

## COMPARISON OF TINE AND INTRADERMAL PPD TESTS FOR TUBERCULOSIS IN HOSPITAL PATIENTS

Robert A. Gotshall, M.D., and Nicholas P. Sinaly, M.D.

SINCE the tuberculin tine test was described by Rosenthal (1) in 1961, there have been studies comparing it with the intradermal tuberculin skin test with purified protein derivative (PPD-S) (2-6). The impressions from results of these studies have been that the tine test is a good screening test, but that the intradermal test remains the diagnostic standard. The diagnostic use of the tine test is considered limited because of uncontrollable variables in the manufacture of the antigen and application of the test. These include (a) the crudeness of old tuberculin as an antigen, (b) the variable potency of old tuberculin, and (c) poor control of dosage, which varies with force, depth, and duration of puncture.

After the tine test was made available for general use in July 1964 at the Public Health Service Hospital, Baltimore, Md., there developed among the house staff the impression that it was not sufficiently sensitive. This impression prompted this study, which is a comparison of the tine and intradermal tests and an assessment of the use of the tine test in hospital practice.

### Materials and Methods

The tine test is administered by using a stainless steel ring with four prongs (tines) attached to a plastic holder. The prongs have been

dipped in 4-times concentrated old tuberculin, then dried and sterilized. The device is disposable. The ring is applied firmly for approximately 1 second to clean dry skin of the forearm, leaving a visible impression of the four punctures and ring.

Two hundred medical and surgical inpatients at the Public Health Service Hospital, Baltimore, Md., were tested. These patients were consecutive adult admissions whose tuberculin reactivity was not already known and who would be hospitalized long enough for reading of the test. Mean age of the patients was 49 years; 86 percent were men, 14 percent women. The group consisted mainly of American seamen and dependents of military service personnel.

Intermediate PPD was prepared to a concentration of 0.0002 mg. per 0.1 ml. dose (10 tuberculin units) and administered intradermally. The tine test was administered to the left arm and intermediate PPD was injected into the right arm of each patient. Testing was done in January, February, and March of 1965 by two physician members of the staff, and results of all tests were read after 72 hours by one medical resident (R.G.). Measurements of an induration of 3 mm. or more at any prong site of the tuberculin tine test area and an induration of 5 mm. or more at the site of the intradermal injection were considered positive reactions.

### Results

In 186 (93 percent) of the patients there was agreement of the two tests. Both tests were positive in 123 patients (61.5 percent) and both negative in 63 (31.5 percent). Fourteen patients (7 percent) had a positive reaction from the tine test and a negative reaction from the intradermal test. Of the 14 approximately one half had no reaction to PPD, and the other

---

*Dr. Gotshall was a medical resident at the time of the study at the Public Health Service Hospital, Baltimore. He is now assistant chief of medicine, Public Health Service Hospital, Seattle, Wash. Dr. Sinaly is chief of medicine at the Baltimore hospital.*

*This paper was read May 11, 1966, at the first joint meeting of the Clinical Society and Commissioned Officers Association of the U.S. Public Health Service, held in Baltimore.*

half had small indurations of less than 5 mm. Using the intradermal test as the standard, there were 7 percent false positive and no false negative reactions to the tine test. The numerical results are summarized in the table.

### Discussion

Most comparative studies of these two tests have been in large groups of young people, such as school or military populations. In these groups the incidence of tuberculin reactivity has been very low, and the large number of tests necessitated participation of many persons for administering the tests and observing the results. These factors have limited the comparability of the tests.

However, Badger (2) and Capobres (3) carefully controlled testing and reading results of tests of older hospitalized patients, and their observations were remarkably similar to ours. One difference was the presence of a small fraction of false negative tine tests in their reports: 3 percent by Badger and 4 percent by Capobres. The significance of this difference is questionable when considered in terms of the acknowledged 6-10 percent error in intradermal skin test reading by experts (6, 7).

Thus it is apparent that a negative tine test is a significant piece of information about the individual patient, essentially ruling out tuberculin reactivity. Our evaluation has stressed the sensitivity of the test by requiring a 3-mm. induration for positivity and by using the 10 tuberculin unit dose of PPD. Still no false negative results were observed. In retrospect it seemed apparent that the basis for the opposite impression among the house staff was imprecise knowledge of the criteria for positivity of the tine test.

The false positive reactions probably are explainable in terms of the limitations of the test listed at the beginning of this paper. However, those false positive reactions associated with weak reactions to PPD are suggestive of cross-reactivity with nontuberculous mycobacterial infections.

In this connection the tine test and intradermal test were compared in Houston by Hsu and her associates in a population with a high incidence of low-grade tuberculin reactivity (5). A significant number of false positive re-

### Comparison of tuberculin tine test and intradermal skin test results, Public Health Service Hospital, Baltimore, Md., January-March 1965

Tuberculin tine test	Intradermal skin test		Total
	Positive	Negative	
Positive.....	123	14	137
Negative.....	0	63	63
Total.....	123	77	200

actions to tine tests were observed. The majority of these persons subsequently were shown to be strongly reactive to purified protein derivatives from the Battey and Bridges strains of mycobacteria.

There is much to recommend the tine test as a routine hospital admission procedure. It gives meaningful information. The disposable device is sterile. There is no risk of hepatitis. No extra equipment is necessary. Administration requires minimal skill and time.

### Summary

The intradermal tuberculin skin test with purified protein derivative and the tuberculin tine test were compared to assess the usefulness of the tine test in hospital practice. Two hundred inpatients at the Public Health Service Hospital in Baltimore, Md., were given one test on each forearm.

Reactions coincided in 186 patients (93 percent): both tests were positive in 123 patients (61.5 percent), and both negative in 63 (31.5 percent). Fourteen patients (7 percent) had a positive reaction from the tine test and a negative reaction from the PPD. Of the 14 about half had no reaction to PPD and the remainder had an induration of less than 5 mm. Using the intradermal test as standard, there were 7 percent false positive and no false negative reactions to the tine test.

### REFERENCES

- (1) Rosenthal, S. R.: The disc-tine tuberculin test (dried tuberculin-disposable unit). *JAMA* 177: 452-454, Aug. 12, 1961.
- (2) Badger, T. L., Breitweiser, R. E., and Muench, H.: Tuberculin tine test: Multiple puncture intradermal technique compared with PPD-S in-

- intermediate strength (5 TU). *Amer Rev Resp Dis* 87: 338-353, March 1963.
- (3) Capobres, D. B., et al.: Experience with the tuberculin tine test in a sanatorium. *JAMA* 180: 1130-1132, June 30, 1962.
- (4) Hanzel, G. D., and Rogers, K. D.: Multiple puncture and Mantoux tuberculin tests in high school students. A comparative study. *JAMA* 190: 1038-1042, Dec. 21, 1964.
- (5) Hsu, K. H. K., et al.: Today's concept of the tuberculin test. *Dis Chest* 46: 648-663, December 1964.
- (6) Sample, D. W., and Bukatman, B. A.: Tuberculin testing of Army recruits. *Milit Med* 129: 161-166, February 1964.
- (7) Bearman, J. E., et al.: A study of variability in tuberculin test reading. *Amer Rev Resp Dis* 90: 913-919, December 1964.



**The Dentist in Indian Health.** *PHS Publication No. 1536*; November 1966; 12 pages. Contains information for dentists considering joining the Public Health Service, with primary emphasis on the Division of Indian Health. Includes general information about the Division of Indian Health and the people served. Describes varied professional challenges and opportunities for training and career development. Shows the places where a dentist might be stationed with the Division and the benefits received as a Commissioned Officer in the Public Health Service.

**Health Statistics from the U.S. National Health Survey.**

National Center for Health Statistics.

**PARTICIPATION OF HOSPITALS IN THE PILOT STUDY OF THE HOSPITAL DISCHARGE SURVEY.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 2, No. 19*; October 1966; 55 pages; 40 cents.

**REPORT OF THE UNITED STATES DELEGATION TO THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE FOR THE EIGHTH REVISION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES, Geneva, Switzerland, July 6-12, 1965.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 4, No. 6*; September 1966; 74 pages; 50 cents.

**RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS IN ADULTS, United States, 1960-1962.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 11, No. 17*; September 1966; 43 pages; 35 cents.

**COST AND ACQUISITION OF PRESCRIBED AND NONPRESCRIBED MEDICINES, United States, July 1964-June 1965.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 10, No. 33*; October 1966; 59 pages; 40 cents.

**BLOOD GLUCOSE LEVELS IN ADULTS, United States, 1960-1962.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 11, No. 18*; September 1966; 25 cents.

**AGE AT MENOPAUSE, United States, 1960-1962.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 11, No. 19*; October 1966; 20 pages; 25 cents.

**OSTEOARTHRITIS IN ADULTS BY SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, United States, 1960-1962.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 11, No. 20*; November 1966; 27 pages; 25 cents.

**CHILDBEARING AND DIABETES MELLITUS, United States, 1960-1962.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 11, No. 21*; November 1966; 19 pages; 25 cents.

**EMPLOYEES IN NURSING AND PERSONAL CARE HOMES, United States, May-June 1964.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 12, No. 5*; September 1966; 34 pages; 30 cents.

**PATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, United States, October-December 1964.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 13, No. 1*; October 1966; 19 pages; 25 cents.

**MORTALITY TRENDS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1954-1963.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 20, No. 2*; June 1966; 57 pages; 40 cents.

**INFANT, FETAL, AND MATERNAL MORTALITY, United States, 1963.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 20, No. 3*; September 1966; 64 pages; 45 cents.

**MORTALITY FROM DISEASES ASSOCIATED WITH SMOKING: United States, 1950-64.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 20, No. 4*; October 1966; 45 pages; 35 cents.

**METHODS AND RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS, NATIONAL NATALITY SURVEY, United States, 1963.** *PHS Publication No. 1000, Series 22, No. 3*; September 1966; 36 pages; 30 cents.

**Grade A Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products. Your best buy.** *PHS Publication No. 1510*; 1966; 13 pages; 15 cents. Describes grade A pasteurized milk. Contrasts present-day milk sanitation controls and methods of processing with the situation in the early 1900's. Pamphlet is designed especially for students to show the important public health measures involved in the production of safe and wholesome fluid milk.

This section carries announcements of new publications prepared by the Public Health Service and of selected publications prepared with Federal support.

Unless otherwise indicated, publications for which prices are quoted are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Orders should be accompanied by cash, check, or money order and should fully identify the publication. Public Health Service publications which do not carry price quotations, as well as single sample copies of those for which prices are shown, can be obtained without charge from the Public Inquiries Branch, Public Health Service, Washington, D.C. 20201.

The Public Health Service does not supply publications other than its own.