# PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS 

## THE IMMUNIZING VALUE OF DIPHTHERIA TOXIN-ANTITOXIN MIXTURE AND OF DIPHTHERIA TOXOID ${ }^{1}$

By W. T. Harrison, Surgeon, United States Public Health Service, National Institute of Health (formerly Hygienic Laboratory), Washington, D. C.

The value of diphtheria toxin-antitoxin mixture in rendering susceptible individuals insusceptible to diphtheria has become so well grounded in public-health practice as to require no comment.

Following the introduction by Ramon of diphtheria toxoid (anatoxine), this product has come more widely into use, and it would seem advisable to compare its activity in human beings with the older product whenever the opportunity offers. It is generally accepted that toxoid is more effective in immunizing laboratory animals, and the claim has been made that the immunity in children following its use appears earlier than that following the use of toxinantitoxin mixture.

In the course of work at the Hygienic Laboratory, now the National Institute of Health, in an attempt to develop an official antigenic test for toxin-antitoxin mixture, an opportunity was presented to observe the results following the use of the two products in comparable groups of school children. In the two groups of white children used for comparison, all were Schick-tested before immunization and again after the stated interval following the last immunizing injection. The toxin used for these tests had been kept at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for three years following preparation, and the M. L. D. was accurately determined before beginning the work. Dilutions were always made on the morning of the tests, and all diluted toxin was used before noon of the same day. The Schick dose was $1 / 50 \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{L} . \mathrm{D}$. in a volume of 0.1 c. c. The test in children who received toxin-antitoxin mixture was controlled with heated toxin, and in those who received toxoid by toxoid diluted 1 in 20 with physiological salt solution. Readings were made on the third or fourth day, and all children who gave a positive reaction to the toxold control were immunized with toxinantitoxin mixture.

[^0]The toxin-antitoxin mixtures used contained $0.1 \mathrm{~L}+$ dose of toxin per cubic centimeter and were from (1) routine samples submitted by the manufacturers for test prior to release for distribution, (2) special lots furnished by the manufacturers for use in this work, and (3) one special lot prepared at the National Institute of Health. All lots were carefully tested for toxicity in guinea pigs and fell within the range which is considered proper for this product. All were kept in the cold room at $5^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. until used. It is believed that the mixtures used in these children may be considered at least equal to the product available in the open market, since storage conditions following preparation were ideal.

The toxoid was furnished by five manufacturers, upon request, in the same manner as were furnished the special lots of toxin-antitoxin mixture. All lots of both products may be taken as routine samples from the various producers, no effort having been made to select highly antigenic lots of either.

The ages of the children ranged from 1 to 16 years, a few preschool children being brought to the school buildings by the parents to receive the injections. These children are included among the 5 -year olds.

Table 1 shows the results, by manufacturer and lot number, from three doses of toxin-antitoxin mixture, 1 c . c. each at 7-day intervals in 362 Schick-positive white children. The column headed "Interval (days)" gives the time elapsing between the last dose of toxin-antitoxin mixture and the post-Schick tests. The great variation in antigenic efficiency of different lots is readily seen; 64 per cent of the entire number were rendered Schick negative.

Table 3 shows the results from immunization with diphtheria toxoid in 476 Schick-positive white children. The product from manufacturer " $V$ " was given in three doses of $0.5,0.5$, and 1.0 c . c . each, at intervals of seven days, the interval for toxin-antitoxin doses. The toxoids from " $W$," " $X$," and " $Y$ " were given in two doses of 1 c. c. each at a 31-day interval. The toxoid of manufacturer " Z " was given in two doses of $1 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{c}$. each, with a 42-day interval, the additional 11 days being due to a fire occurring in the school. For this reason the interval between the last immunizing dose and the postSchick test was reduced to 90 days. It is seen from this table that the poorest results from toxoid were better than the best from toxinantitoxin mixture; 95 per cent of the entire number were rendered Schick-negative. The L. F. value per c. c.-that is, the number of units of antitoxin required to flocculate 1 c . c. of toxoid-is shown in the last column of Table 3.

The negro children who received three doses of toxin-antitoxin mixture have not been used in comparing the results obtained from the two products; but since the figures are available, they are given for their general interest and to compare them with white children
receiving the same product. In the negro children the preliminary Schick test was done with a check-tested, commercial product. The human dose was $1 / 50 \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{L} . \mathrm{D}$. in a volume of 0.1 c c. The postSchick test was done with the same National Institute of Health toxin, which was used in the white children. The results by manufacturer and lot number are shown in Table 2. In 387 Schick-positive negro children 68 per cent were rendered Schick-negative. Results of preliminary and post-Schick tests by ages are shown in the last four columns of Table 4.

Table 4 was prepared to show the per cent of susceptibles in the different age groups and the per cent of susceptibles of different ages rendered immune by toxin-antitoxin mixture or toxoid. The small numbers of children above 14 years are omitted as not affecting the final figures. The preliminary Schick test in the white children who received toxin-antitoxin mixture gave 75 per cent positive reactions while those who received toxoid showed 61 per cent positive to the preliminary test. The toxoid group contained a larger proportion of older children than the toxin-antitoxin mixture groups; and, when this difference in ages is adjusted by rearranging the figures for the toxoid group to give the same age distribution as in the toxin-antitoxin mixture group, 6 of the 15 per cent difference disappears, leaving 76 per cent susceptible in the group which received toxin-antitoxin mixture and 67 per cent corrected for the groups which received toxoid. Correcting for the difference in ages in the two groups for the post-Schick test, the percentage of negative reactors in those who received toxoid is slightly increased ( 95.8 per cent).

The differences in the economic status of the two groups of children were so slight as to be without influence upon`susceptibility. A considerable number stated that they had already been immunized either in other schools or by the family physician; but no attempt was made to take these reports into account, since with no effort toward selection this factor should tend to equalize itself in the two groups.

The superiority of toxoid over toxin-antitoxin mixture in these two groups of children as measured by the Schick test is very apparent, 95 per cent rendered Schick negative by the former as compared with 64 per cent by the latter.

Twenty-seven children (4 per cent) tested with diluted toxoid as a control to the Schick test reacted positively to the control; three of these were younger than 8 years. In order to avoid the possibility of reaction due to sensitivity to the products of the diphtheria bacillus, these 27 children were immunized with toxin-antitoxin mixture. Among the 476 children receiving toxoid, no local or general reactions were reported. Careful inquiry was made of the school authorities but no disturbance following a dose of toxoid was sufficiently definite to be recalled at the next visit.

For immunizing young children, including 6 -year olds, without preliminary Schick-testing, and older children who react negatively to a diluted toxoid control of the Schick test, diphtheria toxoid seems to be practically an ideal agent both on account of the complete absence of local or general reactions and the very high percentage of successful immunizations following two injections.
The usual toxin reactions were observed in children receiving toxinantitoxin mixture, consisting of swelling and redness, but not severe enough in any case to require special a.ttention.
Acknowledgments.-The writer's appreciation is due Surgs. M. V. Veldee and L. M. Rogers for assistance in performing certain postSchick tests, and to Laboratory Assistant B. T. Sockrider for technical assistance during.the entire study. Dr. W. C. Fowler, health officer for the District of Columbia, kindly permitted the work to be done in the District schools and detailed to the work Miss Katherine Douglass, public health nurse, whose assistance was most valuable.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. In 475 school children diphtheria toxoid gave an immunity response, as measured by the Schick test, of 95 per cent as compared with 64 per cent in 355 children receiving $0.1 \mathrm{~L}+$ dose toxin-antitoxin mixture.
2. No local or general reactions were reported in children receiving toxoid; those giving reactions to intracutaneous test injections of diluted toxoid having been removed from the group.
3. Two doses of 1.0 c. c. each, with an interval of one month, produced a negative Schick reaction in a high percentage of subjects.
(Tables 1-4 follow)
Table 1.-The preliminary Schick reaction in a group of white children of both sexes and ranging in age from 1 to 16 years; and the changes produced in the positive reactors by the injection, at weekly intervals, for three doses, of 1.0 c. c. 0.1 L+diphtheria toxinmantitoxin mixture

| Manufacturer | Lot No. | Preliminary <br> Schick test |  | Interval (days) | Post-Schick test |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { chf } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent positive |  |  | Per cent negative |
| O... | 2 3 4 4 7 | 39 46 60 142 | 74 74 70 79 | 122 122 123 179 | 16 19 20 79 | 69 68 65 61 |
| D.... | 4 | 160 | 79 | 203 | 82 | 73 |
| E.- | 3 | 115 | 66 | 178 | 43 | 35 |
| G...- | 1 | 51 | 80 | 122 | 29 | 66 |
| 1. | 1 | 47 | 77 | 123 | 15 | 67 |
| L. | 1 | 110 | 77 | 133 | 59 | 73 |
| Total. |  | 770 | 76 | ------- | 362 | 64 |

Tabli 2.-The preliminary Schick reaction in a group of negro children of both sexes and ranging in age from 1 to 16 years; and the changes produced in the positive reactors by the injection, at weekly intervals, for three doses, of 1.0 c. c. 0.1 L+diphtheria toxin-antitoxin mixture


Table 3.-The preliminary Schick reaction in a group of white children of both sexes and ranging in age from 1 to 16 years; and the changes produced in the positive reactors by the injection of diphtheria toxoid

| Manufacturer | Lot No. | $\underset{\text { test }}{\text { Preliminary Schick }}$ |  | Interval (days) | Post Schick test |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { L. F. } \\ \text { per c. c. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent positive |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ | Per cent negative |  |
| $V^{1}$ |  | 225 | 61 | 133 | 86 | 92 |  |
| W | 1 | 143 | 70 | 119 | 72 | 92 | 0 |
| $X{ }^{1}$ | 1 | 323 | 58 | 115 | 128 | 95 | 8 |
| Y | 1 | 287 | 61 | 119 | 118 | 96 | 4 |
| 28 | 1 | 170 | 65 | 90 | 72 | 99 |  |
| Total |  | 1,128 | 61 |  | 456 | 95 | --------- |

[^1]Table 4.-The age distribution of the white and negro children receiving the preliminary and the post-Schick test, the per cent positive on preliminary test and the per cent rendered negative by immunization with toxin-antitoxin mixture or toxoid

| Age groups | White children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Negro children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proliminary Schick test |  |  |  | Post-Schick test |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Preliminary } \\ \text { Schick test }}}{ }$ |  | Post-Schick test |  |
|  | Toxin-antitoxin mixture |  | Toxold |  | Toxin-antrtoxin mixture |  | Toxold |  | Toxin-antitoxin miture |  | Toxin-antitoxin mixture |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \text { tested } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Par } \\ & \text { cont } \\ & \text { posi- } \\ & \text { tive } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Num } \\ \text { ber } \\ \text { tested } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Per cent positive | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \text { tosted } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { nega- } \\ \text { tive } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \text { tested } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { nega- } \\ \text { tive } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber- } \\ & \text { tested } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { posi- } \\ \text { tive } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \text { bested } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent } \\ \text { nega- } \\ \text { tive } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 and under. | 87 | 90 | 52 | 92 | 85 | 68 | 21 | 100 | 108 | 85 | 27 | 81 |
| 6. | 135 | 85 | 138 | 81 | 67 | 73 | 75 | 88 | 219 | 87 | 77 | 75 |
| 7. | 112 | 84 | 157 | 73 | 63 | 62 | 73 | 95 | 237 | 77 | 72 | 64 |
| 8. | 91 | 74 | 133 | 65 | 42 | 59 | 65 | 97 | 221 | 73 | 89 | 62 |
| 9 | 91 | 74 | 137 | 59 | 48 | 58 | 61 | 95 | 138 | 62 | 38 | 63 |
| 10. | 69 | 72 | 150 | 49 | 35 | 60 | 56 | 96 | 105 | 59 | 32 | 72 |
| 11. | 51 | 67 | 125 | 53 | 23 | 69 | 46 | 100 | 84 | 57 | 20 | 75 |
| 12. | 44 | 61 | 111 | 54 | 18 | 61 | 47 | 94 | 58 | 48 | 12 | 91 |
| 13 | 44 | 68 | 57 | 39 | 19 | 63 | 18 | 100 | 42 | 59 | 14 | 57 |
| 14. | 22 | 41 | 49 | 35 | 5 | 60 | 13 | 100 | 18 | 44 | 5 | 40 |
| Total | 746 | 78 | 1,109 | 61 | 355 | 64 | 475 | 95 | 1,228 | 72 | 388 | 68 |

## ANTIRABIC VACCINE PARALYSIS

## CONSIDERATION OF VARIOUS VACCINES ${ }^{1}$

By G. W. McCor, Director, National Institute of Health (formerly Hygienic Laboratory), United States Public Health Service

More or less serious paralytic manifestations following antirabic vaccinations have been recognized since the earliest experience with the method, even during the days of Pasteur.

While the occurrence of paralysis during the course of, or following, antirabic treatments is not common, it occurs often enough to constitute a factor that must be weighed when we are considering the question of advising treatment. In connection with most biologic agents used as prophylactics, the question of the hazard due to, or associated with, the prophylactic agent itself must be kept in mind; for example, only last winter, through the courtesy of Surg. J. P. Leake, of the Public Health Service, I saw a practically complete paraplegia due to the use of tetanus antitoxin as a prophylactic. We must recognize and remember that acute ansphylactic shock is not the only unpleasant sequel of some of our prophylactic agents of a biologic nature.

The essential cause of the paralysis that develops in connection with antirabic treatment is not known. Usually it is regarded either

[^2]as a modified form of rabies (street virus), as a manifestation of the action of fixed rabies virus; or as a toxic, or an anaphylactic, action due to the introduction of a foreign protein, in this case the central nervous system of the rabbit. In discussing this subject in 1913, Surgeon Hasseltine (Public Health Reports, October 24, 1913) gave the following as the chief theories of causation:
(a) That it is due to anaphylaxis resulting from the injection of foreign animal tissue (rabbits' cord);
(b) That it is due to a "toxin" elaborated by the specific organism of rabies;
(c) That it is due to rabies resulting from street virus received at the time the bite was inflicted;
(d) That it is due to rabies resulting from fixed-virus infection;
(e) That it is due to infection with extraneous organisms introduced with the virus during treatment; and
(f) That it is due to hysteria and other neuro-psychologic disorders.
Perhaps at the present time one would like to add the suggestion that the antirabic vaccines may activate a virus lying dormant in the body, or may serve to enhance susceptibility to an ordinarily nonpathogenic virus. Another thought that will occur to one familiar with the frequency of pathological processes in the central nervous system of rabbits is the possibility of the occasional susceptibility of man for a virus normally, or perhaps we had better say, commonly, found in rabbits.

As predisposing causes there are mentioned alcoholism, overexertion, and exposure; but one doubts the essential importance of any of these.

There are some interesting features in connection with the clinical aspects to which I wish to refer briefly. The complications nearly always affect adults. The time of onset varies, from as early as the sixth day from the inauguration of the treatment to as late as the twentieth, exceptionally even longer. The onset may be with general symptoms-vomiting, lumbar pains, chilliness, and fever-certainly suggestive of an infectious process. The paralysis of a muscle or group of muscles is often preceded by sensory symptoms such as pain, numbness, or tingling. The extent of paralysis varies from a single muscle or group, as the facial muscles, to a complete paraplegia with ascending paralysis that ends in death in a few days through failure of respiration. Termination may be by death, which is unusual though not rare, by complete recovery in the course of a few weeks or a few months, or by partial recovery. Death may be due to respiratory paralysis, to bed sores, or to cystitis.

Fielder (Jour. A. M. A., June 31, 1916, vol. 66) puts the reported death rate as 16.2 per cent, but points out that probably this is too high, as severe and fatal cases are those most likely to be reported.

When we inquire as to its frequency, we find a most bewildering variation in figures from different institutes and from different countries. Thus in figures recently collected from Italy by the health committee of the League of Nations we find a report of 34 cases among 18,502 persons treated-1 in each 574; while from Russia there were 32 cases among 176,455-1 in each 5,514. In other words, the condition is about 10 times as frequent in the Italian experience as in the Russian, and this difference is by no means an isolated example.

A few years ago we collected data from a number of producing establishments in the United States and learned of but 3 cases of paralysis among about 20,000 treatments.

In our experience in the Hygienic Laboratory there were, during the nearly 13 years in which we produced antirabic vaccine, 4 known cases among over 1,800 persons treated.

When this subject was assigned to me for presentation at this time, I collected the data from a number of licensed producers and from several that do not have a Federal license, paying particular attention to the methods of treatment employed in relation to the frequency of paralysis. These figures cover the 15 -month period from January 1, 1929, to April 1, 1930; they are presented in the following table:

| Type of treatment | Cases treated | Cases of paralysis reported | Ratio | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kllled virus (Semple method and modifications) $\qquad$ <br> Frozen and desiccated virus (Harris method and modifications). <br> Living diluted virus (Hoyges method and modifications). <br> Attenuated virus (Pasteur method) $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,845 \\ 4,148 \\ 2,593 \\ 1,077 \end{gathered}$ | 6 2 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1: 2,941 \\ 1: 2,074 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { fatal; } 2 \text { partial re- } \\ & 2 \text { covery. } \\ & 2 \text { recovered. } \end{aligned}$ |

Clearly these figures fail to give us any clue as to the relative hazard of the several forms of treatment dealt with. Indeed, if any one method of treatment had been proved by experience to have a definitely higher paralysis rate than others, that method would naturally disappear from use, unless it had some very marked advantage from some other point of view. I suspect that the number of cases in the present series is below the number that actually occurred; otherwise it is difficult to reconcile our high incidence of 1 case in about each 450 treated in the Hygienic Laboratory with the failure to secure a report of a single case in the over 1,000 treatments reported in this series. I am further influenced in the suspicion that the number is too low by the high death rate ( 50 per cent) among those reported here.

What to do when paralysis appears if it develops before the treatment is completed, is a question; one has good authority for either
continuing with the treatment or stopping the inoculations. Generally speaking, the decision should rest on the urgency of treatment from the point of view of the hazard of rabies. So far as I know, there is no particular medicinal treatment for cases of paralysis that have developed.

With the data at hand I regret that we have no grounds for recommending, or discouraging the use of any form of treatment, nor have we any other suggestions as to how these unfortunate cases may be obviated.

## CURRENT PREVALENCE OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES IN THE UNITED STATES ${ }^{1}$

## June 15-July 12, 1930

Poliomyelitis.-The infantile paralysis situation in California, to which attention was called in the previous summary, ${ }^{2}$ has grown more serious, and the incidence has risen rather sharply in other sections. Poliomyelitis is a warm-weather disease, and a seasonal rise is normally expected beginning in the late spring or early summer; but the current increase in the United States is considerably greater than the expectancy. From June 1 to the date of the latest reports available at this writing (week ended July 19), there were reported an aggregate of 896 cases in the 43 States regularly tabulated. During the corresponding period of 1929 there were but 232 cases reported; in fact, it would be necessary to go back more than seven years to find an equivalent rise at this season.

Slightly more than half of the cases (480) were reported from California; but even if these are deducted from the national total, the reports for the remainder of the country represent an increase of 79 per cent over the figures for the corresponding seven weeks of last year.

An examination of the regional distribution (Table 1) shows that the attack rates are, in all sections except the Atlantic coast, from 3 to 13 times as high as for the corresponding period of last year. The table also shows that the more western groups of States have higher rates than the central and eastern groups.

[^3]Table 1.-Poliomyelitis case rates, by geographical divisions, June 1 to July 19, 1930, inclusive, and comparative rates for 1929

| Division | 1930 |  | 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases reported | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate per } \\ & \text { 1, o00,000 } \\ & \text { population } \end{aligned}$ | Cases reported | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate per } \\ \text { 1,000,000 } \\ \text { population } \end{gathered}$ |
| Pacific and Mountain. | 508 | 46.5 | 38 | 3.5 |
| South Central ----- | 185 | 9.9 | 44 | 2.3 |
| West North Central | 56 | 4.2 | 19 | 1.4 |
| East North Central | 46 | 25 | 16 | . 9 |
| New England and Middle Atlan South Atlantic...... | ${ }_{36}^{65}$ | 2.9 | 68 | 3.0 |
| South Allautic.-.------ | 36 | 3.1 | 47 | 4.0 |
| All regions...... | 898 | 9.4 | 232 | 2.4 |

Table 2.-Poliomyelitis attack rates in States with high rates, June 1 to July 19, 1930, inclusive, and comparative rates for 1929

| State | 1930 |  | 1929 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases reported | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases per } \\ & \text { 1,000,000 } \\ & \text { population } \end{aligned}$ | Cases reported | Cr.s-s per <br> 1,000,000 population |
| California |  | 102.6 | 29 | 6.2 |
| Louisiana ${ }^{\text {New }}$ Mexico. | 110 | 56.2 | 0 | . 0 |
| New Mexico.. | 5 30 | 12.5 | - 2 | 5.0 |
| North Carolina | 30 33 | 12.2 | 1 35 | 1.4 |
| Arizona | - 5 | 10.2 | 1 1 | 11.7 |
| Minnesota. | 28 | 10.2 | 6 | 2.2 |
| Kansas... | 15 | 8.1 | 4 | 2.2 |
| Indiana.- | 21 | 6.6 | 1 | . 3 |

Within individual regions, the distribution is markedly uneven. Thus, California furnishes about 95 per cent of the cases in the Pacific and Mountain groups of States; Louisiana furnishes almost as many as all the other Southern States combined; Massachusetts dominates the New England rate, although its attack rate ( 3.6 per million) is still low in comparison with the Western rates. Table 2 shows individual States reporting the highest rates. In this connection, it should be borne in mind that for a disease as difficult to diagnose in its atypical forms as is poliomyelitis, the case rates are probably very much understated, particularly in those regions where the incidence has not yet become a subject of discussion.

Meningococcus meningitis.-The meningitis incidence showed a decline which was greater than would be expected on seasonal grounds alone. During the 4 -week period, 342 cases were reported, as compared with 470 during the preceding period, and with 570 during the corresponding period of last year. During the week ended July 5, there were noticeable flare-ups in three States-New York State, California, and Michigan. Whether this coincidence is due to anything more than chance, it would be difficult to state at this time.

Smallpox.-The incidence of smallpox, though declining seasonally, maintained its excess over the average experience of recent years. Reported cases numbered 2,608, as compared with 1,890 for the corresponding period in 1929, and with 1,748 for the same period in 1928. The highest rates occur in the North Central States (i. e., the Great Lakes region and west thereof), on the Pacific Coast, and in Oklahoma in the South.

Diphtheria.-There was another gratifying decline in diphtheria to a record low level, taking season into consideration. During the 4 -week period of this report, 2,911 cases were reported, as compared with 4,522 during the same period last year. During the past five months, every week has established a low diphtheria record in relation to the corresponding period of previous years.

Influenza.-The decline in influenza from the previous 4-week period was somewhat greater than the seasonal expectancy, and the incidence is at a low level in relation to that of recent years. Reported cases numbered 390 , compared with 480 for the same period of last year.
Measles.-The incidence of measles was above the average for this season. The reports showed 27,848 cases, as against 20,284 for the same period last year.

Scarlet fever.-The incidence of scarlet fever continues to be the lowest for the season during recent years. There were 5,443 cases reported, as compared with 6,264 for the same period last year.

Typhoid fever.-The recent favorable record for typhoid fever was maintained. The number of reported cases, 1,726 , was only slightly above the low record established last year, when 1,682 cases were reported during the corresponding 4 -week period.
In recent years there has been a second interesting change in the typhoid fever curve, in that the peak incidence has been occurring earlier in the year than formerly. In 1926, the peak came about the middle of September; in 1927 and 1928, about the third week of August; and in 1929 about the first week of August. This change has apparently resulted because the declines in the case rates have been more pronounced during the late summer than during the earlier months. It will be interesting to note whether this tendency will continue into the present year.

Mortality, all causes.-The mortality rate for large cities, as reported by the Bureau of the Census, was about normal, as compared with previous years. The average weekly rate (annual basis) was 11 per 1,000 inhabitants.

## COURT DECISION RELATING TO PUBLIC HEALTH

Milk ordinance held void because in conflict with State statutes.(Connecticut Supreme Court of Errors; Shelton $v$. City of Shelton et al., 150 A .811 ; decided June 2, 1930.) An ordinance of the city of Shelton made it unlawful to sell at retail any milk or cream unless produced from tuberculin-tested cattle or pasteurized. The city charter authorized the city to adopt ordinances "to license milk dealers and to regulate the sale and manner of distribution of milk and to prohibit the sale thereof unless in accordance with such regulations." In the same charter section, in dealing with foodstuffs, the power was given to adopt ordinances "and to prohibit the sale thereof [foodstuffs] when in such condition as to endanger the public health." A State law provided that certain specified statutory provisions should not "affect the authority of any * * * city * * * to enact ordinances or by-laws for the control, regulation, sale or distribution, within its limits, of milk which may be detrimental to public health."

The plaintiff was a registered producer of milk under the State laws and a licensed milk dealer under the ordinances of the defendant city. The milk produced and sold by him had been tested and analyzed periodically and found to be particularly clean and pure and not detrimental to public health in any way. In an action brought by the plaintiff, the question was presented to the supreme court as to whether the city of Shelton had the power to adopt the ordinance providing that milk or cream sold at retail should be produced from tuberculin-tested cattle or be pasteurized. The court examined in detail the State statutes dealing with milk and cream and stated that "The statute law recognizes at least five kinds of milk and makes all five lawful provided the statutory provisions are complied with in their production and sale, viz: Raw milk, tuberculin-tested milk, pasteurized milk, grade A milk, and certified milk." The court said that "The city of Shelton was without power to enact an ordinance prohibiting the sale at retail of any one of these kinds of milk which are authorized by statute," and held that the ordinance conflicted with the statutes of the State and was, for that reason, void.

## PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

## UNITED STATES

## CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

## Reports for Weeks Ended August 2, 1930, and August 3, 1929

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended August 2, 1930, and August S, 1929

| Division and State | Diphtherla |  | Influenza |  | Measles |  | Meningococcusmeningitls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weak ended Aug. 2, 1930 | Week ended Aug. 3, | $\begin{gathered} \text { Week } \\ \text { ended } \\ \text { Aug.2, } \\ \text { 1930 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { Week } \\ \text { ended } \\ \text { Aug. 3, } \\ 1929 \end{array}$ | Week ended Aug. 2 1930 | Week ended Aug. 3 , | Week ended Aug. 2 1930 | Week ended Aug. 3, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire. | 4 |  |  |  | 7 | 13 | 0 |  |
| Massachusetts. | 27 | 54 | 2 |  | 94 | 49 | 0 |  |
| Rhode Island.- | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Connecticut. | 4 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 36 | 2 | 0 |
| Middle Atlantic States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York.-..---- | 66 | 128 | 12 | 14 | 291 | 162 | 11 | 17 |
| New Jersey | 26 | 62 | 2 | 5 | 113 | 29 | 7 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania. | 55 | 104 |  |  | 254 | 179 | 5 | 5 |
| East North Central States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio.-.---- | 38 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 55 | 7 | 5 |  |
| Inlinois. | 6 | 15 | 17 | 14 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 126 | 11 | 12 |
| Michigan. | 15 | 88 |  | 1 | 60 | 68 | 8 | 45 |
| Wisconsin. | 10 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 88 | 184 | 4 | 2 |
| West North Central States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota... | 11 | 11 | 1 |  | 38 | 13 | 2 | 7 |
| Iowa...-- |  | 2 |  |  |  | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri. | 13 | 14 |  |  | 16 | 12 | 5 | 3 |
| North Dakota |  | 8 |  |  | 1 | 41 | 0 | 1 |
| South Dakota. |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Nebraska. | 7 | 2 |  |  | 6 | 49 | 0 | 0 |
| Kansas. | 6 | 7 | 4 |  | 22 | 54 |  | 3 |
| South Atlantic States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware ---.-. |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 9 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 6 | 1 |  | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 23 | 0 |  |
| North Carolina | 34 | 45 |  |  | 15 |  | 2 | 3 |
| South Carolina. | 24 | 20 | 47 | 119 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Georgia. |  | 12 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 17 | 1 | 0 |
| Florida. | 6 | 11 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 2 | $0$ |

## Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers

 for weeks ended August \&, 1930, and August S, 1929-Continued

3 Week ended Friday.
: Figures for 1930 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

Cases of certain cummunicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended August 2, 1930, and August S, 1929-Continued

| Division and State | Poliomyelitis |  | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  | Typhoid fever |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Week ended $\mathrm{Aug.}_{1929}$ 3, |  | Week onded Aug. 3 1929 |  | Week ended Aug. ${ }^{2}$ 1929 |
| East South Central States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky -...-.....-. | 0 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 34 | 18 |
| Alabrama. | 2 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 83 |
| Mississippl --.----- | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 53 |
| West South Central States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas.-- | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 18 |
| Louisiana. | 28 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 37 |
| Oklahoms ${ }^{3}$ | 12 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 43 | 60 |
| Texas..--...... | 6 | 0 | 22 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 26 | 48 |
| Mountain States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana.-- | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| Idaho---- | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| W yoming | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Colorado | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| New Mexico. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Arizona.- | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 |
| U $\operatorname{tah}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Pacific States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington. |  | 0 | 13 | 4 | 16 | 30 | 5 |  |
| Oregon.-.- | 71 | 1 | 26 | 65 | ${ }^{18}$ | 8 | $\begin{array}{r}80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 23 |

${ }^{2}$ Week ended Friday. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Figures for 1930 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

## SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

| State | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Menin- } \\ \text { gococ- } \\ \text { cus } \\ \text { menin- } \\ \text { gitis } \end{array}\right\|$ | Diphtheria | Influenza | Malaria | Measles | $\underset{\text { ra }}{\text { Pellag- }}$ | Polio-myelitis | Scarlet fever | $\underset{\text { pox }}{\text { Small- }}$ | Typhoid fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June, 1930 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| California | 20 | 212 | 79 | 2 | 5, 919 | 13 | 208 | 393 | 178 |  |
| District of Columbia | 2 | 25 | 4 |  | 260 |  | 0 | 34 | 0 | 4 |
| Maryland.---------- | 0 | 57 | 24 | 3 | 138 |  | 0 | 188 | 0 | 28 |
| Nevada-.--1.-.---- |  |  |  |  | 14 | 748 | 18 | 63 | 4 | 133 |
| Virginia.-...--------- | 6 | 58 | 323 | 77 | 1,259 | 160 | 7 | 72 | 6 | 187 |
| July, 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa- | 2 | 12 |  |  | 78 |  | 9 | 36 | 182 | 7 |
| Nebraska. | 4 | 25 |  |  | 76 |  | 0 | 39 | 80 | 19 |
| New Mexico........- |  | 15 |  | 18 | 52 | 13 | 6 | 14 | 12 | 80 |

June, 1850

| Anthrax: | Cases |
| :---: | :---: |
| California. | 1 |
| Actinomycosis: |  |
| California | 1 |
| Chickenpox: |  |
| California | 941 |
| District of Columbia | 92 |
| Maryland. | 312 |
| North Carolina. | 228 |
| Virginia. | 305 |
| Diarrhea: |  |
| Maryland. | 11 |
| Diarrhes and dysentery: |  |
| Virginia. | 1,750 |
| Dysentery: |  |
| California (amebic) | 4 |
| California (bacillary) | 23 |
| Maryland. | 11 |
| Food poisoning: |  |
| California. | 33 |
| German measles: |  |
| California. | 42 |
| Maryland | 121 |
| - North Carolina | 141 |
| Granuloma, coccidioidal: |  |
| California. | 1 |
| Impetigo contagiosa: |  |
| Maryland. | 3 |
| Jaundice: |  |
| California. | 1 |
| Leprosy: |  |
| California. | 2 |
| Lethargic encephalitis: |  |
| California. | 4 |
| Mumps: |  |
| Californis | 1,646 |
| Maryland. | 60 |
| Nevada. | 12 |
| Ophthalmia neonatorum: |  |
| North Carolina. | 2 |
| Paratyphoid fever: |  |
| California. | 10 |
| North Carolina | 1 |
| Rabies in animals: |  |
| California. | 90 |
| Maryland. | 3 |
| Rocky Mountain spotted |  |
| California. | 2 |
| Nevada. | 2 |
| Scables: |  |
| Maryland.. | 4 |
| Septic sore throat: |  |
| Maryland.-.-- | 4 |
| North Carolina | 6 |

Tetanus: Cases
Californis ..... 6
Maryland ..... 1
Trachoma:
California ..... 8
Maryland ..... 1
Trichinosis:
California ..... 1
Tularaemia:
California ..... 2
Nevada ..... 3
Virginia. ..... 5
Typhus fever:
Maryland ..... 11
Nevada ..... 1
Virginia ..... 5
Undulant fever:
California ..... 13
Maryland ..... 3
Virginia ..... 1
Vincent's angina: Maryland ..... 6
Whooping cough: California ..... 863
District of Columbia. ..... 19
Maryland ..... 195
North Carolina ..... 1, 201
Virginia ..... 751
July, 1950
Chicken pox:
Iowa ..... 23
Nebraska ..... 47
New Mexico ..... 16
Dysentery:
New Mexico (bacillary) ..... 1
Mumps: ..... 32
Nebraska ..... 24
New Mexico ..... 10
Puerperal septicemia:
New Mexico ..... 1
Rabies: Iowa ..... 1
Septic sore throat: Nebraska ..... 9
Tetanus:
Iowa ..... 1
Undulant fever: Iowa ..... 15
Whooping cough:
Iowa ..... 61
Nebraska ..... 60
New Mexico ..... 11

## PATIENTS IN INSTITUTIONS FOR THE CARE OF EPILEPTICS, OCTOBER TO DECEMBER, 1929

Reports for the fourth quarter of the year 1929 have been received from 13 institutions for the care and treatment of epileptics, located in 13 States. The total number of patients in these institutions on December 31, 1929, including those on parole or otherwise absent, but still on the books, was 9,324 .

The first admissions were as follows:


Of the new admissions during the three months, 56.9 per cent were males and 43.1 per cent were females, the ratio being 132 males per 100 females.

During the quarter 193 patients were discharged- 128 males and 65 females. Ninety-one male patients and 65 female patients died. The annual death rates, based on the number of persons on the books of the institutions the middle of November, were: Males, 73.7 per 1,000; females, 58.8 per 1,000; persons, 66.6 per 1,000 .
On December 31, 1929, there were 4,920 males and 4,404 females on the books of the institutions, giving a ratio of 112 males per 100 females.

The following table shows for the 13 institutions the number of patients in the hospitals and on parole at the beginning of the quarter and at the end of each month and the percentages of the total patients who were on parole.


## GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

The 94 cities reporting cases used in the following table are situated in all parts of the country and have an estimated aggregate population of more than $31,350,000$. The estimated population of the 87 cities reporting deaths is more than $29,760,000$. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics.

Weeks ended July 26, 1930, and July 27, 1989


## City reports for week ended July 26, 1930

The "estimated expectancy" given for diphtheria, poliomyelitis, scarlet fever, smallpox, and typhoid fever is the result of an attempt to ascertain from previous occurrence the number of cases of the disease under consideration that may be expected to occur during a certain week in the absence of epidemics. It is based on reports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most instances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding weeks of the preceding years. When the reports include several epidemics, or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are excluded, and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases reported for the week during nonepidemic years.

If the reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many years as possible, but no year earlier than 1921 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy, the figures are smoothed when necessary to avoid abrupt deviation from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the table the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectancy.

| Division, State, and city | Chicken pox, cases reported | Diphtheria |  | Influenza |  | Measles, cases reported | Mumps, cases reported | Pneumonia, deaths reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases, } \\ \text { estimated } \\ \text { expect- } \\ \text { ancy } \end{gathered}$ | Cases reported | Cases reported | Deaths reported |  |  |  |
| new enaland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portland.--.....- | 1 | 1 | 0 | ------ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| New Hampshire: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concord.-...-. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manchester.....-. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Nashus.......-.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermont: <br> Barre | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Burlington-.....-- | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Massachusetts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston.....-...-- | 12 | 23 | 9 |  | 0 | 65 | 13 | 9 |
| Fall River......-- | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 2 | 4 | 3 0 |
| Springfield....-.- | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 8 | 2 | 0 1 |
| Rhode Island: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pawtucket......- | , | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Providence....--- | 3 | 8 | 1 |  | 0 | 9 | 4 | 2 |
| Connecticut: <br> Brideeport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridgeport......- | 0 | 2 2 | 0 | ----.------ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| New Haven........ | 1 | 1 | 0 | -------- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

City reports for week ended July 26, 1930-Continued


City reports for week ended July 26, 1930-Continued


City reports for week ended July 26, 1950-Continued


[^4]City reports for week ended July 26, 1930-Continued


City reports for week ended July 26, 1930-Continued


City reports for week ended July 26, 1930-Continued


[^5]City reports for week ended July 26, 1930-Continued

| Division, State, and city | Meningococeus meningitis |  | Lethargic encephalitis |  | Pellagra |  | Poliomyelitis (infantile paralysis) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases | Deaths | Cases | Deaths | Cases | Deaths | Cases, estimated expectancy | Cases | Deaths |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL | 000000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas: <br> Little Rock. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana: |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Shreveport.............. |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas. |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Fort Worth.-....-......... <br> San Antonio |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| mountans | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Salt Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pactic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon: <br> Portland | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| California: | 011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles.....-......- |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 1 | 40 | 2 |
| Sacramento-...--...----- |  | 0 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 2 | 0 |

The following table gives the rates per 100,000 population for 98 cities for the 5 -week period ended July 26, 1930, compared with those for a like period ended July 27, 1929. The population figures used in computing the rates are approximate estimates, authoritative figures for many of the cities not being available. The 98 cities reporting cases have an estimated aggregate population of more than $32,000,000$. The 91 cities reporting deaths have more than $30,500,000$ estimated population.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, June 22 to July 26, 1930-Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of $1929{ }^{1}$

DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 28,{ }_{3} \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ 29,{ }_{2} \\ 1929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ \mathbf{5}, \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 6, \\ 1929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 12, \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 13, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 19,{ }_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 20,{ }_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 26, \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 27, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ |
| 98 cities. | 67 | 110 | 59 | 89 | 59 | 88 | 249 | 73 | ${ }^{3} 39$ | 68 |
| New England | 62 | 94 | 51 | 70 | 38 | 79 | 33 | 83 | 22 | 58 |
| Middle Atlantic. -- | 65 | 144 | 59 | 101 | 52 | 99 | 48 | 76 | 35 | 75 |
| East North Central. | 88 | 131 | 91 | 128 | 87 | 119 | 66 | 105 | 450 | 103 |
| West North Central | 70 | 85 | 36 | 77 | ${ }^{66}$ | 69 | 38 | 54 | ${ }^{5} 38$ | 21 |
| South Atlantic...-. | 24 | 34 | 24 | 34 | 29 | 43 | ${ }^{4} 43$ | 30 | ${ }^{7} 39$ | 28 |
| East South Central. | 13 | 34 | 40 | 27 | 27 | 41 | 13 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| West South Central | 37 | 69 | 52 | 72 | 64 | 84 | ${ }^{1} 38$ | 69 | 34 | 99 |
| Mountain............ | 0 | 26 | 9 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 69 | 17 | 69 | 9 |
| Pacific.- | 64 | 84 | 38 | 43 | 61 | 41 | 38 | 41 | 33 | 31 |

[^6]Summary of weekly reports from cities, June 28 to July 26, 1930-Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1989Continued

MEASLES CASE RATES

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 28, \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 29, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 5 ; \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1629 \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 12,{ }_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 13, \\ & 1920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 19,0 \\ & 1030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 20, \\ & 1920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 26,{ }_{2} \end{aligned}$ | July 27 1920 |
| 98 cities. | 500 | 287 | 276 | 195 | 257 | 150 | ${ }^{2} 151$ | 88 | ${ }^{1} 110$ | 09 |
| New England. | 762 | 211 | 498 | 209 | 421 | 188 | 235 | 146 | 175 | 101 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 640 | 99 | 839 | 76 | 322 | 51 | 205 | 47 | 152 | 27 |
| East North Central. | 834 | 620 | 170 | 474 | 155 | 351 | 71 | 210 | 160 | 149 |
| West North Central. | 264 | 256 | 137 | 114 | 127 | 104 | 57 | 52 | ${ }^{6} 78$ | 68 |
| South Atlantic.... | 234 | 137 | 165 | 73 | 130 | 49 | - 114 | 43 | 152 | 17 |
| East Bouth Central. | 258 | 7 | 142 | 27 | 202 | 14 | 47 | 7 | 61 | 7 |
| West South Central. | 19 | 156 | 26 | 69 | 19 | 61 | 111 | 4 | 7 | 27 |
| Mountain..........-. | 1,416 | 148 | 712 | 148 | 566 | 104 | 240 | 61 | 172 | 70 |
| Pacific.... | 931 | 208 | 527 | 138 | 562 | 152 | 361 | 109 | 191 | 77 |

SCARLET FEVER CASE RATES

| 98 cities. | 109 | 112 | 77 | 88 | 72 | 83 | 854 | 64 | 850 | 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 124 | 119 | 66 | 90 | 66 | 83 | 60 | 56 | 66 | 56 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 89 | 72 | 57 | 46 | 51 | 41 | 87 | 35 | 36 | 19 |
| East North Central | 184 | 191 | 116 | 173 | 115 | 160 | 87 | 103 | 477 | 110 |
| West North Central | 97 | 104 | 102 | 38 | 83 | 79 | 42 | 54 | ${ }^{3} 31$ | 77 |
| South Atlantic.--- | 62 | 62 | 57 | 60 | 62 | 64 | - 45 | 69 | 737 | 60 |
| East South Central | 61 | 34 | 13 | 65 | 47 | 48 | 20 | 55 | 54 | 27 |
| West South Central | 41 | 42 | 49 | 23 | 37 | 42 | 723 | 72 | 49 | 57 |
| Mountain. | 60 | 70 | 163 | 44 | 88 | 35 | 77 | 78 | 26 | 26 |
| Pacific. | 57 | 164 | 45 | 135 | 50 | 89 | 67 | 65 | 45 | 65 |

SMALLPOX CASE RATES

| 98 cities. | 13 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 26 | 13 | 87 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East North Central | 10 | 38 | 5 | 41 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 82 | 4 | 16 |
| West North Central | 51 | 19 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 21 | ${ }^{2} 2$ | 21 |
| South Atlantic.- | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | ${ }^{1} 4$ | 2 | 72 | 0 |
| East South Atlantic. | 7 | 7 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 7 |
| West South Central | 22 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Mountain. | 51 | 113 | 51 | 35 | 9 | 35 | 17 | 44 | 17 | 9 |
| Pacific.- | 50 | 14 | 38 | 24 | 43 | 10 | 21 | 34 | 26 | 22 |

TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

| 98 cities | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 16 | 14 | 215 | 18 | 118 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 9 | 9 | 7 | 4 |  |  | 9 |  | 7 |  |
| Middle Atlantic.--- | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 29 |
| East North Central | 10 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 413 | 8 |
| West North Central | 13 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 23 | 19 | ${ }^{1} 56$ | $\stackrel{8}{18}$ |
| South Atlantic....-- | 37 | 30 | 28 | 32 | 55 | 7 | - 23 | 82 | 785 | 87 |
| East South Central-------------- | 67 | 34 | 94 | 48 | 94 | 157 | 67 | 144 | + 74 | 108 |
| West South Central.......------- | 34 | 34 | 49 | 8 | 87 | 84 | ${ }^{1} 61$ | 57 | 41 | 100 |
| Mountain. | 34 | 52 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 52 | 17 | 44 |
| Pacific. | 5 | 19 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 19 | 5 | 12 | 7 |

See end of table for footnotes.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, June 22 to July 26, 1930-Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1929Continued

INFLUENZA DEATH RATES

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 28, \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | June 29, 1929 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 5_{9} \\ & 1930 \end{aligned}$ | July <br> 6 <br> 1929 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 13, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 19,{ }_{2} \\ & 1830 \end{aligned}$ | July 20 1029 | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 28, \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | July 27, 1929 |
| 91 cities......- | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 28 | 3 |
| New England... | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Middle Atlantic.-. | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| East North Contral | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 43 | 4 |
| West North Central | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 64 | 3 |
| South Atlantic.-...- | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 | 74 | 4 |
| East South Central. | 15 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West south Central | 11 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 11 | 4 |
| Pacific.-................. | 8 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 0 |

PNEUMONLA DEATH RATES

| 91 cities | 68 | 64 | 55 | 63 | 54 | 55 | - 44 | 55 | 356 | 49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 49 | 58 | 29 | 49 | 40 | 29 | 35 | 70 | 40 | 31 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 75 | 65 | 58 | 67 | 57 | 62 | 56 | 65 | 72 | 57 |
| East North Central | 56 | 69 | 41 | 66 | 38 | 50 | 32 | 40 | 437 | 38 |
| West North Central | 86 | 48 | 62 | 63 | 74 | 51 | 38 | 36 | 842 | 51 |
| South Atlantic. | 66 | 62 | 65 | 69 | 65 | 58 | - 47 | 54 | 776 | 60 |
| East South Central. | 103 | 75 | 162 | 75 | 81 | 80 | 59 | 62 | 103 | 52 |
| West South Central. | 92 | 66 | 84 | 109 | 84 | 82 | 50 | 27 | 77 | 86 |
| Mountain.......- | 77 | 104 | 60 | 61 | 103 | 44 | 51 | 96 | 77 | 61 |
| Pacific.-. | 65 | 38 | 64 | 81 | 61 | 53 | 18 | 63 | 9 | 25 |

[^7]
## FOREIGN AND INSULAR

## CANADA

Provinces-Communicable diseases-Week ended July 26, 1930.-The Department of Pensions and National Health reports cases of certain communicable diseases from eight Provinces of Canada for the week ended July 26, 1930, as follows:

| Pruvince | Cerebrospinal lever | Influenza | Lethargic encephalitis | Poliomyelitis | Smallpox | Typhold fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prince Edward Island ${ }^{1}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotia |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| New Brunswick. |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Quebec-... | 1 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Ontario.....- | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| Baskatchewsn... |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Alberta--...-.ala | 1 | 9 |  | 1 | 2 1 | 2 |
| Total. | 8 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 16 | 23 |

${ }^{1}$ No case of any disease included in the table was reported during the week.
Quebec Province-Communicable diseases-Week ended July 26, 1930.-The Bureau of Health of the Province of Quebec, Canada, reports cases of certain communicable diseases for the week ended July 26, 1930, as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Disease | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis. | 1 | Mumps. | 6 |
| Chicken pox.-. | 5 | Scarlet fever | 30 |
| Diphtheris... | 27 | Tuberculosis (pulmonary) | 27 |
| Erysipelas | 1 | Tuberculosis (other forms) | 1 |
| Influenza. | 1 | Typhoid fever | 10 |
| Measles. | 50 | Whooping cough.....-. | 18 |

## DENMARK

Communicable diseases-May, 1930.-During the month of May, 1930, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in Denmark as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Disease | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis | 7 | Mumps. | 1,450 |
| Chicken pox.-- | 61 | Paratyphoid fever | 5 |
| Diphtheria and croup. | 364 | Poliomyelitis...- | 1 |
| Erysipelas. | 232 | Puerperal fever | 21 |
| German measles | 10 | Scarlet fever | 116 |
| Lethargic encephalitis | 3,152 |  | 1 |
| Measles......... | 2,063 |  | 1,1985 |

## VIRGIN ISLANDS

Communicable diseases-June, 1930.-During the month of June, 1930, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in the Virgin Islands as follows:
St. Thomas and St. John: Cases
Chicken pox
Gonorrhea ..... 1
Pellagra ..... 1
Syphilis...-- ..... 18t. Croix:Cases

Gonorrhea................................................. 8
Syphilis.
1
Tuberculosis
Uncinariasis.1

## CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER

From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, International Office of Public Hygiene, Pan American Sanitary Bureau, health section of the League
of Nations, and other sources. The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.
[C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present ${ }_{\text {] }}$


Cholera, plague, smallpox, typhus fever, and yellow fever-Continued

| CHOLERA-Continued [C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place | December, 1929 | $\underset{1930}{\text { January }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Febru- } \\ \text { ary, } 1930 \end{gathered}$ | March, 1930 |  |  | April, 1930 |  |  | May, 1930 |  |  | June, 1930 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1-10 | 11-20 | 21-31 | 1-10 | 11-20 | 21-30 | 1-10 | 11-20 | 21-31 | 1-10 | 11-20 |
| Indo-Chins (French) (see also table above): <br> Annam <br> Cambodia <br> Cochin-China 1 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 41-.- } \\ \\ 46\end{array}$ | 14 147 177 | 9 90 65 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { - } \\ \cdots \\ \hline-79\end{array}$ | 52 32 22 | $\cdots$ | ${ }_{48}^{18}$ | 6 | 60 | --7 $\cdots$ 188 | 20 31 204 | 3 52 259 | 2 56 147 | 14 88 128 |

[^8]plague

| Place | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Jan} . \\ 122 \\ \mathrm{Feb} . \\ 8.300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 9 \\ \text { Mar. } \\ 8, \\ 8930 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 6 \\ \text { May } \\ 3.30 \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May, 1930 |  |  |  | June, 1830 |  |  |  | July, 1980 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 28 |
| Algeria: <br> Algiers. <br>  $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Argentina: ${ }^{\text {Andalgala 1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sao Paulo. ${ }^{2}$ <br> British East Africa (see also table below): <br> Tanganyika. <br> c $\square$ <br> 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceylon: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dutch East Indies: <br> Batavia and West Java $\qquad$ C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 167 164 | 153 150 | 124 | 87 88 | 18 18 | ${ }_{33}^{33}$ | 17 17 | 14 14 | 19 19 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plague-infected rats | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Java and Madura $\qquad$ D |  | 298 | 223 | -173 | 28 | 74 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }_{i 21}$ cases of plague with 8 deaths were reported Jan. 29, 1930 , in the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil; 15 of these cases were in the city of Sao Paulo.
CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued
[ C indicates cases; D , deaths; $P$, present]

India (Portuguese)--

ChOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued PLAGUE-Continued

| Place | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} J a n u- \\ \text { ary } \\ 1930 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Feb- } \\ \text { rat } \\ \text { ary } \\ 1030 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { March } \\ 1930 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Aprill, } \\ 1930 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { May } \\ 1930 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { June, } \\ 19030 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Place | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jann- } \\ & \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { ary } \\ 1950 \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Feb} \\ \text { ru- } \\ \text { ruy } \\ \text { ary } \\ 1930 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { March, } \\ 19030 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Aprin. } \\ 1000 \end{gathered}\right.$ | ${ }_{\text {Ma80 }}$ | June, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British East Africa (see also table above): <br> Kenya. <br> Uganda $\qquad$ | (rer $\begin{array}{r}84 \\ 184 \\ 165 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 109 \\ \mathbf{9 0} \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{2} \end{array}$ | 85 | 16 | 171 | 75 | Madagascar (see also table above)-Con. <br> Moramanga Province. <br> Tamatave Province $\qquad$ $\qquad$ D | ${ }_{21}^{28}$ | 7 4 | 8 | 8 |  | $\cdots$ |
| Ecuador: Guayaquil.......................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | Tananarive Province................... ${ }_{\text {d }}^{\text {O }}$ | ${ }_{88}^{88}$ | 110 | 52 | 88 |  |  |
| Plague-infected rats <br> Ecuador (outside of Guayaquil) |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  | Senegal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greece (see also table above) $\square$ D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 8 | 24 |  |  |
| Indo-China (see also table above)............. | 10 | $30^{-1}$ | 27 | 4 | ---- | 11 |  |  |  |  | 2 | ${ }_{62} 8$ | ${ }^{53}$ |
| Madagascar (see also table abovo) ---------- C | 258 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 88 | 54 | ${ }^{117}$ |
| Ambositra Province................-.--- ${ }_{\text {D }}$ | 128 | 49 | 25 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 27 | 21 |
|  | ${ }_{28}^{11}$ | ${ }_{22}^{41}$ | 88 | ${ }_{46}^{12}$ |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | ${ }_{9}$ | ${ }_{8}^{21}$ | ${ }_{85}^{62}$ |
|  | 31 | 22 | 86 | 45 |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }_{8}^{11}$ |  | 185 69 | 43 28 |
|  | 81 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^9]smallpox
[C indicates cases; $\mathbf{D}$, deaths; $\mathbf{P}$, present]

| Place | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ 12- \\ \text { Feb. } \\ 8.80 \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 9 \\ \text { Mar. } \\ 8 . \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ \% \\ \text { Apr. } \\ \delta, \\ 1830 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 6- \\ \text { May } \\ 8 . \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May, 1830 |  |  |  | June, 1930 |  |  |  | Jury, 1980 |  |  |  | Ang. ${ }_{1900}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 28 |  |
|  | 61111 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | -----2 |  |  | $\text { - }-$ | 1 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | -...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -..... |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19 <br> 49 <br> 8 <br> $\ldots .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 7 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 57 14 | 33 <br> 3 | 45 5 | \% 8 | 276 54 | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & 154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 755 \\ 90 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | --..------ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 6 |  | (r $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2 \\ \hline 68 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}63 \\ 4\end{array}$ | --.--- | 9428 | - 1 |  | 75 | 1 | --- | --.-. | - | $\begin{array}{r} -\cdots \\ \hdashline-\cdots \\ \hline-\cdots \end{array}$ | $1$ | $2$ | -------- |
| Canada: | 221916663442102111186 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 1 \\ 16 \\ 26 \\ 86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 4 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |  | ...... |  |  | -.--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 3 \\ 17 \\ 4 \\ 77 \end{gathered}$ | ----- |  |  | ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots-\cdots$ |  |  | 2-8 | 2 | -...- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | -19 | 21 | ${ }_{3}$ | 10 | 7 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 | i | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | B |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saskatchewan $\qquad$ C |  | 76 | 47 | 41 |  | 6 | 10 <br> 3 | 3 |  | 12 | 10 |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Ceylon: <br> Angoda, Western Province |  |  |  |  |  | --->. |  | ...--- | --...--- | -..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angoda, Western Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued sMALLPOX-ContInued
[C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]

| Place | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } \\ \text { 12- } \\ \text { Feb. } \\ 8, \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ \text { Mar. } \\ \text { Mar. } \\ 8{ }_{2} \end{gathered}$ | Mar. <br> 9 <br> Apr. <br> 1930 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ \text { May } \\ 3, \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May, 1830 |  |  |  | June, 1930 |  |  |  | July, 1930 |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Aug. } \\ 190 \end{gathered}\right.$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 28 |  |
| China: <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 2 |  | 6 2 | 3 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  | 2 | P |  | $\mathbf{8}$ <br> $\mathbf{P}$ | P |  | -- | $\stackrel{P}{\mathbf{P}}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{P}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P | P |  | P |  | P |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  | P |  | P |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 109 | 51 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | 128 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Manchuria- <br> Harbin |  |  |  | 1 |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Karbin | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }^{-1}$ |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |  | 7 |  |  | i1 | 5 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | P | $\stackrel{\square}{P}$ | P | $\stackrel{-}{\mathbf{P}}$ | $\cdots$ |  | ---- | $\stackrel{-}{P}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\mathbf{P}}$ | P | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shanghai- <br> Foreigners only |  | 2 |  | 8 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 8 | 7 | 10 | 10 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 1 | 6 1 | 6 2 | 3 2 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Chosen (see table below). <br> Colombia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baranquilla |  | 102 | 2 | ${ }_{1}^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Port Limon |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Dahomey (see table below). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dutch East Indies: ${ }_{\text {Borneo }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | 185 12 | 90 31 |  | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Java- <br> Batavia and West Java $\qquad$ | 14 | 14 | 78 | 64 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | 12 |  | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sumatra.............................................. ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 2 |  | 48 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2} 5$ cases of smallpox were repceted $\Delta$ pr. 14, 1930, in Costa Rica outside of city of San Jose.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued sMALLPOX-Continued
[C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]

| Place | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Jan} . \\ 12 \\ \mathrm{Feb} . \\ 8 . \\ 1830 \end{gathered}$ | Feb. <br> 9 <br> Mar. <br> 8. <br> 1930 | Mar. <br> $\stackrel{\text { apr. }}{ }$ <br> 1930 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ \text { Mab } \\ \text { M, } \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | May, 1830 |  |  |  | June, 1930 |  |  |  | July, 1930 |  |  |  | Aug. ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 28 |  |
| Iraq: <br> Baghdad $\qquad$ - |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 7 | 12 |  | $\stackrel{22}{3}$ | ${ }_{2}^{13}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{8}$ |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | $4{ }^{77}$ | $\stackrel{20}{10}$ |  |  |  |
| Ivory Coast (see table below). <br> Jamaica (alastrim) <br> Japan: Tokyo$\qquad$C <br> D <br> D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Macao $\qquad$ Mexico (see also table below): $\qquad$ Jalisco (State): Guadalajara.................................................................................... $\qquad$ | 9 2 | ${ }_{1}^{14}$ | 8 22 2 | 20 | 1 |  | 6 | 1 | 4 | -..-- | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Mexico City and surrounding territory |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mexico City and surrounding territory | 30 7 | 38 21 | 108 31 | 99 47 | 30 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 17 8 | ${ }_{6}^{18}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | ${ }_{8}^{17}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morelos State. ${ }^{4}$ <br> Progreso $\qquad$ C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morocco (see table below). <br> Nigeria (see also table below): Lagos. $\qquad$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persia (see table below). <br> Poland. <br> Philippine Islands: Sarangani and Balut Islands '..... D <br> Portugal: Lisbon. <br> Rumania <br> Siam. $\qquad$ | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 8 |  | i |  | 2 |  | - |  | 7 |  | 7 | --- |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spain. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Sudan (Anglo-Egyptian) .-- |  | 79 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 |  |




| $\infty$ | $\mu \mu \mu \Omega$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\infty$ | $\mu \mu \mu$ |  | $\cdots$ |  |


Place


[^10]ChOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

| Place |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 9- \\ \text { Mar. } \\ 8 \\ 1930 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ 9 \\ \text { Apr. } \\ \mathbf{F}_{6} \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | April, 1930 |  |  | May, 1930 |  |  |  |  | June, 1930 |  |  |  | July, 1830 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 12 | 10 | 26 | 8 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 81 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 12 | 10 |
| Algeria: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algiers. |  | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Constantine Department | --..- | 5 | 11 | 4 | 9 | 2 |  |  | 4 | - | 2 | 11 | --- | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Oran |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 1 |
| Arabia: Aden.-...- |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia: La Paz. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulgaria. |  | 13 | 9 | 15 |  |  |  | 1 | --- | 5 |  | 1 |  | 9 | 6 | --- | 4 | 1 |
| Sofla. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chile: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valparaiso.-...-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| China: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manchuria-Harbin Shanghai..-------- |  | 1 | 4 | 5 | --- | 20 | 27 | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tientsin | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chosen (see table below) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egypt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18 | 2 |  |  | 2 | --- |  | 21 |  | 10 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 1 |  | -->--* |
| Cairo. | ..... ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 | ---* |
| Port Said. | --- | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suez | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Great Britain: Scotland- <br> Dunfermline. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irish Free Stato- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dingle-Kerry County. |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mohill-Leitrim County | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| Roscommon-Roscommon | ------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |





[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Presented at the Forty-Fifth Annual Conference of State and Provincial Health Authorities of North America, Washington, D. C., June 20, 1930 (held jointly with the Twenty-eighth Annual Conference of State and Territorial Health Officers with the United States Public Health Service).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Immunizing doses $0.5,0.5$, and 1.0 c. c. 7-day intervals.
    Immunizing doses 1.0 and 1.0 o. c., 31 -day interval.
    Immunizing doses 1.0 and 1.0 c. c., 42 -day interval.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Presented at the Twenty-eight Annual Conference of the State and Territorial Health Officers with the United States Public Health Service, Washington, D. C., June 18, 1930 (held jointly with the Fortyfifth Annual Conference of State and Provincial Health Authorities of North America).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the Office of Statistical Investigations, U. S. Public Health Service. This summary is made up from weekly telegraphic reports received by the Public Health Service from State health departments. These reports are published weekly in tabular form in the section of the Publio Health Reports entitied "Prevalence of Disease."
    The numbers of States included under the various diseases are as follows: Typhoid fever, 41; poliomyelitis, 43; meningococcus meningitis, 42; smallpox, 42; measles, 38; diphtheria, 42; scarlet fever, 41; influenza, 31
    ${ }^{2}$ Public Health Reports, June 20, 1930, p. 1421.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nonresident.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Typhus fever, 3 cases: 1 case at New York City, N. Y., 1 case at Savannah, Ga., and 1 case at Tampa, Fla.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figures given in this table are rates per $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ population, annual basis, and not the number of cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1930, and 1929, respectively.

    See end of table for other footnotes.

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ Columbia, 8. O., and Fort Smith, Ark., not included.
    ${ }^{3}$ South Bend, Ind., Kansas City, Mo., Columbia, S. C., and Atlanta, Ga., not included.
    © South Bend, Ind., not included.
    ${ }^{-}$Kansas City, Mo., not included.

    - Columbia, S. C., not included.
    ' Columbia, S. C., and Atlanta, Ga., not included.
    ${ }^{1}$ Fort Smith, Ark., not included.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reports incomplete.

[^9]:    Incomplote reports.

[^10]:    During the month of March, 1930, 100 cases of smallpox were reported in Mexico City, Mexico, and surrounding territory.
    Newspaper reports of Feb. 4 show an epidemic of smallpox in Ionacatepec, Morelos State, Mexico, and vicinity, giving 600 deaths in preceding 2 weeks.
    On Feb. 1, 1930, 317 cases of smallpox with 102 deaths were reported to that date in the Sarangani and Balut Lslands.

