002 found the injectables not very safe or unsafe, compared with 37.0 percent in 1997. As in the case of the pill, usage also had an impact on women's perception of safety. Current users were more likely to consider injectables safe ( 58 percent) compared with non-users ( 30.4 Percent). Unlike their perception of safety of the pill, less educated women had a higher perception of injectables' safety than those with higher levels of education. Usage, on the other hand, had the same impact as in the case of the pills.

TABLE 7.1.1
Brand Of Pill Used By Residence Compared With 1997 RHS
Women 15-49 Years of Age Who Are Current Users Of The Pill (Oral Contraceptives) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Brand Of Pill Used | Area OfResidence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Kingston Metro Area | Other <br> Urban | Rural | $\underline{\text { Total }}$ | Kingston Metro Area | Other Urban | Rural |
|  |  | 200 | 2 |  |  | 19 | 97 |  |
| Perle | 38.9 | 39.3 | 37.9 | 39.4 | 31.6 | 28.7 | 32.1 | 33.2 |
| Minigynon | 25.1 | 18.8 | 31.7 | 23.0 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 21.9 | 9.7 |
| Lo-Femenal * | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16.9 | 7.8 | 16.3 | 22.8 |
| Ovral | 8.2 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 12.2 | 17.3 |
| Nordette | 5.0 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 13.0 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| Tri-Regol | 2.2 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 3.6 |
| Gynera | 1.1 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| Other * | 15.6 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 14.3 | 6.6 | 11.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| Don't Know/Not Sure | 3.9 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (1034) | (150) | (289) | (595) | (1065) | (211) | (195) | (659) |

* Lo-Femenal was not separately identified in 2002 and is included under 'Other'.

TABLE 7.1.2
Brand Of Pill Used By Socio-economic Status Compared With 1997 RHS Women 15-49 Years of Age Who Are Current Users Of The Pill (Oral Contraceptives) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Type Of <br> Pill Program And <br> Brand Of Pill Used* | Socio-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Low | Medium | High | Total | Low | Medium | High |
|  | 2 0 0 2 |  |  |  |  | 1997 |  |  |
| Perle | 38.9 | 47.0 | 45.7 | 27.7 | 31.6 | 36.2 | 33.1 | 27.1 |
| Minigynon | 25.1 | 18.6 | 22.3 | 31.1 | 13.2 | 8.1 | 12.5 | 17.3 |
| Lo-Femenal * | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16.9 | 26.3 | 17.8 | 9.6 |
| Ovral | 8.2 | 11.8 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 17.6 | 11.5 |
| Nordette | 5.0 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 13.9 |
| Tri-Regol | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 7.8 |
| Gynera | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 3.4 |
| Other * | 15.6 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 18.4 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 8.5 |
| Don't Know/Not Sure | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | (1034) | (211) | (452) | (371) | (1065) | (211) | (195) | (659) |

* Lo-Femenal not separately identified in 2002 and is included under 'Other'.

TABLE 7.1.3
Respondents] Perceptions Of The Effectiveness Of The Pill To Prevent Pregnancy
Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Perception Of Pill's Effectiveness To Prevent Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completely <br> Sure | Almost Sure | Some <br> Risk Of <br> Pregnancy | Not Sure To Prevent Pregnancy | Don't <br> Know | TOTAL | N |
|  |  |  | 200 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Total | 38.0 | 26.0 | 14.7 | 7.7 | 13.6 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37.6 | 23.9 | 16.6 | 7.9 | 13.9 | 100.0 | (2974) |
| 2 | 37.7 | 28.4 | 12.3 | 7.1 | 14.5 | 100.0 | (1177) |
| 3 | 41.4 | 29.1 | 11.2 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 100.0 | (1602) |
| 4 | 36.8 | 27.3 | 13.9 | 7.1 | 15.0 | 100.0 | (1415) |

Age Group

| $15-19$ | 25.9 | 24.9 | 15.1 | 8.7 | 25.4 | 100.0 | $(989)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 30.0 | 29.7 | 18.0 | 7.7 | 14.6 | 100.0 | $(937)$ |
| $25-29$ | 37.7 | 28.5 | 15.8 | 7.3 | 10.8 | 100.0 | $(1176)$ |
| $30-34$ | 43.8 | 25.0 | 14.7 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 | $(1311)$ |
| $35-39$ | 45.6 | 24.9 | 13.5 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 100.0 | $(1160)$ |
| $40-44$ | 47.4 | 22.6 | 11.6 | 8.0 | 10.4 | 100.0 | $(921)$ |
| $45-49$ | 40.3 | 26.1 | 12.9 | 7.6 | 13.2 | 100.0 | $(674)$ |

Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ | 41.9 | 18.6 | 13.5 | 8.8 | 17.3 | 100.0 | $(638)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ | 38.3 | 24.8 | 13.0 | 8.7 | 15.3 | 100.0 | $(2529)$ |
| $13+$ | 37.4 | 27.8 | 15.8 | 7.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | $(3924)$ |

Socio-Economic Index

| Low | 38.5 | 22.4 | 13.0 | 9.9 | 16.1 | 100.0 | $(1705)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 38.9 | 24.8 | 12.8 | 7.9 | 13.7 | 100.0 | $(3079)$ |
| High | 36.8 | 29.4 | 15.4 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 100.0 | $(2384)$ |

## Ever Used Pills

| Yes | 50.1 | 26.4 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 100.0 | $(4299)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| No | 22.4 | 25.5 | 16.1 | 10.2 | 25.8 | 100.0 | $(2869)$ |

Currently Uses Pills

| Yes | 66.1 | 22.4 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | $(1033)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 33.8 | 26.5 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 100.0 | $(6135)$ |

Data missing for 77 women.

TABLE 7.1.3 Cont'd
Respondents, Perceptions Of Effectiveness Of The Pill To Prevent Pregnancy
Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | Perception OfPill's Effectiveness To Prevent Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Selected Characteristics |  | Some | Not Sure |  |  |  |  |
|  | Completely | Almost | Risk Of | To Prevent | Don't |  |  |
|  | Sure | Sure | Pregnancy | Pregnancy | Know | TOTAL | N |

## 1997

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Total } & 40.2 & 23.9 & 12.7 & 7.5 & 15.6 & 100.0 & \text { (6384) }\end{array}$
Region

| 1 | 36.6 | 27.8 | 15.8 | 7.4 | 12.4 | 100.0 | $(2260)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | 35.5 | 24.4 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 22.0 | 100.0 | $(1216)$ |
| 3 | 47.0 | 18.1 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 20.7 | 100.0 | $(1618)$ |
| 4 | 45.6 | 20.0 | 12.5 | 7.8 | 14.1 | 100.0 | $(1290)$ |

Age Group

| $15-19$ | 30.4 | 18.5 | 12.0 | 9.2 | 30.0 | 100.0 | $(960)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 37.7 | 26.2 | 14.1 | 8.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 | $(1031)$ |
| $25-29$ | 45.1 | 26.1 | 11.9 | 6.6 | 10.3 | 100.0 | $(1233)$ |
| $30-34$ | 46.1 | 25.6 | 12.9 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 100.0 | $(1195)$ |
| $35-39$ | 44.6 | 23.2 | 15.3 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 100.0 | $(934)$ |
| $40-44$ | 44.3 | 23.4 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 100.0 | $(610)$ |
| $45-49$ | 35.9 | 26.9 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 17.9 | 100.0 | $(421)$ |

## Years Of Education

| $0-9$ | 42.4 | 19.5 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 18.9 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ | 40.1 | 24.4 | 12.9 | 7.6 | 15.0 | 100.0 | (3285) |
| $13+$ | 35.6 | 33.2 | 16.6 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 100.0 | $(719)$ |

Ever Used Pills

| Yes | 51.3 | 27.0 | 12.3 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (3588) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| No | 28.0 | 20.6 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 27.9 | 100.0 | (2796) |

Currently Uses Pills

| Yes | 63.3 | 23.9 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | $(1065)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 36.1 | 24.0 | 13.8 | 8.3 | 17.9 | 100.0 | $(5319)$ |

TABLE 7.1.4
Respondents, Perceptions Of Effectiveness Of The Pill To Prevent Pregnancy
Women Aged 15-49 By Area Of Residence And Parish
(Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Area | Perception Of Pill's Effectiveness To Prevent Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completely <br> Sure | Almost Sure | Some Risk Of Pregnancy | Not Sure To Prevent Pregnancy | Don't <br> Know | TOTAL | N |
| Total | 38.0 | 26.0 | 14.7 | 7.7 | 13.6 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 36.2 | 21.7 | 18.0 | 7.8 | 16.3 | 100.0 | (1144) |
| Other Urban Areas | 37.7 | 28.6 | 14.2 | 6.5 | 13.1 | 100.0 | (2079) |
| Rural Areas | 39.2 | 26.2 | 13.4 | 8.5 | 12.7 | 100.0 | (3945) |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westmoreland | 54.8 | 24.3 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (427) |
| Portland | 50.3 | 22.3 | 20.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (385) |
| St. Elizabeth | 45.5 | 22.8 | 9.9 | 5.7 | 16.1 | 100.0 | (509) |
| Trelawny | 43.9 | 20.8 | 18.2 | 3.4 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (375) |
| St. Thomas | 43.6 | 23.0 | 7.7 | 17.6 | 8.1 | 100.0 | (411) |
| St. Andrew | 39.1 | 20.9 | 18.0 | 6.5 | 15.5 | 100.0 | (1046) |
| Hanover | 38.0 | 33.9 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 100.0 | (399) |
| St. Catherine | 37.4 | 36.9 | 16.1 | 7.1 | 12.5 | 100.0 | (1277) |
| St. Mary | 36.5 | 27.8 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 18.6 | 100.0 | (405) |
| Manchester | 34.0 | 25.6 | 20.5 | 4.4 | 15.6 | 100.0 | (388) |
| Clarendon | 33.7 | 31.7 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (518) |
| St. Ann | 31.4 | 32.2 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 17.8 | 100.0 | (387) |
| St. James | 28.3 | 36.0 | 10.0 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 100.0 | (401) |
| Kingston | 24.9 | 23.7 | 19.9 | 13.0 | 18.5 | 100.0 | (240) |

TABLE 7.1.5
Respondents' Perceptions Of Safety Of The Pill For A Woman's Health Women 15-49 By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Perception Of Pill's Safety For Woman's Health |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Almost Completely Safe | Completely Safe | Not <br> Very <br> Safe | Unsafe | Depends On Woman | Don't <br> Know |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $0 \quad 0 \quad 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 12.6 | 22.2 | $\underline{26.3}$ | 7.6 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.5 | 20.3 | 25.9 | 7.2 | 11.3 | 27.8 | 100.0 | (988) |
| 20-24 | 11.4 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 6.8 | 17.7 | 13.5 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 12.8 | 24.8 | 28.5 | 6.6 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 100.0 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 12.4 | 21.0 | 29.2 | 7.7 | 18.0 | 11.8 | 100.0 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 14.2 | 23.7 | 24.4 | 9.3 | 17.6 | 10.9 | 100.0 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 16.6 | 22.1 | 24.8 | 7.4 | 17.6 | 11.5 | 100.0 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 24.6 | 8.4 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 100.0 | (674) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 13.0 | 18.3 | 25.8 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 19.2 | 100.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 13.4 | 20.2 | 26.2 | 8.0 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 100.0 | (2529) |
| $13+$ | 12.1 | 24.0 | 26.6 | 6.7 | 16.8 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (3924) |

Socio-Economic Index

| Low | 11.7 | 22.5 | 26.0 | 9.6 | 12.9 | 17.3 | 100.0 | $(1705)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 13.3 | 21.6 | 26.7 | 8.1 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 100.0 | $(3079)$ |
| High | 12.3 | 22.9 | 26.1 | 5.8 | 18.5 | 14.4 | 100.0 | $(2384)$ |

Ever Used The Pill

| Yes | 17.1 | 25.0 | 26.8 | 7.1 | 17.7 | 6.2 | 100.0 | $(4299)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 6.8 | 18.6 | 25.7 | 8.2 | 13.9 | 26.9 | 100.0 | $(2869)$ |

## Currently Uses Pill

| Yes | 27.5 | 30.1 | 17.5 | 2.9 | 17.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 | $(1033)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 10.4 | 21.1 | 27.7 | 8.3 | 15.8 | 16.8 | 100.0 | $(6135)$ |

[^26]TABLE 7.1.5 Cont'd
Respondents' Perceptions Of Safety Of The Pill For A Woman's Health
Women 15-49 By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  |  | Perception Of Pill's Safety For Woman's Health |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Selected Characteristics |  |  | Depends |  |  |  |
|  | Not | On | Don't |  |  |  |
|  | Safe | Safe | Woman | Know | TOTAL | N |


| Total | 35.5 | 30.3 | 14.0 | 20.3 | 100.0 | (6384) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Age Group

| $15-19$ | 26.7 | 24.9 | 12.5 | 36.0 | 100.0 | $(960)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 36.0 | 31.9 | 11.8 | 20.4 | 100.0 | $(1031)$ |
| $25-29$ | 40.6 | 31.1 | 14.6 | 13.7 | 100.0 | $(1233)$ |
| $30-34$ | 39.6 | 31.1 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 100.0 | $(1195)$ |
| $35-39$ | 35.8 | 34.9 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 100.0 | $(934)$ |
| $40-44$ | 35.2 | 29.8 | 19.2 | 15.8 | 100.0 | $(610)$ |
| $45-49$ | 36.8 | 30.5 | 10.9 | 21.8 | 100.0 | $(421)$ |

## Years Of Education *

| $0-9$ | 34.3 | 29.8 | 13.1 | 22.9 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ | 35.7 | 31.6 | 13.6 | 19.1 | 100.0 | $(3285)$ |
| $13+$ | 37.3 | 27.0 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 100.0 | $(719)$ |

Ever Used The Pill

| Yes | 45.2 | 32.5 | 14.9 | 7.4 | 100.0 | (3588) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 24.7 | 27.8 | 13.0 | 34.5 | 100.0 | (2796) |

## Currently Uses Pill

| Yes | 59.8 | 22.1 | 12.9 | 5.2 | 100.0 | $(1066)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 31.0 | 31.8 | 14.2 | 23.0 | 100.0 | $(5318)$ |

* Data missing for 55 women.

TABLE 7.2.1

## Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Heard Of Or Ever Used The Emergency Contraceptive Prevention Pill By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected <br> Characteristics | Percent Ever <br> Heard Of | Percent <br> Ever Used | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\underline{48.8}$ | $\underline{3.9}$ | (7168) |

Age Croup

| $15-19$ years | 48.7 | 2.2 | $(989)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ years | 58.6 | 6.0 | $(937)$ |
| $25-29$ years | 53.2 | 6.6 | $(1176)$ |
| $30-34$ years | 51.7 | 4.8 | $(1311)$ |
| $35-39$ years | 46.9 | 4.3 | $(1160)$ |
| $40-44$ years | 39.5 | 1.2 | $(921)$ |
| $45-49$ years | 37.7 | 0.8 | $(674)$ |

Area of Residence

| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 56.4 | 4.1 | $(1144)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Urban Areas | 56.7 | 5.0 | $(2079)$ |
| Rural Areas | 40.8 | 2.9 | $(3945)$ |

Years of Schooling *

| $0-9$ years | 30.1 | 0.9 | $(638)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-12$ years | 38.4 | 2.6 | $(2529)$ |
| $13+$ years | 57.3 | 5.0 | $(3924)$ |

Frequency of Attendance at Church Services $\qquad$ *

| At Least Once Per Week | 48.8 | 3.0 | $(2707)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At Least Once Per Month | 49.5 | 4.6 | $(1368)$ |
| Less than Once Per Month | 48.2 | 4.2 | $(861)$ |
| Special Occasions | 50.8 | 5.2 | $(1631)$ |
| Never | 41.2 | 2.3 | $(524)$ |

Current Relationship Status

| Married | 47.6 | 2.2 | (1542) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common Law | 43.8 | 3.3 | (1733) |
| Visiting Partner | 50.7 | 5.8 | (1959) |
| No Current Partner | 51.0 | 3.4 | (1934) |

Socio-Economic Index

| Low | 32.6 | 1.8 | $(1705)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medium | 43.3 | 3.3 | $(3079)$ |
| High | 67.6 | 5.7 | $(2384)$ |

* Data missing for 77 women.

TABLE 7.3.1
Respondents' Perceptions Of Safety Of Injectable Contraceptives For A Woman's Health Compared With 1997 RHS - Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics

## (Percent Distribution)

2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Perception Of Injection's Safety For Woman's Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Characteristics | Completely Safe | Almost Completely Safe | Not Very Safe | Not <br> Safe | Depends On <br> Woman | Don't <br> Know | TOTAL | N |
|  |  | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9.9 | 13.8 | 25.9 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 20.2 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.4 | 12.6 | 22.2 | 13.6 | 10.6 | 34.4 | 100.0 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 10.9 | 16.9 | 27.4 | 13.0 | 14.3 | 17.4 | 100.0 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 9.2 | 14.4 | 29.2 | 15.5 | 14.5 | 17.1 | 100.0 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 9.3 | 12.7 | 25.9 | 16.8 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 100.0 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 10.4 | 13.7 | 27.0 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 15.2 | 100.0 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 26.1 | 19.6 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 100.0 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 11.6 | 13.7 | 22.9 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 17.5 | 100.0 | (674) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 11.8 | 13.5 | 25.3 | 19.6 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 100.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 25.4 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 20.0 | 100.0 | (2529) |
| $13+$ | 8.6 | 14.0 | 26.4 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 20.1 | 100.0 | (3924) |
| Ever Used Injection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 19.4 | 18.3 | 27.7 | 15.7 | 14.7 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (2658) |
| No | 5.0 | 11.6 | 24.9 | 16.3 | 13.9 | 28.2 | 100.0 | (4510) |
| Currently Uses Injection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 30.1 | 27.9 | 18.9 | 4.8 | 14.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | (718) |
| No | 7.9 | 12.5 | 26.5 | 17.2 | 14.1 | 21.8 | 100.0 | (6450) |
|  | Safe |  Depends <br> On <br> Not Safe <br> Woman |  |  | Don't <br> Know | TOTAL |  | N |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 24.9 | 37.0 |  | 11.6 | 26.4 | 100.0 |  | (6384) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.0 | 28.1 |  | 11.5 | 39.4 | 100.0 |  | (960) |
| 20-24 | 24.9 | 36.3 |  | 10.5 | 28.3 | 100.0 |  | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 25.0 | 42.1 |  | 10.4 | 22.6 | 100.0 |  | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 26.5 | 39.8 |  | 12.0 | 21.7 | 100.0 |  | (1195) |
| 35-39 | 25.4 | 42.6 |  | 12.6 | 19.4 | 100.0 |  | (934) |
| 40-44 | 26.5 | 38.2 |  | 14.0 | 21.2 | 100.0 |  | (610) |
| 45-49 | 30.7 | 33.8 |  | 12.2 | 23.3 | 100.0 |  | (421) |
| Years Of Education * ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 27.2 | 36.0 |  | 10.9 | 25.8 | 100.0 |  | (2325) |
| 10-12 | 24.1 | 38.6 |  | 10.8 | 26.5 | 100.0 |  | (3285) |
| $13+$ | 22.1 | 33.7 |  | 16.8 | 27.4 | 100.0 |  | (719) |
| Ever Used Injection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 40.8 | 41.2 |  | 11.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 |  | (1975) |
| No | 18.8 | 35.5 |  | 11.6 | 34.1 | 100.0 |  | (4409) |
| Currently Uses Injection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 64.3 | 19.9 |  | 9.6 | 6.3 | 100.0 |  | (599) |
| No | 21.5 | 38.6 |  | 11.8 | 28.2 | 100.0 |  | (5785) |

* Data missing for 55 women in 1997 and 77 women in 2002.


## CHAPTER 8

## CONDOM USE

### 8.1 CONDOM USE

Condoms are the best known and most frequently used contraceptive method in Jamaica, both for primary and secondary partners. Accordingly, respondents who have had sexual relations were asked a series of questions on their knowledge of condoms and its use. Tables 8.1.1 and 8.1.2 explore the percentage of women aged 15 - 49 years who have heard of condoms, ever used them, and currently use them either as a primary or a secondary method. As was seen earlier, 99.4 percent of women have heard of condoms. It can also be observed that almost three quarters of women ( 72.7 percent) have ever used condoms and one quarter ( 24.6 percent) are currently using it either as a primary or as a secondary method.

Since knowledge is so high, there is little variation by any of the selected characteristics presented. Everusage is, however, more subjected to the impact of these characteristics. Thus, in respect of age groups, the lowest percentage of ever usage is among the youngest age group, 15-19 years ( 44.9 percent). Usage in the next three age groups reflect the increased sexual activity of respondents which has been demonstrated earlier. Thus, ever use among the next three age groups are above 80 percent with the highest ( 85 percent) in the 25-29 age group. Usage falls off thereafter with advancing age, influenced to some extent by decreased sexual activity. Current usage of condoms as a primary or secondary method also starts out lower in women in age group 15-19 years ( 22.8 percent), increasing to 35.3 percent in age group 20-24 years and falling thereafter with advancing age.

Current usage of condoms both as a primary and a secondary method increases with rising levels of education and with lower level of stability in current relationships, except for these with no steady partner where usage are much lower, due most likely to the less frequent occurrences of sexual activity. No consistent pattern was observed in relation to socio-economic status.

Knowledge is highest in St. Ann, Trelawny, Hanover and Manchester where all female respondents indicated that the knew of the method. In all other parishes, knowledge was in the high 90s. A smaller percentage (between 66 and 78 percent) indicated that they have ever used it. An even smaller percent (between 18 and 36 percent) are currently using it, either as a primary or secondary method.

In order to explore the situation further, two questions were: 'Have you ever asked a partner to use a condom?' and 'Has a partner ever suggested to you that he wear a condom?' The results are presented in Table 8.1.2. As in 1997, more women asked their partners to use a condom than their partners suggested that they use it and the percentages increased in respect to both questions. About two-thirds of women in the 2002 survey reported they have ever asked their partners to use a condom; in 1997, it was slightly over a half. With respect to partners suggesting that he use a condom, in 2002 it was 43.6 percent compared with 31.7 percent in 1997.

The likelihood of women asking their partners to use a condom varied with age in both years. Although the percentage of adolescents (15-19 who were asking was above the national average, the highest percentage was in the age group 20-24, decreasing thereafter; this was so in both 1997 and 2002. Also, women who were legally married and living with their husbands asked less than those in the other two unions and also those who were not in a union. Respondents with higher levels of education were also prone to ask more than their less educated counterparts. As would be expected, a higher percentage of those who had ever used a condom or were currently using had asked the question.

With respect to the partner asking if he could use condoms, the frequency was highest among the younger age groups. In relation to union status, less women were asked by their husband and in fact, the frequency was directly related to the stability of the union. However, those who were not in a steady relationship were asked less frequently, probably because they had less sexual intercourse that the other women. Again, the level of education of the woman seemed to have an influence on the frequency of the request, although not as directly. Again, those women who had either ever used or were currently using were asked more frequently by he partner than those who had never used or were not currently using.

One aspect of condom use that was studied was negative reactions of partners to condom use. This was derived from answers to the question: 'Has any of the following ever happened to you because you asked a partner to wear a condom?' These responses were:

```
1. "He refused to wear a condom";
2. "He forced you to have sex without a condom";
3. "He refused to have sex with you";
4. "He threatened you (with physical force)";
5. "He threatened never to go out with you again"; and
6. "He forced you to have sex without a condom".
```

Another question which was asked was: "Has any of the following happened to you because a partner wanted to wear a condom?" The four responses provided are:

1. "You suspected that he had other partners";
2. "You refused to let him wear a condom";
3. "You refused to have sex with him"and
4. "You threatened never to go out with him again".

The answers to these questions are given in Table 8.1.3.
Lower percentages of women in 2002 had negative reactions to their partners' suggestions that they wear a condom than in 1997 in respect of those questions which were asked in both surveys and the responses were more pronounced, the lower their level of education. In the case of the new question: "She suspected that he had other partners", there was a greater reaction than in the other questions. Also, the reaction appeared to be influenced by the level of education of the respondent.

The questions asked by partners as well as the negative responses of respondents were further cross-classified by area of residence. The responses to both sets of questions are given in Table 8.1.4. With respect to the partner's refusal to wear a condom, the percentage was highest in the Kingston Metropolitan Area and lowest in Other Urban Areas. This was so in both 1997 and 2002. In cases where respondents were forced to have sex without a condom, in 2002, the percentage increased from the more urban to the rural areas. This was not the pattern in 1997 where the Kingston Metropolitan Area had the highest percentage and Other Urban Areas the lowest. In the case of the other three questions, whereas, in the earlier years, there was no apparent
correlation between the areas, this was not so in 2002 where higher or lower percentages, depending on the question, where observed based on the degree of urbanization. The same can be observed in the case of the partners' reaction.

The next table, Table 8.1.5, presents percentages of women aged 15-49 years whose partner used a condom at their last sexual intercourse as a primary method or as a secondary method. The percentages are presented in total and by health region, area of residence, age group, relationship status, level of education and socioeconomic status. Comparative data are presented for 1997. In 1997, nearly all condom users used condoms as their primary method while 67.2 percent used it as a secondary method. In 2002, the percentage for those using as a primary method has fallen (from 91.7 percent to 88.4 percent) but those using it as a secondary method increased (from 67.2 percent to 71.7 percent). As a primary method, usage was highest in Health Region 3 and lowest in Health Region 1. By area of residence, therefore, usage was highest in rural areas and lowest in the other urban areas. Women in the oldest age group (35-49 years) had the highest usage; younger women had the lowest. With respect to levels of education, usage fell with increasing levels while there was little correlation by socio-economic status. With respect to its use as a secondary method, it fell generally with decreasing levels of urbanization but increased with advancing age. In respect of its usage as a primary method, usage rose with decreasing stability of relationships. There no strong correlation between levels of education, while in respect of socio-economic status, usage declined with rising status.

The final table in this section, Table 8.1.6, looks at sexually experienced women aged 15-49 years who used a condom at their last intercourse, regardless of when that event occurred. One third of these women used a condom as either their first or second method. This includes, 26.1 percent who used it as a first method and 7.1 percent who used it as a second method. The highest usage was among the young adults , that is, among the 15-24 age group. In this group, the percentage was 47.4 percent, falling to 32.5 percent in the 25-34 age group and falling even further, to 25.1 percent, in the $35-49$ age group. The movement was consistent among both those using as a first or a second method. There was a similar movement based on area of residence; the more urbanized, the higher the percentage. Health Region 3 had the highest percentage and Health Region 4, the lowest. Percentages increased with higher levels of education and with socio-economic status while they decreased with growing stability of unions. The only exception was among those with no steady partner in which the percentage was lower than for those in visiting unions.

### 8.2 REASONS FOR USE

This section looks at the reasons given by respondents for using condoms. More than sixty percent ( 61.8 percent) gave as their reason, to prevent pregnancy and to prevent sexually transmitted diseases. A further 18.4 percent said they used condoms only to prevent pregnancy while 17.2 percent said they used it only to prevent sexually transmitted diseases. Thus, ninety seven percent used it for one or the other or both of these two reasons. This percentage is almost identical to that given in 1997, with somewhat different combinations.

What about frequency of condom use with a steady partner? In 1997, 48.6 percent said "always" while 31.7 percent said "most of the time"and 17.1 percent reported "sometimes.. In 2002, a lower percentage reported "Always"(43.8 percent", a higher percent acknowledged "most of the time"( 48.1 percent) and a lower percent ( 5.8 percent) said "sometimes". Only a minimal number reported "never" ( 0.4 percent in 1997 and 0.1 percent in 2002).

The situation regarding use with a non-steady partner showed some improvement over the two years studied. "Always" with a non-steady partner increased (from 38.3 percent in 1997 to 44.4 percent in 2002), while "Never" decreased (from 30.9 percent to 20.7 percent). "Most of the time" was also significantly higher in 2002 (from 5.9 percent in 1997 to 23.6 percent).

Age was a factor in respondents using condoms to prevent pregnancy. In both 1997 and 2002, the percentages who used condoms for contraceptive purpose rose with advancing age. This was not the pattern for the other main reason. With respect to frequency of use, the impact of age was not as obvious.

### 8.3 EFFECTIVENESS OF CONDOM USE

Respondents were asked to give their perceptions as to the effectiveness of the condom as a method. The question asked was: 'In your opinion, if a couple uses a condom correctly, how sure can the woman be that she will not become pregnant?' The categories provided from which the data would be derived were: Completely Sure', 'Almost Sure', 'Some Risk Of Pregnancy' and 'Not Sure At All'. A further category was provided for 'Don't Know'. A similar question was asked in the 1997 survey. The results are presented in Table 8.3.1.

The percentage of women who were completely sure in 2002 was 34.1 percent. A further 29.3 percent were almost sure while 25.2 percent felt that there was some risk of pregnancy occurring. The percentages who indicated that they were not sure at all or did not know were small, 4.2 and 6.8 percent, respectively. While a slightly lower percent felt completely sure in 1997 ( 35.1 percent), a higher proportion felt almost sure ( 24.0 percent). Also, more respondents in the recent survey felt that there was some risk of pregnancy ( 25.2 percent compared with 21.0 percent in 1997). There was not much correlation based on area of residence or over the parishes. This was also so in 1997. Prior use of condoms, as might be expected, influenced the degree of certainty as a higher percentage of ever or current users felt it was more safe.

The promotion of the use of condoms for the prevention of sexually transmitted diseases is an integral part of the population policy of the government. Therefore, a further question was asked to determine the respondent's perception of the effectiveness of condoms in preventing sexually transmitted diseases. The question was: "In your opinion, if a couple uses a condom correctly, how sure can the woman be that she will not get a sexually transmitted disease?" Answers are presented in Table 8.3.2.

The responses were fairly similar although responses by parish varied between the two time periods. In 2002, some 34.7 percent felt that they were completely sure while 29.1 percent were almost sure, a combined total of 63.8 percent. The comparative percentage in 1997 was 61.5 percent. At the same time, while 29.7 percent of respondents in 2002 felt that there was some risk or were not sure that there was a risk, the comparative figure for 1997 was slightly lower, at 27.7 percent. Also, less respondents in 2002 admitted not knowing than in 1997 ( 6.4 percent as compared with 10.8 percent). Thus respondents in 2002 appear to have stronger perceptions, positively and negatively about its effectiveness to prevent sexually transmitted diseases. Differences based on the characteristics were fairly similar with respect to level of education and in relation to the parishes, with very few exception, the ordering based on the degree of certainty between the effectiveness of the condom to prevent pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases was remarkably similar.

TABLE 8.1.1
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Knowledge Of Condoms, Have Ever Used Condoms, and Are Currently using Condoms By Selected Characteristics 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ever Heard Of Condoms | Ever Used Condoms | Currently Using Condoms* | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 99.4 | 72.7 | 24.6 | (7168) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.4 | 44.9 | 22.8 | (989) |
| 20-24 | 99.6 | 82.8 | 35.3 | (937) |
| 25-29 | 99.2 | 85.0 | 27.6 | (1176) |
| 30-34 | 99.5 | 82.7 | 25.8 | (1311) |
| 35-39 | 99.3 | 75.3 | 23.3 | (1160) |
| 40-44 | 99.2 | 73.7 | 19.6 | (921) |
| 45-49 | 99.4 | 68.0 | 13.7 | (674) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 98.7 | 72.2 | 23.7 | (1144) |
| Other Urban Areas | 99.6 | 74.3 | 25.7 | (2079) |
| Rural Areas | 99.5 | 71.8 | 24.2 | (3945) |
| $\underline{\text { Years Of Education }}$ ** |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 98.4 | 68.5 | 19.5 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 99.6 | 71.9 | 22.7 | (2529) |
| $13+$ | 99.4 | 73.8 | 26.3 | (3924) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 99.3 | 76.1 | 20.1 | (1542) |
| Common Law | 99.2 | 80.3 | 27.0 | (1733) |
| Visiting Partner | 99.6 | 88.5 | 44.4 | (1959) |
| No Steady Partner | 99.3 | 50.8 | 6.9 | (1934) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 99.1 | 71.5 | 24.6 | (1705) |
| Medium | 99.4 | 74.3 | 24.4 | (3079) |
| High | 99.4 | 71.4 | 24.9 | (2384) |

TABLE 8.1.2
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Knowledge Of Condoms, Have Ever Used Condoms, and Are Currently using Condoms By Parish 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Ever Heard <br> Of Condoms | Ever Used <br> Condoms | Currently Using <br> Condoms | No. of <br> Cases |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{\text { Total }}$ | $\underline{99.4}$ | $\underline{72.7}$ | $\underline{24.6}$ | $\underline{(7168)}$ |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 96.5 | 72.0 | 25.7 | $(240)$ |
| St. Andrew | 92.2 | 72.4 | 23.2 | $(1046)$ |
| St. Thomas | 96.4 | 70.8 | 23.9 | $(411)$ |
| Portland | 99.1 | 77.9 | 35.8 | $(385)$ |
| St. Mary | 100.0 | 66.7 | 17.7 | $(387)$ |
| St. Ann | 100.0 | 66.8 | 22.6 | $(375)$ |
| Trelawny | 99.8 | 77.7 | 27.7 | $(401)$ |
| St. James | 100.0 | 76.7 | 29.0 | $(399)$ |
| Hanover | 99.7 | 74.6 | 22.6 | $(427)$ |
| Westmoreland | 98.7 | 71.2 | 26.5 | $(509)$ |
| St. Elizabeth | 100.0 | 69.4 | 19.5 | $(388)$ |
| Manchester | 99.9 | 71.0 | 21.4 | $(518)$ |
| Clarendon | 75.9 | 27.7 | $(1277)$ |  |
| St. Catherine |  |  |  |  |

[^27]TABLE 8.1.3
Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Had Sexual Relations The Percentage Who Have Ever Asked A Partner To Use A Condom And The Percentage Who Have Ever Had A Partner Suggest That He Wear A Condom By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Respondent Asked Partner To Use Condom Percent | Partner Suggested He Use Condom | N | Respondent Asked Partner To Use Condoms Percent | Partner Suggested He Use Condoms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent |  |  | Percent | N |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  | 19 | 97 |  |
| Total | 62.1 | 43.6 | (6577) | 51.7 | 31.7 | (5816) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 66.4 | 64.2 | (509) | 57.5 | 45.6 | (515) |
| 20-24 | 69.1 | 51.7 | (888) | 61.0 | 41.4 | (955) |
| 25-29 | 67.6 | 46.5 | (1145) | 60.3 | 34.7 | (1211) |
| 30-34 | 65.4 | 44.1 | (1295) | 52.5 | 28.3 | (1184) |
| 35-39 | 60.6 | 37.8 | (1148) | 46.0 | 24.7 | (927) |
| 40-44 | 54.6 | 32.9 | (921) | 37.2 | 20.7 | (607) |
| 45-49 | 46.6 | 30.3 | (672) | 22.9 | 12.4 | (417) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 53.8 | 35.8 | (1542) | 39.8 | 24.7 | (1184) |
| Common Law | 62.4 | 38.1 | (1733) | 50.0 | 30.0 | (1838) |
| Visiting Partner | 68.5 | 52.2 | (1951) | 62.0 | 37.8 | (1623) |
| Boyfriend | -- | -- | -- | 60.5 | 42.9 | (302) |
| No Steady Partner * | 60.3 | 43.7 | (1351) | 43.5 | 25.9 | (869) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 64.9 | 40.5 | (1046) | 43.6 | 27.0 | (2161) |
| 10-12 | 62.4 | 45.6 | (1915) | 55.7 | 34.5 | (2946) |
| $13+$ | 60.6 | 43.6 | (3616) | 58.3 | 33.9 | (658) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 59.6 | 42.5 | (1611) | 44.8 | 27.9 | (2139) |
| Medium | 64.5 | 44.8 | (2823) | 52.6 | 30.2 | (1906) |
| High | 60.7 | 42.6 | (2413) | 56.7 | 36.4 | (1771) |
| Ever Used Condom |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 71.4 | 50.9 | (5360) | 68.6 | 42.7 | (3804) |
| No | 20.5 | 10.8 | (1217) | 17.5 | 9.4 | (2012) |
| Currently Uses Condom |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 77.1 | 64.1 | (1803) | 80.7 | 55.3 | (839) |
| No | 56.4 | 35.7 | (4774) | 46.4 | 27.4 | (4917) |

* Data missing for 75 women in 2002.

TABLE 8.1.4

# The Percent Of Respondents Who Report Various Negative Reactions By Their Partners <br> Or Themselves To Suggestions About Using Condoms <br> Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Ever Asked A Partner To Use A Condom <br> By Years Of Education Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY 

| Reaction To Suggestions By Partner Or Respondent | Total | Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-9 | 10-12 | $\underline{13+}$ | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | $13+$ |
|  |  | 2 | $0 \quad 0 \quad 2$ |  |  | 199 | 7 |  |
| Negative Reaction Of <br> Partner To Respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| He Refused To Wear A Condom | 19.4 | 22.7 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 25.6 | 28.3 | 25.4 | 21.0 |
| He Forced Her To Have Sex Without A Condom | 3.7 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 4.2 |
| He Refused Sex With Her | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 6.4 |
| He Threatened Her (With Physical Force) | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| He Threatened Never To Go Out With Her Again | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| No. of Cases * | (4053) | (352) | (1396) | (2272) | (2986) | (955) | (1659) | (372) |
| Type Of Negative Reaction Of Respondent To Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| She Suspected That He Had Other Partners | 11.3 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 9.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| She Refused To Let Him Wear A Condom | 5.2 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 5.6 |
| She Refused To Have Sex With Him | 3.6 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 5.6 |
| She Threatened Never To Go Out With Him Again | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| No. of Cases ** | (2840) | (242) | (1004) | (1564) | (1815) | (598) | (988) | (229) |

* Data missing for 33 women in 2002.
* Question not asked in the 1997 Reproductive Health Survey.
** Data missing for 30 women in 2002.

TABLE 8.1.5
Percentage Of Women Currently Using Condoms Who Have Used A Condom At Their Last Sexual Intercourse As A Primary Or Secondary Method:
Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-49 Years By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Condom Use Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Condoms Used As |  |  |  | Condoms Used As |  |  |  |
|  | Primary Method |  | Secondary Method |  | Primary Method |  | Secondary Method |  |
|  | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
|  |  | 20 | $0 \quad 2$ |  |  | 19 | 97 |  |
| Total | 88.4 | (1270) | 71.7 | (539) | 91.7 | (839) | 67.2 | (360) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 87.5 | (435) | 73.9 | (137) | 92.7 | (321) | 70.4 | (133) |
| 25-34 | 88.6 | (448) | 67.9 | (231) | 88.5 | (326) | 59.8 | (172) |
| 35-49 | 89.4 | (387) | 74.2 | (167) | 94.6 | (192) | 79.9 | (55) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 89.1 | (191) | 76.5 | (88) | 90.3 | (235) | 76.1 | (83) |
| Other Urban | 86.5 | (388) | 73.9 | (156) | 96.5 | (146) | 73.9 | (72) |
| Rural | 89.5 | (691) | 67.4 | (291) | 91.3 | (457) | 57.3 | (205) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 88.0 | (545) | 72.2 | (222) | 90.5 | (332) | 72.2 | (132) |
| 2 | 90.2 | (197) | 74.9 | (104) | 86.8 | (133) | 53.0 | (74) |
| 3 | 90.4 | (299) | 73.4 | (116) | 94.4 | (237) | 70.0 | (91) |
| 4 | 86.6 | (229) | 66.5 | (93) | 95.8 | (137) | 61.5 | (63) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 92.2 | (87) | 72.0 | (41) | 91.6 | (230) | 67.1 | (95) |
| 10-12 | 91.1 | (410) | 72.8 | (180) | 90.9 | (498) | 63.8 | (218) |
| $13+$ | 86.9 | (753) | 70.9 | (311) | 95.2 | (108) | 78.0 | (45) |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 88.8 | (230) | 46.7 | (65) | 88.7 | (126) | 73.0 | (46) |
| Common Law | 85.9 | (320) | 72.1 | (166) | 93.5 | (267) | 53.4 | (113) |
| Visiting Partner | 90.6 | (607) | 75.1 | (265) | 91.6 | (330) | 69.5 | (170) |
| No Steady Partner | 80.5 | (113) | 83.9 | (39) | 91.0 | (116) | 81.6 | (31) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 86.7 | (283) | 78.9 | (153) | 91.1 | (242) | 57.0 | (115) |
| Medium | 91.1 | (546) | 70.3 | (231) | 91.3 | (281) | 61.8 | (115) |
| High | 86.1 | (441) | 68.3 | (151) | 92.3 | (316) | 74.0 | (130) |

Data missing for 20 women using condom as primary method and 3 women using condom as secondary method in 2002.

TABLE 8.1.6
Percentage Of Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Have Used A Condom At Last Intercourse As Primary or Secondary Method: Women Aged 15-49 Years Who Ever Had Sexual Relations
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Condoms Used At Last Intercourse As: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First Or Second Method | First Method | Second <br> Method | No. of Cases |
| Total | 33.2 | $\underline{26.2}$ | 7.1 | (6577) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 47.4 | 39.4 | 8.0 | (1396) |
| 25-34 | 32.5 | 24.6 | 7.9 | (2440) |
| 35-49 | 25.1 | 19.3 | 5.8 | (2741) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metro. Area | 35.7 | 27.5 | 8.2 | (1046) |
| Other Urban | 34.5 | 26.8 | 7.7 | (1915) |
| Rural | 31.1 | 25.1 | 6.1 | (3616) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 34.6 | 27.0 | 7.6 | (2746) |
| 2 | 32.5 | 25.2 | 7.3 | (1091) |
| 3 | 35.1 | 28.1 | 7.0 | (1447) |
| 4 | 29.0 | 23.2 | 5.8 | (1293) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 26.8 | 21.2 | 5.7 | (607) |
| 10-12 | 29.9 | 23.3 | 6.6 | (2308) |
| $13+$ | 36.2 | 28.6 | 7.6 | (3587) |
| $\underline{\text { Relationship Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 18.1 | 15.8 | 2.3 | (1542) |
| Common Law | 24.4 | 17.7 | 6.8 | (1733) |
| Visiting Partner | 45.1 | 34.0 | 11.1 | (1951) |
| No Steady Partner | 38.5 | 32.6 | 5.9 | (1351) |
| Socio Economic Level |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 29.6 | 21.4 | 8.2 | (1611) |
| Medium | 33.8 | 26.7 | 7.2 | (2823) |
| High | 34.7 | 28.4 | 6.3 | (2143) |

* Data missing for 75 women who did not state their level of education.

TABLE 8.2.1
Reasons For Using Condoms And Frequency of Condom Use With Steady And Non Steady Partners Women Aged 15-49 who Currently Use Condoms As A Primary or Secondary Method By Age Group Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristic | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 15-24 | 25-34 | 35-49 | Total | 15-24 | 25-34 | 35-49 |
|  |  | 20 |  |  |  | 19 | 97 |  |
| Reason For Condom Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prevent Pregnancy | 18.4 | 14.0 | 20.4 | 21.8 | 22.5 | 19.1 | 24.5 | 27.4 |
| Prevent Sexually Transmitted Diseases | (17.2) | 12.0 | 18.0 | 23.1 | 25.0 | 24.3 | 26.1 | 24.7 |
| Both Of The Above | 61.8 | 71.5 | 59.8 | 51.4 | 50.4 | 55.1 | 47.0 | 45.4 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Don't Know / Don't Remember | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (1803) | (572) | (679) | (552) | (1206) | (460) | (498) | (248) |
| Frequency Of Condom Use With A Steady Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Always | 43.8 | 43.1 | 41.6 | 47.3 | 48.6 | 52.8 | 42.4 | 50.5 |
| Most Of The Time | 48.1 | 50.2 | 49.1 | 44.2 | 31.7 | 27.2 | 36.4 | 33.7 |
| Sometimes | 5.8 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 17.1 | 18.6 | 17.1 | 13.4 |
| Never | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Refused | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (1785) | (559) | (676) | (550) | (1203) | (458) | (497) | (248) |
| Frequency Of Condom Use With A Non Steady Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Always | 44.4 | 44.3 | 48.9 | 39.1 | 38.3 | 45.9 | 31.6 | 28.4 |
| Most Of The Time | 23.6 | 27.0 | 20.7 | 22.3 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 14.6 |
| Sometimes | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 2.5 |
| Never | 20.7 | 18.6 | 21.6 | 22.4 | 30.9 | 27.2 | 33.2 | 37.7 |
| Refused | 10.9 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 16.1 | 17.3 | 14.6 | 21.6 | 16.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (511) | (162) | (196) | (153) | (313) | (139) | (124) | (50) |

TABLE 8.3.1
Respondents' Perceptions Of Effectiveness Of Condom In Preventing Pregnancy Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristic | Perception Of Condom's Effectiveness In Preventing Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completely <br> Sure | Almost Sure | Some <br> Risk Of <br> Pregnancy | Not Sure At All | Don't <br> Know | TOTAL | No. of Cases |
|  |  | 2 | $0 \quad 0 \quad 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 34.1 | 29.3 | 25.2 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 31.3 | 25.1 | 28.6 | 6.2 | 8.8 | 100.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 35.4 | 28.0 | 24.0 | 4.9 | 7.7 | 100.0 | (2529) |
| $13+$ | 33.9 | 30.6 | 25.4 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (3924) |
| $\underline{\text { Parish }}$ ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westmoreland | 56.1 | 22.9 | 16.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | (427) |
| Manchester | 53.5 | 22.4 | 16.2 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 | (388) |
| St. Mary | 46.9 | 28.8 | 9.3 | 5.0 | 10.1 | 100.0 | (405) |
| St. Elizabeth | 42.8 | 27.2 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 100.0 | (509) |
| Hanover | 40.4 | 26.9 | 21.3 | 9.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (399) |
| Clarendon | 37.6 | 26.8 | 22.8 | 4.6 | 8.3 | 100.0 | (518) |
| Trelawny | 37.2 | 24.0 | 27.0 | 2.5 | 9.3 | 100.0 | (375) |
| St. Thomas | 36.1 | 20.0 | 31.1 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 100.0 | (411) |
| St. Catherine | 33.8 | 33.6 | 23.0 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 100.0 | (1277) |
| Portland | 30.9 | 22.0 | 43.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | (385) |
| St. James | 28.4 | 38.3 | 17.4 | 10.3 | 5.7 | 100.0 | (401) |
| Kingston | 24.0 | 31.2 | 30.6 | 4.9 | 9.2 | 100.0 | (240) |
| St. Andrew | 22.1 | 26.3 | 37.7 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 | (1046) |
| St. Ann | 20.2 | 48.8 | 20.8 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 100.0 | (387) |
| Ever Used Condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 38.5 | 30.3 | 24.9 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (5361) |
| No | 22.3 | 26.4 | 25.9 | 6.7 | 18.7 | 100.0 | (1807) |
| Currently Uses Condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 43.5 | 29.4 | 22.7 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (1804) |
| No | 31.0 | 29.2 | 26.0 | 5.2 | 8.7 | 100.0 | (5364) |

* Data missing for 77 women.
** Parishes are listed in descending order according to the percentage who think the condom is completely safe (effective).

TABLE 8.3.1 Cont'd
Respondents' Perceptions Of Effectiveness Of Condom In Preventing Pregnancy Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | Perception Of Condom's Effectiveness In Preventing Pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completely Sure | Almost Sure | Some <br> Risk Of <br> Pregnancy | Not Sure At All | Don't Know | TOTAL | No. of Cases |
|  | 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 35.1 | 24.0 | 21.0 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 100.0 | (6384) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 34.1 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 8.7 | 15.3 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| 10-12 | 36.1 | 25.8 | 20.6 | 6.2 | 11.3 | 100.0 | (3285) |
| $13+$ | 34.0 | 27.9 | 23.0 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 100.0 | (719) |
| Parish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westmoreland | 40.1 | 30.6 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 100.0 | (409) |
| Manchester | 37.3 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 8.8 | 12.6 | 100.0 | (407) |
| St. Mary | 18.3 | 33.6 | 15.6 | 8.1 | 24.4 | 100.0 | (428) |
| St. Elizabeth | 34.8 | 11.0 | 27.9 | 10.3 | 16.0 | 100.0 | (404) |
| Hanover | 56.7 | 15.6 | 11.6 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 100.0 | (376) |
| Clarendon | 41.4 | 17.1 | 23.0 | 6.9 | 11.6 | 100.0 | (479) |
| Trelawny | 35.2 | 15.7 | 17.3 | 11.5 | 20.4 | 100.0 | (430) |
| St. Thomas | 41.8 | 21.9 | 23.4 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 100.0 | (440) |
| St. Catherine | 37.7 | 32.3 | 16.5 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 100.0 | (858) |
| Portland | 37.8 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | (406) |
| St. James | 28.1 | 20.7 | 31.7 | 4.3 | 15.1 | 100.0 | (403) |
| Kingston | 38.2 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 100.0 | (179) |
| St. Andrew | 29.9 | 30.7 | 25.9 | 5.2 | 8.3 | 100.0 | (783) |
| St. Ann | 35.1 | 18.5 | 10.1 | 8.6 | 27.6 | 100.0 | (382) |

Ever Used Condoms

| Yes | 42.0 | 28.1 | 20.3 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | $(3807)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 25.3 | 19.5 | 22.0 | 8.6 | 24.5 | 100.0 | $(2577)$ |

Currently Uses Condoms

| Yes | 52.4 | 24.4 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | $(1199)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 31.0 | 24.6 | 22.1 | 8.0 | 14.4 | 100.0 | $(5185)$ |

* Parishes are listed in descending order according to the percentage who, in 2002, were completely sure that the condom is effective in preventing pregnancy.

TABLE 8.3.2
Respondent's Perception Of Effectiveness of Condoms In Preventing Sexually Transmitted Diseases - Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristic | Completely Sure | Almost Sure | Some Risk | Not Sure <br> At All | Don't Know | TOTAL | No. of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2} 0002$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 34.7 | 29.1 | 24.8 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (7168) |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 32.2 | 24.6 | 27.8 | 5.6 | 9.7 | 100.0 | (638) |
| 10-12 | 35.7 | 27.6 | 23.9 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 100.0 | (2529) |
| $13+$ | 34.7 | 30.5 | 25.0 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 100.0 | (3924) |
| $\underline{\text { Parish }}$ ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westmoreland | 58.6 | 22.1 | 17.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (427) |
| Manchester | 55.3 | 22.2 | 15.5 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (388) |
| St. Mary | 47.6 | 28.4 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 10.6 | 100.0 | (405) |
| St. Elizabeth | 41.4 | 26.5 | 18.8 | 3.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | (509) |
| Clarendon | 40.0 | 24.1 | 22.2 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 100.0 | (518) |
| Hanover | 40.0 | 28.6 | 17.7 | 11.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | (399) |
| St. Thomas | 38.1 | 18.5 | 33.0 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 100.0 | (411) |
| Trelawny | 37.1 | 25.4 | 29.1 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 100.0 | (375) |
| St. Catherine | 34.6 | 33.3 | 21.8 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 100.0 | (1277) |
| Portland | 30.6 | 24.7 | 41.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (385) |
| St. James | 28.4 | 38.6 | 17.5 | 9.1 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (401) |
| Kingston | 23.1 | 32.9 | 28.0 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 100.0 | (240) |
| St. Andrew | 22.1 | 26.8 | 37.6 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 100.0 | (1046) |
| St. Ann | 21.0 | 47.9 | 20.5 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 100.0 | (387) |

Ever Used Condoms

| Yes | 39.0 | 30.3 | 24.3 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (5361) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No | 23.3 | 26.1 | 26.3 | 7.1 | 17.2 | 100.0 | $(1807)$ |

## Currently Uses Condoms

| Yes | 42.8 | 29.9 | 22.8 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | $(1804)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 32.1 | 28.9 | 25.5 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 100.0 | $(5364)$ |

* Data missing for 77 women.
** Parishes are listed in descending order according to the percentage who are completely sure that condoms will be effective in preventing sexually transmitted diseases.

TABLE 8.3.2 Cont'd
Respondent's Perception Of Effectiveness of Condoms In Preventing Sexually
Transmitted Diseases - Women Aged 15-49 By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Perception Of Condom's Effectiveness In Preventing Sexually Transmitted Diseases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected Characteristics | Completely Sure | Almost Sure | Some Risk | Not Sure At All | Don't <br> Know | TOTAL | No. of Cases |
|  |  |  | 199 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 35.9 | 25.6 | 20.6 | 7.1 | 10.8 | 100.0 | (6384) |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 34.1 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 9.0 | 13.8 | 100.0 | (2325) |
| 10-12 | 37.2 | 26.4 | 20.6 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 100.0 | (3285) |
| $13+$ | 35.5 | 28.3 | 22.6 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 100.0 | (719) |
| Parish ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westmoreland | 40.0 | 34.7 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | (409) |
| Manchester | 37.5 | 24.5 | 17.3 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 100.0 | (407) |
| St. Mary | 18.8 | 33.1 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 21.8 | 100.0 | (428) |
| St. Elizabeth | 39.1 | 10.6 | 30.3 | 6.3 | 13.7 | 100.0 | (404) |
| Clarendon | 44.5 | 17.4 | 20.1 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 100.0 | (479) |
| Hanover | 57.2 | 15.2 | 11.8 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 100.0 | (376) |
| St. Thomas | 42.8 | 23.7 | 20.7 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 100.0 | (440) |
| Trelawny | 39.6 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 11.8 | 18.4 | 100.0 | (430) |
| St. Catherine | 40.6 | 34.5 | 12.4 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 100.0 | (858) |
| Portland | 39.3 | 21.5 | 19.9 | 12.4 | 6.9 | 100.0 | (406) |
| St. James | 24.1 | 19.1 | 36.8 | 5.1 | 14.8 | 100.0 | (403) |
| Kingston | 39.0 | 17.5 | 19.5 | 9.8 | 14.2 | 100.0 | (179) |
| St. Andrew | 30.0 | 31.5 | 25.2 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 100.0 | (783) |
| St. Ann | 31.6 | 22.0 | 20.1 | 8.0 | 18.2 | 100.0 | (382) |
| Ever Used Condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 43.3 | 28.3 | 19.2 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 100.0 | (3807) |
| No | 25.4 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 9.1 | 21.2 | 100.0 | (2577) |
| Currently Uses Condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 53.2 | 24.8 | 15.3 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | (1199) |
| No | 31.7 | 25.8 | 21.9 | 7.8 | 12.8 | 100.0 | (5185) |

[^28]
## CHAPTER 9

## STERILIZATION - USE AND DEMAND

### 9.1 PROFILE OF STERILIZED WOMEN

Sterilization is a well accepted method of contraception in Jamaica. Methods include female sterilization, also known as tubal ligation, and male sterilization or vasectomy. In 2002, 9.9 percent of woman had had a tubal ligation operation done; at that time, the percentage of partners who had had a vasectomy was negligible. The profiles of sterilized women differ somewhat from those of women who are not sterilized. Table 9.1.1 presents for comparison, the characteristics of sterilized women in union aged 15-44 years compared with the non-sterilized women in that age group.'

Women who have been sterilized are generally older than non-sterilized women and they tend to have more children; the mean number of children of sterilized women was 3.9 while that of non-sterilized women was 1.9. Sterilized women appear to be in more stable relationships than their counterparts and appear to attend church more frequently. The correlation between levels of education or among socio-economic status levels are not as pronounced.

As mentioned earlier, the percentage of women who have had sterilization done tend to be higher in the older age groups. Few women become sterilized before age 30 and the percent-age increases up to age 44 (the maximum age used in the comparison). This is true in all of the three years studied. It is even more pronounced since the percentage of women on the whole falls off from about age 35. In all three years, about one half of sterilized women were married while in the case of the non-sterilized women, the percentage although rising, was just about 20 percent (15.2, 17.8 and 22.2 percent in 1993, 1997 and 2002, respectively).

Thus, the profile of the woman who will become sterilized is one who is over 35 and who, as her parity increases, will give greater consideration to sterilization as a method of birth control. The woman who consents to sterilization will do so despite her religious affiliation even though more of the women who are sterilized attend church at least once per week. This profile applies regardless of educational levels or socioeconomic status and applies in all of the years studied.

Table 9.1.2 presents the characteristics of the sterilized women based on their age at sterilization. It also includes percentages of sterilized women by the number of children they have had and the mean number of live births. Comparative data are shown for women in union for the years 1997, 1993 and 1989. Percentages and means for all women are also presented but there is no comparison with the earlier years since they were not calculated.

[^29]The mean age at sterilization for all women in Jamaica in 2002 was 30.9 years for all women and 31.1 years for those in union. The mean age has, on the overall, increased over the years. In 1989, it was 31.1 and was slightly lower in 1993 and 1997 ( 30.1 and 30.7 percent respectively). The distribution over the years for women in union is fairly similar. However, between those in union and all women, some differences can be observed.

In relation to number of live births, the trend is also similar for women in union over the period. In their case, there was little difference between all women and those in unions in the percentage spread of number of live births and the two categories had a mean of 3.9 births. It should be noted, also, that the mean number of births to sterilized women has also been falling, from 4.7 births in 1989 to 3.9 births in 2002.

Table 9.1.3 looks at the mean number of children ever born to both women who are sterilized and those who are not for both women in union and all women. Comparisons are included for those in union in respect of the years 1997 and 1993. Where data exists, the difference between the sterilized and the non-sterilized are calculated.

As was seen earlier, the mean number of children increases with age, both for sterilized and non-sterilized women. However, sterilized women had increased their parity at a much faster rate as they grew older than their counterparts who were not sterilized. Accordingly, by age 49, parity among those sterilized was 4.6 compared with 3.2 for those not sterilized, a difference of 1.4 births. For women in union, the difference was slightly more, at 1.5 births.

The patterns in 1997 and 1993 were in the same direction as in 2002. In 1997, at the end of the childbearing years, with parity of 4.8 for sterilized women and 3.6 for those in union, the difference was 1.2. In 1993, where parity at that age was 4.9 for sterilized women and 3.8 for non-sterilized women, the difference was 1.1, that is, fractionally lower than it was in 1997. The differences varied by age over time in all three years.

### 9.2 SATISFACTION WITH STERILIZATION

Since sterilization is one of the major methods of contraception used in Jamaica, particularly by older women with average parity of 4 , it was felt that it was important to measure the satisfaction of women who had had the operation. This has been done in the earlier surveys also. Results are presented in Table 9.2.1 which shows the percent of sterilized women who were not satisfied with the operation. The special characteristics used were: I), age at sterilization; ii), whether or not counselled before sterilization; iii), socio-economic status; iv), level of education; v), place where the operation was performed, vi), area of residence; and vii), union status. The results cover all of the characteristics in 1997 and in 2002; in 1993, results relating to level of education and socio-economic status were not presented due to the fact that they were calculated differently and are therefore non-comparable.

The degree of dissatisfaction with the operation has been falling. Thus, in 1993, 13.2 percent of women who had had the operation in 1993 expressed dissatisfaction; in 1997, that percentage had fallen to 7.1 percent in 1997 and there was a further fall in 2002 at which time the percentage was 6.3 percent. In 1993 and again in 1997, the greatest dissatisfaction were among the women who were less than 30 years at the time of the operation. The next highest percentage was among those who had the operation done when they were between the ages of 30 and 34 . Less dissatisfaction was expressed among those who had been sterilized at ages 35 and over. That pattern has changed in 2002. The highest level of dissatisfaction was expressed by those who were sterilized at or above age 30. This could be explained by improvements in techniques over the years. It also could be accounted for by the counselling received. In all three years, a higher percentage who had the operation without prior counselling expressed dissatisfaction.

In general, a higher percentage of women who were sterilized in a hospital were dissatisfied than those whose operation was performed elsewhere. In the two earlier years, urban women had a higher level of dissatisfaction. In 1993, both those in visiting unions and those not in a union had levels higher than those in the more stable unions. Since 1997, that pattern has been reversed. With respect to level of education, dissatisfaction varied with the levels over the two years for which data was available, although in both years, it was lowest among those with the highest levels of education. With respect to socio-economic status, in each of the two years studied, it was less the higher up the scale they were.

The interest in sterilization among women who do not want anymore children fell between 1993 and 1997 (from 34.9 percent to 22.8 percent). As seen in Table 9.2.2, it fell even further in 2002, with the percentage now at 19.6 percent. In general, the demand rises up to age 34 and then falls. It also increases based on the parity of the woman. Although relationship status appears not to have a strong effect, it has generally been lower although slightly among women who are legally married and living with their husbands than those in the other less stable relationships. As would be expected, it was lowest for those women who had no steady partner.

### 9.3 DEMAND FOR STERILIZATION

The next topic studied was the extent of the existing demand for sterilization after completion of childbearing, among women who want more children. Table 9.3.1 tries to quantify this demand. It seeks to measure the percentage of women who are interested in sterilization based on age, parity, relationship status and socioeconomic levels. Data for 1997 has been included for comparison.

The percentage of women who would be interested in sterilization fell slightly, from 25.2 percent in 1997 to 23.1 percent in 2002. In general, as has been mentioned earlier, the interest in sterilization rises with increasing age, peaking at age 34 and falling off thereafter. And, as also been mentioned, it rises with increasing parity while falling off with increasing numbers of children wanted. It seems, also to fall, based on the frequency of attendance at church.

There were, of course, some variation when the two years were compared. In 1997, there was a falling off in the age group 25-29 before the peak was at age 34 was reached and the percentage of women wanting sterilization was higher among those who wanted two than those who wanted three or more.

### 9.4 REASONS FOR NON-STERILIZATION

Finally, the reasons why women who want or might want more children are not interested in being sterilized after having all the children they want are addressed. These are presented by age group in Table 9.4.1. Comparative figures for 1997 are shown. The most commonly stated reason in 2002 was that "respondents may want children in the future"; 29.5 percent of respondents who satisfied this condition gave that as a reason. "Fear of the operation" was the reason given by the second largest percentage of women (19.6 percent). In 1997, this was the most frequent reason cited (by 23.0 of women). In 1997, "may again want to have more children" was the second most identified reason. In both years, "the fear of the method and the side effects of the operation" was given as the third most quoted reason.

Percentages varied with age but their movements were largely influenced by the reason given. For example, in both years, the percentage of those who gave the most frequent reason, that is, "fear of the operation", was highest among the youngest age group, falling to the lowest among those of advanced age. On the other hand, as would be expected, the reverse is true for those who gave the reason as "advanced age, approaching menopause".

Next, the reasons why women aged 15-49 who do not want more children and are not interested in being sterilized are also presented in Table 9.4.2 but this time by years of education. Level of education appears not to have had a great influence on the reasons given as the percentages varied over the different reasons given. Also, they were not consistent over time. In both years, most women gave a response to the question. No reason given was about 6 to 8 percent in all two years.

Why do women who do not want more children and who are interested in being sterilized are not yet sterilized? The answers to this question is given in Table 9.4.3. Comparisons with 1997 data are presented. Both are cross-classified by levels of education, except that the usual three levels which have been used throughout this report are presented for 2002 only. In the 1997 data, the two most advanced have been joined with the result that the measure is for women with 10 or more years of education.

The most frequent answer given, in 2002 as well as in 1997, was that "they were thinking about it" (26.6 percent in 2002 and 20.6 percent in 1997. In 2002, "lack of money" was the most frequently offered answer ( 12.2 percent) while 11.4 percent indicated that they were "currently pregnant". In 1997, a smaller percentage ( 6.8 percent) cited "lack of money" but the percentage of "currently pregnant" respondents was the same. In general, the answers given in 2002 did not follow the pattern of those given in 1997. For example, while 16.3 percent in 1997 said that it was "lack of information", only 0.6 percent of women gave that answer in 2002.

TABLE 9.1.1
Characteristics Of Sterilized Women Compared With Non-Sterilized Women Women In Union Aged 15-44* By Selected Characteristics
(Percent Distribution)
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Sterilized | Not Sterilized | Total | Sterilized | Not Sterilized | Total | Sterilized | Not Sterilized |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 |
| 20-24 | 17.4 | 0.7 | 19.1 | 20.7 | 1.8 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 0.9 | 26.4 |
| 25-29 | 18.8 | 4.6 | - 20.2 | 23.5 | 6.4 | 25.5 | 23.0 | 12.3 | 24.5 |
| 30-34 | 19.9 | 17.7 | 20.1 | 22.7 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 18.8 |
| 35-39 | 17.9 | 34.5 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 30.3 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 32.7 | 12.8 |
| 40-44 | 15.9 | 42.5 | 13.1 | 10.3 | 38.8 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 33.1 | 5.0 |
| No. Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 20.1 | 0.3 | 22.2 | 17.6 | 1.4 | 20.4 | 17.6 | 0.2 | 20.1 |
| 1 | 24.0 | 1.7 | 26.4 | 25.4 | 2.4 | 29.0 | 24.6 | 0.3 | 28.1 |
| 2 | 21.4 | 16.0 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 15.4 | 22.2 | 21.2 | 11.3 | 22.6 |
| 3 | 15.0 | 28.3 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 23.4 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 25.1 | 13.6 |
| $4+$ | 19.4 | 53.7 | 15.8 | 21.5 | 57.4 | 14.9 | 21.7 | 63.1 | 15.6 |
| Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 25.1 | 52.5 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 46.1 | 17.8 | 19.1 | 47.1 | 15.2 |
| Common Law | 29.0 | 28.1 | 29.1 | 34.9 | 32.9 | 35.1 | 34.8 | 30.2 | 35.4 |
| Visiting Partner | 44.9 | 19.4 | 48.6 | 44.3 | 21.0 | 47.1 | 46.1 | 22.7 | 49.4 |
| Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 6.5 | 9.1 | 6.2 | 32.3 | 47.2 | 30.5 | " | " | -- |
| 10-12 Years | 32.3 | 38.2 | 31.7 | 55.6 | 41.7 | 57.2 | " | " | -- |
| 13 + Years | 60.1 | 51.4 | 61.1 | 12.1 | 11.2 | 12.3 | " | " | -- |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 21.1 | 24.9 | 20.7 | 31.5 | 36.1 | 30.9 | " | " | -- |
| Medium | 44.6 | 44.8 | 44.5 | 33.3 | 31.3 | 33.6 | " | " | -- |
| High | 34.3 | 30.3 | 34.7 | 35.2 | 32.6 | 35.5 | " | " | -- |
| Frequency of Church Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 32.4 | 47.9 | 30.7 | 24.4 | 40.6 | 22.5 | 21.8 | 35.4 | 19.9 |
| At Least Monthly | 19.8 | 18.4 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 17.2 | 19.5 | 14.0 | 13.7 | 14.1 |
| < Once/Month | 14.5 | 10.5 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 15.6 | 45.4 | 36.9 | 46.6 |
| Special Occasions | 24.2 | 17.1 | 25.0 | 28.7 | 21.7 | 29.5 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 12.7 |
| Never | 7.9 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 11.9 | 8.6 | 12.3 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 5.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean No. Of $\underline{\text { Live Births }}$ | 2.1 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
| No. Of Cases | (4757) | (479) | (4278) | $(4,351)$ | (474) | $(3,877)$ | $(2,284)$ | (277) | $(2,007)$ |

* Women over the age of 44 are excluded from the analysis to make the data comparable with the data from the 1993 survey, which did not include the 45-49 age group.
-- $\quad$ Education and socio-economic index were measured differently in 1993, so comparisons with 1997 are not possible.

TABLE 9.1.2

## Characteristics Of All Sterilized Women And Sterilized Women In Union Aged 15-44 Years At Time Of Sterilization Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 And 1989 CPS (Percent Distribution) 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected | All Women |  |  |  | Women in Union |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | 2002 | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 1989 |  |

Age At Sterilization*

| Less Than 25 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 10.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $25-29$ | 28.8 | 28.0 | 33.2 | 32.3 | 29.1 |
| $30-34$ | 28.8 | 29.8 | 30.7 | 36.0 | 35.9 |
| $35-39$ | 19.9 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 18.7 | 20.1 |
| $40-44$ | 3.7 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Unknown | 8.8 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Age At Sterilization | 30.9 | 31.1 | 30.7 | 30.0 | 31.1 |
| Number of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |


| 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| 2 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 15.4 | 11.3 | 12.9 |
| 3 | 28.5 | 28.3 | 23.4 | 25.1 | 21.2 |
| $4+$ | 52.5 | 53.7 | 57.4 | 63.1 | 64.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean No. Of Live Births | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| Number of Cases | $(557)$ | $(479)$ | $(474)$ | $(277)$ | $(468)$ |

* Data on age at sterilization missing for 10 women in 2002, 4 in 1997, 3 in 1993 and 4 in 1989.

TABLE 9.1.3
Mean Number Of Children Ever Born - All Women And Women In Union Aged 15-49 Years
By Age And Whether Or Not Sterilized
Compared With Data From 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age | All Women |  |  |  |  | Women in Union |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sterilized |  | Not Sterilized |  | Difference Mean | Sterilized |  | NotSterilized |  | Difference |
|  | Mean | N | Mean | N |  | Mean | N | Mean | N | Mean |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 3.2 | (35) | 0.8 | (3067) | 2.4 | 3.3 | (31) | 1.1 | (2029) | ) 2.2 |
| 30-39 | 3.9 | (319) | 2.4 | (2152) | 1.5 | 3.9 | (273) | 2.5 | (1699) | ) 1.4 |
| 40-44 | 4.0 | (203) | 3.2 | (718) | 0.8 | 4.0 | (175) | 3.3 | (550) | ) 0.7 |
| 45-49 | 4.6 | (192) | 3.2 | (482) | 1.4 | 4.7 | (153) | 3.2 | (324) | ) 1.5 |
| Total | 4.1 | (749) | 1.7 | (6419) | 2.4 | 4.1 | (632) | 2.4 | (4602) | ) 2.0 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3.2 | (44) | 1.3 | (2091) | ) 1.9 |
| 30-39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3.9 | (275) | 2.6 | (1497) | ) 1.3 |
| 40-44 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.5 | (155) | 3.3 | (289) | ) 1.2 |
| 45-49 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.8 | (116) | 3.6 | (181) | ) 1.2 |
| Total | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.2 | (590) | 1.9 | (4058) | ) 2.3 |
| $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 9 & 3\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3.2 | (38) | 1.3 | (1203) | ) 1.9 |
| 30-39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.2 | (137) | 2.7 | (673) | ) 1.5 |
| 40-44 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.9 | (102) | 3.8 | (131) | ) 1.1 |
| Total | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.3 | (277) | 1.9 | (2007) | ) 2.4 |

TABLE 9.2.1
Percent Of Sterilized Women Who Were Not Satisfied With The Operation
By Selected Characteristics
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\underline{2} 002$ |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases |
| Total * | 6.3 | (749) | 7.1 | (692) | 13.2 | (315) |
| Age At Sterilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 30 | 3.5 | (35) | 11.4 | (270) | 21.2 | (138) |
| 30-34 | 8.5 | (120) | 5.2 | (222) | 9.2 | (117) |
| $35+$ | 6.1 | (594) | 3.8 | (190) | 2.5 | (58) |
| Counselled Before Sterilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5.8 | (410) | 3.9 | (458) | 6.6 | (140) |
| No | 6.8 | (339) | 14.1 | (234) | 18.5 | (175) |
| Socio Economic Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 7.9 | (218) | 9.4 | (269) | -- | -- |
| Medium | 7.4 | (317) | 8.0 | (219) | -- | -- |
| High | 3.3 | (214) | 3.9 | (204) | -- | -- |
| Years Of Education * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 | 11.8 | (107) | 7.0 | (359) | -- | -- |
| 10-12 | 6.4 | (317) | 8.2 | (254) | -- | -- |
| 13 Or More | 4.9 | (312) | 2.7 | (68) | -- | -- |
| Place Operation Performed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Hospital | 6.6 | (650) | 7.6 | (621) | 12.9 | (282) |
| Other | 3.9 | (99) | 3.2 | (71) | 15.8 | (33) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.1 | (312) | 8.4 | (263) | 14.3 | (99) |
| Rural | 6.5 | (437) | 5.8 | (429) | 12.5 | (216) |
| Union Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 4.7 | (339) | 4.2 | (281) | 7.9 | (130) |
| Common Law Union | 9.2 | (186) | 11.1 | (197) | 15.5 | (92) |
| Visiting Partner | 7.7 | (107) | 7.9 | (111) | 19.5 | (55) |
| Not In Union | 5.7 | (117) | 7.1 | (103) | 16.2 | (38) |

* The exact question asked was, "Are you satisfied with having had the operation?"

TABLE 9.2.2
Percent Of Women Who Are Interested In Sterilization By Selected Characteristics Fecund Women Aged 15-49 Who Do Not Want Any more Children

Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\underline{2002}$ |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No. Of <br> Cases | Percent | No. Of <br> Cases | Percent | No. Of <br> Cases |
| Total | 19.6 | (2670)* | $\underline{22.8}$ | (2270) | 34.9 | (1013) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 11.0 | (118) | 19.8) | (430) ) | 35.2 ) | (242)) |
| 20-24 | 20.9 | (204) | ) | ) | ) | ) |
| 25-29 | 28.8 | (376) | 25.1 | (455) | 38.2 | (238) |
| 30-34 | 26.9 | (589) | 31.2 | (496) | 44.7 | (265) |
| 35-39 | 20.2 | (572) | 21.7) | (733)) | 24.1) | (268)) |
| 40-44 | 14.4 | (509) | ) | ) | ) | ) |
| 45-49 | 8.0 | (302) | 9.8 | (156) | -- | -- |

Number Of Live Births

| $0-1$ | 15.1 | $(512)$ | 14.5 | $(473)$ | 34.6 | $(240)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2 | 16.3 | $(730)$ | 20.1 | $(590)$ | 28.9 | $(252)$ |
| 3 | 22.3 | $(603)$ | 24.9 | $(513)$ | 35.3 | $(214)$ |
| $4+$ | 24.7 | $(825)$ | 31.2 | $(694)$ | 40.2 | $(307)$ |

Relationship Status

| Married | 24.4 | $(617)$ | 23.7 | $(453)$ | 36.7 | (160) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common Law | 24.4 | $(757)$ | 30.5 | $(791)$ | 37.2 | (393) |
| Visiting Partner | 17.8 | $(688)$ | 21.2 | $(557)$ | 36.4 | $(285)$ |
| Not In Union | 12.9 | $(608)$ | 14.4 | $(469)$ | 27.1 | $(175)$ |

Socio Economic Index

| Low | 22.2 | $(780)$ | 26.0 | $(1011)$ | -- | -- |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Medium | 19.1 | $(1131)$ | 22.2 | $(702)$ | -- | -- |
| High | 18.1 | $(759)$ | 19.3 | $(557)$ | -- | - |

* Data missing for 10 women.

TABLE 9.3.1
Percent Of Women Who Would Be Interested In Sterilization After Having All The Children They Want By Selected Characteristics Compared With 1997 Fecund Women Aged 15-49 Who Want Or Might Want More Children 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No. Of Cases | Percent | No. Of Cases |
| Total | 23.1 | $\mathbf{( 3 4 1 5 )}^{*}$ | 25.2 | (3158) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 22.9 | (864) | 24.2 | (818) |
| 20-24 | 21.0 | (717) | 24.7 | (721) |
| 25-29 | 24.1 | (742) | 22.9 | (721) |
| 30-34 | 26.7 | (571) | 32.2 | (531) |
| 35-39 | 23.6 | (349) | 26.4 | (276) |
| 40-49 | 23.4 | (172) | 29.8 | (91) |

Number Of Live Births

| 0 | 18.7 | $(1569)$ | 20.6 | $(1416)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 26.7 | $(1017)$ | 28.9 | $(927)$ |
| 2 | 26.7 | $(505)$ | 34.0 | $(497)$ |
| 3 | 33.0 | $(206)$ | 30.1 | $(193)$ |
| $4+$ | 36.0 | $(118)$ | 28.1 | $(125)$ |

Relationship Status

| Married | 25.8 | $(472)$ | 22.4 | $(359)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Common Law | 27.7 | $(692)$ | 29.5 | $(772)$ |
| Visiting Partner | 25.2 | $(1108)$ | 29.7 | $(905)$ |
| Not In Union | 18.7 | $(1144)$ | 20.5 | $(1122)$ |

Number Of Additional Children Wanted

| 1 | 28.1 | $(1189)$ | 29.8 | $(1079)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 21.1 | $(1159)$ | 23.5 | $(957)$ |
| $3+$ | 20.4 | $(334)$ | 26.7 | $(251)$ |
| Don't Know / Up To Fate, etc. | 19.9 | $(733)$ | 20.8 | $(847)$ |


| Church Attendance $^{* *}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 21.0 | $(1266)$ | 21.0 | $(1022)$ |
| At Least Monthly | 21.8 | $(667)$ | 25.8 | $(613)$ |
| Less Than Once/Month | 29.6 | $(389)$ | 27.9 | $(471)$ |
| Special Occasions | 25.1 | $(795)$ | 27.2 | $(755)$ |
| Never | 21.0 | $(252)$ | 29.0 | $(276)$ |

[^30]TABLE 9.4.1
Reasons Why Women Aged 15-49 Who Want Or Might Want More Children Are Not Interested In Being Sterilized After Having All The Children They Want

By Age Group Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reasons given * | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 1997 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 15-24 | 25-34 | 35-49 | Total | 15-24 | 25-34 | 35-49 |
| May Again Want Children In Future | 29.5 | 31.5 | 31.0 | 17.2 | 22.0 | 24.1 | 21.9 | 10.8 |
| Fear Of Operation | 19.6 | 17.4 | 21.0 | 25.1 | 23.0 | 21.6 | 24.4 | 26.6 |
| Fear Of Method And Side Effects | 16.4 | 16.7 | 15.6 | 17.4 | 16.2 | 13.5 | 20.2 | 18.4 |
| Too Young | 9.3 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 17.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
| Not Sexually Active | 3.9 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 3.2 |
| Against Religion | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4.2 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 9.2 |
| "Don't Believe In It" | -- | -- | -- | -- | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 3.2 |
| Advanced Age, Approaching Menopause | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 14.1 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 9.5 |
| Thinking about it | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Prefer Other Contraceptive Methods | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Partner / Family Opposed | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Lack Of Information | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 3.5 |
| Currently Pregnant | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Plan To Have It Soon | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Doctor Refused To Do The Operation | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Lack Of Money | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Other | 9.2 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 6.4 |
| No Reason Stated | 6.1 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 8.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases ** | (2189) | (1017) | (824) | (348) | (1817) | (875) | (721) | (221) |

* Reasons given listed in descending order of percentages.
** Data missing for 10 women in 2002 who did not know their age when they had the operation.

TABLE 9.4.2
Reasons Why Women Aged 15-49 Who Do Not Want More Children Are Not Interested In Being Sterilized By Years Of Education

Compared With 1997 RHS (Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reasons Given* | Years Of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $1 \quad 9 \quad 9 \quad 7$ |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | 13+ | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | 13+ |
| Fear Of Operation | 24.2 | 25.0 | 26.4 | 22.8 | 25.7 | 26.6 | 24.5 | 27.2 |
| May Again Want Children In Future | 16.8 | 8.5 | 15.5 | 19.5 | 16.1 | 11.9 | 19.8 | 15.7 |
| Fear Of Method And Side Effects | 14.5 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 11.4 |
| Not Sexually Active | 9.8 | 11.7 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 11.0 |
| Advanced Age, Approaching Menopause | 9.8 | 15.9 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 7.1 |
| Too Young | 5.4 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 8.2 |
| Thinking About It | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Partner / Family Opposed | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Currently Pregnant | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Lack Of Information | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Lack Of Money | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Doctor Refused To Do The Operation | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Plan To Have It Soon | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Against Religion | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2.2 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| Prefer Other Contraceptive Methods | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| "Don't Believe In It" | - | -- | -- | -- | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Other | 8.1 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 7.0 |
| No Reason Stated | 6.0 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases ** | (1942) | (241) | (686) | (988) | (1521) | (658) | (712) | (151) |

* Reasons given listed in descending order of percentages.
** Information on education is missing for 2 women in 2002 who want no more children and have not yet been sterilized.

TABLE 9.4.3
Reasons Why Women Aged 15-49
Who Do Not Want Any More Children And Who Are Interested In Being Sterilized Are Not Yet Sterilize By Years Of Education Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reasons Given | 2 $0 \quad 0 \quad 2$ <br> Years of Education  |  |  |  | $\frac{1997}{\text { Years of Education }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 0-9 | 10-12 | 13+ | Total | 0-9 | $10+$ |
| Thinking About It | 26.6 | 30.0 | 28.5 | 25.0 | 20.6 | 19.9 | 21.0 |
| Lack Of Money | 12.2 | 14.8 | 15.1 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 11.7 | 3.2 |
| Currently Pregnant | 11.4 | 24.9 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 11.2 |
| Plan To Do So Soon | 6.6 | 4.7 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 9.6 |
| Medical Barrier | 6.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.8 |
| Too Young | 5.8 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 8.3 |
| Fear Of Operation | 5.7 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- |
| Fear Of Method And Side Effects | 5.2 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.3 |
| Current Partner Opposed | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| Not Sexually Active | 2.8 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 2.3 | " | -- | -- |
| May Again Want Children In Future | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 6.7 |
| Advanced Age, Approaching Menopause | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | " | -- | -- |
| Lack Of Information | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 16.7 |
| Service Facility Too Far | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | -- | -- | -- |
| Other | 5.7 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 6.7 |
| No Reason Given | 3.3 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 2.7 | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases * | (481) | (51) | (178) | (250) | (734) | (347) | (387) |

[^31]
## CHAPTER 10

## NON-USE OF CONTRACEPTIVES

### 10.1 REASONS FOR NOT USING A CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD

As observed earlier, the survey data indicate that, with increasing age, there has been a tendency to switch from shorter term methods, such as the condom and the pill, to more long term methods, such as IUD and tubal ligation. But some women either have never used contraception or have stopped using a method. This Section, therefore, explores the reasons why these respondents are not or have stopped using a contraceptive method.

Table 10.1.1 explores these reasons. The reasons have been classified into two groups; the first group covers those relating to pregnancy, sub-fecundity and sexual activity while the second group covers all other reasons. The first group represents by far the greater percentage. In 1993, it consisted of 80.6 percent of all respondents; by 1997, it had risen to 86 percent. In 2002, it was at 85.2 percent, about the same as in 1997. In all three years, about fifty percent gave as their reason that they were not sexually active. This percentage rose slightly in 1997 and 2002 ( 58 percent and 56.4 percent, respectively). In 2002, the next highest percentage was related to those who indicated that either they or their partner desired pregnancy. The percentage ( 9.3 percent) was lower in 1997 (at 7.8 percent) and more so in 1993 (when it was 5.7 percent). Currently pregnant women had the third high percentage in both 1997 and 1993 (at 10.6 percent and 12.4 percent respectively). The fall which occurred since 1993 continued into 2002 when it was 8.5 percent. The percentage of women giving as their reason that they were subfecund fell between 1993 and 1997 (from 8.3 percent to 7.4 percent) but rose again to 9.6 percent in 2002. There was little variation among those who gave other reasons for not using contraceptives and they all remained low percentage-wise.

Reasons for not using contraception varied by specific characteristics. Table 10.1.2 examines these reasons by current relationship status. As would be expected, lack of sexual activity was the least given reason among married unions, increasing with lesser stability in the relationship. Higher percentages of women in married or common law unions indicated that they or their partner did not use contraception because they desired pregnancy than among those in visiting unions; only 2.6 percent of those not currently in a union gave that as a reason. Women who gave sub-fecundity as the reason were, in a higher percentage of cases, in stable unions while the percentage of those in visiting unions was well below those in the more stable unions; with respect to those not currently in a union, the percentage was even lower, probably because these women tend to be younger. It is likely that the age difference between those in the different relationships further contributes to giving sub-fertility as a reason for non-use, since women of more advanced age tend to be in the more stable relationships.

Respondents who were users of selected contraceptive methods were asked if they would prefer to use a different method. The results are shown in Table 10.1.3. Except for those using withdrawal ( 69.9 percent), over 80 percent said that they did not want to switch from the method they were currently using. For those using injectables, the majority of those who would like to switch would like to switch to the pill ( 4.2 percent), while smaller percentages chose Norplant and tubal ligation ( 2.3 and 1.9 percent, respectively). Women using the intra-uterine device chose the pill as the preferred method. Pill users preferred the injection while
condom users selected the pill. Those few respondents who were using natural methods ${ }^{1}$, almost unanimously indicated that they would not like to switch; of the small percentage who said they would switch, the injection was their method of choice.

### 10.2 NEED FOR FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES

The unmet need for family planning services among women who were exposed to the risk of unintended pregnancy was next explored. A woman was characterized as having an "unmet need"if she was sexually active, not currently pregnant, stated that she did not desire to become pregnant and was not using a method of contraception for reasons not related to subfecundity (Figure 10.2)


Using this definition, 8.7 percent of all respondents were found to have an unmet need of family planning services in 2002. This percentage represented a fall from the 9.3 percent ${ }^{2}$ found in 1997. The fall was even more significant when compared with the 1993 percentage. This is given in Table 10.I below, which supplements Table 10.2.2 but with comparisons with 1993:

1 That is, the Billings or Calendar method.
2
The 1997 estimates have been revised and more comprehensive estimates have been made so as to permit comparisons with the 2002 data.

TABLE 10.1
Percent Of Women Aged 15-44 Years Estimated To Have an Unmet Need Of Family Planning Services

By Selected Characteristics
2002 RHS, 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS

| Selected Characteristics | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> In Need | No of Cases | Percent <br> In Need | No of Cases | Percent <br> In Need | No of Cases |
| Total | 8.5 | (6494) | 9.0 | (5963) | 13.7 | (3110) |
| Current Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 12.4 | (1304) | 13.6 | (1036) | 8.8 | (488) |
| Common Law | 10.4 | (1604) | 11.5 | (1746) | 14.5 | (904) |
| Visiting Partner | 9.4 | (1849) | 10.1 | (1569) | 13.8 | (892) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | -- | -- | 8.2 | (260) | 15.2) | (203)) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | -- | -- | 0.0 | (136) | ) | ) |
| No Current Partner | 4.1 | (1737) | 1.7 | (1216) | 15.1 | (623) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.6 | (989) | 7.1 | (960) | 16.2 | (553) |
| 20-24 | 8.1 | (937) | 8.8 | (1031) | 13.5 | (628) |
| 25-29 | 7.4 | (1176) | 8.9 | (1233) | 12.8 | (667) |
| 30-34 | 9.4 | (1311) | 8.6 | (1195) | 13.3 | (594) |
| 35-39 | 9.9 | (1060) | 10.3 | (934) | 14.1 | (420) |
| 40-44 | 12.9 | (921) | 11.2 | (610) | 10.4 | (248) |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4.3 | (1734) | 5.1 | (1586) | 12.3 | (863) |
| 1 | 9.8 | (1411) | 10.0 | (1302) | 13.7 | (672) |
| 2 | 10.3 | (1284) | 10.7 | (1176) | 18.0 | (579) |
| 3 | 11.0 | (918) | 8.2 | (844) | 13.0 | (412) |
| 4+ | 11.1 | (1147) | 12.6 | (1055) | 12.9 | (584) |

-- Classification not included in the Survey.
The percentage with "unmet need"was then cross-classified by respondents' background characteristics in order to obtain a profile of the women whose need of contraceptive services was not satisfied. With respect to relationships, it was observed that both in 1997 and in 2002, the more stable the relationship, the higher was the percentage of those with "unmet need" This was not so in 1993, however, when married women living with their husbands had the lowest percentage. It should be noted, however, that there has been noticeable falls in all relationships except for those in this latter category. Unmet need seems to have increased significantly among these women since 1993.

The reverse was true for the age differentials; in 2002 as in 1997, the percentages rose with advancing age. Again this pattern differed from the situation in 1993. In that year, while falls were recorded in all age groups except for the group 40-44, need was generally higher in the lower age groups.

Need also seemed to increase with increasing parity when 2002 is compared with 1997 , while it was decreased with higher levels of socio-economic status and education. With respect to classification by church attendance, the patterns observed in 2002 were somewhat mixed and differs to some extent from those observed in 1997.

Classifications by geographic areas are also shown for 2002 and 1997 including breakdowns by areas of residence, by health region and by parish. These are given in Table 10.2.2. The patterns observed appear to have changed over the period. Thus, in 1997, the percentage in the Kingston Metropolitan Area was the lowest of the three identified areas, it was the highest in 2002. In the health regions, however, the 1997 patterns held, reflecting the general fall in the overall percentages. The picture is also different when comparisons are made by parish. Despite the overall decreases in the percentages between the two periods, percentages for six of the fourteen parishes increased. Manchester had the most significant (by 5.4 percentage points) followed by Kingston (at 3.8 percentage points). All other parishes in which increases were identified did so at 1 to 1.6 percentage points. Falls were highest in St. Elizabeth, St. James, St. Catherine and Trelawny with (3.6, 2.6, 2.2 and 2.0 percentage points respectively).

In summary, unmet need has fallen significantly since 1993. It is now lowest among the young, advancing with age and highest for those women who are in more rather than less stable relationship. Women with lower levels of schooling tend to have higher percentages of unmet need than those at the lower levels. In 2002, there was a higher percentage of women with unmet needs in the Kingston Metropolitan Area than in other towns and rural areas. This was not so in 1997 when the reverse was true. Health Region 2 appears to have the highest percentage, with the parish of St. Ann having the highest percentage in the region, while Health Region 4 has the lowest percentage.
*TABLE 10.1.1
Reasons for Not Currently Using a Contraceptive Method Women Aged 15-49 Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS

Percent Distribution
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reasons Given | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reasons Related To Pregnancy, Sub-fecundity and Sexual Activity | 85.2 | 86.0 | 80.6 |
| Not Sexually Active ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 56.4 | 58.0 | 52.6 |
| Respondent Or Partner Desires Pregnancy : | 9.3 | 7.8 | 5.7 |
| Currently Pregnant | 8.5 | 10.6 | 12.4 |
| Sub-fecund ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | 9.6 | 7.4 | 8.3 |
| Postpartum, Breast-feeding | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Other Reasons | 14.8 | 14.0 | $\underline{19.3}$ |
| Don't Like | 3.8 | 3.4 | 5.0 |
| Experienced Side Effects | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Health Concerns | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Fear of Side Effects | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Partner Against | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Religion Against | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Other | 4.3 | 3.4 | 7.8 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (3151) | (2978) | (1530) |

TABLE 10. 1.2

## Reasons for Not Currently Using a Contraceptive Method

By Relationship Status Compared With 1997 RHS
Women Aged 15-49 Not Currently Using a Method
Percent Distribution
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reasons Given | Relationship Status |  |  | Visiting <br> Partner | Currently Not <br> In Union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Married | Common <br> Law |  |  |
|  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Reasons Related To |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnancy, Infertility |  |  |  |  |  |
| And Sexual Activity | 85.2 | 66.0 | 74.6 | 72.6 | 96.8 |
| Not Sexually Active | 56.4 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 26.2 | 88.6 |
| Respondent Or Partner Desires Pregnancy | 9.3 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 14.7 | 2.6 |
| Currently Pregnant | 8.5 | 10.4 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 0.9 |
| Sub-fecund | 9.6 | 24.3 | 18.5 | 8.9 | 4.4 |
| Postpartum, Breast-feeding | 1.4 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| Other Reasons | 14.8 | 34.0 | 25.4 | 24.4 | 3.2 |
| Don't Like | 3.8 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 0.6 |
| Experienced Side Effects | 2.6 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 0.4 |
| Health Concerns | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 0.5 |
| Fear of Side Effects | 1.4 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| Partner Against | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Religion Against | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.3 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 1.3 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (3151) | (491) | (505) | (576) | (1579) |

TABLE 10. 1.2 Cont'd

## Reasons for Not Currently Using a Contraceptive Method

By Relationship Status Compared With 1997 RHS Percent Distribution)
Women Aged 15-49 Not Currently Using a Method 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reasons Given | Relationship Status |  |  |  | Steady Boy friend | No Steady <br> Partner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Married | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { Law } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Visiting Partner |  |  |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reasons Related To <br> Pregnancy, Infertility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Sexually Active | 58.0 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 28.6 | 52.1 | 94.8 |
| Respondent Or Partner Desires Pregnancy | 7.8 | 17.2 | 18.0 | 10.4 | 5.5 | 1.6 |
| Currently Pregnant | 10.6 | 13.4 | 24.7 | 22.9 | 16.2 | 0.5 |
| Sub-fecund | 7.4 | 21.2 | 14.8 | 7.7 | 5.0 | 2.1 |
| Postpartum, Breast-feeding | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 0.1 |
| Other Reasons | 14.0 | 34.2 | 27.2 | 23.8 | 18.8 | 1.0 |
| Don't Like | 3.4 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 0.5 |
| Experienced Side Effects | 2.8 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Health Concerns | 1.6 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Fear of Side Effects | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| Partner Against | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Religion Against | 0.6 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Other | 3.4 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Cases | (2978) | (437) | (626) | (530) | (95) | (1290) |

TABLE 10.1.3
Percent Of Users Of Selected Contraceptive Methods Who Would Prefer To Use A Different Contraceptive Method By Current And Preferred Method Compared With 1997 RHS

Women Aged 15-49
Percent Distribution
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Current Method | Preferred Method |  |  |  |  | Vasectomy | Other | Total | No. Of Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Other | Injection | Pill | Tubal Ligation | Norplant |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Methods | $\underline{90.0}$ | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.3 | $\underline{100.0}$ | (4027)* |
| Injectable | 88.7 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | (718) |
| Pill | 89.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | (1033) |
| Condom | 87.4 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (1271) |
| IUD | 92.4 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (65) |
| Withdrawal | 69.9 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 100.0 | (134) |
| Natural Methods | 96.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (27) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Methods | 87.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | $\underline{2.9}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ | (2712)* |
| Injectable | 94.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (599) |
| Pill | 90.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 100.0 | (1065) |
| Condom | 82.4 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | (838) |
| IUD | 79.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 15.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (46) |
| Withdrawal | 67.1 | 7.2 | 15.2 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 100.0 | (131) |
| Natural Methods | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |

* Number of cases for individual selected methods do not add up to number of cases for all methods because only those selected methods with 25 or more users appear in this table.
less than 25 cases.

TABLE 10. 2.1
Percent Of Women Estimated To Have an Unmet Need* of Family Planning Services
By Selected Socio Economic Characteristics - Women Aged 15-49 Years

## And Women Aged 15 to 44 Years Compared With 1997 RHS

 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY| Selected Characteristics | Women 15-49 Years |  |  |  | Women 15-44 Years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  | $\underline{1997}$ |  |
|  | Percen | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases |
| Total | 8.7 | (7168) | 9.3 | (6384) | 8.5 | (6494) | 9.0 | (5963) |
| Current Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 12.9 | (1542) | 13.3 | (1185) | 12.4 | (1304) | 13.6 | (1036) |
| Common Law | 10.2 | (1733) | 12.4 | (1839) | 10.4 | (1604) | 11.5 | (1746) |
| Visiting Partner | 9.8 | (1959) | 10.3 | (1624) | 9.4 | (1849) | 10.1 | (1569) |
| Boyfriend With Sex | -- | -- | 9.5 | (265) | -- | -- | 8.2 | (260) |
| Boyfriend Without Sex | -- | -- | 0.0 | (138) | -- | -- | 0.0 | (136) |
| No Current Partner | 4.2 | (1934) | 1.6 | (1333) | 4.1 | (1737) | 1.7 | (1216) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.6 | (989) | 7.1 | (960) | 4.6 | (989) | 7.1 | (960) |
| 20-24 | 8.1 | (937) | 8.8 | (1031) | 8.1 | (937) | 8.8 | (1031) |
| 25-29 | 7.4 | (1176) | 8.9 | (1233) | 7.4 | (1176) | 8.9 | (1233) |
| 30-34 | 9.4 | (1311) | 8.6 | (1195) | 9.4 | (1311) | 8.6 | (1195) |
| 35-39 | 9.9 | (1160) | 10.3 | (934) | 9.9 | (1060) | 10.3 | (934) |
| 40-44 | 12.9 | (921) | 11.2 | (610) | 12.9 | (921) | 11.2 | (610) |
| 45-49 | 11.0 | (674) | 13.7 | (421) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Number Of Live Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0. | 4.5 | (1788) | 5.0 | (1627) | 4.3 | (1734) | 5.1 | (1586) |
| 1 | 9.7 | (1486) | 10.1 | (1350) | 9.8 | (1411) | 10.0 | (1302) |
| 2 | 10.3 | (1423) | 10.9 | (1236) | 10.3 | (1284) | 10.7 | (1176) |
| 3 | 11.2 | (1036) | 8.2 | (904) | 11.0 | (918) | 8.2 | (844) |
| 4+ | 11.1 | (1435) | 13.4 | (1267) | 11.1 | (1147) | 12.6 | (1055) |
| Years Of Education ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 11.1 | (638) | 11.0 | (2325) | 9.9 | (478) | 10.2 | (2039) |
| 10-12 Years | 8.4 | (2529) | 8.4 | (3285) | 8.3 | (2266) | 8.4 | (3203) |
| 13 Years Or More | 8.6 | (3924) | 8.3 | (719) | 8.5 | (3687) | 8.7 | (676) |
| Socio Economic Index *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 9.6 | (1705) | 10.8 | (3349) | 9.6 | (1560) | 10.4 | (3131) |
| Medium | 9.0 | (3079) | 8.9 | (2084) | 8.7 | (2804) | 8.6 | (1946) |
| High | 7.8 | (2384) | 5.6 | (951) | 7.6 | (2130) | 5.7 | (886) |
| Frequency of Church Attendance **** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Weekly | 7.7 | (2707) | 9.7 | (2060) | 7.3 | (2364) | 9.7 | (1851) |
| At Least Monthly | 8.3 | (1368) | 8.9 | (1223) | 8.3 | (1260) | 8.3 | (1147) |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 9.9 | (861) | 7.9 | (909) | 9.3 | (790) | 7.5 | (859) |
| Special Occasions | 9.9 | (1631) | 9.5 | (1532) | 9.9 | (1522) | 9.2 | (1470) |
| Never | 8.3 | (524) | 10.0 | (613) | 7.8 | (485) | 9.7 | (589) |

[^32]TABLE 10. 2.2
Percent Of Women Estimated To Have An Unmet Need* of Family Planning Services
By Geographic Areas - Women Aged 15-49 Years
And Women Aged 15 to 44 Years Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Geographic Areas | Women 15-49 Years |  |  |  | Women 15-44 Years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2002}$ |  | 1997 |  | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
|  | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases |
| Total | 8.7 | (7168) | 9.3 | (6384) | 8.5 | (6494) | 9.0 | (5963) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston Metropolitan Area | 10.0 | (1144) | 8.4 | (1450) | 9.5 | (1022) | 8.2 | (1360) |
| Other Urban | 8.1 | (2079) | 9.3 | (1094) | 8.0 | (1889) | 8.5 | (1014) |
| Rural | 8.5 | (3945) | 10.0 | (3840) | 8.3 | (3583) | 9.7 | (3589) |
| Health Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 1 | 8.9 | (2974) | 8.4 | (2260) | 8.6 | (2663) | 7.5 | (2102) |
| Region 2 | 10.2 | (1177) | 10.2 | (1216) | 10.8 | (1071) | 9.4 | (1143) |
| Region 3 | 8.5 | (1603) | 9.3 | (1618) | 8.2 | (1468) | 8.3 | (1511) |
| Region 4 | 7.6 | (1415) | 10.6 | (1290) | 7.3 | (1292) | 9.6 | (1207) |
| Parish ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingston | 13.3 | (240) | 9.5 | (179) | 12.0 | (217) | 9.5 | (169) |
| St. Ann | 12.6 | (387) | 11.0 | (382) | 12.9 | (349) | 10.9 | (357) |
| St. Thomas | 12.4 | (411) | 11.4 | (440) | 12.4 | (368) | 12.1 | (398) |
| Clarendon | 10.1 | (518) | 10.4 | (479) | 9.3 | (472) | 10.0 | (452) |
| St. Mary | 9.9 | (405) | 11.0 | (428) | 10.2 | (377) | 10.8 | (399) |
| St. Andrew | 9.8 | (1046) | 7.3 | (783) | 9.6 | (934) | 7.0 | (740) |
| Westmoreland | 9.3 | (427) | 10.3 | (409) | 8.9 | (400) | 9.6 | (376) |
| St. James | 9.1 | (401) | 11.7 | (403) | 9.5 | (365) | 11.5 | (381) |
| Trelawny | 8.5 | (375) | 6.3 | (430) | 7.1 | (329) | 6.8 | (395) |
| St. Elizabeth | 8.3 | (509) | 11.9 | (404) | 8.4 | (460) | 10.8 | (370) |
| St. Catherine | 6.9 | (1277) | 9.1 | (858) | 6.6 | (1144) | 8.6 | (795) |
| Portland | 6.4 | (385) | 7.6 | (406) | 6.0 | (345) | 7.8 | (387) |
| Hanover | 5.0 | (399) | 5.1 | (376) | 5.0 | (374) | 5.3 | (359) |
| Manchester | 4.2 | (388) | 9.6 | (407) | 4.4 | (360) | 9.1 | (385) |

* Women are defined as being in need of family planning services who are fecund, sexually active, not currently pregnant, not currently desiring a pregnancy, and not using a contraceptive method.
** Parishes are listed in descending order based on the percent of need of women aged 15-49 years in 2002.


## CHAPTER 11

## YOUNG ADULTS

One of the objectives of the 2002 Reproductive Health Survey is to provide information which would assist in the development of an effective family life education program since high levels of unintended childbearing among the young has indicated a need for such programs. This chapter will present, in summary, the situation relating to young adults in the Jamaican society. A separate young adult report will present the findings in greater detail.

### 11.1 SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC FEATURES OF THE ADOLESCENT POPULATION

The young adult population has been defined as young women and young men between the age of 15 and 24 years. The study of women and men in this age group continues the series derived from surveys carried out for the purpose of analysing their characteristics, their reproductive potential, their behaviour and potential health risks. It examines their contraceptive knowledge and usage and tries to determine their contraceptive needs.

In keeping with the objectives of the survey, the distribution of the young adult population has been derived and is shown in Table 11.1.1. Comparisons with 1997, 1993 and 1987 are included. The patterns have changed little since 1987 for both women and men. For both sexes, the highest percentage is in the age group 15-17, followed by age group 20-22; the lowest percentage is in age group 22-24.

The next topic to be studied is the employment status of the young adults. Table 11.1 .2 gives the employment status by age group. Comparison with 1997 is provided in the table. In 1997, 25 percent of women and 50.2 percent of men were employed; in 2002, those percentages had fallen to 19.4 percent and 38.3 percent, respectively. In all age groups, a greater percentage of men than of women were employed. Among young women, the unemployment rate fell even more than the employment rate, while the proportion staying at home increased by almost one-half. Among young men, the unemployment rate decreased less than the employment, while the proportion who said they stay home (not working and not seeking work) tripled. With increasing age, the percent of women who were students remained almost the same between the two surveys, while the percentage of men students rose, bringing the proportion of young men still in school almost at the same level as the proportion of young females students. In general, the employment-related indicators increase with increasing age, whereas the proportion still in school decreases. Percentage-wise, the total labour force fell between the two surveys as more women and men were at home in 2002.

What is the union status of the young adults? Table 11.1.3 examines their status, using the categories presented earlier in this report (Chapter 3). In both years, only a very small percentage of young adults, males or females, were legally married or living with their partners in common-law unions. A higher percentage of women than men were living with their husbands or common-law partners in 2002. Between the two surveys, there was a higher percentage of both women and men with visiting partners, whereas the proportion of men and women without steady partners decreased.

Age is a strong predictor of the type of relationship.,Thus, for example, among young adults under 20 years of age marital unions (either legal or common-law) were rare, particularly for men (less than one percent in 2002).As the respondents advance in age, they reported more stable relationships ( 29.7 percent of women and 15.3 percent of men aged 23-24 years in 2002). The opposite is true for those with less stable unions . In these types of relationships, the younger age groups had the higher percentages. Thus, for example, 78 percent of young women in the 15-17 age group in 2002 had no steady partner; in 1997, the percentage was even higher, 81 percent.

### 11.2 EXPOSURE TO SEXUAL ACTIVITY

The next section looks at the exposure of young adults to sexual activity. The first topic to be explored is the mean age at first intercourse of the women and men being studied. Table 11.2.1 presents this information with comparisons from the 1997 and 1993 surveys. There has been little change in the onset of sexual activity over the period. Among women, the mean age at first intercourse was 15.9 years in 1993 and again in 1997 and 15.8 years in 2002. Among men, the mean age at first sexual intercourse was 13.9 years in 1993, falling to 13.4 years in 1997 and 13.5 years in 2002.

The age patterns remain the same, the onset of sexual activity advancing with increasing age. The age at first intercourse did not vary significantly between areas of residence. In the cases of educational attainment and in socio-economic status, the movement was upward, with age at first intercourse increasing with higher educational and socio-economic status, particularly for women. In general, a delay of more than a year in age at first intercourse was observed in 2002 among those women who attended church service regularly compared to those who never attended. The pattern was less notable in the previous surveys.

Generally, the onset of intercourse is more than two years later among young women than among young men in Jamaica (Table 11.2.1 and Figure 11.2). Overall, the proportion of women who initiate sexual activity before age 14 is low ( 7.3 percent), but increases to 28.9 percent among those less than 16 years of age, to 60.8 percentage among women younger than 18 years, and to 76.2 percent before age 20. Among young men sexual activity is initiated much earlier. Before age 14 almost half ( 46.5 percent) are sexually experienced, increasing to three-fourths before age 16 , to 90.8 percent by age 18 and 98.0 before age 20 .

What are the percentages of young adults who have ever had sexual intercourse? Table 11.2.2 presents this information. It gives the percent of young adult women and men aged 15-24 years who have ever had sexual relations by age group and includes comparisons with 1997 and 1993. In the case of the females, the total percentage has fallen somewhat throughout the period (from 74.8 percent in 1993 to 69.7 percent in 1997 and to 69.0 percent in 2002).

The fall was in the 15-19 age group (from 58.9 percent in 1993 to 51.4 percent and down to 49.3 percent in 2002); the percentage actually rose among the 20-24 age group (from 90.5 percent in 1993 to 93.0 percent in 2002 after a slight fall in 1997 to 89.9 percent). In the case of the males, there were falls in all age groups. Thus, although more women in the 20-24 age group initiated sexual activity in 2002 compared with the earlier years, the initiation was less among those below 20 years and in all age groups among males.

What were the relationships between respondents and their first sexual partner? Table 11.2.3 looks at these for female respondents and Table 11.2.4 examines them with respect to men. In both tables, they are presented on the basis of their age at first intercourse.

Figure 11.2
Percent of Young Adult Women and Men Who Have Ever Had Sexual Intercourse Before Given Ages


As one would expect within this age group, the boyfriend, in the case of women, was the person with whom they would have their first sexual experience with. In 2002, 80.7 percent of young adult females reported that a boyfriend was the first sexual partner; the comparative percentage in 1997 was 82.7 percent. A casual friend was the partner with the second highest percentage. All other categories were either slightly more or less than one percent. Two new categories were introduced in 2002, "mother's partner" and "rape". The percentages here was also low ( 0.2 and 1.2 percent respectively). It should be noted, also that first sexual intercourse with a spouse was very low.

The pattern among men is somewhat different from that of women. For young men, the highest percentage of first sexual partner on the average is a "friend" who was not a girlfriend. In 1997, nearly half( 47.8 percent) of young adult men reported first sexual partner in that category; in 2002, the corresponding percent was 45.7 percent. The next highest percentage in 1997 was that of girlfriend ( 37.7 percent); this percentage was 44.2 percent in 2002, higher than that of "friend". Unlike the women, there was a third category which was significant for men - "casual acquaintance". This group comprised 12.8 percent in 1997, but it fell in 2002 to 8.4 percent.

Age at first intercourse is an important predictor of the relationship with the first sexual partner. The younger was the age at first intercourse, the less stable was the relationship with the first partner, particularly among women. In both 1997 and 2002 surveys, the pattern observed among women whereby the highest percentage of first sexual partner was a boyfriend was consistent regardless of age at first intercourse, but more women who became sexually experienced before age 14 declared the first partner was a friend; in 1997, the percentage of men whose first sexual partner was a "girlfriend" varied, ranging from 30.9 percent to 49.2 percent. In 2002, the range was between 36.0 percent to 82.2 percent. Similarly, the younger the onset of intercourse the higher likelihood for the first sexual partner to be a friend or casual acquaintance.

### 11.3 EXPOSURE TO FAMILY LIFE AND SEX EDUCATION

Section 11.3 looks at exposure of young women and men to family life and sex education. Courses for young adults on family life education are offered at school. Courses cover such topics as the human reproductive system, women's menstrual cycle, pregnancy and how it occurs, modern birth control methods and diseases that can result from sexual contact including AIDS, among other subjects. Courses are also held outside of school, sponsored by civic-minded organizations. Accordingly, the survey sought to determine the extent to which respondents were exposed to family life education both in and out of school.

Table 11.3.1 presents the percentages of young adult women and men aged 15-24 years who took a course in family life or sex education both in school as well as outside of school. It identifies those who have taken the course either in school or out of school or both. All of these are classified by current age group for 2002 and 1997, with totals only for 1993.

From the table, it may be seen that in the year 1993, 60.0 percent of young women had taken a course on family life education in school only, 2.6 percent had taken a course outside of school only, and a further 17.1 percent had taken both in and out of school. The corresponding percentages were $70.6,1.9$ and 13.8 percent, respectively, for 1997 and 69.4, 1.1 and 19.0 percent for 2002.

In the year 2002, the percentages taking a course in school was highest among the youngest age group, declining thereafter. For those who had taken a course both in and out of school, it was highest among the age group 18-19 years. In the case of those young adults who had never taken a course, in general, the percentages rose with increasing age. This is a repeat of the trends observed in 1997 with minor variations. There was little change in the totals over the period. In 1997, 70.6 percent had taken a course in school only, while the corresponding percentage in 2002 was 69.4 percent. For outside of school, the percentages were 1.9 percent and 1.1 percent, respectively, while for those who had taken a course both in and out of school, they were 13.8 percent and 19.0 percent, respectively. The percentages of those who have never taken a family life or sex education class in 1993 was 19.7 percent, gradually falling over the period to 13.8 percent in 1997 and to 10.4 percent in 2002.

Overall, the exposure to sex education course was different for men when compared with women. Whereas the percentage of women who took a course in school fell in 2002 from the 1997 level, in the case of the men it rose, from 64.5 percent to 67.1 percent, narrowing the gender gap observed in 1997. In the case of those who took a course both in school and outside of school, the movement was in the same direction with both percentages increasing while for those who took a course outside of school only, the percentage of women showed a slight fall while there was no change in the percentage of men. Finally, as in the case of women, the percentage of men who had never taken a course in family life and sex education fell.

The composition of the courses was the next topic studied. Table 11.3.2 provides the percentages of the respondents who took the courses by types of courses taken. It shows, in addition, the percentages who utilized the available services. With respect to the courses offered to women, the topics with the three highest percentages in 2002 were: "the menstrual cycle", "modern birth control methods which include the pill, IUDs and injections" and "the human reproductive system", while "pregnancy and how it occurs" had the lowest percentage. In the case of the men, that latter topic had the highest percentage covered followed by condoms and STDs. (The coverage of the HIV/AIDS topic was not separately investigated in the 2002 survey). In the earlier years, the topic of "pregnancy and how it occurs" was the second most offered course in the women's program. With respect to the services available for respondents, "counselling" was highest for both sexes for all three years followed by "clinic services"; "contraceptive distribution" was the lowest.

### 11.4 USE AND NON-USE OF CONTRACEPTIVES

Section 11.4 looks at the use and non-use of contraceptives by respondents. It first analyses its use at first sexual intercourse. Table 11.4 .1 presents the percent of sexually experienced young women who used contraception at first sexual intercourse. Percentages are cross-classified by age at first intercourse, relationship status at first intercourse, residence, educational attainment and socio-economic status. It also presents comparative figures for 1997 and 1993.

The percentage of sexually experienced women who used contraceptives at first intercourse is increasing; from 42.7 percent in 1993 to 55.7 percent in 1997, and to 67.3 percent in 2002 . Overwhelmingly, the most often mentioned method used at first intercourse, by both women and men respondents, was the condom.

The pattern appears to be that the percentages using contraceptives at first intercourse rose with increasing age at first intercourse in all three years with the exception of 1997 when it fell in the age group 20-24 years. Women whose first sexual partner was their spouse or their common-law partner had the highest percentage of contraceptive use at first sex, followed by those whose partner was a casual acquaintance or a friend. The difference between urban and rural areas were slight with a bias towards higher percentages in urban areas while both in respect of educational levels and socio-economic status, use at first sex rose as levels became more advanced.

Table 11.4.2 presents similar information for men. As in the case of the women, the percentage of sexually experienced young men who used contraception at their first sexual intercourse has been rising over the period; from 21.6 percent in 1993 to 31.0 percent in 1997; in 2002, it was 43.0 percent. On the overall, the pattern has been for rising percentages with increasing age at first sexual encounter. With respect to relationships, the percentage falls with lesser stable relationships.

An area of interest is the source of contraceptives used at first intercourse. Table 11.4.3 looks at the sources of condoms used by both young women and young men. Here it is seen that in the 1990s, pharmacies were most often used by young women to obtain their supplies ( 37.6 percent in 1993 and 41.6 percent in 1997). Government clinics were providing ten percent of their needs in 1993 but this was reduced to about 5 percent in 1997. For the rest, these were bought in shops or supermarkets. In the case of young men, shops or supermarkets provided one third of the supply. The second highest source for young men was from friends, relatives and other sources outside of shops, pharmacies and government clinics. In their case, also, government clinics provided some ten percent of their supply; in 1993, they got 14 percent from pharmacies and over 20 percent in 1997. A point to note is that nearly one third of women did not know where to get supplies. At that period, very few men did not know where to get condoms.

The picture has changed since then. In 2002, while the number of women who did not know where the condoms were obtained remains high ( 27.8 percent), the percentage for men has declined to only 1.7 percent . "Shops and supermarkets" is by far the most often mentioned source for both women and men, while pharmacies supplied only one fourth of women and 9.9 percent of men who had used. condoms at first intercourse.

So far, the emphasis has been on contraceptive use at first intercourse. Since this was not universal, it would be interesting to observe why this was not so. Table 11.4.4, therefore, presents main reasons given why those who did not use did not in fact do so. The main reason given by both women and men was that "they did not expect to have sex". This is a very real situation. For women, the share from this source was 52.5 percent, while it was 37.1 percent for men.

The second most offered reason is that "they couldn't get method at that time". This is being offered more and more by women and there has been a rise in the case of men over the period but not as sharp as for women.

Another often quoted reason was that "they did not know of any methods". Whereas there has been consistency in the case of men (being about one third over the period), this reason is being offered less and less by women. In 1993, 20.9 percent gave that as a reason; in 1997 it had fallen to 13.2 percent and by 2002, it was only 9.5 percent.

### 11.5 INFORMATION ON SEX, CONTRACEPTION AND THE TREATMENT OF SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES

This final section examines the state of knowledge regarding availability of information on contraception and on the treatment of sexually transmitted diseases. The information is presented in Table 11.5.1 for women and Table 11.5 .2 for men. ${ }^{1}$ They provide the proportion of young adult women and men who knew where to go for information on sex or contraception; it also presents the proportion who know where to go to get information on sexually transmitted diseases. It considers these proportions based on age group, whether or not attended course on family life or by their socio-economic status.

Knowledge as to where to get information on sex and contraception is high and increasing; for women it was 87.8 percent in 1997 and is, in 2002, 91.3 percent. The corresponding percentage for men is 82.8 percent in

1
In the case of the men, the information is not directly comparable. Whereas in 1997, all men were asked the question, in 2002, those men who indicated at question 6.32 that they would like their partner to become pregnant were skipped to the next Section and so were not asked this question. However, the sample of men who answered this question was considered large enough to provide answers which would be comparable to those given by the full sample in 1997. Accordingly, it was felt that the available data was too useful to be ignored.

1997, rising to 85.4 percent in 2002. Knowledge rose on the overall but with some exceptions with increasing age for both sexes. Attendance at family life and sex courses appears to have had an impact on knowledge as higher percentages of both women and men who attended these courses indicated greater knowledge as to where to obtain the information than those who did not attend the course. The pattern relating to knowledge as to where to go for treatment for sexually transmitted diseases is similar to that of knowing where to go for information on sex or contraception.

TABLE 11.1.1
Age Distribution Of The Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Compared With 1997 RHS, 1993 CPS And 1987 YARHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| WOMEN | MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 1987 | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 1987 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.5 | 31.1 | 29.8 | 33.3 | 34.9 | 34.2 | 35.8 | 35.6 |
| $18-19$ | 23.2 | 21.3 | 19.9 | 20.7 | 22.4 | 19.3 | 18.6 | 22.5 |
| $20-22$ | 28.6 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 29.2 | 27.4 | 28.8 | 28.3 | 28.1 |
| $23-24$ | 16.7 | 17.2 | 19.8 | 16.9 | 15.3 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 13.7 |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | $(1926)$ | $(1,991)$ | $(1,181)$ | $(1,938)$ | $(2,437)$ | $(2,279)$ | $(1,052)$ | $(1,868)$ |

Sources: 2002 Reproductive Health Survey (RHS), 1997 Reproductive Health Survey (RHS), 1993 Contraceptive Prevalence Survey (CPS) and 1987 Young Adult Reproductive Health Survey (YARHS).

TABLE 11.1.2
Employment Status By Age Group And Sex -
Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24
Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

|  | Total | $15-17$ | $18-19$ | $20-22$ | $23-24$ | Total | $15-17$ | $18-19$ | $20-22$ | $23-24$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment Status |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 9 | 9 | 7 |  |
|  |  |  | W | O | M | E | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 19.4 | 1.9 | 16.4 | 30.0 | 38.7 | 25.0 | 2.6 | 22.5 | 36.1 | 49.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | 12.6 | 3.0 | 19.2 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 11.3 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Student | 35.4 | 82.4 | 23.1 | 11.3 | 5.0 | 34.5 | 73.9 | 30.0 | 14.5 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| At Home | 32.1 | 12.1 | 40.8 | 43.1 | 38.8 | 22.3 | 11.2 | 26.1 | 28.0 | 27.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Incapable of Working/Other | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Number Of Cases | $(1926)$ | $(568)$ | $(421)$ | $(569)$ | $(368)$ | $(1991)$ | $(567)$ | $(393)$ | $(630)$ | $(401)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M | E | N |  |  |  |  |  |

[^33]TABLE 11.1.3
Relationship Status By Current Age And Sex -
Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Relationship Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Married | CommonLaw Union | Visiting <br> Partner | No Steady <br> Partner | Total | No. of Cases |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\underline{2.2}$ | 11.9 | $\underline{38.6}$ | 47.3 | 100.0 | (1926) |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 20.5 | 78.0 | 100.0 | (568) |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 45.3 | 46.2 | 100.0 | (421) |
| 20-22 | 3.0 | 20.4 | 48.4 | 28.2 | 100.0 | (569) |
| 23-24 | 7.8 | 21.9 | 46.5 | 23.9 | 100.0 | (368) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.0 | 14.8 | 33.2 | 50.1 | $\underline{100.0}$ | (1991) |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 15.0 | 81.0 | 100.0 | (567) |
| 18-19 | 0.7 | 10.4 | 37.0 | 51.9 | 100.0 | (393) |
| 20-22 | 3.7 | 22.2 | 43.2 | 30.9 | 100.0 | (630) |
| 23-24 | 4.2 | 27.0 | 43.8 | 25.0 | 100.0 | (401) |
| M E N |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 0 0 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.6 | 3.4 | 42.1 | 53.9 | 100.0 | (2437) |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 75.5 | 100.0 | (901) |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 47.6 | 52.0 | 100.0 | (531) |
| 20-22 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 55.5 | 38.5 | 100.0 | (653) |
| 23-24 | 2.3 | 13.0 | 50.0 | 34.7 | 100.0 | (352) |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.5 | 7.1 | 33.1 | 59.3 | 100.0 | (2279) |
| 15-17 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 15.5 | 84.1 | 100.0 | (799) |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 30.9 | 66.9 | 100.0 | (408) |
| 20-22 | 0.7 | 10.1 | 46.2 | 43.0 | 100.0 | (646) |
| 23-24 | 1.4 | 20.4 | 48.5 | 29.8 | 100.0 | (426) |

TABLE 11.2.1
Mean Age At First Intercourse By Selected Characteristics Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Sexually Experienced Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002* | 1997 | 1993 | 2002* | 1997 | 1993 |
| Total | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 13.9 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 14.3 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 13.0 |
| 18-19 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 13.3 | 13.9 |
| 20-22 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.2 |
| 23-24 | 16.3 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 14.4 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 13.2 | 13.5 |
| Rural | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 14.1 |
| Educational Attainment** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 15.1 | 14.9 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 14.1 |
| 10-12 Years | 15.2 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.6 |
| 13 Or More Years | 16.1 | 16.9 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 13.9 |
| $\underline{\text { Sociol Economic Status }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 14.1 |
| Medium | 15.7 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| High | 16.2 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 12.8 |
| Frequency Of Church Attendance*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Least Once Per Week | 16.1 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| At least Once Per Month | 16.2 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 13.7 |
| Less Than Once Per Month | 15.6 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 13.6 |
| Special Occasions | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 13.6 |
| Never | 14.9 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 12.9 | 14.5 |
| Number Of Cases | (1301) | (1352) | (864) | (1421) | (1633) | (766) |

* Excludes 95 women and 561 men who could not remember age at first intercourse or refused to answer.
** Information on education level were missing for 13 women and 9 men.
*** Information on church attendance were missing for 15 women and 17 men.

TABLE 11.2.2
Percent Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15 to 24 Who Have Ever Had Sexual Relations By Age Group

Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age Group | Percent Ever Had Sexual Relations | N | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \frac{1997}{\text { Ever Had }} \\ & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { Sexual Relations } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1993}{} \\ \text { Percent Ever Had } \\ \text { Sexual Relations } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W $\quad \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 69.0 | (1927) | 69.7 | (1991) | 74.8 | (1181) |
| 15-19 | 49.3 | (990) | 51.4 | (960) | 58.9 | (553) |
| 15-17 | 32.1 | (568) | 37.7 | (567) | 44.1 | (340) |
| 18-19 | 72.5 | (422) | 71.5 | (393) | 79.9 | (213) |
| 20-24 | 93.0 | (937) | 89.9 | (1031) | 90.5 | (628) |
| M E N |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 82.1 | (2437) | " | " | " | -- |
| 15-19 | 73.0 | (1232) | 73.9 | (1207) | 74.5 | (566) |
| 15-17 | 62.0 | (901) | 64.4 | (799) | 63.9 | (380) |
| 18-19 | 90.2 | (531) | 90.7 | (408) | 94.9 | (186) |
| 20-24 | 94.2 | (1005) | 97.6 | (1072) | 96.2 | (486) |

TABLE 11.2.3
Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Sexually Experienced By Relationship To First Sexual Partner By Age At First Intercourse

Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Relationship To First Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age At First Intercourse | Spouse | Boy Friend | Friend | Casual <br> Acquaintance | Mother's <br> Partner | Rape | Other | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Cases } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total 15-24 | 1.7 | 80.7 | $\underline{13.9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \hline \underline{1.1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{0.2}$ | 1.2 | 1.2 | $\underline{100.0}$ | (1396) |
| Under 14 | 1.0 | 65.1 | 25.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (140) |
| 14 | 0.7 | 74.9 | 17.3 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | (174) |
| 15 | 2.1 | 78.3 | 16.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | (253) |
| 16 | 1.0 | 87.5 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (298) |
| 17 | 0.8 | 89.3 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (220) |
| 18-19 | 2.9 | 86.0 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | (175) |
| 20-24 | 10.3 | 82.1 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (41) |
| Does Not Remember | 0.9 | 70.4 | 19.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 100.0 | (69) |
| Refused | 2.3 | 67.0 | 29.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (26) |
|  |  |  | 1 | 997 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 15-24 | 1.4 | 82.7 | 12.8 | 2.2 | $\ddot{-}$ | $\ddot{-}$ | 0.9 | 100.0 | (1449) |
| Under 14 | 0.7 | 68.0 | 24.1 | 6.4 | .. | .. | 0.9 | 100.0 | (170) |
| 14 | 3.2 | 76.8 | 17.1 | 2.4 | .. | .. | 0.6 | 100.0 | (191) |
| 15 | 1.7 | 82.9 | 11.6 | 1.8 | .. | . | 2.1 | 100.0 | (250) |
| 16 | 0.7 | 84.8 | 11.9 | 1.7 | . | .. | 1.0 | 100.0 | (327) |
| 17 | 1.3 | 88.4 | 8.3 | 2.0 | .. | .. | 0.0 | 100.0 | (214) |
| 18-19 | 0.9 | 92.0 | 6.4 | 0.4 | $\cdots$ | .. | 0.3 | 100.0 | (195) |
| 20-24 | 2.9 | 75.4 | 17.8 | 2.0 | $\ldots$ | .. | 1.9 | 100.0 | (102) |

-- Not asked in this survey.

TABLE 11.2.4
Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Are Sexually Experienced By
Relationship To First Sexual Partner By Age At First Intercourse Compared With 1997 RHS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Age At First Intercourse | Relationship To First Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse | Girl <br> Friend | Friend | Casual Acquaintance | Other | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Cases } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 15-24 | 0.1 | 44.2 | 45.7 | 8.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (1982) |
| Under 14 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 54.6 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | (660) |
| 14 | 0.0 | 49.6 | 41.7 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | (234) |
| 15 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 44.2 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | (183) |
| 16 | 0.0 | 58.9 | 30.5 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (148) |
| 17 | 1.4 | 70.4 | 18.4 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | (82) |
| 18-19 | 0.6 | 59.0 | 30.3 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | (88) |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 82.2 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (26) |
| Does Not Remember | 0.0 | 38.4 | 49.6 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | (561) |
|  |  |  | 99 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Total 15-24 | 0.3 | 37.7 | $\underline{47.8}$ | 12.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (1917) |
| Under 14 | 0.3 | 30.9 | 54.8 | 12.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | (990) |
| 14 | 0.3 | 43.0 | 46.4 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | (265) |
| 15 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 38.7 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | (261) |
| 16 | 0.0 | 49.4 | 36.5 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (177) |
| 17 | 0.7 | 48.7 | 29.8 | 20.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | (95) |
| 18-19 | 1.6 | 49.2 | 35.5 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | (94) |
| 20-24 | 3.0 | 31.0 | 51.5 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 100.0 | (35) |

TABLE 11.3.1
Percent Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years
Who Took A Course In Family Life Or Sex Education
By Where Course Was Taken And Current Age Group
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS Total
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Place Where Course Was Taken | $A G E \quad G R O U P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{array}{r} 15-17 \\ 2002 \end{array}$ | $18-192$ | 20-22 | 23-24 | Total | 15-17 | $\begin{aligned} & 18-19 \\ & 1997 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 20-22 | 23-24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 1993 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| W O M E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In School Only | 69.4 | 74.0 | 69.6 | 68.7 | 61.9 | 70.6 | 75.1 | 67.5 | 72.7 | 62.5 | 60.0 |
| Both In/Out Of School | 19.0 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 18.1 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 18.5 | 11.4 | 17.8 | 17.1 |
| Outside Of School Only | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| None | 10.4 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 18.4 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 17.4 | 19.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (1925) | (568) | (421) | (569) | (367) | (1991) | (567) | $(393$ | (630) | (401) | (1171) |
| M E N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In School Only | 67.1 | 74.5 | 66.8 | 61.7 | 60.2 | 64.5 | 73.2 | 61.4 | 59.4 | 59.4 | 53.4 |
| Both In/Out Of School | 13.9 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 16.3 | 14.7 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 11.4 |
| Outside Of School | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| None | 16.8 | 13.8 | 15.6 | 19.8 | 20.3 | 23.6 | 19.1 | 22.4 | 27.0 | 27.8 | 32.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number Of Cases | (2436) | (900) | (531) | (653) | (352) | (2279) | (799) | (408) | (646) | (426) | (1028) |

TABLE 11.3.2
Proportion Of Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24
Whose School-Based Class Or Course On Family Life Or Sex Education Included Various Topics
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Characteristics | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 |
| $\underline{\text { Topics Included In Course: * }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menstrual Cycle | 95.3 | 96.1 | 96.3 | 82.9 | 84.7 | 78.6 |
| Modern Birth Control Methods** | 92.0 | 72.8 | 78.2 | 72.7 | 75.3 | 78.1 |
| Human Reproduction | 91.0 | 91.3 | 91.6 | 85.3 | 88.7 | 88.4 |
| STD | 87.2 | 87.8 | 87.0 | 91.5 | 93.7 | 89.7 |
| Condoms | 84.5 | 83.5 | --- | 93.0 | 93.5 | --- |
| AIDs | --- | 75.0 | 57.3 | --- | 82.7 | 60.0 |
| Abstinence | 75.4 | --- | --- | 74.0 | --- | --- |
| Pregnancy | 75.0 | 93.8 | 95.4 | 94.5 | 93.5 | 92.0 |
| Services Available For Adolescents: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselling | 72.3 | 67.1 | --- | 69.7 | 68.3 | --- |
| Clinic Services | 44.5 | 45.4 | --- | 49.1 | 45.6 | --- |
| Contraceptive Distribution | 33.1 | 34.6 | --- | 39.8 | 33.6 | --- |
| Number Of Cases | (1694) | (1626) | (883) | (1971) | (1665) | (671) |

* Topics placed in descending order of percentages in 2002 which were included in the courses taken by women.
** The question reads: "...... such as the pill, IUD or injections."
--. Information was not collected in the relevant surveys.

TABLE 11.4.1
Percent Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Contraception At First Intercourse By Selected Characteristics And Age At First Intercourse Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Characteristics | 2002 |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Total | $\underline{67.3}$ | (1396) | 55.7 | (1447) | 42.7 | (896) |
| Age At First Intercourse * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 14 | 46.7 | (140) | 40.6 | (171) | 22.7 | (84) |
| 14 | 71.6 | (174) | 48.4 | (190) | 38.6 | (124) |
| 15-17 | 70.7 | (771) | 57.7 | (790) | 41.6 | (494) |
| 18-19 | 72.3 | (175) | 68.3 | (195) | 59.7 | (130) |
| 20-24 | 74.8 | (41) | 47.3 | (101) | 61.1 | (34) |
| Relationship Status At First Intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married/Common-law | 57.1 | (36) | 70.4 | (27) | ** | (9) |
| Boyfriend | 71.3 | (1035) | 59.6 | (1167) | 45.7 | (706) |
| Friend | 57.2 | (178) | 37.3 | (202) | 24.6 | (72) |
| Casual Acquaintance | 24.7) | ) | 20.7 | (39) | 2.3 | (28) |
| Other | 24.7) | (62) | ** | (10) | 44.0 | (77) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 67.7 | (554) | 57.3 | (572) | 45.9 | (249) |
| Rural | 65.9 | (747) | 54.1 | (875) | 41.0 | (648) |
| Educational Attainment*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 57.4 | (75) | 45.8 | (402) | -- | -- |
| 10-12 Years | 64.9 | (481) | 57.4 | (889) | -- | -- |
| 13 Or More Years | 69.1 | (824) | 64.9 | (146) | -- | -- |
| Sociol-economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 60.8 | (384) | 45.0 | (534) | -- | -- |
| Medium | 67.5 | (659) | 53.8 | (520) | -- | -- |
| High | 72.3 | (353) | 66.0 | (393) | -- | -- |


| $*$ | Data missing for 69 women in 2002 who did not remember their age at first intercourse and 26 |
| :--- | :--- |
| women who refused to state their age at first intercourse. |  |
| $* *$ | Less than 25 cases. |
| $* * *$ | Data missing for 16 women in 2002 and 10 women in 1997. |
| -- | Not reported on. |

TABLE 11.4.2
Percent Of Sexually Experienced Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Contraception At First Intercourse By Selected Characteristics And Age At First Intercourse Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | $\underline{2002}$ |  | 1997 |  | 1993 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Total | 43.0 | (1982) | 31.0 | (1917) | $\underline{21.6}$ | (863) |
| Age At First Intercourse * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 14 | 26.3 | (660) | 17.0 | (990) | 8.1 | (324) |
| 14 | 51.7 | (234) | 38.3 | (265) | 25.6 | (117) |
| 15-17 | 63.4 | (413) | 50.6 | (533) | 37.2 | (273) |
| 18-19 | 77.7 | (88) | 55.1 | (94) | 35.4 | (30) |
| 20-24 | 74.3 | (26) | 47.1 | (35) | ** | (13) |
| Relationship Status At First Intercourse *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married/Common-law | ** | (2) | ** | (6) | ** | (3) |
| Girlfriend | 53.3 | (859) | 44.7 | (691) | 29.6 | (377) |
| Friend | 32.8 | (914) | 22.4 | (948) | 13.9 | (376) |
| Casual Acquaintance | 46.5 | (171) | 25.6 | (247) | 15.2 | (70) |
| Other | 27.8 | (36) | 6.5 | (25) | 26.1 | (28) |
| Area Of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.0 | (880) | 31.7 | (699) | 15.4 | (242) |
| Rural | 40.7 | (1102) | 30.4 | (1218) | 24.8 | (621) |
| Educational Attainment ${ }^{* * * *}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-9 Years | 41.5 | (158) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 10-12 Years | 40.8 | (821) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 13 Or More Years | 44.8 | (987) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Sociol-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 38.5 | (377) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Medium | 42.1 | (847) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| High | 45.8 | (758) | -- | -- | -- | -- |

* Data missing for 561 men in 2002 who did not remember their age at first intercourse.
** Fewer than 25 cases.
*** Data on relationship status at first intercourse missing for 9 men in 1993.
**** Data missing for 16 men in 2002.
-- Not calculated for publication.

TABLE 11.4.3
Young Adult Women And Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Used Condoms At First Intercourse
By Source Of Condoms Used At First Intercourse
Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Source Of Condoms | WOMEN |  |  |  | MEN |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pharmacy | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 |
| Shop/Market | 25.9 | 41.6 | 37.6 | 9.9 | 22.1 | 14.1 |
| Government Clinic / Hospital | 1.9 | 52.6 | 15.2 | 16.8 | 59.8 | 34.6 |
| Friend, Parents, Relative | -- | 0.0 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 34.7 |
| Other | 1.8 | 1.8 | -- | -- | 26.4 | -- |
| Don't know | 27.8 | 35.8 | 32.6 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. of Cases | $(852)$ | $(670)$ | $(298)$ | $(809)$ | $(586)$ | $(165)$ |

TABLE 11.4.4
Reasons For Not Using Contraception At First Intercourse - Young Adult Women and Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Did Not Use Contraception At First Intercourse Compared With 1997 RHS And 1993 CPS
(Percent Distribution)
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Reason For Not Using | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 | 2002 | 1997 | 1993 |
| Didn't Expect To Have Sex | 52.5 | 46.6 | 46.9 | 37.1 | 30.7 | 34.7 |
| Could Not Get Method At That Time | 12.3 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 12.9 | 10.6 | 7.6 |
| Didn't Know Of Any Methods | 9.5 | 13.2 | 20.9 | 30.2 | 32.7 | 32.3 |
| Partner Was Against | 2.8 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 0.9 |
| Too Embarrassed | 3.2 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 2.9 |
| Didn't Know Where To Get Method | 4.9 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 6.4 |
| Desired Pregnancy | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 |
| Sex Not Satisfying When Method Used | ... | 0.0 | 0.4 | $\ldots$ | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| Other | 5.3 | 5.7 | 11.7 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 10.2 |
| Don't know | 6.0 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 3.9 |
| No Response | 2.3 | 6.1 | -- | 1.2 | 1.9 | -- |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. Of Cases | (472) | (669) | (530) | (1134) | (1293) | (672) |

TABLE 11.5.1
Proportion Of All Young Adult Women Aged 15-24 Years Who Know Where To Go For:

1. Information On Sex Or Contraceptives; 2. Treatment For A Sexually

Transmitted Disease By Age Group, Socio Economic Status
And Whether Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course Compared With 1997 RHS 2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Characteristic | 2002 |  | 1997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases |
| Percent Who Know Where To Go For Information On Sex Or Contraception |  |  |  |  |
| Total * | 91.3 | (1906) | 87.8 | (1,974) |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 87.8 | (563) | 78.2 | (566) |
| 18-19 | 90.2 | (418) | 91.7 | (390) |
| 20-22 | 94.9 | (566) | 91.9 | (629) |
| 23-24 | 93.1 | (359) | 93.1 | (389) |
| Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 92.7 | (1707) | 89.3 | (1661) |
| No | 79.2 | (199) | 77.8 | (313) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 88.7 | (467) | 83.2 | (867) |
| Medium | 89.2 | (889) | 88.9 | (633) |
| High | 95.9 | (550) | 91.7 | (474) |
| \% Who Know Where To Go For Treatment For Sexually Transmitted Diseases |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 94.7 | (1913) | 93.6 | $(1,974)$ |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 91.1 | (567) | 89.3 | (566) |
| 18-19 | 94.8 | (418) | 95.5 | (390) |
| 20-22 | 97.0 | (567) | 94.9 | (629) |
| 23-24 | 97.4 | (361) | 96.7 | (389) |
| Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 92.7 | (1707) | 94.3 | (1661) |
| No | 79.2 | (199) | 88.9 | (313) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 92.4 | (468) | 92.4 | (867) |
| Medium | 93.6 | (889) | 93.6 | (633) |
| High | 97.6 | (556) | 94.8 | (474) |

* Data missing for 17 women in 1997.

TABLE 11.5.2
Proportion Of All Young Adult Men Aged 15-24 Years Who Know Where To Go For:

1. Information On Sex Or Contraceptives; 2. Treatment For A Sexually

Transmitted Disease By Age Group, Socio Economic Status
And Whether Had Family Life Or Sex Education Course Compared With 1997 RHS
2002 JAMAICA REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY

| Selected Characteristics | 2002 |  | $\underline{1997}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | No. of Cases | Percent | No. of Cases |
| \% Who Know Where To Go For Information On Sex Or Contraception |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 85.4 | (1965) * | 82.8 | $(2,278) *$ |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-17 | 84.0 | (553) | 78.5 | (799) |
| 18-19 | 82.4 | (472) | 85.3 | (408) |
| 20-22 | 88.8 | (608) | 83.5 | (645) |
| 23-24 | 85.9 | (332) | 87.4 | (426) |
| Had Family Life or Sex Education Course |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 87.8 | (1618) | 85.8 | (1712) |
| No | 74.3 | (347) | 73.2 | (566) |
| Socio-Economic Status |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 82.7 | (374) | 78.6 | (987) |
| Medium | 84.4 | (840) | 85.4 | (753) |
| High | 87.8 | (751) | 85.8 | (538) |

\% Who Know Where To Go For Treatment For Sexually Transmitted Diseases

| Total | $\underline{96.3}$ | $\underline{(1694)^{*}}$ | $\underline{94.8}$ | $(2,278)^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| $15-17$ | 92.7 | $(552)$ | 89.9 | $(799)$ |
| $18-19$ | 97.7 | $(471)$ | 97.2 | $(608)$ |
| $20-22$ | 98.1 | $(608)$ | 97.0 | $(426)$ |
| $23-24$ | 96.4 | $(333)$ | 97.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $(1,712)$ |
| Had Family Life or Sex Education Course | 97.2 | $(1618)$ | 95.9 | $(566)$ |
| Yes | 92.1 | $(346)$ | 91.0 |  |
| No |  |  |  | $(987)$ |
|  | 94.1 | $(374)$ | 93.1 | $(753)$ |
| Socio-Economic Status | 95.3 | $(838)$ | 95.3 | $(538)$ |
| Low | 97.9 | $(752)$ | 96.6 |  |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |

* Data missing in 1997 for 1 young adult man who did not know where to go for information on sex and contraception or for treatment of sexually transmitted diseases and for 472 men in 2002 who were not asked the questions, having answered that they would like their partner to become pregnant (see question 6.32 in the questionnaire). Accordingly, although the results are not directly comparable, it gives an indication of the situation in each of the two years.
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## 2002 REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY JAMAICA

HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE - FEMALE
FORM RHS 1A CONFIDENTIAL CAP. 368

## IDENTIFICATION NO.

 questionnatre no. 204002

| Interview calls | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Final Visit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day (Date) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month | $1$ |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Interview Status * |  | $1$ |  |  | $\square$ |
| Interviewer's Name |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interviewer's No. |  |  |  |  | $\square \square^{\square}$ |
| Supervisor's Name |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisor's No. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Next Visit : Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time |  |  |  |  |  |

* Interview Status

1. Completed household and individual interviews
2. Completed household interview - no eligible respondent
3. Completed household interview - selected respondent at home or available.
4. Completed household interview - selected respondent not at home - deferred
5. Partly completed invdividual interview deferred
6. Refusal - household
7. Refusal - individual
8. Vacant dwelling
9. Other (specify) : $\qquad$

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:
Reviewed By: $\qquad$
Position: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$
Edited by :
Date:
10. How many persons live in this household ?
$\square$ number
PLEASE RECORD THE NAMES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF ALL PERSONS WHO LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD. THIS SHOULD INCLUDE ALL WHO USUALLY EAT AND SLEEP HERE. START WITH THE OLDEST MEMBER, THEN THE NEXT OLDEST, ETC.

## SCHEDULE OF ALL PERSONS LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD



1. Males
2. Females

| 0 | None | 1 | $-8+$ | 9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Primary/All Age | 1 | - | $8+$ | 9 |
| 2 | Junior High | 1 | $-8+$ | 9 |  |
| 3 | Secondary | 1 | - | $8+$ | 9 |
| 4 | Post-secondary | 1 | - | $8+$ | 9 |
| 8 | Other | 1 | $-8+$ | 9 |  |

11. Number of eligible females aged 15-49 years living in household (Total of number of eligible females recorded in the Schedule.)


IF THERE IS ONE OR MORE ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS, SELECT THE ONE TO BE INTERVIEWED, BASED ON THE INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN AND USING THE RANDOM TABLE SHOWN BELOW.

THEN COMPLETE THE INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE SELECTED RESPONDENT.

If THERE ARE NO ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS, COMPLETE TITLE PAGE AND MOVE ON TO THE NEXT HOUSEHOLD.

## RANDOM SELECTION OF RESPONDENT

Questionnaire Number 1 $\qquad$ Number of Eligible Females
——

| Last digit on questionnaire number | Number of Eligible Females in Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 5 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 |

SEQUENCE NUMBER OF FEMALE SELECTED FOR INTERVIEW $\square$

AFTER COMPLETING THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE, RETURN TO THE TITLE PAGE AND COMPLETE INFORMATION ON INTERVIEW CALLS.

## 2002 REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY <br> JAMAICA

## HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE - MALE

## FORM RHS 1B CONFIDENTIAL CAP. 368

IDENTIFICATION NO.

## questionnaire No. 192196



* Interview Status

1. Completed household and individual interviews
2. Completed household interview - no eligible respondent
3. Completed household interview - selected respondent at home or available.
4. Completed household interview - selected respondent not at home - deferred
5. Partly completed individual interview deferred
6. Refusal - household
7. Refusal - individual
8. Vacant dwelling
9. Other (specify) :

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reviewed By: $\qquad$
Position: $\qquad$
Date : $\qquad$
Edited by :
Date:
10. How many persons live in this household ?

## PLEASE RECORD THE NAMES AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF ALL PERSONS WHO LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD. THIS SHOULD INCLUDE ALL WHO USUALLY EAT AND SLEEP HERE. START WITH THE OLDEST MEMBER, THEN THE NEXT OLDEST, ETC.

SCHEDULE OF ALL PERSONS LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD


IF THERE IS ONE OR MORE ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS, SELECT THE ONE TO BE INTERVIEWED, BASED ON THE INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN AND USING THE RANDOM TABLE SHOWN BELOW.

THEN COMPLETE THE INDIVIDUAL QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE SELECTED RESPONDENT.

IF THERE ARE NO ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS, COMPLETE TITLE PAGE AND MOVE ON TO THE NEXT HOUSEHOLD.

RANDOM SELECTION OF RESPONDENT

## Questionnaire Number 1 <br> $\qquad$

Number of Eligible Males

| Last digit on questionnaire number | Number of Eligible Males in Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 5 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 |

SEQUENCE NUMBER OF MALE SELECTED FOR INTERVIEW : $\square$

AFTER COMPLETING THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE, RETURN TO THE TITLE PAGE AND COMPLETE INFORMATION ON INTERVIEW CALLS.

109. How many hours did you work during the week ending $\qquad$
O Less than 9 hours
O 33-40 hours
O9-16 hours
O 41-49 hours
O 17-24 hours
O 49 +hours
O 25-32 hours
O Not specified
110. Do you work at home or away from home?
O At home
O Away from home
O Both
111. Do you regularly read any of the following newspapers? [READ OPTIONS A -E]

YES NO
A Gleaner $O$
B Star $O$

C Jamaica Herald 0
OFFICE USE ONLY
D observer 0
E Other (specify):
112. Are there any of the following possessions in your household? [READ LIST] YES MQ
A Telephone (land)
0
0
B Telephone (Cellular) 0
C Radio 0
D Television $\bigcirc$
E VCR O O
F Retrigerator $O$
G Computer O
H A working motor vehicle $\quad$ O
113. How many rooms does your household occupy (exclude bathrooms and kitchens)?

number
No response
114. What is the main source of water for the household? [READ OPTIONS 1-7]

| 1 O public piped into dwelling | 6 O public catchment |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 O Public piped into yard | 7 O spring or river |
| 3 O Private piped into dwelling | 8 O Other (specify): |
| 4 O private catchment, not piped | $9 O$ Not stated |
| 5 O public standpipe |  |

115. What type of tollet facilities does this household have? [READ OPTIONS 1-3]

116. Are these faclities shared with another household?

O Shared
O Not shared
O Not stated

## SECTION II - RELATIONSHIP STATUS AND PARTNERSHIP HISTORY

Now, I would like to ask you some questions about your steady relationships.
201. Are you legally married now?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q203)
202. Are you and your wife living together as man and wife now?

O Yes (SKIP TO Q206) O No
203. Are you living with a common-law partner now; that is, are you living as man and wife now with a partner to whom you are not legally married?

O Yes (SKIP TO Q206) O No
204. Do you have a visiting partner, that is, a more or less steady partner with whom you have sexual relations?

O Yes (SKIP TO Q206) O No
205. [IF RESPONDENT IS LEGALLY MARRIED, BUT IS NOT LVING WITH WIFE (Q201 = "YES" AND Q202 = "NO"), ANSWER THIS QUESTION "YES" WITHOUT ASKING. OTHERWISE ASK]:

Have you ever been in a partnership; that is, a marriage, a common-law union or a visiting relationship?
O Yes
O No
(SKIP TO Q301)
206. What was the month and year when your first marriage, first common-law or first visiting relationship began?


77 Don't know month


29 Don't know year
301. Have you ever had sex?
O Yes
O No
ORefused
302. [FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NEVER HAD SEX (Q301 = "No"), OR THOSE WHO REFUSED TO ANSWER (Q301 = "Refused") omit "Before you ever had sex". OTHERWISE ASK]:

Before you ever had sex, did you ever receive any information from your parents or guardians about pregnancy and how it occurs?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q304)
O Don't remember (SKIP TO Q304)
303. How difficult was it to discuss the subject of pregnancy with your parents or guardians?
O Easy
O Extremely difficult
O Somewhat difficult
304. [FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NEVER HAD SEX (Q301 = "No"), OR FOR THOSE WHO REFUSED TO ANSWER (Q301 = "Refused") omit "Before you ever had sex". OTHERWISE ASK]:

Before you ever had sex, did you ever receive any information from your parents or guardians about condoms specifically?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q306) O Doesn't know (SKIP TO Q306)
305. How difficult was it to discuss the subject of condoms with your parents or guardians?
O Easy
O Somewhat difficult
O Extremely difficult
306. For young people your age who have sexual intercourse, what do you think is the most appropriate method to use to avoid pregnancy?
O Female sterilization, tubal ligation
O Male sterilization, vasectomy
O Implant (Norplant)
O Injection
O pill
O Emergency Contraceptive Protection (ECP)
O IUD / Coil
O Condom
O Foaming tables / creams / jellies

| O Diaphragm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O Withdrawal |  |  |
| ORhythm |  |  |
| O Billings method |  |  |
| O Abstinence |  |  |
| O None |  |  |
| O Doesn't know |  | Office USE ONLY |
| O Doesn't answer |  |  |
| O Other (specify): |  |  |

307. Could you afford to use this method?
O Yes
O No
O Doesn't know
308. Have you ever had a class or course about family life or sex education in school?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q316)
O Doesn't know (SKIP TO Q316)
309. How many weeks did the class or course last?
[IF LESS THAN ONE WEEK CODE '00]'

|  | weeks | 97 <br> $\quad$Many weeks, don't remember number <br> Don't remember at all |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


318. Where (or from whom) did you receive your first information on sex and relationships?

| O community centre | O Bar | OFFICE USE ONLY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Clinic | O Workplace |  |
| O Club | O HEART | O Other (specify) |
| O Church | O Doesn't remember |  |

319. How old were you when you had this first class or course on family life or sex education?

|  | age |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 29 Does not remember |

320. Who was the main person who taught this course?

| O School teacher | O Other social worker |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Physician | O Peers / friends |
| O Nurse | O Parent / guardian |
| O counsellor or psychologist | O Other (specify): |
| O Liaison Officer | O Doesn't remember |
| O Outreach worker / volunteer |  |

321. Did this first class or course outside of the school or home include information about ............... ? [READ]

|  |  | YES | NO | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | The human reproductive system. | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| B | The woman's menstrual cycle or period . | O | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| $C$ | Pregnancy and how it occurs . | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| D | Modern birth control methods such as the pill, IUD or injections . | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| E | Condoms. . . . | O | O | $\bigcirc$ |
| F | Disease that can result from sexual contact. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G | Abstinence . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

322. Did this class or course include information on the following services available for adolescents? [READ].

|  | YES | NO | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Counselling . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| B | Clinic services . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| C | Distribution of contraceptives . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

323. What do you think is the ideal age when a child should be first given sex education?

324. Which is your preferred source of information about family life or sex education topics? [READ OPTIONS 1- 9].

| 1 | O Parents / guardians | 7 O Television |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | O Sisters or brothers | 8 O Audiovisual materials |
| 3 | O Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper) | 9 OFFICE USE ONLY |
| 4 O Teachers | 28 O Other (specify): |  |
| 5 | O Peers / friends | 29 O Don't know |
| 6 | O Radio |  |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 328. \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Did any of these programmes cause you to behave differently?
Yes No (SKIP TO Q330) Don't know \\
(SKIP TO Q330)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 329. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Which? [READ OPTIONS A TO E]. \\
A Teen Seen \(\qquad\) \\
B NFPB Youth Forum \(\qquad\) \\
C Radio Drama for men \(\qquad\) \\
D Radio commercials \(\qquad\) \\
E \(T V\) commercials . \(\qquad\) Other (specify): \\
\(F\) \(\qquad\) \\
\(G\) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} \& Yes
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
No \\
O \\
O \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
O \\
0
\end{tabular} \& OFFICE USE ONLY \\
\hline 330. \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Have you ever heard any messages on the YOUTH.NOW program?
Yes No \\
(SICIP TO Q332) Don't know / Not sure \\
(SKIP TO Q332)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 331. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Did any of these messages include information on the \\
A Type of services available to adolescents \\
B Using dual methods \\
C Sources of information \\
D Sources of contraception \\
E Popular myths and beliefs relating to contraception.
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
wing? \\
Yes \\
O

 \& 

]. <br>
O <br>
○ <br>
O <br>
O

\end{tabular} \& DK/DR

0
0
0
0
0 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

332. Have you ever been involved in the planning of programmes on sexuality for young people?
$O$ Yes
O No
333. Have you ever been involved in extra-curricular activities which could help you to prevent eanty sexual activity?

| O Yes | O Don't remember (SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q401) |
| :--- | :--- |
| O No (SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q401) | O Refused (SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q401) |

334. What are these activibies?


SECTION IV - REPRODUCTIVE HISTORY
Now, we are going to talk about the children you have had, Some of the questions may not apply to you, but just say so when this is the case.
401. Have you ever fathered any children even if the child or children died shortly after birth?

O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q417)
402. How many children have you fathered who currently live with you?
403. How many children have you fathered who live somewhere else?
404. How many children have you fathered who have died? [INCLUDE CHILDREN BORN ALIVE BUT WHO DIED SHORTLY AFTER BIRTH]
405. Therefore, the total number of children you have fathered is:

406. Now, I would like to ask you for some details about all your children. Please answer in the order of their birth, starting from the last child and going backwards to the first.

407. Did you want to have your first child?
O Yes
O No
O Not sure
408. Did you want to have your last (only) child?

O Yes
Ono
O Not sure
IF RESPONDENT HAS FATHERED ONE CHILD ONLY [Q405 = "01"1, SKIP TO Q411.

ALL OTHERS, CONTINUE


419. Did you want this pregnancy (these pregnancies)?
O Wanted one pregnancy
O God's will, fate, didin't think about it
O Wanted both pregnancies O Don't know, not sure

O Wanted no pregnancy
420. What type of support do you give the first prospective "baby mother"? [READ RESPONSES 1-4]

421. [IF NO SECOND BABY MOTHER (SEE QUESTION 418), CODE $=5$ WITHOUT ASKING. OTHERWISE, ASK]: What type of support do you give the second prospective "baby mother"? [READ RESPONSES 1-4]

1 O Gives no support since there is no relationship at this time
2
O Gives emotional support only
3 O Gives financial support only

4 O Gives both emotional and financial support
5 O No second "baby mother"
8 Other (specify): $\qquad$ OFFICE USE ONLY


Now, I would like to talk about methods that people use to avoid pregnancy.

504. What was the relationship of this person to you at that time?

d you or your partner use a contraceptive method during this first intercourse?
O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q509)
506. What was the method?

O Female sterilization, tubal ligation
O Male sterilization, vasectomy
O Implant (Norplant)
O Injection
O pill
O Emergency Contraceptive Protection (ECP)
O IUD / Coil

O Condom
O Foaming tablets, cream, jelly
O Diaphragm
O Withdrawal (SKIP TO Q508)
O Rhythm, Calendar, Billings
OFFICE USE ONLY
507. Where did you or your partner get this method used during your first sexual intercourse?

IN THE CASE OF BILLINGS, CALENDAR OR RHYTHM METHOD:
Where did you or your partner receive orientation?

| O Government hospital | O Outreach worker |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Government health clinic / centre | O Factory / work place |
| O Private hospital | O supermarket / shop / bar |
| O Private clinic | O Rhythm without instruction |
| O Private doctor | O Other (specify): |
| O Pharmacy | O Doesn't know / doesn't remember |

508. Whose decision was it to use this method? You alone, your partner alone, or was it made together?

| O His decision | O Decision made together |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Partner's decision | O Doesn't remember |

ALL SKIP TO QUESTION 601
509. Why didn't you or your partner use a contraceptive method during this first sexual intercourse?


## SECTION VI - CURRENT SEXUAL ACTIVITY AND CONTRACEPTIVE USE

| 601. | Have you had sexual intercourse in the last 30 days? Yes No <br> (SKIP TO Q603) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 602. | With how many women have you had sexual intercourse with in the last 30 days ? |  |  |  |  |  |
| 603. | Have you had sexual intercourse in the last 3 months? Yes No <br> (SKIP TO Q605) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 604. | With how many women have you had sexual intercourse in the last 3 months? <br> 77 Don't Remember - less than 10 <br> 98 <br> Don't Remember <br> 88 Don't Remember but 10 or more <br> 99 Refused |  |  |  |  |  |

605. What was your relationship to the last person with whom you had sexual intercourse?

| O Wife / Partner | O visiting Partner | O Girffriend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Casual acquaintance | O Father's partner | O Other relative's partner |
| O Friend | O Other (specify): |  |

606. Did you or your partner use a contraceptive method the last time you had sexual intercourse?
1 O Yes
2 O No (SKIP TO Q610) 8 O Can't remember/Don't know (SKIP TO Q610)
607. What was this method?

608. At the same time, did you or or your partner also use a second contraceptive method for disease prevention or contraception the last time you had sexual intercourse?
O Yes
O No
(SKIP TO BOX BEFORE Q611)
O Don't know (SKIP TO BOX BEFORE Q611)
609. What was this method?

610. Why did you or your last partner not use a method to prevent pregnancy?

| O Health / medical | O Lack of knowledge |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Doesn't like contraceptives | O can't afford cost |
| O Had side effects using last method | O Religion against |
| O Fear of side effects | O Partner wants to become pregnant |
| O Not sexually active | O Health care provider / pharmacist won't give them |
| O postpartum / breastfeeding | O Other (specify): |
| O Sources far away |  |

CHECK QUESTION 604. IF RESPONDENT HAS HAD SEX WITH NONE OR ONLY ONE WOMAN IN PAST THREE MONTHS, SKIP TO Q617.

IF MORE THAN ONE WOMAN IN PAST THREE MONTHS, CONTINUE.
611. What was your relationship to the next to last partner with whom you had sexual intercourse ?

| O wife / Partner | O visiting Partner | O Girlfriend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O casual acquaintance | O Father's partner | O Other relative's partner |
| O Friend | O Other (specify): |  |

612. Did you or this woman use a contraceptive method the last time you had sexual intercourse?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q616)

613. Why did you or your second-to-last parther not use a method to prevent pregnancy?

| O Health / medical | O Partner opposes |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Doesn't like contraceptives | O Lack of knowledge |
| O Had side effects using last method | O Money problems |
| O Fear of side effects | O Religion against |
| O Not sexually active | O Partner wants to become pregnant |
| O postpartum / breastfeeding | O Other (specify): |
| O Sources far away |  |

617. Have you ever suggested to a partner that you use a condom?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q619)
618. Has any of the following ever happened to you because you told a partner you were going to use a condom? [READ A-D]

|  | YES | NO | DK | REF |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A She refused to let you wear a condom? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | O |
| B She refused to have sex with you? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | O |
| C She threatened never to go out with you again? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| D Against your better judgement you had sex | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | without a condom?

619. Has a partner ever suggested to you that you use a condom?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q621)
620. Has any of the following ever happened to you because a partner asked you to use a condom? [READ OPTIONS A-E]

| A You used the condom? | YES | NO | DK | REF |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| B You refused and made her have sex with you | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| without the condom? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| C You refused to have sex with her ? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| D You got angry? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| E You threatened never to go with her again? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |

621. Do you ever use a condom with any [parther?]
[IF CODE " 8 " IN Q607, Q609 OR Q613, MARK "Yes" WITHOUT ASKING].
O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q625)
622. Why do you use condoms with a patner?

O Prevent pregnancy
O Prevent sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) including AIDS
O Other (specify) $\qquad$
OFFICE USE ONLY

O Both O Don't know / Don't remember
623. How often do you use condoms when you have sexual intercourse with a steady partner? [READ OPTIONS 1-4]

| 1 O Always | 40 Never |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 O Most of the time | 50 Have no steady partner |
| 3 O Seldom | 90 Refused to answer |

624. How often do you use condoms when you have sexual intercourse with a non-steady partner? [READ OPTIONS 1-5]

| 1 O Always | 4 O Never |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 O Most of the time | 5 O Never has intercourse with non-steady partner |
| 3 O Seldom | 9 O Refused to answer |

625. HAS RESPONDENT EVER USED AT LEAST ONE METHOD OF CONTRACEPTION? [AT LEAST ONE - "YES" MARKED IN COLUMN 2 IN Q501].

O Yes O No (SKIP TO BOX BEFORE Q630)
626. IF RESPONDENT HAS NOT FATHERED ANY CHILDREN (Q401 = NO), CODE "00" WITHOUT ASKING. OTHERWISE, ASK:] How many living children did you have when you first used contraception?

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | number | 98 Don't remember

627. USER WITH LAST PARTNER OF:

O METHODS 1 OR 2 IN Q607 OR Q609 (SKIP TO Q650)
O METHODS 3-10 IN Q607 OR Q609 (SKIP TO Q634)

```
                                    O METHODS 11, 12 OR 88 ONLY IN Q607 OR Q609 (SKIP TO Q644)
O NO METHOD [Q606 = "2" OR "8"] (CONTINUE)
```

628. What was the last contraceptive method you or your partner used?

| 1 O Female sterilization, tubal ligation | 2 O Male sterilization, vasectomy | 3 O Implant (Norplant) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 4 O Injection | 5 O Pill | 6 O Emergency Contraceptive Protection (ECP) |
| 7 O IUD / Coil | 8 O Condom | 9 O Foaming tablets / cream / jelly |
| 10 O Diaphragm | 11 O Withdrawal (SKIP TO | 12 ORhym, Calendar, Billings (SKIP TO |
| 88 Other (specify): | BOX BEFORE Q630) | BOX BEFORE Q630) |

$\qquad$ OFFICE USE ONLY
629. Where did you or your partner get the method?

| O Government hospital | O Outreach worker |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Government health clinic / centre | O Factory / work place |
| O Private hospital | O Supermarket / shop / bar |
| O Private clinic | O Other (specify): |
| O Private doctor | O Doesn't know / doesn't remember |
| O Pharmacy |  |

## IF MARRIED OR LIVING WITH COMMON-LAW PARTNER -

 ("YES" IN Q202 OR Q203) - CONTINUE.ALL OTHERS, SKIP TO QUESTION 650
630. Do you think your partner is able to get pregnant at the present time?
1 O Yes (SKIP TO Q632)
3 O Currently pregnant (SKIP TO Q650)
2 ONo
4 O Not sure, don't know




646. Do you know where to obtain the method (or information about this method if it is the Rhythm, Calendar or Billings method [METHOD 12]?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q650)
647. Where? [IF MORE THAN ONE PLACE MENTIONED, MARK THE ONE HE WOULD MOST LIKELY USE].

| O Government hospital | O Outreach worker |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Government health clinic / centre | O Factory / work place |
| O Private hospital | O Supermarket / shop / bar |
| O Private clinic | O Other (specify): |
| O Private doctor | O Doesn't know / doesn't remember |
| O Pharmacy |  |

648. How much time would you or your partner have to travel to obtain the supplies or information about the method?

| O at home or workplace | O 45 to 59 minutes |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Less than 15 minutes | O 1 hour or more |
| O 15 to 29 minutes | O No response |
| O 30 to 44 minutes |  |

649. What is the most important reason why you and your partner are not using the other method?

| O Family planning or health staff won't prescribe it | O Religious reasons |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Too expensive | O Fear of side effects |
| O Not available / Unreliable supply / Difficult access | O Still thinking about it / Have not made up my mind |
| O Source too far away | O Other (specify): |
| O Don't know how to get it | O Don't know / Not sure |
| O Wife / Partner objects to it |  |

650. Do you know where to go if you needed information on sex or contraception?

O Yes
O No
651. Would you know where to go if you needed treatment for a sexually transmitted disease?
O Yes
O No
652. Have you ever tried to obtain contraceptives and been refused?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q701) O Don't remember (SKIP TO Q701)
653. How many times?

| O Five times or less | O Not sure |
| :--- | :--- |
| O six to ten times | O Refused |

O More than ten times

SECTION VII - ATIITUDES TOWARDS CHILDBEARING AND CONTRACEPTION

709. In Jamaica, what is the earliest age a woman can legally consent to having sexual intercourse?

88 There is no legal limit
77 Doesn't know / Doesn't have an opinion
710. Do you know what the punishment will be if it can be proved that a man had sex with a girl under sixteen years of age?
O Yes
O No
711. If you have sex without any protection, do you know of any method the woman can use the next day or after to avoid getting pregnant?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q713)
O Don't know / Not stated (SKIP TO Q713)

| $712 .$ | What is this method?Emergency Contraceptive Protection (Morning After Pill) |  |  |  |  | OFFICE USE ONLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 713. | In your opinion, at w years | 55 <br> 66 <br> 77 | woman responsible enough to have <br> Depends on circumstances One year after entering first union When she is in a stable union | ild? <br> 88 <br> 98 | Other (specify): <br> Doesn't have an | OFFICE USE ONLY <br> on |

714. In your opinion, at what age is a man responsible enough to have his first child?

## OFFICE USE ONLY



55 Depends on circumstances
66 One year after entering first union
77 When he is in a stable union
88 Other (specify):
98 Doesn't have an opinion
715. How old do you think a child should be before the mother stops breastfeeding him / her?


77 As long as possible
98 Don't know
716. When a woman is breastfeeding, is she more likely, less likely or equally likely to become pregnant than if she was not breastreeding?
O More likely to get pregnant
O Equally likely to get pregnant
O Less likely to get pregnant
O Does not have an opinion
717. How old do you think it is best for a child to be before another child is bom?


66 No opinion
77 More than 5 years
88 Fate, up to God

SECTION VIII - GENERAL ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS
Now, I'd like to read some statements to you. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree . . . . .

| 801. | If a woman doesn't have sex, she'll get sick | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agree } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Don't Agree O | Uncertain | No response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 802. | A girt must have a baby by the time she is 18 years old | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 803. | A girt can only get pregnant if she has seen her first period | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 804. | A boy must have sex to show he is a man | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 805. | A girl can avord getting pregnant by having sex standing up, using pepsi or going to the sea | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 806. | It is not necessary to use a condom with a steady parther | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 807. | Boys who have sex early benefit more than those who delay having it | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 808. | There is something wrong with a boy who has no sex by the time he is 16 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 809. | If a boy masturbates, he will get sick | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 810. | If a boy masturbates, he will die | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 811. | If a boy has an erection he will get sick unless he discharges | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 812. | Planning too far ahead is not wise since many things turn out to be a matter of good or bad luck | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 813. | Your life is mostly controlled by people with more power than you | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 814. | To get what you want, you have to conform to the wishes of others | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| 815. | You can generally determine what will happen in your life | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 816. | When you get what you want, it is usually because you worked hard for it | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 817. | In your opinion, who would you regard as "a real man"? |  |  |  |  |
|  | A A sexually responsible person | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | B One who treats a woman good | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | C One who helps in the home | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | D One who has as many women as he wants | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | E One who has as many children as he wants | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | F One who takes sexual risks | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |

END OF INTERVIEW . . . . . . . .THANK YOU!!!!
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## 2002 REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SURVEY JAMAICA



## SECTION I - RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

date and time interview started $\qquad$



## SECTION II - RELATIONSHIP STATUS AND PARTNERSHIP HISTORY

Now, I would like to ask you some questions about your steady relationships.
201. Are you legally married now?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q203)
202. Are you and your husband living together as man and wife now?

O Yes (SKIP TO Q206) O No
203. Are you living with a common-law partner now; that is, are you living as man and wife now with a partner to whom you are not legally married?

O Yes (SKIP TO Q206) O No
204. Do you have a visiting partner, that is, a more or less steady partner with whom you have sexual relations?
O Yes (SKIP TO Q206) O No
205. [IF RESPONDENT IS LEGALLY MARRIED, BUT IS NOT LIVING WITH HUSBAND (Q201 = "YES" AND Q202 = "NO"), ANSWER THIS QUESTION "YES" WITHOUT ASKING. OTHERWISE ASK]: Have you ever been in a partnership; that is, a marriage, a common-law union or a visiting relationship?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q301)
206. What was the month and year when your first marriage, first common-law or first visiting relationship began?

77 Don't know month


29 Don't know year


## SECTION III - FERTILITY

Now, we are going to talk about your history of menstruation and your childbearing history. Some of the questions may not apply to you. In these cases, just say so.

| 301. | How old were you when your first periad started (first started menstruation)? <br> 77 Never had a period (SKIP TO Q303) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 302. | How long has it been since your last period (your last menstruation)? |
| +303. | At what age did you have your first intercourse? <br> 00 Never had sexual intercourse (SKIP TO Q401) <br> 88 Doesn't remember <br> 99 Refused |
| 304. | Were you forced to have sex at your first intercourse? Yes Don't know / Don't remember No Refusal |

305. Are you pregnant now?

O Yes (SKIP TO Q307) O No O Not sure
*306. Have you ever been pregnant?
O,Yes O No (SKIP TO Q401)
Now, we want to collect information on your pregnancies.
307. How many pregnancies resulted in live births?
308. How many in still births?

309 How many pregnancies resulted in miscarriages?
*310. How many births mere induced before time, that is, were aborted?
311. Currently pregnant?
[Yes = "1", No = "0". RECORD FROM Q305]
312. Therefore, the total number of your pregnancies is:


## IF SHE HAD AT LEAST ONE ABORTION (Q310 = "1 OR MORE"). CONTINUE.

ALL OTHERS, SKIP TO Q314.
313. What was the main reason for having this abortion / the last abortion?

| O Pregnancy was life threatening | O Partner did not want (any) children |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Risk of birth defects | O Did not have a partner |
| O Could not afford to have another child | O Other (specify): |
| O Respondent did not want (any) children | O Don't know |



## IF SHE HAS HAD NO LIVE BIRTHS ("O" IN Q307) SKIP TO Q 339 <br> OTHERWISE, CONTINUE.

314. Now, I would like to talk to you about all the live births you have had. Beginning with your last live birth, please give me the names and dates of birth of each. [WHEN YOU HAVE COMPLEIED THE RECORDING, CHECK QUESTION 307 TO ENSURE THAT THE ANSWER IS CONSISIENT. IF NOT, QUERY AND CORRECT AS APPROPRIATE.]


IF LAST LIVE BIRTH WAS BEFORE JANUARY 1, 1997

## SKIP TO Q328

FOR ALL BIRTHS ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 1997, RECORD THE NAMES AND LINE NUMBERS FROM Q314 IN THE BIRTH HISTORY CHART ON NEXT PAGE.


LINE NUMBER NAME
$\square$

LINE NUMBER
NAME



| 315. When you became pregnant with [NAME], did you want to become pregnant? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 316. Was it that you wanted no more children or just wanted to wait longer before another pregnancy? | Wanted no more children Wanted to wait longer Don't know | Wanted no more children Wanted to wait longer Don't know |
| 317. When you were pregnant with [NAME], were you given any injection to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, lock jaw? | Yes - for tetanus Yes - don't know what for No (SKIP TO Q319) | Yes - for tetanus Yes - don't know what for No (SKIP TO Q319) |
| 318. How many injections were given? | $\square$ Number 8 Don't remember | $\square$ Number 8 Don't remember |
| 319. When you were pregnant with [NAME], did you see anyone for a prenatal check on this pregnancy? | ```O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q323)``` | O Yes (SKIP TO Q323) |
| 320. Where did you go for most of this care? | Gov't health centre / clinic Government hospital Private hospital Rural matemity centre Private doctor / clinic OFFICE USE ONLY Midwife Other $\qquad$ | Gov't health centre / clinic Government hospital Private hospital Rural maternity centre Private doctor / clinic Midwife Other $\qquad$ |
| 321. How many times did you go? | $\square$ times 77 Can't remember / more | $\square$ times 77Can't remember / more <br> than 9 times |
| 322. In what month of the pregnancy did the prenatal care begin? | $\square$ month 0 Don't remember | $\square$ month 0 Don't remember |
| 323. Where did you give birth to [NAME]? <br> HOSPITAL CODES <br> A = Victoria Jubilee Hospital (VJH); <br> University Hospital of the West Indies (UHWI); <br> Cornwall Regional Hospital (CRH). <br> B = Savanna-la-mar Hospital (SLMH); <br> Mandeville Hospital (MH); <br> St. Ann's Bay Hospital (SABH); <br> Spanish Town Hospital (STH). | A B Other Government hospital Private hospital Private nursing home Rural maternity centre Own home <br> OFFICE USE ONLY Home of relative / friend Other $\qquad$ | A B Other Government hospital Private hospital Private nursing home Rural maternity centre Own home <br> OFFICE USE ONLY Home of relative / friend Other $\qquad$ |
| 324. Who is the main person who assisted with the delivery of [NAME]? | Doctor Trained Nurse / Midwife <br> OFFICE USE ONLY Nana Other $\qquad$ No one | Doctor Trained Nurse / Midwife <br> OFFICE USE ONLY Nana Other $\qquad$ No one |
| 325. Was [NAME] delivered by a normal delivery, by forceps or by a Caesarean Section? | ```Normal delivery O Caesarean delivery Forceps delivery``` | ```O Normal delivery O Caesarean delivery O Forceps delivery``` |
| 326. Is [NAME] still alive? | O Yes (SKIP to box) O No | O Yes (SKIP TO BOX) O No |
| 7. IF DEAD: How old was [NAME] when <br> he / she died? [RECORD DAYS IF <br> UNDER 30 DAYS, MONTHS IF UNDER <br> O 12 MONTHS, YEARS IF 12 MONTHS <br> © AND OVER! | $\square$ year(s) $\square$ month(s) |  |
| IF NO OTHER LIVE BIRTHS, CONTINUE WITH Q328 ON NEXT PAGE. OTHERWISE, CONTINUE WITH NEXT BIRTH; THAT IS, RETURN TO QUESTION 315. | IF NO OTHER LIVE BIRTHS, CONTINUE WITH Q328 ON NEXT PAGE. OTHERWISE, CONTINUE WITH NEXT BIRTH; THAT IS, RETURN TO QUESTION 315. |  |

BIRTH HISTORY CHART (Only for live births occurring from January 1,1997)


| 328. | NAME OF LAST BORN CHILD (SEE Q314-CODE "0")? <br> NAME $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 329. | Did you have any seizures or convulsions while giving birth to |  |
|  | How many months after $\qquad$ 's birth did your period (menstruation) return? <br> [NAME] <br> 00 Less than 1 month <br> 66 Don't remember <br> 77 Not yet returned <br> 88 Did not return and have become pregnant again |  |
|  | How many weeks after $\qquad$ 's birth did you resume sexual relations? <br> [NAME] <br> 00 Less than 1 week <br> 66 Don't remember <br> 77 Not yet resumed <br> 88 More than 1 Year |  |
| 332. | Were you tested for HIV/AIDS when you were pregnant with $\qquad$ $?$ Yes Don't know / Not sure <br> [NAME] No Refused |  |
|  | Did you breastfeed $\qquad$ ? <br> [NAME] <br> O Yes No (SKIP TO Q337) |  |
| 334. | How soon (in minutes, hours or days) after the baby's birth did you first breas her to the breast? <br> [INTERVIEWER: RECORD AS MINUTES, HOURS OR DAYS BUT ENTER ONE <br> minutes hours | if, that is, first put him |
| 335. | Are you still breastreeding $\qquad$ ? <br> [NAME] Yes (SKIP TO BOX BEFORE Q338) No |  |
| 336. | How many months did you breastfeed $\qquad$ $?$ months |  |
| 337. | Why did you never breastfeed $\qquad$ $?$ <br> [NAME] Inconvenient Baby refused Had to work Child sick Insufficient milk Child died Had nowhere to store milk Other (specify): $\qquad$ | OFFICE USE ONLY |
|  | SKIP TO QUESTION 339 |  |




## SECTION IV -WOMEN'S HEALTH

Now, I would like to ask you some questions about your health.

403. When was your last routine gynecologic examination (not pregnancy related)? [READ 1-4]
1 O within the last year
4 O 3 or more years ago
2 O 1 - 2 years ago ( $12-23$ months)
9 O Don't know / Don't remember
3 O 2-3 years ago (24-35 months)
404. A Pap Smear is a test for cancer of the cervix which is done during a pelvic examination by a doctor or nurse. How long has it been since your last Pap Smear, if ever?

O Less than 1 year (SKIP TO Q406) O More than 3 years ago (SKIP TO Q406)
O 1 year, less than 2 years ago (SKIP TO Q406)
O Never had one
O 2 to 3 years (SKIP TO Q406)
O Don't know / Not sure (SKIP TO Q410)
405. What is the main reason why you have never had a Pap Smear?

| O Never heard of it | O She is afraid it could be painful |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Doctor has not recommended it | O Too embarrassed to get the test or a pelvic examination |
| O She is healthy and has no gynecologic problems | O She has no partner / She is not sexually active |
| O She does not feel test is necessary | O Other (specify): |
| O Does not have time to go for test / She forgets about it | O Don't know |
| O Never thought of it | O Refused to answer |

She is afraid of the results

## ALL SKIP TO QUESTION 410

406. After the test, were you told that you needed follow-up treatment?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q410)
O Don't remember (SKIP TO Q410)
407. Did you go for this treatment?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q410)
O Don't remember (SKIP TO Q410)
408. What was this treatment?

409. When was this repeat smear done?


29 Don't remember
410. How often, if ever, do you examine your breast for lumps?

O Monthly
O Less than once a month, at least once per year
O Less than once per year

O Never (SKIP TO Q413)
O Don't know / Not sure (SKIP TO Q413)
411. When doing your breast examination, did you ever find a suspicious lump?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q413) O Don't know / Not sure (SKIP TO Q413)
412. When you found the lump, did you go to see a doctor for advice?
O Yes
O No
O Refused
413. Have you ever been taught by a doctor or other health professional to do a breast self-examination to check for lumps?

O Yes
O No
O Don't know / Don't remember
414. How many women in your famly who are blood relatives (mother and sisters only) have had breast cancer?

415. Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your whole life? [ 100 cigarettes is about 5 packs].

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q418)
416. How old were you when you started smoking regularly?

417. Do you smoke cigarettes now?
O Yes
O No
O Refused
418. In your whole life, have you had 12 drinks containing alcohol, that is, beer, wine, vadka, rum, whiskey, etc.?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q422) O Refused (SKIP TO Q422)
419. In the past month, have you had a drink containing alcohol?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q421) O Don't remember / Refused (SKIP TO Q421)
420. In the past month, have you had 5 or more drinks of alcohol on one occasion?
O Yes
O No
O Don't remember / Refused

421. How many alcoholic drinks do you have in a typical week?

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | number of drinks | 00 No drinks / only a few sips |
|  | 88 Don't know |  |
|  | 99 Refused |  |

422. Now, I will ask you about some medical conditions that you may have had. Has a doctor ever told you that you have $\qquad$ [READ UST]

| A Diabetes | YES | NO | DR | REF |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B Anemia | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| C Heart disease | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| D Pelvic Inflammatory Disease (PID) | $O$ | $C$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| E Urinary tract infection | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| F Asthma | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| G Hepatitis B | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |

423. In the past 12 months, have you had a vaginal discharge that was not menstrual?
$O$ Yes
O Not sure (SKIP TO Q427)
O No (SKIP TO Q427)
O Refused (SKIP TO Q427)
424. Along with the discharge, did you have any ............? [READ LIST]

| A Itching | YES | NO | NOT SURE |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| B Painful unination | O | O | O |
| C Painful intercourse | O | O | O |
| D Lower abdominal pain | O | O | O |

425. Did you have any treatment for this(ese) condition(s)?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q427)
O Not sure (SKIP TO Q427)

426. Where have you been treated?

O Government hospital
O Government health clinic / centre
O Private hospital
O Private clinic
O Private doctor
O Pharmacy

## O Factory / workplace

O Self-treatment
O Treatment by friend / relative
O Other (specify): $\qquad$ OFFICE USE ONLY
430. EVER BEEN PREGNANT? ["YES" IN Q306].

O Yes (CONTINUE) O No (SKIP TO Q501)
431. During your last (this) pregnancy, how often did you (do you) usually drink beer, stout, wine, rum, liquor or other alcoholic drinks?
O Not at all
O 3 or 4 days a week
O Less than once a month
O Nearly every day
O 1 or 2 days a month
O Don't remember

O 1 or 2 days a week
432. During your last (this) pregnancy, how many cigarettes did you (do you) usually smoke?
O None
O About 1 pack a day
O About one a day or less
O About 2 packs a day
O2-10 a day
O More than 2 packs a day
O 11-19 a day
O Don't remember
433. During your last (this) pregnancy, did you (do you) use any of the following hard drugs? [READ]

| A Marïuana (ganja) | YES | NO | REFUSED |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B Cocaine | O | O | O |
| C Crack | O | O | O |


434. During your last (this) pregnancy, was your blood pressure ever checked?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q436)
O Don't remember (SKIP TO Q436)
435. During your last (this) pregnancy, were you told that your blood pressure was high?
O Told two or more times
O Was never told
O Told once only
O Don't know / Don't remember
436.

During your last (this) pregnancy, did you have convulsions or fits?
O Yes
O No
O Don't remember


## SECTION V - CONTRACEPTIVE KNOWLEDGE AND USAGE

Now, I would like to talk about methods that people use to avoid becoming pregnant
501. a. READ THE NAME OF EACH METHOD AND MARK "YES" OR "NO" IN COLUMN 1 AS APPROPRIATE.
b. THEN, IF CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD IS KNOWN BY RESPONDENT, ASK ABOUT USE OF THAT METHOD AND MARK "YES" OR "NO" IN COLUMN 2 AS APPROPRIATE.

IF NOT KNOWN, GO TO THE NEXT METHOD.

| Method |  | COLUMN 1 |  | COLUMN 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Have you ever heard of [METHOD] |  | IF HEARD OF, ASK: <br> Have you / your partner ever used it? |  |
|  |  | YES | NO | YES | NO |
| A | Female sterilization, tubal figation | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| B | Male stenlization, vasectomy | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| C | Implant (Norp/ant) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| D | Injection | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| E | Pill | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| F | Emergency Contraceptive Protection Pill (ECP) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| G | IUD / Coil | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| H | Condom | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 1 | Foaming Tablets / Creams / Jellies | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| J | Diaphragm | O | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| K | Abstinence | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| L | Withdrawal | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| M | Rhythm, Calendar, Billings | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| $N$ | Other specify: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## OFFICE USE ONLY


502. HAS RESPONDENT EVER USED AT LEAST ONE METHOD OF CONTRACEPTION [AT LEAST ONE "YES" IS MARKED IN COLUMN 2 IN Q501].

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q518)
503. How old were you when you first used contracepbion?

504. [IF NEVER HAD ANY LIVE BIRTHS (Q307 = "OO"), CODE "00" AND CONTINUE. OTHERWISE ASK]: How many living children did you have when you first used contraception?
number 29 Don't remember
505. Are you and your partner currently using a method of contraception or doing anything to prevent pregnancy?

O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q516)




536. What is the most important reason why you and your partner are not using the other method?


QUESTIONS 538-542 ARE ONLY FOR WOMEN WHO DO NOT WANT MORE CHILDREN
538. Would you or your partner be interested in an operation that would prevent you from having any (more) children?

O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q549) O Not sure




## SECTION VI - ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION, CHILDBEARING AND CURRENT SEXUAL ACTIVITY

601. EVER HAD SEX [DO NOT ASK. SEE ANSWER IN QUESTION 303].

O Yes (CONTINUE WITH Q602) O No (SKIP TO Q625)
602. Have you had sexual intercourse in the last 30 davs ?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q604)
603. With how many men have you had sexual intercourse in the last 30 days ?


77 Don't Remember - less than 10
98 Don't Remember
99 Refused

SKIP TO QUESTION 605
604. Have you had sexual intercourse in the last 3 months ?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q606)
605. With how many men have you had sexual intercourse in the last 3 months ?


77 Don't Remember but less than 10
88 Don't Remember but 10 or more
98 Don't Remember

99 Refused
606. What was your relationship to the last person with whom you had sexual intercourse ?

| O Husband/Partner | O visiting Partner | O Boyfriend |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O casual acquaintance | O Mother's partner | O Other relative's partner | OFFICE USE ONLY |
| O Friend | O Other (specify): |  | $\square$ |

607. Did you or the man involved use a contraceptive method the last time you had sexual intercourse?

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q611) O Can't remember/Don't know (SKIP TO Q611)
608. What was this method?

| O Female sterilization, tubal ligation | O Male sterilization, vasectomy | O Implant (Norplant) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Injection | O pill | O Morning after pill (ECP) |
| O IUD / Coil | O Condom | O Foaming tablets / cream / jelly |
| O Diaphragm | O Withdrawal office use owly | O Rhythm, Calender, Billings |
| O Other (specify) : |  |  |

609. At the same time, did you or the man involved also use a second contraceptive method for disease prevention or contraception the last time you had sexual intercourse?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q611)
610. What was this method?

| O Female sterilization, tubal ligation | O Male sterilization, vasectomy | O Implant (Norplant) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Injection | O pill | O Moming after pill (ECP) |
| O IUD / Coil | O Condom | O Foaming tablets / cream / jelly |
| O Diaphragm | O withdrawal OFFICE USE ONLY | O Rhythm, Calendar, Billings |
| O Other (specify): |  |  |

611. Have you ever asked a partner to use a condom?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q613)
612. Has any of the following ever happened to you because you asked a partner to use a condom? [READ A-E]

| A He refused to wear a condom? | YES | NO | DK | REF |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B He refused to have sex with you? | O | O | O | O |
| C He threatened you with physical violence? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | O |
| D He threatened never to go out with you again? | O | O | O | O |
| E He forced you to have sex without a condom? | O | O | O | O |

613. Has a partner ever suggested to you that he wear a condom?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q615)
614. Did you ever do the following because a partner wanted to wear a condom? [READ OPTIONS A-D]

|  | AES | NO | DK | REF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B You refused to let him wear a condom? | You refused to have sex with him? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |
| C You threatened never to go out with him again? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |  |
| D You suspected that he had other partners? | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ |  |

615. CURRENT CONDOM USER:

O Yes (CODE 8 in Q506 OR Q508) O No (SKIP TO Q619)
616. Why do you and your partner use condoms?

O Prevent pregnancy O Other (specify): $\qquad$
O Prevent sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) including AIDS
O Both

617. How often do you use condoms when you have sexual intercourse with a steady partner? [READ OPTIONS 1-4]
1 O A/ways
4 O Never
2 O Most of the time
5 O Have no steady partner
3 O seldom
9 O Refused to answer
618. How often do you use condoms when you have sexual intercourse with a non-steady partner?
[READ OPTIONS 1-4]
1 O A/ways
4 O Never
2 O Most of the time
5Never had non-steady partner
3 O seldom
$9 \bigcirc$ Refused to answer

| K | Have you ever in your lifetime been forced to have sexual intercourse? Yes Not Sure (SKIP YO Q622) No (SKIP TO Q622) Refused <br> (SKIP TO Q622) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 620. | How many times? One time Two to five times Not sure Six to ten times Refused |  |
|  | By whom? [INTERVIEWER: IF MORE THAN ONE INDIVIDUAL, ASK: WHO WAS IT THE LAST TIME], Husband / Common-law partner Visiting partner Boyfriend Gang rape Friend Other (specify): $\qquad$ Casual acquaintance Refusal Mother's partner | OFFICE USE ONLY $\square$ |
| 622. | Have you ever received any money or goods in exchange for sex? Yes Don't Remember (SKIP TO Q625) No (SKIP TO Q625) Refused <br> (SKIP TO Q625) |  |
| 623. | How many times? One time Two to five times Not sure Six to ten times Refused |  |
| 624. | Were you in school when this (any of these) transaction (s) occurred? In school Both in school and out of school Out of school Don't remember |  |
| 625. | Over the past year, have you ever had.. [READ ALTERNATIVES]. <br> A A discharge from the sex organ due to disease? <br> B A sore on the sex organ? or syphilis? | REF <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> O |
| $626 .$ | In your opinion, if a woman takes the pill correctly, how sure can she be that she will not become pregnant? [READ OPTIONS 1-4] |  |


627. In your opinion, how safe for a moman's health is the pill? [READ OPTIONS 1-4]

| 1 O completely safe | 4 O Unsafe |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 O Almost completely safe | 7 O Depends on the woman |
| 3 O Not very safe | 9 Don't know |

628. In your opinion, how safe for a woman's health is the contraceptive injection? [READ OPTIONS 1-4].
1 O Completely safe
2 O A/most completely safe
4 O Unsafe
7 O Depends on the woman
3 O Not very safe
9 O Don't know
629. In your opinion. If a couple uses a condom correctly, how sure can the woman be that she will not become pregnant? [READ OPTIONS 1-4].
1 O Completely sure
4 O Not sure at all
2 O Almost sure
9 O Don't know
3 O Some risk of pregnancy
630. In your opinion, if a couple uses a condom correctly, how sure can the woman be that she will not get a sexually transmitted disease? [READ OPTIONS 1 - 4].
1 O completely sure
4 O Not sure at all
2 O A/most sure
9 O Don't know
3 O Some risk of getting STD
631. Have you ever tried to obtain contraceptives and been refused?
O Yes O Don't remember (SKIP TO Q634)
O No (SKIP TO Q634)
632. How many times?

| O Five times or less | O Not sure |
| :--- | :--- |
| O six to ten times | O Refused |
| O More than ten times |  |

633. Where was it and what was the gender of the (last) person who refused to provide the contraceptive? [READ LIST].


Now, I would like to ask you about your attitude towards childbearing.


## SECTION VII - FAMILY LIFE AND SEX EDUCATION [FOR RESPONDENTS AGED 15-24 YEARS]

701. AGE OF RESPONDENT: [SEE QUESTION 102]

O 15-24 [CONTINUE WITH Q702] O 25-49[SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q901]
702. For young people your age who have sexual intercourse, what do you think is the most appropriate method to use to avoid pregnancy?

O Female sterilization, tubal ligation
O Male sterilization, vasectomy
O Implant (Norplant)
O Injection
O pill
O Morning after pill (ECP)
O rud / Coil
O Condom
O Foaming tables / creams / jellies

O Diaphragm
O withdrawal
O Rhythm
O Billings method
O Abstinence
O None
O Doesn't know
O Doesn't answer
O Other (specify): $\qquad$
OFFICE USE ONLY

703. Could you afford to use this method?
O Yes
O No
O Doesn't know
704. [FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NEVER HAD A PERIOD - (Q301 = "77"), ASK]:

Have you ever received any information from your parents or guardians about menstruation? [IN ALL OTHER CASES, ASK]:
Before you started having periods, did you ever receive any information from your parents or guardians about menstruation?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q706)
O Doesn't know (SKIP TO Q706)
705. How difficult was it to discuss the subject of menstruation with your parents or guardians?
O Easy O Extremely difficult

O Somewhat difficult O Don't know / Not sure
706. [FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NEVER HAD A PERIOD (Q301 = "77"), ASK]:

Have you ever received any information from your parents or guardians about pregnancy and how it occurs? [IN ALL OTHER CASES, ASK]:
Before you started having periods, did you ever receive any information from your parents or guardians about pregnancy and how it occurs?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q708)
O Doesn't know (SKIP TO Q708)
707. How difficult was it to discuss the subject of pregnancy with your parents or guardians?

O Easy O Somewhat difficult O Extremely difficult Don't know / Not sure
708. [FOR THOSE WHO HAVE NEVER HAD SEX (Q303 = " 00 "), ASK]:

Have you ever received any information from your parents or guardians about pregnancy and how it occurs? [IN ALL OTHER CASES, ASK]:
Before you started having sex, did you ever receive any information from your parents or guardians about pregnancy and how it occurs?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Q710)
O Doesn't know (SKIP TO Q710)



725. What do you think is the ideal age when a child should first be given sex education?


29 Don't know / Has no opinion
726. Do you know where to go if you need to get information on sex or contraception?
O Yes
O No
727. Would you know where to go if you needed treatment for a sexually transmitted disease?

O Yes
O No
728. Which is your preferred source of information about family life or sex education topics? [READ OPTIONS 1 - 9].
1 O Parents / guardians
2 O sisters or brothers
3 O Telephone Counsellor (Marge Roper)
4 O Teachers
5 O peers / friends
6 O Radio

7 O Television
8 O Audiovisual materials
9 O Printed materials
OFFICE USE ONLY

En

| $\circ$ |
| :--- |
| 8 |

28 O Other (specify):
$\qquad$
29 O Don't know
O Radio



| 733. Did any of these programmes cause you to behave differenty? <br> O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q735) O Don't know (SKIP TO Q735) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 734. | Which? [READ OPTIONS A TO E]. <br> A Teen Seen $\qquad$ <br> B NFPB Youth Forum $\qquad$ <br> C Radio Drama for men $\qquad$ <br> D Radio commercials $\qquad$ <br> E $T V$ commercials. $\qquad$ Other (specify): <br> F $\qquad$ <br> G $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\text { No }}{\mathrm{O}}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> O <br> 0 |  | USE ONLY |
| 735. | Have you ever heard any messages on the VOUTH.NOW program?O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q737) O Don't know / Not sure (SKIP to Q737) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 736. | Did any of these messages include information on the followid <br> A Type of services available to adolescents <br> B Using dual methods <br> C Sources of information <br> D Sources of contraception <br> E Popular myths and beliefs relating to contraception. | wing? [REA <br> Yes <br> O <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathrm{No}}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DK/D } \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 737. | Have you ever been involved in extra-curricular activities which could help you to prevent early sexual activity? Yes Don't remember (SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q801) No (SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q801) Refused <br> (SKIP TO STATEMENT BEFORE Q801) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 738. | What were these activities? <br> A Sporting activities $\qquad$ <br> B Debating $\qquad$ <br> C Church activities $\qquad$ <br> D Educational activities (extra lessons, etc.). <br> E Social activities $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify): <br> F $\qquad$ <br> G $\qquad$ <br> H $\qquad$ | Yes <br> O <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | No <br> 0 <br> O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> O <br> 0 |  | fice use only $\square$ |

## SECTION VIII - EARLY SEXUAL EXPERIENCE AND CHILDBEARING NOTE: THIS SECTION IS ALSO FOR RESPONDENTS AGED 15 TO 24 YEARS

Now, I'd like to ask you some personal questions which are important to the study.




Now, the next four questions [Q815 - Q818] are about your youngest child with that previous partner.
815. Does the baby facher help you with the care or financial support of the child? [READ RESPONSES 1-3]
1 O At ail times
3 O seldom
2 O Sometimes
4 O No (SKIP TO Q818)
816. What kind of help does he give you? [READ OPTIONS A TO C].

817. Do you think the help he gives you is important or not important in taking care of his child?
O Important
O Not important
818. Who is the main source of help?

| O Baby's father | O Mother's relative |
| :--- | :--- |
| O Current partner | O Father's relative |
| O Maternal grandparent(s) | O Don't receive help |
| O Paternal grandparent(s) | O Other (specify): |
| O Friend / neighbour |  |

819. How many children do you have who are still alive?

O One living child (SKIP TO Q823) O Two or more living children (CONTINUE)
820. Does anyone help with the care or financial support of your child / children with your current husband / partner?

O Yes O No other (living) children with current husband/partner (SKIP TO Q823)
O No (SKIP TO Q823)
821. What kind of help do you get?

|  |  | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Chld care | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| B | Fnancial help | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | gits | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

NO
O
0
O
office use only
 0
0

822. Who is the main source of help?

| O Husband / partner | O Partner's parents / relative |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Respondent's mother | O Partner's grandparents |  |
| O Respondent's grandmother | O Partner's other relative | OFFICE USE ONLY |
| O Other relative | Other (specify): |  |


823. PREGNANT NOW [DO NOT ASK. CHECK Q305 OR Q311]

O Yes O No (SKIP TO Q825) O Doesn't know (SKIP TO Q825)
824. What type of support do you get from this prospective "baby father"? [READ RESPONSES].

| O None/No relationship at this time | O Gives emotional and financial support | OFFICE USE ONLY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O Gives emotional support only | O Other support (specify): |  |
| O Gives financial support only |  |  |

825. Do you and your partner discuss the type of family you want to have together such as $\qquad$ ? [READ]
A Number of children you may have in the future
YES
NO
B Spacing of children
O
O
C Adoption
O
O
0
826. Do you feel loved by and connected to at least one significant adult?
O Yes
O No (SKIP TO Section IX)
O Doesn't answer (SKIP TO Section IX)
827. What is the relationship of this adult to you?

O Husband / Common-law partner
O visiting partner
O Boyfriend
O Friend
O Casual acquaintance

O Mother
O Mother's partner
O Father
O Other relative / relative of partner
O other (specify):
office USE ONLY


SECTION IX - GENERAL ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS

Now, I'd like to read some statements to you. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree . . . . . .

| 901. | If a woman doesn't have sex, she'l/ get sick | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agree } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Don't Agree 0 | Uncertain 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { response } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 902. | A gird must have a baby by the time she is 18 years old | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 903. | A git can only get pregnant if she has seen her first period | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 904. | A boy must have sex to show he is a man | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 905. | A girt can avoid getting pregnant by having sex standing up, using pepsi or going to the sea | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 906. | It is not necessary to use a condom with a steady partner | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 907. | Planning too far ahead is not wise since many things turn out to be a matter of good or bad /uck | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 908. | Your life is mostly controlled by people with more power than you | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 909. | To get what you want, you have to conform to the wishes of others | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 910. | What others in your famly want should always come first before what you want | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 911. | You can generally determine what will happen in your life | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 912. | When you get what you want, it is usually because you worked hard for it | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 913. | In your opinion, who would you regard as "a real man"? <br> A A sexually responsible person <br> B One who treats a woman good <br> C One who helps in the home <br> D One who has as many women as he wants <br> E One who has as many children as he wants <br> F One who takes sexual risks | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

## END OF INTERVIEW
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COMMENTS
$\frac{9}{8}$
$\stackrel{8}{8}$


[^0]:    1 Women are postpartum amenorrheic who have not seen a period since their last birth.
    2 Women who are amenorrheic and/or have not yet resumed sexual intercourse at the time of the survey are classified as postpartum insusceptible.

[^1]:    * Data missing for 17 young women.
    ** Data missing for 18 young women.

[^2]:    * Data missing for 13 women.
    ** Data missing for 77 of the 7156 women.
    *** Data missing for 77 of the 7156 women.

[^3]:    * Data missing for 45 women.
    ** Data missing for 51 women.

[^4]:    * Data missing for 77 women.

[^5]:    * "When she is mature" is derived (as in 1997) from the answer - "When she is in a stable union".
    ** Data missing for 77 women.
    *** Data missing for 77 women.

[^6]:    * Data missing for 77 women.

[^7]:    * Data missing for 12 women.

[^8]:    * 

    Data missing for 59 women.

[^9]:    * Data missing for 32 women.

[^10]:    * Parishes are listed in descending order according to percentage of pregnancies for which prenatal care began in the first or second month of pregnancy.
    ** Data are missing for 28 births for which source of prenatal care could not be classified as either public or private.

[^11]:    * Parishes are listed in descending order according to percentage of pregnancies for which prenatal care in 2002 began in the first or second month of pregnancy.
    ** Data are missing for 23 births for which source of prenatal care could not be classified as either public or private.

[^12]:    * Pregnancies of women 16 years old or less at the time of conception or 30 years old or more with no previous
    pregnancies, 35 years old with 2 or more previous pregnancies or more than 5 pregnancies at any age, are considered in Jamaica to be
    "high-risk" using age and parity criteria. There are other criteria not measured by this survey.
    ** Parishes are listed in the order that the pregnancies in 2002 are identified as "high risk".

[^13]:    * These criteria are:(1) Prefiatal care must begin by the 4th month; (2) For low risk multiparous women a minimum of 5 visits; (3) For low risk first pregnancies a minimum of 6 visits; (4) For all high-risk pregnancies a minimum of 10 visits.
    ** Fewer than 25 cases. *** Parishes are listed in the order of percentages of all pregnancies in 2002 for which care was inadequate.
    **** Data missing for 28 women who received inadequate prenatal care and 11 women with high risk pregnancies in 2002 who did not state the source of prenatal care.

[^14]:    * According to Ministry of Health guidelines on prenatal care for high risk pregnancies, "adequate care" is defined as care beginning by the $4^{\text {th }}$ month of pregnancy and consisting of 10 or more visits; "partially adequate care" is any care beginning by the $4^{\mathbf{4}}$ month and consisting of 6-9 visits; and "inadequate care" is care beginning at any time and consisting of less than 6 visits.
    ** Parishes are listed in the order in which visits for prenatal care were inadequate. *** Fewer than 25 cases.
    **** Data missing for 11 women who did not state the source of prenatal care.

[^15]:    * According to Ministry of Health guidelines on prenatal care for high risk pregnancies, "adequate care" is defined as care beginning by the $4^{\text {th }}$ month of pregnancy and consisting of 10 or more visits; "partially adequate care" is any care beginning by the $4^{\text {th }}$ month and consisting of $6-9$ visits; and "inadequate care" is care beginning at any time and consisting of less than 6 visits.
    ** $\quad$ Data missing for 42 high-risk pregnancies.
    ${ }_{* * * *}^{*} \quad$ Parishes are listed in the order in which visits for prenatal care are not considered to be adequate in 2002.
    **** Fewer than 25 cases.
    ***** Data missing for 16 women who did not state the source of their prenatal care.

[^16]:    * The criteria for normal risk pregnancies are: (1) Prenatal care must begin by the 4th month; (2) a minimum of 5 visits for multiparous women: a minimum of 6 visits for multiparous women.
    ** Data missing for first pregnancies: 10 in 2002 and 42 in 1997; for subsequent pregnancies: 20 in 2002 and 61 in 1997
    *** Parishes are listed in the order in which prenatal care for first pregnancies were classified as inadequate in 2002.
    **** Fewer than 25 cases. ***** Data missing for 10 first pregnancies and 18 subsequent pregnancies in 2002 and 9 first pregnancies and 156 subsequent pregnancies in 1997 who did not state the source of the prenatal care.

[^17]:    * Data missing for 75 women.

[^18]:    1 Coverage for the 1993 survey was confined to women aged 15-44 years.

[^19]:    -- Not covered in the survey.

[^20]:    * For purposes of comparison with the 1993 CPS, women aged 45-49 are excluded. The analysis includes only women who have ever used contraception and who remember the number of children they had when they first started using it.
    ** Data missing for 53 women in 2002 and 35 women in 1997.
    *** Data missing for 72 women in 2002 and 39 women in 1997.

[^21]:    * Includes legally married, common law union and visiting partner.
    ** The 1993 survey included women 15-44 years of age only.
    *** Data missing for 77 women and 55 women in union in 2002.

[^22]:    * Female sterilization, vasectomy, injection, pill, IUD, condom, spermicides, diaphragm.
    ** Withdrawal and natural methods, such as the calendar, Billings and rhythm methods.
    *** Data missing for 77 women who did not state their years of schooling.

[^23]:    * Female sterilization, vasectomy, injection, pill, IUD, condom, spermicides and diaphragm.
    ** Withdrawal and natural methods, such as the calendar, Billings and rhythm methods.
    *** Data missing for 77 of the 7168 women represented.

[^24]:    - Age Group 45-49 not covered in this Survey.
    * Data are missing for one woman in the 1993 CPS.

[^25]:    * Receives contraception at home or workplace from an Outreach Worker.

[^26]:    * Data missing for 77 women.

[^27]:    * Currently using as a primary or secondary method.

[^28]:    * Parishes are listed in descending order according to the percentage who, in 2002, were completely sure that the condom was effective in preventing sexually transmitted diseases.

[^29]:    1 The age group 15-44 years has been used to provide comparisons with earlier years.

[^30]:    * Data missing for 10 women in 2002 who did not know their age at sterilization.
    ** Data missing for 46 women in 2002 who did not reply to frequency of attendance at church.

[^31]:    * Information on education is missing for 2 women in 2002 who do not want more children and are not interested in being sterilized.

[^32]:    * Women are defined as being in need of family planning services who are fecund, sexually active, not currently pregnant, not currently desiring a pregnancy, and not using a contraceptive method.
    - $\quad$ Not asked in the Survey
    ** Data missing for 63 women in 2002 and 44 women in 1997.
    *** Data missing for one woman in 1997. **** Data missing for 73 women in 2002 and 47 women in 1997.

[^33]:    * Data missing for 2 men in 1997.

