

Mosquito Distribution and Abundance

in the

Arkansas-White-Red River Basins

By TRAVIS E. McNEEL, B.S., and FREDERICK F. FERGUSON, M.S., Ph.D.

THE STUDY reported here was undertaken to consolidate and amplify information on distribution and abundance of mosquitoes in the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins. These data are essential for planning programs to alleviate health and nuisance problems caused by mosquitoes. Historically, mosquito-borne malaria, encephalitis, yellow fever, and dengue have been hazards adversely affecting the public health and economy of the general area. At present, malaria is a notable potential problem while the problem of encephalitis is seasonally recurrent. The great majority of area mosquitoes are biting pests and severely affect man and animals in certain basins. The increasing development of western water resources projects has intensified existing problems and enhanced the possibility

of others developing. Of primary concern is the relation of water resources projects to production of *Culex tarsalis*, the principal vector of encephalitis. Various mosquito pest species are also of special concern in certain areas, as for example, the rice-growing regions of Arkansas and Louisiana.

The mosquito fauna is quite varied in the States where the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins are located: Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. The area distribution of mosquitoes is markedly affected by geographic factors, especially topography and meteorology. The Arkansas-White-Red River area extends from the alpine habitats of Colorado and New Mexico through the plains country of Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas into the rolling hills and piedmont zones of Arkansas and Missouri and the lowlands of Louisiana. Scarce precipitation characterizes the semiarid western reaches of the territory where in some parts the average annual rainfall is 8 inches. There is heavy rainfall in the eastern sections where some localities may receive an average of 64 inches a year. The annual rate of evaporation varies generally from east to west, from 55 inches to about 114 inches. Soil types and vegetative growth also vary widely throughout the area.

The approximate mosquito-breeding season for Arkansas-White-Red Basins of the western-

Mr. McNeel, who is chairman of the Mosquito Control Work Group of the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins Interagency Committee and a public health entomologist with the Communicable Disease Center of the Public Health Service, is assigned to the Lower Mississippi and Western Gulf Drainage Basins Office at Little Rock, Ark. Dr. Ferguson is also a public health entomologist from the Communicable Disease Center assigned to the Mosquito Control Work Group of the Interagency Committee to work on mosquito control and allied problems.

most States, Colorado and New Mexico, is June–September, while the period of May–October generally covers the season for northern Louisiana and Arkansas. The period of June–September is the approximate mosquito season in southern Missouri. There is a striking gradient in densities of *C. tarsalis* in the area studied; this species is abundant in the western portion and scarce in the eastern portion of the basins.

Density and Distribution

The records summarized in the table are from published reports and recent unpublished observation of larval and adult mosquitoes. Species, distribution, and abundance of mosquitoes are listed by States. The “Relationship to Man and Animals” column refers to species known to be vectors of disease or to species from which an etiologic agent has been isolated. Pest species are designated by biting ferocity and distribution. Species that occur locally in small numbers or that do not feed on man or higher animals are considered unimportant.

In this report, no attempt is made to arbitrate taxonomic problems; however, the species designations comply with generally accepted nomenclature. The following is a clarification of certain points:

Anopheles occidentalis is generally considered to be a Pacific coast species, and early records of its occurring in Colorado are referable to *Anopheles earlei*.

Aedes alleni is presently known as *Aedes zoosophus*. *Aedes lateralis*, *Aedes gonimus*, and *Aedes hisuteron* are synonyms of *Aedes sticticus*. Placing the *Aedes idahoensis* record from Colorado under *Aedes spencerii* has been considered by some taxonomists. *Aedes bimaculatus* reported from Oklahoma has been determined to be *Aedes fulvus pallens*. The Louisiana records for *Aedes dorsalis* are probably errors.

Culex apicalis, long known to workers in the southern United States, is now considered to be *Culex territans* in the southeast United States,

as based on minute, but apparently valid distinctions. *Culex inhibitor* is generally thought to be a West Indies species, most United States records being referable to *Culex erraticus*. Recent studies indicate that *Culex stigmatosoma* is found locally in western Oklahoma although its presence in Colorado has been questioned by some workers.

Deinocerites epitedeus, as reported from Texas, possibly was confused with *Deinocerites spanius*.

The following are recent additions to State records of species distribution: *Anopheles franciscanus*, *Aedes canadensis*, *Aedes increpitus*, *Aedes mitchellae*, *Aedes nigromaculis*, *Aedes trivittatus*, *Culex restuans*, *Orthopodomyia signifera*, *Psorophora confinnis*, *Psorophora cyanescens*, *Psorophora discolor* and *Uranotaenia sapphirina* for New Mexico, and *Psorophora discolor* for Colorado.

The species of mosquitoes indicated below are related to disease transmission:

Anopheles quadrimaculatus, *Anopheles albimanus*, and *Anopheles freeborni* are proved vectors of malaria. *Aedes aegypti* is the vector of yellow fever and dengue fever; *Aedes aegypti*, *Aedes dorsalis*, *Culex pipiens*, *Culex stigmatosoma*, *Culex tarsalis*, *Culiseta inornata*, *Culiseta melanura*, and *Mansonia perturbans* can transmit encephalitis.

Summary

The States in which the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins lie have markedly different biotic environments and support a wide variety of mosquitoes which are represented by 10 genera and 100 valid species and subspecies. The disease-vector and pestiferous characteristics of many species are important factors in the public health and general economy of the area. The tabulation presented indicates the distribution and population density of mosquitoes and the relation of mosquitoes to man and domestic animals in individual States of the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins.

Distribution, density, and importance of 100 species of mosquitoes in the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins States

KEY: I—Abundant and widely distributed. II—Abundant and locally or seasonally distributed. III—Scarce but widely distributed. IV—Scarce and locally distributed. V—Present but abundance unknown. N—New to State records. ?—Identification questionable.

Genera and species	Relationship to man and animals	Distribution and density							
		Ark.	Colo.	Kans.	La.	Mo.	N. Mex.	Okla.	Tex.
<i>Anopheles:</i>									
<i>albimanus</i>	Vector								IV
<i>atropos</i>	Unimportant				II				IV
<i>barberi</i>	do	III		III	II	III		IV	IV
<i>bradleyi</i>	do				II				IV
<i>crucians</i>	Pest	III		IV	I	III	II	II	II
<i>earlei</i>	Unimportant		IV						
<i>franciscanus</i>	do		III	IV			II (N)	IV	IV
<i>freeborni</i>	Vector		III				II		IV
<i>georgianus</i>	Unimportant				II				
<i>pseudopunctipennis</i>	Pest	IV	III	III	IV	IV	II	III	II
<i>punctipennis</i>	do	II	IV	I	I	I	III	II	II
<i>quadrimaculatus</i>	Vector	I	IV (?)	II	I	I		II	II
<i>walkerii</i>	Unimportant	III		IV	II	IV			IV
<i>Aedes:</i>									
<i>aegypti</i>	{ Vector	III		IV	I	IV	IV	III	III
	{ Pest								
<i>atlanticus</i>	Pest	III		IV	I	IV		IV	IV
<i>atropalpus</i>	Unimportant	IV		IV		IV	IV	IV	II
<i>bimaculatus</i>	do								IV
<i>campestris</i>	do		II				V (?)		
<i>canadensis</i>	Pest	II	II	IV	II	IV	V (N)	II	IV
<i>cataphylla</i>	Unimportant		IV						
<i>cinereus</i>	do	IV	IV	IV		IV		IV	
<i>communis</i>	do		IV						
<i>dorsalis</i>	{ Vector	V	II	III	V (?)	III	II	II	II
	{ Pest								
<i>dupreei</i>	Unimportant			IV	II	IV		IV	IV
<i>excrucians</i>	do		IV						
<i>fitchii</i>	do		IV						
<i>flavescens</i>	do		IV	IV		IV			
<i>fulvus pallens</i>	do	V			II			IV	IV
<i>grossbecki</i>	Pest	IV			II	IV			
<i>idahoensis</i>	Unimportant		III						
<i>impiger</i>	do		V						
<i>increpitus</i>	do		III				II (N)		
<i>infirmatus</i>	Pest	II			I	IV			IV
<i>intrudens</i>	Unimportant		IV						
<i>klotsi</i>	do		V						
<i>mitchellae</i>	Pest	V			II		V (N)	V	IV
<i>nearcticus</i>	Unimportant		V						
<i>nigromaculis</i>	Pest	IV	II	II	V (?)	III	II (N)	II	II
<i>pionips</i>	Unimportant		V						
<i>pullatus</i>	do		III						
<i>punctor</i>	do		IV						
<i>riparius</i>	do		V						
<i>scapularis</i>	do								II
<i>sollicitans</i>	Pest	II		IV	I	IV	V	V	II
<i>spencerii</i>	Unimportant	V		IV					
<i>sticticus</i>	Pest	V	III	III	II	I		III	V
<i>stimulans</i>	do		III	IV		IV			
<i>taeniorhynchus</i>	do	II			II				II
<i>thelcter</i>	Unimportant							V	II
<i>thibaulti</i>	Pest	III			II	IV			IV
<i>tortentor</i>	do	V			II	IV		IV	IV
<i>triseriatus</i>	do	III	IV	III	I	II		III	II
<i>trivittatus</i>	do	V	III	III	IV	II	IV (N)	IV	IV
<i>vezans</i>	do	I	II	I	I	I	II	II	I
<i>zoosophus</i>	Unimportant			IV				III	III

Distribution, density, and importance of 100 species of mosquitoes in the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins States—Continued

Genera and species	Relationship to man and animals	Distribution and density							
		Ark.	Colo.	Kans.	La.	Mo.	N. Mex.	Okla.	Tex.
<i>Culex:</i>									
<i>abominator</i>	Unimportant								IV
<i>apicalis</i>	do		IV				IV		IV
<i>chidestri</i>	do								IV
<i>coronator</i>	do								IV
<i>declarator</i>	do								IV
<i>erraticus</i>	Pest	III		IV	I	III		III	I
<i>interrogator</i>	Unimportant								IV
<i>nigripalpus</i>	Pest				IV				III
<i>peccator</i>	do	V		IV	II	III		III	V
<i>pilosus</i>	do				II				
<i>pipiens</i>	{ Vector	V	III	III		I		III	
	{ Pest								
<i>quinquefasciatus</i>	{ Vector	I		III	I	II	II	III	I
	{ Pest								
<i>restuans</i>	Pest	III	IV	II	I	II	IV (N)	III	III
<i>salinarius</i>	do	III	III	II	I	II	II	II	II
<i>stigmatosoma</i>	{ Vector							IV	V
	{ Pest								
<i>tarsalis</i>	{ Vector	III	II	I	II	III	II	II	I
	{ Pest								
<i>terrilans</i>	Unimportant	II		III	I	III		III	III
<i>thriambus</i>	do							IV	II
<i>Culiseta:</i>									
<i>alaskaensis</i>	do		IV						
<i>impatiens</i>	do		III			IV			
<i>incidens</i>	Pest		III				II	IV	IV
<i>inornata</i>	{ Vector	II	II	I	I	I	III	II	I
	{ Pest								
<i>melanura</i>	{ Vector	V	IV		IV	IV		IV	IV
	{ Pest								
<i>morsitans</i>	Unimportant		IV						
<i>Deinocerites:</i>									
<i>epitedeus</i>	do								V (?)
<i>spanius</i>	do								IV
<i>Mansonia:</i>									
<i>perturbans</i>	{ Vector	III	IV	IV	II	IV		IV	II
	{ Pest								
<i>titillans</i>	Pest	V							II
<i>Orthopodomyia:</i>									
<i>alba</i>	Unimportant			IV	IV	IV			III
<i>signifera</i>	do	III		III	II	IV	V (N)	IV	III
<i>Psorophora:</i>									
<i>ciliata</i>	Pest	II		III	I	III		IV	I
<i>confinnis</i>	do	I	IV	II	I	II	IV (N)	II	I
<i>cyanescens</i>	do	II		IV	I	II	V (N)	III	I
<i>discolor</i>	do	II	IV (N)	II	I	III	IV (N)	III	II
<i>ferox</i>	do	II		III	I	III		IV	II
<i>horrida</i>	do	III		III	II	III		IV	IV
<i>howardii</i>	do	III		IV	I	IV		IV	III
<i>longipalpus</i>	do	V		IV	IV	IV			V
<i>mexicana</i>	Unimportant								V
<i>signipennis</i>	Pest	V	III	III		IV	IV	III	II
<i>varipes</i>	do	II			II	IV		IV	IV

Distribution, density, and importance of 100 species of mosquitoes in the Arkansas-White-Red River Basins States—Continued

Genera and species	Relationship to man and animals	Distribution and density							
		Ark.	Colo.	Kans.	La.	Mo.	N. Mex.	Okla.	Tex.
<i>Toxorhynchites:</i>									
<i>septentrionalis</i>	Unimportant.....	III		IV	II	IV		IV	III
<i>Uranotaenia:</i>									
<i>lowii</i>	do.....	IV			I				II
<i>sapphirina</i>	do.....	III		IV	I	III	V (N)	III	II
<i>syntheta</i>	do.....	V					V	III	III
Total number of species..	100.....	53	46	47	53	51	30	51	72

State Sources of Reports Used in Table

<p>Arkansas</p> <p>1910 J. K. Thibault. Notes on the mosquitoes of Arkansas. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 12: 13-26.</p> <p>1937 W. R. Horsfall. Mosquitoes of southeastern Arkansas. J. Econ. Ent. 30: 743-748.</p> <p>1941 S. J. Carpenter. The mosquitoes of Arkansas. Arkansas State Board of Health.</p> <p>1942 S. J. Carpenter. Mosquito studies in military establishments in the Seventh Corps Area during 1941. J. Econ. Ent. 35: 558-561.</p> <p>1943 W. V. King, L. Roth, J. Toffaleti, and W. W. Middlekauf. New distribution records for the mosquitoes of the southeastern United States during 1942. J. Econ. Ent. 36: 573-577.</p> <p>1944 G. H. Bradley, R. F. Fritz, and L. E. Perry. Additional mosquito records for the southeastern States. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 109.</p> <p>1944 W. W. Middlekauf and S. J. Carpenter. New distribution records for the mosquitoes of the southeastern United States in 1943. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 88-92.</p> <p>1944 H. L. Fellton. The breeding of the salt-marsh mosquito in midwestern States. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 245-247.</p> <p>1944 W. V. King, G. H. Bradley, and T. E. McNeel. The mosquitoes of the southeastern States. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Misc. Pub. No. 336 (revised).</p> <p>1946 S. J. Carpenter and W. W. Middlekauf. Mosquitoes of southeastern United States. University of Notre Dame Press.</p> <p>1947 J. F. Brandenburg and R. D. Murrill. Occurrence and distribution of mosquitoes in Arkansas. Ark. Health Bull. 4 (8): 4-5.</p> <p>Colorado</p> <p>1918 T. D. A. Cockerell and J. T. Scott. Culicidae of Colorado. J. Econ. Ent. 11: 387-388.</p> <p>1918 T. D. A. Cockerell. The mosquitoes of Colorado. J. Econ. Ent. 11: 195-200.</p> <p>1933 R. Matheson. A new species of mosquito from Colorado. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 35: 69-71.</p> <p>1942 M. T. James. A two-season light trap study of mosquitoes in Colorado. J. Econ. Ent. 35: 945.</p> <p>1943 R. W. Portman. New mosquito records for Colorado. J. Kans. Ent. Soc. 16: 155.</p>	<p>1944 T. A. Olson and H. L. Keegan. New mosquito distribution records from the Seventh Service Command Area. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 847-848.</p> <p>1946 W. R. Lasky. A report of mosquitoes collected at Fitzsimmons General Hospital, Denver, Colorado. Ent. News 57: 188-193, 222-228.</p> <p>1949 F. C. Harmston. An annotated list of mosquito records from Colorado. The Great Basin Naturalist 9: 65-75.</p> <p>Kansas</p> <p>1939 N. DeM. Hill. Biological and taxonomic observations on the mosquitoes of Kansas. Tr. Kans. Acad. Sci. 42: 255-265.</p> <p>1944 T. A. Olson and H. L. Keegan. New mosquito distribution records from the Seventh Service Command Area. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 847-848.</p> <p>1944 T. A. Olson and H. L. Keegan. The mosquito collecting program of the Seventh Service Command for 1942-1943. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 780-785.</p> <p>1951 U. S. Public Health Service. Mosquito records from the Missouri River Basin States. The Surveys Section, Office of Midwestern Communicable Disease Center Services, Kansas City, Kans.</p> <p>Louisiana</p> <p>1924 G. E. Beyer. The mosquitoes of Louisiana. Quart. Bull. La. State Department of Health 14: 54-84.</p> <p>1945 P. H. Harden. The occurrence of Orthopodomyia alba Baker at New Orleans, Louisiana. Mosquito News 5: 131.</p> <p>1947 W. W. Wirth. Notes on the mosquitoes of Louisiana. J. Econ. Ent. 40: 742-744.</p> <p>Missouri</p> <p>1942 S. J. Carpenter. Mosquito studies in military establishments in the Seventh Corps Area during 1941. J. Econ. Ent. 35: 558-561.</p> <p>1942 H. W. Poston and M. M. Brooke. Report on malaria survey along the upper Mississippi River. Pts. I and II. Board of State Health Commissioners, Upper Mississippi River Basin, Des Moines, Iowa.</p> <p>1943 A. B. Gurney. A mosquito survey of Camp Crowder, Missouri, during 1942. J. Econ. Ent. 36: 927-935.</p>
---	--

- 1943 C. F. Adams and W. M. Gordon. Notes on the mosquitoes of Missouri (Diptera, Culicidae). *Ent. News* 54: 232-235.
- 1943 M. F. Day. Report on mosquitoes collected in St. Louis County during 1942. *Tr. St. Louis Acad. Sci.* 31: 29-45.
- 1944 T. A. Olson and H. L. Keegan. New mosquito distribution records from the Seventh Service Command Area. *J. Econ. Ent.* 37: 847-848.
- 1944 H. L. Fellton. The breeding of the salt-marsh mosquito in midwestern States. *J. Econ. Ent.* 37: 245-247.
- 1944 T. A. Olson and H. L. Keegan. The mosquito collecting program of the Seventh Service Command for 1942-1943. *J. Econ. Ent.* 37: 780-785.
- 1951 U. S. Public Health Service. Mosquito records from the Missouri River Basin States. The Surveys Section, Office of Midwestern Communicable Disease Center Services, Kansas City, Kans.
- New Mexico**
- 1929 M. A. Barber, W. H. W. Komp, and C. H. King. Malaria and the malaria danger in certain irrigated regions of the southwestern United States. *Pub. Health Rep.* 44: 1300-1315.
- 1933 M. A. Barber and L. R. Forbrich. Malaria in the irrigated regions of New Mexico. *Pub. Health Rep.* 48: 610.
- 1939 M. A. Barber. Further observations on the Anopheles of New Mexico. *Am. J. Trop. Med.* 19: 345-356.
- 1952 T. E. McNeel and F. F. Ferguson. *Psorophora cyanescens* (Coquillett) new to the mosquito fauna of New Mexico. *Mosquito News* 12: 241.
- 1954 F. F. Ferguson and T. E. McNeel. The mosquitoes of New Mexico. *Mosquito News*. (In press.)
- Oklahoma**
- 1942 L. E. Rozeboom. The mosquitoes of Oklahoma. *Okla. Agri. & Mech. Col. Agri. Exper. Sta. Bull.* T-16.
- 1944 H. L. Fellton. The breeding of the salt-marsh mosquito in midwestern States. *J. Econ. Ent.* 37: 245-247.
- 1945 L. M. Roth. The male and larva of *Psorophora (Janthinosoma) horrida* (Dyar and Knab) and a new species of *Psorophora* from the United States. *Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash.* 47:1-23.
- 1951 E. J. Hinman. A preliminary study of mosquitoes at Lake Texoma, Oklahoma. *Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci.* 31: 51-52.
- 1952 M. E. Griffith. Additional species of mosquitoes in Oklahoma. *Mosquito News* 12: 10-14.
- Texas**
- 1940 F. W. Fish and J. H. Levan. Mosquito collections at Brownsville, Texas. *J. Econ. Ent.* 33: 944.
- 1943 R. B. Eads. A description of the larvae of *Culex abominator*. Dyar and Knab. *J. Econ. Ent.* 36: 336.
- 1943 T. McGregor and R. B. Eads. Mosquitoes of Texas. *J. Econ. Ent.* 36: 938-940.
- 1944 K. O'Neill, L. J. Ogden, and D. E. Eyles. Additional species of mosquitoes found in Texas. *J. Econ. Ent.* 37: 555-556.
- 1944 Texas State Health Department. The mosquitoes of Texas.
- 1945 D. C. Thurman, L. J. Ogden, and D. E. Eyles. A United States record for *Culex interrogator*. *J. Econ. Ent.* 38: 115.
- 1945 C. R. Joyce. The occurrence of *Psorophora mexicana* (Ballardi) in the United States. *Mosquito News* 5: 86.
- 1946 R. B. Eads. A new record for *Anopheles albimanus* Wiedemann in Texas. *J. Econ. Ent.* 39: 420.
- 1948 C. R. Joyce. *Culex chidesteri* (Diptera, Culicidae) at Brownsville, Texas. *Mosquito News* 8: 102-105.
- 1948 O. P. Breland. Notes on the mosquito *Uranotaenia syntheta* Dyar and Shannon (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mosquito News* 8: 108-109.
- 1949 O. P. Breland. Distinctive features of the larvae of *Aedes alleni* Turner (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. N. Y. Ent. Soc.* 57: 93-100.
- 1950 R. B. Eads and G. C. Menzies. Distribution records of *Mansonia Blanchard* (Diptera, Culicidae) in Texas. *Mosquito News* 10: 3-5.
- 1950 M. E. Rueger and D. Stennette. New mosquito distribution records for Texas. *Mosquito News* 10: 60-63.
- 1951 R. B. Eads, G. C. Menzies, and L. J. Ogden. Distribution records of west Texas mosquitoes. *Mosquito News* 11: 41-47.

ideas

Typhoid Tracer Technique

MONROE COUNTY, N. Y. The source of sporadic cases of typhoid fever in the vicinity of Spencerport was sought by laboratory procedures used, it is believed, for the first time in this country, according to the December 21, 1953 issue of the *Bulletin*, published by the New York State Department of Health.

Robert W. Bacorn, district health officer of the area, employed the technique for stream and sewage pollution study when the repeated use of usual epidemiological investigations failed to locate the contaminating agent of stream water drunk by the typhoid victims.

Swabs impregnated with selective culture media were placed for several days in the sewage effluent of Spencerport where it discharged into the stream. Laboratory examination of the swabs identified typhoid bacilli of the same bacteriophage type as had been recovered

from each of the typhoid cases. This evidence established the village as a likely source of the typhoid bacilli.

By planting swabs through the sewerage system, a single block of the town was identified as harboring the carriers. Within this block, the investigation centered on a convalescent home.

Through stool specimen examinations, 3 of the 18 occupants of the home were found to be carriers of the bacilli type that had been responsible for the typhoid cases. Further investigation aimed to determine whether these persons were chronic carriers.