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## 74\% Reduction in Estimated Measles Deaths, 2000-2010



Source: Simons E et al. Assessment of the 2010 global measles mortality reduction goal: results goal from a model of surveillance data. Lancet 2012; 379(9832):2173-8

## What is the Measles \& Rubella (MR) Initiative?



## Functions of the MR Initiative

- Coordination of global effort to eliminate measles and rubella
- Fundraising
- Advocacy
- Technical Assistance
- Research
- Monitoring, Evaluation \& Reporting


## Background

- 2001: Success of measles elimination in PAHO and mortality reduction in southern Africa spurs founding of Measles Initiative
- 2010: Consultation on feasibility of measles eradication
- 2010: Estimated measles mortality reduced 74\% from 2000
- 2012: Measles \& Rubella Initiative


## Feasibility of Measles Eradication

- July 2010 - Global Consultation
- Measles can and should be eradicated
- In the context of strengthening immunization and primary health care systems
- Opportunity to accelerate rubella control and the prevention of congenital rubella syndrome
- Target date of 2020 feasible if measurable progress


Rubella as the "Game Changer"

## 2011 WHO Rubella vaccine position paper:

- "In light of the remaining global burden of CRS and proven efficacy and safety of RCVs, WHO recommends that countries take the opportunity offered by accelerated measles control and elimination activities to introduce RCVs."
- The preferred approach is to begin with MR vaccine or MMR vaccine in a wide-age range campaign followed immediately with introduction in the routine programme.
- Countries introducing RCV should achieve and maintain immunization coverage of $80 \%$ or greater with RCV delivered through routine services and/or regular SIAs.


## GAVI Support for Measles and Rubella >\$750 million through 2018

- Rubella introduction:
- MR catch-up SIA (9m-14y)
- Bundled vaccine
- \$0.65 / child for operational costs
- Introduction grant
- Cost to GAVI \$554 million
- Performance-based funding for 1st dose measles coverage
- Continue support for grants to introduce MCV2 in routine
- Support for measles follow-up SIAs in 6 large countries
- Support for measles outbreak response immunization (\$55 million)



## GLOBAL MEASLES AND RUBELLA

## STRATEGIC PLAN 2012-2020

"With strong partnerships, resources and political will, we can, and must work together to achieve and maintain the elimination of measles, rubella and CRS globally"

Margaret Chan, DG, WHO
Anthony Lake, Executive Director, UNICEF
Timothy E. Wirth, President, UNF
Gail J. McGovern, President \& CEO, ARC Thomas R. Frieden, Director, CDC

Achieve and maintain a world without measles, rubella and congenital rubella syndrome

## Goals

## By end 2015:

- Reduce global measles mortality by at least 95\% compared with 2000 estimates.
- Achieve regional measles and rubella/CRS elimination goals.

By end 2020:

- Achieve measles and rubella elimination in at least five WHO regions.


## Measles and Rubella Targets

- World Health Assembly 2015 Global Targets

Measles mortality reduction of 95\% vs. 2000
Measles reported incidence <5 cases per million
Measles vaccination coverage
national level 90\%
every district 80\%

- Regional Measles Elimination Goals

2000 AMRO
2012 WPRO
2015 EURO, EMRO
2020 AFRO
No SEARO elimination goal

- Regional Rubella Elimination Goals

2010 - AMRO, 2015 - EURO

- GVAP Goal

2020 Measles and rubella elimination in 5 WHO regions


## Measles Global Annual Reported Cases and MCV1 Coverage*, 1980-2011



## 74\% Reduction in Estimated Measles Deaths, 2000-2010



## Reduction in Estimated Measles Deaths by WHO Region, 2000-2010



Source: Simons E et al. Assessment of the 2010 global measles mortality reduction goal: results from a model of surveillance data. Lancet 2012; 379(9832):2173-8

## Reported Measles Incidence (cases/million

 pop.) and Countries with Large Outbreaks, 2011

## MCV1 Coverage by WHO Region, 20002011



Source: WHO/UNICEF coverage estimates
2011 revision. July 2012
193 WHO Member States. Date of slide: 3 September 2012

A global partnership to stop measles \& rubella


## Expansion of Measles $2^{\text {nd }}$ Dose

- 2nd dose now used in all countries
- 141 countries have introduced MCV2 in routine by 2011
- SIAs reached 146 million in 28 countries in 2011, 17 (61\%) reaching >95\%

Countries Giving 2 Doses of Measles Vaccine in their Routine National Immunization System, 2011 coverage

$\square$ No (53 countries or 27\%)

## Distribution of Measles Genotypes, 2011 (data as of 06/02/2012)



## Key Challenges by WHO Region

- Americas - risk of importations
- Africa - weak immunization \& health systems
- E. Med - security limiting access
- Europe - vaccine hesitancy
- SE Asia - large federalized countries (e.g. India)
- W. Pacific - sustained transmission in China

All regions

- Achieving and sustaining MCV2 coverage >95\%
- Susceptibility gaps in the population including older age groups
- Lack of human and financial resources
- MEASLES
- \&RUBELLA



## Estimated Burden of CRS Globally* ${ }^{*}$ compared to Reported Cases

|  | Estimated numbers of <br> CRS Cases** | Reported No. of <br> CRS cases in <br> 2011 | Member states <br> Region | 1996 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Distribution of Rubella Genotypes, 2011 (data as of 03/08/2012)



West Europe


2011
120 countries reporting laboratory confirmed rubella
12 countries reporting genotype information


Acknowedgement WHO LabNet




## Rubella Cases Reported to WHO, 2000-2011



## Countries with RCV in the National Childhood Immunization Program

1996
83 countries
$13 \%$ of global birth cohort



## Projected RCV Introductions - No. to be vaccinated by year and country, 2012-2018



## Research Agenda

Vaxcine mux (2012) wux-mux

|  | Contents lists available at ScVerse ScienceDirect | yocine: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vaccine |  |
| ELSEVIER | journal homepage: www.elseviet.comilocatefvaccine |  |

Meeting report
Research priorities for global measles and rubella control and eradication*

| article info | abstract |
| :---: | :---: |
| Keywats <br> Measles <br> Rubella <br> Eradication <br> Rexarch <br> immunization <br> Vactines | In 2010, an expert advisory panel convened by the World Health Organization to assess the fasibility of meastes readication conclused that (1) measkes an and should be eradicated, (2) cradiction ty 2020 is feasible if measurable progress is made toward existing 2015 measles mortality reduction targets, (3) measles eradication ativities should ocurr in the contert of strengthening routine immunization services and (1) messles eradication activites should be ussed to accelerte control and elimination of roleot research and innovation in any diseasecontrol or eradication program. 1 m May 2011 , a meeting was <br>  eradication attivites. This summary presents the questions identifed by the meeting participants and their relative priorly wathin the following categries: (1) measles epidemiol ogy, (2) vact ine development and alternative vaccine delivery, (3) survellarce and laboratory methods, (4) immuniztion stratepies, (5) mathemtical modeling and economic andyses, and (6) rubella) COC control and elininitaion. |

## I. Introduction

At the World Health Assembly (WHA) in May 2008, following remarkable progress reducing measles deaths worldwide since the Measles Initiative was established in 2001 [1], World Health Organization (WHO) member states requested that an evaluation of the feasibility of global measles eradication. In July 2010, an expert advisory panel convened by WHO concluded that (1) measles can and should be eradicated, (2) eradication by 2020 is feasible if measurable progress is made toward the existing 2015 measles mortality reduction targets, (3) measles cradication activitics should occur in the context of strengthening routine immunization sevices, and (4) measles eradication activities should be used to accelerate control and elimination of rubella and congenital nihella syndmme (CRS) [2, 3) In Nowember 2010, the WHO StrategicAdvisory Group of Experts (SAGE) endorsed the expert advisory
panel conclusions and recommended that demonstration of sufth-
cient progress toward 2015 regional measles elimination targets
n should serve as a basis for considering atarget date for eradication.
questions within the following categories: (1) measles epidemlnlogy, (7) varcine development and effertiveness, and alternative delivery methods, (3) surveillance and laboratory methods, (4) immunizationstrategies,(5) mathematical modeling and economic analyses, and (6) rubella/CRS control and elimination. The list of questions generated by invited meeting experts reflects the views that emerged following group discussion. Key contextual issues for the research agenda include changing epidemiology that leads to shifts in age groups and subpopulations that primarily sustain measles and rubella virus transmission, technological advances that provide new opportunities to improve vaccination and laboratory techniques, and health systems development that enhance surveillance and vaccination activities This manuscript highlights insights and research priorities for measles and rubella control and pradication identififed hy mpeting participunts: the comprehensive list of all identified questions is in the full meeting report (link).
2. Measles cpidemiolvgy

- Information gaps/barriers to elimination/research questions (Vaccine 2012)
- Sub-group of WHO SAGE working group on measles and rubella
- Criteria for prioritization
- Appropriateness, relevance, chance of success and impact
- Short-term (2 years) and long-term (5 years) research questions with study designs and potential funders.

Vaccine , Volume 30, Issue 32, 6 July 2012, Pages 4709-4716

- \&RUBELLA


## Summary: Measles and Rubella

- Remarkable progress
- $3 / 4$ reduction in measles deaths and reported incidence rate globally
- Elimination of measles and rubella in the Americas
- Progress in India and China
- New tools for diagnosis
- New resources from GAVI and other partners
- Challenges:
- Levelling off of coverage, incidence, deaths
- Weak immunization systems
- Conflict and emergency settings
- Socio-political will
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