Reducing *Legionella* Colonization of Water Systems with Monochloramine

Brendan Flannery,* Lisa B. Gelling,† Duc J. Vugia,‡ June M. Weintraub,§ James J. Salerno,¶ Michael J. Conroy,¶ Valerie A. Stevens,* Charles E. Rose,* Matthew R. Moore,* Barry S. Fields,* and Richard E. Besser*

Monochloramine disinfection of municipal water supplies is associated with decreased risk for Legionnaires' disease. We conducted a 2-year, prospective, environmental study to evaluate whether converting from chlorine to monochloramine for water disinfection would decrease Legionella colonization of hot water systems. Water and biofilm samples from 53 buildings were collected for Legionella culture during 6 intervals. Prevalence ratios (PRs) comparing Legionella colonization before and after monochloramine disinfection were adjusted for water system characteristics. Legionella colonized 60% of the hot water systems before monochloramine versus 4% after conversion (PR 0.07, 95% confidence interval 0.03-0.16). The median number of colonized sites per building decreased with monochloramine disinfection. Increased prevalence of Legionella colonization was associated with water heater temperatures <50°C, buildings taller than 10 stories, and interruptions in water service. Increasing use of monochloramine in water supplies throughout the United States may reduce Legionella transmission and incidence of Legionnaires' disease.

Legionnaires' disease, named after an outbreak of severe pneumonia at a legionnaires' convention in 1976, is a form of community-acquired and nosocomial pneumonia. It is caused by inhalation of aerosols or microaspiration of water containing *Legionella* bacteria. *Legionella* spp. are ubiquitous in fresh water and occur naturally as intracellular parasites of amebae (1). Potable hot water systems provide environments for amplification of *Legionella pneumophila*, the most common species isolated from patients with Legionnaires' disease. *L. pneumophila* grows optimally at 35°C and multiplies between 25°C and 42°C. Investigations of outbreaks of Legionnaires' disease in hospitals and other community settings have implicated potable hot water systems as sources of transmission (2–5).

No strategies have been proven to prevent communityacquired Legionnaires' disease. Prevention of transmission within healthcare facilities focuses primarily on preventing or limiting *Legionella* colonization of plumbing systems through temperature control or use of biocides (6). Healthcare facilities are of special concern because of increased susceptibility to and a high case-fatality ratio of Legionnaires' disease among immunocompromised patients and those with underlying illnesses (5,7). Because colonized water distribution systems are often implicated in *Legionella* transmission (2,5,8,9), effective water disinfection strategies could provide the best measure to prevent Legionnaires' disease.

Chloramination is a method of drinking water disinfection that provides a lasting residual disinfectant in the distribution system. The process involves adding ammonia to chlorinated water; aqueous chlorine reacts with ammonia to form inorganic chloramines (10). Monochloramine is the most active compound and forms preferentially at certain ratios of ammonia to chlorine. Approximately 55% of 11.8 million people living in the 25 largest cities in California currently receive water disinfected with monochloramine (unpub. data). A survey in 2004 of municipal water utilities in the United States found that 30% used monochloramine for residual disinfection (11). The Environmental Protection Agency estimates that municipal

^{*}Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; †California Emerging Infections Program, Oakland, California, USA; ‡California Department of Health Services, Richmond, California, USA; §City and County of San Francisco Department of Public Health, San Francisco, California, USA; and ¶San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Burlingame, California, USA

water utilities using surface water sources will increasingly convert to monochloramine to meet federal regulations that limit disinfection byproducts in drinking water (12).

Use of monochloramine for residual disinfection compared with chlorine was associated with a lower prevalence of *Legionella* colonization in plumbing systems (13) and decreased risk of nosocomial outbreaks of Legionnaires' disease in cross-sectional and retrospective case-control studies (14,15). The planned conversion to monochloramine for municipal drinking water disinfection in San Francisco, California, provided an opportunity to prospectively investigate the effect of chloramination on *Legionella* colonization in potable hot water systems. We report here on the results of a 2-year environmental study.

Methods

Study Site

The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission provides an average of 250 million gallons (950 million liters) of water per day to \approx 2.4 million residents in northern California, including 750,000 in the city and county of San Francisco. Surface water makes up >99% of the water supply. Chlorine was added to kill microorganisms present in source water (primary disinfection) throughout the study period. Chlorine concentrations are monitored at several locations throughout the distribution system. Chlorine used for residual (or secondary) disinfection was replaced with monochloramine on February 2, 2004.

Buildings with ≥ 3 stories in San Francisco were identified from lists of commercial customers of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and real property owned by the city and county of San Francisco. Building managers and owners gave permission for sample collection inside the buildings for the duration of the study. Results of Legionella cultures were provided only at the completion of the study. Standardized questionnaires were administered to building engineers and facilities managers to obtain information on the age of the building, capacity of water heaters and hot water storage tanks, type of water heating system (boiler, heat exchanger, or instantaneous heaters), and type of pipe material used throughout most of the building. At the completion of the study, building engineers were surveyed about routine maintenance plans for the potable hot water system, standard procedures for flushing outlets after a disruption of water service, and knowledge of industry guidelines for controlling Legionella growth in building water systems (16).

Environmental Sampling

Samples from each building were collected 6 times during the 2-year period, 3 times before and 3 times after conversion to monochloramine disinfection. Each round of sampling lasted 8–10 weeks. Preconversion and postconversion rounds of sampling were conducted at corresponding seasonal intervals.

Nine samples were collected from each building during sampling rounds, including a 1-L water sample from a water heater or heat exchanger, four 1-L samples of hot water, and 4 swabs of biofilm at point-of-use outlets (faucets or shower heads). Water samples were collected in sterile, 1-L plastic bottles (Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY, USA) containing 0.5 mL 0.1 N sodium thiosulfate solution to neutralize free chlorine and chloramines. Water heater samples were drawn from the drain valve, pressure relief valve, or from the closest outlet to heat exchangers. Point-of-use outlets were selected at farthest points from water heaters when possible. Biofilm samples were collected from shower outlets and faucets by inserting a sterile, polyester-tipped applicator swab (Falcon, Becton Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) and rotating it firmly against the interior surface. Biofilm swabs were placed in sterile, screw-capped test tubes containing 0.1 mL sodium thiosulfate solution in 5 mL of water from the same site. Hot water taps were run until the temperature reached a maximum for collection of water samples. The same locations were sampled in each round. When sampling could not be performed at the selected site, the nearest substitute site was sampled; however, only samples collected from the same site before and after monochloramine conversion were included in analyses.

Water temperature, pH, and free (disassociated) and total chlorine concentrations were measured in a separate sample bottle. Total chlorine includes free chlorine plus monochloramine. Temperature was measured with a handheld thermometer. pH was measured with a digital meter (pHep 3, Hanna Instruments, Leighton Buzzard, UK). Free and total chlorine residuals were measured by using the N, N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine method with a colorimeter and test kit (Model DR/890, Hach Chemical Co., Loveland, CO, USA). Building engineers were asked about any interruptions in water service affecting the building or specific sites in the 3 months preceding the sampling date.

Laboratory Procedures

All culturing for *Legionella* species and amebae was performed in the Legionella Laboratory at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Atlanta, Georgia, following standard procedures (17). *Legionella* organisms were speciated or serogrouped by macroscopic slide agglutination with a panel of polyclonal rabbit antisera against *Legionella* species and *L. pneumophila* serogroups (18). Laboratorians were blinded to the identity of buildings from which samples were obtained, and buildings were assigned different identification numbers in each

round. Samples were transported at ambient temperature and processed a mean (\pm standard deviation) of 3 (\pm 2) days after collection. Water samples from point-of-use outlets were concentrated 100-fold by filtration through a 0.2-µm polycarbonate filter (Nucleopore, Pleasanton, CA, USA). Biofilm swab samples were placed on a lawn of *Escherichia coli* for detection of ameba and treated with diluted acid (0.1 mol/L KCl, 0.005 mol/L HCl) to reduce the number of non-*Legionella* bacteria before plating.

Concentrations of *Legionella* spp. in water samples are expressed as CFU/mL based on plate counts of *Legionella* colonies grown from a known volume of original sample. Concentrations determined by this method are approximate. The upper and lower limits of detection were 0.05 and 25 CFU/mL for point-of-use outlets and 10 and 5,000 CFU/mL for water heaters. Plate counts were not determined for samples overgrown with non-*Legionella* organisms.

Surveillance for Legionnaires' Disease

Active, laboratory-based surveillance for culture-confirmed Legionella infections in San Francisco residents was conducted from January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2004, through the Active Bacterial Core surveillance activity of the California Emerging Infections Program (19). We reviewed legionellosis case report forms from the national passive surveillance system for cases among San Francisco residents or persons with a history of travel to San Francisco during the incubation period. Surveys were sent to infection control departments at all San Francisco hospitals to identify cases of probable or confirmed Legionnaires' disease during 2003 and 2004. Information was solicited from hospitals about environmental testing for Legionella spp. in water systems, and measures taken to reduce microbial contamination of water systems during 2003 and 2004.

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered into Access version 2002 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and analyzed by using SAS for Windows version 9.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). We conducted building- and site-specific analyses of the prevalence of Legionella colonization. A building was considered colonized at a timepoint if Legionella spp. were cultured from any site. We considered a point-of-use outlet colonized if Legionella spp. were cultured from either a water sample or biofilm swab. Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to analyze differences in the proportions of positive sites or concentrations of Legionella. We also calculated adjusted prevalence ratios (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or p values by using PROC GENMOD (SAS Institute) for the clustered nature of sites within buildings. Preconversion and postconversion sampling rounds were considered repeated measures. Multivariable models investigated associations between Legionella colonization and water measurements or building characteristics.

Results

Effects of Conversion to Monochloramine on Water Distribution System

The conversion to monochloramine provided higher concentrations of total chlorine (which includes both free chlorine and monochloramine) and lower concentrations of trihalomethane compounds, the principal disinfection byproducts in treated water entering the distribution system (Table 1). The conversion to monochloramine also resulted in an \approx 10-fold increase in total chlorine concentrations measured in building hot water systems. Average temperature and pH measured in building water samples did not change significantly.

California, stratified by year			
Measurement (unit)*	2003, mean (range)	2004,† mean (range)	Reference value‡
Treated water			
Total chlorine, ppm	0.60 (0.01-2.20)	1.97 (0.15–3.40)	4.00
Total trihalomethanes, ppb	65.3 (16.0–143.0)	34.8 (11.0–46.0)	80.0
Total haloacetic acids, ppb	19.5 (6.0–55.0)	20.3 (3.0–33.0)	60.0
Total organic carbon, ppb	2.8 (2.4-3.3)	2.9 (2.6–3.1)	NA
РН	9.0 (7.4–9.9)	8.8 (7.5–10.5)	NA
Lead, ppb§	6.7§	11.5	15.0
Copper, ppb§	120§	90	1,300
Water sampled from water heaters in 53 buildings¶			
Total chlorine, ppm	0.13 (0–0.86)	1.10 (0–2.20)	NA
Temperature, °C	44.9 (17.8–87.8)	45.1 (17.8–79.4)	NA
рН	8.9 (7.5–10.5)	8.7 (7.5–10.4)	NA

Table 1. Characteristics of treated water in the city distribution system or in water heaters in 53 sampled buildings, San Francisco, California, stratified by year

*ppm, parts per million; ppb, parts per billion.

†Measurements were taken from March through December 2004, after conversion to chloramine for residual disinfection.

\$#Maximum allowable levels for compliance with Environmental Protection Agency standards. NA, not applicable.

§Measurements for lead and copper are the 90th percentile for samples collected from point of use. Prechloramine samples were collected during 2001.
¶Water heater samples were collected on 3 occasions over a 10-month period. Mean and range were calculated for 159 samples.

Environmental Sampling

Prospective Legionella testing was performed in 53 buildings, including 24 public and 29 commercial buildings. When chlorine was the residual disinfectant in municipal drinking water, Legionella spp. were cultured from building water systems on 96 (60%) of 159 occasions, and 37 (70%) of 53 buildings were colonized with Legionella spp. in ≥ 1 of the 3 sampling rounds (Table 2). After conversion to monochloramine, Legionella spp. were found on 7 (4%) of 159 occasions in 5 (9%) of 53 buildings. These 5 buildings had been colonized at multiple sites before disinfection with monochloramine. Conversion to monochloramine resulted in a 93% reduction in the prevalence of Legionella colonization in building water systems (PR 0.07, 95% CI 0.03-0.16). Colonized water systems were no more likely than Legionella-free systems to include hot water storage tanks or material other than copper for hot water plumbing, although sample size limited building-level analyses. Legionella spp. were recovered from 12 (60%) of 20 buildings for which engineers reported maintaining water systems according to standard practices, such as maintaining backflow prevention and flushing outlets after interruption of water service, versus 18 (75%) of 24 buildings for which no standard maintenance of water systems was reported (p = 0.28).

A total of 364 (13%) of 2,822 water and biofilm samples yielded *Legionella* spp: 352 (25%) of 1,405 samples collected before conversion and 12 (<1%) of 1,417 samples collected after conversion to monochloramine. Five *Legionella* species and 7 serogroups of *L. pneumophila* were identified (Figure). *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 accounted for >60% of all *Legionella* organisms. The same species of *Legionella* and serogroups of *L. pneumophila* were repeatedly cultured from individual sites (Figure).

Legionella spp. were cultured from 46 (15%) of 316 water samples from building water heaters: 45 (29%) of 157 samples collected before conversion versus 1 (<1%) of 159 after conversion to monochloramine (p<0.001). When we controlled for water heater temperature, building height, and interruptions in water service, monochloramine use decreased the prevalence of Legionella colonization in water heaters by 96% (Table 3). Colonization of water heaters was more prevalent in buildings with >10 stories and in which water service had been interrupted in the past 3 months. Water temperatures $\geq 50^{\circ}$ C were associated with the lowest prevalence of colonization, and Legionella spp. were not detected when the temperature exceeded 60°C (140°F). Over the 2-year study period, temperatures of water in building water heaters were \geq 50°C at 88 (28%) of 318 sampling timepoints. In buildings in which engineers reported familiarity with industry guidelines for controlling Legionella growth in water systems, water heater temperatures were $\geq 50^{\circ}$ C on 26 (21%) of 125 occasions versus 46 (32%) of 144 occasions in buildings in which engineers were not familiar with industry guidelines (p = 0.04).

At point-of-use outlets, *Legionella* spp. were cultured from 247 (20%) of 1,252 water samples and 70 (6%) of 1,254 biofilm swab samples. Combining culture results from the water samples and biofilm swabs from each site, *Legionella* spp. were cultured from 246 (39%) of 624 paired samples before conversion to monochloramine versus 9 (1%) of 622 paired samples after conversion (p<0.001). Median concentrations of *Legionella* spp. at colonized outlets were significantly lower after conversion to monochloramine (Table 2). *Legionella* were cultured from both the water and biofilm samples on 59 (24%) of 246 occasions before conversion versus 2 (22%) of 9 occasions after conversion. The same *Legionella* species and

residual disinfectant and sampling interval*								
	Chlorine (2003)		Ch	Chloramine (2004)				
Water source	Jan–May	Jun–Jul	Oct–Dec	Mar–May	Jun–Aug	Sep–Dec	p value†	
Building water systems (n = 53)								
No. colonized with <i>Legionella</i> spp. (%)	27 (51)	34 (64)	35 (66)	5 (9)	0	2 (4)	<0.001	
Median no. <i>Legionella</i> -positive samples in colonized buildings (range)‡	3 (1–9)	3 (1–8)	4 (1–8)	1 (1–4)	-	1 (1–2)	0.007	
Water heaters (n = 53)								
No. colonized with <i>Legionella</i> spp. (%)	12 (23)	16 (30)	17 (33)	1 (2)	0	0	<0.001	
Median <i>Legionella</i> concentration in colonized water heaters, CFU/mL (range)‡	200 (40– 400)	40 (10– 500)	20 (10– 5,000)	10	-	-	0.17	
Point-of-use outlets (n = 212)								
No. colonized with <i>Legionella</i> spp. (%)	75 (37)	85 (41)	86 (41)	7 (3)	0	2 (1)	<0.001	
Median <i>Legionella</i> concentration in colonized sites, CFU/mL (range)‡	2.5 (0.05– 25.0)	1.5 (0.05– 25.0)	1.0 (0.05– 25.0)	0.05 (0.05– 0.40)	-	0.18 (0.10– 0.25)	<0.001	

Table 2. Prevalence of *Legionella* colonization and concentrations in hot water systems in buildings in San Francisco, California, by residual disinfectant and sampling interval*

*Percentages calculated based on number of samples included in analyses (see Methods).

+For Wilcoxon rank sum test comparing number of sites colonized with Legionella spp. before and after the conversion to chloramine disinfectant. ‡Includes only Legionella-positive sites.



Figure. Legionella colonization of water heaters and point-of-use outlets sampled during 6 rounds of environmental sampling in buildings, San Francisco, California (in rows), by residual disinfectant and sampling interval. Legionella species or serogroups of Legionella pneumophila are represented with different colors. Each row represents a single building and each cell represents the results of Legionella culture for a site within the building. H, water heater; P, point-of-use outlet.

serogroup was cultured from biofilm swabs and water samples on 56 (92%) of 61 occasions when both were positive. When we controlled for *Legionella* spp. in the sampled water heater, water temperature at the point of use, building height, and interruptions in water service, monochloramine use decreased the prevalence of *Legionella* colonization at point-of-use outlets by 96% (Table 4). *Legionella* colonization at point-of-use outlets was independently associated with *Legionella* spp. in the sampled water heater, building height, and interruptions in water service. *Legionella* spp. were cultured only from point-ofuse outlets and not from water heater samples on 72 (61%) of 118 occasions when building water systems were colonized, including 6 (86%) of 7 occasions after monochloramine conversion.

Amebae at sampled sites were associated with *Legionella* spp. colonization only when chlorine was used for residual disinfection. *Legionella* spp. were cultured from 61 (36%) of 169 samples in which amebae were present versus 291 (24%) of 1,236 samples without amebae (p = 0.01). After conversion to monochloramine, *Legionella* were found in 1 (1%) of 78 samples containing amebae and 8 (1%) of 866 samples without amebae (p = 0.75). During disinfection with chlorine, *Legionella* concentration was higher in samples containing amebae (median 9.0 CFU/mL, range 0.1–25.0) compared with those without

amebae (median 1.5 CFU/mL, range 0.05-25.0, p<0.001). The prevalence of amebae decreased from 169 (12%) of 1,405 samples when chlorine was the residual disinfectant to 78 (8%) of 944 samples collected in the first 2 rounds after conversion to monochloramine (p = 0.006). Results of ameba cultures from the final round of sampling were discarded after amebae were found in negative control water samples.

Surveillance for Legionnaires' Disease

Active, population-based surveillance for *Legionella* infections identified 1 confirmed case of Legionnaires' disease in a San Francisco resident in November 2004, who traveled to Mexico within 2–10 days of symptom onset. Infection control departments in 7 (70%) of 10 hospitals in San Francisco, including the 3 largest hospitals, reported no hospitalized patients meeting the definition of a probable or confirmed case of Legionnaires' disease (20) during the study period. Review of case report forms from the national passive surveillance system did not identify any cases of Legionnaires' disease in persons with history of travel to San Francisco during the incubation period of their illness.

No environmental testing for *Legionella* spp. in hospital water systems was conducted during 2003 and 2004 in the San Francisco hospitals that responded to the survey.

Table 3. Factors associated with Legionena colonization of water neaters in sampled buildings, San Francisco, California						
Factor	No. samples	% Legionella colonization	Adjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI)*	p value		
Residual disinfectant						
Chlorine	157	29	Referent			
Chloramine	159	1	0.04 (0.01–0.21)	<0.001		
Water heater temperature, °C						
<30	29	21	Referent			
30–39	68	24	0.43 (0.27-0.70)	0.001		
40–49	131	18	0.27 (0.16-0.46)	0.001		
<u>≥</u> 50	88	1	0.09 (0.05–0.18)	0.001		
Building height (stories)						
3–10	215	11	Referent			
>10	101	24	2.96 (1.51–5.79)	0.002		
Disruption in service in last 3 mo						
Yes	39	26	2.26 (1.31–3.88)	0.003		
No	277	13	Referent			
*Prevalence ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were adjusted for repeated sampling and for effects of all other variables.						

and the set of the set Environmenta de liferente

Two hospitals added supplemental chlorine to their water system to prevent microbial contamination before monochloramine conversion; supplemental chlorination was discontinued after monochloramine was added to municipal drinking water.

Discussion

This is the largest study to prospectively evaluate the effect of monochloramine disinfection on Legionella colonization in a water distribution system. Legionella spp. were prevalent and stable in building water systems over 3 rounds of sampling when chlorine was used for residual disinfection of drinking water. Monochloramine disinfection of the water supply reduced Legionella colonization in hot water systems. Our findings suggest that monochloramine in drinking water provides better control of Legionella growth in building plumbing systems than

chlorine. This study supports the biologic plausibility of decreased risk of nosocomial outbreaks of Legionnaires' disease associated with chloraminated water compared with chlorinated water (14, 15).

The conversion from chlorine to monochloramine for residual disinfection resulted in lower concentrations of trihalomethane compounds in drinking water, which met the objectives of the municipal water supplier. Increased stability of monochloramine resulted in higher disinfectant concentrations in potable hot water systems because chlorine dissipates rapidly at higher temperatures. Higher concentrations of disinfectant and the ability of monochloramine to penetrate biofilms were likely responsible for the effect on Legionella spp. In model systems, monochloramine eliminates 99.9% of biofilm-associated Legionella spp. (21), and Legionella spp. are cleared rapidly after addition of monochloramine (22). Although amebae in model systems

Table 4. Factors associated with Legionella c	olonization at point-	of-use outlets in samp	led buildings, San Francisco, Ca	alifornia
		% Legionella	Adjusted prevalence	
Factor	No. samples	colonization	ratio (95% CI)*	p value
Residual disinfectant				
Chlorine	617	39	Referent	
Chloramine	627	1	0.04 (0.02-0.09)	<0.001
Water heater colonized with Legionella spp.				
Yes	182	74	1.74 (1.26-2.40)	<0.001
Νο	1,062	11	Referent	
Temperature of water at point-of-use outlet, °	С			
<30	23	13	Referent	
30–39	268	24	0.90 (0.39-2.08)	0.8
40–49	786	22	0.85 (0.35-2.05)	0.7
<u>></u> 50	175	10	0.75 (0.29-1.90)	0.5
Building height (stories)				
3–10	855	15	Referent	
>10	397	31	1.85 (1.46–2.35)	<0.001
Disruption in service in last 3 mo				
Yes	142	23	1.34 (0.99–1.81)	0.06
No	1 1 0 9	20	Referent	

*Prevalence ratios and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) were adjusted for repeated sampling and correlations between samples taken from the same building and for the effects of all other variables. Twenty-eight observations were missing

protect *Legionella* spp. from the short-term effects of monochloramine (21), we found no evidence of this protective effect in the buildings we sampled.

The results of this study are relevant for strategies to control Legionnaires' disease in hospitals. Several strategies are currently used by hospitals to control Legionella growth in water systems and prevent nosocomial transmission of Legionnaires' disease (23). Thermal eradication (superheating water followed by flushing point-of-use outlets) and hyperchlorination were among the earliest methods effective at controlling Legionella growth (23,24). However, superheating increases the risk of scalding injuries and hyperchlorination is associated with increased corrosion of plumbing. Copper-silver ionization has also been used with mixed success (25-27). Monochloramine use for drinking water disinfection has been associated with lower prevalence of Legionella spp. in plumbing systems of hospitals (13). Our study demonstrated that Legionella colonization in a plumbing system was effectively eliminated by monochloramine. Hospitals or other facilities colonized with Legionella spp. might control Legionella growth and prevent disease transmission by adding monochloramine to their potable water system. The potential use of supplemental monochloramine in hospitals to prevent nosocomial Legionnaires' disease needs to be evaluated.

The results of our study are striking considering that we observed few cases of Legionnaires' disease despite evidence that *Legionella* spp. colonized most of the San Francisco buildings tested before use of monochloramine. Some cases of Legionnaires' disease may have gone undetected because patients with community-acquired pneumonia are increasingly treated empirically with antimicrobial drugs without microbiologic confirmation (28). Although we sampled 4 point-of-use outlets in each building, exposures to aerosols produced by these outlets may have been minimal. Persons exposed to any *Legionella*-containing aerosols may have been at low risk for Legionnaires' disease. Alternatively, the *Legionella* organisms present, even though some were *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1, might lack virulence factors needed to cause human disease (29).

Routine maintenance programs for plumbing systems were not effective in preventing colonization with *Legionella* spp., which is consistent with a previous study of hospital water systems (30). However, our findings suggest that existing guidelines were not fully implemented in the buildings sampled. Although nearly half of building engineers reported knowledge of industry guidelines for preventing *Legionella* colonization of potable water systems, only 13% of sampled water heaters were set at the recommended temperature of $\geq 60^{\circ}$ C (140°F) (16). *Legionella* spp. were not found in water heaters set at the recommended temperature. Maintaining the recommended temperatures in water heaters could help prevent *Legionella* growth in hot water systems. Investigations of legionellosis outbreaks have consistently demonstrated that temperatures of 25°C to 42°C facilitate the growth and amplification of *Legionella* spp. to high concentrations (1).

The repeated measurement of *Legionella* colonization at the same sites over time represents a strength of this study. Colonization was stable during the first 3 sampling rounds and no seasonal effect on the prevalence of colonization was observed before conversion to monochloramine. Collection of samples at multiple point-of-use outlets in each building, in addition to water heater samples, increased detection of colonization within buildings. In an outbreak setting, widespread sampling, including sampling of sites that served as likely exposures for cases, is an important step in identifying possible sources of transmission. Filter concentration of water samples from point-of-use outlets increased the yield of positive cultures and provided additional information about the distribution of *Legionella* spp.

This study was not designed to analyze effects of conversion from chlorine to monochloramine on outcomes other than *Legionella* colonization in building water systems. Few data exist on the health effects of ingestion of monochloramine despite a long history of its use in water disinfection (*31*). Since monochloramine eliminates *Legionella* spp., other organisms may colonize water distribution systems (*32*). Our findings may be specific to characteristics of the water or distribution system in San Francisco, although they are consistent with results of a similar study in Pinellas County, Florida (*33*). Because monochloramine was added continuously to the municipal water supply after conversion and concentrations were maintained within specified ranges, effects on *Legionella* spp. at different monochloramine concentrations may vary.

Monochloramine disinfection of municipal water supplies is the only community-based intervention associated with reduced risk of Legionnaire's disease (14,15). Control of Legionnaires' disease is unlikely to be a major factor in a water utility's decision to convert to monochloramine for residual disinfection. However, if water suppliers increasingly convert to monochloramine to reduce concentrations of disinfection byproducts, control of the growth of *Legionella* spp. in potable water systems may be an additional health benefit.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jon Rosenberg for his assistance with Legionnaires' disease surveillance; Gretchen Rothrock, José Beltrán, and Paul Gladden for study coordination, interviews of building managers, and sample collection; Ronald Jetke, Roselle Ferrer, Steve Francies, and Fernando Jimenez for technical expertise and sample collection; Ben Christmann, Claressa Lucas, and Ellen Brown for culturing *Legionella spp.* and

amebae; and Carolyn Wright for review of legionellosis case report forms. We also thank the owners and managers of the San Francisco buildings for permission to collect water samples for the duration of the study, building engineers for providing information about building water systems and facilitating sampling, and hospital infection control practitioners who provided information about Legionnaires' disease and environmental testing.

This research was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Emerging Infections Program, the California Department of Health Services, and the US Environmental Protection Agency.

Dr Flannery is an epidemiologist at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. His work focuses on surveillance, prevention, and control of bacterial pneumonia.

References

- Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2002;15:506–26.
- Stout JE, Yu VL, Muraca P, Joly J, Troup N, Tompkins LS. Potable water as a cause of sporadic cases of community-acquired Legionnaires' disease. N Engl J Med. 1992;326:151–5.
- Breiman R. Modes of transmission in epidemic and nonepidemic *Legionella* infection: directions for further study. In: Barbaree J, Breiman R, Dufour A, eds. Legionella: current status and emerging perspectives. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 1993. p. 30–5.
- Joseph C, Morgan D, Birtles R, Pelaz C, Martin-Bourgon C, Black M, et al. An international investigation of an outbreak of Legionnaires' disease among UK and French tourists. Eur J Epidemiol. 1996;12:215–9.
- Kool J, Fiore A, Kioski C, Brown E, Benson R, Pruckler J, et al. More than 10 years of unrecognized nosocomial transmission of Legionnaires' disease among transplant patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1998;19:898–904.
- Sehulster L, Chinn RY. Guidelines for environmental infection control in health-care facilities. Recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HIC-PAC). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2003;52:1–42.
- Benin A, Benson R, Besser RE. Trends in legionnaires' disease, 1980–1998: declining mortality and new patterns of diagnosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;35:1039–46.
- Straus WL, Plouffe JF, File TM Jr, Lipman HB, Hackman BH, Salstrom SJ, et al. Risk factors for domestic acquisition of Legionnaires' disease. Arch Intern Med. 1996;156:1685–92.
- Sabria M, Modol JM, Garcia-Nunez M, Reynaga E, Pedro-Botet ML, Sopena N, et al. Environmental cultures and hospital-acquired Legionnaires' disease: a 5-year prospective study in 20 hospitals in Catalonia, Spain. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004;25:1072–6.
- Environmental Protection Agency. Alternative disinfectants and oxidants guidance manual. Washington: US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water. EPA 815-R-99-014; 1999.
- Seidel CJ, McGuire MJ, Summers RS, Via S. Have utilities switched to chloramines? Journal of the American Water Works Association. 2005;97:87–97.
- Environmental Protection Agency. National primary drinking water regulations. Stage 2 disinfectants and disinfection byproducts rule; national primary and secondary drinking water regulations: approval of analytical methods for chemical contaminants. Federal Register. 68;2003:49547–96.

- Kool J, Bergmire-Sweat D, Butler J, Brown E, Peabody D, Massi D, et al. Hospital characteristics associated with colonization of water systems by *Legionella* and risk of nosocomial Legionnaires' disease: a cohort study of 15 hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1999;20:798–805.
- Kool J, Carpenter J, Fields B. Effect of monochloramine disinfection of municipal drinking water on risk of nosocomial Legionnaires' disease. Lancet. 1999;353:272–7.
- Heffelfinger JD, Kool JL, Fridkin S, Fraser VJ, Hageman J, Carpenter J, et al. Risk of hospital-acquired Legionnaires' disease in cities using monochloramine versus other water disinfectants. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2003;24:569–74.
- Minimizing the risk of legionellosis associated with building water systems. Atlanta (GA): American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc.; 2000. p 16.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Procedures for the recovery of *Legionella* from the environment. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services; 1994.
- Fields BS. Legionellae and Legionnaire's disease. In: Hurst CJ, Crawford RL, Knudsen GR, McInerney MJ, Stetzenbach LD, editors. Manual of environmental microbiology. 2nd ed. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 2002. p. 860–70.
- Schuchat A, Hilger T, Zell E, Farley MM, Reingold A, Harrison L, et al. Active bacterial core surveillance of the emerging infections program network. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001;7:92–9.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Case definitions for infectious conditions under public health surveillance. MMWR Recomm Rep. 1997;46:1–55.
- 21. Donlan RM, Forster T, Murga R, Brown E, Lucas C, Carpenter J, et al. Legionella pneumophila associated with the protozoan Hartmannella vermiformis in a model multi-species biofilm has reduced susceptibility to disinfectants. Biofouling. 2005;21:1–7.
- Cunliffe DA. Inactivation of *Legionella pneumophila* by monochloramine. J Appl Bacteriol. 1990;68:453–9.
- Lin YS, Stout JE, Yu VL, Vidic RD. Disinfection of water distribution systems for *Legionella*. Semin Respir Infect. 1998;13:147–59.
- Helms CM, Massanari RM, Wenzel RP, Pfaller MA, Moyer NP, Hall N. Legionnaires' disease associated with a hospital water system. A 5-year progress report on continuous hyperchlorination. JAMA. 1988;259:2423–7.
- Rohr U, Senger M, Selenka F, Turley R, Wilhelm M. Four years of experience with silver-copper ionization for control of *Legionella* in a German university hospital hot water plumbing system. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;29:1507–11.
- Lin YS, Vidic RD, Stout JE, Yu VL. Negative effect of high pH on biocidal efficacy of copper and silver ions in controlling *Legionella pneumophila*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2002;68:2711–5.
- Stout JE, Yu VL. Experiences of the first 16 hospitals using coppersilver ionization for *Legionella* control: implications for the evaluation of other disinfection modalities. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2003;24:563–8.
- Bartlett JG. Decline in microbial studies for patients with pulmonary infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39:170–2.
- Helbig JH, Bernander S, Castellani Pastoris M, Etienne J, Gaia V, Lauwers S, et al. Pan-European study on culture-proven Legionnaires' disease: distribution of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroups and monoclonal subgroups. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002;21:710–6.
- Vickers RM, Yu VL, Hanna SS, Muraca P, Diven W, Carmen N, et al. Determinants of *Legionella pneumophila* contamination of water distribution systems: 15-hospital prospective study. Infect Control. 1987;8:357–63.
- Moore GS, Calabrese EJ. The health effects of chloramines in potable water supplies: a literature review. J Environ Pathol Toxicol. 1980;4:257–63.

 Pryor M, Springthorpe S, Riffard S, Brooks T, Huo Y, Davis G, et al. Investigation of opportunistic pathogens in municipal drinking water under different supply and treatment regimes. Water Sci Technol. 2004;50:83–90.

Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 33. Moore MR, Pryor M, Fields B, Lucas C, Phelan M, Besser RE, Introduction of monochloramine into a municipal water system: impact on colonization of buildings by *Legionella* spp. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006;72:378–83.

Address for correspondence: Brendan Flannery, Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd, Mailstop C23, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA; fax: 404-639-3970; email: bif4@cdc.gov

