

Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1954-56

Thirty-four communities have been added to the Public Health Service milk sanitation "honor roll" and 69 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from July 1, 1954, to June 30, 1956, and includes a total of 251 cities and 39 counties.

Communities on the "honor roll" have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the milk ordinance suggested by the United States Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk is sold.

The suggested milk ordinance, on which the milk sanitation ratings are based, is now in effect through voluntary adoption in 427 counties and 1,608 municipalities. The ordinance also serves as the basis for the regulations of 34 States and 2 Territories. In 11 States and the 2 Territories it is in effect statewide.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as stated in the suggested ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the suggested ordinance to attain and

This compilation is from the Division of Sanitary Engineering Services of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing was published in Public Health Reports, March 1956, pp. 327-330. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938). Reprint No. 1970.

maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were more than 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

The rules for inclusion of a community on the "honor roll" are:

1. All ratings must be determined by the State milk sanitation authority in accordance with the Public Health Service rating method, which is based upon the grade A pasteurized milk and the grade A raw milk requirements of the Public Health Service milk ordinance. (A departure from the method described consists of computing the pasteurized milk rating by weighting the pasteurization plant rating twice that of the raw milk intended for pasteurization.)

2. No community will be included

on the list unless both its pasteurized milk and its retail raw milk ratings are 90 percent or more. Communities in which only raw milk is sold will be included if the retail raw milk rating is 90 percent or more.

3. The rating used will be the latest submitted to the Public Health Service, but no rating will be used which is more than 2 years old. (In order to promote continuous rigid enforcement rather than occasional "cleanup campaigns," it is suggested that when the rating of a community on the list falls below 90 percent, no resurvey be made for at least 6 months. This will result in the removal of the community from the subsequent semiannual list.)

4. No community will be included on the list whose milk supply is not under an established program of official routine inspection and laboratory control provided by itself, the county, a milk control district, or the State. (In the absence of such an official program there can be no assurance that only milk from sources rating 90 percent or more will be used continuously.)

5. The Public Health Service will make occasional check surveys of cities for which ratings of 90 percent or more have been reported by the State. (If the check rating is less than 90 percent, but not less than 85, the city will be removed from the 90-percent list after 6 months unless a resurvey submitted by the State during this probationary period shows a rating of 90 percent or more. If the check rating is less than 85 percent, the city will be removed from the list immediately. If the check rating is 90 percent or more, the city will be retained on the list for 2 years from the date of the check survey, unless a subsequent rating during this period warrants its removal.)

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, July 1954–June 1956

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>
<i>Arizona</i>		<i>Indiana—Continued</i>		<i>Kentucky—Continued</i>	
Phoenix.....	11-21-1955	Calumet region.....	5-26-1955	Newport and Campbell County	10-20-1955
<i>Arkansas</i>		East Chicago		Owensboro	5-17-1956
Fort Smith.....	8-26-1954	Gary		Paducah	8- 5-1955
<i>Colorado</i>		Hammond		Paris and Bourbon County	5- 3-1956
Boulder County.....	2-25-1955	Crawfordsville.....	4-20-1955	Stanford	12- 2-1955
Colorado Springs.....	1-19-1956	Elkhart, Goshen, Nappanee area.....	1-11-1956	<i>Louisiana</i>	
Denver.....	10-28-1955	Evansville.....	12- 3-1954	Calcasieu Parish.....	8....1954
Pueblo County.....	2- 2-1956	Greencastle.....	1- 4-1956	Lincoln Parish.....	9....1954
<i>District of Columbia</i>		Indianapolis.....	9-15-1954	St. Martin Parish.....	7....1954
Washington.....	3-12-1956	La Fayette and West Lafayette	10-14-1954	Vermilion Parish.....	9....1954
<i>Florida</i>		Lake County.....	5....1955	<i>Mississippi</i>	
Jacksonville	8-27-1954	Crown Point		Clarksdale	10-13-1954
<i>Georgia</i>		Highland		Eupora	2-23-1956
Albany.....	12-16-1954	Hobart		Greenville	9-14-1954
Athens-Clarke County..	4- 8-1955	Madison.....	8....1955	Greenwood	4-25-1956
Atlanta	10-28-1955	Monticello.....	12- 6-1955	Grenada	11-15-1955
Augusta-Richmond County.....	7- 2-1955	Mount Vernon.....	10-18-1954	Houston	6- 1-1955
Bainbridge	1-19-1956	Muncie.....	11-23-1954	Iuka	7-19-1955
Cairo.....	2-25-1955	New Castle.....	11....1954	Kosciusko	8-10-1955
Calhoun.....	7-28-1955	Peru.....	2....1955	Meadville	10-13-1954
Camilla	9- 9-1955	Shelbyville	9....1954	New Albany.....	1-18-1956
Columbus	2-17-1955	South Bend.....	5- 2-1956	Oxford	12-14-1955
Dalton, Whitfield County.....	9- 9-1955	Terre Haute.....	2- 3-1955	Picayune	11- 4-1955
Dublin	3-18-1955	Vincennes	3- 7-1955	Starkville	3-26-1956
La Grange.....	12-16-1955	<i>Iowa</i>		Vicksburg	7-10-1954
Moultrie.....	11- 4-1955	Dubuque	12- 2-1954	West Point.....	5-26-1955
Quitman	8-25-1955	<i>Kentucky</i>		<i>Missouri</i>	
Savannah, Chatham County.....	8-12-1954	Bardstown	3....1955	Cape Girardeau	8-11-1954
Statesboro.....	12- 3-1954	Bowling Green.....	11-17-1955	Kansas City.....	9-13-1954
Valdosta	4-18-1956	Brandenburg	8-12-1954	St. Joseph.....	6- 9-1955
<i>Idaho</i>		Campbellsville	4- 8-1955	St. Louis.....	11-28-1955
Jerome.....	11-24-1954	Frankfort	7-23-1955	Springfield	11-25-1954
<i>Illinois</i>		Fulton	12-23-1955	<i>Nevada</i>	
Chicago	6-28-1955	Georgetown	10-16-1954	Ely, McGill, and Ruth..	4-19-1955
<i>Indiana</i>		Hopkinsville	11-17-1955	<i>North Carolina</i>	
Anderson.....	6- 9-1955	Leitchfield	11-24-1954	Beaufort County.....	3-31-1955
Bedford.....	8-30-1954	Louisville and Jefferson County	4-19-1956	Bertie County.....	3-31-1955
Brazil.....	12-21-1955	Mayfield	9-16-1955	Bladen County.....	6- 6-1955
		Monticello	7-13-1954		
		Morgantown	6- 5-1956		
		Murray	3-16-1956		

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, July 1954-June 1956—Con.

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>
<i>North Carolina—Continued</i>		<i>Tennessee—Continued</i>		<i>Texas—Continued</i>	
Chatham County	4-5-1955	Kingsport	11-9-1955	Tyler	10-22-1954
Craven County	1-20-1956	Knoxville	8-26-1955	Vernon	10-26-1955
Cumberland County	3-16-1956	Lebanon	8-27-1954	Victoria	11-24-1954
Durham County	7-27-1954	Manchester	10-21-1954	Wichita Falls	1-10-1956
Forsyth County	1-31-1955	Maryville-Alcoa	11-23-1954		
Halifax County	2-16-1956	Memphis	6-29-1955	<i>Utah</i>	
Iredell County	11-17-1954	Murfreesboro	7-14-1955	Ogden	10-18-1955
Lee County	4-8-1955	Nashville and Davidson		Salt Lake City	2-10-1956
Lenoir County	1-7-1955	County	10-27-1955		
New Hanover County	5-24-1956	Newbern	10-28-1954	<i>Virginia</i>	
Onslow County	5-16-1955	Newport	10-5-1954	Bristol	11-3-1955
Orange County	4-5-1955	Pulaski	9-1-1955	Buena Vista	10-28-1955
Pender County	5-16-1955	Rogersville	11-7-1955	Front Royal	11-10-1955
Person County	4-5-1955	Springfield	7-23-1955	Glasgow	10-28-1955
Pitt County	4-20-1955	Sweetwater	10-7-1954	Lexington	10-28-1955
Tyrrell County	8-5-1955	Winchester	10-21-1954	Luray	11-11-1955
Washington County	8-5-1955			Norfolk	6-1-1956
Wilson County	10-18-1955			Richmond	4-6-1956
		<i>Texas</i>		Roanoke	8-20-1954
<i>Oklahoma</i>		Beaumont	5-24-1955	South Boston	4-13-1956
Ardmore	4-13-1956	Brownfield	5-6-1955	Suffolk	7-1-1954
Bartlesville	3-8-1955	Brownwood	7-16-1954	Williamsburg	10-25-1955
Guthrie	5-11-1955	Bryan	8-30-1954		
Mangum	10-27-1955	Burkburnett	8-16-1955	<i>Washington</i>	
Okmulgee	3-16-1955	Cleburne	3-13-1956	Spokane	9-16-1954
Seminole	10-1-1954	Corpus Christi	7-26-1955	Whitman County	10-14-1954
Sulphur	2-9-1956	Dallas	9-29-1954		
Tulsa	6-10-1955	Edinburg	11-21-1955	<i>Wisconsin</i>	
		El Paso	10-25-1955	Baraboo	10-18-1955
<i>South Dakota</i>		Falfurrias	1-21-1955	Beaver Dam	3-29-1955
Aberdeen	8-28-1954	Galveston	7-24-1954	Beloit	12-20-1955
Sioux Falls	10-26-1954	Harlingen	1-26-1955	Burlington	12-5-1954
Sisseton	8-26-1954	Huntsville	12-3-1954	Delavan	12-5-1954
		Jacksonville	6-7-1956	Elkhorn	12-5-1954
<i>Tennessee</i>		Kerrville	8-13-1954	Fontana	12-5-1954
Athens	8-10-1954	Kilgore	7-14-1954	Fort Atkinson	12-5-1954
Bristol	11-3-1955	Lufkin	3-3-1955	Green Bay	10-6-1955
Chattanooga	12-3-1954	Midland	1-21-1955	Janesville	11-23-1955
Clarksville	2-10-1955	Mineral Wells	12-14-1954	Kenosha	7-14-1955
Cleveland	10-13-1954	Nacogdoches	9-3-1954	La Crosse	1-14-1955
Cookeville	9-21-1955	New Braunfels	9-2-1954	Lake Geneva	12-5-1954
Covington	11-12-1954	Odessa	1-21-1955	Madison	11-18-1955
Cowan	10-21-1954	Orange	5-19-1955	Manitowoc	5-11-1955
Decherd	10-21-1954	San Antonio	2-8-1955	Ripon	3-29-1955
Dyersburg	10-29-1954	San Benito	1-8-1955	Sheboygan	7-7-1955
Elizabethton	2-23-1955	Sweetwater	11-17-1954	Waupun	3-29-1955
Gatlinburg	10-6-1954	Texarkana	3-9-1956	Williams Bay	12-5-1954
Johnson City	9-23-1954				

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, July 1954-June 1956—Con.
BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

<i>Community and percent of milk pasteurized</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community and percent of milk pasteurized</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community and percent of milk pasteurized</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>
<i>Georgia</i>		<i>Montana</i>		<i>Texas</i>	
Carroll County, 97.5	3-24-1955	Missoula, 99	11- 5-1954	Amarillo, 99.3	4-11-1955
Cartersville, 97.7	1-26-1955	<i>Oklahoma</i>		Brady, 94	8- 7-1954
Cedartown, 97.7	11-19-1954	Altus, 94.2	5- 5-1955	Brenham, 94	6-13-1956
Gainesville-Hall County, 92.1	5-20-1955	Elk City, 99	4-30-1956	Childress, 83.4	4-22-1955
Griffin, 98.2	9- 3-1954	Enid, 98	5- 5-1955	Fort Worth, 99.98	2-29-1956
Macon, 99.7	6-23-1955	Henryetta, 80.7	4-17-1956	Gainesville, 95	12- 1-1954
Newnan, 95	5- 3-1956	Lawton, 99.2	12-20-1955	Gladewater, 98.8	7-14-1954
Pelham, 94	9- 7-1955	McAlester, 79	6-29-1955	Longview, 99.6	7-14-1954
Thomaston, 91.5	5- 3-1956	Muskogee, 97.6	12-15-1955	Lubbock, 99.4	6-14-1956
Washington, 99.7	11-18-1955	Norman, 99	1-16-1956	McAllen, 99.2	11-21-1955
Winder-Barrow County, 98.5	3-10-1955	Oklahoma City, 97.9	11- 4-1955	Mercedes, 99	11-21-1955
<i>Kentucky</i>		Ponca City, 96.6	4-18-1956	Paris, 98	2- 2-1956
Henderson, 98.9	9-23-1954	Shawnee, 98.8	11-18-1955	San Angelo, 99.7	9- 1-1955
Princeton, 96	5-19-1955	<i>Oregon</i>		Seminole, 93.9	5-11-1955
Somerset, 95	2- 7-1955	Portland, 99.4	7-30-1955	Waco, 99.76	3-19-1956
<i>Missouri</i>		<i>Tennessee</i>		<i>Virginia</i>	
Joplin, 97.5	9- 8-1955	Harriman, 96.2	11- 7-1955	Charlottesville, 99.4	10-17-1955
Moberly, 94.2	3- 1-1955	Kingston, 87.1	11-21-1955	Lynchburg, 98.8	12- 1-1954
Poplar Bluff, 97.4	8-18-1955	<i>Washington</i>		Tacoma, 99.7	7-16-1954

NOTE: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90-percent or more compli-

ance with the grade A raw milk requirements, of the milk ordinance suggested by the United States Public Health Service.

Note particularly the percentage of the milk pasteurized in the vari-

ous communities listed. This percentage is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized, either commercially or at home, before it is consumed.

