

HHS Public Access

Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019 April; 19(2): 86–91. doi:10.1097/ACI.000000000000502.

Impact of occupational exposure on human microbiota

Peggy S. Lai^{1,2,3} and David C. Christiani^{1,2,3}

Author manuscript

¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, United States of America

²Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States of America

³Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States of America

Abstract

Purpose of review—Recent evidence suggests that environmental exposures change the adult human microbiome. Here we review recent evidence on the impact of the work microbiome and work-related chemical, metal, and particulate exposures on the human microbiome.

Recent findings—Prior literature on occupational microbial exposures have focused mainly on the respiratory effects of endotoxin, but a recent study suggests that not all endotoxin is the same; endotoxin from some species is pro-inflammatory, while endotoxin from other species is antiinflammatory. Work with animals can change the adult human microbiome, likely through colonization. Early studies in military personnel and animal models of gulf war illness show that military exposures changes the gut microbiome and increases gut permeability. Heavy metal and particulate matter exposure, which are often elevated in occupational settings, also changes the gut microbiome.

Summary—An emerging body of literature shows that work-related exposures can change the human microbiome. The health effects of these changes are currently not well studied. If work exposures lead to disease through alterations in the human microbiome, exposure cessation without addressing changes to the human microbiome may be ineffective for disease prevention and treatment.

Keywords

Microbiome; work

Introduction

Why would a person's work environment influence their microbiome, and how does that influence health? Annually in the United States, over half a million cases of occupational illness are reported, costing over 121 billion dollars in lost wages, productivity, and medical

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Corresponding Author: Peggy S. Lai, MD MPH, 55 Fruit Street, Bulfinch 148, Boston, MA 02114. pslai@hsph.harvard.edu. **Contributions:** PL participated in the conceptual design and writing of the manuscript with input from all authors.

expenses (1). Work-related asthma(2) and allergies(3) are common. It is well established that work-related environmental exposures are often orders of magnitude higher than in everyday life, spanning the spectrum of bioaerosols, chemicals, metals, and particles. Recent studies suggest both that the microbiome may change in response to toxic exposures, and also that the microbiome may modulate the effect of these exposures(4). The purpose of this review is to highlight what we currently know about the influence of occupational exposures on the human microbiome. Research in this field is currently sparse, therefore we will not solely focus on allergic and inflammatory disease such as asthma. We will distinguish between the effects of biotic and abiotic occupational exposures on the human microbiome.

Caveats

Compared to the fields of ecology and marine biology, the study of the microbiome in humans is relatively new and rapidly evolving. In interpreting the literature, it is important to keep a few principles in mind when evaluating studies. First, correlation is not causation. Most early microbiome studies in humans were either cross-sectional (e.g. (5, 6)) or did not control for important confounders(7, 8) such as medication use (including non-antibiotic medications(9)). These limitations lead to a classic "chicken or egg" question: Did changes in the microbiome occur because the disease led to changes in anatomy or mucosal immunity favoring certain microbes, or do microbiome changes precede and lead to disease development? Randomized controlled trials can address these limitations, but currently no such trials exist in the context of work-related exposures. Animal models are sometimes used to address this issue, but may not approximate the effect size seen in humans.

Second, the sequencing technology used to study the human microbiome, as well as methods and suggested reporting standards for reporting microbiome studies, are changing rapidly. Every step of a microbiome study, from sample handling, storage, DNA extraction, and sequencing can impact the resulting sequencing data generated(10). Furthermore, there may be inadvertent contamination though sample handling or microbial contamination of laboratory reagents used for microbial DNA extraction(11). There have always been some differences in the bioinformatics pipelines used to analyzed amplification and sequencing of a marker gene such as the 16S rRNA gene, However, in the last two years, analysis of such amplicon data have shifted from grouping sequences into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) based on a fixed dissimilarity threshold (usually 3%) to focusing on amplicon sequence variants (ASVs)(12, 13) that can differentiate between microbes based on single nucleotide differences at the marker gene. These differences in resolution mean that OTUs and ASVs are not directly comparable.

Finally, while amplicon sequencing of microbial DNA can allow rapid characterization of which microbes are present ("microbiota"), shotgun metagenomics sequencing, which sequences all microbial DNA and not just marker genes such as the 16S rDNA gene, can identify all microbial genes present, giving the researcher a sense of what metabolic functions the microbial community is capable of ("microbiome"). The Human Microbiome Project has consistently demonstrated that while microbiota may differ between healthy individuals, the functional potential of the microbiome at each body site is conserved(14). Similarly, in disease, taxonomy does not seem to be as important as function(15). To date,

almost all occupational microbiome studies have focused on amplicon sequencing to resolve taxonomy rather than shotgun metagenomics sequencing to describe function. Furthermore, while current occupational studies have focused on bacteria, viruses, fungi, and occasionally archaea (prokaryotes that form a distinct kingdom) are also members of the human microbiome, and may play critical roles in health and disease.

The role of the human microbiome in health and disease

Historically, medical practitioners have focused on microbes as agents of infectious disease(16). However, as Theodor Rosebury commented in 1969, "The germ-free animal is, by and large, a miserable creature, seeming at nearly every point to require an artificial substitute for the germs he lacks(17)." The average person is estimated to have 3.9×10^{13} colonizing microbial cells(18), the vast majority of which are not pathogens but rather important symbionts that serve vital functions(19) such as fermenting starches otherwise indigestible to humans(20), modulating and maturing the immune system(21), providing colonization resistance to pathogens(22), and metabolizing ingested environmental toxins(23). Shifts in entire microbial communities towards a pro-inflammatory state, termed *dysbiosis*, has been implicated in diseases we have typically considered non-communicable. These diseases span almost all organ systems, including obesity (24), diabetes(25), asthma(26, 27), inflammatory bowel diseases(28), autism(29), and Parkinson's disease(30). It is for these reasons that there has been intense interest in how the human microbiome develops, what can perturb it, and which factors impact stability.

Does the human microbiome change after early life?

The human microbiome is the most plastic early in life. At least part of the human microbiome is vertically transmitted from mother to child through vaginal delivery and breastfeeding (31, 32). Some studies suggest that by the age of three, due to further environmental influences, the toddler's microbiome approaches the adult state (33, 34). It has been postulated that the adult microbiome is largely stable and resilient to change (35– 37), but none of these studies have involved the introduction of new environmental microbiota. A few human studies examining new microbial exposures support the idea that the adult microbiome is plastic. A randomized human experimental study in which healthy adults ingested a specific strain of Lactobacillus for one week found persistent increases in the presence of this strain in stool three weeks after the last exposure (38). A study of travelers from the United States to either Central America or India showed that healthy travelers as well as travelers who developed traveler's diarrhea (but did not take antibiotics) developed dysbiosis of their gut microbiome (39). Perhaps the best example is in the use of therapeutic stool transplantation. In Clostridium difficile infection, a significant disruption to the gut microbiome exists due to antibiotic use; subsequent stool transplantation allows donor microbes to re-colonize the gut. Recolonization due to stool transplantation has also been observed in the absence of pre-existing disruptions to the gut microbiome. A recent small placebo-controlled randomized trial of stool transplantation for metabolic syndrome demonstrated that for at least three months after stool transplant, subjects had large changes in microbial community structure as well as persistence of donor strains in the gut microbiome; subjects had not used antibiotics or other medications for at least three months

prior to the transplant (40). These studies highlight the susceptibility of the adult microbiome to change after the introduction of new environmental microbiota.

Occupational microbial exposures and the human microbiome

Although bacteria are ubiquitous in the environment, microbial exposures are elevated in many settings. The highest levels have historically been recorded in work environments (41) such as cotton textile mills (42) and livestock farms (43). High-level microbial exposures have also been described in schools (44) and cigarette smoke (45, 46). Prior research on occupational microbial exposures have focused on endotoxin as a proxy for microbial exposure. Work-related endotoxin in organic dust has been linked to both asthma and COPD (41, 47), and is a major determinant of lung function decline (48). The literature on endotoxin exposure and asthma, however, has been confusing. While a number of observational studies demonstrate a protective effect of environmental endotoxin exposure on the development of childhood asthma (49, 50), others have demonstrated either no effect (51) or even a harmful effect (52, 53); these pro-neutral-con effects of endotoxin have even been described within the same study (54), adding to the confusion. A recent sequencing study demonstrates that not all endotoxin is the same; endotoxin from some bacterial species is pro-inflammatory, while endotoxin from others is anti-inflammatory. Vatanen, et al showed that endotoxin from *Escherichia coli* elicits a robust cytokine response in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, while endotoxin from Bacteroides dorei inhibits the ability of *Escherichia coli* endotoxin to stimulate a cytokine response. This difference led to differential effects of endotoxin exposure on glucose tolerance in a mouse model of diabetes (55). A recent pediatric asthma study directly comparing toxin vs. non-toxin measures of microbial exposure found no statistically significant association between endotoxin and asthma severity, but an association between exposure to a high diversity of bacteria and increased asthma severity (56). This and other studies (57) show that endotoxin is not a good proxy for environmental microbiota.

Although there is a large body of literature on the microbiome of the built environment (58), few studies have evaluated the influence of indoor microbiome on the host microbiome. There are a few studies in the context of *direct* human-animal interactions. Home studies show that people share microbes with their pets (59, 60). In the occupational literature, studies show that the upper respiratory tract of livestock workers are colonized by strainspecific methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) present in their work environment (61, 62), Work with animals appears to be associated with increased microbial diversity in the nasal microbiome of adult pig and dairy farmers (63, 64); similarly, children living on a farm have higher microbial diversity of their nasal microbiome than their counterparts living in non-farm rural environments (65). However, all of these studies were cross-sectional and so temporality and causation cannot be inferred, and furthermore they did not perform analyses directing tracing the nasal microbiome to the environment as a source. A study of poultry abattoir workers found a substantial differences in the gut microbiome of workers over a five month period (66), although this study did not evaluate other seasonal exposures as a potential explanatory factor for these temporal changes. Though limited, these studies do support the idea that animal-related work influences the human microbiome.

Does *indirect* contact with animals in the environment influence the host microbiome? An intriguing study in an agricultural region of North Carolina found that subjects living in census blocks with higher densities of swine also had higher rates of MRSA colonization in their nares; none of the subjects were livestock workers, precluding direct occupational exposures as the explanation (67). A recent microbiome study of animal care workers further explored this question. Workers without direct animal contact were tasked with cleaning dirty mouse cages in the cage wash area of animal research facilities (57). Despite low levels of airborne endotoxin, a distinct indoor microbiome was detected in the dirty cage wash area with the most abundant bacteria being those prevalent in the mouse gut microbiome. To determine the proportion of each workers' microbiome that could be directly traced to their work microbiome, each worker was used as their own control and had skin, nasal swab, and oral samples collected before and after a standard 8-hour shift as well as simultaneous personal air samples for 16S rDNA sequencing. The average proportion of each worker's pre-shift microbiome attributed to their work microbiome as a source was as follows: 3.1 \pm 1.9% for the nasal microbiome; 3.0 \pm 1.5% for the skin microbiome; 0.1 \pm 0.1% for the oral microbiome. There was a trend towards an increase in the proportion of the nasal and skin microbiome traced to the work environment after 8 hours of exposure though it did not reach statistical significance possibly due to the limited sample size. However, these results suggest that even in a work settings where there is *indirect* animal exposure, the work microbiome may change the composition of the human nasal and skin microbiome.

One limitation of these studies is that we do not know how these changes in the human microbiome due to the work microbiome impacts health. There is some evidence that the work microbiome affects health. School can be viewed as an occupational model for children, given that nearly every child spends the majority of his or her day in school. A recent shotgun metagenomics study of the school environment found that higher classroom microbial diversity was associated with more asthma symptoms (68). This study did not evaluate whether the mechanism was through alterations in the child's microbiome by the classroom microbiome, or through inhalation of microbial metabolites, but provides some preliminary evidence that the work microbiome can directly impact health.

Occupational non-microbial exposures and the human microbiome

There is growing recognition that environmental chemical, metal, and particle exposures can change the human microbiome (69). In the context of work-related chemical exposures, there have been a few studies focused on military personnel who are highly exposed to chemicals including insecticides, insect repellants, sarin, and pyridostigmine. A longitudinal study of the respiratory microbiota of healthy military personnel was recently described (70) though this study did not have a control group of non-military personnel to describe whether military exposures were associated with differences in the human microbiome. A mouse model of gulf war illness showed that gulf war chemical exposure lead to dysbiosis of the gut microbiome in decreased gut epithelial barrier function, with resultant portal endotoxemia (71). These effects appeared to be attenuated with oral administration of butyrate, a short chain fatty acid that is often the byproduct of microbial metabolism (72). Similarly, there have been studies investigating the effect of pesticide exposures on the human microbiome in farmers. A recent longitudinal study of the organophosphate

insecticide azinphos-methyl found that serum concentrations of this pesticide was associated with changes in the oral microbiome of farmers. A rat model of chlorpyrifos exposure, a commonly used pesticide, showed that exposure led to significant changes in the gut microbiome and metabolic changes including development of obesity compared to non-exposed rats (73). A number of microbiome studies have also focused on heavy metal exposure. Arsenic is used by some bacterial as a terminal electron receptor in anaerobic redox reactions (74). In both human studies and animal models, arsenic at environmentally relevant concentrations alters the gut microbiome (75, 76), though it remains unclear how these changes impact human health.

Particulate matter exposure has been strongly linked to lung function, asthma susceptibility, and asthma exacerbations. There is an emerging body of literature performed in mouse models demonstrating that exposure to particulate matter alters the composition and metabolic function of the gut microbiome (77, 78), and that particulate matter exposure increases gut permeability. These findings have been corroborated in a recent observational study of adolescents which showed that traffic-related air pollution can alter the gut microbiome (79). The gut microbiome has been implicated in obstructive lung disease development, and raises the possibility that changes in the gut microbiome may be a novel mechanism by which particulate exposures impact respiratory health (27, 80, 81).

Conclusion

Emerging research shows that work-related microbial and non-microbial exposures can change the human microbiome, although resulting health effects are currently not well defined. If the work environment affects disease development through alterations in the human microbiome, exposure cessation without addressing changes to the human microbiome may be inadequate for disease prevention, control and treatment; this may lead to a paradigm shift in how we approach exposure mitigation to reduce occupational diseases.

Acknowledgments

Financial support and sponsorship

Supported by NIH-NIEHS K23 ES023700 and P30 00002, and a pilot project grant from the Harvard-NIOSH Education and Research Center (T42 OH008416). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Literature cited:

- 1. Environmental and Occupational Medicine. Fourth ed. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007.
- Tarlo SM, Lemiere C. Occupational asthma. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(7):640–9. [PubMed: 24521110]
- 3. Slavin RG. Occupational rhinitis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2003;90(5 Suppl 2):2-6.
- Claus SP, Guillou H, Ellero-Simatos S. The gut microbiota: a major player in the toxicity of environmental pollutants? NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2016;2:16003. [PubMed: 28721242]
- Sze MA, Dimitriu PA, Hayashi S, Elliott WM, McDonough JE, Gosselink JV, et al. The lung tissue microbiome in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2012;185(10): 1073–80. [PubMed: 22427533]

- Marri PR, Stern DA, Wright AL, Billheimer D, Martinez FD. Asthma-associated differences in microbial composition of induced sputum. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2013;131(2):346–52 e1–3. [PubMed: 23265859]
- Hilty M, Burke C, Pedro H, Cardenas P, Bush A, Bossley C, et al. Disordered microbial communities in asthmatic airways. PLoS ONE. 2010;5(1):e8578. [PubMed: 20052417]
- Huang YJ, Boushey HA. The microbiome in asthma. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2015;135(1):25–30. [PubMed: 25567040]
- Forslund K, Hildebrand F, Nielsen T, Falony G, Le Chatelier E, Sunagawa S, et al. Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut microbiota. Nature. 2015;528(7581):262–6. [PubMed: 26633628]
- Sinha R, Abu-Ali G, Vogtmann E, Fodor AA, Ren B, Amir A, et al. Assessment of variation in microbial community amplicon sequencing by the Microbiome Quality Control (MBQC) project consortium. Nat Biotechnol. 2017;35(11):1077–86. [PubMed: 28967885] • Study examining the factors that contribute to variability in microbiome sequencing studies
- Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, Calus ST, Cookson WO, Moffatt MF, et al. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. BMC Biol. 2014;12:87.
 [PubMed: 25387460] •• Important study describing the "kitome"; microbial contamination of laboratory reagents used for DNA extraction in microbiome studies
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2: Highresolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature methods. 2016;13(7):581–3. [PubMed: 27214047]
- Amir A, McDonald D, Navas-Molina JA, Kopylova E, Morton JT, Zech Xu Z, et al. Deblur Rapidly Resolves Single-Nucleotide Community Sequence Patterns. mSystems. 2017;2(2).
- Human Microbiome Project C. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature. 2012;486(7402):207–14. [PubMed: 22699609]
- Vatanen T, Franzosa EA, Schwager R, Tripathi S, Arthur TD, Vehik K, et al. The human gut microbiome in early-onset type 1 diabetes from the TEDDY study. Nature. 2018;562(7728):589– 94. [PubMed: 30356183]
- 16. Koch R An Address on Bacteriological Research. Br Med J. 1890;2(1546):380-3.
- 17. Yong E I contain multitudes: The microbes within us and a grander view of life. New York, NY: Harper Collins; 2016.•• Highly readable and scientifically accurate popular science book on the human microbiome
- Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Are We Really Vastly Outnumbered? Revisiting the Ratio of Bacterial to Host Cells in Humans. Cell. 2016;164(3):337–40. [PubMed: 26824647]
- Young VB. The role of the microbiome in human health and disease: an introduction for clinicians. BMJ. 2017;356:j831. [PubMed: 28298355]
- Cockburn DW, Koropatkin NM. Polysaccharide Degradation by the Intestinal Microbiota and Its Influence on Human Health and Disease. J Mol Biol. 2016;428(16):3230–52. [PubMed: 27393306]
- Rooks MG, Garrett WS. Gut microbiota, metabolites and host immunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016;16(6):341–52. [PubMed: 27231050]
- 22. Mullineaux-Sanders C, Suez J, Elinav E, Frankel G. Sieving through gut models of colonization resistance. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3(2):132–40. [PubMed: 29358683]
- Haiser HJ, Gootenberg DB, Chatman K, Sirasani G, Balskus EP, Turnbaugh PJ. Predicting and manipulating cardiac drug inactivation by the human gut bacterium Eggerthella lenta. Science. 2013;341(6143):295–8. [PubMed: 23869020]
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature. 2006;444(7122):1027–31. [PubMed: 17183312]
- 25. Kostic AD, Gevers D, Siljander H, Vatanen T, Hyotylainen T, Hamalainen AM, et al. The dynamics of the human infant gut microbiome in development and in progression toward type 1 diabetes. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;17(2):260–73. [PubMed: 25662751]

- 26. Lynch SV, Wood RA, Boushey H, Bacharier LB, Bloomberg GR, Kattan M, et al. Effects of earlylife exposure to allergens and bacteria on recurrent wheeze and atopy in urban children. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2014;134(3):593–601 e12. [PubMed: 24908147]
- Arrieta MC, Stiemsma LT, Dimitriu PA, Thorson L, Russell S, Yurist-Doutsch S, et al. Early infancy microbial and metabolic alterations affect risk of childhood asthma. Science translational medicine. 2015;7(307):307ra152.
- Morgan XC, Tickle TL, Sokol H, Gevers D, Devaney KL, Ward DV, et al. Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. Genome Biol. 2012;13(9):R79. [PubMed: 23013615]
- 29. de Theije CG, Wopereis H, Ramadan M, van Eijndthoven T, Lambert J, Knol J, et al. Altered gut microbiota and activity in a murine model of autism spectrum disorders. Brain, behavior, and immunity. 2014;37:197–206.
- Sampson TR, Debelius JW, Thron T, Janssen S, Shastri GG, Ilhan ZE, et al. Gut Microbiota Regulate Motor Deficits and Neuroinflammation in a Model of Parkinson's Disease. Cell. 2016;167(6):1469–80 e12. [PubMed: 27912057]
- Mueller NT, Bakacs E, Combellick J, Grigoryan Z, Dominguez-Bello MG. The infant microbiome development: mom matters. Trends Mol Med. 2015;21(2):109–17. [PubMed: 25578246]
- 32. Backhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, Feng Q, Jia H, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Dynamics and Stabilization of the Human Gut Microbiome during the First Year of Life. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;17(5):690–703. [PubMed: 25974306]
- Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature. 2012;486(7402):222–7. [PubMed: 22699611]
- Stewart CJ, Ajami NJ, O'Brien JL, Hutchinson DS, Smith DP, Wong MC, et al. Temporal development of the gut microbiome in early childhood from the TEDDY study. Nature. 2018;562(7728):583–8. [PubMed: 30356187]
- Costello EK, Lauber CL, Hamady M, Fierer N, Gordon JI, Knight R. Bacterial community variation in human body habitats across space and time. Science. 2009;326(5960):1694–7. [PubMed: 19892944]
- Oh J, Byrd AL, Park M, Kong HH, Segre JA. Temporal Stability of the Human Skin Microbiome. Cell. 2016;165(4):854–66. [PubMed: 27153496]
- 37. Schloissnig S, Arumugam M, Sunagawa S, Mitreva M, Tap J, Zhu A, et al. Genomic variation landscape of the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2013;493(7430):45–50. [PubMed: 23222524]
- de Champs C, Maroncle N, Balestrino D, Rich C, Forestier C. Persistence of colonization of intestinal mucosa by a probiotic strain, Lactobacillus casei subsp. rhamnosus Lcr35, after oral consumption. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(3):1270–3. [PubMed: 12624065]
- Youmans BP, Ajami NJ, Jiang ZD, Campbell F, Wadsworth WD, Petrosino JF, et al. Characterization of the human gut microbiome during travelers' diarrhea. Gut microbes. 2015;6(2):110–9. [PubMed: 25695334]
- Li SS, Zhu A, Benes V, Costea PI, Hercog R, Hildebrand F, et al. Durable coexistence of donor and recipient strains after fecal microbiota transplantation. Science. 2016;352(6285):586–9. [PubMed: 27126044]
- 41. Liebers V, Raulf-Heimsoth M, Bruning T. Health effects due to endotoxin inhalation (review). Archives of toxicology. 2008;82(4):203–10. [PubMed: 18322674]
- Olenchock SA, Christiani DC, Mull JC, Ye TT, Lu PL. Endotoxins in baled cottons and airborne dusts in textile mills in the People's Republic of China. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1983;46(4):817– 20. [PubMed: 6639029]
- Schierl R, Heise A, Egger U, Schneider F, Eichelser R, Neser S, et al. Endotoxin concentration in modern animal houses in southern Bavaria. Annals of agricultural and environmental medicine : AAEM. 2007;14(1):129–36. [PubMed: 17655190]
- 44. Lai PS, Sheehan WJ, Gaffin JM, Petty CR, Coull BA, Gold DR, et al. School Endotoxin Exposure and Asthma Morbidity in Inner-city Children. Chest. 2015;148(5):1251–8. [PubMed: 26087201]
- 45. Hasday JD, Bascom R, Costa JJ, Fitzgerald T, Dubin W. Bacterial endotoxin is an active component of cigarette smoke. Chest. 1999;115(3):829–35. [PubMed: 10084499]

- 46. Sapkota AR, Berger S, Vogel TM. Human pathogens abundant in the bacterial metagenome of cigarettes. Environ Health Perspect. 2010;118(3):351–6. [PubMed: 20064769]
- 47. Lai PS, Christiani DC. Long-term respiratory health effects in textile workers. Current opinion in pulmonary medicine. 2013;19(2):152–7. [PubMed: 23361196]
- Vogelzang PF, van der Gulden JW, Folgering H, Kolk JJ, Heederik D, Preller L, et al. Endotoxin exposure as a major determinant of lung function decline in pig farmers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998;157(1):15–8. [PubMed: 9445272]
- Braun-Fahrlander C, Riedler J, Herz U, Eder W, Waser M, Grize L, et al. Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma in school-age children. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(12):869– 77. [PubMed: 12239255]
- 50. Douwes J, van Strien R, Doekes G, Smit J, Kerkhof M, Gerritsen J, et al. Does early indoor microbial exposure reduce the risk of asthma? The Prevention and Incidence of Asthma and Mite Allergy birth cohort study. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2006;117(5):1067–73. [PubMed: 16675334]
- Rullo VE, Arruda LK, Cardoso MR, Valente V, Zampolo AS, Nobrega F, et al. Respiratory infection, exposure to mouse allergen and breastfeeding: role in recurrent wheezing in early life. International archives of allergy and immunology. 2009;150(2):172–8. [PubMed: 19439983]
- Perzanowski MS, Miller RL, Thorne PS, Barr RG, Divjan A, Sheares BJ, et al. Endotoxin in innercity homes: associations with wheeze and eczema in early childhood. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2006;117(5):1082–9. [PubMed: 16675336]
- 53. Thorne PS, Kulhankova K, Yin M, Cohn R, Arbes SJ, Jr., Zeldin DC. Endotoxin exposure is a risk factor for asthma: the national survey of endotoxin in United States housing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005;172(11):1371–7. [PubMed: 16141442]
- Tischer C, Casas L, Wouters IM, Doekes G, Garcia-Esteban R, Gehring U, et al. Early exposure to bio-contaminants and asthma up to 10 years of age: results of the HITEA study. The European respiratory journal. 2015;45(2):328–37. [PubMed: 25186271]
- 55. Vatanen T, Kostic AD, d'Hennezel E, Siljander H, Franzosa EA, Yassour M, et al. Variation in Microbiome LPS Immunogenicity Contributes to Autoimmunity in Humans. Cell. 2016;165(4): 842–53. [PubMed: 27133167] •• Important publication that provides an explanation for why different studies have found opposing effects of endotoxin on asthma morbidity or development
- Dannemiller KC, Gent JF, Leaderer BP, Peccia J. Indoor microbial communities: Influence on asthma severity in atopic and nonatopic children. The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2016;138(1):76–83 e1. [PubMed: 26851966]
- 57. Lai PS, Allen JG, Hutchinson DS, Ajami NJ, Petrosino JF, Winters T, et al. Impact of environmental microbiota on human microbiota of workers in academic mouse research facilities: An observational study. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(7):e0180969. [PubMed: 28704437] • One of the first publications directly tracing the work microbiome to the human microbiome; this study focused on animal care workers in four academic mouse research facilities
- National Academies of Sciences E, and Medicine. Microbiomes of the Built Environment: A Research Agenda for Indoor Microbiology, Human Health, and Buildings. Washington, DC; 2017.
- 59. Song SJ, Lauber C, Costello EK, Lozupone CA, Humphrey G, Berg-Lyons D, et al. Cohabiting family members share microbiota with one another and with their dogs. Elife. 2013;2:e00458. [PubMed: 23599893]
- 60. Oh C, Lee K, Cheong Y, Lee SW, Park SY, Song CS, et al. Comparison of the Oral Microbiomes of Canines and Their Owners Using Next-Generation Sequencing. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(7):e0131468. [PubMed: 26134411]
- Frana TS, Beahm AR, Hanson BM, Kinyon JM, Layman LL, Karriker LA, et al. Isolation and characterization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from pork farms and visiting veterinary students. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(1):e53738. [PubMed: 23301102]
- Fang HW, Chiang PH, Huang YC. Livestock-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus ST9 in pigs and related personnel in Taiwan. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(2):e88826. [PubMed: 24551168]

- 63. Kraemer JG, Ramette A, Aebi S, Oppliger A, Hilty M. Influence of pig farming on the human's nasal microbiota: The key role of the airborne microbial communities. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2018.
- 64. Shukla SK, Ye Z, Sandberg S, Reyes I, Fritsche TR, Keifer M. The nasal microbiota of dairy farmers is more complex than oral microbiota, reflects occupational exposure, and provides competition for staphylococci. PloS one. 2017;12(8):e0183898. [PubMed: 28850578]
- 65. Birzele LT, Depner M, Ege MJ, Engel M, Kublik S, Bernau C, et al. Environmental and mucosal microbiota and their role in childhood asthma. Allergy. 2017;72(1):109–19. [PubMed: 27503830]
- Dicksved J, Ellstrom P, Engstrand L, Rautelin H. Susceptibility to Campylobacter infection is associated with the species composition of the human fecal microbiota. mBio. 2014;5(5):e01212– 14. [PubMed: 25227462]
- 67. Schinasi L, Wing S, Augustino KL, Ramsey KM, Nobles DL, Richardson DB, et al. A case control study of environmental and occupational exposures associated with methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage in patients admitted to a rural tertiary care hospital in a high density swine region. Environmental Health. 2014;13(1):54. [PubMed: 24958086]
- Lai PS, Kolde R, Franzosa EA, Gaffin JM, Baxi SN, Sheehan WJ, et al. The classroom microbiome and asthma morbidity in children attending 3 inner-city schools. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;141(6):2311–3. [PubMed: 29518428]
- Environmental Chemicals, the Human Microbiome, and Health Risk: A Research Strategy. The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health Washington (DC)2017.
- Hang J, Zavaljevski N, Yang Y, Desai V, Ruck RC, Macareo LR, et al. Composition and variation of respiratory microbiota in healthy military personnel. PLoS One. 2017;12(12):e0188461. [PubMed: 29216202]
- 71. Alhasson F, Das S, Seth R, Dattaroy D, Chandrashekaran V, Ryan CN, et al. Altered gut microbiome in a mouse model of Gulf War Illness causes neuroinflammation and intestinal injury via leaky gut and TLR4 activation. PLoS One. 2017;12(3):e0172914. [PubMed: 28328972]
- 72. Seth RK, Kimono D, Alhasson F, Sarkar S, Albadrani M, Lasley SK, et al. Increased butyrate priming in the gut stalls microbiome associated-gastrointestinal inflammation and hepatic metabolic reprogramming in a mouse model of Gulf War Illness. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2018;350:64–77. [PubMed: 29751049]
- Fang B, Li JW, Zhang M, Ren FZ, Pang GF. Chronic chlorpyrifos exposure elicits diet-specific effects on metabolism and the gut microbiome in rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2018;111:144–52. [PubMed: 29109040]
- 74. Stolz JF, Oremland RS. Bacterial respiration of arsenic and selenium. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 1999;23(5):615–27. [PubMed: 10525169]
- Chi L, Bian X, Gao B, Tu P, Ru H, Lu K. The Effects of an Environmentally Relevant Level of Arsenic on the Gut Microbiome and Its Functional Metagenome. Toxicol Sci. 2017;160(2):193– 204. [PubMed: 28973555]
- 76. Dong X, Shulzhenko N, Lemaitre J, Greer RL, Peremyslova K, Quamruzzaman Q, et al. Arsenic exposure and intestinal microbiota in children from Sirajdikhan, Bangladesh. PLoS One. 2017;12(12):e0188487. [PubMed: 29211769]
- 77. Salim SY, Jovel J, Wine E, Kaplan GG, Vincent R, Thiesen A, et al. Exposure to ingested airborne pollutant particulate matter increases mucosal exposure to bacteria and induces early onset of inflammation in neonatal IL-10-deficient mice. Inflammatory bowel diseases. 2014;20(7):1129–38. [PubMed: 24859295]
- Kish L, Hotte N, Kaplan GG, Vincent R, Tso R, Ganzle M, et al. Environmental particulate matter induces murine intestinal inflammatory responses and alters the gut microbiome. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(4):e62220. [PubMed: 23638009]
- 79. Alderete TL, Jones RB, Chen Z, Kim JS, Habre R, Lurmann F, et al. Exposure to traffic-related air pollution and the composition of the gut microbiota in overweight and obese adolescents. Environ Res. 2018;161:472–8. [PubMed: 29220800]

- Mestecky J The common mucosal immune system and current strategies for induction of immune responses in external secretions. Journal of clinical immunology. 1987;7(4):265–76. [PubMed: 3301884]
- Fujimura KE, Demoor T, Rauch M, Faruqi AA, Jang S, Johnson CC, et al. House dust exposure mediates gut microbiome Lactobacillus enrichment and airway immune defense against allergens and virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(2):805–10. [PubMed: 24344318]

Key points:

- Recent evidence suggests that work-related microbial and non-microbial exposures change the adult human microbiome
- The health effects of work-related changes to the human microbiome are currently not well understood
- If work-related exposures leads to disease through changes in the human microbiome, then exposure cessation without addressing the human microbiome may not be enough for disease prevention or treatment