



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Chest. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Chest. 2018 October ; 154(4): 996–997. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2018.07.023.

Consideration of Occupational and Environmental Lung Carcinogen Exposures for Lung Cancer Screening Using Low-Dose Chest CT

Rafael E. de la Hoz, MD, FCCP,

Departments of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, and Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

David N. Weissman, MD, FCCP

Respiratory Health Division, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the American College of Chest Physicians' guidelines for lung cancer screening¹ and the excellent Point and Counterpoint editorials by Drs Wood and Mazzone^{2–5} in *CHEST* (June 2018) addressing the important issue of how to approach persons at high risk for lung cancer who don't meet the guidelines' core criteria for lung cancer screening. In his final rebuttal, Dr Mazzone⁵ states that the guidelines "...recommend that the cohort that does not meet our core eligibility criteria, but is at elevated risk for lung cancer based on risk calculators, should not be 'routinely' screened. We remark under this recommendation that although we do not recommend 'routinely' screening this cohort, we recognize that some individuals within this high-risk cohort will be healthy enough to consider screening."

We agree that lung cancer screening should be considered for high-risk individuals, in whom the benefits of screening are likely to exceed harms, and urge practitioners to take occupational and environmental histories from their patients and consider associated exposures to carcinogens when deciding about whether to enter into shared decision-making about screening. Exposure to occupational and environmental carcinogens is a well-established lung cancer risk factor, as noted in a recent update of the National

Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines, of which Drs Wood and Mazzone are both authors.⁶ Consideration of exposure to occupational and environmental lung carcinogens is especially important because they can synergize with smoking history to

CORRESPONDENCE TO: Rafael E. de la Hoz, MD, FCCP, Departments of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, and Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, WTC MMTP Box 1059, One Gustave L. Levy Place, New York, NY 10029; Rafael.deLaHoz@mssm.edu.

OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

FINANCIAL/NONFINANCIAL DISCLOSURES: R. E. D. was supported in part by grant U01-OH010401 from the CDC/NIOSH. None declared (D. N. W.).

increase risk in a greater-than-additive fashion.⁷ Also, there is potential for benefit, as documented in a recent systematic review of lung cancer screening after asbestos exposure published in this journal.⁸

Unfortunately, exposures to most known occupational and environmental carcinogens are not considered by currently available risk calculators. In view of this, we support consideration of lung cancer screening in those who meet NCCN group 2 criteria, are aged 50 or older with a 20 pack-year history of smoking tobacco, and with one additional risk factor (in this case, personal history of exposure to radon or occupational carcinogens).⁶ In the case of occupational carcinogens, a French workgroup has suggested 10 years of exposure as a pragmatic threshold for consideration of screening.⁷ We urge that all such screening be done within the context of comprehensive screening programs and that, in the United States, data be reported to the national lung cancer screening registry operated by the American College of Radiology.

References

1. Mazzone PJ, Silvestri GA, Patel S, et al. Screening for lung cancer: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel Report. *Chest*. 2018;153(4):954–985. [PubMed: 29374513]
2. Wood DE. Point: Should lung cancer screening be expanded to persons who don't currently meet accepted criteria set forth by the CHEST Guidelines on Lung Cancer Screening? Yes. *Chest*. 2018;153(6):1299–1302. [PubMed: 29884250]
3. Mazzone PJ. Counterpoint: Should lung cancer screening be expanded to persons who don't currently meet accepted criteria set forth by the CHEST Guidelines on Lung Cancer Screening? No. *Chest*. 2018;153(6):1303–1305 [PubMed: 29884251]
4. Wood DE. Rebuttal from Dr Wood. *Chest*. 2018;153(6):1305–1306. [PubMed: 29884252]
5. Mazzone PJ. Rebuttal from Dr Mazzone. *Chest* 2018;153(6):1306–1308 [PubMed: 29884253]
6. Wood DE, Kazerooni EA, Baum SL, et al. Lung cancer screening, version 3.2018, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2018;16(4):412–441 [PubMed: 29632061]
7. Delva F, Margery J, Laurent F, et al. Medical follow-up of workers exposed to lung carcinogens: French evidence-based and pragmatic recommendations. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;14;17(1):191 [PubMed: 28193266]
8. Ollier M, Chamoux A, Naughton G, Pereira B, Dutheil F. Chest CT scan screening for lung cancer in asbestos occupational exposure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest*. 2014;145(6):1339–1346. [PubMed: 24480869]