

# Risk of Intussusception after Rotavirus Vaccination: Results of a PRISM Study

W. Katherine Yih, PhD, MPH

Presentation to ACIP

June 20, 2013

# PRISM and its data

- ❑ Post-licensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring system
- ❑ Part of FDA-sponsored Mini-Sentinel pilot program developed to conduct active surveillance for medical product safety
- ❑ PRISM data partners are national health insurance companies
- ❑ Date range varies by data partner; included in this rotavirus study: 2004 – mid-2011

# Outline

1. Exposure and outcome codes
2. Chart review
3. Dose counts
4. Study designs
5. Attributable risk (AR) estimates
6. Temporal scan statistics
7. Conclusions

# Identification of potential exposures and outcomes in electronic claims data

## ❑ Rotavirus vaccine exposure

CPT-4 codes 90680 (RotaTeq) and 90681 (Rotarix)

## ❑ Intussusception

First-ever of any of these in ED or inpatient setting:

- ICD-9 code 560.0 (intussusception)
- ICD-9 code 543.9 (unspecified diseases of appendix, including intussusception)
- CPT-4 code 74283 (therapeutic enema, contrast or air, for reduction of intussusception or other intraluminal obstruction)

# Chart review

## ❑ Purposes

- To confirm **intussusception** diagnoses
- To confirm **rotavirus vaccination** status (specific vaccine, dose number, age) of intussusception cases

❑ Age range: 5-36 weeks, to cover recommended vaccination ages (2, 4, 6 mo.) plus follow-up time

❑ Reviewed charts of ostensibly vaccinated as well as unvaccinated cases


❑ Adjudicators of intussusception charts blinded to vaccination status

# Brighton Collaboration criteria\*

- ❑ Level 1 (requires direct observation of invagination of intestine or of highly specific features on ultrasound)
  - Surgical criteria and/or
  - Radiological criteria (using air/liquid contrast enema or ultrasound) and/or
  - Autopsy criteria
- ❑ Level 2
  - Clinical criteria, including “major” (more specific) ones
- ❑ Level 3
  - Clinical criteria but only “minor” (less specific) ones

\* J Bines et al. *Vaccine* 2004;22:569-574

# Intussusception confirmation



Potential cases are from whole population aged 5-36 weeks and include unexposed


# Rotavirus vaccine doses in PRISM study

(for period for which charts reviewed, through 6/2011 maximum)

	1st doses	All doses
RotaTeq (3-dose series)	507,874	1,277,556
Rotarix (2-dose series)	53,638	103,098




# Intussusception incidence by age



J Tate et al. Trends in IS hospitalizations... *Pediatrics* 2008;121(5):e1125-1132.

# Intussusception incidence by age



J Tate et al. Trends in IS hospitalizations... *Pediatrics* 2008;121(5):e1125-1132.

# Design and analysis approaches

## Primary:


Self-controlled risk interval (vaccinated infants only)

## Secondary:

Cohort (all infants)

# Self-controlled risk interval design


- ❑ Uses just vaccinated cases with intussusception in either pre-specified risk or control window
- ❑ Each subject serves as own control; adjusts for fixed (non-time-varying) confounders



- ❑ Analysis by logistic regression
  - ❑ **Adjust for age using age-specific incidence in offset term**

# Cohort design

- ❑ Uses exposed and unexposed infant-time from cohort 5-36 weeks of age




- ❑ Analysis by Poisson regression
  - ❑ Adjust for age using polynomial function in model

# Complementarity of designs

Design	Pros	Cons
Self-controlled (SCRI) (pre-specified as <b>primary</b> )	Controls well for fixed risk factors	Requires accurate age-specific incidence for age adjustment
Cohort	Higher statistical power; extrinsic background rates not needed	Could be affected by residual confounding

# Intussusception age-specific incidence from 11 years of U.S. HCUP data\*



\* J Tate et al. *PEDIATRICS* Volume 121, Number 5, May 2008 e1129

# Complementarity of designs


Design	Pros	Cons
Self-controlled (SCRI) (pre-specified as <b>primary</b> )	Controls well for fixed risk factors	Requires accurate age-specific incidence for age adjustment
Cohort	Higher statistical power; extrinsic background rates not needed	Could be affected by residual confounding




# Dose-risk window combinations for each vaccine

Risk window → Dose ↓	1-7 days	1-21 days
1	SCRI (primary)	SCRI Cohort
2, 3	SCRI	SCRI Cohort

# RotaTeq attributable risks by dose number, study design, and risk window



# Rotarix attributable risks by dose number, study design, and risk window




# Temporal scan statistics


- ❑ Evaluated all potential risk windows...
  - starting 1-14 days after vaccination
  - ending 1-21 days after vaccination
- ❑ Adjusted for multiple testing (203 intervals considered)
- ❑ Adjusted for age using the age-specific incidence curve from Tate et al. and a randomization method
- ❑ Analyses conducted using SaTScan

# Confirmed IS onsets by day after RotaTeq

## Dose 1




## All doses



# Confirmed IS onsets by day after RotaTeq


5 out of 11 cases, RR=9.7, p=0.008

**Dose 1**




10 out of 30 cases, RR=4.5, p=0.004

**All doses**




# Confirmed IS onsets by day after Rotarix

**Dose 1**




**All doses**




# Confirmed IS onsets by day after Rotarix

**Dose 1**



**3 out of 6 cases, RR=48, p=0.0008 All doses**





## Conclusions: RotaTeq

- ❑ Dose 1 associated with increased risk of intussusception in the 1-7 & 1-21 days after vaccination
- ❑ Statistically significant cluster found on Days 3-7 after vaccination (Dose 1 and all doses combined)
- ❑ All Dose 1 AR\* point estimates in range of 1.1-1.5
- ❑ Lower and upper bounds of 95% CI of ARs\*:
  - 0.2 excess cases/100,000 first-dose vaccinees ( $\approx 1/520,000$ )
  - 3.2 excess cases/100,000 first-dose vaccinees ( $\approx 1/30,000$ )

\* attributable risk

## Conclusions: **Rotarix**

- ❑ Low statistical power—103,098 total doses, 53,638 first doses, only 1 case in 1-42 d after first dose
- ❑ Statistically significant cluster found on Day 4 after vaccination (all doses combined)
- ❑ Other results also suggest increased risk but are inconclusive

# Acknowledgments

## FDA/CBER

Robert Ball

David Martin

Michael Nguyen

## Data partners

Aetna: Cheryl McMahill-  
Walraven, Carolyn Jevit,  
Carolyn Neff, Yihai Liu

HealthCore: Nandini Selvam,  
Chunfu Liu, Tosmai  
Puenpatom, Marcus Wilson,  
Amanda Rodriguez

Humana: Mano Selvan, Vinit  
Nair, Tom Stacey, Qianli Ma

## M-S/PRISM team

Carolyn Balsbaugh, David Cole,  
Claudia Coronel-Moreno, Martin  
Kulldorff, Grace Lee, Lingling Li,  
Tracy Lieu, Richard Platt, Linda  
Pointon, Megan Reidy, Robert  
Rosofsky, Diana Santiago, Ruihua  
Yin

## Others

Ed Belongia

Michael Silverman

Jacqueline Tate