## SUMMARY OF NOTIFIABLE DISEASES IN STATES DURING 1928

The accompanying summary of the reported prevalence of communicable diseases in States during 1928 is taken from Supplement No. 79, which will soon be issued by the Public Health Service. The rates have been computed from data furnished by the health officers of the several States, the District of Columbia, and the insular possessions. The following list of diseases is included in the supplement:

Anthrax in man.
Chicken pox.
Cholera.
Dengue.
Diphtheria.
Gonorrhea.
Influenza.
Lethargic encephalitis.
Malaria.
Measles.
Meningococcus meningitis.
Mumps.
Pellagra.
Plague (human).
Pneumonia (all forms).
Poliomyelitis.

Rabies in animals.
Rabies in man.
Rocky Mountain spotted fever.
Scarlet fever.
Septic sore throat.
Smallpox.
Syphilis.
Tuberculosis (all forms and respiratory system).
Tularaemia.
Typhoid fever.
Typhus fever.
Undulant fever.
Whooping cough.
Yellow fever.

The following table shows the States (including the District of Columbia and insular possessions) for which morbidity and mortality data were received for the calendar year 1928:

| Morbidity | Mortality | Morbidity | Mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Alabama. | New Hampshire. | New Hampshire. |
| Arizona | Arizona. | New Jersey | New Jersey. |
| Arkansas. | Arkansas. | New Mexico. |  |
| California | California. | New York-... | New York. |
| Colorado ${ }^{1}$ | Colorado. | North Carolina | North Carolina. |
| Connecticut | Connecticut. | North Dakota | North Dakota. |
| Delaware | Delaware. | Ohio | Ohio. |
| District of Columbia | District of Columbia. | Oklahoma | Oklahoma. |
| Florida | Florida. | Oregon....--- | Oregon. |
| Georgis | Georgia. | Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania. |
| Idaho.- | Idabo. | Rhode ISland-- | Rhode Island. South Carolina. |
| Indiana | Indiana. | South Dakota. | South Dakota. |
| Iowa | Iowa. | Tennessee. | Tennessee. |
| Kansas... | Kansas. | Texas. | Texas. |
| Kentucky. | Kentucky. | Utah | Utah. |
| Louisiana | Louisiana. | Vermont | Vermont. |
| Maryland | Maine. | Washington | Washington. |
| Massachusetts | Massachusetts. | West Virginia | West Virginia. |
| Michigan | Michigan. | Wisconsin. | Wisconsin. |
| Minnesota | Minnesota. | W yoming | W yoming. |
| Mississippi. | Mississippi. | Alaska ${ }^{1}$ | Alaska. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Missouri... | Missouri. | Hawaii Territory.- | Hawaii Territory. |
| Montana | Montana. | Philippine Islands | Philippine Islands. Porto kico. |
| Nebraska | Nebraska. | Porto Rico...... |  |

${ }^{1}$ Data not given by months.

$$
\begin{equation*}
85084^{\circ}-30-1 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

For most of the diseases four tables are given: (1) The average or estimated expectancy, (2) the number of cases reported, (3) the number of deaths reported, and (4) case rates, death rates, and case fatality rates. The estimated expectancy, given for some of the diseases, is the result of an attempt to ascertain from the experience of recent years how many cases of the disease under consideration might be expected in 1928.

In comparing the figures for 1928 with the estimated expectancy, or with reports for preceding years, it should be borne in mind that there has been a gradual improvement in the reporting of communicable $d$ seases during the last few years. An increase in the number of cases reported may be due to better reporting of the particular disease rather than to an increase in the number of cases occurring.

In some instances comparatively large numbers of cases of diseases reported in certain States may be due to the system of reporting rather than to unusual prevalence of the diseases. For instance, in Mississippi physicians report some diseases monthly to the State health officer, giving the number of cases occurring in their practice during the month. This method of reporting probably is responsible, in part, at least, for the comparatively large numbers of cases of certain diseases reported in Mississippi.

Tabulations of reported cases of and deaths from communicable diseases, similar to the tables here presented, have been issued by the United States Public Health Service for the years 1912 to 1927, inclusive (Reprints Nos. 163, 208, 298, 345, 426, 505, 551, 643, 681, 791, 879, 974, 1056, 1132, and Supplements No. 67 and No. 73, respectively).

As long as the supply lasts, copies of Supplement No. 79 may be had free on request by subscribers of Public Health Reports and others desiring them. Address the Surgeon General, United States Public Health Service, Washington, D. C.

## Summary of Notifiable Diseases in States, 1928

## chicken pox

47 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population 119,481,000) _........................ 205, 858



43 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 114,588,000) ...................... 129
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928............................................... 0.001
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928_............................. 1, 486

[^0]DIPHTHERIA
47 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population 119,481,000) ..... 91, 156
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 122, 254
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 76
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 1. 08
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,469,000) ..... 8, 366
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 07
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 11
GCNORRHEA
42 States:
Cases reported, 1928 (population $114,722,000$ ) ..... 149, 783
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 31
INFLUENZA
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population $117,469,000$ ) ..... 50, 295
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 43
LETHARGIC ENCEPHALITIS
41 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 109,999,000) ..... 1, 260
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.01
MALARIA
32 States:
Cases reported, 1928 (population 91,594,000) ..... 166, 521
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 82
37 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 111,648,000) ..... 4, 291
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.04
30 States:
Deaths registered, 1928 (population $89,582,000$ ) ..... 4, 145
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.05
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 40
MEASLES
47 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $119,481,000$ ) ..... 561, 721
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 320, 690
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 4. 70
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 2. 83
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registcred, 1928 (population $117,469,000$ ) ..... 5, 490
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 05
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 99

[^1]
## MFNINGOCOCCOS MBNINGITIS

40 States: ${ }^{12}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population 106,631,000) ..... 4, 996
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 1,893
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 047
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 0.019
44 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,013,000) ..... 2, 727
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.023
40 States: ${ }^{12}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 110,300,000) ..... 2. 416
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 022
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 2
MUMPS
41 States:
Cases reported, 1928 (population $105,072,000$ ) ..... 137, 671
Average, years 1922-1927 ..... 84, 700
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 31
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, average ..... 0. 84
42 States:
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 113,959,000) ..... 88
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 001
37 States:
Deaths registered, 1928 (population $100,179,000$ ) ..... 75
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 001
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 1. 667
PELLAGRA
17 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $44,091,000$ ) ..... 24, 690
37 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 99,319,000) ..... 7, 499
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 076
PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)
44 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population $113,179,000$ ) ..... 114, 373
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 01
POLIOMYELITIS
42 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $105,820,000$ ) ..... 5, 019
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 3, 323
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 047
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 0. 033
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,469,000) ..... 1, 397
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.012
41 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 106,361,000) ..... 1, 293
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 012
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 4
SCARLET FEVER
47 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $119,481,000$ ) ..... 174, 692
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 179, 160
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 46
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 1. 58
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,469,000) ..... 2, 181
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.02
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 79
SEPTIC SORE THROAT
29 States:
Cases reported, 1928 (population $70,456,000$ ) ..... 3, 505
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.05
31 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 74,029,000) ..... 940
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.01
SMALLPOX
47 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $119,481,000$ ) ..... 38, 432
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 34, 775
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 32
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 0.31
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,469,000) ..... 139
Deaths per 1.000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0001
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 276
SYPHILIS
42 States:
Cases reported, 1928 (population 114,722,000) ..... 186, 469
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 63
TUBERCULOSIS (ALL FORMS)
44 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,392,000) ..... 90, 734
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 773
TUBERCULOSIS (RESPIRATORY SYSTEM)
41 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population $110,029,000$ ) ..... 76, 022
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 691 ..... 0. 691
TYPHOID FEVER
46 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $117,053,000$ ) ..... 26, 951
Estimated expectancy, based on years 1921-1927 ..... 36, 492
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0. 23
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, estimated expectancy ..... 0. 33

[^2]45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,469,000) ..... 5, 878
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1828. ..... 0.05
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 4
WHOOPING COUGE
47 States: ${ }^{1}$
Cases reported, 1928 (population $119,481,000$ ) ..... 159, 337
Average, years 1922-1927 ..... 163, 029
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 1. 33
Cases per 1,000 inhabitants, average ..... 1. 43
45 States: ${ }^{1}$
Deaths registered, 1928 (population 117,469,000) ..... 5, 876
Deaths per 1,000 inhabitants, 1928 ..... 0.05
Cases reported for each death registered, 1928 ..... 26

## THE SMALLPOX (ALASTRIM) EPIDEMIC IN HOLLAND

(From the report of Dr. N. M. Josephus Jitta to the International Office)
According to the report of Doctor Jitta, Director of Public Health of Holland, at the session of the Office International d'Hygiene Publique, October, 1929, smallpox (alastrim) was imported into Holland in the person of a sailor coming from the Dutch Colony in the Indies, who arrived at Rotterdam on May 24, and who had been ill for a month. His wife became ill on July 3 and a daughter on July 17. This daughter was the only one of their four children who had never been vaccinated; the other three children did not contract the disease. Another sailor who had been in contact with this source of infection on June 5 also became ill, and his son contracted the disease later and died on July 15, after five days of illness. Two days later another son became ill. The child who died had been admitted into a ward of a hospital, and between July 20 and 27, 8 other cases developed, 1 case in a physician and 2 cases in patients who were undergoing treatment in the hospital. The epidemic continued in the institution for a number of days and, unfortunately, the first vaccine used for immunizing was not sufficiently potent to give protection.

On July 27 cases began to appear outside the hospital, and after a great deal of discussion a diagnosis of "alastrim" was made. In the beginning the cases were very mild, and a number of those attacked did not call a physician.

Isolation was not resorted to at first. Soon the disease began to spread rapidly outside of Rotterdam. Physicians advised vaccination and this measure was carried out in the large industrial plants.

[^3]In the beginning there was great reluctance in pronouncing the disease to be smallpox for the reason that the measures prescribed by the authorities against smallpox are very severe, and it was feared that the enforcement of such measures might lead to the nonreport of cases. However, a royal decree was promulgated, making obligatory the reporting of cases of "alastrim." Later, the disease assumed a graver aspect, though fatal cases appeared to have occurred in Rotterdam only.

There was much controversy between the authorities of the several hospitals, some considering the cases as "alastrim" because of their mildness; others regarding the cases as smallpox because of their virulence, particularly when it was stated that even hemorrhagic cases had occurred. A commission of experts, named by Doctor Jitta, made the following observations in Rotterdam: There was high fever in the initial stages, followed by umbilication of many of the lesions, secondary fever, and scars, upon healing, with the characteristic odor of smallpox. The commission, therefore, made a diagnosis of smallpox, but recognizing that the type of smallpox seen in the Indies is a great deal more severe than that observed in the recent epidemic.

Doctor Jitta stated, without comment or interpretation, that the mortality was 5.5 per cent in Rotterdam and nil in the remainder of the population. The reaction of Paul (corneal test) was weakly positive or negative; the virus from the pustules was very similar to that seen in ordinary smallpox, but quite different from the vaccine lymph. The lesions were rarely confluent and collapsed completely when their contents were evacuated with a needle.

The influence of previous vaccination was quite marked, inasmuch as the deaths occurred in persons who had either never been vaccinated or who had been vaccinated in early childhood only. One-fourth of the cases occurred in persons under six years of age, none of whom had been vaccinated; another fourth occurred in persons under 30; while one-half of all the cases were in persons over 30 years of age. These persons had been vaccinated at some time.

It is not possible at this time to give the number of persons who have been vaccinated as a result of this outbreak, but it is believed that at least $1,200,000$ persons were vaccinated following the appearance of the disease. Following this extensive vaccination there appeared 68 cases suspected of being post-vaccinal encephalitis, 14 of whom died. In general, it may be said that there occurred 1 case of encephalitis for each 20,000 vaccinations, and approximately 1 death from encephalitis for each 111,000 persons vaccinated.

## STUDIES IN NATURAL ILLUMINATION IN SCHOOL ROOMS

The science of lighting rooms by means of daylight has not kept pace with the science of artificial illumination. The fact that daylight is abundant and costs nothing has perhaps led to the delay in the development of the principles underlying the proper utilization of daylight.

This lack of knowledge of the present condition of the natural lighting of schools and factories has in recent years led to an intensive study of the actual conditions prevailing, and to a study of the principles underlying good daylighting. The United States Public Health Service is engaged in such a study. A preliminary report on the natural lighting of schools was published as Bulletin No. 159, and a second report ${ }^{1}$ has recently been published.

The second bulletin gives an analysis of the effect of clouds upon the inside illumination (for desks in different portions of the schoolrooms and for rooms with different directions of exposure), the outside illumination, and the brightness of the sky. There are also given an analysis of the ratio of the inside illumination on a desk to the total outside illumination, and the ratio of the inside illumination to the outside sky brightness, as well as the effect of clouds upon each of these. Other subjects studied in a similar manner are the distribution of light within a room, the changes in the distribution accompanying changes in other factors or attendant circumstances including direction of exposure; and, finally, there is presented the study of the relationship between the inside illumination and the area of the sky vault visible from each respective desk. A generalized formula for forecasting the inside illumination from the plans of a building under any set of attendant circumstances is also given.

This publication is of a technical nature and will be of interest to public-school officials (especially those charged with the responsibility of constructing buildings), architects, and illuminating engineers. As long as the supply for free distribution lasts, a copy of this bulletin, Public Health Bulletin No. 188, may be obtained by applying to the Surgeon General, United States Public, Health Service, Washington, D. C.

[^4]
## FIRST INTERNATIONAL MENTAL HYGIENE CONGRESS

To be held in Washington, D. C., May 5-10, 1930

The First International Congress on Mental Hygiene will be held in Washington, D. C., May 5-10, 1930. President Hoover has accepted the honorary presidency of the congress, and delegates are expected from more than 30 countries. While the list of speakers and the program have not yet been completed, they will be announced well in advance of the congress.

According to a preliminary statement, practically all aspects of the subject of mental hygiene will be dealt with at the congress. Details of the program are being worked out by a committee in collaboration with correspondents in other countries. The general topics are now ready and are contained in a 33-page Preliminary Announcement from John R. Shillady, Administrative Secretary, 370 Seventh Avenue, New York City. Following are some of the subjects, presented in a general descriptive manner, not as specific titles:
(a) Magnitude of the mental-hygiene problem as a health problem.
(b) Organization of community facilities for prevention, care, and treatment.
(c) Organization of the mental hospital and its rôle in community life.
(d) Psychopathic hospitals and psychopathic wards in general hospitals.
(e) Care and treatment of mental patients outside of institutions.
(f) Organization of special types of clinical service, as in courts of justice, outpatient departments of hospitals, community clinics, grade and high school clinics, college clinics, and clinics in social welfare agencies.
(g) Types of personnel required in mental hygiene work (physician, psychologist, nurse, social worker, and occupational therapist).
(h) Methods of training of different types of personnel.
(i) Clinical and social research in the field of mental hygiene.
(j) Teaching of mental hygiene and psychiatry in the medical schools: (1) Courses for the general student; (2) courses for the student specializing.
(k) Mental hygiene in industry, personnel work, and vocational guidance.
(l) Psychiatric social work, its scope and functions.
( $m$ ) Mental hygiene aspects of delinquency, dependency, and other types of social maladjustment.
( $n$ ) Marital relationships.
(o) Social aspects of mental deficiency.
(p) Mental hygiene and education; grade school, high school, college.
(q) Special problems of adolescence.
(r) Problems presented by children of special type: (1) The child with superior intelligence; (2) the neurotic child; (3) the child with sensory and motor defects.
(s) Methods and possibilities of the child guidance clinic.
( $t$ ) Significance of parent-child and teacher-child relationships in character and personality development.
(u) Parent and teacher training.
(v) Mental hygiene of religious, ethical, and moral teaching.
(w) Problems of the pre-school period.
$(x)$ Significance of these problems for the future of the child as individual and as citizen.
(y) Possibilities in the future of human relationships in the light of an increasing knowledge of those factors that help and hinder the emotional, physical, and intellectual development of the individual.

The American Psychiatric Association and the American Association for the Study of the Feeble-Minded will hold their annual meeting in Washington at the same time as the First International Congress on Mental Hygiene, hence the assemblage of a large and representative number of people especially interested in mental hygiene is expected during the week of the congress.

Further information regarding the congress may be obtained from headquarters at the address given above.

## PAN AMERICAN CONFERENCE OF CHILD HYGIENE

The Sixth Pan American Conference of Child Hygiene will mret at Lima, Peru, July 4 to 11, 1930. The Honorable Augusto B. Leguia, President of Peru, is Honorary President of the conference; Dr. Sebastián Lorente, Director of Health of Peru, is President; and Dr. Carlos Enrique Paz Soldán, Honorary Director of the Pan American Sanitary Bureau, is Secretary-General.

The subjects for discussion will be divided as follows:
Group I. General medicalquestions:
(a) Medicine.
(b) Surgery.
(c) Hygiene.

Group II. General social questions:
(a) Relief.
(b) Legislation.
(c) Education.

It is expected that delegates from all the American Republics will be present.

## COURT DECISION RELATING TO PUBLIC HEALTH

Payment of compensation of county superintendent of public health.(Oklahoma Supreme Court; Board of Commissioners of Creek County v. Robinson, 282 P. 299; decided October 15, 1929.) Section 8680 of the Compiled Statutes, 1921, provided as.follows:

The county superintendent of [public] health shall be paid the sum of $\$ 5$ per day for the time actually and necessarily served, to be paid by the board of county commissioners, and payable quarterly out of the salary fund of the county: Provided, That in no case except as provided in this act, shall the county commissioners allow or pay, in counties of not more than 10,000 inhabitants, more than $\$ 200$ per annum; * * * and in counties over 50,000 , more than $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 0 0}$ per annum: Provided further, That should an emergency exist on account of dangerous epidemics, the county superintendent of public health and the board of county commissioners may make such provisions, rules, and regulations as may be necessary under such conditions, to prevent the spread of such danger-
ous epidemic, and shall have full power to compel submission to any rules and regulations that they may deem for the best interests of their community to stamp out or prevent the spread of such epidemic. In addition thereto the board of county commissioners 'may allow and pay the actual and necessary expenses contracted in the discharge of the duties of the superintendent of public health when attempting to control and prevent the spread of any epidemic.

The plaintiff in the lower court was regularly appointed superintendent of public health for Creek County, deriving his appointment from the State health officer. The county excise board made no appropriation for the superintendent's salary or expenses for the fiscal year involved, no agreement was entered into between the board of county commissioners and the superintendent regarding any matter pertaining to the suppression of epidemics or other wise, and no rules were formulated by the commissioners to be observed by the superintendent. Notwithstanding this, the plaintiff proceeded to function as county superintendent of public health as if an appropriation had been made, and pursuant to statutes and rules he filed quarterly reports with the county commissioners and filed his claims for each month. The claims were disallowed and, at the end of the fiscal year, he brought action to recover on all of them. The lower court rendered judgment in his favor but, on appeal to the supreme court, this judgment was reversed and the entry of judgment for the county commissioners directed.

The contention of the commissioners was that the failure to make an appropriation for the office of county superintendent of public health deprived the courts of authority to render judgment against the county for any sum whatever. In upholding the defendant's contention, the supreme court said:

*     *         * As we read this statute, we think it does not fall within the class of legislation creating such offices as sheriff or county treasurer, and providing compensation therefor. Büt instead, under the above section of the statutes quoted, we think the county superintendent of public health falls within the classification of officers, such as a county farm agent, or that class of officers whose authority, of course, exists by virtue of the legislature, as the authority of all officers exists by such authority or by the constitution, but whose compensation and extent thereof are wholly dependent upon the action of the excise board in providing revenue from which they may derive compensation. * * *


## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED DECEMBER 28, 1929

| Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for the week ended December 28, 1929, and corresponding week of 1928. (From |  |  |
| the Weekly Health Index, January 2, 1930, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce) |  |  |
|  | Week ended Dec. 28, 1929 | Corresponding week, 1928 |
| Policies in f | 66, 823, 870 | 72, 435, 358 |
| Number of death claims | 11, 138 | 13, 077 |
| Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate. | 8. 7 | 9. 4 |

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended December 28, 1929, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1928. (From the Weekly Health Index, January 2, 1930, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

| City | Week ended Dec.28,1929 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { death } \\ \text { rate per } \\ \text { 1,000, } \\ \text { corre- } \\ \text { sponding } \\ \text { week, } \\ \text { 1928 } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { year }}{\text { Deaths under } 1}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Infant } \\ & \text { mor- } \\ & \text { tality } \\ & \text { rate, } \\ & \text { week } \\ & \text { ended } \\ & \text { Dec. } 28, \\ & \text { 19292, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total deaths | Death rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Week } \\ \text { ended } \\ \text { Dec. } 28, \\ 1020 \end{gathered}$ $1929$ | Corresponding week, |  |
| Total (64 cities) | 7,412 | 13.1 | 18.0 | 686 | 913 | ${ }^{3} 60$ |
| Akron. | 46 |  |  | 7 | 15 | 72 |
| Albany ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ | 42 | 18.2 | 22.6 | 1 | 3 | 20 |
| Atlanta -- | 90 | 18.4 | 31.6 | 14 | 19 | 145 |
|  | $\stackrel{56}{34}$ |  | (3) | 1 | 118 |  |
| Baltimore ${ }^{4}$ | 207 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 18 | 18 | 58 |
| White | 138 |  |  | 7 | 14 | 28 |
| Colored | 69 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | (b) | 11 | 4 | 174 |
| Birmingham | 73 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 7 | 8 | ${ }_{30}$ |
| White- Colored | 33 40 | (3) | (8) | 2 | 7 | 30 115 |
| Boston... | 228 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 24 | 32 | 66 |
| Bridgeport | 27 |  |  | 4 | 6 | 69 |
| Buffalo -- | 153 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 13 | 12 | 56 |
| Cambridge | 21 | 8.7 | - 14.1 | 3 | 5 | 54 |
| Camden | 37 | 14.3 | 15.4 | 2 | ${ }^{6}$ | 35 |
| Canton-- | 29 | 13.0 | 21.5 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 71 |
| Chicago | 777 | 129 | 20.4 | 76 |  | ${ }_{41} 68$ |
| Cleveland | 201 | 10.4 | 18.0 | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Columbus. | 74 | 12.9 | 28.7 | 2 | 15 | 19 |
| Dallas... | 68 | 16.3 | 21.1 | 8 | 14 |  |
| White | 55 |  |  | 7 | 10 |  |
| Colored | 13 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | (5) | 1 | 4 |  |
| Dayton. | 42 | 11.9 | 18.4 | 3 | 2 | 48 |
| Denver | 92 | 16.4 | 21.7 | 4 | 15 | 39 |
| Des Moines. | 28 | 9.6 | 16.9 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Detroit. | 278 | 10.5 | 20.1 | 34 | 83 | 55 |
| Duluth. | 24 | 10.7 | 7.2 | 1 | 1 | 24 |
| El Paso. | 42 | 18.6 | 22.6 | 3 | 6 |  |
| Erie | 33 |  |  | 4 | 2 | 82 |
| Fall Ricer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24 | 9.3 | 11.7 | 1 | 5 | 19 |
| Flint | 20 | 9.1 | 11.9 | 5 | 8 | 61 |
| Fort Worth | 42 | 129 | 13.2 | 5 |  |  |
| White | 34 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| Colored. | 8 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | ${ }^{(5)}$ | 2 | 1 |  |
| Grand Rapids. | 43 |  | 19.1 | 7 |  | 103 |
| Houston...--. | 81 |  |  | 8 <br> 8 | 8 |  |
| Colored | 21 |  |  | 6 2 | 8 |  |
| Indianapolis. | 138 | 18.9 | 22.4 | 9 | 9 | 72 |
| White | 109 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 74 |
| Jersey City | 29 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{39}$ |
| Kansas City, Kans. | 49 | 21.7 | 19.4 | 8 | 3 | 177 |
| White. | 28 |  |  | 4 | 1 | 101 |
| Colored. | 21 | (3) | (9) | 4 | 2 | 717 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 112 | 15.0 | 20.5 | 12 | 9 | 101 |
| Knoxville.- | 33 | 16.4 | 18.9 | 6 | 6 | 131 |
| White | 30 |  |  | 4 | 5 | 988 |
| Colored. | 3 | (5) | (b) | 2 | 1 | 422 |
| Los A ngeles. | 228 |  |  | 15 | 22 |  |
| Louisville... | 69 | 11.0 | 13.7 | 4 | 7 | 32 |
| White- | 50 19 |  | (5) | 3 1 | 6 1 | ${ }_{63}$ |
| Lowell.....- | 23 |  |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Lynn. | 19 | 9.4 | 13.4 | 4 | 3 | 110 |
| Memphis. | 70 | 19.2 | 27.5 | 11 | 5 | 130 |
| White | 37 |  |  | 5 | 2 | 95 |
| Colored. | 33 |  | (3) | 6 | 3 | 133 |
| Milwaukee | 116 | 11.1 | 18.5 | 19 | 25 | 83 |
| Minneapolis. | 113 | 13.0 | 17.8 | 8 | 13 | 49 |
| Nashville.- | 28 | 10.5 | 20.2 | 1 | 6 | 16 |
| White | 20 |  |  | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Now Bedford | 8 | (5) | (5) | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| New Bedford. | 28 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 43 |
| New Haven. | 38 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 4 | 2 | 61 |

Footnotes at end of table.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended December 28, 1929, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1928. (From the Weekly Health Index, January 2, 1930, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)-Contd.


${ }^{1}$ Annual rate per 1,000 population.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.
3 Data for 71 cities.
4 Deaths for week ended Friday.
${ }^{5}$ In the cities for which deaths are shown by color the colored population in 1920 constituted the following percentages of the total population: Atlanta, 31; Baltimore, 15; Birmingham, 39; Dallas, 15; Fort Worth, 14; Houston, 25; Indianapolis, 11; Kansas City, Kans., 14; Knoxville, 15; Louisville, 17; Memphis, 38; Nashville, 30; New Orleans, 26; Richmond, 32; and Washington, D. C., 25.

## PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

## UNITED STATES

## CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

## Reports for Weeks Ended December 28, 1929, and December 29, 1928

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended December 28, 1929, and December 29, 1928

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^5][^6]${ }^{3}$ Week ended Friday.

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended December 28, 1989, and December 29, 1928-Continued


[^7]Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph hy State health oficers for weeks ended December 28, 1989, and December 29, 1828-Continued

| Division and State | Poliomyelitis |  | Scarlet fover |  | Smallpox |  | Typhoid fever |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weok ended Dec. 28, 1829 | Weok ended Dec. 29 1928 | Week ended Dec. 28, 1929 | Week onded Dec. 29, | Week ended Dec. 28, | Week onded Dec. 29, 1928 | Week ended Dec. 28, 1929 | Week ended Dec. ${ }^{29} 1928$ 1928 |
| West South Central States: | 001 | 0010 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 20 \\ & 46 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 24 \\ & 51 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ 54 \end{array}$ | 14308 | 4782 | 4571 |
| Arkansas. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texes...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain States: | 0001100 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 68 \\ 28 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | 2157 | 107323 | 1210 | 0010010 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Montana.- |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho-- |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wyoming |  | 0 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |
| Colorado-.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona...... |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 1 |  | 4 |
| Utah ${ }^{3}$ |  | 0 |  | 7 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 |
| Pacific States: | 101 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 38 \\ 308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 23 \\ 130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123 \\ 14 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | 254019 | 614 |  |
| Washington. |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon.... |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Week ended Friday | igure | 1929 | ex | of | lahom | ity | ul |  |

## SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

| State | Menin- gococ- cus menin- gitis | Diphtheria | Influenza | Malaria | Measles | Pellagra | Poliomyelitis | Scarlet fever | $\underset{\substack{\text { Small- } \\ \text { pax }}}{ }$ | Typhoid fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October, 1829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida |  | 65 | 9 | 104 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 1 |  |
| Neocmber, 1929 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama.... | 6 | 332 | 274 | 787 | 52 | 33 | 3 | 295 | 208 |  |
| Florida | 1 | 84 | 8 | 192 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 47 | 2 | 4 |
| Illinois.... | 32 | 966 | 96 | 13 | 891 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Iowa-........ | 3 | 42 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 209 | 216 | 93 |
| Louisiana...- | 4 | 192 | 63 | 83 | 17 | 15 | 3 | 83 | 4 | 45 |
| Massachusetts.. | 13 | 556 | 25 | 3 | 395 | 1 | 19 | 874 | 0 | 23 |
| Minnesota - | 6 | 134 | 3 |  | 284 |  | 3 | 441 | 14 | 25 |
| Missouri. | 30 | 382 | 54 | 32 | 150 |  | 3 | 545 | 99 | 32 |
| Oregon...- | 6 |  | 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington... | 9 | 68 | 37 |  | 88 |  | 4 | 196 | 250 | 35 |

${ }^{1}$ None of the diseases listed in this table were reported for the month.

${ }^{2}$ The case of anthrax in Massachusetts is for the month of October, 1929.
Chioken pox-Continued. Creses
Illinois. ..... 2,144
Louisians ..... 44
Massachusetts. ..... 1,306
Minnesots ..... 1, 180
Missouri ..... 462
Nevada ..... 3
Oregon ..... 271
Washington ..... 701
Dengue:
Alabama ..... 2
Dysentery:
Florida ..... 2
minois ..... 14
Louisiana ..... 5
Massachusetts ..... 6
Minnesota ..... 12
Washington ..... 1
Favus:
Oregon ..... 1
German measles:
Illinois ..... 41
Massachusetts ..... 35
Washington ..... 10
Hookworm disease: Louisians ..... 24
Impetigo contagiosa:
Oregon ..... 19
Washington ..... 7
Lead poisoning:
Illinois ..... 10
Massachusetts ..... 3
Lethargic encephalitis:
Alabama ..... 3
Illinois ..... 5
Louisiana ..... 1
Massachusetts. ..... 3
Minnesota ..... 3
Oregon ..... 2
Washington ..... 3.
Milk sickness:
Illinois ..... 1
Mumps:
Alabama ..... 18
Florida ..... 63
Idaho ..... 64
Illinois ..... 372
Massachusetts ..... 409
Missouri ..... 36
Nevada ..... 11
Oregon ..... 79
Washington ..... 266
Ophthalmia neonatorum:
Illinois. ..... 43
Massachusetts. ..... 129
Missouri ..... 2
Paratyphoid fever: Florida ..... 1
Louisiana ..... 1
Puerperal fever: Illinois. ..... 2
Puarperal fever-Continued. Cases
Oregon ..... 1
Washington. ..... 5
Rabies in animals:
mlinois ..... 7
Louisiana ..... 5
Missouri ..... 10
Scabies:
Oregon. ..... 22
Washington ..... 4
Septic sore throat:
Idaho ..... 1
Illinois ..... 17
Massachusetts ..... 17
Missouri ..... 40
Nevada ..... 7
Oregon ..... 11
Washington ..... 4
Tetanus:
Illinois ..... 12
Louisiana ..... 4
Massachusetts ..... 2
Missouri ..... 4
Washington ..... 1
Trachoma:
Illinois ..... 2
Massachusetts ..... 8
Missouri ..... 24
Oregon ..... 1
Trichinosis:
Massachusetts ..... 1
Tularaemia:
Illinois. ..... 2
Louisiana ..... 2
Minnesota ..... 1
Missouri ..... 3
Typhus fever:
Florida ..... 7
Undulant fever:
Alabama ..... 3
Illinois ..... 9
Iowa ..... 4
Minnesota ..... 1
Missouri ..... 5
Nevada. ..... 1
Vincent's angina:
Illinois ..... 3
Oregon ..... 5
Washington ..... 3
Whooping cough:
Alabama ..... 97
Florida ..... 20
Idaho ..... 38
nlinois. ..... 974
Louisiana ..... 17
Massachusetts. ..... 668
Minnesota ..... 156
Missouri ..... 232
Oregon ..... 34
Washington ..... 117

## GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

The 98 cities reporting cases used in the following table are situated in alt parts of the country and have an estimated aggregate population of more than $31,565,000$. The estimated population of the 91 cities reporting deaths is more than $29,995,000$. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics.

Weeks ended December 21, 1929, and December 22, 1928

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

City reports for week ended December 21, 1929
The "estimated expectancy" given for diphtheria, poliomyelitis, scarlet fever, smallpox, and typhoid fever is the result of an attempt to ascertain from previous occurrence the number of cases of the disease under consideration that may be expected to occur during a certain week in the absence of epidemics. It is bassd on reports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most instances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding weeks of the preceding years. When the reports include several epidemics, or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are excluded and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases reported for the week during nonepidemic years.
If the reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many years as possible, but no year earlier than 1920 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy, the figures are smoothed then necessary to avoid abrupt deviation from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the able the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectancy.

${ }^{1}$ No estimate of population made.

City reports for week ended December 21, 1989-Continued

| Division, State, and city | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population, } \\ & \text { fuly 1, } \\ & \text { 1928, } \\ & \text { estimated } \end{aligned}$ | Chicken pox, cases ported | Diphtheria |  | Influenza |  | Measles, cases reported |  | Pneumonia, deaths ported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |
| NEW ENGLAND-contd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston. | 799,200 | 81 | 48 | 46 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 47 | 26 |
| Fall River..........- | 134, 300 | 9 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Springfield-.-.-.-.-- | - 149,800 | 16 | 5 | 9 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Worcester--....----- | 197, 600 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 2 |  |
| Pawtucket.........- | 73, 100 | 8 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Providence........-- | 286, 300 | 2 | 11 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Connecticut: |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Hartford.............- | 172,300 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| New Haven.......-. | 187,800 | 37 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| midder atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buftalo-............- | 555,800 | 27 | 20 | 13 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 |
| New York....-...-- | 6, 017, 500 | 230 | 204 | 129 | 63 | 24 | 33 | 57 | 205 |
| Rochester .........-- | 199,300 | 25 | 4 | 1 |  | 0 | 8 | 43 | 6 |
| New Jersey: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camden...........-- | 135,400 473,600 | $8{ }^{6}$ | 20 | ${ }_{27}^{9}$ | 5 | 1 | 30 | 7 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia | 2,064, 200 | 109 | 81 | 27 | 14 | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ | 22 | 0 | ${ }_{26}$ |
| Peading--.-......---- | 115,400 | 19 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | ${ }^{1} 1$ | 0 | 2 |
| Scranton..........-- | 144, 700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| east norti central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland.-. | 1,010,300 | 151 | 47 | 17 | 17 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 15 |
| Columbus... | 299, 000 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 3 2 | ${ }_{246}^{0}$ | 3 7 | 4 |
| Toledo.- | 313,200 | 105 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 246 | 7 | 3 |
| Indiana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indianapolis.....----- | 382, 100 | 28 | 11 | 3 | …-.-- | 1 | 6 | 2 | 25 |
| South Bend........- | 86,100 | 5 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Terre Haute........- | 73,500 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Springfeld...-......-- | 3, 67, 200 | 2 | . 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 67 | 70 | 3 | 5 | 78 | 52 | 31 |
| Flint .-.....-.-......--- | ${ }^{1} 148,800$ | 16 | 5 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Grand Rapids.-...- | 164, 200 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Madison.... | 50,500 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 58 | 3 |  |
| Milwaukee..-.-...--- | 544,200 | 156 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 25 | 13 |
| Racine............-- <br> Superior | 74,400 | 8 | 3 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Superior.....-.-...-- |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 17 |  |  |
| west north central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duluth ---7-......-- | 116,800 455,900 | 11 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 43 30 | 24 | 7 |
| Minneapolis.....-.-- | ${ }_{(1)}^{455,800}$ | 260 19 | $\stackrel{2}{22}$ | 8 |  | 0 | $\stackrel{3}{4}$ | 4 | 8 |
| Iowa: Paul----------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davenport | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | .-- | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Des Moines.......-- | 151,900 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | --....-. |
| Sioux City --......-- | 80,000 | 9 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 22 | 0 | ------- |
| Waterl00.-........-- | 37,100 | 17 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Joseph .........-. | 78,500 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| St. Louis............-- | 848, 100 | 18 | 46 | 20 |  |  | 0 |  | --..... |
| North Dakota: Fargo Grand Forks..................... | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 7 | $0$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | $2$ | 0 |

[^8]City reports for week ended December 21, 1989-Continued

| Division, State, and city | Population, July 1, 1928, estimated | Chicken pox, cases ported | Diphtheria |  | Influenza |  | Measles, cases ported | Mumps, reported | Pneumonla, deaths reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | Cases reported | Cases reported | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |
| Wess north central-continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita.-. | 62,800 99,300 | 20 9 | 2 <br> 4 | 3 1 |  | 0 | 3 2 | 13 | 4 |
| south atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore-...--.---- | ${ }_{(1)}^{830}, 400$ | 500 | 35 2 | 14 | 10 | 2 0 | 1 | 5 0 | 28 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia: 252,000 21 19 11 1 0 0 0 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lynchburg-...-...-- | 38,600 | 17 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | 17 | 5 | 2 |
| Richmond.-.......-- | 194,400 | 7 | 8 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 7 |
| West Virginia: | 64,600 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Charloston. | 55, 200 | 12 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Wheeling--. | (1) | 8 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| North Carolina:--...-- |  | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Wilmington. | 39, 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Winston-Salem. | 80,000 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 |
| South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia-..........-- | 50,600 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Georgia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlants--1.-.......-- | ${ }_{\text {(1) }}^{255} 100$ | ${ }_{0}^{6}$ | 4 0 | 11 | 40 | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | 1 | 10 2 | 1 |
| Savannah... | 99, 000 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Petersburg-.---- | 53,300 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Tampa.-------.----- | 113,400 | 10 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 |
| EAST SOUTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covington | 59,000 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Tennessee: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memphis | $\begin{aligned} & 190,200 \\ & 139,600 \end{aligned}$ | 3 2 | 7 2 | 2 |  | 3 2 | 0 | 1 | ${ }_{11} 8$ |
| Alabama: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham | 222, 400 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 0 |  | 8 |
| Mobile.......-...-- | 69,600 63,100 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Rock........-- | 79,200 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Louisiana: New Orleans......- |  |  | 12 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Shreveport.....-.-.-- | 81,300 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas...-.-.-.....-- | 217,800 | 12 | 13 | 16 |  | 3 | 19 | 0 | 7 |
| Port Worth.........- | 170,600 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Gaiveston..........- | 50,000 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ...-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1{ }^{4}$ |
| Houston-1.-......-- | 218, 100 | 2 | 7 4 | 12 |  | 2 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 |

[^9]City reports for woek ended December 21, 1989—Continued

${ }^{1}$ No estimate of population made.

City reports for woek ended Decomber 21, 1929-Continued

| Division, 8tate, and city | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  |  | Tuber culosis, deaths reparted | Typhoid fever |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Whoop- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { cough, } \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { ah } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Cases, } \\ \text { esti- } \\ \text { mated } \\ \text { expect- } \\ \text { ancy } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re-s } \\ \text { ported } \end{array}$ | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{array}\right\|$ |  | Cases, estimated expectancy |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |
| middLe ATLANTIC continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark......- | 19 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 131 |
| Trenton...---- | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Pennsylvania: | 79 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Pitsburgh | 38 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 511 |
| Reading...-..-- | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 28 |
| EAST North central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati....- | 16 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 156 |
| Cleveland....- | 37 | 56 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 64 | 170 |
| Columbus....-. | 11 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 95 |
| Toledo...-.... | 14 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 70 |
| Indiana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Indianapolis..-- | 11 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 112 |
| South Bend...- | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Terre Haute..-- | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Irinois: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Springfield.-.-- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{1}^{68}$ | 754 22 |
| Michigan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flint.-......--- | 12 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| Grand Rapids- | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 |
| Wisconsin: | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | a |
| Madison.-....--- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 9 |
| Milwaukee...- | 28 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 120 |
| Racine...-.--- | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 |
| Superior...-.-- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duluth........- | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 23 |
| Minneapolis_-- | 52 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 110. |
| St. Paul.------ | 27 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 61 |
| Iowa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davenport---- | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | -- | .- | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 |  |
| Des Moines..- | 9 | 4 | 1 | 13 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 30 |
| Sioux City .-..- | 3 | 0 | 1 | 17 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Joseph...-- | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| St. Louis...---- | 37 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 222 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fargo $\qquad$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Nebraska: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -...- |
| Omaha.......-- | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| Kansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita-.......- | 2 | ${ }^{8} 8$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 46 |
| bouth atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wilmington..- | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Maryland: <br> Baltimore | 28 | 46 |  |  |  | ${ }_{21}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumberland.-- | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 2 0 | 0 | 23 0 | 128 |
| Frederick | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| District of Columbia: |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington... | 23 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 144 |

City reports for week ended December 21, 1929-Continued

| Division, State, and city | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  |  | Tuber culosis, deaths roported | Typhoid fever |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Whoop- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { cough, } \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases, } \\ & \text { esti- } \\ & \text { mated } \\ & \text { expect- } \\ & \text { ancy } \end{aligned}$ | Cases roported | Cases, estimated expectancy | Cases reported | Deaths reported |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | Cases reported | Deaths reported |  |  |
| sOUTH ATLANTICcontinued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lynchburg -..- | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 19 |
| Richmond....- | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 53 |
| Weast Virginia:-...- | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| West Virginia: Charleston | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 22 |
| Wheeling-....- | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| North Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walmington...-- | 1 | 2 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| Winston-Salem | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston-.-- | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 27 |
| Georgia: |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| Atlanta----.--- | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 82 |
| Brunswick...- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Savannah...-- | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Moriami.........- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| St. Petersburg- | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 10 |
| Tampa.....--- | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| east sodth CEntral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentuck: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covington....- | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Tennessee: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memphis-...-- | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Nashville.....-- | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| Alarmingham .- | 4 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{29}^{64}$ |
| Montgomery-- | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| WEST SOUTH CEN- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Smith...- | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Little Rock.-.- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Louisiana: <br> New Orleans. | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shreveport.-.- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\underset{2}{13}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 30 |
| Oklahoma: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tulsa-.---....-- | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 5 |  |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 2 | 1 | , | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Fort Worth...- | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Houston..-.-.-- | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 92 |
| San Antonio..- | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| mountain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billings......-- | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Great Falls...- | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Helena --...-. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Missoula-...-- | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Idaho: <br> Boise | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Colorado: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver-......-- | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 78 |
| Pueblo........- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| New Mexico: Albuquerque | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Utah: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Lake City | 3 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 29 |
| Nevada: <br> Reno | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

City reports for week ended Decomber 21, 1989-Continued
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${ }^{1}$ Dengue: 1 case at Charleston, S. C.
${ }^{2}$ Typhus fever, 2 cases: 1 case at Savannah, Ga., and 1 case at Tampa, Fla.
The following table gives the rates per 100,000 population for 98 cities for the 5-week period ended December 21, 1929, compared with those for a like period ended December 22, 1928. The population figures used in computing the rates are approximate estimates, authoritative figures for many of the cities not being available. The 98 cities reporting cases have an estimated aggregate population of more than $31,000,000$. The 91 cities reporting deaths have nearly $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ eatimated population. The number of cities included in each group and the estimated aggregate populations are shown in a separate table below.

Summary of iweekly reports from cities, November 17 to December 21, 1989-A nnual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1928 ${ }^{1}$

DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov. 23, 1929 | Nov. 24, 1928 | Nov. 30, 1929 | Dec. 1928 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 7929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 8, \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | Dec. 14, 1929 | Dec. 15, 1928 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 21, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 22, \\ & 1928 \end{aligned}$ |
| 98 cities. | ${ }^{2} 188$ | 165 | 140 | 152 | ${ }^{2} 148$ | 166 | 4135 | 159 | 129 | 146 |
| New England | 118 | 140 | 179 | 195 | 113 | 209 | ${ }^{3} 128$ | 216 | 170 | 159 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 123 | 137 | 123 | 131 | 110 | 159 | 112 | 139 | 106 | 146 |
| East North Central | 301 | 182 | 166 | 185 | 191 | 190 | 170 | 208 | 167 | 166 |
| West North Central | 169 | 186 | 113 | 164 | ${ }^{-122}$ | 149 | ${ }^{7} 157$ | 149 | 110 | 139 |
| South A tlantic. | 135 | 230 | 144 | 128 | ${ }^{8} 118$ | 143 | 107 | 130 | 107 | 122 |
| East South Central. | 238 | 147 | 156 | 175 | 224 | 140 | 136 | 98 | 122 | 133 |
| West South Central | 462 | 272 | 269 | 223 | 376 | 259 | 304 | 251 | 233 | 191 |
| Mountain..--.-.-..- | ${ }^{2} 89$ | 124 | 17 | 53 | ${ }^{\circ} 1136$ | 35 | ${ }^{10} 62$ | 18 | 61 | 71 |
| Pacific...- | 62 | 105 | 57 | 72 | ${ }^{11} 111$ | 100 | 60 | 61 | 57 | 95 |

MEASLES CASE RATES


SCARLET FEVER CASE RATES


SMALLPOX CASE RATES

| 98 cities . .-. --..........-- | 224 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 817 | 4 | 423 | 8 | 23 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | ${ }^{5} 2$ | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East North Central | 33 | 21 | 13 | 12 | 26 | 10 | 29 | 16 | 31 | 4 |
| West North Central. | 50 | 2 | 48 | 8 | 664 | 2 | ${ }^{7} 57$ | 0 | 60 | 6 |
| South Atlantic..-... | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| East South Central | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| West South Central | 40 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 20 | 4 | 36 | 24 | 36 | 41 |
| Mountain. | 871 | 0 | 35 | 35 | ? 102 | 0 | 1027 | 44 | 52 | 44 |
| Pacific. | 115 | 18 | 77 | 8 | 1136 | 8 | 122 | 20 | 117 | 56 |

${ }^{1}$ The figures given in this table are rates per 100,000 population, annual basis, and not the number of cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1929, and 1928, respectively.
${ }_{2}$ Reno, Nev, not included.
${ }^{2}$ Fargo, N. Dak., Atlanta, Ga., Salt Lake City, Utah, and Seattle and Spokane, Wash., not included.

- New Haven, Conn., Sioux City, Iowa, and Missoula, Mont., not included.
${ }^{8}$ New Haven, Conn., not included.
${ }^{6}$ Fargo, N. Dak., not included.
${ }^{7}$ Sioux City, Iowa, not included.
${ }^{8}$ Atlanta, Ga., not included.
- Salt Lake City, Utah, not included.
${ }^{10}$ Missoula, Mont., not included.
${ }^{11}$ Seattle and Spokane, Wash., not included.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, November 17 to December 21, 1929—Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 19世8-Continued.

TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov. 23, 1929 | Nov. 24, 1928 | Nov. 30, 1929 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 7, \\ 1929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 8 \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 14 ; \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. 15, 1928 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 21, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. 22, 1928 |
| 98 cities. | ${ }^{2} 13$ | 10 | 5 | 6 | 35 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| New England. | 11 | 7 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 37 | 7 | 0 |  |
| Middle Atlantic.- | 10 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| East North Central. | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| West North Central | 12 | 16 | 6 | 8 | ${ }^{6} 2$ | 4 | ${ }^{7} 6$ | 4 | 8 | 2 |
| South Atlantic..... | 19 | 11 | 4 | 10 | ${ }^{8} 6$ | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 8 |
| East South Central | 34 | 35 | 34 | 0 | 48 | 14 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 7 |
| West South Central. | 36 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 49 | 8 | 16 | 40 | 8 |
| Mountain. | .$^{2} 36$ | 9 | 26 | 9 | -34 | 0 | 109 | 9 | 17 | 9 |
| Pacific. | 5 | 13 | 2 | 3 | ${ }^{11} 0$ | 5 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 10 |

INFLUENZA DEATH RATES

| 91 cities. | 28 | 17 | 11 | 34 | 1216 | 50 | 1316 | 80 | 19 | 118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 5 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 9 | ${ }^{6} 7$ | 9 | 9 | 14 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 9 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 27 | 18 | 66 |
| East North Central | 6 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 18 | 15 | 44 | 14 | 124 |
| West North Central | 6 | 9 | 21 | 18 | ${ }^{6} 27$ | 64 | 12 | 174 | 15 | 220 |
| South Atlantic.-.-- | 4 | 13 | 17 | 31 | 825 | 54 | 19 | 101 | 13 | 134 |
| East South Central. | 30 | 31 | 15 | 31 | 59 | 84 | 59 | 100 | 52 | 77 |
| West South Central. | 16 | 33 | 57 | 54 | 49 | 54 | 81 | 96 | 69 | 212 |
| Mountain. | 29 | 44 | 17 | 310 | - 11 | 514 | ${ }^{10} 0$ | 735 | 26 | 594 |
| Pacific. | 7 | 94 | 13 | 239 | 13 | 293 | 20 | 317 | 30 | 212 |

PNEUMONIA DEATH RATES

| 91 cities | 2103 | 126 | 107 | 139 | 12137 | 161 | ${ }^{13} 151$ | 202 | 159 | 250 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 88 | 106 | 93 | 85 | 75 | 80 | ${ }^{5} 131$ | 108 | 158 | 159 |
| Middle Atlantic | 108 | 128 | 101 | 142 | 139 | 149 | 156 | 190 | 165 | 247 |
| East North Central. | 96 | 106 | 83 | 120 | 128 | 135 | 115 | 171 | 117 | 255 |
| West North Central. | 102 | 104 | 126 | 150 | - 125 | 190 | 174 | 318 | 180 | 444 |
| South Atlantic.-- | 94 | 165 | 129 | 145 | ${ }^{8} 132$ | 170 | 191 | 251 | 184 | 228 |
| East South Central | 252 | 169 | 222 | 184 | 237 | 306 | 215 | 199 | 215 | 207 |
| West South Central. | 134 | 129 | 162 | 141 | 248 | 179 | 239 | 182 | 243 | 254 |
| Mountain. | 2107 | 159 | 157 | 186 | ${ }^{9} 159$ | 337 | 10188 | 629 | 235 | 399 |
| Pacific. | 59 | 169 | 108 | 239 | 144 | 293 | 111 | 222 | 144 | 169 |

${ }^{2}$ Reno, Nev., not included.
${ }^{3}$ Fargo, N. Dak., Atlanta, Ga., Salt Lake City, Utah, and Seattle and Spokane, Wash., not included.
${ }^{4}$ New Haven, Conn., Sioux City, Iowa, and Missoula, Mont., not included.
${ }^{5}$ New Haven, Conn., not included.

- Fargo, N. Dak., not included.
${ }^{1}$ Sioux City, Iows, not included.
${ }^{8}$ Atlanta, Ga., not included.
' Salt Lake City, Utah, not included.
${ }^{10}$ Missoula, Mont., not included.
${ }^{11}$ Seattle and Spokane, Wash., not included.
${ }_{12}$ Fargo, N. Dak., Atlanta, Ga., and Salt Lake City Utah, not included.
${ }^{13}$ New Haven, Conn., and Missoula, Mont., not included.
Number of cities included in summary of weekly reports and aggregate population of cities of each group approximated as of July 1, 1929 and 1928, respectively

| Groups of cities |  | Number of cities reporting deaths | Aggregate population of cities reporting cases |  | Aggregate <br> of cities <br> deaths <br> 1929 | population reporting <br> 1923 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1929 | 1928 |  |  |
| Total | 98 | 91 | 31, 568, 400 | 31,052,700 | 29, 995,100 | 29, 498, 600 |
| New England | 12 | 12 | 2,305,100 | 2,273, 900 | 2,305, 100 | 2,273,900 |
| Middle Atlantic | 10 | 10 | 10,809, 700 | 10, 702, 200 | 10,809,700 | 10, 702, 200 |
| East North Central | 16 | 16 | 8, 181,900 | 8,001, 300 | 8,181,000 | 8,001,300 |
| West North Central | 12 | 9 | 2,712,100 | 2, 673, 300 | 1,736,900 | .1, 708, 100 |
| South Atlantic. | 19 | 19 | 2,783, 200 | 2, 732,900 | 2,783, 209 | 2,732,900 |
| East South Central | 6 | 5 | 767,900 | 745, 500 | 704, 200 | 682,400 |
| West South Central | 8 | 7 | 1,319, 100 | 1, 289,900 | 1,285, 000 | 1,256,400 |
| Mountain | 9 | 9 | 2988,800 | 1590,200 20043 | 598,800 $1.500,300$ | 590,200 $1.551,200$ |
| Pacific. | 6 | 4 | 2,090,600 | 2,043,500 | 1,590,300 | 1,551,200 |

# FOREIGN AND INSULAR 

## CANADA

Provinces-Communicable diseases-Week ended December 14, 1929.The Department of Pensions and National Health of Canada reports cases of certain communicable diseases in Canada for the week ended December 14, 1929, as follows:

| Provinces | Cerebrospinal fever | Influenza | $\underset{\text { elitis }}{\text { Poliomy- }}$ | Smallpox | Typhoid fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prince Edward Island ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nova Scotis--.-........ |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| New Brunswick ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quebec------ | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Manitoba.... |  | 1 |  | 6 |  |
| Saskatchewan.. |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| Alberta --..-.-- | 1 |  | 1 | 7 |  |
| British Columbia | 1 |  |  | 1 | 10 |
| Total | 3 | 12 | 2 | 42 | 31 |

${ }^{1}$ No case of any disease reported in the table was reported during the week.
Quebec Province-Communicable diseases-Week ended December 21, 1929.-The Bureau of Health of the Province of Quebec, Canada, reports cases of certain communicable diseases for the week ended December 21, 1929, as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Disease | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis. | 1 | Poliomyelitis_. | 1 |
| Chicken pox | 120 | Scarlet fever | 115 |
| Diphtheria- | 49 | Smallpox- | 8 |
| German measles. | 7 | Tuberculosis... | 23 |
| Influenza- | 3 | Typhoid fever. | 7 |
| Measles.. | 159 | Whooping cough...- | 68 |
| Mumps.- | 87 |  |  |

## NETHERLANDS

Smallpox (alastrim)-Week ended December 7, 1929.-During the week ended December 7, 1929, 5 cases of smallpox (alastrim) were reported at Rotterdam, Netherlands, 1 at The Hague, and 1 at Hillegersberg.

## PORTO RICO

San Juan-Communicable diseases-Five weeks ended December 7, 1929.-During the five weeks ended December 7, 1929, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in San Juan, P. R., as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Disease | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chicken pox. | 1 | Syphilis.- | 12 |
| Diphtheria... | 5 | Totanus. | 2 |
| Fllariasis. | 5 | Tuberculosis | 62 |
| Malaria----.-...-..... | 13 | Typhoid fever | 3 |
| Ophthalmia neonatorum. | 2 | Whooping cough |  |

## TRINIDAD (BRITISH WEST INDIES)

Port of Spain-Vital statistics (comparative)-November, 1929.The following statistics for the month of November for the years 1925 to 1929 are taken from a report issued by the Public Health Department of Port of Spain, Trinidad:

|  | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of births | 146 | 176 | 186 | 171 | 182 |
| Birth rate per 1,000 population | 27.8 | 33.2 | 34.8 | 31.8 | 33.4 |
| Number of deaths.......... | 132 | 128 | 118 | 103 | 84 |
| Death rate per 1,000 population. | 25.1 | 23.7 | 221 | 19.2 | 17.2 |
| Deaths under 1 year.....-.-.--- | 25 | 24 | 17 | 17 | 9 |
| Infant mortality rate per 1,000 bi | 171.2 | 136.4 | 91.4 | 99.4 | 49.4 |

## YUGOSLAVIA

Communicable diseases-November, 1929.-During the month of November, 1929, certain communicable diseases were reported in Yugoslavia, as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Deaths | Discase | Cases | Deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthrax | 69 | 13 | Relapsing fever |  |  |
| Cerebrospinal meningit | 10 | 6 | Scarlet fever | 1,887 | 265 |
| Diphtheria and croup. | 861 | 111 | Tetanus. | 15 | 10 |
| Dysentery | 202 | 32 | Typhoid fever | 883 | 84 |
| Measies-1-- | ${ }^{1}$ | 3 | ryphus iever | 3 | -..... |

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER
From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, International Office of Public Hygiene, Pan American Sanitary Bureau, health section of the League of
Nations, and other sources. The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.
[C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]


${ }^{1}$ There were 98 cases of cholera with 16 deaths in Nagara Sridharmaraj Province, Blam, from May 16 to July 7, 1829.
CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

| Place | - | $\underset{1920}{\text { April }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \text { 1929 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & \text { 1929 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & \text { 1920 } \end{aligned}$ | August, | September, 1929 |  |  | October, 1929 |  |  | November, 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1-10 | 11-20 | 21-30 | 1-10 | 11-20 | 21-31 | 1-10 | 11-20 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \mathbf{8 4} \\ & \mathbf{8 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{2} 20 \\ & z_{123}^{215} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 186 \\ 315 \\ 13 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60 |  |  |  | 45 |  | 34 |  | 121 | 100 | ---- | 15 |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{5}$ Reports incomplete.


CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Ciontinued
[C indicates cases; $\mathbf{D}$, deaths; $\mathbf{P}$, present]


CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

${ }^{1}$ Incomplete reports.
[C indicates cases; $\mathbf{D}$, deaths; $\mathbf{P}$, present]

Cholera, plague, smallpox, typhus fever, and fellow fever-Continued


CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued


Cholera, plague, smallpox, typhus fever, and yellow fever-Continued
sMALLPOX-Continued

TYPHUS FEVER

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued


| Place | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May, } \\ & \text { 1929 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June, } \\ \text { 1029 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Au- } \\ \text { gust, } \\ \text { 1929 } \end{gathered}$ | Sep-tember, | October, 1929 | Place | $\begin{gathered} \text { May, } \\ 1920 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ \text { June } \\ \hline 1929 \end{array}$ | July, 1929 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Au- } \\ \text { sust, } \\ \text { 1029 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Octo } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chosen................................................................ | 272 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 27 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
|  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  | Peru: Arequipa......-.......................... D |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | Turkey.....-..................................... d $^{\text {d }}$ | 7 | 10 |  | 8 | 4 | 10 |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | Yugoslavia......................................... ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | 19 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 3 |  | 6 | 3 | 7 | D | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

yELLOW FEVER

| Place | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { June } \\ 2-29, \\ 1929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 301 \\ & \text { July } \\ & 27 \\ & 1920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & \text { 28 } \\ & \text { Aug. } \\ & 24, \\ & 1929 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug. } \\ 25- \\ \text { Sept. } \\ 21 . \\ 1029 \end{gathered}$ | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sept. } \\ 29 \\ 1929 \end{array}$ | October, 1929 |  |  |  | November, 1220 |  |  |  |  | December, 1220 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 12 | 19 | 28 | 2 | 9 | 16 | 23 | 30 | 7 | 14 | 21 |
| Brazil: Bahia ..............ander |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nictheroy-...-...-....................................... ${ }_{\text {- }}^{\mathbf{D}}$ |  | 1 | -- | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rio de Janeiro..-.......................................... ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | 1 <br> 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ..- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

JFrom June 19 to July 8, 1929,41 cases of yellow fever with 23 deaths were reported in Socorro, Colombia.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The District of Columbia is also included.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The District of Columbia is also included.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The District of Columbia is also included.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The District of Columbia is also included.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Studies in Natural Illumination in School Rooms. Part III: Effect of Clouds on Daylight Illumination and on Daylight Ratios (Public Health Bulletin No. 188). The first balletin in this series on natural illumination (containing both Parts I and II) was issued as Public Health Bulletin No. 159-Part I: General Considerations of Daylight Illumination; Part II: Illumination Study at Hagerstown, Md. See also Reprint No. 1261 from the Public Health Reports: A Review of the Current Practice of the Lighting of School Buildings in the United States.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ New York City only.

[^6]:    Estimated.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ Week ended Friday.

    - Figures for 1929 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ No estimate of population made.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ No estimate of population made.

