

Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1950-52

Forty-eight communities have been added to the Public Health Service "honor roll" of safe milk communities, and 42 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from July 1, 1950, to June 30, 1952, and includes a total of 258 cities and counties.

Communities on the "honor roll" have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation required by the Milk Ordinance and Code—1952 Recommendations, of the Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned must report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk is pasteurized and for those in which both raw and pasteurized milk is sold.

The Public Health Service Milk Ordinance, which forms the basis for the milk ratings, is now in effect through voluntary adoption in 397 counties and 1,542 municipalities. These represent increases of 10 and 7, respectively, in the past 6 months. The ordinance has been adopted as regulation by 34 States and 2 Territories. In 11 States and the 2 Territories it is in effect state-wide.

Although the ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms to the standards for grade A milk as stated in the Public Health Service milk ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk in a community.

This compilation is from the Division of Sanitation of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing was published in Public Health Reports, March 1952, pp. 268-271. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938). Reprint No. 1970.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the Public Health Service ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were over 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion.

The rules for inclusion of a community on the "honor roll" are:

1. All ratings must be determined by the State milk sanitation authority in accordance with the Public Health Service rating method, which is based upon the grade A pasteurized milk and the grade A raw milk requirements of the Public Health Service milk ordinance. (A recent departure from the method described consists of computing the pasteurized milk rating by weighting the pasteurization plant rating twice that of the raw milk intended for pasteurization.)

2. No community will be included in the list unless both its pasteurized

milk and its raw milk ratings are 90 percent or more. Communities in which only raw milk is sold will be included if the raw milk rating is 90 percent or more.

3. The rating used will be the latest submitted to the Public Health Service, but no rating will be used which is more than 2 years old. (In order to promote continuous rigid enforcement rather than occasional "clean-up campaigns," it is suggested that when the rating of a community on the list falls below 90 percent no resurvey be made for at least 6 months. This will result in the removal of the community from the subsequent semiannual list.)

4. No community will be included on the list whose milk supply is not under an established program of official routine inspection and laboratory control provided by itself, the county, a milk control district, or the State. (In the absence of such an official program there can be no assurance that only milk from sources rating 90 percent or more will be used continuously.)

5. The Public Health Service will make occasional check surveys of cities for which ratings of 90 percent or more have been reported by the State. (If the check rating is less than 90 percent, but not less than 85, the city will be removed from the 90-percent list after 6 months unless a resurvey submitted by the State during this probationary interim shows a rating of 90 percent or more. If the check rating is less than 85 percent, the city will be removed from the list immediately. If the check rating is 90 percent or more, the city will be retained on the list for 2 years from the date of the check survey, unless a subsequent rating during this period warrants its removal.)

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, July 1950-June 1952

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
<i>Alabama</i>		<i>Kentucky—Continued</i>		<i>South Dakota</i>	
Auburn.....	9-19-1951	Christian County.....	12-20-1951	Sioux Falls.....	10-12-1951
Montgomery.....	5-22-1952	Graves County.....	2-7-1952	<i>Tennessee</i>	
Opelika.....	6-19-1952	McCracken County.....	2-13-1952	Bristol.....	10-19-1951
<i>Arkansas</i>		Mount Sterling.....	8-16-1950	Clinton.....	11-28-1951
Fort Smith.....	10-19-1951	Owensboro.....	11-17-1950	Columbia.....	5-22-1952
<i>Colorado</i>		Paris.....	5-17-1951	Cookeville.....	11-14-1951
Colorado Springs.....	6-6-1951	<i>Louisiana</i>		Covington.....	8-15-1950
Cortez.....	7-1950	New Orleans.....	12-6-1951	Dandridge.....	9-17-1951
Denver City and County.....	11-27-1951	Vermilion Parish.....	9-9-1951	Dyersburg.....	8-17-1950
Durango.....	7-1950	<i>Mississippi</i>		Erwin.....	10-15-1951
Grand Junction.....	4-25-1952	Aberdeen.....	10-26-1951	Fayetteville.....	6-27-1951
Pueblo.....	8-1951	Amory.....	10-25-1951	Franklin.....	6-6-1952
Weld County.....	4-11-1952	Belmont.....	7-12-1951	Gallatin.....	5-11-1951
<i>Georgia</i>		Booneville.....	9-28-1951	Greeneville.....	4-17-1952
Albany.....	5-15-1952	Columbus.....	8-13-1951	Jefferson City.....	9-25-1951
Athens.....	4-10-1952	Corinth.....	6-6-1951	Kingsport.....	10-23-1951
Atlanta.....	11-21-1951	Eupora.....	3-28-1952	Knoxville.....	8-22-1951
Cairo.....	5-31-1951	Greenwood.....	4-15-1952	Lawrenceburg.....	8-21-1950
Calhoun.....	2-15-1951	Grenada.....	1-22-1952	Lebanon.....	7-19-1950
Columbus.....	3-30-1951	Houston.....	5-31-1951	Lewisburg.....	6-12-1952
La Grange.....	6-25-1951	Iuka.....	7-12-1951	Loudon.....	4-3-1952
Quitman.....	5-30-1951	Kosciusko.....	1-31-1952	Manchester.....	10-5-1950
Valdosta.....	3-13-1952	Louisville.....	10-4-1951	Memphis.....	6-5-1951
Waycross.....	10-23-1951	McComb.....	10-25-1951	Morristown.....	9-25-1951
West Point.....	6-22-1951	New Albany.....	1-7-1952	Nashville and David- son County.....	11-5-1951
<i>Illinois</i>		Okolona.....	5-29-1951	Newbern.....	8-16-1950
Chicago.....	8-1-1951	Starkville.....	11-27-1951	Newport.....	9-18-1951
Joliet.....	7-14-1950	State College.....	11-27-1951	Paris.....	4-18-1951
<i>Indiana</i>		Tupelo.....	4-20-1951	Pulaski.....	5-24-1951
Bedford-Orleans.....	10-1951	Winona.....	1-24-1952	Rogersville.....	4-21-1952
Bluffton.....	1-1952	<i>Missouri</i>		Shelbyville.....	6-11-1952
Cooperative Grade A Milk Program:	7-1951	Cape Girardeau.....	10-25-1950	Springfield.....	5-8-1951
Boonville.....		Chillicothe.....	10-8-1950	Sweetwater.....	10-19-1950
Holland.....		Columbia.....	12-13-1950	<i>Texas</i>	
Huntingburg.....		Eldon.....	12-14-1950	College Station.....	9-20-1950
Jasper.....		Jackson.....	10-25-1950	Corpus Christi.....	10-14-1950
Evansville.....	10-1951	St. Joseph.....	6-14-1951	Dallas.....	4-26-1951
Fort Wayne.....	11-1951	Springfield.....	2-20-1952	Falfurrias.....	1-12-1951
Indianapolis.....	8-1951	<i>Nevada</i>		Galveston.....	12-11-1951
LaPorte.....	7-1951	Yerington.....	12-5-1951	Gladewater.....	1-19-1951
Madison.....	10-1951	<i>North Carolina</i>		Harlingen.....	8-4-1951
Marion and Gas City.....	4-1951	Burke County.....	6-28-1951	Kilgore.....	1-19-1951
Indianapolis.....	8-1951	Charlotte.....	1-11-1952	La Feria.....	8-2-1951
Rushville.....	8-1951	Cumberland County.....	2-15-1952	Lamesa.....	5-10-1951
South Bend.....	8-14-1951	Forsyth County.....	11-22-1950	Levelland.....	5-9-1951
Vincennes.....	5-1951	Henderson County.....	2-5-1952	Lufkin.....	10-8-1951
<i>Iowa</i>		High Point.....	2-16-1951	Mercedes.....	8-21-1951
Clinton.....	7-12-1950	Jackson County.....	1-17-1952	Mission.....	8-24-1951
Des Moines.....	7-1951	Lincoln County.....	3-19-1952	Orange.....	1-6-1952
<i>Kansas</i>		Mars Hill.....	1-4-1952	Pharr.....	8-22-1951
Dodge City.....	4-11-1951	Mitchell County.....	8-10-1951	Port Arthur.....	10-17-1951
Erie.....	5-1-1951	Randolph County.....	3-9-1951	San Antonio.....	11-20-1951
Hillsboro.....	2-8-1951	Richmond County.....	5-29-1951	San Benito.....	8-1-1951
Kansas City.....	12-11-1950	Scotland County.....	5-31-1951	San Juan.....	8-23-1951
<i>Kentucky</i>		Swain County.....	1-17-1952	Sanjose.....	8-5-1950
Bowling Green and Warren County.....	7-13-1950	Transylvania County.....	2-5-1952	Texarkana.....	8-5-1950
Calloway County.....	2-15-1952	Wilson.....	8-2-1950	Texas City.....	1-16-1951
Campbell County-New- port.....	11-28-1951	Yancey County.....	8-10-1951	Tyler.....	10-9-1951
<i>Oklahoma</i>		<i>Oklahoma</i>		Weslaco.....	8-24-1951
Ardmore.....	7-28-1950	Ardmore.....	7-28-1950	Wichita Falls.....	1-31-1951
Duncan.....	10-4-1950	Duncan.....	10-4-1950	<i>Utah</i>	
Sulphur.....	8-29-1950	Sulphur.....	8-29-1950	Delta.....	11-17-1950
				Logan.....	5-14-1952
				Minersville.....	1-25-1951

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, July 1950-June 1952—Con.

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
<i>Utah—Continued</i>		<i>Virginia—Continued</i>		<i>Washington</i>	
Ogden.....	12-11-1951	Front Royal.....	8-29-1951	Everett	6-14-1951
Salt Lake City.....	4-29-1952	Lexington.....	5- 8-1951	Spokane.....	9- 6-1951
<i>Virginia</i>		Luray.....	8-29-1951	Whitman County.....	6-19-1952
Abingdon.....	10-19-1951	Richmond.....	5-21-1952	<i>Wisconsin</i>	
Bristol.....	10-19-1951	Roanoke.....	9-23-1950	Madison	10- 5-1951
Buena Vista.....	5- 8-1951	Staunton.....	11- 3-1950		
		Waynesboro.....	8- 3-1951		

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating
<i>Alabama</i>		<i>Mississippi</i>		<i>Tennessee—Continued</i>	
Clanton, 87.2.....	5-12-1952	Gulfport, 98.....	4-30-1952	Harriman, 90.6.....	7-26-1951
Huntsville, 98.....	8-10-1951	West Point, 97.6.....	7-18-1951	Johnson City, 96.6.....	8- 9-1950
Lanett, 97.5.....	11- 9-1950	<i>Missouri</i>		Maryville-Alcoa, 99.2.....	10-17-1950
<i>Georgia</i>		Boonville, 87.....	10-12-1950	McMinnville, 95.3.....	5- 7-1952
Camilla, 78.....	5-30-1951	Jefferson City, 88.5.....	7-20-1950	Murfreesboro, 98.7.....	7- 6-1951
Carrollton, 94.2.....	3-14-1952	<i>North Carolina</i>		<i>Texas</i>	
Cartersville, 94.2.....	2-15-1951	Buncombe County, 95.8.....	6-15-1951	Amarillo, 95.....	7-23-1951
Cedartown, 98.3.....	3-11-1952	Cabarrus County, 80.3.....	1-15-1952	Austin, 97.3.....	10-24-1951
Dalton-Whitfield County, 83.3.....	4- 4-1951	Caldwell County, 88.7.....	10-29-1951	Beaumont, 99.4.....	10-20-1950
Gainesville-Hall County, 93.1.....	3-21-1952	Greensboro, 99.7.....	7-27-1950	Brenham, 94.9.....	7-26-1951
Macon, 98.6.....	6-15-1951	Halifax County, 83.4.....	4-10-1952	Brownsville, 92.7.....	8- 1-1951
Newnan, 94.7.....	6- 5-1952	Iredell County, 95.7.....	10-27-1950	Bryan, 98.8.....	9-21-1950
Thomaston, 81.7.....	4-30-1952	Kings Mountain, 83.4.....	11-16-1951	Cleburne, 91.5.....	11-17-1950
Thomasville, 99.4.....	5-29-1951	Macon County, 91.4.....	8-10-1950	Corsicana, 99.7.....	7- 9-1951
<i>Indiana</i>		Montgomery County, 93.1.....	3-22-1951	Edinburg, 93.8.....	8-28-1951
Michigan City, 98.1.....	7-1951	Robeson County, 96.6.....	2-15-1952	Kerrville, 98.2.....	5- 1-1951
<i>Kansas</i>		Wilkes County, 90.6.....	9-20-1951	Laredo, 62.....	8-24-1950
Neodesha, 85.....	3-14-1951	<i>Oklahoma</i>		Longview, 99.4.....	1-19-1951
Pittsburg, 98.....	1-17-1952	Elk City, 95.5.....	7-12-1950	Lubbock, 99.2.....	11- 8-1950
<i>Kentucky</i>		Norman, 94.1.....	9-22-1950	Marshall, 88.....	7- 6-1951
Lexington and Fayette County, 97.....	4-28-1952	Ponca City, 93.1.....	9-15-1950	McAllen, 99.....	8-22-1951
<i>Louisiana</i>		<i>South Carolina</i>		Palestine, 88.8.....	1-15-1952
Iberia Parish, 96.....	5- 3-1951	Spartanburg and Spartanburg County, 91.3.....	10-31-1951	Paris, 92.3.....	9-26-1951
		<i>Tennessee</i>		Sherman, 93.3.....	11- 6-1951
		Cleveland, 94.4.....	9- 7-1950	<i>Virginia</i>	
		Elizabethton, 94.....	8- 8-1950	Lynchburg, 98.2.....	6-22-1951
				<i>Washington</i>	
				Seattle-King County, 99.6.....	6-1951
				<i>West Virginia</i>	
				Kanawha County, 96.....	6- 6-1952

NOTE: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements and the raw market milk shows a 90-percent or more compli-

ance with the grade A raw milk requirements of the Public Health Service Milk Ordinance and Code.

Note particularly the percentage of milk pasteurized in the various communities listed. This percentage

is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized or boiled, either commercially or at home, before it is consumed.