# Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1964–65

Twenty-eight communities have been added to the Public Health Service milk sanitation "honor roll" and 22 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from January 1, 1964, to December 31, 1965, and includes a total of 201 cities and 138 counties.

Communities on the honor roll have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the milk ordinance recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk are sold.

The recommended milk ordinance, on which the milk sanitation ratings are based, is now in effect through This compilation is from the Milk and Food Branch, Division of Environmental Engineering and Food Protection, Public Health Service. The previous listing, with a summary of rules under which a community is included, was published in Public Health Reports, October 1965, pp. 934–936. The rating method is described in PHS Publication No. 678 (Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milksheds).

voluntary adoption in 521 counties and 1,437 municipalities. The ordinance also serves as the basis for law or regulations of 39 States.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as stated in the recommended ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the recommended ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they are more than 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

## Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, January 1964–December 1965

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Alabama	Colorado (Continued)	Georgia (Continued)	
Montgomery 11-19-64 Arizona	San Juan Basin	Douglas	
Maricopa County 2-27-64 Colorado	La Plata County Weld County 10-23-64	Newnan 10-13-64 Quitman 12- 1-64	
Boulder County 12- 8-64 Delta-Montrose County 3-12-65	District of Columbia Washington	Savannah	
Denver	Georgia Albany	Valdosta	
Northeast District	Athens-Clarke County 7-16-65 Atlanta-Fulton County 11-23-64	Waycross 11- 5-65	
Morgan County Phillips County Sedgwick County	Augusta	Kentucky Ashland-Boyd County	
Yuma County     Pueblo-Pueblo County     3-24-65	Columbus 11- 6-64 Dalton-Whitfield County 4-13-65	Bowling Green-Warren County9-16-64Boyle County4-12-65	

## **Public Health Reports**

## Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, January 1964–December 1965—Continued

## 100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED-Continued

#### Kentucky (Continued)

#### North Carolina

## 

Brandenburg	11-19-64
Campbellsville-Taylor County	113064
Corbin	7-2-64
Covington-Kenton County	10-18-65
Fulton-Fulton County	10-26-64
Glasgow	10-26-64
Harlan	7-20-64
Harrodsburg-Mercer County	10- 7-65
Henderson-Henderson County	11-23-64
Hopkinsville-Christian County	4-26-65
Lebanon-Marlon County	11- 1-65
Lexington-Fayette County	11-15-65
Louisville-Jefferson County	3-29-65
Madisonville	5-11-64
Mayfield-Graves County	4-12-65
Maysville-Mason County	10-21-64
McCracken County	4-13-65
Monticello-Wayne County	7-12-65
Morehead-Rowan County	7-19-65
Murray-Calloway County	4-26-65
Newport-Campbell County	11-16-64
Owensboro-Daviess County	9-13-65
Richmond-Madison County	10-19-64
Russellville-Logan County	3- 1-65
Somerset-Pulaski County	10-4-65
Stanton-Powell County	7-23-65
Williamsburg	7- 2-64

#### Mississippi

Brookhaven	8-10-65
Cleveland	7- 7-64
Columbia 1	1-23-64
Columbus	4-9-64
Greenville	7- 8-64
Greenwood.	2-26-64
Grenada	8-16-65
Gulfport	11664
Hattiesburg	8-17-65
Iuka	5 764
Jackson	1-12-65
Kosciusko 1	10-14-65
Laurel	2-18-65
Lucedale	2-17-65
McComb	4-13-65
Meadville	8-25-65
Meridian	41465
Oxford 1	1-24-65
Picayune	62564
Starkville	9- 8-65
Tupelo	7-15-64
Vicksburg1	1
West Point	4 764

#### Missouri

Cape Girardeau	4-21-64
Kansas City	7-20-64
St. Louis	6-10-65
St. Louis County	3-17-64
Springfield	91564

#### New Mexico

Albuquerque	8- 7-64
Artesia	8-26-64
Carlsbad	8-25-64
Clovis	8-14-64
Roswell	8-26-64
San Juan County	7-15-64

1101111 Carolina	
Alexander County	1- 3-64
Alleghany County	1 - 12 - 65
Anson County	1-12-65
Ashe County	1-12-65
Avery County	11165
Beaufort County	8-18-64
Bertie County	5- 5-65
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	101965
Brunswick County	3- 3-65
Buncombe County	3-17-65
Cabarrus County	7-23-65
Caldwell County	6- 7-65
Camden County	7-14-65
Carteret County	6- 3-65
Catawba County	1- 3-64
Chowan County	7-14-65
Cleveland County	3-18-64
Craven County	5-4-64
Cumberland County	1-6-65
Currituck County	8 -7-64
Dare County	4 7-65 8-27-64
Davidson County Durham County	8-27-04 6-26-64
Edgecombe County	6-24-64
Forsyth County	0-24-04 2-1765
Gaston County	2-17-05 5- 6-65
Guilford County	9-28-65
Harnett County	4-23-64
Haywood County	11-12-64
Henderson County	2-11-64
Iredell County	5-26-65
Jackson County	10-21-65
Johnston County	6-22-65
Lenoir County	10- 8-65
Lincoln County	1- 3-64
Macon County	10-21-65
Madison County	1 - 28 - 65
Martin County	5- 5-65
Mecklenburg County	6-17-64
Mitchell County	4-16-65
Montgomery County	3-12-65
Moore County	6- 4-65
Nash County	8- 5-64
New Hanover County	12-15-64
Northampton County	6- 2-65
Onslow County	9-16-64
Pamlico County	9-16-64
Pasquotank County	7-14-65
Pender County	63065
Perquimans County	7-14-65
Pitt County	5- 3-65
Richmond County	5-20-65
Robeson County	2-17-65
Rockingham County	111664
Rocky Mount	11- 5-64
Rowan County	8-31-65
Sampson County	
	9-24-65
Scotland County	2-18-65
Stokes County	6-10-65
Swain County	10-21-65
Transylvania County	8-24-65
Tyrrell County	4- 8-65
Union County	10-19-65
Vance County	10-12-65
Wake County	6-12-64
Warren County	5-19-65
Washington County	4- 8-65
-	

Wilson County	7-10-64
Yancey County	41665
Oklahoma	
Ardmore	6-18-65
Elk City	4-21-65
Enid	5-26-65
Lawton	11-24-64
Mangum	102665
Muskogee	11-12-65
Oklahoma City	5- 5-65
Ponca City	9-29-65
Shawnee	2-12-64
Stillwater	3-29-65
Tahlequah	1-13-64
Tulsa	12-16-64

## Tennessee

	Bradley County	6- 2-64
	Brownsville	11-20-64
	Chattanooga-Hamilton County	6- 2-64
	Clarksville	2-17-64
	Clinton	6-29-65
	Cookeville	6-19-64
	Covington	8-26-64
	Crossville	10- 1-65
	Elizabethton-Carter County	9-30-64
	Erwin	4-1-65
	Fayetteville-Lincoln County	6-29-64
	Greenville	5-18-65
	Grundy-Marion Counties	6-28-65
•	Huntingdon	11-12-64 7-23-64
	Knoxville-Knox County	
	Lebanon	6- 1-64
	Lewisburg	10-28-65
•	Lexington	2- 3-65
ł	Loudon County	11-12-64
) (	McMinn County	6- 2-64
ŝ	McMinnville-Warren County	7- 6-64
į	Maury County	10-12-64
Ł	Meigs County	6- 2-64
5	Memphis-Shelby County	6- 7-65
ŀ	Monroe County	6- 2-64
ŀ	Moore County	9-17-64
5	Mountain City	9-30-64
5	Murfreesboro	5-17-65
5	Nashville-Davidson County	9-13-65
5	Paris	11-23-64
i	Polk County	6- 2-64
5	Pulaski-Giles County	7-15-65
Ł	Roane County	11-19-64
ł	Springfield	2- 3-64
5	Sullivan County (Bristol and Kings-	9-20-65
5	port)	
5	Texas	
5	Abilene	4 7-65

Abilene	4- 7-65
Amarillo	5 665
Beaumont	5-27-65
Brenham	3 664
Bryan	3-12-64
College Station	3-12-64
Corpus Christi	4- 6-65
Dallas	9- 2-64
Donna	5- 4-64

## Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, January 1964–December 1965–Continued

## 100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued

## 

Galveston\_\_\_\_\_ 6-10-65

 Gonzales
 7-30-65

 Grand Prairie
 2-9-65

 Harlingen
 1-17-64

 Houston
 7-6-64

 Jacksonville
 3-5-65

 Kerrville
 5–13–64

 Lubbock
 8–10–64

New Braunfels..... 3- 6-64

 Paris\_\_\_\_\_\_
 7-14-65

 Plainview\_\_\_\_\_\_
 7-23-65

 Port Arthur\_\_\_\_\_\_
 8- 7-64

San Antonio..... 1- 8-65

San Benito\_\_\_\_\_ 1-17-64

Tyler..... 1-27-65

Wichita Falls\_\_\_\_\_ 10- 8-64

Utah

Virginia

Lynchburg...... 4-27-65

#### Virginia (Continued)

#### 

#### Washington

6-12-64
5-15-64
8-27-64

#### Wisconsin

Beaver Dam 12- 3-64
Beloit 6-10-65
Eau Claire
Fond du Lac 6-30-64
Fort Atkinson
Green Bay
Janesville 5- 5-65
Kaukauna 11-11-64
Kenosha 3-17-65
La Crosse 4-16-64
Madison 10- 2-64
Manitowoc 12-11-64
Milwaukee
Neenah-Menasha 7-1-64
Oshkosh 12-16-64
Racine 8- 5-64
Ripon 12- 3-64
Sheboygan
Stevens Point
Two Rivers 11-24-64
Waupun 12- 3-64
Wausau 11- 8-65

#### BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED

## MARKET MILK<sup>1</sup>

## Oklahoma

Norman (98.7)	6- 3-65
Oregon	
Portland (99.9)	42365

#### Texas

Austin (98)	10- 5-64
Fort Worth (99.98)	3- 9-65
Marshall (98.9)	3-12-65
Waco (99.09)	4-20-64

#### Washington

 Benton-Franklin Counties (93.3)
 10 7-64

 Seattle-King County (99.1)
 5-16-65

<sup>1</sup> Figures in parentheses show the percentage of the milk pasteurized.

NOTE: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90 percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90 percent or more compliance with the grade A raw milk requirements, of the milk ordinance recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service.

Notice particularly the percentage of the milk pasteurized in the various communities listed. This percentage is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized, whether commercially or at home, before it is consumed.

# Third MEDLARS Search Center Established

The third MEDLARS (Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System) Search Center has been established at the University of Alabama Medical Center in Birmingham.

Under a 1-year \$28,800 contract, the Alabama center will use duplicate National Library of Medicine MEDLARS computer tapes on its computers to furnish bibliographic services on demand to qualified users in the southern region. The region includes Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Puerto Rico.

The Alabama center will modify MEDLARS computer programs for local use. The university will supply professional library staff for training in MEDLARS procedures at the National Library of Medicine. It will also orient regional librarians to the effective users' experience with the bibliographies.