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## MORTALITY IN CERTAIN STATES DURING 1935 WITH COMPARATIVE DATA FOR RECENT YEARS ${ }^{1}$

This report presents mortality data for 26 States, the District of Columbia, and Hawaii for the calendar year 1935. In addition to the death rate from all causes, rates are shown for 16 specific causes and for infant and maternal mortality.

The rates are computed from current and generally preliminary reports furnished by State departments of health. Because of some lack of uniformity in the method of classifying deaths according to cause, some delayed death certificates, and various other reasons, these preliminary rates cannot be expected to agree in all instances with final rates published by the Bureau of the Census. The final figures are based on a complete review and retabulation of the individual death certificates from each State. The preliminary rates given in the accompanying table are intended to serve as a current index of mortality until final figures are available.

The populations used for 1931-34 are the official estimates as published by the Bureau of the Census. These estimates for at least 1934 are corrected to agree with the population of the United States as computed from birtbs, deaths, immigration, and emigration since the 1930 Census. Since no estimates have been prepared for States for 1935, the figures used represent an extrapolation from the 1934 estimates with an annual increment of approximately that used by the census in the years 1930-34.

Much has been said about the inaccuracy of population estimates for current and depression years because of population shifts and other factors. One of the largest movements has been from city to farm, and so the population estimates for cities are particularly unreliable. Estimates for whole States such as used in this report are likely to be less in error because urban-rural shifts do not necessarily mean interstate shifts. It is believed that the populations used in computing rates for this report are sufficiently reliable for the purpose at hand, namely, the comparison of the trend of mortality from year to year in the various States; the comparison of the actual rates for one State with those for others should be reserved for final figures in which the causes of death are classified in a uniform manner for all States.

[^0]For purposes of comparison, the mortality rates for a few preceding years are given. These comparative rates are based on records from the same sources as those for the current reports. Although final mortality figures are often available for earlier years, the provisional figures are retained as being more comparable with current preliminary rates.

In table 1 the death rates for important causes for groups of States have been brought together. The majority of the rates are based on data from 26 States, with a population of about 85 million. The discussion which follows is based largely on the rates for States summarized in table 1, namely, those with data for the given cause for the whole 5 -year period included in the report. While the rates in this group of States may not be the same as those for the total of all States, it is probable that the trend of the rates in these States will be comparable with the trend in the country as a whole.

Table 2 is a summary of death rates by quarters; the detailed tables 3 and 4 show rates for the year as a whole for each State, including some with data for only a part of the 5 -year period.

The death rate from all causes in the group of 25 States with data for all 5 years was 10.8 per 1,000 in 1935, as compared with 10.9 , 10.6, 10.7, and 11.0 in 1934, 1933, 1932, and 1931, respectively. In 12 of the 25 States the rate in 1935 was less than in 1934, in 9 it was higher, and in 4 States it was the same in the 2 years. In the 23 States with data available by quarters, the rate per 1,000 (annual basis) for the first quarter was 12.0 as compared with 11.9 in 1934; for the second, 11.0 as compared with 11.1 ; for the third, 9.6 as compared with 10.0; and for the fourth quarter the rate was 10.9 in both 1935 and 1934. In three of the four quarters the rates are almost the same in the 2 years. On the whole, the 1935 rate cannot be said to represent much change from that for 1934.

Infant mortality was somewhat lower in 1935 than in any of the 3 preceding years- 52 per 1,000 live births as compared with 58 in 1934 and 56 in each of the years 1933 and 1932. The rate in 1935 decreased from that in 1934 in 21 and increased in the other 3 of the 24 States.

The tuberculosis death rate continued its uninterrupted decline, being 52.5 per 100,000 in 1935 , as compared with $54.5,56.5$, and 60.2 for 1934, 1933, and 1932, respectively. Of the 26 States on which these rates are based, 16 showed a decline, 8 an increase, and 2 remained the same in 1935 as in 1934.

The minor epidemic of influenza that occurred in the first quarter of 1935 has been described in some detail in the Public Health Reports. ${ }^{2}$ As compared with 1934, which was exceptionally free from

[^1]influenza, this very small epidemic was sufficient to account for a widespread but small increase in influenza and pneumonia mortality. In the group of 26 States the influenza death rate in 1935 was 19.2 per 100,000 , as compared with $15.0,22.8$, and 25.2 in 1934, 1933, and 1932, respectively. In all except 1 of the 26 States, the rate for 1935 was above that for 1934, and in that State the rate was the same in the 2 years. The pneumonia death rate for 1935 was 80.1 per 100,000 , as compared with $78.7,69.0$, and 75.7 in 1934, 1933, and 1932, respectively. Of the 26 States, the pneumonia rate was higher in 17 and lower in 9 States in 1935 than in 1934.

Because of the tendency toward alternately high and low rates from the common communicable diseases of children, year-to-year comparisons do not tell much about the real trend of these diseases. Measles and whooping-cough rates returned to more normal levels after the exceptionally high rates of 1934, but the rate for measles was still above the rates for both 1933 and 1932, and the whoopingcough rate was above that for 1933. The death rates for scarlet fever, diphtheria, and poliomyelitis were approximately the same as in 1934, with about half of the States showing slight increases and the other half showing decreases from the 1934 rates.

Meningococcus meningitis was higher than in any of the 3 preceding years- 2.0 per 100,000 as compared with $0.8,1.1$, and 1.3 in 1934, 1933, and 1932, respectively. In 25 of the 26 States the rate was higher in 1935 than in 1934, and in the other State it was the same in the 2 years. In the last preceding period of high meningitis rates, the peaks in the various States came in 1929 and 1930.

Typhoid fever decreased to $1: 9$ per 100,000 in 1935 as compared with 2.3 in 1934 and 2.5 in 1933. In 20 of the 26 States the rate was lower in 1935 than in 1934. Deaths among children under 2 years of age from diarrhea and enteritis amounted to 7.6 per 100,000 total population, as compared with 10.7, 9.4, and 9.4 in 1934, 1933, and 1932, respectively. In 24 of the 25 States the rate decreased in 1935 as compared with 1934.

The death rate from diabetes was approximately the same in 1935 and 1934 (23.3 and 23.2, respectively), but in both years the rates were above those for 1933 and 1932.

Cancer continued its steady increase, the rate of 111 per 100,000 in 1935 being greater than in any other year included; 18 of the 26 States increased in 1935 as compared with 1934.

Diseases of the heart continued an upward trend, with a rate of 255 for 1935 as compared with 250,231 , and 224 for 1934,1933 , and 1932, respectively; 20 of the 24 States increased in 1935 over 1934.

Nephritis was lower in 1935 than in the preceding year, 82 per 100,000 , as compared with 85 . In 19 of the 25 States, the 1935 rate was less than that for 1934.

The rate for cerebral hemorrhage was almost the same as the rates in the 3 preceding years. Of 22 States with available data, 13 showed increases and 9 decreases in 1935 as compared with 1934.

Table 1.-Summary of mortality from certain causes in a group of States, 1931-95 ${ }^{1}$


[^2][Estimated population July 1, 1935: 77,959,000]

${ }^{1}$ Includes all States for which data are available by quarters for the 4 years covered. For a few causes 1 to 3 States were omitted because of missing data. The States are Cali-
fornia, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minneosta, Montana, Nebraska, New Jersey, New
York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

Table 3.-Mortality in certain States, 1931-35

| State | Deaths, all causes, per 1,000 population |  |  |  |  | Maternal mortality, per 1,000 live births |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| California | 11.6 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| Connecticut | 10.1 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 5. 3 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.8 |
| District of Columbia | 17.0 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 6.1 |
| Ceorgia | 11.4 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 10.0 |
| Idaho- | 10.8 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Illinois. | 10.8 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| Indiana | 11.4 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 5.6 |
| Iowa. | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 10. 2 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| Kansas... | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.8 |
| Louisiana | 10.8 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 8.0 | 8. 1 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.9 |
| Maryland | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 6.0 |
| Michigan | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| Minnesota | 9.9 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| Mississippi | 9.5 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana- | 11.7 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 7.0 |
| Nebraska | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| New Jersey | 10.1 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 4. 5 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| New York | 11.2 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| North Carolina | 10.1 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 6. 6 | 6. 9 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 4.9 | 5. 2 | 5. 1 | 5.4 | 5.7 |
| Rhode Island.- | 10.9 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| South Carolina |  |  |  |  |  | 9.6 | 9.2 |  |  |  |
| South Dakota | 8.9 10.9 | 9.3 10.9 | 8.8 10.2 | 8.2 10.7 | 8.8 10.7 | 5.5 6.9 | 4.5 6.3 | 4.1 6.1 | 3.7 6.6 | 4.9 6.8 |
| Virginia | 11.7 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 5.3 | 6.3 5.7 | 6. 6. | 6.6 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Washington | 11.3 | 10.9 |  |  |  | 5. 2 | 4.7 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin. | 10.1 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 10.0 | $10.1{ }^{-1}$ | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.7 | $4{ }^{-7}$ | 4.3 |
| Hawaii --.------------- | 7.8 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.8 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |

Infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births


[^3]Table 4.-Death rates for various causes per 100,000 population

| State | Typhoid fever (1, 2) |  |  |  |  | Diarrhea and enteritis under 2 years (119) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 13.1 |
| California | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 11.5 |
| Connecticut | . 8 | . 4 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 7.8 |
| District of Col | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 11.8 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 16.0 | 16.7 |
| Georgia. | 8.8 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 12.6 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 22.1 | 16.7 | 13.2 | 18.8 |
| Idaho. | 2.7 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Illinois | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 3.9 |
| Indiana | 1.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 13.9 |
| Iowa | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 7.6 |
| Kansas | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 8.1 |
| Louisiana | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 14.5 | 17.1 | 21.1 | 19.1 | 14.0 | 22.4 |
| Maryland | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 13. 5 | 17.3 | 15. 1 | 19.6 | 31.3 |
| Michigan | . 6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 9.2 |
| Minnesota | . 6 | . 5 | . 8 | . 7 | . 6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 |
| Mississippi | 2.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 19.7 | 15. 1 | 10.9 | 14.4 |
| Montana. | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2. 2 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 11.0 |
| Nebraska | . 4 | 1.1 | . 7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 7.1 |
| New Jersey | . 6 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 7 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 9.1 |
| New York | . 5 | .6 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 5. 6 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 8.7 |
| North Carolina | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 5. 1 | 22.6 | 27.2 | 21.0 | 16.8 | 22.2 |
| Pennsylvania | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 5. 6 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 12.3 | 17.5 |
| Rhode Island. | . 3 | . 7 | . 4 | . 3 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 8.6 |
| South Carolina | 10.8 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 14.7 | 16.6 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota | 1.4 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 11.4 |
| Tennessee. | 6.7 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 19.8 | 26.4 | 24.0 | 20.4 | 23.4 |
| Virginia | 3.0 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 11.7 | 17.3 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 22.5 |
| Washington | 1.0 | 1.7 |  |  |  | 3.7 | 2.9 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | 2.3 | 3. ${ }^{6}$ | 5. 3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 4.4 18.5 | 6.6 28.9 | 6.6 36.5 | 6.8 45.7 | 10.4 49.3 |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 12.2 |
| State | Measles (7) |  |  |  |  | Whooping cough (9) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total (26 States) .-.........-.-- | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| California. | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | .9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Connecticut | 1.9 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| District of Columbia.-..- | (2) | 9.7 | . 8 | . 2 | 2.4 | . 6 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 5.7 |
| Georgia | ${ }^{9}$ | 18.1 | 2.1 | .5 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 11.2 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Idaho... | 2.2 | 3.6 | . 7 | . 2 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 3.3 | . 2 | . 7 | 6.3 |
| Illinois. | 3.7 | 2.7 | . 7 | . 6 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Indiana | 2.7 | 6.8 | . 4 | . 4 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| Iowa. | 6.1 | 2.7 | . 2 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Kansas. | 11.8 | 1.9 | . 7 | 1.3 | . 4 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Louisiana | 5.8 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | . 6 | 3.0 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| Maryland | 1.4 | 8.8 | . 2 | 1.1 | 5. 9 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 7.6 |
| Michigan. | 3.6 | . 7 | 2.2 | 3.6 | .6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Minnesota | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | . 5 | . 3 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Mississippi | 1.0 | 13.9 | 2.7 | . 1 | .4 | 5.0 | 14.4 | 10.1 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| Montana- | 9.1 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | .4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 3. 0 | 4.1 | 8.9 |
| Nebraska. | 6.1 | 1.6 | . 6 | . 1 | .3 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 |
| New Jersey | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2. 9 | 3. 3 |
| New York | 1.5 | . 6 | 2.5 | 1. 6 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| North Carolina | 2.1 | 9.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 5.7 |
| Pennsylvania. | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 3. 1 |
| Rhode Island. | 1.0 | . 4 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 6.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 3. 0 | 3. 6 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| South Carolina | 1.3 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 9.9 | 16.5 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 53 |
| South Dakota | 4.0 | 16.6 | 1.0 | (2) | . 3 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.7 |
| Tennessee. | 1.4 | 16.3 | 2.4 | .3 | 3.8 | 14.1 | 9. 6 | 5. 7 | 7.5 | 6.3 |
| Virginia | 5.5 | 6.2 | 2.1 | . 9 | 3.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 12.5 | 6.2 |
| Washington | 1.5 | . 9 |  |  |  | 1.9 | 2. 6 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin.. | 2.4 | 2.2 | .9. | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
|  | ${ }^{(2)}$ | . 3 | . 5 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 14.1 | 12.4 | 1.1 | . 3 |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over.-- | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.6 |

[^4]${ }^{2}$ No deaths.

Table 4.-Death rates for various causes per 100,000 population-Continued

| State | Scarlet fever (8) |  |  |  |  | Diphtheria (10) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total (26 States) | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| California | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | . 9 | . 9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| Connecticut | 1.3 | . 8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | .7 | 1.0 | . 4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 8 |
| District of Columbia | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 7.1 |
| Georgia | . 7 | . 5 | . 6 | .6 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| Idaho--- | 6.5 | 2.9 | . 2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | .7 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Illinois. | 5. 1 | 2.6 | 3. 5 | 3. 3 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 4.7 |
| Indiana | 3. 5 | 3. 6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3. 6 | 4.1 | 3. 6 | 4.5 | 5. 2 | 4.1 |
| Iowa. | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Kansas.. | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Louisiana | . 5 | . 6 | . 5 | . 4 | . 7 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| Maryland. | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 3. 1 | 4.0 |
| Michigan. | 1.9 | 3.4 | 3. 1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | . 8 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Minnesota | 3. 0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | . 9 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.0 | . 9 | 1.4 |
| Mississippi | . 4 | . 7 | . 4 | . 6 | . 5 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 9.9 |
| Montana | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.0 | . 9 | 1.7 |
| Nebraska- | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| New Jersey | .6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| New York. | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 21 | 2.2 |
| North Carolina | . 7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 7.3 |
| Pennsylvania | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| Rhode Island | . 4 | . 6 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 1.7 | . 7 | . 3 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 4.7 |
| South Carolina | . 3 | . 6 | . 8 | . 5 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5. 2 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| South Dakota | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | . 6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Tennessee. | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | . 8 | 2.4 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.3 |
| Virginia | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5. 3 | 8.5 |
| Washington | 1.5 3.6 | 1.6 |  |  |  | .7 | .5 |  |  |  |
| Hawaii | (2) | (1) | (1) | . 3 | (2) | .4 | . 8 | 1.8 | 1.9 4.8 | 1.8 6.7 |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over- | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 4.6 |
| State | Poliomyelitis (16) |  |  |  |  | Meningococcus meningitis (18) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total (26 States) | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 |
| California - | 1.1 | 1.8 | . 2 | . 5 | . 8 | 2.0 | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| Connocticut- | 1.3 | .1 | .2 | . 4 | 5. 5 | 1.0 | . 4 | . 5 | . 7 | . 7 |
| District of Columbia | 2.0 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.2 | . 8 | 18.8 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 5.7 |
| Georgia | . 5 | . 8 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 6 | . 4 | . 8 | 1.8 |
| Idaho-- | . 9 | 3.3 | . 9 | .2 | $\begin{array}{r}.7 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 4.9 | 2.9 | 1. 6 | 3.1 | 6.9 |
| Illinois. | . 5 | . 4 | .4 | . 5 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Indiana | .3 | . 7 | .3 | . 2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | . 6 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 5.5 |
| Iowa | . 4 | .3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | . 9 | 2.6 |
| Kansas | . 5 | . 6 | . 7 | . 6 | . 6 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Louisiana | . 7 | . 4 | . 4 | . 5 | . 9 | . 8 | . 6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Maryland | .2 | . 3 | .2 | .3 | . 7 | 5.1 | .2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Michigan | . 6 | . 5 | .1 | . 5 | 2.2 | . 8 | . 5 | $\stackrel{.}{ }$ | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| Minnesota | .4 | . 8 | 1.3 | . 5 | 2.4 | 1.7 | . 8 | 1.2 | . 9 | 1.6 |
| Mississippi | .4 | . 9 | .3 | . 8 | . 4 | 1.1 | . 7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Montana.- | .2 | 3.2 | .4 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | . 6 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| Nebraska | . 7 | . 6 | .3 | . 9 | . 9 | 2.7 | 1.3 | . 6 | . 5 | 1.6 |
| New Jersey | . 8 | .3 | . 6 | 1.1 | 3. 5 | . 7 | . 5 | . 6 | . 8 | 1.8 |
| New York. | 1.1 | .3 | 1.1 | . 5 | 5.2 | 2.1 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| North Carolina | 2.0 | .4 | . 4 | . 5 | . 6 | . 8 | . 5 | .3 | . 5 | . 6 |
| Pennsylvania | . 3 | 3 | . 6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Rhode Island | 3.4 | (2) | . 1 | .4 | 1.4 | 2.3 | .7 | 4 | . 4 | . 9 |
| South Carolina | . 7 | . 7 | .7 | . 6 | . 9 | 1.3 | .7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| South Dakota | 1.0 | 1.4 | . 9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | . 4 | .4 | . 1 | . 4 | . 3 |
| Tennessee | . 9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | . 6 | . 9 | 4.0 | 1.4 | . 9 | 1.4 | 4.3 |
| Virginia. | 1.8 | . 7 | . 4 | . 7 | . 6 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Washington | .6 | 3.4 |  |  |  | 2.2 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | (3) ${ }^{2}$ | .3 | . 4 | . 8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | . 7 | . 5 | . 8 | 1.3 |
| ndustrial policy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - |  |  | . 5 | . 8 | . 8 | . 7 | 2.0 | . 8 | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| ropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over. | . 8 | . 5 | . 6 | 1.1 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |

## ${ }^{2}$ No deaths.

Table 4.-Death rates for various causes per 100,000 population-Continued

| State | Influenza (11) |  |  |  |  | Pneumonia, all forms (107-109) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total (26 States) | 19.2 | 15.0 | 22.8 | 25.2 | 23.3 | 80.1 | 78.7 | 69.0 | 75.7 | 80.1 |
| Californla | 8.5 | 5. 2 | 13.8 | 18.3 | 13.6 | 61.0 | 54.0 | 61.8 | 64.1 | 66.5 |
| Connecticut | 8.6 | 7.4 | 21.5 | 15.3 | 17.3 | 64.9 | 63.9 | 73.6 | 66.0 | 72.3 |
| District of Columbia | 13.6 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 15.5 | 18.1 | 151.5 | 131.6 | 115.6 | 135.5 | 140.3 |
| Georgia.---------- | 44.8 | 32.9 | 41.5 | 39.0 | 44.1 | 99.8 | 100.5 | 76.3 | 82.9 | 82.9 |
| Idaho.- | 18.3 | 14.7 | 18.7 | 21.0 | 9.2 | 102.2 | 102.7 | 72.8 | 76.7 | 76.5 |
| Illinois | 15.4 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 24.0 | 20.3 | 76.7 | 74.9 | 63.3 | 67.4 | 69.1 |
| Indiana | 25.5 | 22.5 | 31.1 | 44.0 | 35.0 | 89.2 | 85.9 | 69.1 | 90.6 | 86.2 |
| Iowa. | 21.4 | 17. 9 | 33.3 | 35.8 | 25.7 | 77.6 | 77.0 | 74.1 | 78.9 | 66.8 |
| Kansas | 30.6 | 19.2 | 45.9 | 41.6 | 30.0 | 78.0 | 58.1 | 53.4 | 53.5 | 51.5 |
| Louisiana | 23.5 | 20.1 | 32.4 | 52.4 | 42.1 | 84.8 | 72.6 | 64.1 | 75.5 | 81.4 |
| Maryland | 14.3 | 8.7 | 17.4 | 20. 1 | 20.6 | 97.4 | 96.5 | 93.6 | 103.0 | 126.3 |
| Michigan | 13.9 | 10.5 | 17.0 | 22.2 | 16.5 | 73.8 | 67.8 | 54.4 | 63.3 | 57.6 |
| Minnesota | 15.9 | 14.6 | 24.5 | 30.8 | 21.8 | 76.9 | 81.3 | 58.9 | 63.8 | 69.1 |
| Mississippi | 41.5 | 24.9 | 34.8 | 40.5 | 37.5 | 61.8 121 | 63.9 | 49.6 | 48.3 | 56.3 |
| Montana. | 41.5 | 28.4 | 35.8 | 41.6 | 32.7 | 121.4 | 81.6 | 63.3 | 63.6 | 70.3 |
| Nebraska | 22.2 | 17.4 | 34.5 | 36.9 | 21.8 | 76.3 | 73.2 | 70.0 | 62.0 | 54.3 |
| New Jersey | 9.2 | 7.3 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 63.4 | 66.2 | 71.3 | 61.3 | 78.0 |
| New York | 6.7 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 83.6 | 83.9 | 91.4 | 96.7 | 105.6 |
| North Carolina | 29.0 | 21.6 | 28.8 | 20.5 | 33.4 | 92.7 | 102.1 | 64.9 | 80.7 | 87.1 |
| Pennsylvania. | 18.5 | 15.1 | 25.1 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 81.4 | 79.9 | 69.7 | 81.5 | 97.2 |
| Rhode Island | 8.0 | 7.5 | 17.4 | 11.3 | 13.9 | 74.9 | 70.6 | 76.1 | 93.8 | 98.8 |
| South Carolina | 46.4 | 42.8 | 37.5 | 50.8 | 65.9 | 91.7 | 96.6 | 87.4 | 99.0 | 104.8 |
| South Dakota. | 31.0 | 29.1 | 45.1 | 28.9 | 26.0 | 94.1 | 83.5 | 61.0 | 46.6 | 55.4 |
| Tennessee..- | 41.9 | 35.6 | 39.7 | 54.1 | 37.0 | 100.2 | 96.2 | 77.4 | 87.1 | 84.5 |
| Virginia.- | 37.9 | 27.0 | 37.1 | 37.3 | 47.2 | 84.7 | 79.1 | 66.6 | 71.5 | 80.6 |
| Washington | 16.4 | 13.8 |  |  |  | 57.3 | 54.7 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin.- | 18. 5 | 11.6 | 25.6 | 28.5 | 18.1 | 63.1 | 67.6 | 51.4 | 66.5 | 65.4 |
| Hawail | 13.1 | 14.6 | 7.4 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 69.2 | 117.1 | 97.8 | 100.1 | 102.3 |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over........- | 14.6 | 11.4 | 20.3 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 66.1 | 65.0 | 62.5 | 65.4 | 73.7 |
| State | Tuberculosis, all forms (23-32) |  |  |  |  | Cancer (45-53) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total (26 States) | 52.5 | 54.5 | 56.5 | 60.2 | 64.5 | 110.8 | 108.6 | 104.7 | 102.3 | 99.9 |
| California. | 720 | 74.9 | 76.4 | 81.0 | 88.9 | 134.5 | 129.4 | 127.0 | 120.2 | 124.2 |
| Connecticut | 41.8 | 42.5 | 47.2 | 49.0 | 53.6 | 126.0 | 128.0 | 121.4 | 121.5 | 114.0 |
| District of Columbia | 121.6 | 122.5 | 124.6 | 121.5 | 120.2 | 155.9 | 152.5 | 149.5 | 146.7 | 135.2 |
| Georgia......-. | 58.1 | 59.2 | 59.9 | 65.5 | 72.9 | 57.1 | 58.7 | 55.0 | 52.2 | 52.7 |
| Idaho. | 26.3 | 28.8 | 31.0 | 28.6 | 29.8 | 71.4 | 75.4 | 82.6 | 76.6 | 66.4 |
| Illinois | 51.3 | 52.1 | 53.4 | 54.1 | 59.1 | 126. 5 | 122.4 | 117.7 | 114.4 | 112.7 |
| Indiana | 47.7 | 54.2 | 56.9 | 59.9 | 61.1 | 113.5 | 114.8 | 109.7 | 110.8 | 106.1 |
| Iowa - - | 26.1 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 28.2 | 28.5 | 128.6 | 125.9 | 123.0 | 116.5 | 112.9 |
| Kansas | 28.3 | 26.9 | 30.3 | 32.5 | 37.0 | 109.3 | 113.0 | 108.1 | 104.2 | 97.0 |
| Louisiana | 70.2 | 74.5 | 73.0 | 72.7 | 81.5 | 78.1 | 71.6 | 71.8 | 67.1 | 68.2 |
| Maryland | 78.4 | 78.1 | 81.5 | 90.4 | 95.7 | 126. 1 | 124.3 | 117.5 | 116.0 | 111.6 |
| Michigan. | 39.7 | 43.1 | 46.5 | 48.2 | 53.3 | 100.7 | 101.0 | 96.9 | 93.3 | 90.6 |
| Minnesota | 34.9 | 34.9 | 37.9 | 39.2 | 40.0 | 131.9 | 130.7 | 131.1 | 124.2 | 121.3 |
| Mississippi | 49.7 | 54.2 | 59.9 | 62.6 | 72.1 | 53.8 | 50.6 | 49.5 | 50.2 | 48.7 |
| Montana. | 46.4 | 49.2 | 50.3 | 55.0 20.3 | 61.3 | 96.3 105.9 | 87.5 109.0 | 91.4 101.4 | 92.9 100.6 | 74.5 |
| Nebraska | 21.9 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 24.6 | 105.9 | 169.0 | 101.4 | 100.6 | 98.5 |
| Now Jersey | 50.2 | 52.8 | 55.7 | 60.6 | 65.1 | 124.2 | 123.2 | 119.6 | 112.9 | 113.4 |
| New York | 56.1 | 56.1 | 59.1 | 61.3 | 66.4 | 140.2 | 130.6 | 128.1 | 124.1 | 123.8 |
| North Carolina.-------------- | 58.1 | 63.4 | 64.3 | 65.5 | 69.4 | 51.9 | 51.1 | 50.0 | 46.2 | 48.2 |
| Pennsylvania | 45.3 | 47.2 | 48.4 | 52.5 | 56.4 | 109.1 | 106.8 | 102.8 | 102.1 | 98.9 |
| Rhode Island | 48.1 | 43.6 | 49.5 | 52.4 | 61.9 | 139.1 | 129.5 | 134.3 | 140.7 | 132.6 |
| South Carolina | 54.6 |  |  |  |  | 50.0 | 53.5 | 48.2 | 41.6 | 45.3 |
| South Dakota. | 38.5 | 33.8 | 38.3 | 45.1 | 43.7 | 87.8 | 84.3 | 82.4 | 80.7 | 82.7 |
| Tennessee. | 88.5 | 88.4 | 93.8 | 101.4 | 107.2 | 67.8 | 64.2 | 60.0 | 56.8 | 57.1 |
| Virginia | 74.3 | 72.9 | 77.3 | 81.0 | 87.0 | 77.5 133.4 | 74.5 129.6 | 72.3 | 67.9 | 64.3 |
| Washington | 52.0 | 47.8 |  |  |  | 133.4 | 129. 6 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | 35.1 | 37.1 | 40.7 | 44.9 | 48.1 | 123.9 | 122.1 | 116.4 | 116.4 | 115.8 |
| Hawaii | 68.3 | 81.6 | 99.6 | 94.3 | 98.2 | 62.0 | 60.6 | 68.6 | 71.5 | 57.2 |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over......... | 65.6 | 59.4 | 64.7 | 69.8 | 76.2 | 95.5 | 06.1 | 94.6 | 91.1 | 84.1 |

Table 4.-Death rates for various causes per 100,000 population-Continued

| State | Diabetes mellitus (59) |  |  |  |  | Cerebral hemorrhage, apoplexy$(82, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b})$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 23.3 | 23.2 | 21.9 | 22.2 | 20.6 | 85.1 | 84.1 | 83.1 | 84.3 | 83.7 |
| California | 23.9 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 20.8 | 19.2 | 81.0 | 77.4 | 82.6 | 77.8 | 78.6 |
| Connecticut | 29.7 | 25.9 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 21.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Col | 31.1 | 37. 8 | 29.5 | 28.2 | 25.1 | 118.4 | 107.6 | 115.2 | 107.5 | 105. 7 |
| Georgia | 12.8 | 13. 0 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 79.9 | 76.6 | 72.6 | 80.0 | 84.8 |
| Idaho-- | 12.9 | 13. 2 | 10.7 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 70.8 | 71.9 | 74.8 | 79.9 | 95.3 |
| Illinois | 24.9 | 27.7 | 26.1 | 28.3 | 25.6 | 71.8 | 71.1 | 72.4 | 73.0 | 73.0 |
| Indiana | 16.0 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 16.4 | 125.8 | 127.2 | 110.8 | 114.1 | 111.2 |
| Iowa. | 21.9 | 24.9 | 19.5 | 16.0 | 19.8 | 107.6 | 110.4 | 112.1 | 109.0 | 111.2 |
| Kansas | 21.9 | 23.6 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 97.2 | 96.8 | 99.8 | 101.2 | 94.8 |
| Louisiana | 15.4 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 64.8 | 56.0 | 60.6 | 60.2 | 57.5 |
| Maryland | 26.0 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 25.7 | 23.0 | 108.7 | 102.1 | 103.0 | 1126 | 108.6 |
| Michigan | 23.9 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 19.1 | 81.8 | 84.1 | 81.4 | 84.1 | 87.7 |
| Minnesota | 22.3 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 22.2 | 19.5 | 83.2 | 82.4 | 80.2 | 77.8 | 75.4 |
| Mississippi | 10.6 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 59.8 | 64.0 | 65.8 | 61.9 | 64.3 |
| Montana | 19.6 | 19.7 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 15.4 | 92.6 | 75.4 | 69.6 | 70.1 | 68.0 |
| Nebraska | 20.0 | 19.9 | 16.3 | 22.8 | 21.2 | 96.9 | 95.8 | 95.0 | 93.0 | 84.4 |
| New Jersey | 28.7 | 27.4 | 29.0 | 26.0 | 23.9 | 76.2 | 8 C .9 | 82.3 | 77.3 | 79.4 |
| New York | 32.0 | 31.7 | 30.4 | 29.9 | 28.2 | 75.6 |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | 10.4 | 11.4 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania | 27.7 | 26.8 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 24.7 | 83.5 | 84.3 | 84.9 | 85.7 | 87.0 |
| Rhode Island. | 31.4 | 32.0 | 34.0 | 32.0 | 29.4 | 91.8 | 88.5 | 96.9 | 104.9 | 98.0 |
| South Carolina | 12.3 | 11.9 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 100.3 |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota | 19.2 | 22.1 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 20.6 | 77.2 | 72.8 | 78.2 | 67.0 | 64.1 |
| Tennessee | 12.1 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 81.3 | 78.3 | 66.7 | 65.6 | 60.0 |
| Virginia | 16.4 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 15.8 | 14.9 | 1023 | 96.0 | 96.6 | 91.0 | 97.7 |
| Washingto | 23.5 | 11.3 |  |  |  | 99.6 | 95.7 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | 24.8 | 24.0 | 23.6 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 85.1 | 85.2 | 85.0 | 87.3 | 85.9 |
| Hawaii--.... | 15.0 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 9.5 | 12.3 | 39.7 | 38.9 | 49.7 | 51.8 | 50.7 |
| Industrial policyholders, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over. | 24.2 | 24. 4 | 24.1 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 61.2 | 63.2 | 63.8 | 62.1 | 60.4 |
| State | Heart diseases (90-95) |  |  |  |  | Nephritis (130-132) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 | 1935 | 1934 | 1933 | 1932 | 1931 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$---- | 254.9 | 249.6 | 230.8 | 223.9 | 216.7 | 82.2 | 84.6 | 81.8 | 85.3 | 85.0 |
| California | 306.4 | 283.3 | 274.6 | 252.2 | 253.4 | 79.0 | 76.0 | 78.7 | 80.6 | 80.9 |
| Connecticut | 221.0 | 219.5 | 209.7 | 208.1 | 203.0 | 86.5 | 87.9 | 85.3 | 87.8 | 88.8 |
| District of Columb | 393.6 | 391.3 | 342.2 | 330.6 | 300.2 | 119.8 | 126.2 | 128.9 | 140.4 | 146.2 |
| Georgia | 170.6 | 167.3 | 134.0 | 139.9 | 132.8 | 105.1 | 109.1 | 105.0 | 109.6 | 107.4 |
| Idaho- | 170.1 | 158.3 | 161.8 | 161.2 | 159.7 | 37.3 | 36.2 | 35.3 | 43.3 | 38.7 |
| Illinois. | 272.6 | 267.1 | 254.5 | 231.6 | 232.1 | 95.4 | 103.6 | 102.6 | 108.8 | 107.2 |
| Indiana | 262.5 | 271.2 | 185.8 | 183.2 | 176.8 | 66.8 | 77.4 | 76.1 | 73.2 | 74.8 |
| Iowa | 229.9 | 209.8 | 196.3 | 188.3 | 200.7 | 63.5 | 66.7 | 65.5 | 65.4 | 64.4 |
| Kansas | 213.3 | 203.6 | 194.0 | 178.0 | 153.9 | 90.8 | 94.7 | 93.9 | 100.0 | 95.3 |
| Louisiana | 178.6 | 182.5 | 188.0 | 182.5 | 178.0 | 105.1 | 107.9 | 95.9 | 102.5 | 108.6 |
| Maryland | 264.6 | 263.7 | 256.5 | 256.5 | 251.0 | 132.9 | 137.5 | 144.5 | 138.4 | 139.2 |
| Michigan. | 241.3 | 230.6 | 228.8 | 217.9 | 204.4 | 57.7 | 60.7 | 59.6 | 57.8 | 58.8 |
| Minnesota | 215.2 | 214.2 | 198.3 | 193.6 | 177.9 | 48.7 | 52.0 | 54.8 | 54.7 | 50.8 |
| Mississippi | 106.0 | 95.9 | 97.0 | 84.2 | 94.3 | 88.5 | 83.4 | 69.6 | 76.3 | 95.4 |
| Montana | 203.2 | 177.3 | 178.8 | 158.7 | 139.6 | 77.8 | 70.2 | 68.7 | 71.4 | 66.7 |
| Nebraska | 185. 1 | 180.5 | 175.9 | 171.4 | 159. 1 | 50.3 | 59.3 | 57.3 | 72.0 | 67.9 |
| New Jersey | 286.0 | 285.8 | 269.0 | 231.0 | 234.3 | 79.3 | 82.9 | 86.0 | 91.0 | 96.3 |
| New York | 311.6 | 318.2 | 289.9 | 294.2 | 288.0 | 78.6 | 80.8 | 76.7 | 74.8 | 73.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 277.0 | 262.9 | 244.8 | 238.4 | 233.5 | 84.7 | 89.6 | 92.6 | 93.0 | 92.7 |
| Rhode Island. | 310.0 | 294.3 | 276.8 | 264.7 | 245.8 | 98.2 | 105.8 | 111.9 | 117.2 | 112.5 |
| South Carolina | 139.4 |  |  |  |  | 97.1 | 108.8 |  |  |  |
| Tenth Dassee....- | 136.6 149.7 | 143.3 146.8 | 145.1 | 150.3 | 127.4 | 59.8 66.3 | 61.8 | 50.1 | 41.7 | 39.1 |
| Virginia | 223.7 | 219.1 | 192.5 | 198.3 | 188.3 | 92.8 | 93.0 | 89.2 | 119.5 | 101.5 |
| Washington | 266.9 | 246.5 |  |  |  | 79.9 | 75.8 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | 246.9 | 232.1 | 223.7 | 217.4 | 203.1 | 67.5 | 67.6 | 65.7 | 66.5 | 67.7 |
| Hawaii | 100.2 | 98.5 | 115.9 | 100.1 | 105. 7 | 67.1 | 65.5 | 77.0 | 60.2 | 68.4 |
| Industrial policyholders,'Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., ages 1 and over ${ }^{3}$. | 157.4 | 162.9 | 161.5 | 155.5 | 147.9 | 59.9 | 64.9 | 67.1 | 68.7 | 67.0 |

[^5]
## THE SIGNIFICANCE OF INFANT MORTALITY RATES ${ }^{1}$

## By Mayhew Derryberry, Consultant in Health Education Techniques, United States Public Health Service, and Edgar Van Buskirk, Statistical Assistant, American Child Health Association

It has been stated that infant mortality is the most sensitive index we possess of a city's health. It is to the worker in the field of health what the clinical thermometer is to the physician. ${ }^{2}$ Since that statement was made, Holland and Palmer have shown that social and economic conditions within a city determine to some degree whether that city will have a high or low infant mortality rate. ${ }^{3}$ Hence, condemnation or praise of the healthfulness of a city on the basis of its infant mortality rate, or any other mortality rate, without taking the environmental conditions into account, is decidedly unfair. The purpose of this paper is to investigate another limitation of the infant mortality rate as an index of a city's health.

The American Child Health Association has published annually, since 1919, a Statistical Report on Infant Mortality. This report has included all the cities of over 10,000 population from which data were available, both within and without the birth registration area. Comparisons of the infant mortality rates from year to year for the several cities have shown that the rates for smaller cities were often very erratic; one year the rate might be extremely high and the next year extremely low. Cairo, Ill., is an example of this. In the years 1928 through 1932, rates of $98,153,56,127$, and 62 were quoted. Over the same period the number of births reported was $276,176,198$, 150, and 161. Where the number of births on which the rates are based is so small, one or two deaths (which may occur in any given year by chance) very materially affect the infant mortality rate. In Cairo, reporting only 161 births in 1932, one more death would have caused the rate to jump 6 points, from 62 to 68 . Some of the smaller cities report considerably less than 100 births; in such cases one additional death would change the rate from a fairly low to a relatively high rate. ${ }^{4}$ Certainly an annual index that can be made to fluctuate as much as 10 points or more by the death of one child is not a very reliable measure of a city's healthfulness. ${ }^{5}$ Too much

[^6]depends on the particular year that may be chosen to represent the city.

Contrasted with these extreme fluctuations in rate for a small city, the rates for Chicago during the same period were 64, 60, 54, 57 , and 49. The numbers of births were $59,017,58,799,58,083,52,993$, and 49,258 . An additional death in 1932 would have raised the rate for that year only 0.02 of a point, a difference so insignificant that it may be disregarded. The rates for large cities are, therefore, much more stable ${ }^{6}$ than the rates for small cities and, as such, may be considered as reflecting general characteristics of the cities. Such rates may serve as one index of the healthfulness of the cities, if they are interpreted in terms of the different social and economic conditions existing in the several cities.

In view of the extreme fluctuations in the rates for the small cities and the relatively stable character of rates for large cities, the question naturally arises, "How large must a city be to have an infant mortality rate of sufficient stability to be significant?" Since the rates are based not on the total population but on the number of live births, the question may be restated as, "What must be the size of the annual birth registration of a city in order to form an adequate base for a relatively stable infant mortality rate?" It is this problem of the critical number of births with which this paper deals.

The solution is based on the fundamental assumption that the health conditions of any given city are normally subject to very little variation from year to year, and that there are real distinctions between cities which tend to persist from one year to the next. If, for cities with small birth registration, the differences between the cities are not revealed in the infant mortality rates for successive years, then it is assumed that the rates for these cities are not sufficiently stable to be used as health indices. By classifying the cities according to the annual number of births and then determining the degree to which the rates for one year distinguish the cities in the same way as the rates for a succeeding year, we may form a judgment relative to the stability of the rates and the dependence of this stability upon the number of births occurring in the respective cities.

Following the above assumptions, the cities for which complete infant mortality data were reported to the American Child Health Association for the years 1926 through 1932 were classified according to the annual number of births. The cities were classified in accordance with a 4-year average of the number of births, 1926-29, inclusive. The number of cities in each classification is given in table 1.

[^7]Table 1.-Classification of cities by annual number of births occurring during the period 1926-29 ${ }^{1}$

| Annual number of births | Number of cities in each classification |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5,000 and over | 24 |
| 1,000 to 5,000. | 123 |
| 750 to 1,000.. | 63 |
| 500 to 750. | 82 |
| 250 to 500. | 213 |
| 200 to 250. | 45 |
| Less than 200 | 53 |
| Total | 603 |

${ }^{1}$ Only cities for which complete infant mortality rates for each of the 7 years, 1926-32, were available were included.

The degree to which the same distinctions between cities are made by the infant mortality rates for succeeding years is best revealed through the correlation of the rates of 1 year with those of the next. Such correlations for each classification of cities (table 1) have been determined for the years 1926 to 1932 (table 2).

Table 2.-Corrclations of the annual infant-mortalty rates for successive years ${ }^{1}$ (60\$ cities of over 10,000 population, classified according to the mean annual birth registration, 1926-29, inclusive)

| Annual birth registration | Correlation coefflcient of rates for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{1927}{1926 \text { with }}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1927 \text { with } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\underset{1929}{1928 \text { with }}$ | $1929 \text { with }$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1930 \text { with } \\ 1831 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\underset{1932}{1931 \text { with }}$ | Mean |
| 8,000 and over | . 77 | . 82 | . 81 |  | . 83 | . 75 | . 79 |
|  | . 72 | . 80 | . 77 | . 80 | . ${ }^{67}$ | . 73 | . 73 |
| 750 to 1,000 --------------1. | . 72 | . 77 | . 67 | . 79 | . 72 | . 73 | . 71 |
| 500 to 750... | . 63 | . 73 | . 74 | . 66 | . 78 | . 73 | . 71 |
| 250 to 500 | . 50 | . 51 | . 51 | . 54 | . 45 | . 39 | . 48 |
| Less than 250...----.--------- | . 45 | . 33 | . 29 | . 33 | . 28 | . 21 | . 31 |

${ }^{1}$ All data in this report are derived from material in Births, Stillbirths, and Infant Mortality Statistics for Birth Registration Area of the United States, 1925-29, published annually by the U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census; and from Statistical Report of Infant Mortality, 1926-32, published annually by the American Child Health Association, New York, N. Y.

It is apparent from table 2 that cities registering over 500 births have much more stable rates than cities with smaller birth registration. The average correlation for each group of these cities with large birth registration is above .70 . Among cities with less than 500 births the relationships are considerably lower, being .48 for cities in which there are $250-500$ births and .31 for cities in which there are less than 250 births. Certainly in these last two groups of cities the correlations are too small to justify the use of their annual infant mortality rates as indices of the healthfulness of the cities.

Although the relationship between the rates for successive years in the cities with $250-500$ births is low, it was thought that the division of this group into subgroups and the determination of the correlations
for the subgroups might reveal higher correlations among those groups with the larger birth registration. The correlations for the subgroups are presented in table 3.

Table 3.-Correlations of the infant mortality rates of cities for successive years (cities with a birth registration of 500 or less, classified according to mean birth registration)

| Annual birth registration | Number of cities | Correlation coefficient of rates for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1928 \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { 1927 } \end{aligned}$ | 1927 with 1928 | 1928 with 1929 | 1929 with 1930 | 1930 with 1931 | 1931 with 1932 | Mean r |
| 450 to 500. | 35 | . 70 | . 63 | . 72 | . 67 | . 62 | . 40 | . 62 |
| 400 to 450. | 36 | . 49 | . 64 | . 40 | . 61 | . 64 | . 46 | . 64 |
| 350 to 400. | 43 | . 48 | . 62 | . 57 | . 53 | - 48 | . 57 | . 52 |
| 300 to 350. | 49 | . 55 | . 62 | . 67 | . 54 | . 31 | . 27 | - 49 |
| 250 to 300. | 50 | . 37 | . 17 | . 29 | . 48 | . 33 | . 34 | . 33 |
| 200 to 250 | 45 | . 57 | . 28 | -43 | . 52 | . 56 | . 36 | - 45 |
| Less than 200 1.-................ | 53 | . 29 | . 38 | . 24 | . 19 | . 02 | . 15 | . 21 |

${ }^{1}$ Since there were only 53 cities in this group, no further subdivision was attempted.
The average correlation for the group with 450-500 births is not nearly as low as the average for the entire group. It is, however, much lower than .71, the relationship that exists in the 500-750 group. It would seem, therefore, that the annual infant mortality rates for cities that have an annual birth registration of less than 500 births fluctuate too much to be useful as an index of a city's healthfulness. With proper limitations the rates for cities that have from 450-500 births annually may be used, but with less than 450 births the annual rates are too erratic to have any real value or significance.

These conclusions were checked by comparing the actual variability of the rates for the cities within any classification group with the theoretical variability that would exist if the differences in the cities' rates were due only to chance factors of sampling. The theoretical variability in proportions due to random sampling errors is given by the formula $\sqrt{p q / n}$ where $p$ is the rate, $q$ is $(1-p)$, and $n$ is the base upon which the rate was computed. ${ }^{7}$ In computing the theoretical variability for the several groupings, the average rate for the 7-year period was used as the rate and the average registration for the group was used as the base. A comparable measure of the actual variability in the rates is the standard deviation computed for the cities in each group. (The average standard deviation for the 7 -year period was used.) The relative size of these two variabilities may be judged by their ratios (table 4).

[^8]Table 4.-Comparison of theoretical and actual variability in infant mortality rates for cities classified by average annual number of births

| Annual birth registration | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { infant } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \text { rate, } 1920-1 \\ 32(M)^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Theoretical variability due to sampling errors $\left(\sigma_{p}\right)^{2}$ | Actual variability of the rates $\left(\sigma_{\text {die }}\right)^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & \frac{\sigma_{p}}{\sigma_{\omega_{0}}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,000 and over. | 63.89 | 2.00 | 9. 10 | 0.22 |
| 1,000 to 5,000.. | 65. 19 | 4.51 | 15. 75 | . 29 |
| 750 to 1,000. | 67.21 | 8. 46 | 20.35 | . 42 |
| 500 to 750. | 65.47 | 9.89 | 19.90 | . 50 |
| 250 to 500 | 63.50 | 12.59 | 19. 25 | . 65 |
| Less than 250 | 67.66 | 20.51 | 25.56 | . 80 |
| 450 to 500. | 62.78 | 11. 13 | 18. 17 | 61 |
| 400 to 450. | 63.31 | 11.81 | 19.04 | . 62 |
| 350 to 400 | 65.34 | 12.76 | 19. 46 | . 66 |
| 300 to 350. | 62.95 | 13.47 | 19.63 | . 69 |
| 250 to 300 | 63.10 | 14. 66 | 18.90 | . 78 |
| 200 to 250 | 68.81 | 16. 88 | 24.67 | . 68 |
| Less than 200 | 66.69 | 20.37 | 25.86 | . 79 |

${ }^{1} \boldsymbol{M}=$ A varage infant mortality score for all cities in the group for all years included in the investigation
$2^{2} \sigma_{p}=\sqrt{\frac{M \times(1000-M)}{\text { Average number of births in each classification }}}$
$Z_{\text {Aio }}=\frac{1932 \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(\text { rates })^{2}}{N}-(M \text { rate })^{2}}}{7}$
The conclusions drawn from tables 2 and 3 are completely verified by these data. Among the cities with less than 500 births, the variability due to chance accounts for two-thirds or more of the actual difference between the rates, whereas in the other groups less than half of the variability may be ascribed to chance. Hence annual rates for these smaller cities do not reveal reliably the distinctions between cities.

The fact that annual rates for cities with less than 500 births are too fluctuating to be indicative of differences between the cities does not preclude the use of infant mortality data for these cities. There is no real reason, other than that of custom, why the time basis for computing these rates should be restricted to a year. The value of this time unit in the matter of convenience cannot be denied; but a period of 1 year is not of sufficient duration to produce stable rates for the small cities. Accordingly, the degree to which rates tend to become stable when based on 2 -year periods was investigated. The method already described was used and the correlations are presented in table 5.

Table 5.-Correlations of the biennial infant mortality rates for successive 2-year
periods (311 cities with annual birth registration of 500 or less)

| Annual birth registration | Correlation coefficients of rates for- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1928-27 \\ & \text { with } \\ & 1928-29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1927-28 } \\ & \text { with } \\ & 1029-30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1928-29 } \\ & \text { Fwith } \\ & 1930-31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1029-30 } \\ & \text { with } \\ & 1931-32 \end{aligned}$ | Mean $\boldsymbol{r}$ |
|  | .70 .88 .00 | .85 <br> .70 <br> .82 | .63 <br> .68 <br> .82 | .62 <br> .85 <br> .88 | .65 <br> .64 <br> .38 |

A comparison of the average correlations between annual rates (tables 2 and 3) and the average correlations between biennial rates for the same groups of cities (table 5) shows that the extension of the time period does increase the stability of the rates. The average correlation between the 2-year rates for cities with birth registrations of $250-500$ is raised from .48 to .65 , and for cities with birth registrations of 200 to 250 , the increase is from .45 to .64 . Although these correlations are not quite as high as those obtained between annual rates for cities with $500-750$ birth registrations, they may be used, if their tendency to fluctuate is recognized.

The increase in correlation from .21 to .33 for the cities with less than 200 registered births is not sufficient to justify attaching any significance to the rates for these cities. Therefore correlations of rates based on 3-year data for these cities were studied. The correlation between rates based on data for 1926, 1927, and 1928 for these cities and rates based on data for 1929, 1930, and 1931 was .40 , and the correlation between rates on 1927, 1928, and 1929 data and rates on 1930, 1931, and 1932 data was .30 . The average is only .35. Even rates based on 3-year data do not give sufficiently stable rates to reveal whatever distinctions there may be between these smaller cities. The use of 3 -year rates instead of 1 - or 2 -year rates increased the correlation to such a small degree, further combinations of data hardly seemed worth while. The infant mortality rates for these cities are therefore of doubtful significance.

## SUMMARY

Infant mortality rates for cities with small birth registrations fluctuate to such an extent from year to year that they are of little value as an index of the relative health conditions within the several cities. This paper reports an investigation of the number of births that must be registered in order that the infant mortality rate may be sufficiently stable to be indicative of the real differences in the healthfulness of cities.

As a basis for solution, it is assumed that differences between cities affecting the infant mortality rate tend to persist from year to year. Then, if the relative sizes of the infant mortality rates do not consistently distinguish the cities in the same way from one year to the next, the infant mortality rate is not useful as an index of the differences between the cities. The degree to which the differences in the infant mortality rates of cities tend to persist through successive years was investigated for cities of different numbers of registered births.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Annual infant mortality rates for cities with less than 450 registered births fluctuate too much from one year to the next to be of
any real significance as an index of conditions within a city. Annual rates for cities having 450-500 registered births may be used, but they, too, are somewhat unreliable.
2. Rates computed on the basis of 2-year data for cities with an annual registration of 200 to 450 births, though not as reliable as annual rates for the larger cities, are sufficiently stable to be used with proper recognition of their limitations.
3. Infant mortality rates for cities with an annual birth registration of less than 200 births fluctuate too much from year to year to be of any value as indices of the differences between the several cities.
4. A methodology is suggested which may be useful in the study of the reliability of other vital statistics rates that have a small number of cases as their base.

## CHOICE OF RAT POISON IN ANTIPLAGUE WORK ${ }^{1}$

Rat Poisons Used by the National Antiplague Services of Ecuador, Peru, Chile, and the Argentine Republic

By J. D. Loxa, Medical Director, United States Public Health Service, Traveling Representative, Pan American Sanitary Bureau

In 1929, when the intensification of the antiplague measures began in Guayaquil, Ecuador, it was decided that the prime requisite to be considered in the use of rat poison was that the poison should be slow in its action. This allows the animals to leave the houses in which the poison has been eaten and die outside or in their hiding places, taking their fleas with them, thus avoiding the production of human cases, so far as might be possible, by preventing the release of large numbers of presumably infected fleas within the houses or other inhabited premises within easy reach of the inhabitants.

With this end in view, commercial arsenic was chosen as the active ingredient of the poison. Commercial arsenic, 99 percent pure, in very fine powder and of a pure white color, which facilitates its mixing with other materials, such as corn meal, wheat flour, and barley flour, can be purchased at a very reasonable price when bought in large quantities.

Rats that have been poisoned with arsenic usually die from 24 to 72 hours after ingesting the poison. The majority die within 24 hours. Owing to the fact that rats are cannibals in their instincts and readily kill and eat each other, as soon as a rat feels sick he hides himself in as inaccessible place as he can find. Experience has shown that the place usually selected not only serves to conceal the sick rat from other rats, but is as well removed from contact with human

[^9]beings as the immediate local conditions will permit. He evidently seeks complete concealment and freedom from annoyances.

The experience of the last 6 years has demonstrated that arsenic is probably the most satisfactory of the various poisons, as it is cheap and is always well taken by the rats, if care is used to make the poison vehicle as attractive as possible. Experiences with other types of poisons have demonstrated them to be too rapid in their action, too expensive to use on a wholesale scale, lacking in keeping qualities, or are not well and consistently taken by the rats. ${ }^{1}$

The formulas of the poisons used are as follows:

1. Poison packets.-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Percent }
\end{aligned}
$$

> Grated cheese, ground dried fish, dried blood, finely ground dried meat, either beef or pork, or finely ground peanuts.-- 15

The ingredients are mixed in a large trough with a shovel until uniformly distributed and are then put up in small torpedo-shaped paper packets, each of which holds one teaspoonful of the poison mixture.

In the antiplague work done in Guayaquil in 1929-30, the first poisoning was done with barium carbonate mixed and put up as described; but as the barium was expensive, arsenic was substituted for it in the succeeding poisonings. Plague, both human and rodent, disappeared after seven successive poisoning operations in the city.

In 1935, the first rat poisoning in the city was done with the poison packets, and the rat indices were reduced from a maximum of 6.7 rats per 100 traps in daily service to 4.8 -a reduction of 28.3 percent in 1 month. The second poisoning, a partial one only, was also done with the packets and reduced the rat indices to 4.1 per 100 traps-a total reduction in 2 months of 38.8 percent.
2. Fish poison.-In order to obtain more rapid results through varying the poison, it was decided to try a poison made from the meat of fresh fish mixed with arsenic. I had seen this poison used on a small scale in the ports of Rosario and Santa Fe, Argentine Republic, by Dr. Albornoz, of the Argentine National Department of Hygiene, where good results were obtained. The formula is as follows:

The meat of the fish is passed through a meat grinder and finely ground. The arsenic is then mixed with it and the whole mass is thoroughly kneaded (rubber gloves being worn) until the mixture is complete and the arsenic is thoroughly distributed. If the fish is not too oily, the poison product will be a thick paste which may be

[^10]spread on bread, pieces of banana leaf, pieces of paper, or on shavings, and then placed in or near rat holes or rat runs. If the fish is very oily, ground dried salted fish may be mixed with it to make a thick paste, or cheap barley flour or other flour may be used until the final mass is about the consistency of thin dough.

The fish poison is very attractive to rats; they eat it, in the first two or three poisonings, in great quantities. Later, it is, at times, desirable to vary the composition by adding fresh blood (obtained from the city slaughterhouse) that has been boiled down in a large vessel to the consistency of a thick jelly. The blood may be added in varying quantities, depending upon the resulting consistency.
3. Fresh blood poison.-
Percent
Fresh blood obtained from the slaughterhouse and boiled down to a jellylike consistency ..... 60
Barley flour or meal, wheat flour, finely ground corn meal, or ground salt fish, to give consistency ..... 25
Commercial arsenic ..... 15

This poison may also be spread on bread, banana leaf, paper or wood shavings, as described for the fish poison.

This poison is also very attractive to rats and makes a good variant for use after several poisonings with other types of poison.

For the first two poisonings of an infected city either one of the poisons described here may be used, and very good results will be obtained, provided that the poison has been generously used and has been carefully placed and well distributed. Subsequent poisonings should be done with some of the other poisons described, or with similar poisons, the main object being to have a variety of poisons, which are changed from time to time, so that the rats will not become accustomed to any one type of poison and refuse to eat it.

In the antiplague work in Peru over 350 tons of poison packets (over $100,000,000$ poisoned baits) have been used since the work began in 1930. Plague has been reduced in about 90 percent of the cases and the number of infected foci has been reduced in about 95 percent. Fish poison is now used.

Since August 27, 1935, in Guayaquil, Ecuador, 17,691 pounds of poison packets have been used, and over 40,000 pounds of fish poison. Owing to the scarcity of fish at times, some fresh blood was mixed with the fish poison so as to make the amount of fish available go further. Poison made of fresh blood has been used principally in the towns of Duran and Daule, where it has given good results.

Taking into consideration the enormous amounts of poison used, surprisingly few accidents have occurred. The use of the poison packets has caused the death of chickens, some domestic animals, such as cats and dogs, and occasionally a burro, where the poison had been gathered up by the householder and thrown out where the burros
could have access to it. One child was poisoned. Using a piece of wire, this child fished the packets out of the holes and rat burrows and eat them, in spite of the fact that warning had been given that poisoning was to be done. Ten packets in all were eaten. The dead child's brother, who had accompanied him and had eaten six packets, did not die. The amount of arsenic in a single packet is just about sufficient to kill an animal the size and weight of a rat. It takes from four to six packets to kill a dog of medium size.

The poison made of fish and fresh blood that has been boiled down does not seem to be so attractive to animals as the poison packets. There has been no complaint of domestic animals being killed, and no accidents to human beings have occurred. The people generally prefer the poisons made of fish and fresh blood to the poison packets, as experience has shown them that there is less danger to animals, and they are not so fearful of the safety of their children.

Ratproofing has been used so far as possible, especially in the cities; but in the rural districts, where the large majority of the houses are built of adobe, bamboo, and mud wattle (or worse), ratproofing has been out of the question.

Data are not available as to the amounts of poison used in Chile and the Argentine, but the amounts are very large and the results have been good.

Editorial Note.-The exclusive use of poison for the destruction of rats in the control of plague is a more or less temporary expedient unless continually repeated; as an urban antiplague measure, it presents a method of control which is quickly applicable and which should be used pending the realization of ratproofing and other antiplague measures of more permanent value. It is expedient to utilize repeated poisoning in impoverished communities where the more costly and permanent antiplague measures cannot be employed for economic reasons, and also in combating rural plague in sparsely settled regions or in maintaining rodentfree rural zones cirumscribing and localizing a focus of plague infection. Detailed descriptions of the preparation of poisoned baits for use in rat poisoning may be found in the Public Health Reports for September 12, 1930, pages 2166-2169, and Public Health Bulletin No. 213, pages 63-68.

## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED APRIL 11, 1936

[From the Weekly Health Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce]

|  | Week ended Apr. 11, 1936 | Corresponding week, 1935 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data from 86 large cities of the United States: |  |  |
| Total deaths --..- | 9,206 | 8,448 |
| Deaths per 1,000 population, annual basis | 129 | 11.8 |
|  | 586 | 580 |
| Deaths under 1 year of age per 1,000 estimated live births ---.-.-......... | 53 | 53 |
| Deaths per 1,000 population, annual basis, first 15 weeks of year---.-.-.- | 13.7 | 12.7 |
| Policies in force .-.-................... | 68, 350, 305 | 67, 734, 319 |
| Number of death claims | 12,909 | 13, 248 |
| Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate | 9.9 | 10.2 |
| Death claims per 1,000 policies, first 15 weeks of year, annual rat | 10.9 | 10.8 |

## PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

## UNITED STATES

## CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

## Reports for Weeks Ended Apr. 18, 1936, and Apr. 20, 1935

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended Apr. 18, 1935, and Apr. 20, 1935

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

See footnotes at end of table.

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended Apr. 18, 1936, and Apr. 20, 1935-Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended Apr. 18, 1936, and Apr. 20, 1935-Continued

| Division and State | Poliomyelitis |  | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  | Typhoid fever |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Week ended Apr. 18, 1936 |  | Week ended Apr. 18 1936 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Week } \\ \text { ended } \\ \text { Apr. } 20_{0} \\ 1935 \end{gathered}$ |
| West South Central States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louislana-.-.-.-.-...-. | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 0 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Texas ${ }^{4}-\ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 59 | 50 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 6 |
| Mountain States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana ${ }^{\text {2 }}$--- | 0 | 0 | 76 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Idaho---- | 0 | 0 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 54 | 21 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Colorado. | 0 | 0 | 94 | 215 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Mexico | 0 | 1 | 88 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Arizona | 0 | 0 | 16 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Utah ${ }^{2}$--. | 0 | 0 | 64 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Pacific States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington. | 0 | 0 | 80 56 | 48 58 | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 15 2 | 1 | 1 |
| California | 4 | 2 | 263 | 205 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 6 |
| Total | 11 | 8 | 7,546 | 7,193 | 204 | 150 | 106 | 163 |
| First 16 weeks of year-..---- | 295 | 386 | 124, 257 | 115, 048 | 3,663 | 3,068 | 1,767 | 2,103 |

${ }_{1}^{1}$ New York City only.
: Week ended earlier than Saturday.
${ }^{2}$ Rocky Mountain spotled fever, week ended Apr. 18, 1936, 2 cases, as follows: North Carolina, 1; Montana, 1.
${ }^{4}$ Typhus fever, week ended Apr. 18, 1936, 4 cases, as follows: Georgia, 1; Alabama, 1; Texas, 2.

- Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.


## SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of cases reported monthly by States is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week;


| March 1988-Continued |  | March 1936-Continued |  | March 1986-Continued |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dysentery-Contd. | Cases | Ophthalmia neonatorum: | Cases | Trachoma-Contd. | Cases |
| New Jersey. |  | Maryland |  | New Jersey. |  |
| Ohio (amoebic) |  | New Jersey | 8 | Ohio.... | - 2 |
| Pennsylvania (bacil- |  | Ohio. | 77 | Pennsylvani |  |
| ary) --.-.....-.-...- | 1 | Pennsylvania | 6 | Trichinosis: |  |
| South Carolina------- | 1 | South Carolina | 9 | Michigan | 32 |
| Tennessee (amoebic) -- | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | Tennessee-....- | 1 | Pennsylvania | 1 |
| Tennessee (unspecified) | 2 | Paratyphoid fever: |  | Tennessee | - 1 |
| Epidemic encephalitis: |  | New Jersey | 2 | Tularaemia: <br> Maryland |  |
| Minnesota- | 1 | Ohio- | 2 | Maryland | - 1 |
| New Jersey | 4 | South Carolina-- | 1 | Michigan--- | - 1 |
| Pennsylvania |  | Puerperal septicemia: |  | South Carolina | - ${ }^{3}$ |
| South Carolina |  | Ohio <br> Tennessee | 1 | Tennessee | 1 |
| Tennessee... orman measles: |  | Rabies in anima | 2 | Typhus fever. Florida. | 2 |
| Maryland..- | 233 | Maryland. | 4 | Pennsylvania | - 1 |
| Michigan | 715 | Michigan | 1 | South Carolina. | - 3 |
| New Jersey | 769 | New Jersey | 49 | Undulant fever: |  |
| Ohio-. | 188 | Weuth Virgini | 1 | Maryland | 2 |
| Pennsylvania <br> South Carolin | 2, 406 | Rabies in man: |  | Michigan | 11 |
| Tennessee. | 13 | Pennsylvania | 1 | Minnesota | 2 |
| Hookworm disease: |  | Rocky Mountain spotted |  | New Jersey | 8 |
| South Carolina. | 32 |  | 1 | Ohio | - 4 |
| Impetigo contagiosa: |  | Scabies: |  | Pennessee. | 1 |
| Maryland | 11 | Maryland | 2 | Vincent's infection: | 1 |
| Michigan- | 5 | Michigan. | 4 | Maryland. | - 9 |
| Tennessee. | 3 | Tennessee | 8 | Michigan. | 31 |
| Lead poisoning: |  | Septic sore throat: |  | South Carolina | 3 |
| Michigan. | 4 | Maryland | 21 | Tennessee. | 42 |
| Ohio... | 4 | Michigan | 88 | Whooping coug |  |
| Mumps: |  | Minnesota | 4 | Florida | 46 |
| Florida. | 293 | Ohio. | 188 | Maryland | 188 |
| Maryland | 592 | Tenne | 3 | Michigan | 1,556 |
| Michigan | 1,805 | Tetanus: |  | Minnesota | 108 |
| New Jersey | 1,591 | Maryland. | 2 | New Jersey | 577 |
|  | 1,758 | Ohio. | 3 | Ohio. | 857 |
| Pennsylvania | 3, 931 | Tennessse | 2 | Pennsylvania | 1,218 |
| South Carolina | 201 | Trachoma: |  | South Carolina | 68 |
| Tennessee- | 470 | Michigan | 1 | Tennessee | 31 |
| West Virginia | 351 | Minnesota | 1 | West Virginia | 60 |

## CASES OF VENEREAL DISEASES REPORTED FOR FEBRUARY 1936

These reports are published monthly for the information of health officers in order to furnish current data as to the prevalence of the venereal diseases. The figures are taken from reports received from State and city health officers. They are preliminary and are therefore subject to correction. It is hoped that the publication of these reports will stimulate more complete reporting of these diseases.

Reports from States


See footnotes at end of table.

## Reports from States-Continued


Reports from cities of 200,000 population or over


[^11]
## WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

City reports for week ended Apr. 11, 1936
This table summarizes the reports received weekly from a selected list of 140 cities for the purpose of show ing a cross-section of the current urban incidence of the communicable diseases listed in the table. Weekly reports are received from about 700 cities, from which the data are tabulated and filed for reference.

| State and city | Diphtheria cases | Influenza |  | Measles cases | Pneumonia deaths | Scarlet fever cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Small- } \\ & \text { pox } \\ & \text { cases } \end{aligned}$ | Tuberculosis deaths | Typhoid cases |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cases | Deaths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portland | 0 | ----- | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| New Hampshire: Concord | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Manchester-..- | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Vermont: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burlington--- | 0 |  | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Rutland ----- | 0 |  | 0 | 144 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Massachusetts: <br> Boston. | 2 |  | 0 | 439 | 4 | 80 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | 207 |
| Fall River.- | 1 | - | 0 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Springfield....- | 0 |  | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 42 |
| W orcester--...- | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 55 |
| Rhode Island: Pswtucket | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Providence...-- | 1 |  | 0 | 13 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 68 |
| Connecticut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridgeport---- | 2 |  | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Hartford.-...-- | 0 | 1 | 0 3 | 0 | 8 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 43 84 |
| New York: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffalo.......- | 0 |  | 0 | 41 | 8 | 70 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 164 |
| New York...-- | 40 | 14 | 4 | 1,893 | 148 | 464 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 85 | 1,659 |
| Rochester-....- | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Syracuse------- | 0 |  | 0 | 54 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6. |
| New Jersey: <br> Camden | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Newark.-.-....- | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 169 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 78 |
| Trenton.......- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 38 |
| Pennsylvania: Philadelphia |  | 14 | 15 | 440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pittsburgh...-- | 6 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 29 | 96 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 164 |
| Reading-....-. | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| Scranton.-.---- | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ohio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati....- | 3 |  | 1 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 133 |
| Cleveland.....- | 4 | 62 | 6 | 54 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 71 | 221 |
| Columbus....- | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 104 |
| Toledo-.-.----- | 0 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 50 |
| Indiana: <br> Anderson | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Wayne-..- | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Indianapolis...- | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 18 | 47 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 107 |
| Muncie.......- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| South Bend.--- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| Terre Haute.-- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| nlinois: <br> Alton |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 8 |
| Chicago.......... | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 88 | 231 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 172 | 847 |
| Elgin.-........- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Moline | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Springfield...-- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Michigan: <br> Detroit | 5 | 14 | 7 | 29 | 40 | 125 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Flint.......-.--- | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 314 36 |
| Grand Rapids. | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 34 |
| Wisconsin: <br> Kenosha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Madison.-.------ | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| Milwaukee...-- | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 81 |
| Racine....-.-.-. | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08 | ${ }_{13} 1$ |
| Superior-.----- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Minnesota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duluth .------- | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 18 |
| Minneapolis.-- | 0 |  | 2 | 102 | 4 | 123 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 95 |
| St. Paul......--\| | 1 |  | 0 | 83 | 7 | 42 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 68 |

City reports for week ended Apr. 11, 1936

| State and city | Diphtheria cases | Influenza |  | Measles cases | Pneumonia deaths | Scarlet fever cases | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small- } \\ \text { pox } \end{gathered}$cases | Tuberculosis deaths | Typhoid fever cases | Whooping cough cases | Deaths, all causes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cases | Deaths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cedar Rapids-- | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | ---..... |
| Des Moines-..-- | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 12 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Sioux City.....- | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 15 | 14 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Waterloo...-.-- | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Missouri: <br> Kansas City | 4 |  | 3 | 1 | 16 | 79 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 137 |
| St. Joseph...-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 137 |
| St. Louls | 4 |  | 9 | 2 | 32 | 58 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 7 | 297 |
|  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Grand Forks.-- | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Minot-.-.----- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| South Dakota: <br> Aberdeen. | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sioux Falls...--- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Nebraska: <br> Omaha | 1 |  | 1 | 10 | 12 | 85 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Kansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lawrence | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Wichita. | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 10 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $3^{-}$ | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumberland.-- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| Frederick | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norfolk.-. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Richmond.-.-- | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Roanoke-.-.--- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| West Virginia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Huntington.-.-- | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Wheeling-------- 0 2 11 2 1 0 1 2 3 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wilmington---- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Winston-Salem | 0 |  | 0 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston.----- | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Florence-.-..--- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Greenville...--- | 1 |  | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Georgia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brunswick | 0 |  | 0 | - 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tampa.----------- | 0 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covington--.-- | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | ${ }_{24}^{18}$ |
| Lexington.---- | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Tennessee: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memphis-..-- | 1 |  | 7 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham--------- | 1 | 21 | 3 1 | 0 | 10 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Montgomery-- |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

City reports for week ended Apr. 11, 1958

| State and city | Diphtheria cases | Influenra |  | Measles cases | Pneumonia death | Scarlet fever cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Small- } \\ & \text { pox } \\ & \text { cases } \end{aligned}$ | Tuberculosis deaths | Typhoid fevercases | Whooping cough cases | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cases | Deaths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Charles.- | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| New Orieans..- | 1 | 46 | 18 0 | - 25 | 19 9 | 9 3 | 0 | 10 1 | 2 0 | 20 | 181 37 |
| Oklahoma: <br> Oklahoma <br> City | 1 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Tulsa...--.-.-.-- | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas..--.-.-- | 4 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 83 |
| Fort Worth..-- | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| Gaiveston-...- | 5 |  | 0 3 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 13 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{7}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 1 | 0 | 16 75 |
| San Antonio.-- | 3 |  | 5 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| Montana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billings....-..-- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Great Falls...-- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| Helena-...----- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Missocila | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Idaho: <br> Boise | 0 |  | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Colorado: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado Springs | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Denver........- | 2 |  | 1 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 81 |
| Puehlo-.........- | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| New Mexico: <br> Albuquerque | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Utah: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Lake City- | 0 |  | 3 | 7 | 6 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Nevada: <br> Reno |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seattle........-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spokane....--- | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 5 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 |
| Oregon: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portland .....- | 0 |  | 1 | 61 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 91 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacramento...-- | 4 | 19 | 2 | 538 4 | 20 1 | 62 8 | 0 | 24 | 0 1 | 21 7 | 835 19 |
| San Francisco-- | 0 | 0 | 3 | 357 | 9 | 85 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 197 |

City reports for week ended Apr. 11, 1936-Continued

| State and city | Meningococcus meningitis |  | Polio-myelitis cases | State and city | Meningococcus meningitis |  | Poliomyo litis cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases | Deaths |  |  | Cases | Deaths |  |
| Massachusetts: |  |  |  | West Virginia: |  |  |  |
| Boston.- | 5 |  | 0 | Huntington.-...... | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Springfield.- | 0 | 1 | 0 | North Carolina: |  |  |  |
| Rhode Island: Providence... | 2 | 0 | 0 | Wilmington.------ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| New York: | 2 | 0 | 0 | South Carolina: |  |  |  |
| New York. | 15 | 4 | 0 | Charleston.---.--- | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey: |  |  |  | Georgia: |  |  |  |
| Newark | 2 | 3 | 0 | Atlanta.--.-.-....- | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania: |  | 0 | 1 | Florida: |  |  |  |
| Ohio: | 3 | 0 | 1 | Tampa------------- | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Cincinnati. | 11 | 5 | 0 | Kentucky: |  |  |  |
| Columbus. | 1 | 1 | 0 | Lexington.-.-.-.-...- | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana: |  |  |  | Tennessce: |  |  |  |
| Indianspolis. | 0 | 1 | 0 | Knoxville | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois: <br> Chicago |  |  | 0 | Alabama: |  |  |  |
| Springfield | 8 | 1 | 0 | Birmingham.-.--- | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Michigan: |  |  |  | Louisiana: |  |  |  |
| Detroit. | 4 | 0 | 1 | New Orleans. | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Minnesota: |  |  |  | Shroveport.-....-.-. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Minneapolis..-- | 0 | 1 | 0 | Oklahoma: |  |  |  |
| Missouri: Kansas City | 1 | 0 | 0 | Oklahoma City | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Nebraska: |  |  | 0 | Texas: |  |  |  |
| Omaha... | 1 | 1 | 0 | Dallas.-.------.----- | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Maryland: |  |  |  | Galveston...-.-.----- | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Baltimore | 18 | 5 | 0 | Houston. | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| District of Columbia: Washington..... | 3 | 2 | 0 | New Mexico: <br> Albuquerque | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia: |  |  |  | California: |  |  |  |
| Lynchburg | 0 2 | 1 | 0 | Los Angeles.......--- | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Richmond..... | 1 | 1 | 0 | San Francisco.. | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Pellagra.-Cases: Charleston, S. C., 1; Savannah, 1; Miami, 1; Dallas, 3.
Rabies-in-man.-Deaths: Memphis, 1.
Typhus fever.-Deaths: New York, 1.

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR

## CANADA

Provinces-Communicable diseases-2 weeks ended April 4, 1996.During the 2 weeks ended April 4, 1936, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported by the Department of Pensions and National Health of Canada as follows:

| Disease | Prince Edward Island | Nova Scotia | New Brunswick | Que bec | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Onta- } \\ \text { rio } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Manitoba | Sas-katchewan | Al- berta | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { British } \\ \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bia } \end{array}\right\|$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis. |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Chicken pox............ |  | 27 |  | 147 | 408 | 32 | 37 | 19 | 79 | 751 |
| Diphtheria.............- |  | 9 | 8 |  | 10 | 4 | 10 | 5 |  | 72 |
| Erysipelas. |  |  |  | 13 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 44 |
| Influenza |  | 29 |  |  | 106 | 2 | 18 |  | 207 | 362 |
| Measles. |  | 53 | 12 | 2,916 | 6, 571 | 944 | 1,415 | 157 | 2,003 | 14, 071 |
| Mumps. |  | 13 |  |  | 1,019 | 75 | 152 | 38 | 367 | 1, 664 |
| Pneumonia- |  | 21 |  |  | 37 |  | 12 |  | 20 | 90 |
| Scarlet fever. |  | 25 | 4 | 184 | 521 | 85 | 64 | 85 | 44 | 1,012 |
| Smallpox----.-...-.-.- |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 |  | 7 |
| Trachoma------.-....- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 7 |
| Tuberculosis..........- | 3 2 | 8 2 | 13 | 145 | 79 | 14 | 7 | 12 | 47 | 818 |
| Undulant fever |  | 2 | 1 | 51 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 85 |
| Whooping cough |  | 47 | 23 | 188 | 341 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 40 | 671 |

## CUBA

Habana-Communicable diseases-4 weeks ended April 11, 1936.During the 4 weeks ended April 11, 1936, certain communicable diseases were reported in Habana, Cuba, as follows:

${ }^{1}$ Includes imported cases.
Provinces-Notifiable diseases-4 weeks ended April 4, 1936.During the 4 weeks ended April 4, 1936, cases of certain notifiable diseases were reported in the provinces of Cuba as follows:

| Disease | Pinar del Rio | Habana | $\begin{gathered} \text { Matan- } \\ \text { zas } \end{gathered}$ | Santa Clara | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cama- } \\ & \text { guey } \end{aligned}$ | Oriente | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cancer. |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Chicken pox |  | 49 | 3 | 6 |  | 32 | 90 |
| Diphtheria |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 5 |
| Leprosy... | 67 | 19 | 14 | 79 | 179 | 974 | 1,332 |
| Measles. |  | 1 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 32 |
| Poliomyelitis.. |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 5 |
| Tuberculosis. | 12 | 13 | 12 | ¢2 | 16 | 45 | 130 |
| Typhoid fever...- | 11 | 48 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 25 | 105 |

## CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Communicable diseases-January 1936.-During the month of January 1936 certain communicable diseases were reported in Czechoslovakia as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Deaths | Disease | Cases | Deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax - | 5 |  | Paratyphoid fever | 3 | 1 |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis. | 6 | 4 | Poliomyelitis...... | 17 | 2 |
| Chicken pox-.-....-.....- | 379 |  | Puerperal fever. | 35 | 16 |
| Diphtheria. | 2,767 | 167 | Scarlet fever | 2, 872 | 54 |
| Dysentery. | 206 | 2 | Trachoma-.... | 89 433 |  |
| Infuenza | 206 3 | 22 | Typhus fever- | 433 14 | 32 |
| Lethargic encephalitis Malaria | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 3 | Typhus fever. | 14 |  |

## IRISH FREE STATE

Vital statistics-Fourth quarter, ended December 31, 1935.-The following statistics for the Irish Free State for the quarter ended December 31, 1935, are taken from the Quarterly Return of Marriages, Births, and Deaths, issued by the Registrar General, and are provisional:

|  | Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rates per } \\ & \text { 1,000 popu- } \\ & \text { lation } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population. | 3,033, 000 |  |
| Marriages..--- | 3,245 | 4.3 |
| Births.---.- | 13,948 10,384 | 18.4 |
| Total deaths | 10,384 873 | (1) 13.7 |
| Deaths under 1 year of age | 873 |  |
| Deaths from: |  |  |
| Cancer..---.-...-.-.-.-.-.-.-.- |  | 1.14 |
| Diarrhea and enteritis (under 2 years) | 155 |  |
| Diphtheria---.-- | 1 |  |
| Dysentery...-. | 142 | . 20 |
| Measles. | ${ }_{23} 27$ |  |
| Puerperal sepsis. | 40 | ${ }^{2} 1.65$ |
| Scarlet fever--7.-...-- | 814 | 1.07 |
| Typhoid fever......-- | 18 |  |
| Typhus fever-- | 17 | --.-.-..... |
| Whooping cough.. | 17 |  |

[^12]
## VIRGIN ISLANDS

Notifiable diseases-January-March 1936.-During the months of January, February, and March 1936 cases of certain notifiable diseases were reported in the Virgin Islands as follows:

| Disease | $\underset{\text { ary }}{\text { Janu- }}$ | February | March | Disease | January | February | March |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chicken pox. |  |  | 3 | Pellagra. | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Dengue-- |  | 8 | 3 | Syphilis. | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Filariasis.-- | 10 | 4 5 | 5 | Tetanus ----- | - | 2 | 1 |
| Hookworm diseas | 4 |  | 7 | Typhoid fever | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Malaria |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

## CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER


#### Abstract

Note.-A table giving current information of the world prevalence of quarantinable diseases appeared in the Public Healte Reports for April 24, 1936, pages 522-534. A similar cumulative table will appear in the Public Healte Reports to be issued May 29, 1936, and thereafter, at least for the time being, in the issue published on the last Friday of each month.


## Cholera

India. - During the week ended April 11, 1936, cholera was reported in India as follows: Cholera was present in Punjab and 4 cases of cholera were reported in Rangoon.

## Plague

Hawaii Territory-Hawaii Island-Hamakua District-Pohakea sector.-A rat found April 10, 1936, in Pohakea sector, Hamakua District, Hawaii Island, Hawaii Territory, has been proved plague infected.

Peru-Callao. - During the month of March 1936, 4 cases of plague with 1 death were reported at Callao, Peru. Plague rats were confirmed for Callao on March 10, 18, 19, 21, 22, and 23, 1936.

## Smallpox

India-Sind State.-During the week ended April 11, 1936, 21 cases of smallpox were reported in Sind State, India.

## Yellow Fever

Brazil.-Yellow fever has been reported in Brazil as follows: Matto Grosso State, Aquidahuana, 1 case, 1 death, March 1, 1936; Minas Geraes State, Passos, 1 case, 1 death, March 3, 1936; Santa Cruz Areias, 1 case, 1 death, February 27, 1936; Uberaba, 3 cases, 3 deaths, March 11-18, 1936; Parana State, Jaguariahyva, 2 cases, 2 deaths, March 17-19, 1936; Sao Paulo State, Aracatuba, 1 case, 1 death, March 9, 1936; Araraquara, 2 cases, 2 deaths, March 15-16, 1936.

Gold Coast-Koforidua.-On April 15, 1936, 1 case of yellow fever was reported at Koforidua, Gold Coast.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the Office of Statistical Investigations, U. S. Public Health Service.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Infuenza and Pneumonia Mortality in a Group of About 95 Cities in the United Statos During Four Minor Epidemics, 1930-35, With a Summary for 1920-s6. By Selwyn D. Collins and Mary Cover. Publio Health Reports, Nov. 29, 1935 (Reprint No. 1720).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See tables 3 and 4 for names of States included for each disease. The Distrist of Columbia is counted as a State.

[^3]:    1 All causes includes 25 States; maternal mortality 24 States. States not having data for all 5 years are not included in the totals.
    ${ }^{2}$ Infant mortality includes 24 States; all except malformations and early infancy, 18 States.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Typhoid fever includes 26 States; diarrhea and enteritis, 25 States.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diabetes mellitus includes 26 States; cerebral hemorrhage, apoplexy, 22 States.
    ${ }^{2}$ Heart disease includes 24 States; nephritis, 25 States.
    ${ }^{2}$ Heart diseases in data for industrial policyholders exclude pericarditis, acute endocarditis, acute myocarditis, and angina pectoris; nephritis data for industrial policyhulders include only chronic nephritis.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the Office of Child Hygiene Investigations, U. S. Public Health Service.
    ${ }^{2}$ Local government board. Report on infant and child mortality, by the medical officer of the board, Arthur Newsholme, M. D., 1910, pp. 74-83.
    ${ }^{2}$ Improving the value of the infant mortality rate as an index of public health effort. Dorothy E. Holland, Ph. D., staff asscciate, and George T. Palmer, Dr. P. H., director, Division of Research, American Child Health Association. Am. Jour. Dis. of Children (Chicago) 38: 1237-49 (December 1928).

    4 Winnetka, II., reported 2 births and 1 death in 1930 and 1931, making a rate of 500 . Such a rate is, of course, absurd.

    - Naturally the degree of fluctuation for a particular city is relative to the variability of the rates for the several cities. In this case the standard deviation of the rates for all the cities is about 20 points.

[^7]:    6 Throughout this paper "stable" and "stability" are used in the sense of distinguishing cities in the same way from year to year irrespective of the persistent downward trend of infant mortality.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The limitations in the use of this formula with vital statistics data are recognized. The method is merely used here as a check on the previous conclusions.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ See editorial note at end of article.

[^10]:    See editorial at end of article.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ No report for current month.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not reporting.
    ${ }^{3}$ Incomplete.

    - Only cases of syphilis in the infectious stage are reported.
    ${ }^{6}$ Reported by the Jefferson Davis Hospital; physicians are not required to report venereal disease.
    ${ }^{6}$ Reported by the Social Hygiene Clinic.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births, 63 .
    ${ }_{2}$ Per 1,000 births.

