### Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1961-62

Twenty-eight communities have been added to the Public Health Service milk sanitation "honor roll," and 65 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from January 1, 1961, to December 31, 1962, and includes a total of 228 cities and 107 counties.

Communities on the honor roll have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the milk ordinance recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk are sold.

The recommended milk ordinance, on which the milk sanitation ratings are based, is now in effect through This compilation is from the Milk and Food Branch, Division of Environmental Engineering and Food Protection, Public Health Service. The previous listing, with a summary of rules under which a community is included, were published in Public Health Reports, September 1962, pp. 823–825. The rating method is described in PHS Publication No. 678 (Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milksheds).

voluntary adoption in 512 counties and 1,435 municipalities. The ordinance also serves as the basis for the regulations of 37 States. In 16 States it is in effect statewide.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as stated in the recommended ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the recommended ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they are more than 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

#### Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, and date of rating for 1961–62

**100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED** 

Arizona	Colorado—Continued	Georgia—Continued
Maricopa co 3- 6-62		Cartersville-Bartow co 12-14-61
Arkansas	Archuleta co Dolores co	Columbus 12- 8-61 Dalton-Whitfield co 12- 8-61
Fort Smith 7-26-62	LaPlata co	Douglas 10-31-62
	Weld co 1-26-61	Fitzgerald 11-22-61
Colorado	District of Columbia	Macon 10- 3-62 Quitman 10-17-62
Boulder co 11-13-62	Washington 10-21-61	Rome-Floyd co 1-26-62
Colorado Springs 10- 5-62		Savannah 12- 7-62
Denver and Denver co 261	Georgia	Statesboro 10- 4-62
Northeast Division 161	Albany 10-13-61	Thomasville 6-13-62
Logan co	Atlanta 11- 9-62	Valdosta 2-13-62
Morgan co	Augusta 2- 2-62	Washington 11-17-61
Phillips co	Cairo 6-12-62	Waycross 11- 2-62
Sedgwick co	Calhoun 10- 5-62	
Yuma co	Canton 8-17-62	Idaho
Pueblo co 461	Carrollton-Carroll co 3- 3-62	Pocatello 2-13-61

### Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, and date of rating tor 1961–62—Continued

# 100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued | Mississippi—Continued | North Co

Illinois			
Chicago	3- 3-61		
Peoria	5-18-61		
Iowa			
Ames	1 - 16 - 62		
Burlington	11062		
Cedar Falls	9-19-61		
Cedar Rapids	6-28-62		
Clarion	10- 4-61		
Clinton	41962		
Corydon	12 - 18 - 61		
Davenport	5 - 16 - 62		
Des Moines	6 - 15 - 62		
Dubuque	5 - 2 - 62		
Dyersville	11- 8-61		
Eagle Grove	10- 7-61		
Estherville	4-10-62		
Fort Dodge	5 - 10 - 61		
Grinnell	6- 1-61		
Keokuk	3 - 21 - 62		
Laurens	3-14-62		
Le Mars	111561		
Lytton	10- 3-61		
Maquoketa	11- 8-61		
Marshalltown	5 - 11 - 61		
Mason City	11-21-61		
Mount Pleasant	3- 9-62		
Ottumwa	5 - 11 - 62		
Paullina	11-14-61		
Pocahontas	10 - 2 - 61		
Rockwell City	10- 2-61		
Sanborn	1 - 25 - 61		
Sheldon	12-14-61		
Sioux City	2 - 15 - 62		
Spencer	12 - 19 - 61		
Waterloo	9-15-61		
Webster City	10- 8-61		

#### Kentucky

Ashland-Boyd co 2-16-61
Bowling Green-Warren co 10- 9-62
Brandenburg 6- 8-61
Campbellsville 1- 2-61
Covington 3- 1-61
Frankfort 7- 5-61
Fulton co 11-14-62
Harlan 8-10-62
Henderson-Henderson co 6- 7-62
Hopkinsville-Christian co 4-19-62
Louisville-Jefferson co 9-22-61
Mayfield-Graves co 9-18-62
Monticello-Wayne co 4-16-62
Murray-Calloway co 10-15-62
Newport 2-28-61
Owensboro-Daviess co 1-18-62
Paducah-McCracken co 9-22-62
Richmond-Madison co 6-14-61
Russellville 5- 1-61

#### Mississipp**i**

Biloxi	7 - 20 - 61
Brookhaven	11-16-61
Canton	6-12-62
Corinth	5- 9-62
Eupora	9-26-61
Grenada	

Gulfport	7-18-61
Hattiesburg	1 - 23 - 62
Iuka	5- 8-62
Jackson	8-15-61
Kosciusko	4-10-62
Laurel	4-20-61
Meadville	9-26-62
Meridian	7 - 26 - 61
Monroe co	5- 3-61
Morton	5-11-61
Natchez	9 - 26 - 62
New Albany	6-13-62
Oxford	5- 2-61
Picayune	4-18-61
Starkville	10-19-62
State College	1- 9-61
Tupelo	7 - 25 - 62

#### Missouri

Cape Girareau	5 - 17 - 62
Kansas City	7-25-61
St. Joseph	10-12-61
St. Louis	7-19-62
St. Louis co	4-12-62
Springfield	1 - 24 - 62

#### Nebraska

Omaha ..... 11-16-61

#### Nevada

Clark co		10-	62
Washoe-Churchill	cos	6-	-61

#### New Mexico

Albuquerque	12 - 21 - 61
Artesia	7-17-62
Carlsbad	7-17-62
Clovis	6-14-62
Farmington	4 - 25 - 62
Portales	6-14-62

#### North Carolina

Alamance co 5- 4-62
Alexander co 5-30-62
Alleghany co 10-30-61
Ashe co 10-30-61
Beaufort co 5-25-61
Bertie co 4- 4-62
Bladen co 6-11-62
Brunswick co 1- 3-61
Buncombe co 7-21-61
Cabarrus co 6- 1-62
Camden co 5- 9-62
Carteret co 4-24-62
Catawba co 5-30-62
Chowan co 5- 9-62
Cleveland co 10- 9-62
Craven co 10-22-62
Cumberland co 11- 1-61
Davidson co 9- 8-61
Durham co 8-10-61
Edgecombe co 3-15-61
Forsyth co 1-24-62

	North Carolina—Continued			
1	Gaston co	10-12-61		
2	Gates co	5- 9-62		
2	Graham co	11-15-61		
1	Guilford co	9- 7-62		
2	Halifax co	2- 9-61		
1	Harnett co	5- 2-62		
2	Haywood co	11-10-61		
1	Henderson co	6 - 15 - 62		
1	Hertford co	5- 9-62		
1	Iredell co	3- 7-62		
2	Jackson co	7-31-62		
2	Lenoir co	9-21-62		
1	Lincoln co	5-30-62		
1	Macon co	7-31-62		
2	Martin co	4- 4-62		
1	Mecklenburg co	6- 9-61		
2	Montgomery co	2 - 23 - 62		
	Moore co	4 - 27 - 62		
	Nash co	12-19-61		
	New Hanover co	10-20-61		
2	Northampton co	4-13-62		
1	Onslow co	8-28-61		
1	Pamlico co	8-31-61		
2	Pasquotank co	5 - 9 - 62		
2	Pender co	5 - 17 - 62		
2	Perquimans co	5 - 9 - 62		
	Pitt co	4- 5-62		
	Randolph co	4- 5-62		
	Richmond co	4- 5-62		
1	Rockingham co	11- 7-61		
	Rocky Mount	9-22-61		
	Rowan co	2 - 20 - 61		
	Sampson co	7- 6-62		
2	Scotland co	2 - 22 - 62		
1	Stanly co	6-15-61		
-	Swain co	7-31-62		
	Tyrrell co	12-19-61		
	Wake co	5- 3-61		
1	Washington co	12-19-61		
2	Watauga co	10-30-61		
2 2	Wayne co	10-11-61		
2	Wilson co	6–19–61		

#### Oklahoma

Elk	City	4-18-62
Tuls	a	5 - 20 - 62

#### Tennessee

	Athens	7-21-61
	Chattanooga-Hamilton co	11-28-61
	Clarksville	12-18-61
	Clinton	6-27-62
	Cookeville	5 - 24 - 62
	Covington	9-12-62
	Elizabethton-Carter co	4-4-62
	Erwin	4- 4-62
	Fayetteville	6 - 22 - 62
ļ	Knoxville	12- 5-61
	Lebanon	7- 5-61
	Loudon	5 - 24 - 62
	McMinnville	4-24-61
	Memphis	11-15-61
	Murfreesboro	5-22-61
	Nashville-Davidson co	10- 9-61
	Pulaski	8- 1-61
		1- 5-61
	Sevier co	T-0-0T

## Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, and date of rating for 1961–62—Continued

#### 100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED-Continued

Tennessee—Continued	Texas-Continued	Virginia—Continued
Sparta 5-17-6	2 New Braunfels 10-11-61	Staunton 4-19-62
Springfield 12-17-6		Waynesboro 5-25-62
Sullivan co. (Bristol and	Plainview 6-27-61	Winchester 11-17-61
Kingsport) 6-18-6		
	San Angelo 11- 8-61	Washington
Texas	San Antonio 4-12-61	w asningion
Abilene 7-21-6	1 San Benito 1-23-62	Everett 9-28-61
Beaumont 4- 4-6	1 Texarkana 5-18-62	Spokane 5-17-62
Brady 10-20-6	1 Tyler 2- 8-61	Tacoma 7-18-61
Brenham 2-15-6	2 Victoria 8-16-61	Whitman co 5- 3-62
Bryan 8- 4-6	1 Wichita Falls 10-18-62	
Burkburnett 10- 3-6	1	Wisconsin
College Station 8- 3-6	1 Utah	11 1800/181/1
Corpus Christi	1 Logan 5-23-62	Appleton 1- 5-61
Dallas 9-18-6		Ashland 5-10-62
Donna 4-27-6	<sup>2</sup>   Salt Lake City 11-22-61	Beaver Dam 6-30-61
Edinburg 4-27-6	2	Eau Claire 2-10-61
El Paso 10- 6-6	1	Green Bay 11- 7-61
Falfurrias 1- 9-6	2 Virginia	Janesville 11-22-61
Galveston 1-24-6	1 Alexandria 5-11-61	Kaukauna 1- 4-61
Gonzales 10-25-6		Kenosha 7-12-61
Grand Prairie 4-19-6	1 Colonial Heights 4-14-61	La Crosse 6-31-62
Greenville 5-15-6	1 Front Royal 7-27-61	Madison 4-10-61
Harlingen 1-23-6	2   Hampton 4-20-61	Manitowoc 3-14-61
Houston 5-21-6	2 Lynchburg 6-20-61	Neenah-Menasha 8- 9-62
Jacksonville 3-17-6	1   Marion 9-28-61	Ripon 6-30-61
Kingsville 6-29-6	1 Newport News 5-10-61	Sheboygan 6-26-61
Lubbock 7-26-6	2   Norfolk 5-25-62	Stevens Point 2- 1-61
Lufkin 5-22-6	1   Portsmouth 2-24-61	Two Rivers 5- 3-61
McAllen 4-27-6	2 Richmond 4-6-62	Waupun 6-30-61
Midland 8-29-6	1 Roanoke	Wausau 6-26-62

#### BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK<sup>1</sup>

Arkansas	Kentucky—Continue	1	Tennessee
Paragould (99.1) 10-11-61	Madisonville (99.8) Somerset-Pulaski co. (97)		Harriman (99.67) 11-13-62
<i>Georgia</i> Americus-Sumter co. (92.7) 7-21-61	Missouri		Texas
Moultrie (96)	Joplin (99)	1- 9-62	Amarillo (99.99) 4-26-62 Austin (98.4) 7-19-62
Idaho	New Mexico		Fort Worth (99.9)
Idaho Falls (94.5) 10-31-61		7-16-62	Washington
Kentucky	North Carolina		
Lexington-Fayette co. (99.6) 5- 3-62	Robeson co. (98.2)	2-21-62	Seattle-King co. (98.95) 3-14-61

<sup>1</sup>Figures in parentheses show the percentage of the milk pasteurized.

NOTE: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90 percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90 percent or more compliance with the grade A raw milk requirements, of the milk ordinance recommended by the U.S. Public Health Service.

Notice particularly the percentage of the milk pasteurized in the various communities listed. This percentage is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized, whether commercially or at home, before it is consumed.