Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1951-52

Seventy-two communities have been added to the Public Health Service "honor roll" of safe milk communities, and 35 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from January 1, 1951, to December 31, 1952, and includes a total of 239 cities and 56 counties.

Communities on the "honor roll" have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the Milk Ordinance and Code Recommended by the United States Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk is sold.

The recommended milk ordinance, which forms the basis for the milk

This compilation is from the Division of Sanitation of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing, with a summary of rules under which a community is included, was published in Public Health Reports, September 1952, pp. 914–916. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938) Reprint No. 1970.

sanitation ratings, is now in effect through voluntary adoption in 397 counties and 1,548 municipalities. The ordinance has been adopted as regulation by 34 States and 2 Territories. In 11 States and the 2 Territories it is in effect state-wide.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as stated in the recommended ordi-

nance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the recommended ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were over 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1951-52

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community 1	Date of rating	Community]	Date of rating	Community 1	Date of rating
Alabama		Colorado—Continued		Georgia—Continued	
Auburn	9-19-1951	Grand Junction	4-25-1952	West Point	6-22-1951
Birmingham - Jefferson County		Pueblo Weld County		Illinois	
Gadsden-Etowah				Chicago	8- 1-1951
County Montgomery	5–22 –1952	Georgia Albany		Indiana Padford Orleans	10 1051
OpelikaArkansas		AtlantaCairo	11-21-1951	Bluffton Bluffton	11-26-1952
Fort Smith	10-19-1952	Calhoun Columbus La Grange	2–15–1951 3–30–1951 6–25–1951	Cooperative Grade A Milk Program: Holland	91952
Colorado Springs Denver City and Coun-	6- 6-1951	Quitman Valdosta	5-30-1951 5-1 3 -1952	Huntingburg Ja sper	
ty	11 -27 -1951	Waycross	10-23-1951	Elkhart	111952

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1951-52—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued

Community I	Date of rating	Community I	Date of rating	Community I	Date of rating
Indiana—Continued		Mississippi—Con	-	North Carolina—C	
Evansville	101951	Corinth	6- 6-1951	New Hanover County_	6–10–1952
Fort Wayne	111951	Eupora	3-28-1952	Orange County	7- 3-1952
Indianapolis	10-31-1952	Greenville	8-25-1952	Randolph County	3- 9-1951
Madison	71952	Greenwood	4-15-1952	Richmond County	5-29-1951
Marion and Gas City	41951	Grenada	1-22-1952	Scotland County	5-31-1951
Peru	8-27-1952	Houston	5-31-1951	Swain County	1-17-1952
Rushville	81951	Iuka	7-12-1951	Transylvania County	2- 5-1952
Shelbyville	81952	Kosciusko	1-31-1952	Yadkin County	10- 1-1952
South Bend	8-14-1951	Louisville	10- 4-1951	Yancey County	8-10-1951
Valparaiso	81952	Macon	6-12-1952	1 amooy County IIIIII	0 10 1001
Vincennes	51951	McComb	10-25-1951	South Dako	a.
V III COM COLLEGE	0	Meridian	6-18-1952		u .
Iowa		Morton	6-17-1952	Sioux Falls	10-25-1952
Des Moines	71951	New Albany	1- 7-1952	Vermillion	6-12-1952
Dubuque	11-14-1952	Okolona	5-29-1951		
Mason City	10- 3-1952	Ruleville	4-13-1952	Tennessee	
Wason Oldy	10 0 1002	Starkville	11-27-1951	Athens	7-16-1952
Kansas		State College	11-27-1951	Bristol	10-19-1951
Dodge City	4-11-1951	Tupelo	4-20-1951	Chattanooga	11-13-1952
Erie	5- 1-1951	Vicksburg	6-13-1952	Cleveland	10- 1-1952
Hillsboro	11- 7-1952	Winona	1-24-1952	Clinton	11- 28 -1951
· ·	11 . 1002			Columbia	5-22-1952
Kentucky		Missouri		Cookeville	11-14-1951
-			6 14 1051	Cowan	10-17-1952
Bowling Green	4-17-1952	St. Joseph	6-14-1951	Dandridge	9-17-1951
Calloway County	2-15-1952	Springfield	2-20-1952	Decherd	10-17-1952
Campbell County-				Erwin	10-15-1951
Newport	11-28-1951	Nebraska		Fayetteville	6-27-1951
Christian County	12-20-1951	Grand Island	9-18-1952	Franklin	6-6-1952
Graves County	2- 7-1952			Gallatin	5-11-1951
Louisville and Jefferson	5 00 1050	Nevada		Greenville	4-17-1952
County	5-23-1952	X7	19 5 1051	Jefferson City	9-25-1951
McCracken County	2-13-1952	Yerington	12-5-1951	Johnson City	8-27-1952
Owensboro	8- 6-1952			Kingsport	10-23-1951
Paris	5-17-1951	North Carolin	na	Knoxville	8-22-1951
Warren County	4-17-1952	Alleghany County	9-10-1952	Lebanon	8- 1-1952
Louisiana		Burke County	6-28-1951	Lewisburg	6-12-1952
		Charlotte	1-11-1952	Loudon	4- 3-1952
New Orleans	12- 6-1951	Cumberland County	2-15-1952	Manchester	10-17-1952
Vermilion Parish	9- 9-1951	Davie County	10- 1-1952	Memphis	6- 5-1951
		Durham County	7-18-1952	Morristown	9-25-1951
Mississippi		Edgecombe County		Nashville and David-	
Aberdeen	10-26-1951	(excluding Rocky		son County	11- 5-1951
Amory	10-25-1951	Mount)	7-16-1952	Newport	9-18-1951
Belmont	7 –1 2 –1951	Forsyth County	7 - 16 - 1952	Paris	4-18-1951
Booneville	9-28-1951	Guilford County	8- 6-1952	Pulaski	5-24-1951
Brookhaven	3-11-1952	Henderson County	2- 5-1952	Rogersville	4-21-1952
Canton	10- 1-1952	High Point	2-16-1951	Shelbyville	6-11-1952
Clarksdale	9-25-1952	Jackson County	1-17-1952	Springfield.	5- 8-1951
Cleveland	9- 3-1952	Lincoln County	3-19-1952	Sweetwater	9-16-1952
Columbia	7-17-1952	Mars Hill	1- 4-1952	Tullahoma	10-17-1952
Columbus	8-13-1951	Mitchell County	8-10-1951	Winchester	10-17-1952

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1951–52—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued

Community	Date of rating	Community I	Date of rating	Community 1	Date of rating
Texas		Texas—Continued		Virginia—Continued	
Commerce	9- 2-1952	San Antonio	11-20-1951	Front Royal	8-29-1951
Corsicana	8- 6-1952	San Benito	8- 1-1951	Lexington	5- 8-1951
Dallas	4-26-1951	San Juan	8-23-1951	Luray	8-29-1951
El Paso	10-21-1952	Texarkana	7 - 2 -19 5 2	Narrows	8- 8-1952
Falfurrias	1-12-1951	Texas City	1-16-1951	Norfolk	9- 5-1952
Galveston	12-11-1951	Tyler	10- 9-1951	Pearisburg	8-8-1952
Gladewater	7-26-1952	Victoria	7-24-1952	Pulaski	8- 7-1952
Harlingen	8- 4-1951	Waxahachie	9-30-1952	Radford	8- 7-1952
Houston	6-11-1952	Weslaco	8-24-1951	Richmond	5-21-1952
Kerrville	7-31-1952	Wichita Falls	4-10-1952	Roanoke	9-19-1952
Kilgore	7-26-1952	77. 7		Staunton	11- 7-1952
La Feria	8- 2-1951	Utah	.	Suffolk	9-26-1952
Lamesa	5-10-1951	Logan	5-14-1952	Waynesboro	8- 3-1951
Levelland	5- 9-1951	Minersville	1-25-1951	TIT 1	
Lufkin	10- 8-1951	Ogden	12-11-1951	Washington	
Mercedes		Salt Lake City	4-29-1952	Cowlitz County	10-12-1951
Mission	8-24-1951	Virginia		Everett	6-14-1951
Mt. Pleasant		Abingdon	10-19-1951	Spokane	9-25-1952
Orange		Blacksburg	8- 7-1952	Whitman County	6-19-1952
Pharr		Bristol.	10-19-1951	Wisconsin	
Port Arthur		Buena Vista	5- 8-1951	Madison	10- 5-1951

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating
Alabama		Kansas		North Carolina—Continue	
Clanton, 87.2	5-12-1952	Neodesha, 85	3-14-1951	Cabarrus County, 80.3_	1-15-1952
Huntsville, 98	8-10-1951	Pittsburg, 98	1-17-1952	Caldwell County, 88.7_	10-29-1951
Lanett, 97.8	11- 6-1952	V		Halifax County, 83.4	4-10-1952
		Kentucky		Kings Mountain, 83.8.	8-18-1952
Georgia		Lexington and Fayette		Macon County, 91.5	11- 7-1952
· ·		County, 97	4-28-1952	Montgomery County,	
Brunswick-Glynn		.		93.1	3 - 22 - 1951
County, 96	11- 6-1952	Louisiana		Polk County, 87.5	6 - 18 - 1952
Camilla, 78	5-30-1951	Iberia Parish, 96	5- 3-1951	Robeson County, 96.6_	2-15-1952
Carrollton, 94.2	3-14-1952	361		Shelby, 74.4	6-6-1952
Cartersville, 97	12-11-1952	Mississippi		Wilkes County, 90.6.	9-20-1951
Cedartown, 98.3	3-11-1952	Gulfport, 98	4-30-1952		
Dalton-Whitfield		Hattiesburg, 96	7-31-1952	Oregon	
County, 83.3	4- 4-1951	Jackson, 98.6	9-11-1952	Salem, 99.7	7-15-1952
Gainesville-Hall		Laurel, 93.6	8-13-1952	South Carolin	a
County, 93.1	3-21-1952	West Point, 97.6	7–18–1951		w.
Macon, 98.6	6-15-1951	Montana		Spartanburg and Spar-	
Newnan, 94.7	6- 5-1952		0 1050	tanburg County,	10 01 1051
Thomaston, 81.7	4-30-1952	Missoula, 99.4	91952	91.3	10-31-1951
Thomasville, 99.4	5-29-1951	North Carolina	ļ	Tennessee	
		Ashe County, 78.7	9- 9-1952	Alcoa, 99.5	9-17-1952
Indiana		Buncombe County,		Harriman, 90.6	7-26-1951
Michigan City, 98.1	71951	95.8	6-15-1951	Maryville, 99.5	9-17-1952

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, 1951-52—Continued

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK-Continued

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating
Tennessee—Continued		Texas—Continued		Virginia	
McMinnville, 95.3 Murfreesboro, 98.7 Texas Amarillo, 95 Austin, 97.3 Brenham, 94.9 Brownsville, 92.7 Cleburne, 95.5 Edinburg, 93.8 Fort Worth, 99.97	5- 7-1952 7- 6-1951 7-23-1951 10-24-1951 7-26-1951 8- 1-1951 7-31-1952 8-28-1951 2-12-1952	Gilmer, 94.4 Greenville, 98 Henderson, 94 Laredo, 80 Longview, 99.4 Lubbock, 99 Marshall, 87.2 McAllen, 99 Palestine, 88.8 Paris, 92.3 Sherman, 93.3	1-29-1952 9-27-1952 1-31-1952 9-18-1952 7-26-1952 8-25-1952 10- 3-1952 8-22-1951 1-15-1952 9-26-1951	Harrisonburg, 96	11-12-1952 6-22-1951 61951 8-13-1952 7 9-16-1952 6- 6-1952 9-18-1952

Note: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90-percent or more compli-

ance with the grade A raw milk munities listed. This percentage is requirements of the Milk Ordinancean important factor to consider in and Code Recommended by the estimating the safety of a city's milk United States Public Health Service. supply. All milk should be pasteur-Note particularly the percentage of ized, either commercially or at home. milk pasteurized in the various com-before it is consumed.

Immunization Information for International Travel

A summary of the changes made in immunization requirements from May 31, 1951 through January 1953, is given in a supplement to the booklet Immunization Information for International Travel, issued in May 1951.

Additional copies of the supplement may be obtained from the Division of Foreign Quarantine. The booklet and the supplement are available to Public Health Service personnel through regular supply channels. They may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C., at 15 cents for the two. On orders of 100 or more of the supplement and booklet, delivered at the same address, a discount of 25 percent is allowed.

State and local health departments and facilities of the Public Health Service are informed of official changes in immunization requirements through "Quarantine Measures" in the weekly Communicable Disease Summary.

448 Public Health Reports