Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1954-55

Fifty-six communities have been added to the Public Health Service milk sanitation "honor roll" and 52 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from January 1, 1954, to December 31, 1955, and includes a total of 270 cities and 55 counties.

Communities on the honor roll have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the Milk Ordinance and Code Recommended by the United States Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk is sold.

The recommended milk ordinance, on which the milk sanitation ratings This compilation is from the Division of Sanitary Engineering Services of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing, with a summary of rules under which a community is included, was published in Public Health Reports, September 1955, pp. 910–913. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938). Reprint No. 1970.

are based, is now in effect through voluntary adoption in 422 counties and 1,600 municipalities. The ordinance also serves as the basis for the regulations of 34 States and 2 Territories. In 11 States and the 2 Territories it is in effect statewide.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as stated

in the recommended ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the recommended ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were more than 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, 1954-55

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
Arizona		District of Columbia		Georgia—Continued	
Phoenix	11–21–1955			CamillaColumbus	
Fort Smith	8-26-1954	JacksonvilleGeorgia	8-27-1954	Dalton, Whitfield County	9 9-1955
Boulder County		Albany			
Colorado Springs			4- 8-1955	Elberton La Grange	
Grand Junction and	10-26-1933	Augusta-Richmond	10-20-1300	Moultrie	
Mesa County	4-15-1954	County		Quitman	
Las Animas-Huerfano Counties		CairoCalhoun		,	

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more 1954-55—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued

					_
Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
${\it Georgia}$ —Continued		Kentucky—Continued		North Carolina—Continued	
Statesboro	12- 3-1954	Frankfort	7-23-1955	Charlotte	1- 4-1954
Swainsboro, Emanuel		Fulton County	1-21-1954	Chatham County	4- 5-1955
County	5- 5-1954	Georgetown	10-16-1954	Craven County	2-12-1954
Valdosta	4-29-1954	Hickman	1-20-1954	Cumberland County	1-20-1954
Waycross	2- 4-1954	Hopkinsville	11-17-1955	Durham County	7-27-1954
Idaho		Leitchfield	11-24-1954	Forsyth County	1-31-1955
Jerome	11-24-1954	Louisville and Jefferson		Guilford County	6-28-1954
Illinois		County	4- 7-1954	Henderson-Transyl-	
Chicago	6-28-1955	Mayfield	9-16-1955	vania Counties	2-18-1954
Indiana	0	Monticello	7-13-1954	Iredell County	11-17-1954
Anderson	6- 9-1955	Morgantown	1- 8-1954	Lee County	4- 8-1955
Bedford	8-30-1954	Murray	4-29-1954	Lenoir County	1- 7-1955
Bloomington	61954	Newport and Camp-	1 20 1001	New Hanover County.	5-28-1954
Calumet region	5-26-1955	bell County	10-20-1955	Northampton County_	4-21-1954
East Chicago	0 20 1000	Owensboro	6-18-1954	Onslow County	5-16-1955
Gary		Paducah	8- 5-1955	Orange County	4- 5-1955
Hammond		Louisiana	0 0 1000	Pender County	5-16-1955
Cooperative grade A		Calcasieu Parish	81954	Person County	4- 5-1955
milk program	6-28-1954	Lincoln Parish	91954	Pitt County	4-20-1955
Holland	0-26-1994	Rapides Parish	51954	Richmond County	
		St. Martin Parish	71954	•	2- 2-1954
Huntingburg		Vermilion Parish		Rockingham-Caswell	0 10 1074
Jasper Toll City			91954	Counties	3-12-1954
Tell City Crawfordsville	4 90 1055	Mississipp Brookhaven		Tyrrell County	8- 5-1955
Elkhart	4-20-1955 9 1954	Clarksdale	3- 4-1954	Washington County Oklahoma	8- 5-1955
Evansville	12- 3-1954	Columbus	10-13-1954		
Greencastle		Greenville	3-26-1954	Ardmore	4- 8-1955
	5-19-1954		9-14-1954	Bartlesville	3- 8-1955
Indianapolis La Fayette and West	9-15-1954	Greenwood Grenada	4-19-1954	Duncan	1-19-1954
Lafayette	10-14-1954	Houston	11-16-1955	Guthrie	5-11-1955
	51955		6- 1-1955	Mangum	10-27-1955
Lake CountyCrown Point	91999	Iuka	7-19-1955	Okmulgee	3-16-1955
		Kosciusko	8-10-1955	Seminole	10- 1-1954
Highland		Macon	6-11-1954	Sulphur	2-17-1955
Hobart	4 0 1054	Meadville	10-13-1954	Tulsa	6-10-1955
Logansport	4- 9-1954	Picayune	11- 4-1955	Oregon	
Madison	81955	Ruleville	4-22-1954	Klamath Falls	5- 7-1954
Mount Vernon	10-18-1954	Vicksburg	7–10–1954	South Dakot	
Muncie	11-23-1954	West Point	5-26-1955	Aberdeen	8-28-1954
New Castle	111954	Missouri		Sioux Falls	10-26-1954
Peru		Cape Girardeau	8-11-1954	Sisseton	8-24-1954
Shelbyville	91954	Kansas City	9-13-1954	Tennessee	
Terre Haute	2- 3-1955	St. Joseph	6- 9-1955	Athens	8-10-1954
Valparaiso	5-13-1954	Springfield	11-25-1954	Bristol	11- 3-1955
Vincennes	3- 7-1955	Nevada		Chattanooga	12- 3-1954
Iowa	10 0 105	Ely, McGill, and Ruth	4–19–1955	Clarksville	2-10-1955
Dubuque	12- 2-1954	North Carolin		Cleveland	10–13–1954
Kentucky	9 10==	Avery County	1-15-1954	Clinton	4-21-1954
Bardstown	31955	Beaufort County	3-31-1955	Columbia	5-19-1954
Bowling Green	1- 7-1954	Bertie County	3-31-1955	Cookeville	9-21-1955
BrandenburgCampbellsville	8-12-1954	Bladen County	6- 6-1955	Covington	11-12-1954
Campoensvine	4- 8-1955	Burke County	1–15–1954	Cowan	10-21-1954

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more 1954-55-Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED-Continued

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
Tennessee—Co	ntinued	Texas—Contin	nued	Virginia	
Decherd	10-21-1954	Brownwood	7-16-1954	Bristol	11- 3-1955
Dyersburg	10-29-1954	Bryan	8-30-1954	Buena Vista	10-28-1955
Elizabethton		Cleburne	11-19-1954	Front Royal	11-10-1955
Franklin	5-20-1954	Dallas	9-29-1954	Glasgow	10-28-1955
Gatlinburg	_ 10- 6-1954	Denison	6-24-1954	Lexington	10-28-1955
Greenville	_ 6- 5-1954	El Paso	10-25-1955	Luray	11-11-1955
Humboldt		Falfurrias	1-21-1955	Norfolk	5-18-1954
Jefferson City	_ 5-26-1954	Galveston	7-24-1954	Portsmouth	5-18-1954
Johnson City	9-23-1954	Harlingen	1-26-1955	Richmond	4-16-1954
Kingsport		Houston	5-28-1954	Roanoke	8-20-1954
Knoxville		Huntsville	12- 3-1954	South Boston	3- 8-1954
Lebanon		Jacksonville	12-11-1954	Staunton	6-25-1954
Lewisburg		Kerrville	8-13-1954	Suffolk	7- 1-1954
Livingston	1-27-1954	Kilgore	7-14-1954	Waynesboro	6-25-1954
Loudon		Lufkin	3- 3-1955	Williamsburg	10-25-1955
Manchester	10-21-1954	Midland	1-21-1955	Washington	•
Maryville-Alcoa	11-23-1954	Mineral Wells	12-14-1954	Spokane	9–16–1954
Memphis				Whitman County	9-10-1954 10-14-1954
Milan		Nacogdoches	9- 3-1954	whitman County	10-14-1904
Morristown	5-26-1954	New Braunfels	9- 2-1954	Wisconsin	
Murfreesboro	7-14-1955	Odessa	1-21-1955	Baraboo	10-18-1955
Nashville and Davidsor	n	Orange	5-19-1955	Beaver Dam	3-29-1955
County	_ 10-27-1955	Plainview	11- 2-1954	Burlington	12- 5-1954
Newbern	_ 10-28-1954	Port Arthur	6-29-1954	Delavan	12- 5-1954
Newport	_ 10- 5-1954	San Antonio	2- 8-1955	Elkhorn	12- 5-1954
Pulaski		San Benito	1- 8-1955	Fontana	12- 5-1954
Shelbyville	_ 6- 9-1954	Sweetwater	11-17-1954	Fort Atkinson	12- 5-1954
Sparta		Texarkana	4- 5-1955	Green Bay	10- 6-1955
Springfield				Kenosha	7-14-1955
Sweetwater		Tyler	10-22-1954	La Crosse	1-14-1955
Trenton	_ 6-30-1954	Victoria	11-24-1954	Lake Geneva	12- 5-1954
Winchester		Wichita Falls	3- 8-1955	Manitowoc	5-11-1955
		7711		Ripon	3-29-1955
Texas		Utah		Sheboygan	7 – 7 –1955
Beaumont	5-24-1955	Ogden		Waupun	3-29-1955
Brownfield	_ \$\frac{1}{2} 5 - 6 - 1955	Salt Lake City	3-30-1954	Williams Bay	12- 5-1954
	вотн	RAW AND PASTEURIZ	ZED MARKET	MILK	
Community and	Dutant	Community and percent of milk	Date of	Community and percent of milk	Date of
percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	pasteurized	rating	pasteurized	rating
Florida		Georgia—Continued		Georgia—Continued	
Essemble County 00	6 6-30-1954	Gainesville-Hall		Pelham, 94	9- 7-1955
Escambia County, 99.	0 0-30-1934	County, 92.1	5-20-1955	Thomaston, 87.4	6-17-1954
C	,	Griffin, 98.2		Toccoa-Stephens	
Georgia		•		County, 88	4 9-1954
Carroll County, 97.5.		Macon, 99.7	6-23-1955	Washington, 99.7	11-18-1955
Cartersville, 97.7		Marietta, 96.2		Winder-Barrow	
Cedartown, 97.7	_ 11–19–1954	Newnan, 92.8	7-23-1954	County, 98.5	3–10–1955

Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, 1954-55

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating
Idaho		Oklahoma		Texas—Continued	
Twin Falls, 98.96	4-15-1954	Altus, 94.2 Elk City, 99 Enid, 98	5- 5-1955 4-22-1955 5- 5-1955	Austin, 98.6 Brady, 94	6-11-1954 8- 7-1954 4-22-1955
Kentucky		Henryetta, 93	3-14-1955	Childress, 83.4 Fort Worth, 99.97	4-22-1955
Henderson, 98.9 Princeton, 96 Somerset, 95 Missouri	9–23–1954 5–19–1955 2– 7–1955	Lawton, 99.2 McAlester, 79 Muskogee, 99.6 Norman, 99 Oklahoma City, 97.9 Ponca City, 94.6	$12-27-1954 \\ 6-29-1955 \\ 1-21-1955 \\ 1-27-1955 \\ 11-4-1955 \\ 4-14-1955$	Gainesville, 95 Gladewater, 98.8 Longview, 99.6 Lubbock, 99 Marshall, 91 Palestine, 95.1	12- 1-1954 7-14-1954 7-14-1954 8-20-1954 4-26-1954 6-15-1954
Moberly, 94.2Poplar Bluff, 97.4	3- 1-1955 8-18-1955	Shawnee, 98.8	11–18–1955 4–29–1954	Paris, 94.8	12- 8-1954 7-28-1954
Montana		Portland, 99.4	7–30–1955	Charlottesville, 99.4 Lynchburg, 98.8	10–17–1955 12 1954
Missoula, 99	11- 5-1954	McMinnville, 90	5- 5-1954	Washington	
North Carolina		Texas	0 0 1001	Tacoma, 99.7	
Moore County, 93.6 Robeson County, 96.8.	3–12–1954 1–11–1954	Abilene, 98.9Amarillo, 99.3	6–15–1954 4–11–1955	West Virgir Kanawha County, 98_	6-25-1954

the grade A pasteurized milk re- United States Public Health Service. quirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90-percent or more com- of the milk pasteurized in the various at home, before it is consumed.

Note: In these communities the pliance with the grade A raw milk communities listed. This percentpasteurized market milk shows a requirements, of the Milk Ordinance age is an important factor to consider 90-percent or more compliance with and Code Recommended by the in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be Note particularly the percentage pasteurized, either commercially or

International Symposium on Venereal Diseases

The First International Symposium on Venereal Diseases and the Treponematoses will be held at the Statler Hotel, Washington, D. C., May 28-June 1, 1956. The symposium is sponsored by the Public Health Service and the World Health Organization.

This meeting will afford an opportunity for authorities from many lands to exchange ideas and information on developments in research, diagnosis, treatment, and case finding in the venereal and treponemal diseases. The working languages of the symposium will be French, Spanish, and English. Papers will be interpreted in all three languages during the course of the meeting.