# PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS 

## RATES OF PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENTS IN 28 OCCUPATIONS, BASED ON 17,294 MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS ${ }^{1}$

By Rollo H. Britten, Senior Statistician, and Jennie C. Goddard, Junior Statistician, Office of Industrial Hygiene and Sanitation, United States Public Health Service

In a recent paper analyzing certain phases of a tabulation of health examinations, ${ }^{2}$ it was brought out that broad occupational groups of white, native-born, male life insurance policyholders showed marked differences in the rates of physical impairments. Of all the groups (agricultural, business, professional, and skilled trade), the one which, in general, presented the highest rates was "skilled trade." Such a finding made it desirable to study the rate of impairment in the specific occupations making up this group, and that is the purpose of the investigation reported in this paper. In order to make the comparisons as comprehensive in application as possible, there have been included a number of specific occupations which would not strictly fall within the definition of "skilled trade." Thus the study is based upon 28 occupations (with a total of 17,294 persons), instead of the 19 occupations used in the previous analysis.

In view of the fact that the general conditions of the investigation and the possible factors of selection involved were described in detail in the first paper in this series, ${ }^{3}$ it is necessary at this point only to say that the data were taken from records of examinations which had been given to policyholders as a part of the welfare service of certain life-insurance companies, and were made by physicians cooperating with the Life Extension Institute. Such examinations are not to be confused with those made of applicants for insurance. All the persons included in the study had previously taken out life insurance. This is a factor of importance in considering the representativeness of the

[^0]individuals included in the various occupations, because it is quite apparent that individuals who have purchased life insurance and have also taken the trouble to obtain a health examination are not typical of the general industrial worker. This fact is especially true of certain of the occupations which are made up largely of persons on the lower economic planes.

Women, foreign-born, and colored persons have been excluded from the study. The number of women in specific occupations was not sufficiently large to permit a determination of rates of physical impairment. It is not probable that the foreign-born or colored individuals who would be included in records of examinations of this character would be sufficiently representative to be used.

The examinations are of the periodic type, but for this analysis first examinations only have been considered.

In the paper dealing with broad occupational classes, it was found that the "skilled trade" group showed unusually high impairment rates for the following conditions: Defective vision, uncorrected; defective hearing; carious teeth; slightly infected gums; pyorrhea, definite; insufficient dentistry; frequent colds; bronchitis; organic valvular lesions of the heart; enlarged heart; arterial thickening; constipation; backache; insomnia; use of patent medicines; habitual use of laxatives; varicose veins; albumin in urine; and a tendency to rank high for a number of other conditions, such as sugar, pus, blood, and casts in the urine. The only condition in the "skilled trade" group showing a rate greatly below that of the other groups was defective vision, corrected, and this low rate means merely that a larger proportion of persons in the "skilled trade" group lets defects of vision go uncorrected.

The purpose of the present paper will be to determine in so far as possible whether specific occupational factors account for these higher rates.

The questions answered by the policyholder in his personal history were-"Occupation;": :"Particular kind of work;" "Previous occupation." The physicians who were making the examinations were not concerned with the matter of occupation or the making of records for purely statistical purposes. It is impracticable, therefore, to make a rigorous classification according to industry and occupation, and each of the groups used must be regarded as more or less indefinite in nature. At the same time reference to the 28 occupations for which there were sufficient numbers to permit analysis will show that a fairly specific classification has been possible.

As was stated in previous papers, for the purposes of this series of studies the examinations have been divided into two groups, namely, those made in the "head" offices of the Institute (principally in New York, but later also in Chicago and Boston), and those made in
the "field" (all other localities in the United States and some in Canada). Considerable difference in the rates for the same impairment has been revealed by comparing the results in these two groups, but for the purposes of the present study it has generally been advisable to consider only the "field" examinations, because of the small numbers in the other group.

Very little information is available as to the inherent differences in individuals following specific occupations. One fundamental factor, however, lies in the age distribution of persons in this study. Table 1 presents the average age of persons in each occupation in the "field" and "head" offices, as well as the number of persons examined.

Table 1.-Average age and number of workers in each occupation ${ }^{1}$

| Occupation | Average age in years |  | Number of persons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In field | At head office | In field | At head office |
| Total | 37.8 | 37.1 | 17, 294 | 3,293 |
| Blacksmiths | 43.6 | 45.8 | 172 | 17 |
| Domestic help. | 42.2 | 38.8 | 188 | 48 |
| Carpenters.- | 41.8 | 42.3 | 1,673 | 153 44 |
| Bricklayers. | 40.7 39.5 | 38.6 40.7 | ${ }_{6} 23$ | 147 |
| Firemen (stationary) | 39.4 | 40.5 | 617 | 70 |
| Tailors...-........... | 39.3 | 39.4 | 1,053 | 486 |
| Waiters and hotel servants | 39.1 | 36.6 | 282 | 112 |
| Butchers----.... | 38.8 | 38.5 | 564 | 132 |
| Firemen, police. | 38.7 | 38.6 | 440 | 117 |
| Barbers | 38.7 | 38.8 37 | 721 | 95 40 |
| Metal workers. | 38.6 38.6 | 38.4 38.0 | 347 <br> 332 | 42 |
| Foundry workers | 38.6 |  | 173 | 5 |
| Street-railway employees. | 38.5 | 35.9 | 287 | 20 |
| Woodworkers....-.-.... | 38.4 | 37.8 | 396 | 42 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters. | 38.0 | 33.8 | 829 | 148 |
|  | 37.2 |  | 288 | 3 |
| Shoo-factory operatives. | 37.1 | 36.2 | ${ }_{977}$ | 62 |
| Printers---1--.-.-.-.- | 36.9 36.7 | 36.3 37.7 | 9207 | 232 24 |
| Textile mill operators.-- | 36.4 | 36.6 | 3,070 | 265 |
| Telephone and telegraph operators | 36.4 | 34.9 | 410 | 42 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 36.1 | 35.2 | 611 | 104 |
| Garment operatives....- | 35.2 | 35.3 | 268 | 240 |
| Chaufieurs........... | 35.1 | 32.9 | 595 | 232 |
| Cutters (cloth) | 34.8 34.2 |  | 327 1,014 | 174 199 |
| Electricians...- | 34.2 | 32.8 | 1,014 | 199 |

120 to 59 years of age.
It will be noted that, in general, the average age does not differ widely, being from about 39 to 37 years for half of the occupations. However, a few groups show more marked differences. For instance, the average age of blacksmiths in the "field" data is 44 years and the average age of electricians 34 years. The effect which these distinctions in age have upon the impairment rates will be considered in the course of the paper.

It is difficult to interpret the differences in impairment rates for the various occupations, because the number of persons in each occupa-
tion varies greatly, ranging from 3,070 to 172 in the "field" data. It was also found that the rates of specified impairments varied widely in the different occupations, from about 40 per cent to about 1 per cent. Accordingly, a criterion was required in order to eliminate rates where the chance fluctuation was too great. To do this it was necessary to have an objective, arbitrary limit, independent of the opinion as to whether the rate in question was relatively high or low in comparison with other rates for the same impairment. Such a criterion could not be based entirely on the number of persons in the occupation, since even the occupations with relatively few could be used for the very common impairments; nor on number of cases of a particular condition, since the smaller the rate the fewer the cases required to establish significance. By reference to the actual probabilities involved, the following method was developed: If the number of individuals in a given occupation was too small to yield, at the median rate for all occupational groups, $50 \sqrt{p q}$ cases, that occupation was omitted for that particular impairment. ${ }^{4}$

Although some of the individuals classed in the various occupations are more than 60 years of age, it was felt that a more precise indication of the rate of impairment among persons actually employed in industrial work would be obtained by limiting the study to individuals between 20 and 60 years of age, and this has been done throughout the discussion.

The basic data on which the analysis rests are given in Table 2. The data are limited to the "field." In the appendix will be found tables showing the number of cases for both "head" and "field."

[^1]Table 2.-Impairment rates by cause in each specific occupation, after application of criterion

Table 2.-Impairment rates by cause in each specific occupation, after application of criterion-Continued
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In order to give a more precise expression of the differences in the rates of impairments in these occupations as a whole, compared with the population generally, the rank of the "business" group, in comparison with the 28 occupations, has been determined for each impairment. The rates for the "business" group, which is a very large one, are not particularly high or low, and so may be taken as typical of the examinations in general. It should be pointed out that the average age of workers in the trades represented in this study is about the same as that of persons in the "business" group.

The rank was determined prior to applying the criterion just discussed, in order to avoid having an unequal number of items in the different arrays. Table 3 gives also the "business" rate and the average occupational rate (median after applying criterion), with the ratio of the median rate to the "business" rate.

Table 3.-Rank of "business" in comparison with the 28 occupations ${ }^{1}$ for "field" data

| Nature of impairment, disease, or symptom | Rank ${ }^{1}$ | Ratio of occupational to business rate (business $=100$ ) | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Business | Occupational ${ }^{2}$ |
| Carious teeth, septic roots | 28 | 147 | 12.1 | 17.8 |
| Pyorrhea (definite) | 27 | 144 | 4.8 | 6.9 |
| Slightly infected gums. | 26 | 125 | 10.4 | 13.0 |
| Backache. | 26 | 149 | 3.7 | 5.5 |
| Missing teeth | 25 | 122 | 6.0 | 7.3 |
| Albuminuria-slight | 25 | 111 | 14.1 | 15.7 |
| Habitual use of laxatives. | 24 | 109 | 25.8 | 28.1 |
| Arterial thickening-slight | 23 | 123 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| Frequent colds.- | 23 | 117 | 14.9 | 17.4 |
| Constipation.. | 23 | 109 | 32.8 | 35. 9 |
| Pus in urine. | 23 | 112 | 9.4 | 10.5 |
| Insomnia | 22 | 130 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Abnormal reflexes | 22 | 112 | 5.2 | 5.8 |
| Defective hearing | 22 | 118 | 9.2 | 10.9 |
| Dizziness. | 22 | 112 | 6.7 | 7.5 |
| Bronchitis, emphysema | 22 | 114 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Casts, hyaline, in urine.-. | 22 | 109 | 8.8 | 9.6 |
| Defective vision, uncorrected | 21 | 116 | 20.1 | 23.3 |
| Adenitis | 20 | 125 | 2.8 | 8.5 |
| Weak inguinal rings | 20 | 109 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
|  | 20 | 120 | 5. 0 | 6. 0 |
| Enlarged heart-...............................-- | 19 | 119 | 3.1 2.1 | 2. 5 |
| Hernia.... | 19 | 104 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Frequent or painful urination | 19 | 109 | 8.0 | 8.7 |
| Wax in ears. | 19 | 104 | 9.6 | 10.0 |
| Arterial thickening-moderate and marked | 18 | 121 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| Gastric disturbances. | 18 | 109 | 7.8 | 8.5 |
| Albuminuria-marked amount | 18 | 111 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Varicose veins. | 18 | 114 | 3.7 | 4.2 |
| Tuberculosis-suspected or actual | 18 | 109 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Organic val vular heart conditions. | 18 | 104 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| "Acid stomach".-... | 18 | 106 | 10.5 | 11.1 |
| Deflected septum, marked. | 18 | 102 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| Tenderness in region of appendix | 17 | 103 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Functional murmur. | 16 | 102 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
| Sugar in urine, trace or definite | 15 | 102 | 5. 7 | 5.8 |
| High blood pressure ( 20 mm . and more above average) | 14 | 96 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
|  | 14 | 99 | 8.1 | 8.9 |
| Enlarged thyroid, simple goiter | 13 | 100 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Enlarged, diseased, or buried tonsils | 13 | 98 | 27.6 | 27.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Prior to applying $50 \sqrt{\mathrm{pq}}$ criterion.
${ }^{1}$ Median rate after applying $50 \sqrt{\mathrm{pq}}$ criterion.

Table 3.—Rank of "business" in comparison with the 28 occupations for "field" data-Continued

| Nature of impairment, disease, or symptom | Rank | Ratio ofoccupa-tional tobusinessrate(busi-pess $=100)$ | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Business | Occupational |
| Nasopharyngitis. |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| Hemorrhoids - rhinit (enlarged turbinates) | ${ }_{12}^{13}$ | 96 97 98 | 12.0 | 11.5 |
| Chronic skin affections....----... | 12 | 95 | 9.7 | 9.2 |
| Nervousness | 11 | 97 | 6.9 | 6.7 |
| Use of patent medicines | 11 | ${ }_{88}^{96}$ | 10.0 | 9. 6 |
| Rapid pulse. | 11 | 88 | 6.0 | 5.3 |
| Deflected septum, slight. | 10 | 97 | 25.0 | 24.2 |
| Enlarged prostate | 10 | 85 | 4.8 | 咗 |
| Heavy dentistry (X ray advised) | 7 | 91 | 34.7 | 31. 5 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 82 85 85 | 2.8 15.7 | 2.3 13.4 |
| Defective vision, corrected....-...-.............. | 1 | 62 | ${ }_{29.6}^{15}$ | 18.4 |

It will be found that for carious teeth, for instance, the "business" group as a whole ranks twenty-eighth. This means that all but one of the 28 occupations under consideration had higher rates for carious teeth than the average rate for the "business" group. In other words, the impairments listed in the upper part of the table (down to rank 15) are those for which the rates among the industrially employed were above average. In the lower part of the table will be found the impairments for which the contrary was true.

A very clear impression is left by this table, i. e., that excessively high impairment rates in a few of these occupations which involve definite hazards are not sufficient to account for the generally higher rates which are found to be characteristic of the industrial workers as a whole when compared with the other persons analyzed. There are more than 10 findings and symptoms for which the rates in nearly every occupation are above the average for "business." In other words, one must come to the conclusion that where there is a marked difference in health and physical condition between these groups it is the result of various factors associated with social, educational, or economic causes.

For the purpose of an adequate comparison with the impairment rates of the "business" group, a ranking of the occupations according to the magnitude of the rates was desirable. For economy of space, comparison is limited to those occupations which had rates definitely above the "business" average for a given impairment. To determine this question, again, an arbitrary standard was required. A standard based directly on the probable error involved too much labor and was not considered satisfactory, since it would omit from consideration a large number of occupations which, on the average, were significantly
above the "business" level." The method chosen was very simple and, if arbitrary, had the advantage of being purely objective. After exclusion of rates which did not meet the criterion of size of occupational groups, the remaining rates were ranked for each impairment according to magnitude. The "business" rate was then inserted in numerical order in this array. The occupations falling below the "business" rate were counted, and then the same number immediately above the "business" rate were eliminated, together with those below it. All higher than these were included in the table. This method, of course, was based on the assumption that in a chance distribution there will be as many items above the average as below it. Again it must be stressed that no definite implication is involved that all of the occupations remaining after this standard is applied are significantly high, or that none left out is significantly high; but that approximately the number of occupations included in the table are significantly above the "business" level.

In the case of several of the smaller occupational groups it is realized that the rates are somewhat uncertain. For the same reason there is a tendency for some of the occupations representing the smaller groups to appear at the top simply as a result of chance fluctuation. The rates at the top are to be taken as somewhat exaggerated. However, the general tendencies of the data appear to be unmistakable.

A careful consideration of Table 4 will indicate which occupations explain the excess among industrial workers as compared with the "business" average, but the impression to be derived will undoubtedly ke that previously stated, viz, an excess for the industrial worker generally rather than outstandingly high rates for particular occupations. However, some differences for specific impairments are of interest.

For uncorrected defective vision, three occupations are outstand-ing-garment workers, cutters, and tailors. It may be remarked that the same is true when corrected and uncorrected vision are combined.

For defective hearing a very interesting result is found: The first six occupations in the list are those in which noise is a definite factor. This is particularly true for blacksmiths, who have a rate

[^2]very much in excess of that in any other occupation, and nearly three times that of the "business'" average.

For carious teeth and pyorrhea, painters have the highest rates, a fact which is possibly associated with lead poisoning.

For hernia, it is observable that none of the occupations requiring arduous labor is above the "business" level-an indication of the factor of selection which is present.

For constipation, it is observable that chiefly the sedentary occupations appear at the top of the table.
Table 4.-Ranking of occupations which have rates significantly above those of the "business" group

| Occupation | Impair- <br> ment <br> rate | Ratio to <br> average | Number <br> of <br> persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

RESPIRATORY

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tailors. | 7.1 | 154 | 1,053 |
| Miners. | 6.6 | 143 | 288 |
| Garment operatives. | 6.3 | 137 | 268 |
| Waiters and hotel servant | 6.0 | 130 | 282 |
| Painters. | 5.8 | 128 | 623 |
| Butchers. | 5.7 | 124 | 564 |
| Printers. | 5.4 | 117 | 977 |
| Telephone and telegraph operators | 5.4 | 117 | 410 |
| Woodworkers | 5.1 | 111 | 396 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 4.6 | 100 | 611 |
| Metal workers | 4.6 | 100 | 347 |
| Business. | 3.8 | 83 | 41,667 |
| Bronchitis, emphysema: <br> Tailors |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Butchers. | 2.8 | 175 | 564 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters | 2.1 | 131 | 829 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 2.0 | 125 | 611 |
| Firemen, police | 1.8 | 113 | 440 |
| Carpenters | 1.8 | 113 | 1,673 |
| Business. | 1.4 | 88 | 41,667 |
| Deflected septum, moderate or marked: |  |  |  |
| Street railway employees. | 5. 9 | 140 | 287 |
| Chauffeurs | 5.7 | 136 | 595 |
| Painters.- | 5.5 | 131 | 623 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters | 5.2 | 124 | 829 |
| Frequent colds: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bricklayers | 21.4 | 121 | 298 |
| Chauffeurs. | 20.5 | 118 | 595 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 19.4 | 111 | 611 |
| Metal workers | 19.3 | 111 | 347 |
| Woodworkers | 18.9 | 109 | 396 |
| Telephone and telegraph operators | 18.8 | 108 | 410 |
| Textile mill operators. | 18.8 | 108 | 207 |
| Electricians- | 18.5 | 106 | 1,014 |
| Cutters (cloth) | 18.3 | 105 | 327 |
| Blacksmiths | 18.0 | 103 | 172 |
| Shoe factory operatives | 17.7 | 102 | 532 |
| Butchers. | 17.5 | 101 | 564 |
| Miners. | 17.4 | 100 | 288 |
| Machinists (office, store) | 17.4 | 100 | 3,070 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters | 17.1 | 98 | , 829 |
| Business. | 14.9 | 86 | 41,667 |

DIGESTIVE-TEETE

| Carious teeth, septic roots: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23.4 | 131 | 623 |
| Bricklayers. | 23.2 | 139 | 298 |
| Domestic help | 22.9 | 129 | 188 |
| Blacksmiths | 21.5 | 121 | 172 |
| Firemen (stationary) | 21.1 | 119 | 617 |
| Carpenters.-- | 21.0 | 118 | 1,673 |
| Ironworkers-..-.--- | 20.5 20.4 | 115 | 332 889 |

Table 4.-Ranking of occupations which have rates significantly above those of the "business" group-Continued

| Occupation | Impair- <br> ment <br> rate | Ratio to <br> average | Number <br> of <br> persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## DIGESTIVE-TEETH-Continued

Carious teeth, septic rooots-Continued.
Factory workers (unclassified, light)
Waiters and hotel servants
Foundry workers.
Garment operatives
Chauffeurs
Woodworkers.
Miners -
Butchers
Shoe-factory operatives
Textile-mill operators
Street-railway employees
Machinists (office, store)
Tailors
Electricians.
Metal workers
Printers.
Cutters (cloth)
Barbers
Business
Missing teeth:
Cutters (cloth)
Carpenters
Domestic help
Street-railway employees
Painters.
Factory workers (unclassified, light)
Firemen (stationary)
Bricklayers.
Printers
Shoe factory operatives
Garment operatives
Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters
Chauffeurs
Electricians
Firemen, police
Miners.
Ironworkers
Business
Pyorrhea (definite):
Painters.
Street-railway employees
Waiters and hotel servants
Firemen (stationary)
Carpenters
Garment operatives
Tailors.
Plumbers, pipe and steam fiters
Ironworkers
Miners.
Butchers
Barbers
Bricklayers
Shoe-factory operatives
Domestic help
Machinists (office, store)
Telephone and telegraph operators
Chanffeurs
Factory workers (unclassified, light)
Woodworkers
Metal workers.
Textile-mill operators
Printers
Business
Slightly infected gums:
Tailors.
Garment operatives
Blacksmiths.-
Bricklayers
Waiters and hotel servants
Domestic help
Plumbers, pipe and stcam fitters
Factory workers (unclassified, light)
Chauffeurs
lronworkers.
Painters
Printers
Cutters (cloth)

| 20.0 | 112 | 611 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.1 | 107 | 282 |
| 19.1 | 107 | 173 |
| 18.3 | 103 | 268 |
| 18.3 | 103 | 595 |
| 17.9 | 101 | 396 |
| 17.7 | 99 | 288 |
| 17.4 | 98 | 564 |
| 17.3 | 97 | 532 |
| 16.9 | 95 | 207 |
| 16.7 | 94 | 287 |
| 16.1 | 90 | 3,070 |
| 15.9 | 89 | 1,053 |
| 15.0 | 84 | 1,014 |
| 15.0 | 84 | 347 |
| 14.9 | 84 | 977 |
| 14.7 | 83 | 327 |
| 13.3 | 75 | 721 |
| 12.1 | 68 | 41,667 |
| 10.1 | 138 | 327 |
| 9.9 | 136 | 1,673 |
| 9.6 | 132 | 188 |
| 8.4 | 115 | 287 |
| 8.3 | 114 | 623 |
| 8.2 | 112 | 611 |
| 8.1 | 111 | 617 |
| 8.1 | 111 | 298 |
| 7.9 | 108 | 977 |
| 7.5 | 103 | 532 |
| 7.5 | 103 | 268 |
| 7.4 | 101 | 829 |
| 7.4 | 101 | 505 |
| 7.3 | 100 | 1,014 |
| 7.3 | 100 | 440 |
| 7.3 | 100 | 288 |
| 7.2 | 99 | 332 |
| 6.0 | 82 | 41, 667 |
| 11.1 | 161 | 623 |
| 10.1 | 146 | 287 |
| 9.9 | 143 | 282 |
| 9.4 | 136 | 617 |
| 9.3 | 135 | 1,673 |
| 9.0 | 130 | 268 |
| 8.3 | 120 | 1,053 |
| 8.0 | 116 | 829 |
| 7.8 | 113 | 332 |
| 7.3 | 106 | 288 |
| 7.3 | 106 | 564 |
| 7.2 | 104 | 721 |
| 7.0 | 101 | 298 |
| 6.8 | 99 | 532 |
| 6.4 | 93 | 188 |
| 6.3 | 91 | 3, 070 |
| 6.1 | 88 | 410 |
| 6.1 | 88 | 595 |
| 6.1 | 88 | 611 |
| 5.8 | 84 | 396 |
| 5.8 | 84 | 347 |
| 5.3 | 77 | 207 |
| 5.2 | 75 | 977 |
| 4.8 | 70 | 41,667 |
| 21.6 | 166 | 1, 053 |
| 17.5 | 135 | 268 |
| 16.3 | 125 | 172 |
| 16.1 | 124 | 347 |
| 15.8 | 122 | 298 |
| 14.5 | 112 | 282 |
| 14.4 | 111 | 188 |
| 14.2 | 109 | 829 |
| 14.1 | 108 | 611 |
| 13.8 | 106 | 595 |
| 13.6 | 105 | 332 |
| 13.6 | 105 | 623 |
| 13.5 | 104 | 977 |
| 13.1 | 101 | 327 |

Table 4.-Ranking of occupations which have rates significantly above those of the "business" group-Continued

| Occupation | Impair- <br> ment <br> rate | Ratio to <br> average | Number <br> of <br> of <br> persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## DIGESTIVE-TEETH-Continued

| 8Hightly infected gums-Continued. | 12.9 | 99 | 1,673 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carpenters ........................-- | 12.6 | 97 | 721 |
| Street-rallway employees. | 12.5 | 98 | 287 |
| Butchers...... | 12.4 | 95 | 564 |
| Firemen, police. | 12.3 | 95 | 440 |
| Firemen (stationary | 12.2 | ${ }_{93}$ | ${ }_{1} 173$ |
| Business...-. | 10.4 | 80 | 41,667 |

## DIGESTIVE-OTHER

| Gastric disturbances: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street rallway employees. | 12.2 | 144 | 287 |
| Fireman (stationary) | 11.2 | 132 | 617 |
| Telephone and telegraph op | 10.0 | 118 | 410 |
| Blacksmiths. | 9.9 | 116 | - 172 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam | 9.8 | 115 |  |
| Business. | 7.8 | 92 | 41,667 |
| "Acid stomach": |  |  |  |
| Metal workers | 13.8 | 124 | 347 |
| Garment operatives | 13.8 | 124 | 268 |
| Street railway employees | 13.6 | 123 | 287 |
| Chaureurs. | 13.4 | 121 | 595 |
| Firemen, police. | 13.2 | 119 | 440 |
| Tailors-- | 12.8 | 115 | 41,667 |
| Oonstipation: |  |  |  |
| Garment operatives | 48.1 | 134 | 268 |
| Tailors. | 42.2 | 118 | 1,053 |
| Cutters | 40.1 | 112 | 327 |
| 8treet railway employees. | 39.4 | 110 | 287 |
| Telephone and telegraph op | 39.3 | 109 | 410 |
| Firemen, police | 38.9 38.4 | 108 107 | 440 398 |
| Barbers. | 38.1 | 106 | 721 |
| Waiters and hotel servants. | 37.6 | 105 | 282 |
| Paintars............ | 37.4 | 104 | 623 |
| Factory workers (unclassified | 37.0 | 103 | 611 |
| Electricians. | 36.4 | 101 | 1,014 |
| Domestic holp. | 36.2 |  |  |
| Printars-...- | 36.1 | 100 |  |
| Business... | 32.8 | 91 | 41,667 |
| Habitual nse of laxatives: |  |  |  |
| Street railway employees. | 34.8 | 124 |  |
| Iron workers. | 34.0 | 121 | 332 |
| Firemen, police | 32.5 | 116 |  |
| Telephone and telegraph op | 32.4 31.8 | 115 | 721 |
| Tailors. | 31.5 | 112 | 1,053 |
| Woodworkers | 31.3 | 111 | 396 |
| Waiters and hotel servants. | 31.2 | 111 | 282 |
| Painters. | 30.7 | 109 | 623 |
| Bricklayers | 30.5 | 109 |  |
| Garment operatives. | 30.2 | 107 |  |
| Metal workers..... | 30.0 | 107 | 347 |
| Electricians. | 29.2 | 104 |  |
| Domestic help. | 28.2 | 100 | 188 |
| Machinists (office, store) | 28.1 | 100 |  |
| Textile mill operators-. |  | 100 99 | 532 |
| Shoe factory operatives | 27.8 27.6 | 98 | 595 |
| Business. | 25.8 | 92 | 41, 667 |

## CIRCULATORY

| Enlarged beart: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodworkers. | 3.5 | 120 | 338 |
| Iron workers. |  |  |  |
| Carpentars | 3.0 | 120 | 1,673 |
| Butchers. | 3.0 | 112 | 53 |
| Shoe factory op | 2.8 | 112 | 632 440 |
| Firemen, police | 2.7 | 108 | 41,667 |

Table 4.-Ranking of occupations which have rates significantly above those of the "business" group-Continued

| Occupation | Impair- <br> ment <br> rate | Ratio to <br> average | Number <br> of <br> persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## CIRCULATORY-Continued

| Organic valvular heart disease: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street railway employees.. | 4.9 | 169 | 287 |
| Butchers. | 4.3 | 148 | 564 |
| Woodworkers | 4.3 | 148 | 396 |
| Bricklayers. | 4.0 | 138 | 298 |
| Iron workers. | 3. 6 | 124 | 332 |
| Business. | 2.8 | 97 | 41,667 |
| Functional murmur: |  |  |  |
| Chauffeurs.-.----- | 8.1 | 162 | 595 |
| Woodworkers.--- | 6.3 | 1 | 396 |
| Firemen, police | 6. 1 | 122 | 440 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Metal workers. | 3.5 | 152 | 347 |
| Cutters (cloth) ----- | 3.4 | 148 | 827 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam | 3.1 | 135 | 829 |
| Tailors--- | 3.1 | 135 | 1,053 |
| Carpenters | 2. 9 | 128 | 1,673 |
| Arterial thickening, slight: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Garment operative | 112.4 | 149 139 | 1,053 |
| Waiters. | 12.1 | 134 | 282 |
| Bricklayers | 11.4 | 127 | 298 |
| Painters. | 11.2 | 124 | 623 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam | 10.7 | 119 | 829 |
| Carpenters.- | 10.6 | 118 | 1,673 |
| Butchers. | 10.1 | 112 | 564 |
| Metal workers. | 9.8 | 109 | 347 |
| Textile mill operators | 9.7 | 108 | 207 |
| Factory workers (unclassifi | 9.7 | 108 | 611 |
| Chauffeurs. | 9.7 | 108 | 595 |
| Firemen (stationary) | 9.6 | 107 | 617 |
| Iron workers.- | 9.0 | 100 | 332 |
| Domestic help | 9.0 | 100 | 188 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Garment operatives | 10.4 | 196 | 268 |
| Tailors | 8.7 | 164 | 1,053 |
| Butchers. | 8.0 | 151 | 564 |
| Cutters (cloth) | 7.6 | 143 | 327 |
| Shoe-factory operatives | 7.0 | 132 | 532 |
| Chauffeurs | 6.4 | 121 | 595 396 |
| Business.. | 6. 0 | 113 | 41,667 |

GENITO-URINARY

| Granular casts in urine: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firemen (stationary) | 6.9 | 115 | 582 |
| Painters. | 6.9 | 115 | 582 |
| Waiters and hotel servan | 6.8 | 113 | 264 |
| Telephone and telegraph | 6.8 | 113 | 384 |
| Miners. | 6.8 | 113 | 251 |
| Woodworkers | 6.8 | 113 | 370 |
| Shoe-factory operatives | 6.6 | 110 | 487 |
| Firemen, police | 6.2 | 103 | 402 |
| Business. | 5.0 | 83 | 38, 176 |
| Hyaline casts in urine: 13.9 145 165 |  |  |  |
|  | 13.9 | 145 | 165 |
| Miners-.--- | 12.7 | 132 | 251 |
| Shoe-factory operatives | 12.3 | 128 | 487 |
| Bricklayers-. | 12.3 | 128 | 284 |
| Foundry worke | 11.4 | 119 | 167 |
| Wlacksmiths | 11.3 | 118 | 151 |
|  | 10.6 10.4 | 1108 | ${ }_{968}^{264}$ |
| Butchers. | 10.0 | 104 | 512 |
| Street-railway employees | 9.9 | 103 | 262 |
| Electricians.. | 9.9 | 103 | 949 |
| Ironworkers. | 9.7 | 101 | 309 |
| Telephone and telegraph | 9.6 | 100 | 384 |
| Barbers | 9.6 | 100 99 | 669 582 |
| Business.- | 8.8 | 92 | 38, 178 |

## Table 4.-Ranking of oceupations which have rates significantly above those of the "business" group-Continued

Occupation $\left.\quad$\begin{tabular}{c|c|c}
Impair- <br>
ment <br>
rate

$\quad$

Ratio to <br>
average

 \right\rvert\, 

Number <br>
of <br>
persons
\end{tabular}

## GENITO-URINARY-Continued

| Pus in urine: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic help. | 14.5 | 138 | 165 |
| Waiters and hotel servants | 14.0 | 133 | 284 |
| Bricklayers. | 13.4 | 128 | 284 |
| Shoe-factory operatives | 12.7 | 121 | 487 |
| Firemon, police. | 11.4 | 109 | 402 |
| Ironworkers. | 11.3 | 108 | 309 |
| Firemen (stationary) | 11.3 | 108 | 582 |
| Barbers. | 11.2 | 107 | 669 |
| Cutters (cloth) | 11.2 | 107 | 303 |
| 8treet-railway employees | 11.1 | 116 | 282 |
| Woodworkers-- | 10.8 | 103 | 370 |
| Chauffeurs. | 10.7 | 102 | 55 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam | 10.6 | 101 | 752 |
| Garment operatives. | 10.5 | 100 | 257 |
| Painters | 10.5 | 100 | 582 |
| Business. | 9.4 | co | 38, 176 |
| Frequent or painful urination: |  |  |  |
| Street-ranway employees.- | 12.7 12.5 | 146 144 | 173 287 |
| Metal workers... | 11.2 | 129 | 347 |
| Miners.- | 10.8 | 124 | 288 |
| Chauffeurs | 10.7 | 123 | ¢95 |
| Telephone and telegraph op | 10.5 | 121 | 410 |
| Painters.-..........- | 10.4 | 120 | 623 |
| Textile-mill operators. | 10.1 8.0 | 116 92 | 207 41,667 |

## MISCELLANEOUS

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cutters (cloth) | 35.8 | 154 | 327 |
| Tailors. | 34. 7 | 149 | 1,053 |
| Butchers. | 29.8 | 128 | 564 |
| Waiters and hotel servants | 29.4 | 120 | 282 |
| Painters. | 25.2 | 112 | 623 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters | 25.7 | 110 | 829 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 25.0 | 167 | 611 |
| Metal workers | 24.8 | 106 | 347 |
| Printers. | 24.5 | 105 | 977 |
| Firemen, police | 23.9 | 103 | 440 |
| Domestic help | 23.9 | 103 | 188 |
| Defective hearing: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Carpenters. | 17.2 | 158 | 1,673 |
| Foundry workers | 16.2 | 149 | 173 |
| Ironworkers. | 15.4 | 141 | 332 |
| Metal workers | 14.4 | 132 | 347 |
| Textilo-mill operators. | 13.5 | 124 | 207 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters | 13.4 | 123 | 829 |
| Bricklayers. | 13. 1 | 120 | 298 |
| Cutters (cloth) | 13.1 | 120 | 327 |
| Machinists (office, store) | 12.0 | 110 | 3,070 |
| Firemen (stationary) | 11.7 | 107 | 617 |
| Garment operatives. | 11.2 | 103 | 268 |
| Telephone and telegraph operators- | 11.2 | 103 | 410 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 11.0 | 101 | ${ }_{6}^{611}$ |
| Wax in ears: |  |  |  |
| Ironworkers. | 12.7 | 127 | 332 |
| Garment operatives. | 12.3 | 123 | 268 |
| Printers.- | 11.9 | 119 | 977 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) | 11.9 | 119 | 611 595 |
| Chauffeurs.....................-...-. | 11.6 | 116 | 595 |
| Bricklayers. | 11.4 | 114 | 298 |
| Firemen (stationary) | 11.2 | 112 | 617 |
| Metal workers.. | 11.2 | 112 | 347 |
| Business | 9.6 | 96 | 41,667 |
| Adenitis: | 5.9 | 169 | 623 |
| Printers | 5.2 | 149 | 977 |
| Garment operatives | 4.9 | 140 | ${ }^{268}$ |
| Carpenters.-. | 4.6 4.8 | 131 123 | 1,673 327 |

Table 4.-Ranking of occupations which have rates significantly above those of the "business" group-Continued

| Occupation | Impair- <br> ment <br> rate | Ratio to <br> average | Number <br> of <br> ofrsons |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## MISCELLANEOUS-Continued



For varicose veins, on the contrary, the occupations above the "business" level appear to be those of workers who are customarily on their feet.

Backache may not be a particularly important symptom, but it is of interest to note that the rate is highest among miners, who usually work in a stooping position, and also among other persons doing arduous work.

The rates for flat feet are not included, because data for the "business" group were not available for this condition. Reference to Table 2 will show, however, that the rates for certain occupations are excessive, particularly garment workers, waiters, cutters, domestic help, tailors, barbers, and butchers.

In addition to manifest variations in the prevalence of specific impairments in different occupations, there is the broader problem of possible differences in general physical condition as indicated by the impairment rates as a whole. Unfortunately such comparisons are difficult, because of the high frequency of relatively unimportant conditions. The total number of impairments per person is therefore of little meaning. It is equally impossible to select any group of serious impairments, since so much difference of opinion must exist in regard to any classification used, and since there is usually no information as to the seriousness of a condition as recorded for a particular individual. It seems preferable to make the comparison purely on the basis of an examination of the rates for individual conditions as given in Tables 2 and 3.

In this connection it is necessary to remember that there will be more variation in the rates for occupations with small populations, and therefore a larger percentage of such occupations will show high rates, quite apart from any true differences among the occupations. There will also be more relative variation in the rates for the less common conditions. Furthermore, any differences which may be found will be subject to much difficulty of interpretation, because of the pronounced effect of selection. Persons with certain impairments tend to drift into occupations where the impairment is not a definite handicap.

An examination of Tables 2 and 3 in the light of these comments gives the unmistakable impression that, aside from the few impairments considered above, the general level of prevalence is about the same for all of the occupations. This fact is again an indication that social, economic, or educational differences are mainly responsible for the variations in the prevalence of impairments noted in this and the preceding study. These distinctions are apparently common to all the occupational groups which have been analyzed. In the case of a few of the occupations, it is suggested that a tendency toward higher or lower rates than the average may reflect selection or the $91026^{\circ}-32-2$
presence of differing social or economic levels within the skilled trade group as a whole.

Generally speaking, the occupational groups included in this study were not large enough to permit an adequate analysis of the rates in specific age groups. A preliminary analysis brought out the fact that the age curve of the impairments for a particular occupation agrees quite closely with that for the occupations generally. It was also evident that the occupational differences brought out in the previous discussions are present at each age.

One element of the examination which has been given little consideration in this paper is the blood pressure. In preparing the punch cards the actual blood pressure of the individual was not recorded. Instead, his deviation in millimeters from a standard for persons of his age was punched in broad groups, viz, 25 and more millimeters under the average, 15 to 24 under, 14 under to 19 above, 20 to 39 above, 40 to 59 above, 60 and more above. It is desirable to determine from the resulting distribution of deviations what the average blood pressure is for each occupation. An estimated average ${ }^{6}$ based on the frequency distribution of the deviations was secured for each occupation. Table 5 gives the averages obtained in this way for each of the 28 occupations, and for the "skilled trade" and "business" groups. It is found that the variation in these averages from occupation to occupation is remarkably slight. Domestic help has the highest average (129.2) and metal workers the lowest (125.2). The "business" average is lower than most of the individual occupations, but again the difference is slight.

Table 5.-Average systolic blood pressure a (20-59) by occupation

| Occupation | Milli- | Occupation |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| meters |  |  |$|$| Milli- |
| :--- | :--- |
| meters |

[^3]For the larger occupations the same averages have been determined for three broad age groups. They are found to increase with age in the expected way, but the differences among the occupations are quite insignificant.

Table 6.-Average systolic blood pressure ${ }^{1}$ by age for 15 occupations

| Occupations |  | 20-34 | 35-44 | 45-59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firemen, police. |  | 123.7 | 127.7 | 136. 2 |
| Factory workers (unclassified, light) |  | 125.0 | 125. 1 | 135. 3 |
| Firemen (stationary) |  | 125.3 | 127.0 | 133.9 |
| Shoe-factory operatives. |  | 123.4 | 127.0 | 134. 6 |
| Painters.- |  | 124.9 | 125. 1 | 134.6 |
| Chauffeurs. |  | 123. 1 | 125.5 | 139.5 |
| Machinists (office, store) |  | 124.6 | 126.0 | 133.3 |
| Butchers. |  | 124.1 | 126. 1 | 131.0 |
| Printers. |  | 124.5 | 124. 0 | 133.5 |
| Plumbers, pipe and steam fitters |  | 123.1 | 125.4 | 133.4 |
| Barbers.-. |  | 122. 1 | 125.4 | 133.0 |
| Electricians. |  | 123. 6 | 125.1 | 134.0 |
| Telephone and telegraph operators. |  | 123.6 | 123. 4 | 132.1 |
| Tailors.-.---------------- |  | 121.8 | 125. 4 | 134. 2 |
| Carpenters. |  | 125.0 | 124.8 | 131.3 |
| Skilled trade. |  | 123.9 | 125. 7 | 132.7 |
| Business. |  | 122.7 | 125.0 | 132.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Obtained as described in footnote 6, p. 18.

## SUMMARY

In a previous study it was shown that the rates of physical impairment in a group of skilled workers tended to be definitely higher than in other groups (professional, business, agricultural). The present study was undertaken to determine, in so far as possible, whether the effects of specific occupational factors are sufficient to account for these higher rates. The data employed were the medical examinations furnished to white, native-born, male policyholders as a part of the health service of life-insurance companies. The examinations were conducted by the Life Extension Institute, and the analysis is limited to the first examinations made on each individual and to those made outside of the "head" offices of the Institute. In all, 17,294 persons in 28 specific occupations were included.

It was found that the higher rates characteristic of the industrial workers were not to be explained, except in a few instances, as being due to the hazard of any specific occupation. On the contrary, these higher rates seemed to be the result of various factors associated with social, educational, or economic causes, and to be present, in more or less degree, in every specific occupation studied. Differences among the industrial occupations did not appear to be of great moment, and when found seemed to reflect either selection (the tendency of workers with certain impairments to drift into occupations where such impairments would not serve as a handicap) or the presence within the industrial occupations themselves of social or economic differences.
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Appendix Table 2.-Number of impairments by cause in each specific occupation
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## SEX DIFFERENCES IN THE PREVALENCE OF DENTAL CARIES ${ }^{1}$

Based on 12,435 Oral Examinations by Dental Personnel in Georgia, Illinois, Missouri, and Hagerstown, Md.

(STUDIES IN DENTAL CARIES No. 2)
By Amanda L. Stoughton, Acting Assistant Surgeon, and Verna Thornhill Meaker, Dental Hygienist, United States Public Health Service

In a previous study, ${ }^{2}$ the prevalence of dental caries in a group of school children of different ages was discussed. Most of the oral examinations were made by one experienced dental hygienist; but since she and the dental hygienist who made the remainder of the examinations had previously worked out a standard technique, their findings have been considered comparable and have been combined.

The first paper, in which is given a more detailed discussion of the field work, considered the prevalence of several dental conditions among children of both sexes. In the present study, the data for boys and girls are treated separately.

The examination records were so arranged that both temporary and permanent teeth could be cbarted. All carious teeth were designated, a special subdivision being made, called "remaining roots," which included teeth having crowns which were entircly carious, those having the pulp involved, and those with fistulæ. Instead of the number of individual fillings, the number of filled teeth was charted. The term "total past decay" when applied to permanent teeth includes missing as well as decayed and filled teeth. All the teeth, whether temporary or permanent, which were present in the child's mouth at the time of examination are included in the term "all teeth."

## TEMPORARY TEETH

Although the percentages of children of both sexes baving one or more decayed or filled temporary teeth decline rapidly after the first few age groups, the percentages remain higher among the boys after the 7 -year group. (Table 1, fig. 1.) Excepting among 6-year-old children, more boys than girls had five or more temporary teeth decayed or filled. Undoubtedly, the fact that the percentage of children with decayed temporary teeth decreases with age is due to their gradual replacement by permanent teeth. It may be that boys lose their temporary teeth somewhat later than girls.

[^4]Tably 1.-Condition of temporary teeth of boys and girls of each age from 6 to 14 years

| Age | Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gir's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Totai } \\ & \text { chil } \\ & \text { dren } \end{aligned}$ | Decayed or filled |  | Decayed |  | Remaining roots |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fis- } \\ \text { Fulse } \\ \text { 1 or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Total children | Decayed or filled |  | Decayed |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Remain- } \\ & \text { ing roots } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fis- } \\ \text { tualae, } \\ 1 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c\|} 1 \\ \text { morer } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 5 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { more } \\ & \text { r } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{array}$ | 5 or more |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 5 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}\right.$ | ( $\begin{gathered}5 \text { or } \\ \text { more }\end{gathered}$ | 1 or | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |  |

NUMBER

| 6. | 451 | 394 | 208 | 388 | 263 | 148 | 20 | 47 | 462 | 404 | 277 | 399 | 272 | 160 | 9 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | 541 | 490 | 320 | 485 | 309 | 200 | 16, | 32 | 581 | 529 | 323. | 521 | 316 | 211 | 14 | 42 |
| 8. | 556 | 512. | 307 | 600 | 292 | 224 | 17 | 42 | 560. | 504 | 297. | 498. | 283 | 205 | 10 | 40 |
| 9. | 673 | 607 | 289 | 595 | 270 | 249 | 20 | 24 | 662 | 553 | 195. | 536 | 177 | 171 | 10 | 23 |
| 10 | 804 | 632. | 175 | 020 | 168 | 236 | 5 | 19 | 848 | 855 | 119. | 540 | 113 | 176 | 6 | 18 |
| 11. | 849 | 475 | 84 | 469 | 83 | 181 | 7 | 13 | 853 | 346 | 43 | 337 | 42 | 126 | 1. | 7 |
| 12. | 659. | 235 | 24 | 235 | 22 | 88 | 3 | 2 | 702 | 165 | 10 | 162 | 10 | 69 | 2 | 8 |
| 13. | 595 | 106 | 6 | 103 | 6 | $45^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | 588 | 66 | 2 | 64 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 1 |
| 14. | 400 | 31 | 0 | 30 | 0 | $20^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 367 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

PER CENT

| 6. | 100.0 | 87.4 | 59.4 | 86.0 | 58.3 | 32.8 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 59.9 | 88.4 | 58.9 | 34.6 | 1.9 | 10.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | 100.0 | 90.6 | 59.1 | 89.6 | 57.1 | 37.0 | 2.0 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 91.0 | 55.6 | 89.7 | 54.4 | 36.3 | 2.4 | 7.2 |
| 8. | 100.0 | 92.1 | 55. 2 | 89.9 | 52.9 | 40.3 | 3.1 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 53.0 | 88.6 | 50.5 | 36.6 | 1.8 | 7.1 |
| 9 | 100.0 | 90.2 | 42.9 | 88.4 | 40.1 | 37.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 83.5 | 29.5 | 81.0 | 28. 7 | 25.8 | 1.5 | 3.5 |
| 10 | 100.0 | ${ }^{78.6}$ | 21.8 | 77.4 | 20.9 | 29.3 | .$^{6}$ | 2.4 | 100.0 | 65.4 | 14.0 | 63.7 | 13. 3 | 20.7 | . 7 | 2.1 |
| 11. | 100.0 | ${ }^{65.9}$ | 9.9 | 55.2 | 9.8 | 21.3 | . 8 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 40.6 | 5. 0 | 39.5 | 4.9 | 14.8 | $\cdot 1$ | . 8 |
| 12 | 100.0 | 35.7 | 3.6 | 35.7 | 3.3 | 13.3 | . 5 | . 3 | 100.0 | 23.5 | 1.4 |  | 1.4 | 9.8 | . 3 | - $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 13 | 100.0 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 17.3 | 1.0 | 7.6 |  |  | $100.0$ | 11.2 | . 3 | 10.9 | . 3 | 4.6 | . 0 | . 2 |
|  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TEMPORARY TEETH


Figore 1.-Prevalence of total past decay and untreated caries in temporary teeth of boys and gtris at successive years of age

Since the number of temporary teeth filled is so small, the graphs of the percentages of children having unfilled carious temporary teeth are practically the same as those of children having temporary teeth decayed or filled. The percentages of boys having temporary teeth badly decayed (remaining roots) are also higher than the corresponding percentages of girls in every age except the 6 -year group. (Fig. 2.) A higher percentage of boys than of girls have five or more temporary teeth so badly decayed as to be classed as "remaining roots." The proportion of children having one or more temporary teeth with fistulæ is practically the same for both scxes in each age group.

TEMPORARY TEETH


Figure 2.-Prevalence of marked caries and fistulae in temporary teeth of boys and girls at successive years of age

Table 2.-Condition of temporary teeth of boys and girls in three-year age groups from 6 to 14 years

| Age and sex | Totalnumberof chil-dren | Per cent having decayed or filled |  |  |  |  | Per cont having remaining roots |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | 5 or more | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $3 \text { or }$ more | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 9 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| 6 to 8 BOYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 to 11 | 2,326 | 73.7 | 45.9 | 23.6 | 87.8 | 2.9 | 28.6 | 6. 6 | 1.4 | 1.2 .3 | . 1 |
| 12 to 14. | 1,654 | 22.5 | 5.7 | 1.8 | . 3 | . 1 | 9.2 | 1.3 | . 2 | .1 |  |
| 6 to 8......... | 1,603 | 89.6 | 74.9 | 56.0 | 35.2 | 16.2 | 35.9 | 8.6 | 21 | . 4 | . 1 |
| 9 to 11 | 2,363 | 61.5 | 32.7 | 15.1 | 6. 9 | 1.7 | 20.0 | 3.9 | . 7 | . 1 |  |
| 12 to 14.. | 1,657 | 14.8 | 3.4 | . 7 | . 1 | . 1 | 6.0 | . 5 | . 1 | . 1 |  |

From the accompanying graphs, it is evident that the relative incidence of various dental defects among boys and among girls is not the same in each age group. Instead of showing rates for each age separately, the children were divided into 3-year age groups and the percentage of children in these groups who had one or more, three or more, etc., teeth showing the defect in question are given in Table 2 and are plotted in Figure 3.


Figure 3.-Extent of total past decay and marked caries in temporary teeth of boys and girls in 3-year age groups

In all three groups a greater proportion of boys than of girls had temporary teeth decayed or filled, but the difference was much more marked in the last two groups than among the youngest children.

In the 6 to 8 year group, the percentage of boys having badly decayed temporary teeth (remaining roots) is somewhat higher than the corresponding percentage of girls. In the 9 to 11 and 12 to 14 year old groups a much larger proportion of boys than girls have teeth nearly destroyed by caries (remaining roots).

Table 3．－Condition of permanent teeth of boys and girls of each age from 6 to 19 years

## NUMBER

| Age | Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { chil- } \\ & \text { dren } \end{aligned}$ | Decayed， missing， or filled |  | Dccayed |  |  | Filled |  |  | Total chil－ dren | Dccayed， missing， or filled |  | Decayed |  |  | Filled |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \text { ó } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{B} \\ & b \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 B B － -1 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ! o } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { o } \end{aligned}$ | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \text { 日 } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 0 0 ¢ － |  |  |
|  | 451 | 82 | 2 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 462 | 105 | 1 | 103 |  |  | 3 | 相 |  |
|  | 541 | 247 | 3 | $\pm 41$ | 1 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 581 | 281 | 3 | 266 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 0 |  |
|  | 556 | 341 | 7 | 321 | 5 | 3 | 35 | 1 | 8 | 560 | 363 | 9 | 343 | 5 | 10 | 34 | 3 |  |
| 9. | 673 | 444 | 22 | 404 | 9 | 21 | 77 | 5 | 12 | 662 | 471 | 22 | 436 | 14 | 30 | 87 | 1 | 17 |
| 10. | 804 | 570 | 66 | 503 | 47 | 42 | 117 | 8 | 30 | 848 | 643 | 61 | 543 | 30 | 60 | 180 | 6 | 31 |
| 11. | 849 | ${ }^{630}$ | 92 | 5.56 | 59 | 63 | 150 | 11 | 44 | 853 | ó62 | 104 | 555 | 59 | 85 | 204 | 19 | 46 |
| 12．．． | 6.59 | 517 | 116 | 433 | 70 | 89 | 127 | 12 | $6 C$ | 702 | 596 | 170 | 525 | 97 | 103 | 164 | 30 | 49 |
| 13. | 503 | 498 | 175） | 451 | 115 | 108 | 115 | 20 | 65 | 588 | 566 | 201 | 445 | 119 | 127 | 155 | 28 | 58 |
| 14. | 400 | 347 ｜ | 166 | 314 | 107 | 90 | 99 | 21 | 49 | 367 | 325 | 162 | 293 | 91 | 84 | 130 | 27 | 38 |
| 15. | 273 | 247. | 148 | 219 | 6 | 65 | 122 | 43 | 37 | 283 | 201 | 171 | 223 | 84 | 79 | 129 | 43 | 25 |
| 16. | 130 | 118 | 761 | 102 | 42 | 33 | 63 | 26 | 14 | 195 | 183 | 130 | 160 | 60 | 58 | 117 | 51 | 16 |
| 17－．．－ | 71 | 67 | 50 | 5 | 23 | 21 | 43 | 28 | 7 | 12.3 | 121 | 96 | 105 | 33 | 51 | 95 | 45 | 10 |
| 18．．． | 36 | 36 | 31. | 30 | 15 | 15 | 29 | 16 | 3 | $8{ }_{t}$ | 83 | 69 | 70 | 28 | 35 | 63 | 32 | 4 |
| 19．．．－ | 19 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 65 | 64 | 54 | 55 | 17 | 26 | 51 | 33 | 8 |

PER CENT

|  | 100． C ． | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 22. |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100．6． | 45.7 | 44.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.8 | ． 2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 48.4 | ． 5 | 45.8 |  | 0.7 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | 100． 1 | 61.31 .3 | 57.7 | ， | 5 | 6.3 |  | 1.4 | 100.0 | 64.8 | 1． 6 | 62.1 |  | 1. |  | 0. |  |
|  | 100． | 66.03 .3 | 60.0 | 1.3 | 3． 1 | 11.4 | ． 7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 71.1 | 3． 3 | 65.9 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 13. |  |  |
|  | 100． C | 70.988 | 62.6 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 14.5 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 75.8 | 7.2 | 64.0 | 3． 5 | 7.1 | 21. |  | ， |
|  | 100. | 74． 21108 | 65． 5 | 6． 9 | 7.4 | 17.7 | 1.3 | 5． 2 | 100. | 77.6 | 12.2 | 65． 1 | ， | 10.0 | 23. | 2 | 6.4 |
| 12 | 10.00 | 78．517．6 | 68． 7 | 10．6 | 13.5 | 19.3 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 84．9 | 24．2 | 74.8 | 13. | 14. | 23. | 4. | 7.0 |
|  | 100．C | 83． 7 ＇29． 4 | 75.8 | 19.3 | 18.1 | 19.3 | 3． 4 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 86．1 | 34.2 | 75． 7 | 20.2 | 21. | 26. |  | 9.9 |
|  | 100． 0 | 86． 741.5 | 78.5 | 26.7 | 22.5 | 24．7 | 5.3 | 12.3 | 100. | 88 | 44.1 | 79.8 | 24. | 22 |  | 7. | 10.3 |
|  | 160.0 | 90． 5.53 .5 | 80. | 24.5 | 23.8 | 44． 7 | 15.7 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 92. | 60.4 | 78.8 | 29.7 | 27.8 | 45.6 | 15. | 8.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 89． 2158 |  | 32.3 | 25.4 |  | 5． | 10.8 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 66.7 | 82.1 | 30.8 | 29.7 | 60.0 | 28. |  |
|  | 1000 | 94．4i70． 4 | 80 | 32.4 | 29.6 | 60.6 | 33.4 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 98． | 75． 0 | 82. | 25.8 | 39.8 |  | 35． | ． 8 |
| 18 | 100.0 | 100．0：86． 1 | 83.3 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 80.5 | 44.4 | 83 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 82.1 | 83.3 | 30.9 | 41.7 | 75．0 | 38.1 | 8 |
|  |  | 100．084．2 |  |  | 36.8 | 73．7 | 47.4 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 83.1 | 84.6 | 26.1 | 40.0 |  | 50.8 | 4.6 |

## PERMANENT TEETH

In contrast to the graphs for temporary teeth，in which more boys than girls had caries，a higher percentage of girls than boys have one or more permanent teeth decayed，missing，or filled in each age group excepting the last three．（Table 3，fig．4．）As suggested in the pre－ ceding section，it may be that girls lose their temporary teeth some－ what earlier than boys，and consequently their permanent teeth erupt sooner and are exposed to caries over a longer period．The difference is more marked after eight years．About the same percentage of boys and girls between 6 and 10 years of age had five or more perma－ nent teeth decayed，missing，or filled．Among the older children， excepting those of the last two age groups，the percentage of girls was higher than the percentage of boys at each age．The percentage of girls having one or more permanent teeth decayed and unfilled tends to be higher than the percentage of boys．Practically the same percentages of boys and girls had five or more unfilled carious perma－
nent teeth at each age except after 16, when the percentage of boys is higher.

PERMANEMT TEETH


Figure 4.-Prevalence of total past decay and untreated caries in permanent teeth of boys and girls at successive years of age

Table 4.-Condition of permanent teeth of boys and girls in s-year-age groups from 6 to 17 years

| Age and sex | Total number of children | Per cent having decayed, missing, or filled |  |  |  |  | Per cent having flled |  |  |  |  | Per cent having missing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | 1 or more | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 3 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 5 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{array}$ |
| BOFs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 to 8.......- | 1,548 | 43.3 | 17.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |
| 9 to 11 | 2, 326 | 70.7 | 43.0 | 7.7 | 1.9 | . 6 | 14.8 | 5.8 | 1.0 | . 3 | 0.1 | 5.4 | . 3 |  |
| 12 to 14-...-- | 1,654 | 82.3 | 58.7 | 27.6 | 12.4 | 5.3 | 20.6 | 9.2 | 3.2 | 1.0 | . 7 | 17.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 15 to 17.....- | 474 | 90.7 | 76.8 | 57.4 | 38.4 | 23.2 | 48.1 | 30.6 | 19.2 | 11.6 | 5. 7 | 25.1 | 3.6 | . 6 |
| OIRLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 \text { to } 8$ | 1,603 | 46.7 | 21.4 | . 8 | . 2 | . 1 | 3.6 | 1.3 | .2 | . 1 |  | 9 |  |  |
| 9 to 11 | 2,363 | 75.2 | 46.8 | 7.9 | 2.5 | . 8 | 19.9 | 7.6 | 1.1 | . 3 | . 1 | 7.4 | 4 |  |
| 12 to 14 | 1,657 | 86.1 | 62.0 | 32.2 | 15.8 | 6.6 | 27.1 | 13.7 | 5.1 | 2.2 | . 8 | 18.9 | 2.3 | 2 |
| 15 to 17...--- | 606 | 93.2 | 83.0 | 65.5 | 45.4 | 26.1 | 56.3 | 38.1 | 22.9 | 11.9 | 5.9 | 31.0 | 6.6 | . 8 |

About the same proportion of boys and girls have one or more permanent teeth nearly destroyed by caries (remaining roots) up to the 12-year group. (Fig. 5.) Among all the older children the percentage of boys is considerably higher than the percentage of girls. At nearly every age a larger percentage of girls than boys have one or more permanent teeth filled. The proportions are practically the same for the two sexes among children from 6 to 8 years of age. The
percentages of girls having five or more permanent teeth filled are somewhat higher than the corresponding percentages of boys among children between 11 and 17 years of age. More girls than boys have PERMANENT TEETH


Tiguri 5.-Prevalence of markedly decayed, missing, and filled permanent teeth among boys and girls at successive years of age


Figure 6.-Extent of total past decay, fillings, and extractions of permanent teeth of boys and girls in 3-year age groups
lost at least one permanent tooth except among the 6 and 7 year old children.

In Figure 6 and Table 4 the condition of the permanent teeth of the boys and girls in 3-year-age groups is shown.

Among the 6 to 8 year old children a slightly higher percentage of girls than boys had permanent teeth decayed, missing, or filled. Few children in this or in the 9 to 11 year group had five or more permanent teeth affected, but the percentages are practically the same among boys and girls in the two oldest groups, a larger proportion of girls than of boys was affected. Among the 6 to 8 year old children few had fillings in permanent teeth, and the percentages of boys and girls are practically the same. Among the older children the percentage of girls is, on the whole, appreciably higher than that of the boys.


Figure 7.-Prevalence of total past decay, untreated caries, and fistulae in teeth of boys and girls at successive years of age

More girls than boys had had permanent teeth extracted. The difference is most pronounced among the 15 to 17 year old children.

## ALL TEETH

The graphs based on all teeth are similar to the graphs for temporary teeth in the early age groups and to those for permanent teeth among the older children. (Fig. 8, Table 5.) There is no striking difference between the percentages of boys and girls having one or more teeth decayed, missing, or filled. When children with five or more teeth decayed, missing, or filled are considered, the percentages are higher among boys in the early-age groups and among girls in the later age groups.
$91026^{\circ}-32-3$

ALL TEETH


Figure 8.-Prevalence of marked caries and finings in teeth of boys and girls at successive years of age

Table 5.-Condition of teeth of boys and girls of each age from 6 to 19 years

| Age | Total children | Boys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Decayed, missing, or filled |  | Decayed |  | $\underset{\text { roots }}{\substack{\text { Remaining }}}$ |  | Filled |  | Fistulæ, |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |

NUMBER

| 6. | 451 | 306 | 274 | 389 | 287 | 148 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | 541 | 498 | 300 | 494 | 848 | 199 | 16 | 40 | 7 | 82 |
| 8. | 556 | 523 | 392 | 514 | 370 | 225 | 17 | 59 | 11 | 4 |
| 9. | 673 | 621 | 417 | 630 | 381 | 253 | 21 | 109 | 17 | 28 |
| 10 | 804 | 747 | 407 | 717 | 352 | 255 | 9 | 137 | 16 | 21 |
| 11. | 849 | 764 | 317 | 713 | 264 | 208 | 8 | 160 | 16 | 15 |
| 12. | 650 | 570 | 214 | 523 | 152 | 131 | 6 | 130 | 14 |  |
| 13. | 595 | 525 | 209 | 480 | 143 | 97 | 1 | 116 | 20 |  |
| 14. | 400 | 353 | 178 | 321 | 115 | 63 | 3 | 100 | 20 |  |
| 15. | 273 | 246 | 148 | 221 | 71 | 40 | 3 | 121 | 43 |  |
| 16. | 130 | 118 | 76 | 102 | 42 | 14 | 0 | 61 | 20 |  |
| 17 | 71 | 67 | 50 | 57 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 28 |  |
| 18 | 36 | 36 | 31 | 30 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 16 | 0 |
|  | 19 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 0 |

PER CENT

| 6.-.-...............-- | 100.0 | 87.8 | 60.7 | 80.3 | 59.2 | 328 | 44 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 10.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | 100.0 | 92.1 | 68.8 | 91.3 | 64.3 | 36.8 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 13 | 8.9 |
| 8 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 70.5 | 92.4 | 68.5 | 40.5 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 20 | 7.9 |
| 9. | 100.0 | 92.3 | 62.0 | 93.6 | 56.6 | 37.6 | 3.1 | 16.2 | 25 | 3.7 |
| 10 | 100.0 | 92. | 50.6 | 80.2 | 43.8 | 31.7 | 1.1 | 17.0 | 20 | 26 |
| 11. | 100.0 | 90.0 | 37.3 | 84.0 | 31.1 | 21.5 | . 9 | 18.8 | 1.9 | 18 |
| 12 | 100.0 | 86.5 | 82.5 | 79.4 | 23.1 | 10.9 | . 9 | 19.7 | 2.1 | 12 |
| 13. | 100.0 | 88.2 | 35.1 | 80.7 | 24.0 | 16.8 | .2 | 19.5 | 3.4 | . 5 |
| 14 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 44.5 | 80.3 | 28.7 | 15.7 | . 7 | 25.0 | 6.0 | . 7 |
| 15. | 100.0 | 90.1 | 54.2 | 80.9 | 28.0 | 14.7 | 1.1 | 44.3 | 16.7 | 1.8 |
| 16 | 100.0 | 90.8 | 58.5 | 78.5 | 32.3 | 10.8 |  | 40.0 | 15.4 | . 8 |
| 17. | 100.0 | 94.4 | 70.4 | 80.3 | 324 | 9.9 |  | 60.6 | 39.4 | 1.4 |
| 18. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86.1 | 83.3 | 41.7 | 8.3 |  | 80.5 | 44.4 |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.2 | 84.2 | 52.6 | 15.8 |  | 73.7 | 47.4 |  |

Table 5.-Condition of teeth of boys and girls of each age from 6 to 19 years-Con.

| Age | Total children | Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Decayed. missing, or flled |  | Decayed |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Remaining } \\ \text { roots } \end{gathered}$ |  | Filled |  | Fistulen, |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5} \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |
| NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 462 | 405 | 283 | 400 | 279 | 160 | 9 | 24 | 2 | 47 |
|  | 581 | 537 | 370 | 528 | 363 | 211 | 14 | 43 | 4 | 40 |
| 8. | 560 | 529 | 377 | 520 | 361 | 207 | 11 | 58 | 9 | 41 |
|  | 662 | 617 | 382 | 602 | 333 | 178 | 12 | 115 | 17 | 23 |
| 10 | 848 | 768 | 359 | 718 | 277 | 193 | 6 | 209 | 19 | 20 |
| 11. | 853 | 733 | 276 | 652 | 190 | 157 | 3 | 209 | 22 | 12 |
| 12. | 702 | 620 | 228 | 556 | 147 | 108 | 2 | 169 | 30 | 5 |
| 13. | 588 | 519 | 218 | 458 | 132 | 78 | 0 | 156 | 28 | 2 |
| 14. | 367 | 327 | 163 | 294 | 92 | 40 | 0 | 130 | 27 | 3 |
| 15 | 283 | 261 | 172 | 223 | 84 | 28 | 1 | 128 | 43 | 8 |
| 16 | 195 | 183 | 130 | 160 | 60 | 15 | 0 | 118 | 52 | 0 |
| 17. | 128 | 121 | 97 | 105 | 34 | 9 | 0 | 96 | 45 | 0 |
| 18. | 84 | 83 | 69 | 70 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 63 | 32 | 1 |
| 19... | 65 | 64 | 54 | 55 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 50 | 32 | 1 |
| PER CENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 100.0 | 87.7 | 61.3 | 86.6 | 60.4 | 34.6 | 1.9 | b. 2 | 0.4 | 10.2 |
| 7 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 63.7 | 90.9 | 62.5 | 36.3 | 2.4 | 7.4 | . 7 | 6.9 |
| 8. | 100.0 | 94.5 | 67.3 | 92.9 | 64.5 | 37.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 1.6 | 7.3 |
| 9 | 100.0 | 93.2 | 57.7 | 90.9 | 50.3 | 26.9 | 1.8 | 17.4 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| 10 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 42.3 | 84.7 | 32.7 | 22.7 | . 7 | 24.6 | 2.2 | 23 |
| 11. | 100.0 | 85.9 | 32.4 | 76.4 | 223 | 18.4 | .3 | 24.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| 12. | 100.0 | 88.3 | 32.5 | 79.2 | 20.9 | 15.4 | . 3 | 24.1 | 4.3 | . 7 |
| 13. | 100.0 | 88.3 | 37.1 | 77.9 | 22.4 | 13.3 |  | 28.5 | 4.8 | . 3 |
| 14. | 100.0 | 89.1 | 44.4 | 80.1 | 25.1 | 10.9 |  | 35.4 | 7.3 | . 8 |
| 15 | 100.0 | 922 | 60.8 | 78.8 | 29.7 | 9.2 | . 3 | 45. 2 | 15. 2 | 1.1 |
| 16. | 100.0 | 93.8 | 66.7 | 82.1 | 30.8 | 7.7 |  | 60.5 | 28.7 |  |
| 17. | 100.0 | 94.5 | 75.8 | 820 | 26.8 | 7.0 |  | 75.0 | 35.1 |  |
| 18. | 100.0 | 98.8 | 82.1 | 83.3 | 30.9 | 5.9 |  | 75.0 | 38.1 | 1.2 |
| 19...-- | 100.0 | 98.5 | 83.1 | 84.6 | 26.1 | 4.6 |  | 76.9 | 49.2 | 1.5 |

In most groups under 12 years of age higher percentages of boys than of girls had unfilled carious teeth. Among children 12 years of age and over, practically the same proportion of boys and girls were so affected. In nearly every age group a higher percentage of boys than girls had five or more unfilled carious teeth.

Practically the same proportions of boys and girls in each age group had teeth with fistulæ.

The proportions of boys and girls in 3-year-age groups having teeth decayed, missing, or filled are shown in Figure 9 and Table 6.

Table 6.-Condition of teeth of boys and of girls in 8 -year-age groups from 6 to 17 years

| Ase and ser | Total number of children | Per cent having decayed, missing, or filled |  |  |  |  | Per cent having flled |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | 7 or more | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |
| BOY8 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,548 \\ 2,326 \\ 1,654 \\ 474 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.5 \\ & 91.7 \\ & 87.5 \\ & 90.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.8 \\ & 73.5 \\ & 64.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 to 8.- |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 66.3 \\ & 49.1 \\ & 36.3 \end{aligned}$ | 48.828.8 | 30.814.2 | 7.717.5 | 3.57.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.1.3 |
| 9 to 11. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 to 14. |  |  |  |  | 15.7 | 7.1 | 20.9 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 1.1 | . 7 |
| 15 to 17. |  |  | 77.0 | 57.8 | 38.4 | 23.4 | 47.5 | 30.4 | . 19.2 | 11.6 | E. 7 |
| CIRLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 to 8...- | $\begin{array}{r} 1,603 \\ 2,363 \\ 1,657 \\ 608 \end{array}$ | 91.889.6 | 79.3 | 64.343.0 | 48.821.9 | 30.19.9 | 7.722.6 | 3.39.2 | 2.9 ${ }^{.9}$ | . 4 | .2.2.8 |
| 9 to 11. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 to 14- |  | 88.583.2 | 66.483.0 | 36.865.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 18.1 \\ 45.9 \end{array}$ | 7.528.2 | 27.556.4 | 13.813.838.3 | 2.123.1 | 2.2 |  |
| 15 to 17. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.9 | 4.8 |



Frocer 9.-Extent of tetal past decay and filings in teeth of boye and girls in 8-year age groupe
From 6 to 8 years the incidence of past and present decay is practically the same for both sexes. However, among 9 to 11 year old children a larger percentage of boys than of girls have teeth that are or have been carious. The ratio of boys to girls becomes somewhat greater when children having larger numbers of affected teeth are considered. In the group from 12 to 14 years the percentages are again very nearly alike for both sexes. From 15 to 17 years the proportion of girls affected is higher.

A marked contrast is evident in the graphs showing the percentages of boys and girls having fillings. Between 6 and 8 years of age the percentages are much the same for both sexes. Among the older children, however, a considerably greater proportion of girls than of
boys have one or more filled teeth. The ratio gradually lessens as children having larger numbers of filled teeth are considered. In the last group practically the same percentages of boys and girls had seven or more and nine or more teeth which had been filled.

## SUMMARY

TEMPORARY TEETH
At each age except among the very youngest children more boys than girls have carious or filled temporary teeth. (Fig. 1.)

A considerably higher percentage of boys than of girls in most age groups had markedly decayed temporary teeth. (Fig. 2.)

There was no sex difference in the prevalence of temporary teeth with fistulæ. (Fig. 2.)

## PERMANENT TEETH

On the whole, more girls than boys had permanent teeth decayed, missing, or filled. (Fig. 4.)

The prevalence of unfilled carious permanent teeth was practically the same among boys and girls. (Fig. 4.)

A higher proportion of girls than of boys had had permanent teeth extracted. (Fig. 5.)

Among children 12 years of age and older, more boys than girls had markedly decayed permanent teeth. (Fig. 5.)

In most age groups, a considerably higher percentage of girls than of boys had had one or more permanent teeth filled. (Fig. 5.)

About the same percentage of boys and girls had five or more filled permanent teeth. (Fig. 5.)

## ALL TEETH

A greater proportion of boys among the younger children and of girls among the older children had decayed, missing, or filled teeth. (Fig. 7.)

Among the younger children, more boys than girls had unfilled carious teeth. Among older children, there was little difference between the sexes, except that a considerably higher number of boys than girls in the last three age groups had five or more unfilled carious teeth. (Fig. 7.)

The prevalence of teeth with fistule was practically the same among boys and girls. (Fig. 7.)

A very much higher percentage of boys than of girls had markedly decayed teeth. (Fig. 8.)

On the whole, more girls than boys had teeth with fillings. (Fig. 8.)

## A TRACHOMA SURVEY IN THE RIO GRANDE VALLEY OF TEXAS

By C. E. Ricm, Passed Assistant Surgeon, United States Public Health Service

Because of the repeated reports of trachoma in considerable amount in the citrus region of the Rio Grande Valley in Texas, the assistance of the United States Public Health Service was requested by the Texas State Department of Health in making a survey to determine the actual prevalence of trachoma in this region. The survey was begun on March 5, 1931, by representatives of the Public Health Service and State department of health jointly.

## SCOPE OF GURVET

During a period of six weeks there were examined 11,054 school children in attendance at 76 schools in Cameron, Willacy, Hidalgo, and Starr Counties in southeastern Texas. In addition, visits were made to 25 homes of Mexicans living in and around Brownsville. The homes selected for visits were those from which children in school showed marked granular involvement of the conjunctiva of the eyelids. This part of the survey was difficult, owing to fears aroused because of inability to understand what was desired and also because some of the homes visited were entirely deserted at the time on account of the absence of the families en masse at work in the fields.

## PLAN OF STUDY

The preliminary work of finding the suspicious cases was done by a nurse specially trained in trachoma work, assisted by public health nurses, during the period March 5 to April 14, 1931. Diagnostic clinics, during the period April 16-22, 1931, were held at certain points by medical experts for the examination of each suspected case thus uncovered.

## RESULTS

In all, 44 cases of trachoma were uncovered, and in 40 of these the disease had apparently been contracted in or in the vicinity of the Rio Grande Valley. Eight of the 40 were arrested cases without sufficient corneal involvement to cause any loss of vision and had never been treated. A Mexican janitor in one large city school had the most active case seen in adults. This particular case showed the characteristic purplish coloration in the upper cul-de-sac, with some papillary overgrowth and marked invasion of the corneas by pannus.

Suspected cases found by the nurses were examined at 11 diagnostic clinics held in Cameron and Hidalgo Counties. In those clinics 119 adults and 1,747 children between the ages of 1 and 20 were examined with the results shown in Table 1.

Table 1.-Results of examination of suspected cases at 11 diagnostic clinics

| Condition | Adults | Children | Condition | Adults | Children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trachoma. | 7368 | 3772625 | Conjunctivitis <br> Negative. |  | 141872 |
| Suspected trachoma |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Cataract........ |  |  | Total | 119 | 1,747 |

Because of the predominance of folliculosis, these cases were studied from the standpoint of age distribution and location.

Age distribution

|  | $\mathrm{Up}_{5} \text { to }$ | 5 to 9 | 9 to 14 | 14 to 20 | Adults |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age distribution of total number examined Age distribution of cases of folliculosis...... | 43 12 | 619 439 | 919 168 | 113 | ${ }_{8}^{119}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Ages not given in 53 cases.
It is very evident that folliculosis was largely confined to children in the primary grades and was almost negative in the higher grades.

The following figures show the high percentage of folliculosis found in the individual schools:
201

Folliculosis ..... 122
Percentage of folliculosis ..... 67
2. Santa Maria School (largely attended by Mexican children):
Total examined ..... 164
Folliculosis ..... 90
Percentage of folliculosis ..... 54.9
3. Rio Hondo School (largely attended by American children):
Total examined ..... 418
Folliculosis ..... 109
Percentage of folliculosis ..... 26.1

There was observed a high percentage of folliculosis among the children examined in the other schools.

## THERAPEUTIC DIAGNOSIS

The children attending the Santa Maria school in Cameron County, presenting evidence of follicular involvement of the eyelids, were placed under treatment in which a 2 per cent solution of mercurochrome or a one-fourth per cent solution of zinc sulphate was used. This treatment was administered by the teachers and older students. A reexamination of 48 pupils of this school treated in the above manner for folliculosis over a period of five weeks showed that 33, or 69 per cent, had become clinically negative. Considering the very irregular attendance of many Mexican children, because they are required by their parents to work in the fields, these results may be considered most excellent.

As these children had the same type of conjunctival involvement that is found to be so prevalent in the Rio Grande Valley, the prompt clearing up of the condition under mild astringents and antiseptics is evidence in favor of the nontrachomatous nature of their lid pathology.

## METHOD OF EXAMINATION

All those presenting themselves at the diagnostic clinics had the eyelids of both eyes well everted so as to expose a generous portion of the upper and lower cul-de-sac. The observation of the conjunctiva thus exposed was made in natural light. In 90 per cent of all individuals the hand slit-lamp was used for examining the cornea for opacities and for pannus. The early commencement of pannus can not be seen without some magnification and focal light.

## PATHOLOGY

Pannus was noted in all of the few cases of trachoma examined. In the arrested cases, scar tissue was quite evident in the cul-de-sac, more in the upper than the lower, and the pannus was ghostlike or markedly attenuated. The papillary type was more predominant.

In the many cases of folliculosis the granules were usually large and numerous, and on everting the upper lid these granules would often roll out to the extent of obscuring the cornea. On close observation, blood vessels could be made out at the base of the granules. The lids were quite pliable. In the lower lids the granules were also numerous; but on stretching the conjunctiva to separate the granules, blood vessels could usually be made out. On observing the corneas in these cases with the slit lamp there was not the least suspicion of blood vessel penetration of corneal tissue, and the corneas were always smooth and clear.

In the total examined there were only two cases of corneal opacity, both caused by trachoma and both in adults-one from Minnesota and the other from central east Texas. Only one case of lid distortion, due to trachoma, was observed-in an adult from the vicinity of the Oklahoma border.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Trachoma at the present time is but a limited public health problem in the citrus belt of the Rio Grande Valley, both among Americans and Mexicans. It is believed that the high living standards among the American population of this region precludes the possibility of trachoma ever becoming much of a problem in this region.
2. The instillation of zinc sulphate or mercurochrome solution in the conjunctival sac of children showing follicular involvement apparently clears up most of such conditions in this region. However, to be most effective this treatment should be supplemented by
instruction in personal hygiene, including cleanliness and the use of individual towels. It is not believed necessary to keep from school the children receiving the above treatment.
3. It is recommended that cases that show but little improvement after two months of treatment should be grattaged, including both upper and lower lids, preferably under local anesthesia. This should be followed for some time with 2 per cent silver nitrate solution applied to the everted lids and then irrigated off.
4. The general population and the physicians of this region are greatly interested in school health supervision, and their full cooperation in any campaign for the improvement of health and sanitation in schools may be taken for granted.

## COURT DECISION RELATING TO PUBLIC HEALTH

City held not liable to cemetery ouners for damages resulting from ordinance forbidding burials within city.-(California District Court of Appeal, Second District; Hand et al. $v$. City of Whittier, 4 P. (2d) 273; decided Oct. 22, 1931.) The people of the city of Whittier, by direct vote, adopted an ordinance declaring that the burial of the dead within the city was dangerous to life and detrimental to the public health and forbidding the interment of dead bodies in any cemetery within the corporate limits. The plaintiffs, who were the owners of a small cemetery located in a thinly populated portion of the city, brought an action against the city for damages caused by "said ordinance and the unreasonable, arbitrary caprice and unrestrained will of the municipality and the refusal of the officers thereof to issue permits for burials." The judgment of the trial court was in favor of the city, and, in affirming this judgment, the appellate court said:

It is undisputed by appellants that the passage of the ordinance in question was an aet by the city of Whittier in the exercise of a governmental function. In such circumstances, in the absence of any statute to the contrary, the principle of law is well established that an action for damages against the city will not lie. (18 Cal. Jur. 1091, 19 R. C. L. 1083.)

## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED DECEMBER 12, 1931

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies
for the week ended December 12, 1931, and corresponding week of
the Weekly Health Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census,
(Fommerce)
Comartment of

Deaths ${ }^{1}$ from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended December 12, 1931; infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1930. (From the Weekly Health Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)
[The rates published in this summary are based upon mid-year population estimates derived from the 1930 census]


[^5]Deaths ${ }^{1}$ from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended December 12, 1931; infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1930. (From the Weekly Health Index, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)-Continued

| City | Week ended Dec. 12, 1931 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corresponding } \\ \text { week } \end{gathered}$ |  | Death rate ${ }^{2}$ for the first 50 weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total deaths | Death rate ${ }^{2}$ | Deaths under 1 year | Infant mortality rate rate ${ }^{2}$ | Death rate ${ }^{2}$ | Deaths under 1 year | 1931 | 1930 |
| Milwaukee. | 88 | 7.7 | 8 | 36 | 9.6 | 11 | 9.1 | 9.6 |
| Minneapolis | 96 | 10.6 | 6 | 39 | 13.4 | 14 | 10.9 | 10.7 |
| Nashville -- | 56 | 18.8 | 11 | 166 | 14.2 | 3 | 16.7 | 16.5 |
| White ${ }^{6}$ | 35 | 16.2 | 5 | 99 | 12.2 | 3 | 14.4 | 13.9 |
| Colored | 21 | 25.6 | 6 | 377 | 19.4 | 0 | 23.0 | 23.1 |
| New Bedford? | 24 | 11.1 | 1 | 26 | 8.8 | 2 | 120 | 11.0 |
| New Haven-- | 39 | 12.5 | 1 | 15 | 9.3 | 3 | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| New Orleans ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 136 | 15. 2 | 13 | 73 | 17.2 | 18 | 16.5 | 17.3 |
| White | 78 | 12.2 | 5 | 42 | 13.8 | 11 | 13.5 | 14.3 |
| Colored | 58 | 22.5 | 8 | 132 | 25.7 | 7 | 24.1 | 24.9 |
| New York | 1,392 | 10.2 | 88 | 38 | 10.6 | 119 | 11.0 | 10.7 |
| Bronx Borough.. | 215 | 8.4 | 14 | 40 | 7.3 | 12 | 8.1 | 7.8 |
| Brooktyn Borough | 480 | 9.7 | 31 | 33 | 9.5 | 33 | 10.1 | 9.8 |
| Manhattan Boroug | 514 | 14.8 | 34 | 45 | 16.3 | 54 | 16.5 | 15.9 |
| Queens Borough.. | 140 | 6.3 | 7 | 28 | 7.4 | 16 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| Richmond Borough | 33 | 10.5 | 2 | 38 | 12.1 | 4 | 13.4 | 13.8 |
| Newark, N. J. | ${ }_{69} 9$ | 11.1 | 5 | 27 113 | 11.7 | 4 | 11.4 | 12.0 |
| Oklahoma City | 38 | 10.1 | 7 | 98 | 19.0 | 1 | 10.6 | 10.9 |
| Omaha... | 50 | 12.0 | 5 | 58 | 16.5 | 7 | 13.8 | 13.5 |
| Paterson. | 36 | 13.5 | 4 | 68 | 8.3 | 2 | 13.2 | 12.0 |
| Peoria. | 23 | 11.1 | 1 | 28 | 13.8 | 5 | 12.4 | 12.3 |
| Philadelphia | 434 | 11.5 | 39 | 57 | 12.3 | 48 | 12.8 | 12.6 |
| Pittsburgh | 170 | 13.1 | 16 | 56 | 15.0 | 19 | 14.3 | 13.8 |
| Portland, Oreg. | 83 | 14.1 | 3 | 37 | 11.4 | 3 | 11.6 | 12.1 |
| Providence | 81 | 16.6 | 7 | 64 | 10.7 | 5 | 12.6 | 12.8 |
| Richmond ${ }^{6}$ | 53 | 15.0 | 5 | 73 | 15.7 | 3 | 15. 3 | 14.9 |
| White | 33 | 13. 1 | 2 | 44 | 10.8 | 3 | 12.9 | 12.2 |
| Colored | 20 | 19.7 | 3 | 130 | 27.5 |  | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Rochester. | 69 | 10.8 | 4 | 37 | 8.7 | 4 | 11.7 | 11.5 |
| St. Louis | 187 | 11.8 | 11 | 40 | 13.4 | 7 | 14.8 | 14.0 |
| St. Paul. | 46 | 8.7 | 2 | 21 | 10.7 | 2 | 10.4 | 10.1 |
| Salt Lake City ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 31 | 11.3 | 1 | 15 | 14.8 | 4 | 12.0 | 12.6 |
| Ean Antonio. | 55 | 11.9 | 8 |  | 15. 2 | 5 | 14.1 | 15.8 |
| San Diego..- | 43 | 14.3 | 3 | 62 | 15.7 | 1 | 13.6 | 14.5 |
| San Francisco. | 173 | 13.9 | 8 | 63 | 13.9 | 9 | 12.9 | 13.0 |
| 8 chenectady. | 23 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 10. 3 | 2 | 10.9 | 11.1 |
| Seattle.... | 83 | 11.6 | 3 | 30 | 12.4 | 8 | 11.3 | 10.9 |
| Somerville. | 24 | 11.9 | 2 | 62 | 10.5 | 3 | 8.8 | 9.6 |
| South Bend | 13 | 6.3 | 1 | 28 | 9.9 | 3 | 8.0 | 9. 0 |
| Spokane-- | 34 | 15.2 | 1 | 28 | 9.9 | 0 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| Springfield, Mass | 32 | 10.9 | 1 | 17 | 11.1 |  | 11.4 | 12.0 |
| Syracuse. | 42 25 | 10.3 | 4 | 49 | 10.4 |  | 11.5 | 11.6 |
| Tacoma | ${ }_{72}^{25}$ | 12.1 | 2 | 56 38 | 18.5 | 8 | 123 11.8 | 12.5 |
| Trenedo-..- | 72 | 12.6 | 4 | 38 37 | 13.6 17.3 | 8 5 | 11.8 16.2 | 126 16.6 |
| Trenton. | 37 29 | 114.8 | 2 3 | 37 84 | 17.3 8.7 | 5 | 16.2 14.2 | 16.6 14.5 |
| Washington, D. C.- | 140 | 14.9 | 14 | 78 | 14.2 | 9 | 15.9 | 15.2 |
| White. | 82 | 12.0 | 3 | 25 | 11.2 | 6 | 13.5 | 13.0 |
| Colored | 58 | 22.4 | 11 | 188 | 22.3 | 3 | 22.1 | 20.9 |
| Waterbury- | 13 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 9.9 | 2 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| Wilmington, Del. | 39 | 19.1 | 5 | 113 | 14.7 | 4 | 13.8 | 14.4 |
| Worcestar- | 40 | 10.6 | 2 | 29 | 13.6 | 3 | 12.0 | 127 |
| Yonkers | 24 | 9.0 | 2 | 48 | 8.9 | 3 | 8.3 | 88.1 |
| Youngstown. | 16 | 4.8 | 4 | 55 | 11.0 | 3 | 9.8 | 10.4 |

[^6]
## PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

## No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

## UNITED STATES

## CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

These reports are preliminary and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

Reports for Weeks Ended December 19, 1931, and December 20, 1930
Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended December 19, 1931, and December 20, 1930


[^7]Cases of certain communicable diseases roported by telograph by State health officers for weeks ended December 19, 1931, and December 80, 1990-Continued


[^8]Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended December 19, 1931, and December 20, 1930-Continued

| Division and State | Poliomyolitis |  | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  | Typhoid fever |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Week ended Dec. 19, 193 | Week ended Dec. 20, 1930 | Week ended Dec. 19, 1931 | Week ended Dec. 20, 193 | Weok ended Dec. 19, 193 | Weak ended Dec. 20, 1930 | Week onded Dec. 19, 1831 | Week ended Dec. 20, 1930 |
| Mountain States: | 1 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r}36 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ 21 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana.. |  |  |  | 25 |  | 28 | 30 |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  | 21 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Colorado. |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| New Mexico. |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Arizona... |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Utah ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pacific States: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 006 |  |
| Washington.. | 1 | 0 | 50 | 51 | 10 | 18 |  | 3 |
| Oregon-...... | 0 | 0 | 19 | 84 | 11 | 54 |  | ${ }_{10}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2 Week ended Friday.
${ }^{2}$ Typhus fever, 1931, 5 cases; 2 cases in South Carolina, 1 case in Georgia, 1 case in Alabama, and 1 case in California.

## SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of cases reportod monthly by States is published weekly and covers only those 8tates from which reports are received during the current week:


| Diarrhea and enteritis（u | Cases | Rabies in antmals： | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ohio．．．．．．．．．．．．．e． | 29 | Maryland． | 2 |
| Dysentery： |  | South Carolina． | 9 |
| Marsland． | 22 | Scabies： |  |
| Ohio．． | 1 | Maryland． | 20 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | Septic sore throat： |  |
| Porto Rico． | 105 | Idaho． | 6 |
| Filariasis： |  | Maryland | 11 |
| Porto Rico． | 31 | Now Mexico． | 1 |
| Food poisoning： |  | Ohio | 81 |
| Ohio． | 8 | Tetanus： |  |
| German meas＇es： |  | Maryland． | 8 |
| Maryland． | 14 | New Jersey． | － 1 |
| New Jersey | 23 | New Mexico． | 1 |
| New Mexico | 1 | Ohio． | － 1 |
| Ohio． | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2 |
| Pennsylvania． | 52 | Porto Rico． | 6 |
| Hookworm disease： |  | Tetanus，infantile： |  |
| Pennsylvanis． | 1 | Porto Rico | 18 |
| South Carolina | 74 | Trachoma： |  |
| Impetigo contagiosa： |  | Indiana | － 1 |
| Maryland．． | 61 | Maryiand | － 1 |
| North Dakota． | 3 | New Jersey． | 2 |
| Lead poisoning： |  | New Mexico． | － 1 |
| New Jersey． | 1 | North Dakota | 1 |
| Ohio． | 14 | Ohio | － 6 |
| Lethargic encephalitis： |  | Pennsylvania | － 6 |
| Alabama． | 1 | Porto Rico． | 15 |
| Maryland． | 1 | Trichinosis： |  |
| New Jersey． | 1 | New Jersey－ | 2 |
| Ohio．．．．．．－ | 7 | Tularaemia： |  |
| Pennsylvania． | 3 | Indians | － 1 |
| South Carolina | 2 | Marsland | － 2 |
| Mumps： |  | Ohio | 4 |
| Alabama． | 22 | West Virginia | － 8 |
| Idaho． | 72 | Typhus fever： |  |
| Indiana． | 63 | Alabama． | 13 |
| Maryland． | 123 | South Carolina | 1 |
| New Jersey． | 87 | Undulant fever： |  |
| New Mexico | 17 | Indiana | 4 |
| North Dakota． | 33 | Maryland． | － 4 |
| Ohio． | 547 | New Jersey． | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 1，108 | New Mexico． | 1 |
| Porto Rico． | 8 | Ohio． | 3 |
| South Carolina | 58 | Pennsylvania | 2 |
| Ophthalmia neonatorum： |  | Vincent＇s angina： |  |
| Maryland． | 3 | Maryland．－ | 12 |
| New Jersey． | 4 | North Dakota． | 40 |
| Ohio． | 50 | Whooping cough： |  |
| Pennsylvania | 14 | Alabama． | 61 |
| Porto Rico． | 8 | Indiana． | 187 |
| South Carolina | 11 | Maryland．． | 590 |
| Paratyphoid fever： |  | New Jersey．． | 61 |
| Ohio． | 1 | North Dakota | 22 |
| Porto Rico． | 4 | Ohio－．．．．．． | 1，321 |
| South Carolina | 5 | Pennsylvania | 1，743 |
| Puerperal septicemia： |  | Porto Rico－ | 192 |
| Ohio． | 3 | West Virginia． | 213 |
| Pennsylvania． | 21 | Yaws： |  |
| Porto Rico．．．．．．．． | 8 | Porto Rico．．．． | 70 |

## ysentery：

Marリland．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．
Phio 1
Pennsyivania

Porto Rico．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 31
ood poleoning：
Ohio．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．
German meas＇es：
Maryland．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 14
New Jersey ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－． 23
New Mexico ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 1
Ohio ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 12
Pennsylvania．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 52
Pem
South Carolina．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 74
petigo contagiosa：
Maryland．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．
Lead poisoning：
New Jersey．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 1
Ohio ．．．．．．－．－．－．．．．．－．－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 14
itis：
Nabama．
New Jersey
Pennsylcania．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 3
South Carolina－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－．－．－－－－－－ 2
Alabama
Idaho ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 72
相
Maryland．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 87
New Me
North Dakota－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 33
Ohio ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 647
Pennsylvanis ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－1， 10
Porto Rico．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 8
South Carolina..-- ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－－ 58

New Jersey ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 4
Ohio－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 50
Pennsylvania．－．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 14
Porto Rico ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－ 8
South Carolina．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－ 11
Paratyphoid fever：
Ohio ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 1
Porto Rico ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 4

Puerperal septicemia：

Pennsylvania．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 21
Porto Rico．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 8
Rabies in antmals： CasesSouth Carolina0
Maryland ..... 20
Idaho ..... 6New Mexico1
Ohio ..... 81
Maryland ..... 8New Mexio1Pennsylvania2
Porto Rico ..... 6
Porto Rico ..... 18
ndiana ..... 1
New Jersey ..... 2
North Dakota ..... 16
Porto Rico ..... 15
New Jersey ..... 2
Indians ..... 1Ohio4
West Virginia ..... 8
Alabama ..... 13
解4
Maryland ..... 4
New Mexico ..... 12
Mond
12
12
North Dakota ..... 40
Alabama ..... 61Maryland590
New Jersey2
North Dakota1， 321
Pennsylvania ..... 723
Porto
72
West Virginia ..... 213
aws：Porto Rico70

## RECIPROCAL NOTIFICATIONS

Notifications regarding communicable diseases sent during the month of November, 1981 by departments of health of States named to other State health departments

| Disease | $\begin{gathered} \text { Califor- } \\ \text { nia } \end{gathered}$ | Connecticut | Illinois | Massachusetts | $\underset{\text { sota }}{\text { Minno- }}$ | New <br> York |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diphtheria |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Leprosy -.....-----7- | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathargic encephalitis. |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Meningococcus meningitis |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Poliomyelitis...........-. |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Scarlet fever.... |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Syphilis....... |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Tuberculosis. | 5 |  | 4 |  | 30 |  |
| Typhoid fever- | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Undulent fever |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

## ADMISSIONS TO HOSPITALS FOR THE INSANE, SEPTEMBER, 1929

Reports for the month of September, 1929, showing new admissions to hospitals for the care and treatment of the insane, were received by the Public Health Service from 118 hospitals, located in 41 States, the District of Columbia, and the Territory of Hawaii. The 118 hospitals had 184,242 patients on September 30, 1929, 97,889 males and 86,353 females, the ratio being 113 males per 100 females.

The following table shows the number of new admissions for the month of September, 1929, by psychoses:

| Psychoses | Number of first admissions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1. Traumatic psychoses. | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 2. Senile psychoses. | 179 | 132 | 311 |
| 3. Psychoses with cerebral arteriosclerosis. | 182 | 94 | 276 |
| 4. General paralysis. | 210 | 70 | 280 |
| 5. Psychoses with cerebral syphilis. | 26 | 13 | 39 |
| 6. Psychoses with Huntington's chorea | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 7. Psychoses with brain tumor- | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8. Psychoses with other brain or nervous $d$ | 23 | 17 | 40 |
| 9. Alcoholic psychoses. | 131 | 16 | 147 |
| 10. Psychoses due to drugs and other exogen | 9 | 9 | 18 |
| 11. Psychoses with pellagra-..-------.-. | 17 | 29 | 46 |
| 12. Psychoses with other somatic diseases. | 28 | 38 | 66 |
| 13. Manic-depressive psychoses. | 174 | 248 | 422 |
| 14. Involution melancholia. | 20 | 42 | 62 |
| 15. Dementia prøcor (schizophrenia) | 350 | 265 | 615 |
| 16. Paranoia and paranoid conditions. | 37 | 52 | 89 |
| 17. Epileptic psychoses.......... | 40 | 36 | 76 |
| 18. Psychoneuroses and neuroses | 20 | 43 | 63 |
| 19. Psychoses with psychopathic personalit | 14 | 8 | 22 |
| 20. Psychoses with mental deficiency. | 64 | 58 | 122 |
| 21. Undiagnosed psychoses. | 139 | 79 | 218 |
| 22. Without psychosis..... | 154 | 60 | 214 |
| Total. | 1,828 | 1,314 | 3, 142 |

During the month of September, 1929, there were 3,142 new admissions to the hospitals, 58.2 per cent of these being males and 41.8 per cent females, the ratio being 139 males per 100 females. Four hundred and thirty-two of the new admissions were reported as undiagnosed or "without psychosis." There were 2,710 new admissions for which provisional diagnoses were made. Cf these 2,710
patients, cases of dementia præcox constituted 22.7 per cent; manicdepressive psychoses, 15.6 per cent; senile psychoses, 11.5 per cent; general paralysis, 10.3 per cent; and psychoses with cerebral arteriosclerosis, 10.2 per cent. These five classes accounted for 70.3 per cent of the new admissions for which diagnoses were given.

The following table shows the number of patients in the hospitals and on parole on September 30, 1929:

|  | Total patients on books |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| Total patients on books last day of month: |  |  |  |
|  | 87,340 10,549 | 78,117 8,236 | $\begin{array}{r} 165,457 \\ 18,785 \end{array}$ |
| Total. | 97, 889 | 86, 353 | 184, 242 |

Of the 184,242 patients, 10,549 males and 8,236 females were on parole or otherwise absent but still on the books at the end of the month- 10.8 per cent of the males, 9.5 per cent of the females, and 10.2 per cent of the total number of patients.

## GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

The 97 cities reporting cases used in the following table are situated in all parts of the country and have an estimated aggregate population of more than $33,400,000$. The estimated population of the 90 cities reporting deaths is more than $31,855,000$. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics.

Weeks ended December 12, 1931, and December 13, 1950


## City reports for week ended December 18, 1951

The "estimated expectancy" diven for diphtheria, pohiomyolitis, scarlet frver, smallpor, and typhold fever is the result of an attempt to ascortain from previous occurrence the number of cases of the disease under consideration that may be expected to occur during a certain weok in the absence of epidemics. It is based on roports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most inetances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding weels of the preceding years. Whan the reports include several epldemics, or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are exctuded and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases roported for the week during nonepidemic years.
If the reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many yearsas possibly but no year earlier than 1922 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy, the figures are smoothed when necessary to avoid abrupt deviation from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the table the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectanoy.


City reports for week ended December 12, 1931—Continued


City reports for week ended December 18, 1981-Continued


City reports for week ended December 12, 1931—Continued


Cüy reports for woek ended December 18, 1951-Continued


[^9]City reports for week ended December 12, 1931—Continued


City reports for week ended December 12, 1951-Continued

${ }^{1}$ Typhus fever, 5 cases and 1 death: 1 case and 1 death at Charleston, S. C.; 1 case at Savannah, Ga;; and 3 cases at Tampa, Fla.

The following table gives the rates per 100,000 population for 98 cities for the 5-week period ended December 12, 1931, compared with those for a like period ended December 13, 1930. The population figures used in computing the rates are estimated midyear populations for 1930 and 1931, respectively, derived from the 1930 census. The 98 cities reporting cases have an estimated aggregate population of more than $33,000,000$. The 91 cities reporting deaths have more than $31,500,000$ estimated population.
Summary of weekly reports from cities, November 8 to December 12, 1931-Annual rates per 100,000 population compared with rates for the corresponding period of $1930{ }^{\text {i }}$

DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

|  | W eek ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nor. 14, 1831 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 15, \\ 1030 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 21, \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | Nov. 22, | Nor. 28. 1931 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 29,{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & \mid \mathbf{5 , 1 9 3 1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 6,1930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 12,1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dee. } \\ 13,1930 \end{gathered}$ |
| 98 cities. | 96 | 89 | 96 | 100 | 84 | 87 | 101 | ${ }^{2} 90$ | 93 | 187 |
| New England. | 50 | 82 | 70 | 123 | 67 | 87 | 58 | 121 | 70 | 128 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 52 | 44 | 53 | 52 | 58 | 48 | 54 | 58 | 59 | 47 |
| East North Central. | 80 | 128 | 91 | 124 | 71 | 122 | 94 | 112 | 86 | 120 |
| West North Central | 184 | 107 | 174 | 110 | 138 | 110 | 222 | 101 | 168 | 97 |
| Gouth Atlantic. | 146 | 120 | 172 | 154 | 144 | 66 | 164 | 112 | 118 | 122 |
| Esest South Central. | 227 | 185 | 109 | 275 | 145 | 138 | 163 | 143 | 163 | 138 |
| West South Central | 233 | 160 | 206 | 171 | 206 | 153 | 244 | 2147 | 287 | ${ }^{2} 132$ |
| Mountain...-...-. | 61 | 28 | 17 | 26 | 26 | 79 | 52 | 18 | 26 | 20 |
| Pacific. | 127 | 63 | 98 | 63 | 67 | 95 | 88 | 65 | 61 | 55 |

MEASLES CASE RATES

| 98 cities. | 55 | 91 | 85 | 126 | 90 | 107 | 113 | ${ }^{2} 142$ | 118 | ${ }^{2} 162$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 238 | 172 | 233 | 179 | 315 | 162 | 481 | 220 | 656 | 273 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 38 | 68 | 92 | 76 | 82 | 69 | 111 | 85 | 89 | 85 |
| East North Central | 18 | 17 | 29 | 31 | 15 | 28 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| West North Central | 17 | 502 | 19 | 767 | 13 | 649 | 27 | 953 | 46 | 1,077 |
| South Atlantic. | 10 | 26 | 34 | 64 | 28 | 44 | 43 | 62 | 22 | 80 |
| East South Central. | 12 | 18 | 29 | 149 | 35 | 66 | 35 | 155 | 17 | 299 |
| West South Central | 24 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 24 | 10 | 27 | 211 | 17 | ${ }^{2} 11$ |
| Mountain. | 400 | 308 | 757 | 326 | 1,236 | 282 | 757 | 53 | 809 | 150 |
| Pacific | 135 | 32 | 149 | 28 | 123 | 10 | 180 | 26 | 210 | 28 |

SCARLET FEVER CASE RATES

| 98 cities. | 170 | 187 | 187 | 195 | 155 | 174 | 179 | ${ }^{2} 202$ | 222 | 2224 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 221 | 276 | 280 | 237 | 262 | 264 | 293 | 288 | 397 | 259 |
| Middle Atlantic | 131 | 126 | 163 | 159 | 147 | 148 | 155 | 178 | 199 | 186 |
| East North Central | 215 | 287 | 241 | 263 | 169 | 221 | 229 | 257 | 281 | 315 |
| West North Central | 149 | 143 | 132 | 219 | 117 | 139 | 161 | 198 | 143 | 209 |
| South Atlantic. | 239 | 154 | 259 | 216 | 176 | 188 | 172 | 230 | 176 | 260 |
| East South Central | 198 | 275 | 145 | 209 | 122 | 215 | 128 | 299 | 250 | 377 |
| West South Central | 122 | 118 | 78 | 94 | 95 | 132 | 108 | 292 | 142 | 284 |
| Mountain. | 313 | 388 | 218 | 282 | 191 | 229 | 218 | 141 | 261 | 211 |
| Pacific. | 96 | 99 | 129 | 87 | 108 | 83 | 100 | 97 | 153 | 71 |

SMALLPOX CASE RATES

| 98 cities. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 27 | 4 | 214 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East North Central | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| West North Central. | 4 | 21 | 10 | 23 | 11 | 68 | 4 | 48 | 13 | 122 |
| South Atlantic...- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East South Central | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West South Central. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 24 | 17 | 27 |
| Mountain..- | 9 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 150 |
| Pacific.... | 4 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 |

[^10]Summary of weekly reports from cities, November 8 to December 12, 1931-Annual rates per 100,000 population compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1930-Continued

TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov. 14 14, 1931 | Nov. 15, <br> 1930 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 21, \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 22, \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | Nov. 28, 1931 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } \\ 29, \end{gathered}$ $1930$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ \mathbf{5}, 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Dec. } \\ \hline 6,1930 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Dec. } \\ \text { 12, } 1931 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 13,1930 \end{aligned}$ |
| 98 cities. | 12 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 210 | 9 | 88 |
| New England | 7 | 24 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 19 |
| Middle Atlantic.-. | 6 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| West North Central | 13 | 19 | 8 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 4 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 6 | 6 |
| South A tlantic.. | $3 \hat{6}$ | 34 | 24 | 28 | 34 | 32 | 16 | 18 | 32 | 4 |
| East South Central. | 23 | 48 | 41 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 18 |
| West South Central. | 24 | 87 | 41 | 84 | 7 | 70 | 27 | ${ }^{2} 26$ | 34 | 22 |
| Mountain. | 0 | 26 | 9 | 53 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Pacific. | 10 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| INFLUENZA D. ITH RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 cities.. | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 8 | 29 |
| New England | 141010660072712 | 5889663928995 | 7646122510175 | 7756241336627 | 095361317287 | 21170102614267 | 246663879 | 568122013234182 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 12 \\ 25 \\ 7 \\ 35 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} 5 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 21 \\ 24 \\ 28 \\ 211 \\ 9 \\ 7 \end{array}$ |
| Middle Atlantic. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West North Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East South Central. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West South Central. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PNEUMONIA DEATH RATES

${ }^{2}$ Shreveport, La., not included.

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR

CANADA

Provinces-Communicable diseases-Week ended December 5, 1991.The Bureau of Pensions and National Health of Canada reports cases of certain communicable diseases for the week ended December 5,1931 , as follows:

| Province | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cerebro- } \\ \text { spinal } \\ \text { fever } \end{gathered}$ | Influenza | Poliomyelitis | Smallpox | Typhold fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prince Edward Island ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia.-.. |  | 8 | 1 |  | 1 |
| New Brunswick |  |  | 9 |  | 11 |
| Ontario.... | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 23 |
| Manitoba.. |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Saskatchewan. |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| Alberta-...-- |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| British Columbia ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 40 |

${ }^{1}$ No case of any disease included in the table was reported during the week.
Quebec Province-Communicable diseases-Week ended December 5, 1931.-The Bureau of Health of the Province of Quebec, Canada, reports cases of certain communicable diseases for the week ended December 5, 1931, as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Disease | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chicken pox... | 106 | Poliomyelitis... | 9 |
| Diphtheria | 56 | Scarlet fever- | 79 |
| Erysipelas.....- | 2 3 | Tuberculosis-. | 27 |
| Measles meas...... | 159 | Whooping cough. | 23 |
| Mumps....... | 27 |  |  |

## CUBA

Provinces-Communicable diseases-Four weeks ended October 24, 1931.-During the four weeks ended October 24, 1931, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in Cuba as follows:

| Disease | Pinar del Rio | Habana | $\underset{\text { zas }}{\text { Matan- }}$ | Santa Clara | Camaguey | Oriente | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diphtheria |  | 14 |  | 5 | 1 |  | 20 |
| Malaria. |  | 16 |  | 1 | 24 | 22 | 63 |
| Measles |  | 70 | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ | 19 |  | ..---.-- | $\stackrel{96}{8}$ |
| Paratyphoid fever. |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 8 |
| Poliomyelitis... |  | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 7 |
| Typhoid fever |  | 15 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 53 |

## JAMAICA

Communicable diseases-Four weeks ended December 5, 1931.During the four weeks ended December 5, 1931, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in Kingston, Jamaica, and in the island of Jamaica outside of Kingston, as follows :

| Disease | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kings- } \\ & \text { ton } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { locali- } \\ & \text { ties } \end{aligned}$ | Disease | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kings- } \\ \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | Other localities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal mening | 1 | 2 | Lethargic encephalitis |  |  |
| Chicken pox... | 2 | 28 | Puerperal fever..... |  |  |
| Dysentery | 2 | 6 | smallpox (alastrim) |  |  |
| Erysipelas. |  | 1 | Tuberculosis | 35 | 60 |
| Leprosy |  | 3 | Typhoid fever. | 5 | 71 |

MEXICO
Tampico-Communicable diseases-November, 1931.-During the month of November, 1931, certain communicable diseases were reported in Tampico, Mexico, as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Deaths | Disease | Cases | Deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diphtheria. | 6 | 2 | Paratyphoid fever. |  | 2 |
| Dysentery |  | 50 | Smallpox-..- | 1 |  |
| Infuenza. | 19 |  | Tuberculosis.- | 66 3 | $\stackrel{20}{4}$ |
| Malaria. | 953 | 25 | Whooping cough | 18 | 4 |

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER
From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, International Omice of Public Hygiene, Pan American Sanitary Bureau, health section of the League of
Nations, and other sources. The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or inal as regards either the list of countries included or the figures
for the particular countries for which reports are given.
[C indicates cases; $D$, deaths; $P$, present]

CEOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued
[C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]

| Place | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { May } \\ 31- \\ \text { June } 27, \\ 1931 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ \text { Julv } \\ \text { uly } \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { July } \\ 20- \\ \text { Aug.22, } \\ 1931 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug. } \\ \text { sep. } \\ \text { sept. } \\ 1931 \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sept. October, 1931 |  |  |  |  |  | November, 1931 |  |  |  | December, 1931 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 19 |
| India (Portuguese) ...................................... ${ }_{\text {O }}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 34 | - | 17 | 19 | 39 | 18 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indo-China (see also table below): <br> Cochin-China-Rachgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | $\stackrel{-}{2}$ | --...- | - | --.-- |  |  | 2 | 2 | .-. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{61}^{1}$ | 14 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P |
| Iraq: <br> Abulkhasib |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amara |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $i$ | ${ }^{69} 9$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | $1{ }_{13}^{1}$ |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 120 293 | 29 | 24 19 | 14 29 | 17 <br> 32 | 10 38 30 | 6 30 3 | 8 8 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Basra Province................................................................... ${ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {D }}$ |  | ---.-.-- | 287 | 154 | 34 | 11 | 13 | ${ }_{26}$ | 7 | 18 | 10 | 1 | ... |  | ... |  |  |
| Dinwaniyah |  |  | 2 | ${ }_{30}^{53}$ | 28 9 | 14 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iwanlyah......................................... ${ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  | 111 | 6 | 5 | 22 | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Kut Province $\qquad$ D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{12}^{17}$ | 3 | --. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 225 | 53 | 54 | 45 | 55 | 17 | 19 | 9 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Nasiriyah $\square$ D |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}145 \\ 88 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 38 4 4 |  | 28 18 | 37 7 | 10 4 | 18 7 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | 3 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Suqelshuyukh....................................... ${ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  | 75 2 | 3 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Japan: Talwan-Kelung................................ ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persia: ${ }^{1}$ <br> Abadan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


 2 Figures for cholera in the Philippine Islands are subject to correction.

1 Reports incomplete
CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued


CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued PLAGUE-Continued
[C indicates cases; D , deaths; $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{D}$

sMALLPOX

| Place | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ \text { 81-June } \\ \text { 27, } 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ \text { 23-July } \\ \text { 25, } 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 20- } \\ & \text { Aug. } \\ & 20,1931 \end{aligned}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug. } \\ 29, \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | September, 1981 |  |  |  | October, 1931 |  |  |  |  | November, 1931 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December, } \\ 1981 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 12 | 19 | 28 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 |
|  | 8 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 41 | 34 | 7 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 18 |  | 16 | 7 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British East Africa: Tanganyika <br> British Sonth Africa: $\qquad$ | 7 | 149 | 19 | 31 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 1,121 | 53 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British South Africa: <br> Northern Rhodesia. <br> Southern Rhodesia $\qquad$ |  | 21 | 28 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada: | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada: <br> Alberta $\qquad$ |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Manitoba $\qquad$ ${ }_{C}^{C}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | --...- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 32 | $3{ }^{-1}$ | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 5 | 2 | 1 | 9 | ----- | 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 8 | - |
| Ottawa $\qquad$ $\xrightarrow{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 54 | 42 | 28 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 9 |  |
| Chile: <br> Antofagasta $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| China: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\mathrm{p}^{1}$ | 6 | 3 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | P |  | P | 1 | P |  | P |  |  | 4 |  | ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |
| Manchuria-Kwantung-Dairen-...-. | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nanking Shanghai- $\qquad$ O |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreigners only $\qquad$ C | 11 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 35 | 29 | 17 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 16 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | B |  |  |  |

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued SMALLPOX-Continued
IC indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]


| Pondicherry Province <br> Indo-China (see also table below): <br> Pnompenh | 7 7 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 20 20 | 1 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 5 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saigon and Cholon ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ |  | 8 | 2 | - | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | ${ }^{-7}$ | 7 | -----* |
| Iraq: <br> Baghdad. | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Basra | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ivory Coast (see table below). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Mexico (see also table below): <br> Jalisco (State)-Guadalajara |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jalisco (State)-Guadalajara............- D Mexico City and surrounding territory. | 1 25 | 22 | 10 | 2 | 2 |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2\end{aligned}\right.$ | 1 | 2 |  |  | - 1 | 2 | ---2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Monterrey | 13 | 8 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |
| Morocco (see table below). D | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands: Friesland-Opsterland....... $\mathbf{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $13{ }^{13}$ | 318 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Panama: Chiriqui ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | 48 | 45 | 37 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 17 |  | 11 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Rumania (see table below): |  | 45 | 37 |  | 21 |  | 17 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 21 | ---*-* |
|  | 5 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spain |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Syria (see table below). |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkey (see table below). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union of Socialist Soviet Republics (see table below). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union of South Africa: <br> Cape Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free State Transvaal | P | $\underset{\mathbf{P}}{ }$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | P | P | $\mathbf{P}$ |  | - | $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ | - ${ }^{-\cdots}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Volta | ${ }^{P} 12$ | P 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P | P | P |  |  |  |  |  |
| On ressel. D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On vessel: <br> S. S. Taif (pilgrim ship) at Suakin from Jeddah $\qquad$ |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ Imported case.
CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

TYPHUS FEVER
[ $O$ indicates cases; D , deaths; P , present]

GEIOLERA, PLAGUE, GMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued TYPHUS FEVER-Continued
[ O indicates cases; D , deaths; P , present]


| Place | $\underset{1931}{\mathrm{~A} p \mathrm{ill},}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \text { 1931 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June, } \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & \text { 1931 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Au- } \\ & \text { gust, } \\ & \text { 1931 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Sepp- } \\ \text { tember, } \\ 1931 \end{array}$ | October, 1931 | Place | $\underset{1931}{\text { April, }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & 1931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \text { 1931 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & \text { 1931 } \end{aligned}$ | Aus- <br> 1931 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Sep- } \\ \text { tamber, } \\ 1931 \end{array}$ | Octo 1031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chosen: Seoul......-............... C | 4 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |  | 8....-....-...------.---- |  | 10 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |
| Crechoslovakia_.................. ${ }_{\text {C }}^{\text {D }}$ | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 22 | ${ }_{6}^{11}$ | ${ }_{9}$ | 2 | 13 | 9 |  | Turkey of Socalist soviet Re- | 32 | 13 | 11 | 9 |  | 16 |  |
| Guatemala | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1, 513 | 1,324 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 33 15 | 34 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 14 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |

\footnotetext{
YELLOW FEVER
[C indicates cases; $D$, deaths; $P$, present]

| Place | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ \text { 31- } \\ \text { June } \\ 27,1931 \end{gathered}$ | June $28-$ 25,1931 |  | Aug. 23Sept. 19, 1931 | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sept. | October, 1931 |  |  |  |  | November, 1931 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decomber, } \\ 1031 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 |
| Brazil: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recife...-............................................... ${ }^{\text {C }}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gold Coast: <br> Akuse. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dagombs District ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued
YELLOW FEVER-Continued
(O indicates cases; $\mathbf{D}$, deaths; P. prese



[^0]:    1 Studies in Diseases of Adult Life No. 6, from the Division of Research, Milbank Memorial Funds This phase of the studies was carried out in cooperation with the Office of Industrial Hygiene and Sanitation, United States Public Health Service. The data were made available by the Medical Department of the Life Extension Institute.
    ${ }^{2}$ Studies in Diseases of Adult Life No. 4: Physical Impairments and Occupational Class. Differential Rates Based Upon Medical Examinations of 100,924 Native-born, Adult White Insured Males. By Edgar Sydenstricker and Rollo H. Britten. Pub. Health Rep., vol. 45, No. 34, Aug. 22, 1930. (Reprint No. 1404.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Studies in Diseases of Adult Life No. 1: General Results of a Statistical Study of Medical Examinations by the Life Extension Institute of 100,024 White Male Life Insurance Policyholders Since 1921. By Edgar Sydenstricker and Rollo H. Britten. Amer. Jour. Hyg., Vol. XI, No. 1, pp. 73-155, January, 1930.

[^1]:    'Here $p$ represents the probability that the impairment would be found in the whole group (i. e., the rate reduced to a unity basis), and $q$ the probability that it would not be found. It will be noticed that the product of these two probabilities becomes less as the rate decreases (i. e., from 50 per cent down). The constant 50 was chosen arbitrarily to give a criterion of 25 cases at an average impairment rate of 50 per cent, since the square root of one-half times one-half is one-half. This would require a population of 50 persons. If the average rate for an impairment is 10 per cent, then the square root of the two probabilities is 0.3 , and 15 cases are required, or a population of 150 . At 5 per cent we have 11 cases and a population of 220 . At 3 per cent we have about 8 cases and a population of about 275. A graph was prepared from which these values were easily derived.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ This point may be clearly explained by reference to a condition such as carious teeth. In that case 8 occupations showed rates in excess of that of "business" by more then four times the probable error; but, as a matter of fact, many of the others must have been significantly higher, because 27 occupations were above the "business" level and only 1 below. We can not say that all of those 27 were significantly higher, but we know that most of them were. In other words, if we think of the occupations as a series, rather than a single one, it becomes necessary to consider many which could not be shown by reference to the probable error to be significantly different. Moreover, the probable error ceases to have a precise meaning when the test is applied to 28 different items rather than one. For instance, in the case of the highest rate for a given impairment, we have selected a rate at one end of the distribution and are most likely dealing with a chance that would occur only once in twenty-eight times. A positive deviation of three times the probable error would be expected to occur from chance alone about once in twenty-eight times. Thus the precise meaning of the probable error is lost.

[^3]:    - Obtained as described in footnote 6.
    ${ }^{6}$ It is not possible to obtain a direct average of the deviations. However, if the distribution of deviations for a particular occupation is reduced to percentages, and these percentages are cumulated, it will be possible to determine the percentage of persons down to 15 millimeters below, and the percentage of persons up to 20 millimeters above. By plotting these two percentages on "probability" paper, connecting tha two points with a straight line, and reading off the deviation at the point where this line crosses the 50 per cent line, it is possible to obtain an average deviation. If this deviation is then added algebraically to the standard which was originally subtracted in the cass of each individual, an average blood pressure is obtained. It should be noted that this average more nearly approaches the median blood pressure than the arithmetic mean, but it seems quite adequate for our purposes.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ From Field Investigations in Child Hygiene, in Cooperation with the Office of Statistical Investigations, United States Public Health Service. Dental Examinations by Meaker and Statistical Analysis by Stoughton.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dental decay and corrections among school children of different ages. Public Hiealth Reports, Vol. 46, No. 44, October 30, 1931. Reprint No. 1524.

[^5]:    See footnotes at end of table

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Deaths of nonresidents are included. Stillbirths are excluded.
    ${ }_{2}$ These rates represent annual rates per 1,000 population, as estimated for 1931 and 1930 by the arithmetical method.
    ${ }^{2}$ Deaths under 1 year of age per 1,000 live births. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.
    ${ }^{4}$ Data for 77 citics.

    - Deaths for week ended Friday.

    6 For the cities for which deaths are shown by color the percentages of colored population in 1030 wero as follows: Atlanta, 33; Baltimore, 18; Birmingham, 38; Dallas, 17; Forth Worth, 16; Houston, 27; Indianasolis 12; Kansas City, Kans., 19; Knorville, 16; Lanisville, 15; Memphis, 38; Miami, 23; Nashville 22; Now Orieans, 28; Rictrmond, 29; and Wastington, D. C., 27.
    ${ }^{7}$ Population Apr. 1, 1930; decreased 1920 to 1930, no estimate made.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ New York City only.
    Week ended Friday.
    ${ }^{3}$ TYphus fever, 1931, 5 cases: 2 cases in South Carolina, 1 case in Georgia, 1 case in Alabama, and 1 case in Californis.

    - Migures for 1931 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

[^8]:    ? Week ended Friday.
    ${ }^{3}$ Typhus fever, 1931, 5 cases: 2 cases in South Carolins, 1 case in Georgia, 1 case in Alabama, and 1 case in California.

    - Figures for 1931 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa,

[^9]:    12 nonresidents.

[^10]:    ${ }_{1}$ The figures given in this table are rates per 100,000 population, annual basis, and not the number of cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1931, and 1930, respectively.
    ${ }^{2}$ Shreveport, La., not included.

