# PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 44 JUNE 7, 1929 NO. 23

# THE INFLUENZA EPIDEMIC AT THE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON IN THE FALL OF 1928

By FRED N. MILLER, M. D., University of Oregon Health Service

The following observations are based upon the study of the records of 250 cases of influenza among the students of the University of Oregon occurring between November 6 and December 16, 1928. hundred and nineteen cases were diagnosed—242 from November 6 to 30, and 77 from December 1 to 16—but brief protocols were transcribed of only 250 of these cases, in which the patients were in one of the university infirmaries. Unfortunately, the epidemic necessitated opening, equipping, and staffing three new buildings, and it was found impossible to write a complete history with detailed progress notes in each case. However, it is believed that the histories have been kept in sufficient detail as to make possible some conclusions concerning the source and nature of the epidemic and effect of treat-These cases were under the care of the three regular staff members of the university health service, and the more serious cases at least were examined by all three of the physicians, frequently at one time, and the findings were compared and discussed.

The source of the epidemic is unusually clear. On November 3, the football game at the University of California, at Berkeley, Calif., drew some few of our students. Since we knew that influenza was prevalent in and around Berkeley, we warned students of the risks attendant upon going to California at that time. On November 6 we had our first two cases of influenza; the next day there was one case, and by the 8th the epidemic had begun. The first cases were in three of five boys in the A fraternity who had driven to California for the game—the other two of the five were admitted with influenza a few days later. These five boys were native Californians, and therefore had many contacts in Berkeley homes. On November 8 five other boys, living at the A fraternity house, who had not been to California, came down with the disease. There were 10 members of this group, therefore, who were sick before any other fraternity was involved, and five of them had gone to California. The first girl who became sick (November 8) also had gone to California, and the second girl (November 12) was a sorority sister and roommate of the first.

June 7, 1929 1354

It is interesting to note that of the football squad there was no infection traceable directly to the California trip, for it was not until the second week after the game that the first case appeared on the squad. Whether or not this relative immunity was due to the better physical condition of the football men or to the fact that the other students were exhausted from the long, cold drive to Berkeley and return (at least a 24-hour drive each way), it is impossible to say. Probably the deciding factor was the fact that the five boys not restrained by any training rules, and all natives of California, actually had many more contacts.

The disease spread rapidly once it was started, and seemed to spread chiefly within the various living units. Thus there were 12 cases in fraternity A, and of these 10 appeared in the first 5 days and only 2 new cases in the subsequent 5 weeks. The second, or B, fraternity had 10 cases, 7 of which were admitted on the same day. Fraternities C and D, which, with A and B, had more cases than any other fraternities, show the same grouping of cases. Fraternity C had a total of 10 cases from November 6 to December 16, and 9 of these were admitted within 3 days. Fraternity D, which had 9 admissions to the infirmary, had all 9 in a period of 6 days from November 21 to 26, and 5 of these occurred on 1 day. Even in the groups that had fewer cases there was a marked tendency toward grouping. The ratio of boys to girls was almost exactly 2 to 1, though the ratio of male to female students is approximately only 1.2 to 1. That there was much spreading of the infection beyond the confines of a particular living or housing organization is evidenced by the fact that almost every such organization on the campus was involved. It does, however, seem clearly established that the classroom or place of public assembly is not nearly so prolific a source of infection as the living organization, where associations are much more intimate.

This fact is very important in deciding upon measures for control. To curtail public assemblies is bound to cause alarm and, in a university community, would not eliminate gathering in groups but would actually tend to keep students together in those very groups where the danger is greatest. The average population of a fraternity at the University of Oregon is about 35; of the dormitories considerably greater, and it is here that the conditions for the spread of a disease like influenza are the most favorable. If closing the university would stamp it out, then such a step might be considered not too drastic; but the fact that the disease since became prevalent throughout the State is indicative of the probable futility of such a step. Indeed, the closing of schools all too frequently defeats its own ends, in that all supervision is thereby surrendered. That this supervision was effective seems further indicated by the fact that the incidence of new

cases per diem dropped from 10 for November (8 to 30) to 4 for December. Some idea of what might have happened if there had been no isolation of the sick may be had from the fact that 9 of 15 nurses whom we used contracted influenza, whereas if we make due allowance for the students who either were treated at local hospitals or in their own homes it is extremely doubtful whether the morbidity was over 15 per cent—i. e., about 450 out of a student body of approximately 3,000.

The following prophylactic measures were taken:

- 1. Hospital facilities were provided at once. On the afternoon of November 8, when six new cases were found, an infirmary annex was opened. Graduate nurses, a janitor, and a cook were obtained, and by 7.30 p. m. patients were in bed and treatment had been instituted.
- 2. Measures were taken to see that the hospital facilities were at least a step ahead of the needs and, what is most important, that these facilities were used.
- (a) The students were informed of the situation through communications in the student daily and were urged to report to the dispensary or infirmary for every ill, no matter how slight. This was urged as being in the best interest of anyone coming down with influenza and as necessary to protect well persons.
- (b) At a called meeting of housemothers and house presidents it was urged that any student who was sick should be seen by a physician. Students who preferred were of course allowed to consult any private physician for treatment at one of the local hospitals. On the whole, cooperation was excellent.
- (c) Hospitalization was insisted upon in all cases seen where there was a fever and in many instances where there was no elevation of temperature but where the symptomatology suggested the onset of influenza.
- 3. The only instructions that were broadcast as of preventive value were (a) the avoidance of contacts as much as possible; (b) the washing of the hands, at least before each meal; and (c) attention to matters of general hygiene, such as adequate rest, which are always of value. Any reference to the symptoms to be watched for was avoided, but, rather, students were told to report to the dispensary with any ailment, no matter how trivial it seemed. Those measures frequently urged at times like this—such as catharsis, soda to alkalinize the system, gargles, forced fluids, vaccines or sera, all of which at best are of doubtful value as preventives—were purposely not mentioned, as it was desired to get away from all self-diagnosis and self-treatment and to concentrate on the idea of consulting a physician at the very first sign of difficulty.

June 7, 1929 1356

#### SYMPTOMATOLOGY

As a rule the onset was relatively sudden. Frequently the patient awoke with a chill or with a sense of feeling sick. "Aching" was the most common symptom. Headache, at times very severe, was present in about half of the cases. The aching in the muscles, present in about 90 per cent of the cases, was either general or often confined to the back, the shoulders, the hips, or the extremities. In at least the majority of cases there were no prodromal symptoms. Rarely there was a case where fatigue was present for as long as four to six days before the onset of the fever. More often fatigue or exhaustion was complained of for the first time after there had been a slight rise in temperature and in a few cases this was the only symptom.

Contrary to the impression that is usually held, at least by the laity, there was not in our cases a well-defined coryza preceding the actual onset. Cases with a marked rhinitis were the exception, and the nose symptoms that were found appeared to be coincidental rather than the forerunner or first manifestation of influenza. quently patients, on being informed that they had influenza, would protest, "But I've had no cold." On the other hand, a sore throat or a "cold in the chest" was very frequently either the first or the most noticeable symptom. The cough was at first nonproductive. and even in the later stages the amount of sputum was relatively The cough seemed loose, deep-seated, with a metallic sound, and did not respond well to treatment, occasionally persisting for days after the temperature was normal. The soreness in the throat was not localized, and speech and deglutition were not seriously interfered with. Frequently the patient attributed the coughing to the soreness of the throat. Gastrointestinal symptoms were absent, except for occasional nausea (about 5 per cent) secondary to the coughing. Recovery was rapid, though asthenia was a common and often distressing sequela. Occasionally there was despondency, but this was never severe.

Physical findings were confined for the most part to the throat and chest.

There was a characteristic mottled hyperemia of the entire pharynx, especially of the posterior wall, which was usually intensely red, the color extending well up into the nasopharynx. This was easily the most common physical finding; and as it appeared early and was associated with a soreness of which the patient complained, it was of considerable aid in early diagnosis.

In a majority of cases there were definite physical findings in the chest. Inspection, palpation, and percussion were, as a rule, essentially negative. Most noticeable were the wide range and the rapid

change in the auscultatory findings. An extreme example of the rapidity with which the physical findings varied is case I, first examined at about 2.30 p. m., when there was found posteriorly marked increase in breath tones over the entire left lung. On the right there was no change on auscultation, except, perhaps, a very slight increase in the breath tones over the lower lobe. There was, however, such a marked decrease in resonance over the lower lobe to the posterior axilary line that the nurse standing at the foot of the bed remarked the difference. The patient was percussed both on his side and prone in bed. At the time I mistakenly interpreted this dullness as due to consolidation of the lower lobe with compensatory emphysema on the opposite side, although there were no râles and no change in vocal fremitus. Four hours later, when I attempted to demonstrate this dullness to a colleague and expected to find some râles, there was no difference on either side, both sides appearing normal to percussion and auscultation. In this case a temperature of 104° and a bloody sputum helped to mislead me into thinking I was dealing with a beginning lobar pneumonia.

While this is an extreme case, there were very many other cases in which the physical findings changed rapidly. In general, both the expiratory and inspiratory notes were roughened and prolonged, and there was a peculiar harsh tone to inspiration. This, the most common physical finding, was present in almost all cases. Moist râles were present in less than half of the cases (about 25 per cent), and occasional high-pitched râles were heard in about 10 per cent of the chests. The findings were uniformly greatest posteriorly, especially at the bases, and, in our opinion, were due to a bronchitis or hypostatic congestion rather than to change in the parenchyma.

One indication of the severity of this epidemic may be obtained from a study of the fever, even though a liberal use of antipyretic drugs makes it impossible to state the usual form of the temperature curve in this epidemic. Of the 250 cases in the infirmary, 24, or almost 10 per cent, had no temperature above 98.8° at any time. Undoubtedly some of these 24 had a fever preceding their admission, though it is our opinion that occasionally (perhaps in 1 or 2 per cent) one is justified in making a diagnosis of influenza where there is no discoverable fever. We did see a very few patients in the dispensary from the onset of symptoms of sore throat, cough, aching, and with what we considered the typical mottled pharyngitis, who neither before nor after admission to the infirmary had any fever.

The pyrexia was moderate or low in most cases. In 80 (32 per cent) the maximum temperature was 99°-100.9°; in 114 (45.6 per cent) the temperature reached 101°-102.9°; while in only 32 (12.4 per cent) was there a fever of 103° or higher (exclusive of the readmissions). Of the 226 patients who had some fever, the maximum was reached

on the first day in 151 (67 per cent), on the second day in 59 (27 per cent), on the third day in 12 (5 per cent), and subsequently in 3 (1 per cent) cases, one of which developed an otitis media. This does not include the readmissions. It is, perhaps, in the time of appearance of the maximum temperature that the use of the antipyretics is most noticeable; but it should be further noted that following their discontinuance, when the symptoms had abated considerably or when the temperature had been lowered to or near normal, there was either no subsequent fever or else the elevation above normal was much less (frequently a fraction of a degree) than in the original rise. In only 27 cases (10.8 per cent) did the temperature rise again above normal (taken here as 98.8°) after it had remained normal for one whole day. The average length of time until the temperature was permanently down to normal was 3.6 days. Sixteen per cent had no fever after the first day. 26 per cent had fever for two days but none after the second day, and 18 per cent had fever for three days but none after the third day.

Of exceptional interest, since so many observers have reported a slow pulse, are our findings which do not substantiate this observation, as seen from the following table:

Number of cases	Range of maximum tempera- ture	Average pulse rate at time of of maximum temperature
24	Normal.	78
80	99°-100. 9°	86
114	101°-102. 9°	97
32	103°+	101

Not only was the pulse rate not low during the height of the disease, but the drop following defervescence was not excessive, usually not getting down to 60, and in only 16 cases (6.4 per cent) dropping below 60. Cardiac symptoms or physical findings of heart involvement were rare. In none of the cases was there any evidence of cardiac decompensation. Marked mitral systolic murmurs were heard at the apex in 2 cases that on previous examination had had none; 1 had extra systoles occasionally for a few days; only 2 had an irregular irregularity, and 4 had a pulse rate in excess of 120. A few cases of chronic valvular disease seemed uninfluenced by influenza. One girl who has had over half a dozen attacks of paroxysmal tachycardia and whom I saw in one attack lasting over two hours, just seven months previous, had throughout the attack of influenza a good pulse which varied from 100 to 68, and made an uneventful recovery.

The blood count, as a rule, was not taken, but in 6 uncomplicated cases the white count varied from 4,700 to 6,600. Since it showed a rise above normal in our cases of otitis media and frank pneumonia, it may be of distinct diagnostic aid.

The average length of hospitalization was 5.6 days, counting both the day of admission and the day of discharge. Ordinarily, patients were not discharged until the chest findings had disappeared and until at least one full day had been spent in the infirmary with a normal temperature. Exceptions to this rule were few and were made almost entirely to allow patients to be moved to their homes for further care.

Complications were rare. There were three cases each of otitis media and frank bronchopneumonia, one case of lobar pneumonia, one of follicular tonsilitis, and one antrum infection. These complications accounted for four of the eight readmissions. There has been one death.

Case II was admitted November 22 with the usual complaint of sore throat, cough, and general malaise. Physical findings were essentially negative, except for pharyngitis and harsh breath tones. The temperature dropped to normal the next morning and remained so until discharge on the fourth day. Eight days later the patient returned, after spending the Thanksgiving holidays at home, with the complaint of a bad cough and pain in chest. Physical examination was essentially negative, but flat plate of chest showed increased hilus markings on both sides, with increased density at the left base and a fine interlobar line on the right. Patient was readmitted to the infirmary with a temperature of 101.6°, which reached normal on the fourth day and remained so until the seventh day, when it rose 1°. On the eighth day the temperature went to 104.6°, and on the ninth day, when the temperature after dropping to 100° again rose to 104°, the boy's parents decided to move him to a local hospital. On the eighth day there was a blood count of 20,800 with 92 per cent polymorphs, but our suspicion of an empyema could not be confirmed by physical examination and the X ray was not available. The cough had persisted, with the production of a heavy greenish sputum with small amount of blood. Codeine was given to allay the worst paroxysms, which had caused nausea and vomiting. The patient perspired freely, although no antipyretics were used after his readmission. Urinalysis was negative and the pulse was regular and of good volume throughout. The course of the case after discharge of the patient from the infirmary is unknown, except that he died two weeks later, as this was being written. This case forcefully illustrates the dangerous possibilities of the disease.

Case III is interesting in that, starting as an otitis media, it developed influenza and lobar pneumonia with typical physical find-

June 7, 1929 1360

ings in the left lower lobe, but with a septic temperature gradually dropping to normal on the fifth day after admission (eight days after the diagnosis of otitis media for which patient had been referred to an otologist, who did a paracentesis after we called him again on the day of her admission).

It is, of course, too early to consider fully the sequelae. At this early date they seem to be slight. Probably a large majority have had a surprising weakness—surprising in that the patients, kept in bed against their protest for at least a day or two with normal temperature, expected usually to resume their activities without any difficulty, but found that they tired after a very slight exertion. It is impossible to give any more definite data than that many had to curtail their program, and more than is customary for the time of year found it necessary to withdraw from the university. This asthenia is all the more to be noted, since all our patients were young adults, who ordinarily recover from acute infections remarkably quickly and completely.

In considering the symptomatology it is interesting to compare the virulence of the present epidemic with that of preceding epidemics and with sporadic cases of what is more often termed grippe. The writer's experience does not extend to the 1918 pandemic, but from his present experience and reports of the 1918 outbreak the influenza epidemic here reported appears to be much milder both in severity and frequency of complications. On the other hand, the cases seen in this epidemic have been, without question, more severe and have been followed much more frequently with a marked asthenia than the sporadic cases seen in the same practice in the preceding two or three years. That this epidemic, though admittedly mild, has been somewhat more severe generally than among the students seems to be true if we may judge from the nine cases among the nurses and from the statements of local physicians.

#### TREATMENT

Rest in bed with good nursing about summarizes the essential therapeusis. The physician who would keep his patients in bed long enough will have a constant battle, especially if they are young and active. To insist upon bed rest when the patient has recovered from his aches and announces that he is "rarin' to go," to sit down and explain over and over again this necessity, and finally, when conviction does not follow explanation, to demand the continuing of bed rest a little longer, requires all of the patience that a physician can muster; but in no other way can he be of so great service to his patient.

The remainder of the treatment is essentially symptomatic. Although fully aware of the disrepute in which the antipyretics are held by many physicians in the treatment of influenza, we used them freely and, we feel, with no ill results. That they materially altered the course of the disease is doubtful, but that they furnished much relief from the many distressing aches and pains is, we believe, unquestioned. During the fever and while the malaise or aching persisted, amidopyrine, acetylsalicylic acid, and a compound of the latter containing also phenacetin and caffeine were used in 5 and 10 grain doses every four hours. It is the opinion of all three of the staff physicians that the relief was not purchased at the expense of a prolonged or complicated convalescence. There was no evidence of cardiac embarrassment that we could determine, and we see no reason for attributing the postinfluenzal asthenia to the use of these antipyretics rather than to the disease itself.

Expectorant cough mixtures and steam inhalations were of value in allaying the cough, but frequently codeine was necessary in order to secure any relief. The pharyngitis, though almost always present, was, as a rule, not very severe; and hot Dobell's gargle or hot salt solution usually made the throat more comfortable. In the diet, fluids were emphasized. Cathartics were used only when the output was considered relatively inadequate.

#### SUMMARY

A report is given of experience with 319 cases of influenza, 250 of which were given hospital care. The epidemic, apparently brought from California by some students, spread rapidly, chiefly within the living units. Early isolation of all sick persons was stressed as the most important control measure. A typical coryza was the exception at the onset of the disease. The most common symptoms were sore throat, cough, and general or localized achings. The pyrexia was moderate and accompanied with a fairly rapid pulse. There was usually a peculiar mottled pharyngitis, and in the chest the most common finding was a high-pitched, rough, inspiratory note. The usual course of the disease was short and the complications were few, though asthenia was a very frequent sequela. Bed rest was the important desideratum in the treatment which otherwise was symptomatic. The common antipyretics which seemed to give much relief were apparently without deleterious effect in our cases.

### RECENT STATE MORTALITY STATISTICS 1

For the information of public health officials and others interested, the rates in the following tables have been computed from monthly mortality data furnished by the State health departments for the latest month for which records are available. For purposes of comparison, the mortality records for a few preceding years are given, the rates being those for the month corresponding to the latest month for which the 1928 or 1929 rate is available.

#### Monthly State mortality statistics

[All rates are on an annual basis, and, with the exception of mortality from all causes, infant mortality, and congenital malformations and diseases of early infancy, are per 100,000 population]

ALL CAUSES, ANNUAL RATE PER 1,000 POPULATION

State			.19	928				1929		Corr		ding m r—	onth
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama:													
White Colored	9.3	8.7											
California	16.3								15. 7	17. 7	13. 6	20.5	
Connecticut	12.8									15. 1	::-:		
	9.8	9.3 12.0								12.0	11. 5	12.7	12.7
Hawaii Indiana	9. 9	10.6								12.0	::		
Iowa	9. 9		11.1	11. 2	11. 2	16.7	17. 7	14.0		11. 7	12. 3	13. 2	13. 5
Kansas	9. 9		10.0	9.8	10.8	18.0							
Kentucky	9.0	11.0											
Louisiana	12. 7	12. 2											
Michigan	9. 9	9.7					17. 0		13. 2				
Minnesota	8. 1	8.0			8.8				13. 2	9. 6			
Mississippi	13. 0	11.9		10.7			23. 1	14. 0	13. 0	9.0			
Nebraska.	7. 9		8.0				20. 1	14.0	10.0				
New Jersey	9. 9	9.9	9.7	10.6	10.8	13. 2	17. 3	14.0	13. 2	13. 3	12.8	11.8	12.6
New York	11.4	11.0		12.1	12. 4		20. 3	15. 6	13. 2	14. 2	13. 5		
North Carolina	11. 2	11. 2	11.0		11. 1	17. 5		15. 7	12.6	12. 0	10. 0	14. /	14. 7
Pennsylvania	10. 3	10. 1	10. 4	10. 9			19. 4	14. 0	12.0	13. 3	13. 0	14.4	14.3
South Dakota	7. 6	8. 2	7.1	7. 5		14.1	-0. 2	±2. U		10. 0	10. 0	12, 2	12. 0
Tennessee	12. 7	11.6	11. 2		11. 3	16. 1	19. 2	14.4	13.8	12.3	11. 9		
Virginia				-0.0	-1.0	13. 1	19. 1	13. 5	12.0		11. 8		
Wisconsin						-0.1	14. 5	11.8	11. 2				

#### INFANT MORTALITY, PER 1,000 LIVE BIRTHS

Alabama:													
White	65	54	57.	62	61	57	100	79	79	78	58	70	j
Colored	93	99	79	82		95	171	117		108		108	
California	59	53	55		69	76	66	73	٠.	68		100	
Connecticut	43	53 52	42	59	39	56	74	85		56		76	72
Hawaii			91	87	80		100				W	1 10	1 "
Indiana	52	63		60		81	97	83		60	67	74	77
Iowa	48			•	٠.	J -	٠.	, ~		w		/ '*	''
Kansas		65	58	55	56	60							
Louisiana.	79	62		79		73							
Michigan	49	53	- 58	66		86	112	71	71				
Minnesota		44	58 38	50	41	56	83	66	••				
Nebraska	37	45	50	62		80	٠	- 00					
New Jersey	56		56	56	67	68	93	70					
New York 4	52	55	56 60 66	62		70	87	81		72	76	80	76
Pennsylvania	54	58	66	66	65	90	118			81	84	77	117
South Dakota	48	56	50	53	70	59	110	30		01	01	'''	117
Tennessee							145	98	87				
Virginia					56	72	140	91	78				
Wisconsin	53	47	51	55		72	105		69	59			
			٠.	•			100	•	00				

Exclusive of New York City.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From the Office of Statistical Investigations, United States Public Health Service.

# Monthly State mortality statistics-Continued

CONGENITAL MALFORMATIONS AND DISEASES OF EARLY INFANCY (159-163): PER 1,000 LIVE BIRTHS 2

State			19	23				1929		Corr	respon fo	ding n	onth
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama: White Colored California	26 26 28 30	25 37 26 29	27 29 29	29 28 28 33	20 25 31	18 24	39 39 35	26	3:	2 31 3 39 3 32	20		
IndianaIowa	80	29	30	83	31	42				-		-	
Kansas	34 29	28	33	81	34	27							
Louisiana	32 20	25 80	30 32	31	25 37	25 39	45	37	34	:			
Minnesota		30	26	24 33 27	25 37	20	37	35					
Nebraska	28 35	30 27 36	26 29 35 28	27 38	37 39	34 40	43	43		41	42	42	42
New York 1	31	30		33	34	37	41	38		38	37		
South Dakota	13	35	34	32	38	28	36	28	27			·	
Tennessee							30	28	2				
			7	YPH	OID F	EVE	R (1)						
Alabama:				- 1					İ				
White	10. 5 23. 7	12.6	12. 3	10. 5	8.7	4. 2 9. <b>2</b> 1. 0	0.7	2. 3	2. 1	4.9			
Colored	23. 7 3. 6	33. 0 2. 8	32. 7 4. 8	19. 8 3. 1	10. 9 1. 1	9. 2 1. 0	2. 6 1. 0	2.6	2.6	6. 6 1. 7			
Connecticut		2.8 2.9		l			. 7			1.6			
Hawaii Indiana	1.5	11. 1 10. 4	13. 9 8. 8	3.4 9.3	3. 5 7. 7	3.0	1.5	3.7 .4		3. 6 2. 0			
Iowa	3.4				!								
Kansas	5. 1 21. 7	5.8	6. 6 28. 6	3.8	2.0 29.1	1.3 13.4							
Kentucky Louisiana Michigan	22.9	26. 3 12. 1	25 N	27. 7. 14. 5 2. 8	12. 5	7. 8 1. 3							
Michigan Minnesota	2.6	1.3	2.4 1.0	2.8	1.6	1.3	1. 5	. 9	. 3	5			
Mississippi	21.7	27 A	15 R	12. 5	10.9	7. 9	6.6	2. 9	6.6	. 5			
Nebraska	2.5	1. 7	3. 5	. 8 2. 5	1.7	3. 3							
New Jersey New York 1	2. 5 2. 5 2. 1	1.7 3.7 2.3	3. 5 2. 5 2. 4 9. 9	4.0	2. 9 3. 1	1.7	. 6 1. 0	1.0	. 3	2.3			
North Carolina	10.8	15.6	9. 9	10.0	5. 8 2. 1	7 9	2.4	1.8	2.4	2.0			
Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota	2. 0 45. 5	3. 3 38. 5	4.0 28.1	3. 9 25. 9	2. 1 14. 4	12.6	1. 4 3. 2	2. 0 9. 1	3. 2	1. 5 5. 1	3.8		
South Dakota	1. 7	3. 3	8.6	25. 9 3. 3 18. 4		1. 3 12. 6 6. 7 8. 9							
Tennessee Virginia	21. 2	30. 6	30. 6 10. 4	6.9	21. 4 6. 1	2.3	2. 4 2. 7	2. 1	2. 8 . 9	1.4			
Wisconsin.		.4	1. 2	. 8	1. 2	. 8	4	. 5 1. 3	2. 0	. 8			
			1	1	!				!				
				MI	EASLE	ES (7)					<del>,</del>	<del></del>	
Alabama: White	7 7	2 0	0. 7	2.1	1.4	4 9	5. 6	4.7	5. 6	29.4			
Colored	7. 7 9. 2 . 5	2.8 1.3_		1.3		4. 2 1. 3	1. 3	1.5	1.3	14.5			
California	6.6	.3		.3]-	• 7	2.2	3.6	1.5 .6 4.8		2.4			
Hawaii		3. 4	3. 5	3.4		3.4	3. 4 3. 0	3. 7		7. 2			
Indiana	1.5 1.0				. 8	1. 1	3.0	4.9		. 4			
Kansas	1.3	.6											
Kentucky Louisiana	7. 2	3.6	1.9	4.6	1. 4 . 6	1.8		-		-			
Michigan	3. 6	. 8	.3	. 5	1.1	1.3	1. 3	1.1	5. 1				
Minnesota	4.6	4.6	1.4	2.6	4.8	1. 3 4. 6	5. <b>2</b> 5. <b>3</b>	2.6 12.4	18.4				
Mississippi Nebraska						. 8 -	-						
New Jersey New York 1	4.6	2.5	. 8	. 6 . 6	1. 0 1. 3	. 9 1. 5	. 9 5. 8	2. 4 4. 4	1.8	5.0	-		
North Carolina	3.4 7.2 2.8 5.7	3. 2	.8	.4	1. 2 1. 2 2. 3	2. 0 2. 8	5. 8 1. 2 7. 4	2. 7 7. 0	4	56. 1			
Pennsylvania	2.8	1.8	. 4	.9	2. 3	2.8	7. 4	7.0	10.7	5. 4 49. 3	0.6	-	
South Carolina	5.7 6.7			1. 5	- 9-						u 0		
rennessee	4. 2	. 5	1.0		. 5 . 9 1. 2	. 5			. 9 3. 2	22.6			
				1	. 9	2. 7	2. 71	1.0	3. ZI.				
Virginia Wisconsin	.4				1.2	. 41	2. 7 2. 0	2.2	2.4				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

<sup>2</sup> Rates previously published were per 100,000 population.

# Monthly State mortality statistics—Continued

#### SCARLET FEVER (8)

				SCAR	LET	FEVE	R (8)						
State			19	228				1929		Coz		ding n	nonth
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama: White				0.7	2.2		2.8	2.3	2.1	0.7	,		
Colored			<u>-</u>			:			2.6				
California Connecticut	0. 5 1. 5	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.9	3. 1	1.0 2.2	2.6		.8			
Hawaii	!	3.4								٠. ١	·		
Indiana	1.5	.4	. 4	2.2	1.9	2.6	6.3	5. 7		4.0			
lowa	1.0												
Kansas Kentucky	1.3	1.3 .5	1.3 1.9	1. 9 5. 5	5. 3 3. 3	2.6 .9						·	
Louisiana			1. 6	J. J	3.1	.6							
Michigan	1.8	1. 5	. 3	1.0		5. 9	4.4	5. 4	5. 4				
Minnesota	2. 2	. 9	. 4	2.6	1.3	1.7	6. 1	2. 2		3. 7			
Mississippi Nebraska	1.7	8	. 7	2.0 3.3									
New Jersey	1.2	.6	1.0	3. 3	.9	2. 5 1. 8	1.5	1. 4	1. 2				
Vew York 1	1.1	. 2		. 4	2.8	1.7	4.5	3. 7		3. 6			
North Carolina	.8		1.7	. 8	2.8 1.7	1.6	2.4	1.8	1. 2	2.0			
Pennsylvania	.9		. 5	2.8	2.0	3. 1	4.8	3. 3		4.3			
South Carolina South Dakota	. 6 3. 3	1.3	1.7	. 6 1. 7	1. 7	1. 9 3. 3	1.3				<b></b>		
Cennessee	. 9	. 5	. 5	2.8	1. 9	2.8	1.4	4.7	3. 3	1.9			
Virginia					2.8	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.8	1. 5			
Wisconsin	2.0	1. 2	. 4	1.6	3. 3	3.6	2.4	4.4	3. 6	3. 6			
				ا			1				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Johanna I		1	- W E	1001	ING (	3000	H (9)	1					<del></del>
Alabama: White	5.6	1.5	4.3	14	z 0		اء	- 4	ا م	4.0			
Colored	21.1	14.5	9.5	1.4 9.2	5. 8 6. 8	5. 6 9. 2	8.4 11.9	5. 4 20. 0	4. 2 13. 2				
alifornia	9. 6	6. 7	8.8	5.4	6.4	10. 9	7.0	4.3	13.2				
onnecticut	2. 9	8.8	3.8	5.8	2. 3	2. 9	6. 5			2. 2			
awaii		3.4	7.0	6.7		20.2	30.4	37.4		3.6			
ndiana	8.9 2.4	6. 3	3.4	1.1	.8	5.6	7.0	6. 2					
owaansas	3.8	7. 1	4.0	4.5	3. 3	2.6			-				
entucky	5. 5	3. 7	5. 7	4.2	4.8	7.4							
ouisiana	13.3	7. 2	7. 5	7.8	5. 6	7.8							
Iichigan	1.8	4.9	4.8	3.3	3. 2	10.0	7.2	7. 7	4.6				
finnesotafississippi	2.6 17.1	5. 2	1.3	2.6 3.9	3.6	6. 5	9.1	6.1					
ebraska	3.3	7. 9 3. 3	2.7 1.7	4.2	6.8	5. 9 5. 0	11.2	10.2	11.2				
ew Jersey	2. 5	7.4	4.5	4.0	2. 5	4.9	13.3	6.8	6. 2				
ew Jerseyew York 1	4.6	3.0	3. 9	2.3	2.8	1.7	6.2	5.0					
orth Carolina	5.6	5. 2	3. 7	4.8	2. 9	4.4	9. 2	8.4	5. 2				
ennsylvania outh Carolina	3.7	6.1	6.2	4.9	7.4	12.0	12.4	8.4		4.5			
outh Dakota	12.0 6.7	8. 2 8. 4	3. 3 6. 9	7. 6 6. 7	2.6 3.5_	7.6	3. 2	9. 1	7.6	10. 7	9.6		
ennessee	6.1	3.8	6.3	3. 3	3. 9	5. 2	10.4	6.8	4.2	5. 2			
irginia					. 9	6. 4	18.3	9. 1	6.9				
isconsin	2.0	4.0	2.9	2.8	.8	3.2	2.4	3.5	3.2	1.6			
			]	DIPH	THER	IA (1	0)						
lahama.		I		T		Ī	T	T	- 1		T	ī	
labama: White	٠, ا	اه م	10.0		00.4				اما		- 1	- 1	
Colored	1.4 2.6	6. 3 2. 6	13. 0 4. 1	23. 1 6. 6	30. 4 10. 9	24. 5 5. 3	13.3 5.3	5. 4 1. 5	4.9	4.9 1.3			
alifornia	5. 2	5. 9	3.5	4.4	5. 1	5.4	4.9	3.7	2.0	7.7			
onnecticut	1.5	2.9	3. 5 5. 3	5. 1	6.0	8.0	3.6	4.01_		14.5			
awaii	1	6.7	10.5	13.5	7.0	6. 7	3.4			10.8			
diana	1.9	2.2	4.6	7.8	10.0	10.0	5. 9			5. 2	-		
waansas	1.9	1.9	2.0	7.1	5. 3	7.1		- 1	-	-	-	-	
entucky		2.3	14.3	26.3	25. 7	17.5				-	-	-	
ouisiana	. 6	3.6	4.4	11.5	14.4	16. 3							
ichigan	6. 2 2. 2 3. 9	6. 7	7. 2	8. 7	9. 3	12.8	12.1	8.5	12.6				
innesota	2. 2	1.3	2. 2 9. 5 1. 7	1.7	3. 1	5. 2	2. 2	2.2		3, 2			
lississippi ebraska	3.9	1.3 3.3	¥. 5	11.8	12.9	15. 1	6.6	5.8	2.0		-		
ew Jersey	9.6	7. 1	6.0	5. 0 7. 1	6. 1 11. 8	4.2 14.2	20.6	13.0	10-5		-		
Exclusive of New Y		-	J. 01	****	-2.0	- x. ~;	20. Uj	-0. Uj	-01	}-	!-		
A P. TPHINNING OF NAW V	6 !ii	17.											

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

# Monthly State mortality statistics-Continued

#### DIPHTHERIA-Continued

State		• 1928						1929		Cor	respon fo	ding nr—	nonth
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
New York 1 North Carolina Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee	3.4 2.4 4.8 .6	1.3 5.6 3.6 4.4 1.7 2.4	4. 2 11. 1	2.3 18.4 6.0 20.2 1.7 17.4	22.2 3.5 24.8	26. 4 10. 8 24. 6 1. 7 18. 8	16, 8 10, 3 6, 3	10. 2 7. 1 4. 9	6.9	5, 4 6, 0 14, 2 6, 3	1		
Virginia	3, 6	1, 2	12. 2 5. 2 2. 5	10. 1 2. 8	15.6 3.7	12, <b>3</b> 4. 8		4. 6 3. 1	7.8 2.0	2, 8			
				INF	LUEN	IZA (1	11)	<u>-</u>	<u>'</u>		!	<u>'</u>	<u>!</u>
Alabama; White Colored California Connecticut Hawaii	16. 8 29. 0 12. 1 6. 6	11. 9 25. 1 8. 3 2. 9 37. 1	12. 3 30. 0 7. 7 6. 0 45. 3	21. 0 33. 0 29. 5 12. 4 37. 1	35, 5 42, 2 127, 1 9, 0 27, 9	152, 8 185, 9 254, 0 34, 3 30, 4	711. 4 973. 1 91. 5 196. 6 23. 6	29.9	110. 0 150. 4	98. 8 124. 0 25. 4 25. 8 18. 0	48. 1 56. 6 24. 7	31.8	52. 0
Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	13. 7 19. 4 14. 8 11. 5 19. 9 9. 0 13. 8 15. 8	8. 2 16. 7 17. 1 29. 0 5. 4 7. 8 19. 1	9.3 11.0 21.8 8.7 8.0 11.5	23. 7 18. 5 18. 7 10. 0 16. 4 9. 2	24. 1 29. 2 38. 1 34. 3 13. 8 16. 1 38. 7	267. 7 392. 7 142. 0 162. 4 157. 2 150. 1 213. 7	237. 7 231. 9 897. 9	76. 9 55. 4 172, 5	39. 5	22, 7	46. 3	62. 6	77. 5
Nebraska New Jersey New Jersey New York  North Carolina Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota	8. 4 3. 7 4. 4 6. 8 10. 3 8. 8	11, 7 3, 4 2, 3 7, 6 7, 7 12, 0 15, 1	9. 5 4. 8 4. 1 6. 6 12. 1 11. 1	20. 1 7. 7 8. 8 14. 0 14. 4 36. 0	30. 2 11. 5 13. 7 35. 2 21. 0 60. 7	367. 9 45. 0 37. 5 195. 2 172. 3 353. 7 224. 1	164. 2 235. 5 375. 5 357. 9 382. 2	59, 4 98, 2 281, 3 95, 6 172, 7	25, 0 116, 2 98, 5	24. 7 20. 7 63. 7 38. 2 132. 6	25. 1 23. 2 43. 6 28. 7	87. 3 25. 9 51. 4	
Tennessee Virginia Wisconsin	16, 0 11, 6	13, 2 5, 2	9, 7	26. 8 17. 9	27. 7 34. 5 21. 7 16. 5	225. 9 155. 0 199. 8	644.7 591.2 269.1	252. 2 192. 9 75. 9	153. 9 88. 2 36. 3	88. 5 30. 7	68. 2		
···	!		P	OLIO:	MYE	LITIS	(22)		i	1			
Alabama: White	1.4	1.4		1.4		2.1	0.7	2.3	1.3				
Colored California Connecticut Hawaii	1. 8	1. 6 1. 5	1. 6 2. 3	1, 6 1, 5 3, 4	1.6	1.3 1.3 .7	. 3	2.9		2, 8 . 8			
Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana	2, 8 1, 2	1.4 2.4	.7 .5 1,2	.6 1.4 1.2	1. 2 . 7 1. 4 1. 9	.4 .6 .9							
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Nebraska New Jersey	1.3 2.0 .8	6.1 1.3 1.7 1.5	9.4 2.0	1. 2 1. 3 3. 9	4.0 .7	.8	1, 3	.3 9 1.5	. 7				
New York 1 North Carolina Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota	.8 1.9 3.3	1.2 .6 8.4 1.9	7.6 7.4 1.5 2.0 5.2 1.9	1.8 3.6 .4 .9 .6	1.0 2.0 1.7 .6 .7	. 4 1. 1	.3 .6 .4 .6	.3 .7 .4 .7 .7	. 6 _ 1. 6	.7. .8. .7. 1,3			
Pennessee Virginia Wisconsin	. 9	1.9	1. 9 1. 9 . 4	1. 9	1.5 .5 .4	4. 2 1. 8 . 8	.5_	.5	. 9 1. 4 	1,4			
		LET	HAR	ic i	NCE	PHAL	ITIS	(23)	· · · · · · · ·	·'-		<del>'</del> -	
Alabama: White	0. 5 2. 2	1. 3 1. 0	1.6	1.3	0.7	1.8	2. 8 3. 4	1. 6 1. 4 3. 2	2.8	0. 8 1. 6			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Exclusive of New York City.

# Monthly State mortality statistics—Continued

# LETHARGIO ENCEPHALITIS—Continued

LETHARGIO ENCEPHALITIS—Continued													
State			19	28				1929		Cor	respon fo	ding n	onth
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Indiana													
Iowa	2.4												
Kansas Kentucky	1.3	.5		1.3 .5		3. 2							
Louisiana			. 6	.6		1. 2							
Michigan		21	1.6	2.1	1.1	1.0	1. 5	1.1	1.0	N	l	l	
Minnesota Mississippi	1.3	1.7 .7	3. 1 1. 4	2. 2 1. 3	1.3	3. 0	3. 5	2.2 .7	7	5			
Nebraska	1.7	1.7	1.7		. 9	.8		l <b></b>					
New Jersey New York 1	2.5 .6			1.8 .6		. 3 1. 1	2. 2 1. 2	1.7 .9					
North Carolina	. 4			1. 2	.8	.8	.4	1.8		2.0	1	l	l
Pennsylvania South Carolina	1. 2 1. 3		.8 2.6	. 5		1.0	1.3 1.3	2.0		.7			
South Dakota	3.3		20	. 6	1	. 6 1. 7	1. 3	1.4	5. 1	5. 1	4.5		-,
Tennessee	1.9	. 5	1. 5	. 5		. 5		1.0		. 5		l	
Virginia Wisconsin	8	8	2.1	2.0	.9 1.2	8	2.3 .4	. 5 2. 2	1.4 2.0	1.6			
							• •			1.0			
	MENINGOCOCCUS MENINGITIS (24)												
Alabama:													
White	0.7	0.7					2.1	5. 4	4.0				
Colored				1.3				1.5					
California	3. 1 . 7	1.8 1.5	0. 8 . 8	1.0 2.2	2. 7	7. 2 2. 2	11.1	10.3 2.4		1			
Hawaii		6. 7	3. 5		3. 5	6.7	10. 1			3.6			
IndianaIowa	2. 4		. 4		.8	1.5							
Kansas		1.6	.7	. 6	2.0	1.3							
KentuckyLouisiana													
Michigan	. 6 2. 6	2.8	2.9	4.1	3. 2	3. 0 4. 6	6.9	12.5	29.8				
Minnesota	3, 0	. 9	1. 3	1.3	. 9	3. 9	3. 0	2.6		. 5			
Mississippi Nebraska	. 7 . 8				3.5	2.0	1.3	.7	. 7				
New Jersey	2. 2	. 2.2	. 3	1.5	1.9	3. 1	3. 4	2. 4	2. 5				
New York 1 North Carolina	. 6	.8		1.3	. 2	. 6	. 6	1.8					
Pennsylvania	. 9	. 9	.8	.5	i.i	1.3	1.7	2.8	. 4	i			
South Carolina South Dakota	. 6	1.3	. 7		2.6	2.5	1.3	2.8	3. 2	1. 9	2.6		
Tennessee		1.7 1.4	1.7 . 5	2.4	1.7	2.8	1. 9	1.0	3. 9	. 5			
Virginia			. 5].		. 9	. 9	1.8	1.5	1.8				
Wisconsin	2.0	.4	.8	3. 6	3, 7	3, 6	.4	6. 6	10.0	6. 4			
				DL	ABET	ES (57	n '					<u>'</u>	
1		1	1	1	ī	1	1	ī	1	<sub>T</sub>	1		
Alabama: White	4.2	9. 1	15. 2	8.4	9.4	11.9	18. 9	8. 5	4.9	9.8	9. 5	8.9	
Colored	10. 5	5. 3	15. 0	4.0	9. 5	6.6	15.8	2. 9	6.6	18. 5	5. 3	7. 9	
California Connecticut	18.3 20.4	19. 4 16. 8	16. 3 15. 8	16.3	24.8 15.8	33. 3	28. 9 15. 8	28. 9 23. 8		19.6			
Hawaii	20. 1	6.7	10.5	18. 2 13. 5	7.0	14. 6 6. 7	13. 5	3.7		3.6		-	
IndianaIowa	15.0	14. 5	16. 1	16. 3	10.7	14.8	-			-	]-		
Kansas	16. 7	14.8	17. 2	10.3	15. 3	38.5							
Kentucky	9. 7	9.7	9. 5	9. 2	10.0	10. 2							
Louisiana Michigan	9. 7 16. 9	13. 3 16. 2	6. 9 18. 3	15. 1 20. 5	11. 9 19. 6	12. 7 26. 4	26.4	21.9	22.8	-		-	
Minnesota	13.4	12. 5	12.5	13. 4	21. 9	26.0	28. 1	18.6		19.4			
Mississippi Nebraska	5. 9 16. 7	7. 2 13. 4	5. 4 19. 0	6.6	3. 4 22. 5	14.5	11.8	5.8	10. 5				
New Jersey	16.0	19. 4	21.3	15. 1 21. 3	23. 9	40. 1 26. 2	33. 9	27. 0	22. 8				
New York 1	18. 5	24.0	21. 5	25 O	23. 9 20. 4	26. 2 28. 2 26. 2 17. 7	41.6	29.8		27. 2 23. 5	27. 0 20. 1	23. 7	27. 1
Pennsylvania South Carolina	18. 6 3. 8	20. 0 5. 1	17. 4 6. 5	20. 8 5. 7 25. 1 7. 5	21.3 6.5	26. 2 17. 7	31. 7 7. 0	26. 2 11. 2	8.8	23. 5 11. 4	20. 1 11. 5	23. 1	21. 9
South Dakota	3.8 10.0	18.4	6. 9	25. 1	10.4	31.8	-	-		44.3	-11.0		
Tennessee	6. 1	7. 1	10. 2	7. 5	13. 6 9. 0	8. 5 13. 3	11. 8 19. 7	10. 4 8. 6	12. 2	-	-		
					۳. ۷	20. 0	10. /	.0.0	7.8			-	
								_					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

1367

# Monthly State mortality statistics-Continued

#### DISEASES OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND OF THE ORGANS OF SPECIAL SENSE (70-86)

							,						
State			19	28				1929		Corr	respon fo	ding n r—	nonth
	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama:				1	1		ļ		1	l			
White	75.0	69. 4	72.4			100.9	80.6	86.9	95.3				
Colored			132. 2 126. 8			125.3	114.7	112.4	110.8	140 2			
California			120.0	101.1	102.1	101.2	101.0	100.0		149. 2			
Kansas	182.2	111.0		186.0	161.8	215.0							
Kentucky Louisiana	82. 1 102. 6			103. 3 75. 5		107. 5							
Michigan		103.4	126.4		126.4	161.8	174. 1	142.5	151.8				
Minnesota		76.6	82.7	88. 2 102. 0		99. 9 117. 9	109. 4	95.6					
New Jersey	98.6	98.3	96. 8 95. 8			118.9	147. 6	131.0	132. 2	126.3	142. 3	173. 1	139.3
New York 1	128.4	120.6	136. 1	139. 3	136.6	148. 4	194. 2	175.4		126. 3 169. 8	158.7	189. 0	180. 3
Pennsylvania	109.8	108.1	97.6 77.8	115. 4 88. 6			153. 4	135. 5					<b></b>
Tennessee	00.0	100.					105. 9		117.2				
Minnesota Nebraska New Jersey New York ' Pennsylvania South Dakota Tennessee Virginia		*****			106.8	119.8	155. 9	142.8	123.9				
	l		UBE	RCUL	osis,	ALL	FOR	MS (31	(-37)	1	<u> </u>	!	<u></u>
										1			ı
Alabama: White	50. 5	37.8	50.7	38. 5	39. 1	44. 9	54. 7	62.9	51.9	57. 5	41.5	68.7	
Colored	172.7	168.8	128.1	141.1	158.0	125. 3	129.2	134 3	146. 4	162. 2	163. 2	182. 7	
California	133. 4	120.7					137. 5	147.9		139. 2 75. 1			
Connecticut	68. 6	55. 4 148. 4	55. 8 104. 0	63. 5 121. 5	53. 5 90. 6	66. 4 141. 7	66. 0 108. 0	80.6		97.4		86. 1	81.0
Indiana	57. 5	58. 9		64. 1	56. 7	80. 5	78. 2	76. 8		67.4	79.0	88. 9	86.8
Iowa	38.3	39.8	31. 2	37. 2	39. 1	35. 9							
Kentneky	73.8	108.8		97. 3		97.8							
Louisiana	93.0	96.0	72.4	55. 5	77.4	85. 7			==				
Connecticut Hawaii Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentneky Louisiana Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Nebraska New York' North Carolina Pennsylvania	62.8	60. 8 54. 9	59. 1 50. 1	58. 2 34. 6	64. 1 47. 8	69. 2 50. 2	80.0	72.1	72. 3	64. 7			
Mississippi	78. 2	82. 9	78. 1	54. 6	80. 2	90. 1	49. 3 84. 2	72.1	96. 0	02. /			
Nebraska	20. 1	28. 4	20.7	20. 1	21.6	19. 2							
New York!	68. 4 72. 9	76. 1 71. 1	66. 9 70. 0	73. 6 71. 8	63. 7	65. 9 67. 1	76. 4 84. 8		84. 7	78. 9 82. 1	92. 3 70. 7	101. 1	96. 5 102. 9
North Carolina	65.7	81.8	77.9	60. 9	67. 2 69. 2	84. 2	91.0	91.0	89. 4	86. 6	i		
Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee	69.0	59.6	62.4	58. 0	55. 5	67.3	79.6	69. 4		78. 5	79. 1	84. 3	85. 4
South Dakots	87. 8 83. 6	66. 3 95. 3	53. 5 29. 4	74. 5 36. 8	65. 9 43. 2	94. 7 60. 2	64. 4	65.0	77. 7	87.8	102. 1		
Tennessee	134. 1	112.5	99. 7	106.8	118. 2	145. 9	140.7	145. 9	139. 3	140.7	138. 8		
Virginia Wisconsin	52. 2	52. 2	69. 0 49. 0	84. 1 42. 3	71.3 47.8	88. 3 48. 6	116. 1	85. 6 47. 7	84. 1 63. 8	56 9			
w isconsin	04. 4	52.2	23.0	24.0	21.0	,20.0	11. 3	2/./	03. 0	30. 2			
			CA	NCE	R, AL	L FOI	RMS	(43-49)					
Alabama:					1				- 1			Ī	
White	49. 1	62.4	52. 1	54.7	50.4	48. 4	28. 6	49.7	46. 3	44. 9	50.3	49. 5	
Colored	48.8	47. 5	46. 3	47.5	43.6	54. 1	27.7	30. 7	38. 2	48. 8	42. 1	36.8	
California Connecticut	uu z	128. 2 110. 2	144. 7 103. 3	143. 4 132. 8	141. 5 110. 1	104.1	08 2	114 4		48. 8 148. 1 106. 6 61. 3 87. 6	97.8	107 9	92 9
Hawaii		74. 2 109. 7	33. 3	74. 2	59. 3	50. 6	54.0	89. 6		61. 3			
Indiana	87. 1	109.7	94. 6	90.8	105. 0	100. 5	101. 2	98. 5		87. 6	103. 0	100. 1	98. 6
IowaKansas	115. 9 80. 8	93. 7	103.4	108. 4	104. 1	117.4							
KentuckyLouislana	54. 4	70. 1	73. 4 69. 9	64. I	72.0	57. 7							
Louisiana	70.6	75. 5	69. 9	73. 1	64. 3	77.3	100-5		-55-5				
Michigan Minnesota		87. 5 105. 1	103. 9 110. 4	92.6 104.7	92.0 100.1	110.7	100. 3	84. 8	90. Y	94.8			
Mississippi	39. 4	50. O	52.3	49. 8	50. 9 102. 0	34. Y	37. 5	56.8	45.4				
Nebraska New Jersey	87. 0 97. 7	82, 0 99, 8	99. 4 101. 9	49. 8 93. 7 112. 2 123. 7	102.0	78.6 119.9	100. 1	116. 7	115. 9	107. 9	107. 1	105. 3	99. 4
New York 1	123. 5	123. 5	122.0	123.7	104. 4 115. 5 100. 7		138. 1	136.0	110. 9	121. 2			117. 1
Pennsylvania.	99. 4	99. 4	97.0	96. U	100. 7	94. 4	102. 1	99. 8 37. 8		102.0	96 2	92.6	102.0
South Carolina South Dakota	46. 7 68. 6	34. 1 80. 3	41. 4 63. 9	30. 3 55. 2	47. 0 76. 0	49. 3 87. 0	34. 1	37. 8	32. 2	51. 2	36.4		
Tennessee	70. 6	55. 5	55. 0	50. 8	54.0	66. 4	49. 4	59. 9	57.4	53. 2	63. 5		
Virginia			-		61.0	63. 6	55. 3	63. 3	63.6	.			·
Wisconsin	111.6	104. 1	100. /	103. /	103.0	111.2	98. 1	109.0	97.3	113. 2			
·		<del></del>		<u>_</u>			<u></u> -	<u>-</u>		<u>'</u>		<u> </u>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Exclusive of New York City.

# Monthly State mortality statistics—Continued

#### CEREBRAL HEMORRHAGE, APOPLEXY (74)

State		1928						1929		Cor	respon		onth
Diate	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama:													
White Colored	45.6	85. 7	42.7 80.4	39. 2 83. 1	51. 4	65. 9	45.6	52.8	57. 5	57. 5 87. 1	107. 6	51.7	
California	75. 2 89. 7	75. 2 91. 7	88.9	94.1	72. 2 112. 2 76. 7	63. 3 128. 7	113.5	105.6	00. 1	104. 7	10.9	00. 2	
Hawaii		70.8	13.9	84.3	76.7	67. 5	60.7	71.0		43. 3			
Indiana	90.8	93.8	97.3	96.4	109.6	140.1	138.7	126.0		122. 5	107, 6	121.0	109. 5
lowa	91.7				-:::-:	-=====							
Kansas Kentucky	98.8 48.0	82. 8 66. 4	96.8 64.3	106. 5 53. 5		165. 5 60. 9							
Louisiana	75.5	61.6	61.8	53. 1	73.6	84.5							
Michigan	83.9	77. 2	87. 5	92.3	87. 5	115. 2	122, 1	99. 1	112.1				
Minmosoto		59.3	59.0	67. 5	63.0	115. 2 74. 4	81.3	69. 6					
Mississippi Nebraska	58.5	59. 2	67. 9	61.8	66.6	73.0	80.9	78.6	69. 7				
New Jorgan	67. 7 73. 6	75. 3 70. 3	76. 9 72. 0	81. 1 80. 7 104. 4	71. 7 86. 0	86. 1 90. 3	107 5		07-4				
New Jersey New York  Pennsylvania South Dakota	95.5	92.0	104. 2	104 4	107. 2	113. 2	158. 2	138. 5	91. 2	131 8	124. 5	149 0	130 0
Pennsylvania	95. 5 78. 7	76. 8	68. 4	80.7	92.0	94. 9	112 6	98.1		101.0	102.0	140.0	100.0
South Dakota	31.8	68.6	43.2	56. 9	51. 9								
TennesseeVirginia							58. 4	60. 4	59. 8				
Virginia					70. 9	82.8	108.8	102.3	90. 5				
						<u> </u>			!				
	DISE	ASES	OF T	нес	IRCU	LATO	RY 8	YSTE	M (87	-96)			· `.
Alabama:													
White	114.9	117. 7	106. 5	113. 5	124.6	128.3	136.0	120.3	110.7			.	
L'olored I	194 RI	100 K	105 2	171 4	200 2	195. 1	187. 2	185. 4	174. 1				
California	266. 2	256. 4	267. 3	293. 8	387.8	496.7	427.7	383. 4		343. 4			
Iowa	<b>22</b> 6. 9												
Kansas	155. 9	173. 9	169. 7	168. 8	193. 6	277. 2							
Kentucky	143.0	176.6	126. 8	155. 4	202. 5	192. 3							
Michigan	107 5	191.4	222 1	240.2	202. 2 241. 7	2/4. 1	247 2	272 2	976 7			[	
Minnesota	181.0	145 3	156 0	172 2	194. 4	269.5	253 9	185.6	210.1				
Nebraska	151. 4	152. 2	163. 3	178. 1	188. 4	243. 3				i			
New Jersey	209. 2	213. 2	215. 9	250.8	188. 4 254. 7	307. 2	391.3	344. 9	305. 4	281.6	272. 6 358. 0	350.7	281.9
New York 1	301.6	276. 5	311.4	335. 4	358. 2	384. 7	545. 9	441.9		399. 7	358.0	388. 1	<b>365. 4</b>
Pennsylvania	209. 1	196. 9	218.6	236. 1	243. 2	330. 3	369. 3	299.7					
South Dekote	300. 1	2/4.1	283. 3	203. 4	284.6	384. 1 224. 1	262. 1						
Tennessee	110. 4	88. 0	129. 0	120.2	160.7	224. 1	162 0	150 4	180 0				
California Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Michigan Minnesota Nebraska New Jersey New York  Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Virginia					156. 4	204. 4	242.8	217. 7	218.6				
			1		!		!				1		
			EASE	OF	ТНЕ	HEAF	tT (87-	<b>-9</b> 0)				,	
Alabama: White White Colored California Connecticut Hawaii Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Nebraska New Jersey New York Pennsylvania South Dakota Tonnessee Virginia	100	ا. بور	00 0	100 0	,,,,,		100	100 -		00.0	00.5		
W nite	102.3	104. 4	99. 2	103.0	116.2	115.6	129. 7	108.6	103. 0	96.0	80.9	102.7	
California	225 0	214 0	166. 2 223. 0	155. 6 245. 5	182. 6 344. 5	187. 2 442. 4	279 4	339 9	103. 0	19A. A	80. 9 126. 3 207. 9 169. 7	192. U	
Connecticut	192 6	184 0	156.0	156. 8	198. 3	196. 3	256 1	219 2		200 3	207 9	204 0	167 0
Hawaii	102.0	121.5	115.0	114. 7	108. 1	108.0	114.7	141.9		119.0	201.0	201.0	101.0
Indiana	149.4	169. 1	182. 3	114. 7 201. 7	108. 1 204. 6	269. 5	230. 6	198. 7		158. 1	169. 7	177. 3	155.8
Iowa	193.0												
Kansas	135. 4	146. 3	153. 2	145.0	171.1	249.0	-						
Kentucky	128. 7	150. 4	100.6	144.8	154. 4		-	-	-	-			
Michigan	172.4	1/6. /	179. 1 187. 9	181. 7	187. 8 205. 7	260. 2 299. 3	-545-5	-555-5				-	
Minnesota	120 7	128 5	127. 4	215. 4 144. 5	157.8	231. 4	347. 3 208. 9	400. / 150 5	420.6	185 8	-		
Mississippi	111.1	103. 9	99. 9	88. 7	89.7	99. 3	105. 9	112.8	99.3	200. 0			
Nebraska.	132. 1	136. 3	140.8	153. 9	181. 5	223. 3							
New Jersey	191.4	196.6	193. 3	229. O	181. 5 233. 7	278. 6	361.5	324.4	277.6				
New York 1	257. 8	237. 4	237. 2	291. 3	312.0	297. 1	483. 7	391. 7		345. 5	308. 4 240. 0	330. 1	314. 3
Pennsylvania	189. 7	176. 6	196. 9	214.0	222. 0	301. 8	336. 9	2/3.9		256.0	240.0	249.0	192.0
Toppesse	93. 7	85. 3	112. 3 118. 7	75. 3	138.3	204. 0						-	
Virginia	124. 7	124.4	118. 7	126. 1	123.5 143.6	158. 6 188. 4	149. 2 220. 4	148. 0 193. 4	150. 1 202. 6	101. 7	-	-	
4 11 8 mig	¦-				120. 0	100. 1	220. 4	189. 4	202.0		-		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

# Monthly State mortality statistics—Continued DISEASES OF THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM (97-107)

State			1	928				1929		Cor	respon fo	ding n	nonth
Diane	July	Aug.	Sept	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama:													
White Colored	34. 8 56. 7	35. 54.		7 59.6 7 76.5	91. 3 148. 5	114. 2 192. 5	200.9	100.	114. 9 145. 1	1	-	-	-
California	67.7	55.8	68.		159. 2	216.6	143 4	152	2 130. 1	148	i	-	·  <b>-</b>
Town	36.9	-	1	1	100.2	1	1	1000		1	1		
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Michigan	36. 9 28. 2 39. 7	26. 9		38. 5	61.0	185. 4			147.0				1
Kentucky	39.7	51.2	62.1	85.8	130. 1	152.7	١		.		.	.	
Louisiana	56. 2 51. 3	62.8	54.1	74.9	102. 9	185. 4						.	
Michigan	51.3		49.	76.2			253. 9	155.	147.0		.		
		26.4 27.6	39.	56. 2	78. 2 83. 0	153. 1	103. 9	74.8	Y		·		
Nedraska New Terson	47.1	45.6	30. 64.	53. 5 78. 3	95.8	49A 0	357 5	203 (	174 1				
Minnesota Nebraska New Jersey New York <sup>1</sup> Pennsylvania South Dakota	42.9	44.3	65.	82.5	104.4	145.8	332.7	185.4		146. 2	139. 5	189. 9	164.8
Pennsylvania	58.1	51 0	65. 2 72. 8	82. 5 88. 9	104. 4 112. 7	254. 2	316.7	184. 2	2			1	
South Dakota	53. 5	56. 9	31. 1	68.6	69.1	145. 5			.			.	
1 ennessee			l				234. 4	157. 3	156.7				
Virginia					77.5	113.9	145.0	132. 6	174. 1 156. 7 119. 8			.	
<u> </u>			<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u>!</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del>' -</del>
		PN	EUM	ONIA	, ALI	FOR	MS (	100, 10	)1)				
Alabama:			1										
White	30.1	28.0	29.7	46.3	81. 1	104. 4	227. 1	93.9	107. 2			164.8	
Colored	46. 1	44.8	72. 2	68.6	133. 5	180. 6	366. 5	160. 6	133. 2	203. 1	118.4	286.6	
California Connecticut	54.8	43.9	72. 2 57. 4 46. 7 118. 5	78.0	139. 9	190. 5	123. 5	135. 6	132.9				-:::-:
Connecticut	34. 3	34. 3	46.7	73.7	71.6	118.9	254.7	232.0		148.6	119. 1	132. 1	157.7
Hawaii Indiana	30. 0	118. 1 33. 7	44.1	134.9 61.5		141.7	140. 1	204. U		202.0	1101	-121-2	1011
lowa	31.0	33. /	22.1	01. 0	90. 1	233. 2	2/0. 3	109. 5		120. 1	113. 1	141. 5	101. 1
Kansas	21.8	16.0	25. 9	30. 2	50. 4	150 1							
Vantualen	20.7	43. 4	52.9		108. 2	132. 4			125. 2 110. 4				
Louisiana	48.5	52. 5	41. 2	60.4	88. 6	170.3							
Michigan	37. 4	21. 3 22. 9	37.6	61. 3	88. 6 90. 1	190.3	224.7	136. 5	125. 2				
Louisiana Michigan Minnesota	37. 4 30. 7 25. 0	22.9	32.6	51.0	70. 2 76. 8 76. 0	147. 5 142. 0	156. 2	71.4		77. 7			
Mississippi	25. O	23. 0	29.9	28.9	76.8	142.0	191. 4	107. 0	110. 4				
Nebraska	15. 1	18. 4	23.3	43.5	76.0	179.0							
New Jersey New York <sup>1</sup> North Carolina	39. 4 36. 4	36. 4 35. 1	54. 1 53. 4		83. 7 89. 4	160. 5 128. 5	326. 9 297. 6	185 0	153. 8	121.2	117 7	163 0	101. 1
Jorth Carolina	40.5	24.8	21 5	48.9	78.7	151.9	185. 2	177. 5	130. 2	168. 7	117.7	105. 9	107. 0
Pennsylvania	45. 3	40. 4	56.2	72.8	97. 1	228.6	285. 1	162.0	100. 2	154.0	144.0	203.0	221. 0
Pennsylvania South Carolina South Dakota	44. 2	49. 9	56.8	58.7	95.9	164. 2	140. 2	125. 2	130. 1	161. 7	157. 6		
outh Dakota	43. 5	43. 5	20.7	45.2	60. 5	117. 1			1	- 1			
l'ennessee	38. 1	<b>3</b> 9. 5	40.4	59.3	91.9	122, 4	215. 1	146. 4		162. 8	129.8		
Virginia			==-=		64. 3	98. 3	131. 2	129. 5		==-=			
Wisconsin	40.7	29.9	38. 3	58. 2		164. 3	161.9	120. 5	88. 9	83. 7			<b>-</b>
	DIS	SEAS!	ES O	THI	E DIG	ESTI	VE S	YSTE	M (10	8-127)		' <u>'</u>	
<u> </u>	<del></del>			7		<del></del>	<u>-</u>		1	i	- 1		<del>_</del>
Mabama:			100 4		4			40.0	141.6				
White	171.0 143.7	136. 7	109. 4 115. 8	94. 6 85. 7	72. 4	66. 0 69. 9	47.7	46.6	191.0				
ColoredCalifornia	114.0	147. 7 106. 2	08 7	100.8	57. 2 105. 0	103.6	96 3	95 A	182.0	94 5			
Hawaii	112.0	185. 6	96. 7 167. 3	124.8	122.0	145. 1	222 7	186 8		158.7			
0W8	78.6	100.0	101.0	122.0	122.0	110.1		200.0					
Kansas	95.6	138. 0	141. 2	95. 1	76.9	80. 2							
Z4b	19F 6	180. 8	171.6	107. 5	89. 6	57. 2			141.0				
ouisiana Michigan Minnesota	125.0	112.3	114.2	93. 6	87. 4	80.3							
Lichigan	81. 3	95. 7	110. 5	94.6	84. 5	90.8	84.4	92. 2	82.8				
dinnesota		50. 2	58. 6	64.4	57. 7	58.4	56.7	5 <b>9.</b> 3					<b>-</b>
		107. 0 101. 4	86. 4	59. 4	65. 7 68. 5	60. 2 74. 6	72.7	61. 1	86. 3				
low Jersey	68. 2	79.8	90. 7 84. 6	78. 6 73. 7	72.4	74.6	70.9		86. 3	96.9	62. 5 78. 8	75 A	59. 2 75. 3
New Jersey New York 1 Pennsylvania Outh Dakota	79.3	94.7	118.3	86.4	73.8	73. U 72. 2	73. 3	74.8		ou. 2	10.0	14.0	10.0
outh Dakota	45. 2	46.8	79. 3	61.9	70.9	87. 0							
l'ennessee							44. 2	60.4	66.8				
l'ennessee					48. 7	51.7	35. 7	48.1					
1	- 1	- 1		ı	- 1	- 1	- 1	ı		i	J	- 1	

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

# Monthly State mortality statistics—Continued DIARRHEA AND ENTERITIS UNDER 2 YEARS (118)

State			19	)28	,			1929		Cor	respon		onth
State	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	1928	1927	1926	1925
Alabama: White	21. 2 3. 6		19.8	18. 5 19. 6 8. 8	15.0 4.5	13. 2 18. 9 3. 6	5.0	10. 2 9. 2		9. 2 10. 2	7, 9 9, 0	9. 2	
Indiana Iowa Kansas	20.0 6.3 22.5	50. 4 52. 0	47. 1		12.6		8.2	6.6	1	10.7	7.4	7. 1	9.3
Kentucky Louisiana Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	70. 1	95. 9 30. 8	99. 1 26. 8	60. 0 24. 8 25. 9 6. 1	34. 3	12. 5 3. 0 13. 3 8. 0 8. 5		19. 2 4. 8	9. 0				
Nebraska New Jersey New York ' North Carolina Pennsylvania	5. 9 16. 6 8. 0 97. 8 18. 6	23. 4 29. 0 14. 5 70. 9 32. 1	17. 3 24. 5 20. 9 44. 7 50. 7		2.6 14.0 10.0 26.1 15.8	3. 3 12. 6 7. 4 30. 1 15. 9	11. 1 9. 9 10. 4 15. 1	7. 2 9. 6 10. 2 14. 0	10. 2 4. 0	10. 2 11. 5 10. 0 19. 0	11. 3 15. 9	14. 1	17. 0 14. 7
South Dakota Tennessee Virginia Wisconsin	8. 4 94. 6 12. 4	6. 7 80. 5 8. 8	12. 1 55. 9 42. 1 9. 5	18. 4 35. 8 22. 9 8. 4	12. 1 19. 9 9. 0 4. 5	8. 4 18. 4 7. 3 13. 6	3. 3 3. 7 8. 8	3. 6 5. 6 15. 9	8. 9 5. 5 14. 4	4. 7 13. 6	6. 6		
			1	NEPH	RITI	3 (128, 1	129)		*****				
Alabama: White (129) Colored (129) California. Connecticut. Hawaii (129)	74. 3 151. 6 96. 7 67. 8	60. 3 156. 9 98. 8 57. 6 60. 7	73. 9 137. 6 100. 1 63. 8 59. 3	65. 2 123. 9 96. 1 60. 5 40. 5	75. 3 147. 1 130. 1 67. 1 66. 2	91. 8 112. 1 142. 7 61. 3 54. 0	72. 2 109. 4 119. 4 81. 1 87. 7	128.5	78. 5 126. 6	122. 4	59. 8 101. 3		
Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky	71. 2 61. 6 75. 1 71. 0 120. 2	77. 1 75. 7 76. 6 102. 6	84. 3 88. 2 80. 5 93. 6	75. 6 93. 7 96. 4 117. 1	82. 7 108. 7 84. 5 124. 2	122.00	81.6	80. 2		80.8	84.8	83. 0	80.3
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Nebraska New Jersey	94.0	68. 2 45. 9 106. 5 44. 3 84. 7	62. 5 50. 5 81. 5 31. 1 90. 4	46. 8 91. 5	74. 7 39. 3 95. 1 58. 6 101. 3	57. 7 118. 9	102. 6	115.0	107. 8				
New York 1	93. 3 41. 8	94. 4 94. 2 31. 8	92. 6 50. 7 41. 5	100. 6 99. 9 25. 1	99. 6 109. 3 25. 9	116. 6 125. 6 68. 6	137. 5 143. 3 77. 2 104. 7	129. 1 112. 5 65. 1 107. 8			107. 7 119. 1 116. 0		
	]		PUEI	RPER.	AL ST	ATE	(143-1	50)	1	!			
Alabama:	]				]								
White Colored Colored Colored Colored Colored Colored Colored (143–149) Hawaii (146) Indiana Iowa	14.7 34.3 9.8 10.2 8.9 4.8	18.4 36.9 9.3 8.0 6.7 11.1	14. 5 24. 5 10. 4 5. 3 17. 4 15. 8	19. 6 23. 7 8. 0 9. 5	13. 0 17. 7 7. 7 6. 0 7. 0 10. 3	14.0 21.1 14.2 8.8 6.7 8.9	14.7 19.8 10.1 6.5 6.7 16.7	16. 7] . 7. 5] .	13. 3	20. 3 25. 1 11. 9 8. 9 8. 6 8. 7	14. 6 23. 7 12. 8 14. 9	19. 2 39. 4 10. 0	8. 5
Kansas Kantucky Louisiana Michigan Minnesota	13. 5 6. 0 26. 6 10. 8 7. 8	9. 6 9. 7 19. 9 12. 6 7. 8	9. 9 10. 5 19. 3 7. 7 4. 0	9. 6 11. 5 30. 8 9. 7 5. 6	12.6 8.6 20.0 10.6 4.0	13. 5 11. 1 24. 2 12. 3 8. 7	11.8	14.2 8.7	17. 2	10. 2			
Mississippi Nebraska New Jersey New York 1 South Dakota Tennessee	22. 4 13. 4 9. 6 12. 2 6. 7	23. 0 12. 5 12. 0 9. 7 10. 0	14. 3 6. 9 10. 2 8. 9 12. 1	18. 4 10. 9 12. 6 7. 6 1. 7	16. 3 7. 8 14. 5 8. 7 12. 0	22.4 9.2 8.0 10.1 10.0	18. 2 10. 2 11. 0	8.9 11.4 12.5	25. 6 10. 2 17. 4	13.3	10.9	12.1	16. 3
Virginia	Zorb C			•	14. 2	18. 3	15. 1	16. 2	13.3				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

#### COURT DECISIONS RELATING TO PUBLIC HEALTH

Requirement of ordinance that milk be pasteurized in municipality upheld.—(California First District Court of Appeal, Division 2; Witt et al. r. Klimm et al., Board of Health and Milk Inspection Service, 274 P. 1039; decided February 21, 1929.) One of the provisions of an ordinance of the city and county of San Francisco read as follows:

All milk intended for human consumption, in San Francisco, that comes from cows that have not passed the tuberculin test, except when sold in bulk to the wholesale trade, shall be pasteurized in San Francisco in accordance with the method set forth herein.

The petitioners, who produced, pasteurized, and bottled milk in San Mateo County, applied for a permit to sell grade A pasteurized market milk in the city and county of San Francisco. A permit was refused on the sole ground that the pasteurized milk which petitioners desired to sell was not pasteurized within San Francisco as required by the ordinance. A mandamus proceeding was brought to compel the issuance of a permit, but the court decided against the petitioners, holding the requirement of the ordinance to be a valid exercise of the police power. In the course of its opinion the court of appeal said:

As we have noted above, the legislature has seen fit to enact the "Pure milk law of California," which prescribes certain general rules applicable in all cities and elsewhere in California, regulating the production and sale of milk for human consumption. This law, however, does not prohibit the enactment of additional local regulations by municipalities in keeping with the purpose of said "Pure milk law," so long as the requirements of the municipal ordinance are not in themselves pernicious, as being unreasonable or discriminatory. There may be different regulations without a conflict. \* \*

The provisions of the ordinance requiring that the milk be pasteurized within the city and county of San Francisco is simply a new and additional and more stringent regulation than that contained in the State law on the same subject. These requirements of the ordinance are not in themselves unreasonable or discriminatory and do not conflict with the State law, therefore both may stand.

The ordinance is not destructive of petitioners' business. There is nothing in the ordinance to prevent petitioners from selling their milk in San Francisco; they only have to pasteurize their milk within the city and county of San Francisco, the same as all other outside dealers in milk are doing. \* \*

If petitioners' contention be sound, and the health department of the city and county of San Francisco be required to go to Colma and there inspect petitioners' pasteurization plant, there would be nothing to prevent all outside dealers in milk from requiring the same thing, and the health department would be called upon to make inspections of pasteurization plants in many of the other counties of the State, such as Alameda, Solano, Contra Costa, and possibly others. It goes without saying that such a requirement of the health department would not only be unreasonable and exceedingly expensive, but it would seriously impair, if not wholly destroy, the efficiency of the entire inspection service.

\* \* We think the ordinance in question is a valid exercise of the police power of the city and county of San Francisco, enacted and enforced in the interest of public health, and should be upheld.

Damages allowed for injuries caused by noxious gases in employment.—(Washington Supreme Court; Depre v. Pacific Coast Forge Co., 276 P. 89; decided April 4, 1929.) The defendant, in connection with its general business, operated a galvanizing plant, of which the plaintiff was in charge for a period of approximately two years. A part of the plant consisted of a large tank into which was poured a mixture of muriatic acid, sulphuric acid, and water. This mixture gave off noxious gases which were not removed by the ventilation provided. The plaintiff called the defendant's attention to the need for more ventilation and received defendant's promise that the condition would be remedied, but this was not done, although the complaint and promise were subsequently repeated. The gases caused the plaintiff's lungs to become inflamed and otherwise injured and made him susceptible to tuberculosis, which disease he subsequently contracted. In an action for damage; the verdict and judgment were in favor of the plaintiff, and on appeal to the Supreme Court the judgment was affirmed.

One of the defendant's contentions before the appellate court was that the plaintiff assumed the risk incident to his employment. The court pointed out, however, that the plaintiff had alleged, and his evidence tended to prove, a violation of the factory act, and stated that the court had held in a number of cases that "the defense of assumption of risk is not available to an employer who fails to comply with the requirements of the act with respect to the place in which he requires his employés to work."

Another of the employer's arguments was that the factory act was repealed by the workmen's compensation act, but the court did not so hold, saying:

\* \* \* Contrary to the contention, the repealing clause to the workmen's compensation act, as we read it, expressly exempts the particular parts of the act on which the respondent relies from repeal (see Laws 1911, p. 373, sec. 30), and we find nothing in the workmen's compensation act so far in conflict with the prior act as to work an implied repeal.

# DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED MAY 25, 1929

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for the week ended May 25, 1929, and corresponding week of 1928. (From the Weekly Health Index, May 29, 1929, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

	Week ended May 25, 1929	Corresponding week, 1928
Policies in force	73, 886, 131	71, 266, 788
Number of death claims	14, 229	15, 183
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate.	10. 0	11. 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For prior decision in same cause, see Public Health Reports, November 18, 1927, pp. 2854-2855.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended May 25, 1929, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1928. (From the Weekly Health Index, May 29, 1929, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

	Week er	nded May 1929	Annual death rate per	Deaths	under 1	Infant mortality
City	Total deaths	Death rate 1	1,000, corre- sponding week, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Corresponding week, 1928	rate, week ended May 25, 1929 2
Total (66 cities)	7, 137	12. 5	13. 9	742	783	² 63
Akron	41 41 65 63 33 191 141 50 68 30 32 209 30 144 17 32 21 745 18 18 222 71 45 33 34 42 21 22 34 45 32 88 88 32 88 32 88 32 83 32 42 42 42 43 44 43 44 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	17. 8 13. 3 (5) 12. 0 (14. 6 (15) 13. 7 13. 5 7. 1 12. 4 12. 3 11. 5 12. 1 12. 4 15. 1 10. 5 12. 3 8. 6 (2) 13. 4 13. 7 (3) 13. 7 (4) 13. 7 (5) 12. 1 (9) 13. 4 (9) 13. 4 (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (10. 8 (10. 8) (10. 8 (1	16. 1 14. 8 (*) 13. 7 (*) 19. 3 (*) 16. 4 14. 5 17. 0 14. 3 10. 7 12. 4 14. 7 11. 0 (*) 12. 5 13. 1 12. 1 14. 3 15. 5 14. 8 11. 6 8. 0 (*) (*) 13. 1 (*) 14. 8 11. 5 (*) 13. 4 18. 9 (*) 23. 0 (*) (*) 23. 0 (*) 15. 9 20. 1	9 4 9 9 1 8 8 2 5 5 14 11 11 8 8 3 5 2 7 4 4 4 10 5 5 4 4 2 2 4 4 4 0 3 3 1 1 4 4 3 3 0 3 5 6 6 4 2 2 2 8 5 5 5 0 17 2 2 2 0 4 4 4 7 7 3 4 4 2 3 5 6 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3571662681970111938424222718166550669237210408312201166977201110095502175225297722113211	93 79 93 79 93 56 174 72 455 115 75 69 60 0 86 95 102 20 179 49 76 64 65 60 88 85 85 67 109 110 83 57 125 101 31 97 130
Colored	6 27 32	(5) 8, 9	13.4	0 2 0	1 4 3	43 0

Footnotes at end of table.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended May 25, 1989, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1928. (From the Weekly Health Index, May 29, 1929, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)—Continued

		ded May 1929	Annual death rate per	Deaths y	Infant mortalit	
City	Total deaths	Death rate 1	1,000, corre- sponding week, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Corre- sponding week, 1928	rate, wee ended May 25 1929 2
New Orleans.	136	16. <b>6</b>	20.5	13	17	6
White	82			6	11	4
Colored	54	(5)	(4)	7	6	11
New York	1, 442	12.5	14.5	148	174	6
Bronx Borough	202	11.1	11.0	17	21	
Brooklyn Borough	491	11.1	13.1	63	53	. 6
Manhattan Borough	588	17. 5	19.8	58	85	7
Queens Borough	112	6. 9	10.5	7	9	1
Richmond Borough	49	17. 0	19.1	3	6	Ĭ
Vewark, N. J.	100	11.0	12.6	8	7	4
)akland	54	10.3	13.4	8	5	i
klahoma City	37			ī	ĭ	2
maha	55	12.9	15.3	ā	5	1
aterson	26	9.4	10.8	ī	4	i
hiladelphia	469	11.9	13.2	29	49	4
Pittsburgh	180	14.0	16.9	25	27	
ortland, Oreg	80	13.0	10. 5	40		2
rovidence	53	9.7		2 8	3	
Richmond			14.4	8	7	7
White	48	12.9	11.8	6	5 2 3	8
White	28			1	2	2
Colored	20	(5)	(9)	5		20
lochester	81	12.9	13.5	5	5	4
t. Louis	228	14.1	14.1	19	13	
t, Paul	54			7	6	7
alt Lake City	38	14.4	9.5	8	4	12
an Antonio	82	19.7	20.9	19	19	
an Diego	43	18.8	16.2	2 1	1	8
an Francisco	156	13.9	13.4	8 1	9	5
chenectady	21	11.8	10.6	2	2	ě
eattle	63	8.6	8.2	19 2 8 2 3	3	ä
omerville	12	6.1	14.8	ĩl	ž	3
pokane	23	11.0	14.9	õ l	2	_
pringfield, Mass	46	16.1	15.7	ă !	41	5
yracuse	60	15.7	13.6	6	8	7
acoma	21	9.9	7.6	ĭ	ĭl	2
oledo	83	13.9	14.9	ŝ	7 l	4
renton	36	13.5	21.1	2	8	3
tica	34	17. 1	12.5	6	ől	15
Vashington, D. C.	136	12.9	13.6	12	10	70
White		14.8	10.0	8		
Colored	86 L			91	5	6
Colored		(5)	(3)	4	5	70
Vaterbury Vilmington, Del	29			3	2	70
ilmington, Del	25	10.2	12.6	1		2
orcester	49	13.0	18.0	1	3	13
onkers	16	6.9	9. 9	4 1	0	93
oungstown	28	8.4	7.5	4 1	2	5

<sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.
2 Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

Data for 73 cities.

Deaths for week onded Friday.

Deaths for week onded Friday.

In the cities for which deaths are shown by color, the colored population in 1920 constituted the following percentages of the total population: Atlanta, 31; Baltimore, 15; Birmingham, 39; Dallas, 16; Fort Worth, 14; Houston, 25; Indianapolis, 11; Kansas City, Kans., 14; Knoville, 15; Louisville, 17; Memphis, 18; Nashville, 30; New Orleans, 26; Richmond, 32; and Washington, D. C., 25.

# PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

### UNITED STATES

#### **CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS**

These reports are preliminary and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

#### Reports for Weeks Ended May 25, 1929, and May 26, 1928

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended May 25, 1929, and May 26, 1928

	Diph	theria	Infl	uenza	Me	asles		ococcus ngitis
Division and State	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928						
New England States:								
Maine	2	2	3	22	77	35	0	Q
New Hampshire	1	1			63	16	0	Ó
Vermont	<u></u> -			!	1	45	0	Ō
Massachusetts	87	61	7	52	573	937	6	4 0 2
Rhode Island	8	1			79	234 354	0 2	ŭ
Connecticut	39	26	12	27	335	334	Z	2
Middle Atlantic States:	0.17			170	1 100	4 004	27	90
New York	317	369	1 13	1 78 21	1, 123 306	4, 024	8	38
New Jersey	133 150	145 177	6	21		1,894	11	5 12
Pennsylvania	190	177			1,801	2, 767	11	12
East North Central States:		42		204	931	1.089	10	2
Ohio	31 3	13	4	32	600	1,009	10	ő
Indiana	220	111	72	157	2, 222	244	18	12
Illinois	218	72	11	157	921	941	62	
MichiganWisconsin	23	26	10	820	1, 423	61	6	5 3
West North Central States:	23	20	10	820	1, 440	01		0
Minnesota	15	11		5	649	111	0	4
Iowa	10	10		۱ ۱	96		2	i
Missouri	52	30	4	13	163	496	15	14
North Dakota	19	1	-	28	88	25	ő	i
South Dakota	1	i		2	88	12	ŏ	ō
Nebraska	13	3		20	317	44	ĭ	ĭ
Kansas	5	5		~~~	807	150	3	7
South Atlantic States:	•			- 1	٠.,	200	-	•
Delaware	2		ı		8	20	0	0
Maryland 3	13	31	17	38	58	568	il	Õ
Maryland <sup>3</sup> District of Columbia	īŏ	27		ĭ	39	191	Ö	Ō
West Virginia.	12	12	13	243	275	56	Ŏ	1
North Carolina	20	10			20	904	2	1
South Carolina	12	14	234	460	6	211	0	0
Georgia	1	6	21	102	11	128	1	1
Florida	3	9		7	85	133	0	1

<sup>1</sup> New York City only.

<sup>2</sup> Week ended Friday.

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended May 25, 1929, and May 26, 1928—Continued

	· ,							
	Diph	theria	Infl	lenza	Me	asles	Menin meni	gococcus ingitis
Division and State	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928
East South Central States: Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	4 5 15 3	8 10 8 7	21 15	3 110 219	44 30 123	160 140 361	0 1 2 0	0 0 1 1
Arkansas.  Louisiana Oklahoma 3  Texas  Mountain States:	10 4 18	5 7 12 11	25 7 40 37	170 29 200 33	12 72 22 281	178 118 256 116	1 6 2 0	3 2 4 0
Montana Idaho Wyoming Clorado New Mexico Arizona Utah <sup>1</sup>	1 8 5	8 1 8 2	2	1 2 7	101 3 76 22 4 1	36 1 21 126 50 9	2 3 2 4 1 4 5	1 0 0 1 0
Pacific States: Washington Oregon California	15 3 50	12 9 85	20 28	20 52	308 159 129	78 43 89	3 1 18	5 1 3
	Polion	yelitis	Scarle	fev <b>e</b> r	Smal	lpox	Typhoi	d fever
Division and State	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928
New England States: Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts Rhode Island Connecticut Middle Atlantic States:	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 1 0	16 13 9 245 7 58	19 9 6 244 27 78	0 1 0 24 0 4	0 0 1 4 0 2	2 1 2 8 1 4	\$ 0 1 5 2
New York New Jersey Pennsylvania Bast North Central States:	1 0 0	2 1 1	438 140 420	558 240 443	5 0 0	16 0 1	16 6 17	21 5 18
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin West North Central States:	0 0 3 1 1	1 0 0 1	152 230 400 478 153	151 67 243 255 218	82 77 123 52 21	38 89 47 13 15	5 2 13 4 6	6 3 11 3 36
Minnesota.  Lowa.  Missouri.  North Dakota.  South Dakota.  Nebraška.  Kansas.	1 0 0 1 0 0	2 0 0 0 0	97 135 53 87 17 70	136 51 63 23 25 38	6 47 85 13 38 120 70	1 52 22 6 2 39 60	2 0 16 2 0 12	0 0 7 0 0 0
Bouth Atlantic States:  Delaware	0 0 0 0 1 1 1	0 0 1 1 6 0	4 90 10 10 17 5 9	0 63 46 32 22 11 11	1 0 0 11 4 4 0	0 1 4 54 73 6 0	0 6 0 7 7 29 28 3	1 6 0 3 5 29 18 6

Week ended Friday.
 Figures for 1929 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa and for 1928 are exclusive of Tulsa.

Cases of certain communicable diseases reported by telegraph by State health officers for weeks ended May 25, 1929, and May 26, 1928—Continued

•	Polion	nyelitis	Scarle	t fever	Sma	llpox	Typho	id fever
Division and State	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928	Week ended May 25, 1929	Week ended May 26, 1928
East South Central States:								
Kentucky	0	0	73	43	9	40	3	4
Tennessee	0	0	21	11	7	32	14	12
Alabama	1	0	8	11	2	25	19	11
Mississippi	0	1	3	7	2	4	9	5
West South Central States:					1			_
Arkansas	0	1	8	26	2	17	6	18
Louisiana	0	0	25	15	3	19	7	11
Oklahoma 3	0	0	16	47	36	88	4	11 3 2
Texas	0	1	55	55	57	47	7	2
Mountain States:	_ !						_	_
Montana	0	0	14	18	9	19	0	Ī
Idaho	1 1	0	. 4	6	4	7	, ,	0
Wyoming	0	0	14	22	7	-1	0	ű
Colorado	0	0	15	34	23	10	0	1
New Mexico	0	0	3	14	6	1		3 2
Arizona	0 1	2	5	Õ	3	12	6	Z
Utah 3	ויט	U	9	9	-	6	U	U
Pacific States:		2	42	18	64	33	1	6
Washington	8	1	15	20	20	39	i	5
Oregon.	2	3	409	154	66	39 12	7	17
California	3	3	100	134	00	12	' '	17

#### SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

State	Menin- gococ- cus menin- gitis	Diph- theria	Influ- enza	Ma- laria	Mea- sles	Pellag- ra	Polio- mye- litis	Scarlet fever	Small- pox	Ty- phoid fever
March, 1929 Hawali Territory  April, 1929 Alabama California Idaho Illinois Iowa Massachusetts Michigan Mississippi Missouri Montana North Carolina	10 97 32 69 5 25 316 2 96 18	18 49 203 2 659 27 300 348 149 21	147 196 256 14 316 1 78 31 1,851 20 2	162 3 4 3 4 5,626 26	66 636 333 29 8, 025 201 1, 922 3, 671 2, 524 1, 408 703 179	1 1,476	0 4 3 3 1 5 2 8 3 0 0	12 61 1, 947 60 1, 887 583 1, 198 2, 200 41 395 92 129	24 321 145 355 180 7 277 5 164 89 85	33 23 28 22 18 28 49 54 55
Oklahoma <sup>1</sup> Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island Washington West Virginia Wisconsin	21 9 46 1 55 3 18	41 24 629 49 33 41 58	274 210 4 113 60 77	221	229 965 7, 757 448 760 1, 860 5, 030	32	0 2 2 0 1 2 2	142 114 1,689 91 179 68 612	351 132 0 0 219 54 22	31 4 67 1 28 39 7

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

Week ended Friday.
 Figures for 1929 are exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa and for 1928 are exclusive of Tulsa.

March, 1929		impetigo contagiosa:	Case
Hawaii Territory:	Case	Oregon	1
Chicken pox	. 3	Washington	
Conjunctivitis (follicular)		Lead poisoning:	
Dysentery (amebic)	. 1	Illinois	
Hookworm disease			
Impetigo contagiosa	. 7		
Leprosy		,	
Mumps			
Tetanus			:
Trachoma.			•
Whooping cough	. 166		(
April, 1929		Massachusetts	
Actinomycosis:		Michigan	
Illinois	. 2	Pennsylvania	
Massachusetts	. 1	Washington Wisconsin	
Anthrax:		Marmon.	•
Pennsylvania	. 1	Alabama	49
Chicken pox:		California	
Alabama		Ideho	76
California	•	Illinois	528
Idaho		Town	445
Illinois.	•	Massachusetts	447
Iowa.		Michigan	752
Massachusetts		Mississippi	486
Michigan		Missouri	207
Mississippi	918	Montana	30
Missouri Montana	279 108	Oklahoma 1	69
North Carolina	579	Oregon	127
Oklahoma 1	62	Pennsylvania	1, 572
Oregon	209	Rhode Island	8
Pennsylvania		Washington	294
Rhode Island	56	Wisconsin	323
Washington	527	Ophthalmia neonatorum:	
West Virginia	103	California	3
Wisconsin	865	Illinois	47
Dengue:		Massachusetts	86
Alabama	3	Mississippi	13
Mississippi	5	Missouri	3
Dysentery:		North Carolina	1
California (amebic)	2	Oklahoma <sup>1</sup>	17
California (bacillary)	17	Rhode Island	1,
Illinois	14	Washington	i
Massachusetts	5	Paratyphoid fever:	-
Mississippi (amebic)	47	California	2
Mississippi (bacillary)	<b>4</b> 01	Illinois	2
Oklahoma i	1	Washington	ī
Washington	1	Puerperal septicemia:	_
German measles:		Illinois	8
California	149	Mississippi	32
Illinois	200	Oregon	1
Iowa	13	Pennsylvania	14
Massachusetts	148	Washington	6
Montana	1	Rabies in animals:	Ĭ
North Carolina Pennsylvania	377 275	California	85
Rhode Island	2/5	Illinois	18
Washington	27	Iowa	23
Wisconsin	29	Mississippi	8
Granuloma (coccidioidal):	20	Missouri	1
California	3	Oregon	2
Hookworm disease:	ĭ	Rhode Island	9
Mississippi	337	Washington	2
			_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

Rables in man:	Cases	Trichinosis:	Cases
Michigan	. 2	California	. 1
Mississippi	. 1	Massachusetts	. 2
Rocky Mountain spotted or tick fever:		Tularaemia:	
Idaho	. 3	Alabama	. 2
Montana		Oregon	. 2
Oregon		Typhus fever:	
		Alabama	5
Scabies:		Undulant fever:	
Oregon		California	5
Washington	. 2	Illinois	2
Septic sore throat:		Iowa.	21
Illinois	9	Montana	1
Iowa		Washington	1
Massachusetts		Vincent's angina:	
Michigan		Illinois	1
Missouri		Oklahoma 1	1
North Carolina		Whooping cough:	
Oklahoma 1		Alabama	177
Oregon		California	1, 217
Rhode Island	- 1	Idaho	5
	_	Illinois	697
Tetanus:	_	Iowa	111
California	8	Massachusetts	710
Massachusetts	4	Michigan	1, 261
Missouri	1	Mississippi	1, 706
Oklahoma 1	1	Missouri	389
Pennsylvania.	4	Montana	34
Trachoma:	- 1	North Carolina	1, 418
California	21	Oklahoma 1	120
Illinois	4	Oregon	37
Massachusetts	4	Pennsylvania.	1,872
Mississippi	19	Rhode Island	17
Missouri	25	Washington	537
Oklahoma 1	5	West Virginia	256
Pennsylvania	2	Wisconsin	989
T CITIEN 14 OT 10"			- 2-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

# Number of Cases of Certain Communicable Diseases Reported for the Month of March, 1929, by State Health Officers

	Chicken pox	Diph- theria	Measles	Mumps	Scarlet fever	Small- pox	Tuber- culo- sis	Ty- phoid fever	Whoop- ing cough
Maine	113	20	1, 359	88	234	35	42	4	108
New Hampshire		7			105	0		0	
Vermont	41	11	154	274	74	5	15		162
Massachusetts		344	1,542	440	1, 375	7	580	18	699
Rhode Island	51	51	349	11	136	.0	57	4	20
Connecticut	358	90	2, 122	431	279	21	114	3	115
New York	2,908	1, 281	4, 926	2,096	2, 652	19	1,920	58	1,463
New Jersey	1, 235	462	1, 214		809	0	433	11	830
Pennsylvania	2,338	702	8,711	2, 260	2, 116	6	820	56	1, 715
Ohio	1, 313	306	7,648	395	1, 439	210	674	35	1, 913
Indiana	470	142	2, 337	53	1, 456	380	211	36	481
Illinois	1, 279	620	6, 168	495	2, 218	515	1, 700	27	650
Michigan	1,006	418	2, 314	722	1, 981	249	414	22	1,058
Wisconsin	1,097	75	3, 386	505	833	24	145	7	837
Minnesota	582	112	2,629		619	9	250	14	559
Iowa	165	42	167	531	865	191	59	10	155
MAISSOUR1	426	318	2, 327	293	574	229	307	23	401
North Dakota	18	33	321	132	246	15	25	4	83
South Dakota	38	25	189	19	125	68	5	1	11
Nebraska	116	73	189	148	510	256	1 15	3	64
Kansas	599	55	1, 250	772	817	262	177	11	302
Delaware 1									
Marvland	379	84	515	819	324	1	318	17	628
District of Columbia	152	56	84		110	0	144	1	120
Virginia	617	94	807		136	14	1 157	8	702
West Virginia	226	51	1, 304		137	69	77	47	223
North Carolina	872	114	346		152	114		. 17	1, 128
South Carolina.	435	126	37	174	74	9 !	197	28	628

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pulmonary.

Report not received at time of going to press.

# Number of Cases of Certain Communicable Diseases Reported for the Month of March, 1929, by State Health Officers—Continued

	Chicken pox	Diph- theria	Measles	Mumps	Scarlet fever	Small- pox	Tuber- culo- sis	Ty- phoid fever	Whoop- ing cough
GeorgiaFlorida	98 100	33 34	191 183	91 33	77	57	107 128	29 19	281 253
Kentucky		0.2	100				120		200
Tennessee	294	71	63	331	284	9	322	22	200
Alabama	268	77	643	41	70	42	359	29	90
Mississippi	1,097		2,997	562	59	2	406	46	1, 386
Arkansas	222	30	446	110	66	69	1 41	12	102
Louisiana	79	84	435		238	27	1 118	25	93
Oklahoma 4		57	242	104	194	432	49	22	121
Texas 1									
Montana	94	24	454	26	96	25	. 17	16	33
108D0	18	4	30	92	48	116	3	4	1 1
Wyoming		8	135	126	56	11	_3	0	
Colorado	434	34	- 74	176	140	86	71	4	4.5
New Mexico 3	59	14	58	12	27	74	72	7	
Arizona Utah 3		14	25	12	21	/2	12	,	21
Nevada Washington		45	459	347	134	228	189	15	289
	264	50	954	170	249	185	52	13	15
Oregon	2,512	200	261	2,113	1, 987	273	1,001	41	973

#### Case Rates per 1,000 Population (Annual Basis) for the Month of March, 1929

	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>						
Maine	1.67	0.30	20.08	1.30	3. 46	0. 52	0.62	0.06	1.60
New Hampshire	.	. 18			2.71	.00	l	.00	
Vermont	1.37	. 37	5, 14	9.15	2.47	. 17	. 50		5. 4
Massachusetts	2.07	. 93	4.18	1. 19	3, 73	.02	1.57	. 05	1.90
Rhode Island	.82	.82	5.64	. 18	2.20	.00	. 92	.06	. 32
Connecticut	2.48	.62	14.71	2.99	1. 93	.15	.79	.02	.80
New York	2.93	1. 29	4.97	2 11	2.67	.02	1.94	.06	1.47
New Jersey	3.73	1.40	3. 67	2.11	2.45	.00	1.31	.03	2.5
Pennsylvania	2.76	.83	10. 28	2.67	2.50	.01	. 97	.07	2.02
Chio	2.23	.52	12.97		2.44		1.14		
Ohio	2.23			. 67	5.35	. 36		.06	3. 24
Indiana	1.73	. 52	8.59	. 19		1.40	.78	. 13	1.77
Illinois.	2.01	. 97	9.69	. 78	3.48	.81	2.67	.04	1.02
Michigan		1.05	5.81	1.81	4.97	. 62	1.04	.06	2. 65
Wisconsin		.30	13. 34	1.99	3. 28	.09	. 57	.03	3.30
Minnesota	2.48	. 48	11. 22		2.64	.04	1.07	.06	2. 39
lowa		. 20	. 81	2. 57	. 4.19	. 93	. 29	. 05	. 75
Missouri	1.42	1.06	7. 75	.98	1.91	. 76	1.02	.08	1. 34
North Dakota	. 24	. 61	5.89	2.42	4. 52	. 28	. 46	. 07	1. 52
South Dakota	. 63	. 41	3. 13	. 31	2.07	1. 12	.08	.02	. 18
Nebraska	. 96	. 61	1.57	1. 23	4. 23	2.12	1.12	.02	. 53
Kansas	3. 83	.35	8.63	4.93	5. 22	1. 67	1. 13	.07	1. 93
Delaware 3	1 0.00	1	٠.۵	2.50		2.00			1.00
Maryland	2.73	.60	3, 71	5, 90	2, 33	. 01	2. 29	. 12	4, 52
Maryland District of Columbia	3. 17	1.17	1.75	0.00	2.30	.00	3. 01	.02	2.51
Virginia		.43	3.65		.61	.06	1.71	.04	3, 17
West Virginia.	1.52	.34	8. 76		.92		.52	.32	
North Carolina	3.45	.45	1.37		.60	. 46	. 52		1.50
South Carolina	2.72			1.09		. 45		.07	4.46
	2.72	. 79	. 23		. 46	.06	1.23	. 18	3. 93
Jeorgia Florida	.36	. 12	. 70	. 33	.28	. 21	. 39	.11	1.02
lorida	. 81	. 27	1.48	. 27	. 31	.00	1.03	. 15	2.04
Kentucky 3									
l'ennessee	1.37	. 33	. 29	1.55	1. 33	.04	1. 51	. 10	. 93
Alabama	1. 22	. 35	2.92	. 19	. 32	. 19	1.63	. 13	. 41
Mississippi	7. 21		19.71	3. 70	. 39	. 01	2. 67	. 30	9. 11
Arkansas	1.33	. 18	2.67	. 66	.40	.41	1. 25	. 07	. 61
Louisiana	. 47	.50	2.61		1.43	. 16	1, 71	. 15	. 56
Oklahoma 4	. 40	.31	1.31	. 56	1.05	2, 34	. 27	. 12	. 66
Texas 3									
Montana	2.02	. 51	9.74	. 56	2.06	. 54	. 36	. 34	.71
daho	. 38	.08	. 63	1.94	1.01	2.45	.06	.08	.08
Wyoming		.37	6.28	5. 86	2.61	.51	.14	.00	
Colorado	4.62	.36	.79	1.87	1.49	. 92	.76		. 28 . 48
New Mexico 3	4.02	. 30	. 18	1.01	1. 29	. 92	. 10	.04	. 20
Arizona		. 34	1.40	. 29	. 65	1.78	1.73	. 17	. 51
Jtah 3					.~.				
Nevada									
Washington	3. 24	. 33	3, 35	2.53	.98	1.67	1.38	.11	2 11
Oregon		.64	12. 29	2. 19	3. 21	2.38			
California	6. 32	.50	. 66	5. 32	5. 00		. 67 2. 52	. 10 . 10	. 19 2. 45
					D (E) [	. 69		10)	

Pulmonary.
 Report not received at time of going to press.
 Reports received weekly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.
<sup>8</sup> Reports received annually.

#### GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

The 97 cities reporting cases used in the following table are situated in all parts of the country and have an estimated aggregate population of more than 31,535,000. The estimated population of the 90 cities reporting deaths is more than 29,965,000. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics:

Weeks ended May 18, 1929, and May 19, 1928

	1929	1928	Estimated expectancy
Cases reported			
Diphtheria:	1, 263	1, 315	1
44 States	751	826	831
Wessles:	.01	020	001
43 States	14, 319	18, 987	i
97 cities	5, 377	8, 026	
Meningococcus meningitis:	3,5	٠, ٠=٠	1
44 States	298	137	l
97 cities	163	81	
Poliomyelitis: 44 States	33	22	
Scarlet fever:	- 1		i
44 States	4, 251	3,845	
97 citles	1,760	1, 502	1, 199
Smallpox:			l
44 States	929	1,086	
97 cities	69	144	94
Typhoid fever:			1
44 States	258	217	
97 cities	53	33	46
Deaths reported			
a	657	1, 278	
nfluenza and pneumonia: 90 cities	637	1, 218	
smallpox: 90 cities	0 }	U	

#### City reports for week ended May 18, 1929

The "estimated expectancy" given for diphtheria, poliomyelitis, scarlet fever, smallpox, and typhoid fever is the result of an attempt to ascertain from previous occurrence the number of cases of the disease under consideration that may be expected to occur during a certain week in the absence of epidemics. It is based on reports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most instances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding weeks of the preceding years. When the reports include several epidemics, or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are excluded and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases reported for the week during non-epidemic years.

If the reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many years as possible, but no year earlier than 1920 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy the figures are smoothed when necessary to avoid abrupt deviation from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the table the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectancy.

Division, State, and city			Diph	theria	Influ	ienza	3.5		
	Population July 1, 1928, estimated	Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Mea- sles, cases re- ported	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
NEW ENGLAND									
Maine: Portland New Hampshire: Concord Manchester Nashua Verment: Barre	78, 600 (1) 85, 700 (1)	4 0 0 0	1 0 2 1 0	3 0 0 0		0 0 0 0	14 24 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 1 1

City reports for week ended May 18, 1929—Continued

		Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Diph	theria	Influ	ienza			
Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1928, estimated		Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Measles, cases re-	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
NEW ENGLAND—con- tinued									
Massachusetts: Boston Fall River Springfield Worcester Rhode Island:	799, 200 134, 300 149, 800 197, 600	42 0 10 26	40 3 2 3	25 2 2 0	1	0 0 0 0	31 1 0 22	47 0 0 0	12 3 2 1
Pawtucket Providence	73, 100 286, 300	5 2	0	0		0	7 56	0	0 7
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford New Haven	(1) 172, 300 187, 900	1 5 21	5 5 1	4 2 0	1	1 0 0	11 7 19	0 15 2	3 7 4
New York: Buffalo	555, 800 6, 017, 500 328, 200 199, 300	16 286 11 39	11 258 10 6	12 239 2 0	;	0 8 0 1	74 117 19 2	1 0 8 7	21 136 5 4
New Jersey: Camden Newark Trenton	135, 400 473, 600 139, 000	4 61 6	6 14 3	11 31 1	1	0	10 8 18	84 0	5 11 2
Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Pittsburgh Reading	2, 064, 200 673, 800 115, 400	139 50 7	60 18 2	28 3 2	3	4 3 0	85 69 4	31 4 0	39 10 3
EAST NORTH CENTRAL									
Ohio: Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo Indiana:	413, 700 1, 010, 300 299, 000 313, 200	15 107 6 13	7 22 3 4	5 13 0 0	4	1 0 0 1	569 49 43	0 7 0 19	10 19 1 3
Fort Wayne Indianapolis South Bend Terre Haute	105, 300 382, 100 86, 100 73, 500	5 36 6 1	2 3 1 0	2 1 0 2		0 0 0	35 259 16 17	0 2 2 2 0	2 6 3 1
Illinois: Chicago Springfield Michigan:	3, 157, 400 67, 200	84 1	64 0	128 1	8	4	1,050 14	14 0	87 0
Detroit Flint Grand Rapids	1, 378, 900 148, 800 164, 200	83 20 3	44 4 2	55 2 1	6	4 0 1	174 13 42	57 3 1	32 6 1
Wisconsin: Kenosha Milwaukee Racine Superior	56, 500 544, 200 74, 400 (¹)	14 97 23 2	1 12 2 1	0 14 0 0	2	0 1 0 0	1,006 32 5	3 19 0 12	1 8 2 1
WEST NORTH CENTRAL Minnesota:									
Duluth  Minneapolis  St. Paul  Iowa:	116, 800 455, 900 (1)	11 61 18	0 15 10	0 6 0		0 0 0	2 217 269	39 76 43	2 4 3
Davenport Des Moines Sioux City Waterloo Missouri:	(1) 151, 900 80, 000 37, 100	1 1 41 5	0 1 1 0	0 0 0			0 1 6 3	0 2 2 20	
Kansas City St. Joseph St. Louis North Dakota:	391, 000 78, 500 848, 100	· 23 1 19	4 0 40	5 1 <b>4</b> 5	i	0	34 55 42	2 0 8	12 0
FargoGrand Forks	(1)	3	0	0			2	<del>-</del>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No estimate of population made.

			Diph	theria	Influ	lenza				
Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1928, estimated	Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Mea- sles, cases re- ported	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported	
WEST NORTH CENTRAL— continued										
South Dakota: Aberdeen Nebraska:	(1)	0	0	0			1	9		
LincolnOmaha Kansas:	71, 100 222, 800	5 <b>6</b>	1 2	1 7		0	6 87	0	0 2	
Topeka Wichita	62, 800 99, 300	7 13	1 1	0		0	1 166	3 16	1 0	
SOUTH ATLANTIC										
Delaware: Wilmington Maryland:	128, 500	0	2	0		0	10	2	5	
Baltimore Cumberland Frederick	830, 400 (1) (1)	53 1 0	21 0 0	10 2 0,	8	2 0 0	4 0 0	188 0 0	30 3 0	
District of Columbia: Washington	552, 000	30	11	7	2	0	32	. 0	11	
Virginia: Lynchburg	38, 600	15	0	0		0	0	65 67	0	
Norfolk Richmond Roanoke	184, 200 194, 400 64, 600	25 5 4	1 1	1 1 0		0 0 0	22 0	4 3	2 2 0	
West Virginia: Charleston Wheeling	55, 200 (¹)	8 5	0	1 0		0,0	77 <b>69</b>	0	1	
North Carolina: Raleigh Wilmington	(¹) <b>39, 100</b>	8 7	0	1 0		0	0	0	1	
Winston-Salem South Carolina:	80, 000	5	0	2		0	0	0	3	
Charleston Columbia Greenville	75, 900 50, 600 (¹)	0 10 5	0 1 0	1 2 0	16	0 0 0	0 1 0	2 0	1 1	
Georgia: Atlanta Brunswick	255, 100	7	1	3	6	1 0	18 0	1 0	2 1	
SavannahFlorida:	99, 900	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	
Miami St. Petersburg Tampa	156, 700 53, 300 113, 400	5 0	1 0 0	0		0	45 20	2	0 1	
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL	1					l	4			
Kentucky: Covington	59, 000	0	o	0		0	0	0	2	
Tennessee: Memphis Nashville	190, 200 139, 6 <b>0</b> 0	9	1 0	0 2		1 0	0	0	<b>2</b> 3	
Alabama: Birmingham Mobile	222, 400 69, 600	5	1 0	2	3	3 0	1 4	1 0	5	
Montgomery WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	63, 100	30	0	0			4	0  -		
Arkansas:	l				1		1			
Fort SmithLittle Rock	<sup>(1)</sup> 79, <b>200</b>	0	8	0		ō	0	0	i	
New Orleans Shreveport Oklahoma:	429, 400 81, 300	3 2	6	16 0	2	0	15 3	6	12 1	
Tulsa Texas:	170, 500	12	1	0		:	11	1	<u>.</u>	
Dallas	217, 800 170, 600 50, 600 (1) 218, 100	3 1 0 1 0	3 1 0 3 0	6 3 0 5		0 3 0 0	64 10 0 5	0	3 5 0 5 6	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No estimate of population made.

			<del></del>	1				<u> </u>	T		<del></del>		Г
Division, State, city	and	Populati July 1, 1928, estimate	CON	XX,	Cases, esti- mated expect ancy	C	cases re- orted		Influ Cases re- orted	Deaths re- ported	Measles, cases re- ported	Mumps cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
MOUNTAIN													
Montana: Billings		69		6 5 0	0		0 0 0			0 0 0	0 8 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1
Boise Colorado:		(1)		0	1	. [	0			0	4	0	1
Denver Pueblo New Mexico:		294, 2 44, 2	80	47 30	10		3 0			2 0	3	32 1	6
Albuquerque Utah:	- 1	(1)		0	0		0			0	0	2	1
Salt Lake City Nevada:	- 1	138, 0	00	10	3	1	0			0	2	122	4
Reno		(1)		0	0		0			0	1	0	0
Washington: Seattle Spokane Tacoma		383, 20 109, 10 110, 50	10	27 8 18	4 2 1		0 0 3				3 122 15	13 0 3	
Oregon: Portland		(1)	~	4	5		0		1	3	93	4	4
Salem California:	- 1	(1)		4	0	1	0			0	4	1	0
Los Angeles Sacramento San Francisco.		75, 70 585, 30	00	107 8 37	38 2 17		15 0 5		24 2 1	7 0 0	18 11 7	42 12 42	10 2 3
	Scar	let fever		Smal	lpox		Tub	er-	т	yphoid i	lever	Whoop-	
Division, State, and city	Cases esti- mate expec ancy	Cases d re- t-ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cas re port	-   :	re-	culo sis deat re-	hs	Cases esti- mate expect ancy	Cases	Deaths re- ported	ing cough,	Deaths, all causes
NEW ENGLAND													
Maine: Portland New Hampshire:	2	11	0		0	0		0	0	0	1	6	14
Concord Manchester Nashua	2	Ŏ	0 0 0		0	0	j	1 3 0	0	0 0	0	0	13 16 8
Vermont: Barre Massachusetts:	0	0	o		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Boston	66		0		0	0		3	2 1	3 0	1 0	32 0	203 31
Springfield Worcester	7 8	11	ŏ		ŏ	Ŏ	1	2 2	Ô	0	ŏ	2 23	31 43
Rhode Island: Pawtucket Providence	1 10	2	0		0	0		0 2	0	0	0	0 13	59
Connecticut: Bridgeport	10		o		0	0		3	0	0	Ŏ	0	32
Hartford New Haven	6		0		0	0		2	0	0	0	1 3	33 41
MIDDLE ATLANTIC New York:					-  -								
Buffalo New York Rochester Syracuse	22 264 13 9	269	0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	9	3 7 1 5	1 9 0 0	0 7 0 0	0 1 0 0	22 66 23 23	220 1,511 87 51

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>No estimate of population made.

	Scarle	t sever		Smallpo	K	Tuber-	Т	phoid f	ever	Whoop	
Division, State, and city	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy		Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	re-	culo- sis, deaths re- ported	mated	re-	Deaths re- ported	ing cough,	Deaths, all causes
MIDDLE ATLANTIC— continued											
New Jersey: Camden Newark Trenton	6 27 2	6 16 2	0	0	0	1 15 4	0 0 0	1 0 0	0	1 28 0	\$2 115 33
Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Pittsburgh Reading	90 31 3	68 33 13	0 0 0	0	0	30 12 1	3 0 0	4 0 0	0 0 0	62 30 3	498 155 35
EAST NORTH CENTRAL											
Ohio: Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo	17 33 8 11	69 50 7 8	2 0 2 1	6 0 3 0	0 0 0	11 21 6 3	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	3 55 48 45	130 333 73 68
Indiana: Fort Wayne Indianapolis South Bend Terre Haute	4 13 3 8	8 70 3 1	13 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 4 1 1	0 1 0 0	4 0 0 0	0 0 0	35 0 2	100 18 32
Illinois: Chicago Springfield Michigan:	109 4	209 3	2 0	1	0	49 0	3 1	0	0	53 5	775 11
Detroit Flint Grand Rapids.	96 6 5	238 27 8	1 2 1	1 10 0	0 0 0	20 1 0	2 1 0	0 0 0	0	107 9 19	353 32 27
Wisconsin: Kenosha Milwaukee Racine Superior	2 24 4 2	2 45 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 11 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 89 1 13	6 119 10 9
WEST NOBTH CENTRAL											
Minnesota: Duluth Minneapolis St. Paul Iowa:	7 37 22	6 25 6	1 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 4 1	, 0 0 0	0 1 0	0	4 40 22	31 96 49
Davenport Des Moines Sioux City Waterloo	1 4 2 2	0 39 1 17	2 2 1 0	0 0 0 1			0 0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 5 7	31
Missouri: Kansas City St. Joseph St. Louis North Dakota:	11 3 32 •	28 0 20	4 2 2	2 0 2	0 0 0	11 2 6	1 0 1	2 0 0	1 1 0	10 5 52	127 32 220
Fargo Grand Forks South Dakota:	1 2	3	0	1			0	1		0	
Aberdeen Nebraska: Lincoln	1	0 22	0	0	•o	0	0	0 2	0	0 6	
Omaha Kansas: Topeka Wichita	3 2 3	18 3 22	5 0 1	2 0 1	0	1 0	0	0	0	7 1 10	52 15 13
SOUTH ATLANTIC		-	-	-					l		
Delaware: Wilmington	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	o	4	32
Maryland: Baltimore Cumberland Frederick	29 0 1	84 1 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	14 0 0	2 0 0	1 0 0	0	111 0 0	230 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nonresident.

	Scarle	t fever		Smallpo	x	Tuber-	Т	phoid i	ever	Whoop-	
Division, State, and city	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	culo- sis, deaths re-	mated	TO-	Deaths re- ported	oough.	Deaths, all causes
SOUTH ATLANTIC— continued						-					
District of Columbia:			-		_						
Washington Virginia:	22	16	1	0	0	10	1	0	0	27	138
Lynchburg Norfolk	0	0	0	. 0	0	0 2	1 0	0	0	2 14	8
Richmond Roanoke	3 0	3	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	6	0	0.	0	8	58
West Virginia:						1	0	0	0	1	11
Charleston Wheeling	0 2	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2 4	16 18
North Carolina: Raleigh	0	0	1	ò	0	0	0	اه	0	8	16
Wilmington Winston-Salem	0	ĭ	0	i	Ō	0	0	Ō	0	0	8 18
South Carolina:	0	- 1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	39	18
Charleston Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	1 1	• 1	0	0	0	35 18
GreenvilleGeorgia:	0	0	0	0	Ō	2	Õ	Ŏ	ŏ	ã	7
Atlanta Brunswick	4	4 0	4	o l	0	8	0	3	0	35	86
Savannah	i	ĭ	0	0	0	0 2	0	0	0	0 3	6 36
Florida: Miami	0	2	1	0	0	o	o	0	0	8	20
St. Petersburg. Tampa.	0	· <u>2</u>	0 .	0	0	0	0	2	Ŏ.	6	. 6 27
EAST SOUTH CENTBAL	ĺ										-
Kentucky: Covington											
Tennessee:	1	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	26
Memphis Nashville	3 2	9	3 1	0	0	0	1 2	0	0	18	55 56
Alabama; Birmingham	1	0	6	0	0	6	1	0	0	1	
Mobile	0	Ō	0	0	ŏ	2	0	0	. 8	10	73 20
Montgomery WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	0	0	` 0	0			0	0 -		4	
				1		İ			1		
Arkansas: Fort Smith Little Rock Louisiana:	0	0	0	0	· o	3	0	0 -	0	1 -	
New Orleans Shreveport	5	40 0	1	0	0	9	2	15	1	1	122
Oklahoma:		1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	23
Tulsa Texas:	1	3	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	*
Dallas	2	5	2 5	10	0	4 2	0	0	0	18	<b>52</b> 32
Galveston Houston	0 2	0 2	0	0	Ŏ	1 2	0	0	0	0	20
San Antonio	î	ő	ō	2	ŏ	9	0	0 2	0	0	60 75
MOUNTAIN				1	İ						
Montana:											
Billings	0	0 2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	9	<b>8</b> 9
Helena Missoula	0	0	0	0 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9 7 8
Idaho: Boise	0	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	- 1	7
Colorado: Denver	11	2	1	12	1	1	- 1		- 1	0	
Pueblo	11	ől	ō	12	0	6	0	0	8	10	91 5

	Scarlet fever			Smallpo	ox	Tul	MI-	Ту	phoid f	ever	Whoop-	
Division, State, and city	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Death re- porte	cu si dea	o- s, ths	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	ing cough, cases re- ported	Deaths, all causes
MOUNTAIN—con.												
New Mexico: Albuquerque Utah:	0	0	0	0			13	0	0	0	2	19
Salt Lake City. Nevada:	2	3	2	2	'	)	1	0	0	0	12	45
Reno	0	4	0	1	<u>'</u>		0	0	0	0	0	3
Washington: Seattle Spokane	8	3	2	1 1				2 0	1 0		53 9	ļ
Tacoma Oregon:	2	6	3	4			0	Ō	0	0	3	24
Portland Salem California:	5 0	1	8 1	13 1			0	0 1	0	0	0	73
Los Angeles Sacramento San Francisco	27 1 16	49 14 48	7 0 1	0 0 0		)	30 1 15	1 1 1	1 1 0	1 0 0	23 7 61	269 26 165
-			0	fening- coccus eningiti	47	ethar cepha	gic litis	Pe	llagra	Polio	myelitis le paraly	(infan- vsis)
Division, Sta	te, and	city	Case	Deat!	hs Ca	ses De	ath	s Cases	Deatl	Cases esti- matec expect ancy	d Cases	Deaths
NEW EN	GLAND											
Massachusetts: Boston Worcester					2 0	1 0	1 0	0				0
Rhode Island: Providence Connecticut:			0		0	1	0	0			1	0
Bridgeport New Haven			6		0	1 0	0	0	9			0
MIDDLE A	TLANTIC											
New York: Buffalo New York			2 34			0	0	0	0			0 1
New Jersey: Newark			a	:	0	0	0	0	l o	) (	0	0
Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Pittsburgh			6 4			1	1 1	0	0			0
Chio: EAST NORTH	CENTRA	<b>L</b>										
Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus		<b>-</b>	5 2		4 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0
Toledo Indiana:			1	1	1.	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Indianapolis Illinois: Chicago 1			ı	1	- 1	0	0	0	0	1	1 1	0
Michigan: Detroit			- 1	1			0	0	0	1	1 1	0
Flint						ĭ	Ö	ŏ	ő			ŏ
Milwaukee			3	]	2	0	0	ا ا	0	l o	اها	. 0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rabies (human): 1 case and 1 death at Chicago, Ill.

	0	ningo- occus ningitis	Let	hargie phalitis	Pe	llagra		yelitis paraly	(infan- vsis)
Division, State, and city	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases	Deaths
WEST NORTH CENTRAL									
Minnesota:	_								
Duluth Minneapolis	2 2	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iowa: Sioux City	12	ļ · -		•					•
Missouri:	i		0		0		0	0	
Kansas CitySt. Joseph	6	5 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Louis	7	3	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ö
SOUTH ATLANTIC									
Maryland:									
Baltimore Virginia:	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Richmond North Carolina:	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wilmington	0	0	0.	0	0	1	0	0	. 0
South Carolina: Charleston	0	0	0	o	o	3	0	0	0
Georgia: Atlanta	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Savannah 3	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ĭ	2	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
Florida: Miami	0	0	0	6	1	اه	o	0	0
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL					-	Ĭ			·
Tennessee:		]		1	İ	1		- 1	
Memphis Nashville	1	0	1 0	0	0 2	o l	0	0	0
Alabama:		- 1	1	- 1	- 1	0	0	0	0
Birmingham	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL			İ	ł	ı				
Arkansas: Little Rock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Louisiana:	ı	1		1		l	1	- 1	
New OrleansOklahoma:	1	1	,0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Tulsa Texas:	1		0		9 .		0	0  -	
San Antonio	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
MOUNTAIN		ĺ	ı	İ	- 1	i	1		
Montana: Billings	اه	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Colorado:	- 1		- 1	1			- 1	- 1	0
DenverUtah:	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salt Lake City Nevada:	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Reno.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PACIFIC Washington:							l		
Seattle Tacoma	8 .		0 .		0 -		0	0 .	
TacomaCalifornia:	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
Los Angeles	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Sacramento	2 2	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes 1 nonresident.

The following table gives the rates per 100,000 population for 98 cities for the 5-week period ended May 18, 1929, compared with those for a like period ended May 19, 1928. The population figures used in computing the rates are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Typhus fever: 1 case at Savannah, Gs.

1389 June 7, 1929

approximate estimates, authoritative figures for many of the cities not being The 98 cities reporting cases have estimated aggregate populations of more than 31,000,000. The 91 cities reporting deaths have nearly 30,000,000 estimated population. The number of cities included in each group and the estimated aggregate populations are shown in a separate table below.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, April 14 to May 18, 1929—Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of

DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

					Week	ended—				
	Apr. 20, 1929	Apr. 21, 1928	Apr. 27, 1929	Apr. 28, 1928	May 4, 1929	May 5, 1928	May 11, 1929	May 12, 1928	May 18, 1929	May 19, 1928
98 cities	135	139	136	130	2 136	125	* 140	123	4 124	139
New England Middle Attantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific	143 198 122 112 66 7 103 70	131 204 116 80 88 42 126 80 102	111 194 143 85 58 54 130 78	133 172 131 84 94 56 101 133	81 190 159 77 69 20 103 265 75	133 171 107 78 96 35 81 80	\$ 119 \$ 205 7 151 104 64 27 91 52	113 178 109 55 90 42 93 71	95 159 143 4 124 62 27 115 26 57	110 205 114 96 111 21 65 97
Facility		102		<u> </u>	.0		10	102	<b>"</b>	120
		MEA	SLES	CASE	RATE8	} 				
98 cities	900	1, 361	842	1, 284	2 932	1, 421	³ 869	1, 379	4 889	1, 351
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific  98 cities  New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central West South Central Mountain Mountain Mountain	502 146 2, 025 2, 123 761 54 182 209 389	1, 743 1, 829 816 990 2, 455 1, 480 385 762 394	566 153 1, 962 1, 711 536 20 289 366 389	1, 593 1, 868 727 1, 021 1, 810 1, 297 401 842 386	500 165 2, 319 1, 775 435 129 356 3472 297 SE RA 2301 280 2415 467 261 114 225 383 357	1, 322 2, 273 793 892 2, 235 610 397 753 266	* 491 • 185 72, 140 1, 548 521 41 379 296 436 * 285 * 264 • 211 7 437 277 244 129 520 520 520 520 520 520 520 520	1, 120 2, 261 787 787 1, 781 1, 781 314 314 314 328 254 347 285 243 172 126 186 115 205	434 196 2, 135 41, 714 68 344 183 439 4 291 249 219 4 291 249 219 4 284 210 102 186 104 307	1, 159 2, 281 680 1, 121 1, 536 262 1, 152 1, 152 264 253 292 279 279 277 219 133 143
			<u></u>	CASE	RATES	<u>'</u> 3	!		<u> </u>	
98 cities	9	22	13	25	2 12	14	* 11	18	411	24
New England	0 0 11 10 2 0 12 44 62	0 0 31 61 11 21 8 168 59	0 0 17 13 2 0 24 26 82	0 0 28 68 33 98 28 151 43	0 0 15 13 0 20 43 120 40	0 0 15 31 15 14 36 106 31	\$ 2 \$ 0 7 18 27 0 27 8 26 40	0 0 20 43 17 63 8 159 36	0 0 14 4 16 2 14 51 148 15	0 0 222 65 33 42 61 159 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The figures given in this table are rates per 100,000 population, annual basis, and not the number of cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1929 and 1928, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Helena, Mont., and Boise, Idaho, not included.

<sup>3</sup>Pawtucket, R. I., Camden, N. J., Indianapolis, Ind., and Racine, Wis., not included.

<sup>4</sup>Fargo, N. Dak., not included.

<sup>5</sup>Pawtucket, R. I., not included.

<sup>6</sup>Camden, N. J., not included.

<sup>7</sup>Indianapolis, Ind., and Racine, Wis., not included.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, April 14 to May 18, 1989—Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1928—Continued

### TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

					Week	nded-				
	Apr. 20, 1929	Apr. 21, 1928	Apr. 27, 1929	Apr. 28, 1928	May 4, 1929	May 5, 1928	May 11, 1929	May 12, 1928	May 18, 1929	May 19, 1928
98 cities	10	6	8	4	18	6	* 11	8	19	6
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific	7 8 4 10 24 7 43 0	7 6 3 6 10 21 20 0	5 4 4 12 17 20 36 0 7	5 2 6 6 7 24 0	7 5 3 10 11 27 32 29	2 4 3 2 15 0 28 0 15	\$ 12	5 2 3 8 21 28 16 18 31	9 6 3 46 17 0 67 0 7	7 4 2 2 6 28 4 0 23
	I	NFLUI	ENZA 1	DEATI	H RAT	ES				
91 cities	15	29	13	33	18	33	<b>1</b> 10	34	48	80
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central West South Central Mountain Pacific	9 11 14 18 21 15 53 9	7 26 28 61 17 92 46 53	7 12 6 12 13 30 45 52 13	14 34 35 46 33 54 87 44 17	2 6 5 18 11 30 8 19 16	21 28 36 80 23 115 25 35 7	\$ 2 \$ 8 7 7 3 17 37 38 26 13	16 31 42 64 10 107 37 27	2 8 7 10 7 30 4 17 23	41 28 36 28 17 84 17 27
	P	NEUM	ONIA 1	DEATI	H RAT	ES				
91 cities	127	204	118	204	1 124	213	³ 108	219	1 106	196
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central West South Central Pacific	115 134 119 108 146 155 81 122 157	165 243 191 233 187 238 200 106 81	145 130 99 111 127 96 93 87 125	138 246 214 135 178 222 191 106 125	106 136 125 126 109 170 98 2 167	189 265 211 193 189 230 92 159 74	6 91 6 123 7 95 105 109 148 97 87 98	258 268 232 181 86 245 166 133	88 114 115 473 120 89 114 113	207 219 222 132 155 261 125 97

Helena, Mont., and Boise, Idaho, not included.
Pawtucket, R. I., Camden, N. J., Indianapolis, Ind., and Racine, Wis., not included.
Fargo, N. Dak., not included.
Pawtucket, R. I., not included.
Camden, N. J., not included.
Indianapolis, Ind., and Racine, Wis., not included.

Number of cities included in summary of weekly reports, and aggregate population of cities of each group, approximated as of July 1, 1929 and 1928, respectively

Group of cities	Number of cities reporting	Number of cities reporting	Aggregate of cities cases	population reporting	Aggregate of cities deaths	population reporting
	Ca <b>565</b>	deaths	1929	1928	1929	1928
Total.	98	91	31, 568, 400	31, 052, 700	29, 995, 100	20, 498, 600
New England Middle Atlantic	12 10	12 10	2, 305, 100 10, 809, 700	2, 273, 900 10, 702, 200	2, 305, 100 10, 809, 700	2, 273, 900 10, 702, 200
East North Central	16	16	8, 181, 900	8, 001, 300	8, 181, 900	8, 001, 300
West North Central South Atlantic	12 19	19	2, 712, 100 2, 783, 200	2, 673, <b>39</b> 0 2, 732, <b>90</b> 0	1, 736, 900 2, 783, 200	1, 708, 100 2, 732, 900
East South Central	6 8	5 7	767, 900 1, 319, 100	745, 500 1, 289, 900	704, 200 1, 285, 000	682, 409 1, 256, 400
MountainPacific	9	9	598, 800 2, 090, 600	590, 200 2, 043, 500	508, 800 1, 590, 300	590, 200 1, 551, 200

### FOREIGN AND INSULAR

### CANADA

Provinces—Communicable diseases—Week ended May 11, 1929.— During the week ended May 11, 1929, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported from seven Provinces of Canada as follows:

Disease	Nova Scotia	New Bruns- wick	Quebec	Ontario	Mani- toba	Alberta	British Colum- bia	Total
Cerebrospinal fever	12		5	3		1		1 20
Poliomyelitis. Smallpox. Typhoid fever	3	1	3 8	9 15	1	2 1	1 10	3 23 28

Quebec Province—Communicable diseases—Week ended May 18, 1929.—The Bureau of Health of the Province of Quebec reports cases of certain communicable diseases for the week ended May 18, 1929, as follows:

Disease	Cases	Disease	Cases
Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria German measles Influenza Measles	5 63 31 21 34 131	Mumps Scarlet fever Smallpox Tuberculosis Typhoid fever Whooping cough	62 90 3 53 19 30

### **CHINA**

Meningitis.—During the week ended May 18, 1929, two cases of meningitis were reported at Hong Kong, China. At Shanghai, during the week ended May 25, there were 10 admissions to the hospital and 11 deaths from meningitis.

### **ITALY**

Communicable diseases—Four weeks ended February 10, 1929.— During the four weeks ended February 10, 1929, communicable diseases were reported in the Kingdom of Italy as follows:

	Jan	. 14-20	Jan	. 21–27	Jan. 2	8-Feb. 3	Fel	o. 4-10
Disease	Cases	Com- munes affected	Cases	Com- munes affected	Cases	Com- munes affected	Cases	Com- munes affected
Anthrax Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pex Diphtheria Dysentery Lethargic encephalitis Measles Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever Smalltoox	33 6 238 508 2 1 1,832 4 327	25 6 90 277 2 1 242 4 141	22 11 275 421 1 1,637 5	19 10 89 255 1 1 240 5 125	19 10 219 415 2 9 1, 947 7 249 2	17 10 71 218 2 9 241 7	28 10 231 390 2 1,614 5 225	23 10 66 237 2 206 5 108
Typhoid fever	316	191	252	136	308	163	176	101

### **MEXICO**

Vera Cruz—Communicable diseases—Six weeks ended May 18, 1929.—During the six weeks ended May 18, 1929, deaths from certain communicable diseases were reported in Vera Cruz, Mexico, as follows:

			Week e	nded		
Disease	Apr. 13	Apr. 20	Apr. 27	May 4	May 11	May 18
Bronchitis	1		2		,	
Cancer Cerebraspinal meningitis	1	1 2	2	2		
Dysentery Gastro-intestinal disorders	1 12	9	10	1	4	10
Hookworm disease Influenza Janndice		1 2	1	<u>1</u>	1	]
Malaria		4			2	İ
Pneumonia Syphilis Tetanus	1	1	1	2 2	2 1	j
TuberculosisTyphoid fever	9	7	5 1	10	8	(
Whooping cough	1					

### PORTO RICO

San Juan—Communicable diseases—Five weeks ended May 11, 1929.—During the five weeks ended May 11, 1929, cases of certain communicable diseases were reported in San Jaun, Porto Rico, as follows:

		W	eek ended	<b>!</b>	
Disease	Apr. 13	Apr. 20	Apr. 27	May 4	May 11
Diphtheria	4	1			
Malaria Messles Pellagra	22	11	3	1 6 2	7
Syphilis. Tetanus	3	5		8	2 5
Tuberculosis	5	15	22	6	15

### **VIRGIN ISLANDS**

Communicable diseases—April, 1929.—During the month of April, 1929, communicable diseases were reported in the Virgin Islands, as follows:

St. Thomas and St. John:	Cases	St. Croix:	•	Cases
Chancroid	2	Gonorrhea		3
Dysentery	2	Leprosy		1
Gonorrhea	4	Syphilis		12
Sprue	1	Tuberculosis		1
Syphilis		Uncinariasis		1
Tuberculosis	1			
Uncinariasis	1			

### YUGOSLAVIA

Communicable diseases—April, 1929.—During the month of April, 1929, communicable diseases were reported in Yugoslavia, as follows:

Disease	Cases	Deaths	Disease	Cases	Deaths
Anthrax Cerebrospinal meningitis Diphtheria Dysentery Glanders Lethargic encephalitis	18 20 207 21 1	1 11 33 2	Measles Scarlet fever Tetanus Typhoid fever Typhus fever	1, 645 901 25 93 16	35 118 10 19 1

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER

From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, health section of the League of Nations, and other sources. The reports contained in the following table must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given:

CHOLERA!

	2	lo muicaves cases, D, deaths, 1, present	(2000)	, 1000	3, 4, 1, 10	ramoso.										
	;	Dec.							Week (	Week ended—						
Place	Z 15. 28.	16, 1928- 12,	Feb. 75.	February, 1929	ary,		Ĭ,	March, 1929	8			April, 1929	1929		May, 1929	1920
	9781	1929	RY AT	19	ន	8	۵	19	83	8	•	13	8	23	-	=
Cevion		1				67	2									
		40	6			64	8								-	
			1					•	ŀ	-		-		İ		
		°			÷÷		П	7	1	1					1	<b></b>
	2,23 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53	17,038	12, 586 7, 912	1.7 280 280	1,881	1,786	1,787	1, 98, 883	2,130 1,130 1,55	2,036 1,135						
Bassein Bombay			•	7		1	63		8	12	8-	8	<b>\$</b> ~	8-	ន	
			'				-	'		-	-		<u> </u>			7
CalcuttaD	155	<u> </u>	88	38	85	22	84	88	3 3 8	35	88	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	8	98	
Madras		120	4.1	9-	60	«	က						Ť	Ť	Ì	:
Madras Presidency		7	2	1	T	•									Ħ	
-1	<b>-</b>				İ	1					Ī	i	İ	Ī	Ì	•
Moulmein	-						-	4	-	-	-		7	-	-	
		9	81	-	-	-					-	İ	Ì	İ	Ī	i
Rangoon	1100	9	15	-	₩,	107	ec -	eo c	•	20.6		Ī	e	•	c	
Tutiorin		115	. S.	200		•	1	7	٩	8	-	*	1	1	•	
	<u>!</u>	10	23	N	· ·			-	-		-		Ì			
	_	77			Ì						-			69	Ī	i
Karikal		<b>'</b> Z':	150	88	2	88 2	នុះ	125		1		100				
Pondicherry Province	*63	:8:	138	187	929	187	129	18	• 22	4		•	Ħ	Ì		
-	_	23	5	*	8	7	<b>20</b>	<u> </u>	2	<b>-</b>	-	-	1	i	Ì	•

Kwangchow-Wan (see table below).  Slam.  Anthoang.  D	'		- ·	10	18	12	<u>                                     </u>	64	4-10	98910		1000	<b>M</b> M	- <del> </del>	200
	200	* 8	298	88	<b>9</b> 8	<b>∓</b> 8	<b>4</b> :	288	!	117 156			21.	7 252	9 25
	8	94-1	3	800	3	3	8	3	-;;				8	8	3 ! !
	900	57.5	445		ļ	446		-			1  -	1		<u>428</u>	11
Chameren	22	10	330	123	31	310	22	31-	10	• •	14	22	: #	8	
	•	16	00	-	<u>i i</u>	-					~			12	
	10	25	64	67	-	†	-	+	<u> </u>		<del></del>			9	!!
Nagara Pathom		9		-		<del> </del>	2		+		_			88	11
	1	4					67							ล	
П	1	67													
		17	6												
	37	228	<b>~</b> 9												
Smud Sagara.	88	2	œ												
9400	18						ρ	-						$\dagger$	!
8. S. Media, at Colombo from Calcuta. B. B. Tilawa at Penang from Singapore							<del>   </del>	٩						-	
ta Ta							<del> </del>	<u>:                                     </u>	A A	<del>     </del>					
	ovem- I	-meoeic	Jan	January, 1929	Q.	Feb	February, 1929	929		March, 1929	626	_	April	April, 1929	
FIROS	1928 1928	1928	1-10	11-20	21-31	1-10	11-20	21-28	1-10	11-20	21-31	1-10	0 11-20		21-30
(bove):	202	i		1	8	8						, es		813	r;
Camponia. Control of the control of	187	9 g	88	3g	88	101	115			28		170	<del>                                     </del>	<b>3</b> ₽	ä‡

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

PLAGUE 1

,	2	Transport of the second	racce, L	C mucatos casos, L, contas, 1, present		farma									
	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.					Weel	Week ended-					l
Place	푸 <u></u> 두 등 -	182 H 2	F F	Mar.	Me	March, 1929			April, 1920	926			May, 1920	88	
	1828	1920	828	830	91	88	8	•	22	8	Ħ	•	=	2	R
Argentins. <sup>3</sup> Buenos Aires <sup>9</sup> .							-	-							
							-	<u>;</u>							
Cordoba Province—Laborde————————————————————————————————————			۴				H				Ħ			Ħ	
Rosario Tuoman Province—El Mollar		169	9		İT	8	H				Ħ			Ħ	
		63	H			-									
Lours.				4-				$\dagger \dagger$		$^{+}$	Ħ	$\prod$			
	)	<u></u>	,												
Porto Alegre	6	T	7			Ħ	Ħ		$\dagger \dagger$	$\frac{1}{11}$	F			ÌÌ	
British East Africa (see also table below): Uganda. C	' <u>द</u>	251	152	112	92	8	75	95				İ		İ	
Canary Islands: Teneriffe	121	162	140	108	11	6	<b>E</b>	26							
			-						Ħ		$\overline{\parallel}$				
Colombo	440	00 00	1000	400	61	69	61	1	8	20					
Halisan Provine			Дρ	-1-	Ì	i	i	1	Ì	†	T				
		H		1											
							,			•				-	

Java—Batavia and West Java—D	443	23	22	28		17	E 4		+	-	+	-	_	+	ļ
East Java and Madura	-			*	-	67		တ	<b>80</b>	၈					
Burabaya	-		9		-	-	+							H	
			<u>; ;</u>		$\frac{1}{1}$	+	#	$\frac{11}{11}$							
							_								
Beni-Suef.	7	0	<del>.</del>	4	69				-	uc.		-	+	H	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	7	က	-	7	-			·	-	<u> </u>	<u>                                     </u>	<u> </u>	1 .	
	4					H			<del>   </del>	$\frac{11}{11}$	<u>                                     </u>	$\frac{11}{11}$		<u> </u>	
Port Said.	' <u>!</u>			<u></u>		H				<u> </u>	-	-	-	$\frac{1}{11}$	[**
	-			<u>:</u>		_	<u>;</u>	+	+	+	<u> </u>			+	į
India	7, 767	7,841	-	÷	, 259 3,	983	88					+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	:
1 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		5, 24, 1	815	<b>8</b> "		747	2,835	-	+	ď		-		<u> </u>	
	410	'	.01	***		en c	100-	167	<u>ا</u>	967				H	
	8	35	* 88	* 88	- ca	110	- 82	13.	~ <u>æ</u>	<u>:</u> -8	8	12		+	
Madras Presidency.	989	38	434	333	8	£3	32	7				$\frac{1}{1}$	-	+	
Rangoon	307	<u> </u>	- 23 - 23 - 24	189	33	200	<u> </u>	m <b>→</b>	ac.	7				<del>   </del>	
1	1	64	10 to	<del>:</del> ▼=	64	~ e	· m •c	. eo rc	•	4-		en 4	<u>                                     </u>	$\frac{11}{11}$	: :
Prompenh Company	4	00	01	10	•	- 67	. 4	-	,	• «		<u>:</u>		<u>:</u>	:
Saigon	4	•	8		e0	161	. 4	<u>   </u>		· eo	2 10	<del>                                      </del>		11	! !
				10						<u>                                     </u>					1 1
O Commence	S 20	Ø Ø	<u>Ф</u> п	2°	4	200	- 75	-			63.6	4.	200	-	;
riague-infected rats. Naudham.			98	ន	က	101	101	2	169	+	<del> </del>	•	,	11	: <b>!</b>
										<del>   </del>	$\frac{11}{11}$		-	H	: :
11d have been inserted	before the last	section	of the ta	table on page	ze 1339 of	of the	the Public Health Reports of	Health	Reports	of May 31,	31, 1929.	The	disease	reported	tet:

from Argentina, Azores, and the Belgian Congo, which appear on the page cifed, was plague, not cholers.

Thuming the period from Nov. 10 to Dec. 11, 1928, 13 cases of plague were reported at El Mollar, Tucuman Province, Argentina. During the same period 1 case of plague was reported at Chipton and 1 at Ucacha, both in Cordoba Province, Argentina.

18 plague-infected rats were reported at Buenos Aires, Argentina, from July 1 to Dec. 31, 1928.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

PLAGUE—Continued [C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]

	Nov.		Jan.	Feb.					Wee	Week ended-	1				
Place	ŸŞ	Jan. 1928.	다. 연. %	Mar.	W	March, 1929	28		April, 1929	1920			May	May, 1929	
	1928	1929	1929	1920	16	8	8	•	81	8	13	-	=	82	R
Madagascar (see also table below): Tamatave	-	61	7	8		8	9	8		g		6			
	•	9	;	1	7	7	<b>3</b> .	, E	·	<b>123</b> -		4 (			
Plague infected rate.	\$48	228	423	112	7-2			8	**			100			
	0.00	40	17	00		. 88	**	-	<b>10</b> 00	-		87		-	
Bangkok Nagara Pathom D		4-1	-69	0			-				-				
Panknampo C Straits Settlements: Singapore C	œ			- 5			<del>*</del>		-						
Byria (see table below). Union of South Africa: Cape Province.	-	7.	1	- '	f	,					-				
Transveal		- 60	0-1	N	4	1 10	900								
		-				6	<del>, ,</del>								
erdam, from Buenos Aires via		•													
S. S. Chenonceaux, at Singapore, from Colombo													1		

	April, 1920	98
	March, April, 1929	<b>***</b>
1 1 1	Feb- ru- ary, 1920	146 136 136 8 8
	Janu- ary, 1929	208 192 87 87
	De- ber, 1928	842
	Nem- Ver. 1928	110 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
6	Place	Medagascar—Continued. Tananarive Province Peru. Senegal: Baol !. Cayor !. Louga !. Ruffaque !. Thies !. Tries !. Trivacuane !.
	April, 1629	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
-	March, 1920	012128444 8832
	Feb.	4 282 58250
	Janu- ary, 1929	200 20 11 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
grue- ns- C	9825 9825 9825 9825	5 8-54- 88854-4HH82
y—Pla, rgentli	Ven-	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
S. S. Halydan, at Bangkok, from Singapore. S. Selyomaru, at Osaka, from Bombay—Plague. E. Soudadas, at Hamburg, from Rosarlo, Argentina—Plague-infected rats. S. Symand, at Alexandrie, from Batoum. S. Symand, at Alexandrie, from Batoum.	Place	British East Africe (see also table above):  Kenya.  Uganda.  Ecuador: Guayaquil  Greece (see also table above)  Indo-China (see also table above)  Madagascar (see also table above)  Ambositra Province.  Antisirabe Province.  Moramanga Province.  D  Moramanga Province.  D  Moramanga Province.  D  Moramanga Province.  D

1 Reports incomplete.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

### SMALLPOX

[C indicates cases; D, deaths; P, present]

	orange and it imment is income comment.	( )	1	1	?									
	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.					Wee	Week ended—	1			
Place	취 당 -	16, 1928- Jan.	두 6	9. Mar.	Me	March, 1929	8		April, 1929	1920		May	May, 1920	
	1928	1929	1929	1920	16	83	8	•	13	8	12	4	ı	22
	•				ĺ			•		1		<u> </u>		1
Cherchell	*		İ	<b>a</b> -	Ħ	2	13	•		•	T	1	$\overrightarrow{\parallel}$	
		-	63	•	1	·=·	0	0	-88	14.	2	10		
Brazil (see table below). British East Africa (see also table below): Kenya—Mombasa C		60				-	*		•	4	•	<del>-</del>	<del> </del>	
	49	173												
Southern Rhodesia.	00 to	ន	-	17		88	-							
************************			13	<del>,</del>					Ī			T	Ť	
Alberta C Calenty	2	60	80	10-	T		~			10		000	İ	
	ă	# 2	ed &	166	212	12	œ	10	2	40	ď	1-1		
Manitoba Winnipag and vicinity	28	80	22	91	1		60			1	) <del></del>			
New Brunswick			•				60						Ħ	
Ontario Niemer Palle	16	8	*88	28	12	2	14	10	12	37	2	\$	$\overrightarrow{\parallel}$	
Note the second				₩,		1					67	-	-	
Toronto	9		1	1		1		-	1					
			2	- 6	-	Ī	Ī		-		**	I	10	
Quebec	2 <u>1</u> 25	%∞	<b>%</b> 3	82	4160	က	-2	20	11	œ	=*	<b>-</b>	69	
	•	4	œ			-		~			•	ī		
		-		<u>-</u>			-		•			·	-	

Saskatchewan Moose Jaw Regina Saskatcon	22-67	77	8 64	22	$\frac{1}{111}$	21 F	#			*	-		
		2000	- 4.25°	28.51	_2g~	<u>라</u>	85 <del></del>	84	28.64	3 19	928	<b>18</b> 6	
Chetoo. Focchow.  CHORG Kong.	마마器	319 153	P 307	P 180	H 82	P##	ងខ	Ш.		<u> </u>		00 4	
Manchuria— Changshun Fushun			S 60 HG	61	5	ř	3					•	
	0000	7		<b></b>				-	98	64		80	
	ဗင္ဂ	ងន	.— 88 ::	==				Ц	<u>                                     </u>				
	3 8	g ©	8 %	#ONP	H256	201-	ဝဗက	>∞4P1	- gad	22 A	81	œ :	
Colombia. Cartagena Curaco (alastrim) Dominican Republic.	7			7	Α	Δ,	Δ,		<u> </u>				
	90	r-«	· 8°	-04	-101	40-	87-	-		, mm			
	10000 124	- II.	84	844	-	4 69	1	-					
							#	81 81	<b>م</b> ر	9 <del>4</del> 57	<b>4</b> 0		
	POO			0.61									
	50	88	88	8.0				-6					
Medan	DO B	0.0	2 2 9		87	77	7-		1				

51329°--29---4

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

SMALLPOX-Continued

		( )	(	- 1 5	,									
	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.				:	W 66	Week ended	1			
Рјасе	Pec. 15.	1928- Jan.	Feb. 9.	10- Mar. 9.	Me	March, 1929	e,		April, 1929	1929		Ma	May, 1929	
	1928	12, 1929	1929	1920	16	8	8	9	13	8	72	7	п	82
Ecuador (see table below).		1			Ī									
				<del></del>		Ì				-				
Port Said.	-	-	-	-		Ì	Ì	Ī		-	7	-	Ī	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1									•				
Great Britain: England and Wales	612	733	<b>68</b>	1,083	272	88	323	23	339	374	358	25	12	
	1		1	64		63								
Dristol	-	T			-		Ì	-	-					
Castleford	• 00 -	18	. æ.	8	13	11	17		110	14	<b>1</b> 0	7		
Leeds	- 67	-	9 co P	9	-	679	63		-	1	1			
London	14	36	-46	20	=	23	61,	72;	83	9	88	29	8	
London and Great TownsD		-	\$5 \$3	420	300	131	183	151	8-	200	3	3-	37	
0 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	600		9-	9	646			71	-			8	-	
Stoke-on-Trent.	°=	o 41	7.71	18	14	88	8	8	42	8	Z	\$		
	,									-	-			
Dundee. Glassow	-							1	12	9	-		1	
		87	158	8		78	S	S	8	8	~	•	8	
Tudia	140	7.877	12.53	48	4 205	310	122	ដ	91	7	2	2	22	
		2, 143	3,045	 	23:	8	955		Ş	i it	1	•		
	4	52	5 <b>6</b>	188	38	25	នន	84	<b>4</b>	೫	34	85		
Calcutta C		20	28	<u>\$</u> 8	84	82	222	82	88	<b>%</b> 2	82	87 P		

Karachi		9				88	_	_	8	×	-	
		a				22			32	25		
		80				8 -		8-	28*	320		
	87	-100		40		-	1		, eo eo	00 00		
Rangoon		-			Ĺ	4	1	2				
		6	-00	Θ π <u>c</u>	64 0			Ш	;			
India (Franch):  Karitan						964		) 	=   -	# 60	<del>                                      </del>	
/ Province	<b>3</b>	76	92	- e		8	20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21					
Indo-China (see also table below): Prounpenh		<b>2</b> 8	2 2	61 6	14	8 £			= 4	•	,	
	8	882	:g=	282		12	<b>b</b> • • • • •	100	<b>4</b>	-100	<u>; ;</u>	
	<u> </u>	e 8	- ;									
	***	88=	- e	102	•			7 6		89 6	H	
		-2	28		•	1-1	1			101	<u>; ;</u>	
		<b>38</b>	a	18								
		222	8	48								
	-	88	17	*								
	<u>!</u>	10	15								H	
Rome and vicinity.	0	<u>:</u>	67								$\dagger$	
		-						· ·				
Kingston (alastrim)	1							1		Ħ	+	
KObe Nagasaki	<u> </u>		80				+		İ	†	i	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25		6	-							H	
Shimane Province. Macao		3	77	75	69	27	σ	•	00	i e	•	
		,			•			; -	•	•		

1404

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

SMALLPOX—Continued

2	O indicates cases; D, deatus, r, present	cases, D,	destus,	r, prese	inn									
	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.					Week ended-	-pepu				
Place	45 5.	1928- Jan.	Feb.	10- Mar. 9,	Ma	March, 1929	Q.		April, 1929	1920		Z	May, 1920	
	1928	1929	1020	1828	16	ន	8	•	13	8	27	7	Ħ	82
	2	H	∞ ,	æ		63	Δı	10	п	•		8		
Chihuahua. D Jalisco (State): Guadalajara. D Juare D	4	-140	- 02 -	∞ <b>-</b> -	60	63	*	7	60	1				
Mexico City and surrounding territory————————————————————————————————————		-	67	67	-					Ъ				
Tanonico Tampico Vera Cruz				•		C4 C4								
Morocco (see table below). Nicaragua: Managua									<u>A</u>					
			162		-									
			8				1		ca					
Panama Canal Zone	9	-	4.				24		6		*			
Portugal (see also table below).		CI	-4		m		-		CN	-	. 64	-		
	œ	21	**	*			1			1		47		
	7			116								9		
Spain: Valence Spain: Singapore C Straits Scilements: Singapore C Sudan (Anglo-Egyptian).	83	491	28.5	3.08	80	* S	15	156	127	138	12	901	162	26
	_	20	\$	\$	•	•	-	2	=	•	-	•	-	3

Sudan (French) (see table below). Swedon: Stockholm. Syria (see table below). Tunkia: Tunkia: Union of South Africa: Cape Province Natal. Iransaal Upper Volta On yessel: S. S. Assyria at Suez from Bombay. S. S. Lougo Venice at Suez. Egypt. S. S. Lopez. Copes, at Suez. S. S. Mancar at Suez. from Calcutta. S. Mancar at Suez. from Calcutta. S. Mancar at Suez. from Calcutta. Tantalus (motor ship), at Amsterdam. S. S. Tecenia at Glesgow, from Bombay.			0 0 0000 0000000		п о д	4 -		n2 44 0			ie in			ea				
			o'N's	Å		Janual	January, 1929		Feb	February, 1929	828	¥	March, 1929	- 88	┨	April, 1929	1929	1
Piace			ber, 1928	1928	1-10	<u> </u>	11-20	21-31	1-10	11-20	21-28	1-10	11-20	21-31	1-10	0 11-20	<u> </u>	21-30
Indo-China (see also table above)Ivory Const		00	144	243		7.	130	107	128	236			8	361		8	<u> </u> 	351
Senogal Sudap (French) Syris: Beirut		ODODO	63		1 60				88 21 8	24	, m	17	& සන සිය ට	4	5 : 40	2 10 2	9 91	
Place	No- vem- ber, 1928	De- cem- ber, 1928	Janu- ary, 1929	Feb- ru- ary, 1929	March, 1929	April, 1929				Place			No- vem- ber, 1928	Der.	Janu- ary, 1929	Feb- ru- ary, 1929	March, 1929	April, 1929
Angola. Brazil: Porto Alegre	37	31	1 12 12	-4	22 23	1	France. Greece Morocco Persia Turkey.	France Greece Morocco Persia. Turkey.					0000000	119	0 2 - 1 - 2 5 5 0	231133	10 00 00 10 CH	

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

# TYPHUS FEVER

											۱	İ					ı
	;	Dec							We	Week ended—	Î			,			1
Place	N 4 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5.25 H 2.	Jan. 13- Feb. 9, 1929	February, 1929	Bry,		Marc	March, 1929			₹	April, 1929	8		May, 1929	826	i i
	3	920	•	55	ន	~	•	92	83	8	9	13	20 27	#	11	18	1
								-	<u> </u>			-	•				l
			67	67	ii		=	ъPI	167		+	-	-	_		<u> </u>	::
Oran. Bulgaria	6	2	-10	69	Ħ	91	72	-	189	101	10	10	7 2	-			::
			-				23	-		:	-	-	-	+	-		: :
Chile: Valparaiso	1				Ħ			H	H	+	H	+	-	+		H	:
1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			Cq	-	-	-	-	-	_	_ <u>;</u>	-	-	-	-	-	4	;
Hone Kone			c4		Ť	Ť	╬	÷	╁	+	+	÷			- -		! !
	٠		·			<u> </u>		_	-	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u> 				_	). !
Kwantung	7					<del> </del>	-				H				H		1:
Chosen (see table below). Czechoslovakia (see table below).																	
							-		_	_	_	_		_		_	į
Assouan Province.				٥, د	İ	İ	+	÷	+	÷	÷	$\frac{1}{1}$	+	÷	+	+	:
				9 60	7	$\dagger \dagger$		H		<u> </u>	150	8		H	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	35.9
Dagahliya Province.	15	П		ÌÌ	Ħ	Ħ		22		T	-	<u>                                     </u>		H	#	+	9 ;
Gharbieh	7	7	1		87	Ħ		H		H	∺	-		H	$\frac{11}{11}$	H	::
Menouffeh Province				T	-	Ħ	Ħ	H	H		$\frac{11}{11}$	$\frac{1}{11}$	8	$\frac{11}{11}$	$\frac{11}{11}$	₩	: :-
Greece (des table below). Ireland (Irish Free State).											_	<u>                                       </u>	<u>                                       </u>	<u> </u>			4
Cayan County—Carrickmacross					İ	1								+	_		: :
									-	-	+	-	-	_			i

Dublin			C			-			_	_		-		-	_					
Kerry County— Dingle			i :	Ti		1				$\frac{1}{1}$	63	+		+						
Killarney Lithuania (see table below). Mexico (see also table below):			<u> </u>	<u> </u>						-	+	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	:	8	-				
Agussaneines Arliuahus Maxio City, including municipalities in Federal Dis-	oderal		<u>:</u>		1		<u>  </u>			i	m	$\frac{1}{1}$	N .	+	$\frac{11}{11}$	#	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
			OAG	12	<b>∞</b> ≈,	= e		0	-	7 H	7	-	63	11	3	-				
Morocco Palactina			100	190	- <del>4.</del> c	8"	9	9°	-	<del>!</del>	1	<u>:</u> 	90	9	<u> </u>  -	80	8 12		<u> </u>	
Peru (see table below). Poland			<u>ت</u> د	117	' g	222		2 20	47	215	16	12	<u>:                                    </u>				-			_
Portugal: Oporto.			AUG	=-9	9	15		63	4	9	20	~	;		63	8				
Tunisia			שמ	27 4	11	ន្ទនេះ		727	5 œ	100	3-6	2 %	200	<u>;;</u>	-	1 10	1 10	<u>     </u>	⇊	
Turkey (see table below). Union of South Africa:				•		<b>,</b>			!	:	<u>:</u> •	<del></del>	<u> </u>	•				<u> </u>		
Cape Province Natal			00	ተር	다 63	А		P1 62	Pι	P4		<u>.</u>	Α :	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Orango Free State. Transvaal Yugoslavia (see table below).			<u>;</u>	ы	A A		М	ρ,				#	$\frac{1}{11}$	<u>.</u>	<u>                                      </u>	#		-		
			-	-  -			_  -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Place	No- vem- ber, 1928	De- Der, 1923	Janu- ary, 1929	Feb- ru- ary, 1929	March, 1929	h, April,				Place	8			No.	- Der 1928,	Janu- ary, 1929	Feb- ru- ary, 1929	March, 1929	April, 1929	_
Chosen: Chemulpo Seoul Czechoslovakia.	1	8	4.0				LAK E	Lithuania. Mexico: Sonora (see also table above) Peru	onora (s	see also	table a	bove).	DAAD	4 6		32	200	62	101	
Greece: Athens	4		13			<del>                                      </del>	H H	Turkey Yugoslavia.					POPC	11	61 .	15	n – E 64	1 4	<u> </u>	
				-	-	-	-	-						-	-				-	

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER-Continued

## YELLOW PEVER

								ŀ	W 86	Week ended-	1					
Place	N 45.	Dec. 16, 1928- 12,	로 다 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아 아	February, 1929	ery,		Marc	March, 1929		ļ	Αp	April, 1929			May, 1929	8
<b>7.</b>		8781	700	16	ន		•	97	- R	•	- 13	8	2	•	Ξ.	82
Belgian Congo: Tumbs		61							<u> </u> 				-			
		-			$\frac{1}{11}$	12:	$\dagger\dagger$	$\frac{11}{11}$	1			<del>  </del>			Щ	
Para. D. Dernambino.		2	-				$^{+}$			$\frac{1}{11}$	107	#	<u>  </u>	<u>  </u>	$\coprod$	<u> </u>
Rio de Janeiro I	61	64 64	176	ಜ್ಞ	1°	181	55		28	38	328	222	88	148	<b>%</b> ≅	ង=
Dabomey: Ouldah Military Camp			-													
Liberia: Monrovia.	67				Ħ	40	100		60		60.	12	₩	$\coprod$	Ш	Ш
On vessel: S. 8. Victoria, at Manaos, from Para, Brazil D			N			*	N			N		$\frac{1}{1}$				
1 20 cases of yellow fever with 14 deaths were reported at Rio de Janeiro during January, 1929, mostly suburban.	at Rio	le Janeiro	during	Januar	y, 1929,	mostly	anqns	den.	-	Imported	red.	-	- Susp	Suspected cases		