PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 41

NO. 45

A CASE OF TYPHUS-LIKE FEVER FOLLOWING TICK BITE

By R. R. SPENCER, Surgeon, United States Public Health Service

Following is the report of a case of typhus-like fever following a tick bite, investigated by the writer, who was detailed for the purpose by the United States Public Health Service on August 16, 1926:

S. P., age 35, wife of a butcher in Norfolk, Va., was bitten on the inner left thigh, the left buttock, and the lower right abdomen by a tick which came from a calf hide shipped from North Carolina or Virginia.

At each point of the tick bite, swelling, redness, and a small ulcer developed. The tick was destroyed. Similar ticks had often been noticed by the butcher and his family; and occasionally a tick had bitten one of them, but never before had any illness followed.

On July 15, 10 days after the tick bite, the patient had a chill, fever, severe headache, and muscular and joint pains all over the body. Two days later, fever and prostration being marked, she called her physician, and on the 20th was removed to a hospital. On the same day, the attending physician noted a faint, pinkish rash over the chest and abdomen. The rash faded and reappeared on Sunday, July 25, becoming more definite and purplish and at that time covering all parts of the body except the face. Upon admission the patient showed extreme prostration and registered a temperature of 105° F.

Physical examination.—(July 28) the patient was a stout woman. The face was flushed, the conjunctivae were congested and watery, the expression was anxious, and the mental condition dull. Questions were answered slowly; mental concentration was obviously difficult. The tongue was very dry and coated. There were no nits or evidence of lice in the head or clothing. Lice had been carefully looked for upon admission.

Over the chest, back, and extremities, including palms and soles, there was a fine petechial rash appearing in discrete, irregular macules, some of which would disappear upon pressure. The majority were distinctly hemorrhagic in type and did not disappear upon pressure.

The heart and lungs were apparently normal.

The abdomen was large and flabby and a distinct movable mass could be felt in the lower right quadrant. There was no indication that this mass was in any way connected with the present illness.

The spleen was not readily palpable because of the excessive abdominal fat.

14211°-26†-1 (2523)

The inguinal glands on both sides were palpable and slightly tender. Small healing ulcers were still present on the lower right abdomen, left inner thigh, and left buttock, at the points of the tick bite.

Laboratory findings.—Urine examinations of catherized specimens, made on July 21, 24, and 26, showed some albumin, fine granular casts, and a few pus cells.

The blood picture on July 20 showed white blood cells 5,600; a normal differential count, and smears were negative for blood parasites.

On July 21, the Widal was negative and a blood culture was negative.

On July 22, stool was negative for parasites.

On July 24, white blood cells, 8,800; small mononuclears 10 per cent; large mononuclears 10 per cent; polymorphonuclears 89 per cent. Blood serum taken from the patient on July 25 and 28 and August 6 did not agglutinate *B. proteus* X_{19} or *B. tularense*. The sample taken on July 28 also gave negative agglutination for *B. eberthi* and *B. abortus*.

On July 28, the 13th day of fever, six guinea pigs were injected intraperitoneally with whole citrated blood of the patient, each animal receiving 2 c. c. Only one of these guinea pigs developed fever, which began on the 13th day after injection. Transfer of blood from this pig to other guinea pigs and to a monkey were made with entirely negative results.

The patient's fever continued for three weeks. There was no definite crisis; convalescence was slow and there were no sequelae.

Discussion.—The clinical aspects of this case, in nearly every detail, suggested typhus fever, although it was not supported by the laboratory findings. Failure of the serum to agglutinate B. proteus X_{19} and the negative results of animal inoculation do not, however, exclude typhus fever.

Rocky Mountain spotted fever was considered, but the negative animal inoculations and the locality make such a diagnosis very doubtful.

Other ticks similar to the one that had bitten the patient were secured and proved to be *Amblyomma americanum*. This tick is an occasional parasite of man, but has never been implicated in the transmission of disease. The tick bites may have been coincidental; but because the bites caused local sores and glandular enlargement preceding the onset of fever, one can not ignore their possible significance.

The case is reported because of the association of the illness with tick bites, the consistently negative agglutination of B. proteus X_{19} , and the failure to reproduce the disease in laboratory animals.

SYMPOSIUM ON THE PREVENTION AND CURE OF CANCER

A symposium on cancer control was held at Lake Mohonk, N. Y., September 20-24, 1926, under the auspices of the American Society for the Control of Cancer. The purpose of the meeting was to consider the prevention and cure of cancer from a practical standpoint, to crystalize existing knowledge, and to express in concise language the fundamental ground work in fact and opinion upon which the collective effort now being made in the United States and other countries for the control of cancer should be continued and extended.

The symposium was attended by distinguished workers in the field of cancer control from both this country and Europe, and a fund of information and opinion was presented upon which the society will base its plans for the conduct of its work for many years to come.

Two resolutions were passed by the delegates. The first resolution pertained to the development of measures for continuation of the international effort to unify and disseminate knowledge regarding the control of cancer. The second was the adoption of statements of facts and opinions which should form the basis for campaigns against the disease. The text of this statement given below is taken from the Health News for October 18, 1926, published by the New York State Department of Health:

Although the present state of knowledge of cancer is not sufficient to permit of the formulation of such procedures for the suppression of this malady as have been successfully employed for the control of infectious diseases, there is enough well-established fact and sound working opinion concerning the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of cancer to save many lives, if this information is carried properly into effect.

1. The causation of cancer is not completely understood, but it may be accepted that, for all practical purposes, cancer is not to be looked upon as contagious or infectious.

2. Cancer itself is not hereditary, although a certain predisposition or susceptibility to cancer is apparently transmissible through inheritance. This does not signify that, because one's parent or parents or other members of the family have suffered from cancer, cancer will necessarily appear in other persons of the same or succeeding generation.

3. The control of cancer, so far as this subject can be understood at the present time, depends upon the employment of measures of personal hygiene and certain preventive and curative measures, the success of which depends upon the intelligent cooperation of the patient and physician.

4. Persons who have cancer must apply to competent physicians at a sufficiently early stage in the disease, in order to have a fair chance of cure. This applies to all forms of cancer. In some forms early treatment affords the only possibility of cure.

5. Cancer in some parts of the body can be discovered in a very early stage, and if these cases are treated properly the prospect for a permanent cure is good. 6. The cure of cancer depends upon discovering the growth before it has done irreparable injury to a vital part of the body and before it has spread to other parts. Therefore, efforts should be made to improve the methods of diagnosis in these various locations and the treatment of the cancers so discovered.

7. The public must be taught the earliest danger signals of cancer which can be recognized by persons without a special knowledge of the subject, and induced to seek competent medical attention when any of these indications are believed to be present.

8. Practitioners of medicine must keep abreast of the latest advances in the knowledge of cancer in order to diagnose as many as possible of the cases of cancer which come to them.

9. Surgeons and radiologists must make constant progress in the refined methods of technic which are necessary for the diagnosis and proper treatment not only of ordinary cases but of the more obscure and difficult ones.

10. There is much that medical men can do in the prevention of cancer, in the detection of early cases, in the referring of patients to institutions and physicians who can make the proper diagnosis and apply proper treatment, when the physicians themselves are unable to accomplish these results. The more efficient the family doctor is, the more ready he is to share responsibility with a specialist.

11. Dentists can help in the control of cancer by informing them? selves about the advances in the knowledge of the causes of cancer, especially with relation to the irritations produced by imperfect teeth and improperly fitting dental plates. They can also help by referring cases of cancer which they discover to physicians skilled in the treatment of cancer in this location. It may be doubted whether all dentists fully realize the help which can be obtained from X-ray photographs in revealing not only the state of the teeth but the condition of the bone surrounding them.

12. Medical students should be instructed in cancer by the aid of actual demonstrations of cancer patients, and this to a sufficient extent to give them a good working knowledge of the subject.

13. The most reliable forms of treatment, and, in fact, the only ones thus far justified by experience and observation, depend upon surgery, radium, and X rays.

14. Emphasis should be placed upon the value of the dissemination of the definite, useful, and practical knowledge about cancer, and this knowledge should not be confused nor hidden by what is merely theoretical and experimental.

15. Efforts toward the control of cancer should be made in two principal directions: (1) The promotion of research in order to increase the existing knowledge of the subject, and (2) the practical employment of the information which is at hand. Even with our present knowledge many lives could be saved which are sacrificed by unnecessary delay.

At a dinner to the foreign guests held in New York City at the end of the symposium, Dr. William H. Welch, director of the Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, said:

"The great note struck at the Mohonk symposium was the tremendous importance of the cancer question and the appalling problems which it presents. There was never a time when tuberculosis presented problems of such magnitude. * * * There is no disease to which larger additions to our knowledge have been made than cancer, but because this knowledge does not reach the public which we are most anxious to reach, this seems trivial."

In a recent issue of Campaign Notes of the American Society for the Control of Cancer, the following statements appeared:

Few people realize the seriousness of the cancer problem. According to recent statistics issued by the United States Census Bureau, 1 in every 10 adults now living in the United States is destined to die of cancer. Between the ages of 45 and 65 one in every five deaths among women is due to this disease. Cancer is now a greater menace to adult life than tuberculosis, and its death rate is rapidly increasing.

Cancer is in many respects a unique disease. Against it no sanitary or public health measures have any effect. It is not affected by preventive measures such as are employed against infectious diseases. The upbuilding of the general bodily health and economic conditions are incapable of reducing its prevalence.

The only effective measures which now offer any promise are personal ones. People must learn the symptoms and apply to a competent physician upon the first suspicion of the presence of cancer. On their part physicians must give prompt and skillful attention to the patients who come to them. There must be a widespread campaign to teach the public what everyone should know about cancer, and a dissemination among medical practitioners of information that will help them in diagnosing and treating their cases.

Nothing less than the utmost authority and the most reliable opinion will suffice to meet the pessimistic attitude of many people who think that cancer is incurable, hereditary, and infectious. The strongest argument possible must be presented to convince the man in the street who is inclined to listen to the claims of quacks who hold out a prospect of cure, until the disease is too far advanced to make skillful help of any use.

MALARIA IN THE PRAIRIE RICE REGIONS OF LOUISIANA AND ARKANSAS

By M. A. BARBER, Special Expert; W. H. W. KOMP, Associate Sanitary Engineer; and T. B. HAYNE, Technical Assistant, United States Public Health Service

EXTENT AND METHOD OF CULTIVATION OF PRAIRIE RICE

The largest area devoted to the cultivation of prairie rice in the United States is found on that portion of the coastal plain extending approximately from Rayne, La., to Crosby, Tex. This area, a large part of which was originally prairie, offers the climate, level ground, relatively impermeable subsoil, and the supply of irrigation water necessary for rice growing. A similar area is found in eastern Arkansas. Of the 904,000 acres of rice grown in the United States in 1925, 450,000 were found in Louisiana and 174,000 in Arkansas.

The cultivation of prairie rice is a comparatively new industry in the United States. Louisiana had about 42,000 acres under cultivation in 1879, but the greater part of the development in rice culture has occurred since 1889. In Texas practically all of the industry has developed since 1889, and in Arkansas since 1905. With the growth of rice culture, much land formerly considered of little value except for grazing has been brought under cultivation; and this industry has greatly increased the population and wealth of certain parts of the prairie country of the southern United States.

Irrigation is almost universally employed in the cultivation of prairie rice. Water for irrigation is pumped from streams or from deep wells. In the Louisiana-Texas area nearly four-fifths of the irrigation water is taken from streams, while in Arkansas practically all of it comes from wells.

During a large part of the growing season the plants stand in shallow water. Levees prevent the water from running off and the impermeable subsoil keeps it from seeping away. The seed is sown in early May, and the water is usually turned into the fields during that month. Drainage for harvest is commonly done in September. The majority of the fields are thus kept under water during a period of three or four months in the year. Only one crop a year is harvested. As a rule the land is used for rice one year and lies fallow or is devoted to other crops during the following year.

The method of cultivation of prairie rice in Arkansas and Louisiana is, then, such as to greatly favor the production of *Anopheles*. Large areas are converted into shallow ponds and remain under water during the greater part of the warmer season of the year. The water is, for the most part, bright and clear, and is replenished continually with fresh supplies, so that there is no accumulation of products of decay which might pollute the water and render it unfit for anopheline larvæ. The fields are exposed to the sun except where the growing rice affords a partial shade, and algæ grow in abundance all over the fields. Food and aeration are thus abundantly provided. Grassi has well called rice fields the "Paradise of *Anopheles*."¹

INCIDENCE OF ANOPHELES IN THE PRAIRIE RICE DISTRICT

The number of larvæ per dip taken up by a dipping pan of standard size gives a rough but useful approximation of the incidence of anopheline larvæ in a breeding place. Two thousand seven hundred and eighty-five dips made by us in the rice fields during the years 1923, 1924, and 1925 gave a total of 2,985 anopheline larvæ, an average of 1.1 per dip. The dips were made with a pan measuring 5 by 9 inches at the top and $3\frac{3}{4}$ inches in depth. We estimated that each dip swept larvæ from an area averaging approximately 50

¹ For many of the statistical facts in relation to rice growing we are indebted to Mr. Charles E. Chambliss, Associate Agronomist in Charge, Rice Investigations, U.S. Department of Agriculture. See Farmers' Bulletins No. 1092, February 1920, and No. 1356, October, 1925, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

square inches. Many of the dips were made in more or less selected places, and the average incidence of larvæ was less than 1.1 per 50 square inch; our figures, however, indicate a large production since the breeding area is very great.

Larvæ are abundant in the midst of the fields as well as in the ditches inside and outside the dikes. More were found in partially open places than where the rice was thick and high. They appear early in the season; large collections were made in May. Sometimes good breeding was found in grassy, fallow fields partially flooded through some defect in the dikes.

Very large numbers of adult Anopheles are found in various resting places throughout the rice district. Stables, outhouses, schoolhouses, unscreened dwellings, hollow trees, and similar shelters often swarm with them. A single building may contain thousands of them, and surfaces are sometimes blackened by the large numbers of Anopheles roosting on them. We counted 304 A. quadrimaculatus resting on a board 12 by 13 inches. Le Prince (1) counted in one barrel at Stuttgart, Ark, 2,768 A. quadrimaculatus. The number of imagoes in the Arkansas fields was somewhat greater than in the fields of Louisiana.

SPECIES OF ANOPHELES FOUND IN THE RICE REGION

Table 1 shows that A. quadrimaculatus is the prevailing species found in the imago stage; nearly 97.5 per cent of the imagoes caught in the rice region of Arkansas belonged to that species. During the summer of 1920, in Arkansas, the proportion of A. crucians tended to increase as the season advanced. In June and September, 1925, we made collections in the same region, and, in addition, we surveyed many thousands of Anopheles in their resting places; not one A. crucians was seen. Geiger, Purdy, and Tarbett (2) caught 19,238 Anopheles near Lonoke, Ark., all of which proved to be A. quadrimaculatus. Comparing our findings in 1920, in which over 800 A. crucians were caught, with our findings of 1925 and with those of Geiger, Purdy, and Tarbett, it would appear that in the same general area considerable variation in the proportion of A. crucians may occur in different years.

In the Louisiana rice fields the proportion of A. crucians is high; nearly half of the imagoes we took in the adult stage were of that species. In June, 1917, Geiger, Purdy, and Bates (3) found in the Gueydan district of Louisiana imagoes of only A. crucians and A. punctipennis, the A. crucians being about twice as abundant as the A., punctipennis. A. quadrimaculatus was first found by them in the latter part of June, and by July 10 this species superseded all others.

								1.1.1								
	May June		Jı	July		August		Septem- ber		er er	All months					
Locality and year													Num	bers	Perce age in deno	nci-
	A. quad.	A. cruc.	A. quad.	A. cruc.	A. qued.	A. cruc.	A. quad.	A. cruc.	A. quad.	A. cruc.	A. quad.	A. cruc.	A. quad.	A. cruc.	A.quad.	A. cruc.
Arkansas, 1920 Arkansas, 1925			458	ö			15, 815	152	15, 695 799		407 	2 57	31, 917 1, 257		97. 5 100. 0	
Total Arkan- sas			458	0			15, 815	152	16, 494	401	407	257	33, 174	810	97.6	2.4
Louisiana, 1923 Louisiana, 1924	545	541	426 1, 796		1, 326 1, 838	545 1, 39 7						0	2, 796 8, 507			
Total Louis- iana	545	541	2, 222	2, 902	3, 164	1, 942	2, 369	2, 477	3, 002	589	1	0	11, 203	8, 451	57. 24	42.8
Grand total	545	541	2, 680	2, 902	3, 164	1, 942	18, 184	2, 629	19, 496	990	408	257	45, 477	9, 361	82.9	17.1

TABLE 1.—Anopheles caught in imago stage in rice fields

The high proportion of A. crucians in the Louisiana rice fields as compared with the Arkansas fields is striking. Breeding conditions in the rice fields of both regions are similar, except that a larger proportion of the Arkansas fields are irrigated by water from wells. The times of seeding and harvesting rice are nearly synchronous in the two States, and both States have an even, high summer temperature. In the Louisiana rice country the monthly mean temperatures for June, July, and August range from 79.9° to 82.8° F., and the annual mean temperature ranges from 67.4° to 69.1°. In Arkansas the rice region has an annual mean temperature of 61.5° (Chambliss).

It has been alleged that the hydrogen-ion concentration of Anopheles-breeding waters may be a factor in determining the prevalence of certain species. In the Louisiana rice fields we made 35 tests of the hydrogen-ion concentration in different years and at different seasons, and obtained a range of pH 6.0 to pH 8.6. Twenty-six of the 35 tests fell within the range of pH 7.8 to pH 8.2. A well supplying water for irrigation varied from pH 7.2 to pH 8.4, and water from an irrigation canal had a pH of 6.8.

In the Arkansas rice fields we found a range of pH 6.8 to pH 9.2. Of a total of 15 tests (all made in June and September, 1925), we found 12 within the range of pH 7.2 to pH 8.4. Eight tests of wells supplying water for irrigation gave the narrow range of pH 7.2 to pH 7.4.

The hydrogen-ion concentration in the rice fields shows, then, a considerable range, depending in part on the distribution of masses of algæ, which tend to increase the alkalinity of the water; but the range is so similar in the rice fields of the two States as to make it unlikely that the greater prevalence of A. crucians in Louisiana is

due to any quality of the hydrogen-ion concentration of the water in which the Anopheles breed.

In Table 2 is shown the number of Anopheles bred out from larvæ or pupæ collected during 1923 and 1924 in the rice fields of Louisiana. It is seen that more than twice as many A. crucians as A. quadrimaculatus were bred out. It is interesting to compare this proportion with that of the percentage of A. crucians caught in the imago stage, where this species comprised only 43 per cent of the adult Anopheles collected in the same region.

The discrepancy between these two percentages is not easy to explain. It is possible that the two species, A. quadrimaculatus and A. crucians, prefer different daytime resting places, and that in our collections of imagoes, made for the most part in barns housing animals at night, we did not always include the preferred resting places of A. crucians. A. quadrimaculatus often predominated in resting places immediately adjacent to rice fields where the larvæ and pupæ of A. crucians were by far the more common of the two species.

In addition to A. crucians and A. quadrimaculatus, a few A. walkeri were found. Two A. walkeri were bred out in the rice fields of Louisiana, and their imagoes were occasionally found in resting places near the rice fields. We found but few A. punctipennis as adults or larvæ. During the summer of 1920 we collected many thousands of A. quadrimaculatus in the rice region of Arkansas, but found only 10 A. punctipennis.

TABLE 2.—Anopheles bred	out from larvæ or	pupæ taken in ric	e fields of Louisiana
- -	in 1923 and	1934	

М	ay	Ju	ine	Ju	ıly	Aug	rust	Septe	September		ober		All months					
	quad.		quad.	erue.	quad.	ġ	quad.	4	erue. quad.		rg l		quad.	A. cr	uc.	А.	A. quad.	
A. cruc.	A. qu	A. cruc.	A. qu	A. cr	np .A	A. cruc.	A. qu	A. cruc.	A. qu	A. eruc.	A. qu	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent			
81	50	578	303	298	194	196	32	407	129	9	1	1, 569	68.8	709	31, 1			

Culicine larvæ were plentiful in the rice fields and their imagoes often constituted a pest, especially in the Arkansas region. In the Louisiana and Arkansas regions, while the rice is being flooded, common species are *Psorophora columbiae* Dyar and Knab, and *Psorophora discolor* Coq., together with *Psorophora ciliata* Fab. Later, as grass and algæ increase in the rice fields, *Culex (Neoculex) testaceus* (=territans) Van der Wulp and *Culex (Choeroporpa) leprincei* Dyar and Knab are also found commonly with the larvæ of *Anopheles*.

THE MALARIA PARASITE AND SPLEEN INDEX IN THE PRAIRIE RICE REGIONS

Geiger, Purdy, and Bates (3) surveyed a population at Lonoke, Ark., during the month of January and found only 0.5 per cent infected with malaria parasites among 1,965 persons examined. In May they found in the same district 1.6 per cent infected among 2,162 examined. Children 1–10 years of age gave zero per cent in January and 1.9 in May. In the Gueydan district of Louisiana they made a survey in April and found but 1.1 per cent infected among 417 persons examined. Children 1–10 years old gave an index of 1.8 per cent. They found a malaria history rate of 29 per cent in the Lonoke population and exactly the same rate in the Gueydan group.

In our survey of the Louisiana rice region made some six years later than that of Geiger, Purdy, and Bates, we also found very low parasite rates. Our results for school children are shown in Table 3, which compares malaria indices of the rice country with those in surrounding regions not near rice fields.

	Number of schools	Number of school examina- tions	Number children examined	Per cent positives
Group I. Schools in the immediate vicinity of rice fields: White Colored	10 6	11 14	399 364	1. 8 3. 8
Total	16	25	763	2.8
Group II. Schools not in the vicinity of rice fields: White Colored		16 20	499 568	2.8 5.1
Total	25	36	1, 067	4.0
Grand total, groups I and II	41	61	1, 830	3. 5

TABLE 3.—Parasite indices in Louisiana, 1923–1924 (school children)

Schools and school "examinations" are distinguished in Table 3, because in some cases a school was examined more than once, either in different years or in the spring and autumn of the same year. There are fewer repetitions of the examination of the same child than would be indicated by the ratio of schools to school examinations because there were frequent changes in the personnel of the schools. Practically all schools were rural, or situated in small towns So far as we made any selection of schools for examination it was in the direction of those in the more malarious districts.

Table 3 shows clearly that the malaria parasite rate among school children of the Louisiana rice district is low, and somewhat lower than in schools in the surrounding country, where cane and cotton are the principal crops. Of the 61 school examinations made, about one-half gave no positives at all—the proportion of negative school examinations being about the same in and out of the rice region.

Colored children gave higher indices than the white, and the autumn index was higher than that of the spring. Of 1,113 children of both races examined during the spring term, from January to May, inclusive, 3.0 per cent were found positive. Of 717 examined during the autumn term, all during September, October, and November, 4.1 per cent were found positive.

In addition to the school work, we determined the malaria parasite index of certain Louisiana plantations, lumber camps, and neighborhoods. Within the rice region, 4 of such surveys gave 3.2 per cent positive among 123 persons examined. Outside of the rice region, 15 surveys gave 6.8 per cent positive among 557 persons examined.

We also examined many blood specimens for the physicians of Crowley, La. During 1923 we obtained 88 positive specimens through this source, and in 1924 only 22. The percentage of positives among such examinations is of little significance because we dealt with a highly selected group; the fact that we found so few positives is noteworthy, however, since several physicians cooperated with us during the entire malaria season of two years.

In April, 1923, 190 spleen examinations were made of school children in Louisiana by Kenneth F. Maxcy, Passed Assistant Surgeon, United States Public Health Service. Only 3.6 per cent showed enlarged spleens. Examinations were made of the child in the standing position. Forty-two of the children examined were found in a school (Gueydan) located in the immediate vicinity of rice fields; all were negative. The remainder came from localities more or less remote from rice fields.

				1922		1923		1924		1925		Total, all years	
School	Color	No. ex- am- ined	Per cent posi- tive										
1 2 3	Gollman Stužey Shannon	White do do	30 29 25	6.7 0.0 4.0	27 29 25	3.7 0.0 4.0	18 27 25	0.0 3.7 0.0	17 30 24	5.9 0.0 0.0	92 115 99	4.4 0.9 2.0	
45	Total, Nos. 1, 2, and 3. Stahley Sunshine	White	84	3.6	81 18 20	2.5 5.6 0.0	70 25	1. 4 16. 0	71 4 21	1.4 0.0 9.5	303 22 66	2.3 4.5 9.1	
	Total group I.		84	3.6	119	2.5	95	5.3	93	3.1	394	3.6	
	Gl	ROUP II. S	снос	DLS N	OT IS	I RIC	E FII	ELDS					
6 7 8	Caseo Gill Alcorn	Colored White do	22	22.7	48 36 42	31. 3 16. 6 9. 5	48 21 42	2. 1 4. 8 2. 4	19	0. 0	118 76 84	17.8 9.2 6.0	
	Total group II.		22	22.7	125	19.8	111	2.7	19	0.0	278	11.9	

TABLE 4.—Malaria parasite rate in certain rural schools in Arkansas GROUP I. SCHOOLS IN THE MIDST OF RICE FIELDS

With but few exceptions, our surveys of the Arkansas schools were made in September, October and November, months in which the malaria parasite rate is highest. Table 4 shows definitely that the parasite rate of certain rural schools in the rice fields is low, and generally lower than that outside the rice region or at its borders. Especially remarkable is the low rate for schools Nos. 1, 2, and 3, surveyed during four successive years, in every case in September, October, or November. The year rate of each of these three schools, as well as the aggregate for the four-year period, is low. Stukey school showed but one positive during the whole period. Spleen examinations were made of the boys of these three schools during the autumn of 1923 and 1924 by C. P. Coogle, Acting Assistant Surgeon, United States Public Health Service. In the aggregate only 5.3 per cent of a total of 76 examined showed enlarged spleens.

Schools Nos. 1, 2, and 3 are situated in the very midst of the rice fields. The children, all of the white race, live in houses for the most part good and situated in prosperous neighborhoods. The population of the neighborhoods in which these schools are situated is relatively constant, showing less of the shifting so common among farmers of the renter class.

A group of town schools gave the following malaria parasite indices: 4.7 per cent positive among 86 colored children in two schools in the rice country; 11.6 per cent positive among 86 colored children in two schools at the edge of the rice country; 8.3 per cent postive among 169 white children in two schools also at the edge of the rice country; 0 per cent positive among 27 white children of a school not in the rice region.

Certain house to house neighborhood surveys made in the Arkansas region may be compared with those of the schools. We made these also in the summer and autumn, and in some of them we selected persons more likely to be infected. The results are given in Table 5.

Locality	Color	Num- ber ex- amined	Per cent positive	Locality	Color	Num- ber ex- amined	Per cent positive
In rice region Do	White Colored	54 112	1.8 8.0	Not in rice region Do	White Colored	34 100	8.8 11.0
Total, white and colored.		166	6.0	Total, white and colored.		134	10. 4

TABLE 5.—Neighborhood surveys, Arkansas—Malaria parasite rate

During portions of the summers of 1920 and 1922 we examined blood specimens for the physicians of Stuttgart, Ark. Most of the patients came from the rice region or the country immediately adjoining it. One hundred and one examinations gave 18 positives. This group, of course, was a highly selected one. In sum, it is evident that malaria is present in the rice regions of both Louisiana and Arkansas, but that the rate is low, rather lower than in certain regions situated outside of the rice regions but in the same general neighborhood. Very low rates persist from year to year in certain neighborhoods situated in the midst of the rice fields where *Anopheles* swarm. The amount of malaria within the rice regions is doubtless increased by the presence of more highly infected communities at their borders.

In all blood examinations the slides were examined by one of us (Barber) personally, with the exception of the 1923 examination of the Arkansas schools, which was done by the United States Public Health Service laboratory at Memphis, under the direction of Dr. William Krauss. Thick films were employed in all specimens examined by ourselves.

THE TYPE OF MALARIA PARASITE FOUND IN THE RICE REGION OF LOUISIANA

The type of parasite found in the rice region of Louisiana is shown in Table 6, where data are arranged by months. We made but few examinations in the months of December and January, and obtained no positives during these months. One mixed case was found, but is not included in the table.

TABLE 6.—Positive cases of malaria found in Louisiana, arranged by months and type of parasite

Month	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	All months
Number of positives	6	4	4	16	10	10	23	27	22	11	133
Per cent of E-A	83. 3	100. 0	25. 0	12. 5	10. 0	0	21. 7	25. 9	54. 5	63. 6	3 3 . 1

The number of cases shown in Table 6 is small, but sufficiently large to indicate clearly that the percentage of estivo-autumnal malaria falls to a minimum in June and July; then it rises rapidly, reaching its maximum in the late autumn and winter and in the early spring months. Barber and Mayne (4) have shown that a very similar curve of yearly incidence of the types of malaria parasite occurs generally in the Southern States, except that in the general series the minimum of the estivo-autumnal type occurs earlier in the season—April or May.

In the Arkansas rice region our surveys were made in late summer or in the autumn, when a high estivo-autumnal proportion would be expected. Of 46 positives obtained in the midst of the rice region, 50 per cent proved to be estivo-autumnal; of 76 obtained outside or at the borders of the rice country, 52.6 per cent were estivo-autumnal. In both groups positives obtained from negro cases showed a higher percentage of estivo-autumnal than those from white. Of the total number of estivo-autumnal cases, about 39 per cent had crescents.

It is stated that, with the decrease of malaria in the rice region of northern Italy, the estivo-autumnal type of parasite has become relatively rare (5). In our rice districts any amelioration in the number or severity of cases seems to have come about independently of any change in the type of parasite.

The effect on the malaria rate of the introduction of rice cultivation is hard to estimate since we lack trustworthy malaria surveys of the prairie regions prior to the beginning of rice culture. The evidence of physicians in both regions is almost unanimous to the effect that there has been a decided reduction within the last 15 or 20 years in both the amount and the severity of the disease. Blackwater fever and the more severe types of "swamp fever" have become almost extinct. Dr. H. L. Gardiner, health officer of Acadia Parish, a county situated in the midst of the Louisiana rice district. informed us that, during a tenure of office of six years, 1919 to 1924. inclusive, he had not signed a single death certificate for malaria. A comparison of the parasite rates obtained by Geiger, Purdy, and Bates in 1917-18 with the rates obtained by us six years later indicate little more than the absence of a striking change in malaria during that period. In schools Nos. 1, 2, and 3, Table 4, one might deduce evidence of decrease in malaria, but the numbers are too small to show any significant change.

THE RELATION OF RICE CULTIVATION AND MALARIA IN OTHER LOCALITIES

A relative immunity from malaria in the presence of numerous *Anopheles* bred in rice fields is not without parallel in this and other countries.

Herms (6) states that rice culture as practiced in California is responsible for vast hordes of *Anopheles*, but thus far there has been little or no increase in malaria as a consequence. Lenert (7) states that the more malarious portions of California are not found near the rice fields, but that there are few dwellings in their immediate vicinity.

Certain portions of northern Italy possibly furnish conditions more nearly parallel with those of our prairie rice fields—a temperate climate, intensive rice culture with irrigation, and the presence, within flight distance of dwellings, of abundant *Anopheles* known to be vectors of malaria. The present condition of malaria in that region is described by Giardina, Novelli, Alessandrini, and Sampietro (5), and may be summed up as follows: Malaria in the valley of the Po, formerly severe and widely diffused, has decreased with the improvement in agriculture and with bettered social and sanitary conditions of living. The reduction has been most marked within the last 30 years and is most pronounced where the cultivation of rice is most Benign tertian is the prevailing type of parasite found, and intense. severe cases of malaria are rare. Estivo-autumnal, when it occurs, tends to run a mild course. Together with a reduction in the malaria rate, there has been a decrease in the death rate from all causes. In some localities of the rice regions the introduction of numerous cases infected during the World War caused an increase in the malaria rate. But the rise was transient and the rate soon returned to normal. Apparently the "soil" had become unfavorable to the spread of the disease. Anopheles are present in enormous numbers. The anopheline species represented are A. maculipennis, one of the chief malaria vectors of Europe, about 88-90 per cent; A. superpictus, about 7-10 per cent; A. pseudopictus, 2-3 per cent; A. bifurcatus, rare.

Eugling (8) compares three villages in Macedonia, one in the swamps, one in a rice region, and one $1\frac{1}{2}$ kilometers from rice fields. The village in the swamp region shows the highest children's death rate, the other two showing a rate hardly one-half as great as that of the swamp dwellers. Spleen, parasite, and anemia rates were high in all three. The rice region was more favorable to the production of *Anopheles* than the swamps. The author is of the opinion that the cultivation of rice has diminished malaria. With better economic conditions the people have better houses, better food, and better medical treatment, and are able to buy more quinine.

Nocht (9), in describing observations made in southern Europe during a recent journey, states that in Bulgaria malaria is no worse in rice-growing districts than in the truck-growing regions. In certain regions of Spain where rice cultivation is of ancient date and the inhabitants have become prosperous, malaria is insignificant in spite of abundant *Anopheles*; while in newly opened rice regions, where the people still have to contend with economic hardships, malaria is far more troublesome.

Certain rice-growing districts in the Orient also enjoy a relative freedom from malaria, but the species of Anopheles bred in them may not always be the most efficient vectors of malaria. Watson (10) states that on the coastal plains of Assam, where the Anopheles are A. rossi, A. sinensis, A. kochi, and A. barbirostris, the rice regions are generally healthful, whereas in the valleys where A. minimus and A. maculatus are also produced, they are generally unhealthful. In certain regions of the Philippines, where the anopheline species are chiefly A. rossi, A. sinensis, and A. barbirostris, Barber, Raquel, Guzman, and Rosa (11) found both spleen and parasite rates almost nil. Some rice regions of the Malay States also show a relatively low parasite rate. Walch (12), however, has described an epidemic of malaria in the rice fields of Java caused by A. sinensis. The A. sinensis concerned in this epidemic was of the variety vanus, which may be a better vector than the type form.

In Bengal, Bentley (14) describes an instance in which the decline in the irrigated culture of rice and jute has brought about a decrease in population and an increase in the malaria rate. The building of embankments has prevented the annual inundations and the deposition of soil-enriching silt and has increased the swamp area. The formation of swamps and of other waters lacking silt has increased the production of *Anopheles* also, so that conditions here are not exactly parallel with those of most rice regions, where mosquito production is usually augmented by irrigation. But the impoverishment of the people through the decline in agriculture has doubtless been one factor in the increase in malaria.

Kendrick (15) made a survey of 30,000 children in the riceproducing area of the Central Provinces of India. In the open plains country he found an average spleen rate of only 4.3 per cent among 12,384 children. Areas with jungle and hill in excess and close to villages gave an average spleen rate of 70.5 per cent among 8,971 children. An intermediate area comprising the edges of open plains near forest, jungle, or hill gave an average rate of 24.1 among 9,075 children. Malaria, then, was not associated with the intensive cultivation of rice, but with certain topographical features. The commonest *Anopheles* is *A. fuliginosus;* the next most common species, *A. culicifacies*, was considered by the author as the main carrier, since it corresponded in distribution with the malarial areas, and was the only one which showed sporozoites in the salivary glands.

In other parts of India (5) the introduction of rice cultivation is said to have rendered a once salubrious region intensely malarious.

In the United States rice was extensively cultivated along the Atlantic coast before the Civil War. According to Carter (16). malaria was formerly intense there and was commonly attributed to the "miasm" arising from the rice fields. Gamble (17) states that in 1817 the city of Savannah took measures to abandon the wet culture of rice in the vicinity of the city. A report of a special committee of the council, in recommending such legislation, stated that previous to the introduction of wet culture the city had enjoyed a relative immunity from autumnal diseases. Dry culture was introduced and it was claimed that this measure reduced the proportion of persons dying from "autumnal diseases" from 1 in 11 of population during the first period of three years of wet culture to 1 in 35 during the second period of three years under dry culture. Apparently diseases other than malaria were included under "autumnal fevers."

In Italy also malaria was formerly so intense in the rice regions that legislation was introduced to limit rice cultivation to certain districts (5).

BIOLOGY OF ANOPHELES IN THE RICE REGION

Provided an efficient vector of malaria is bred in sufficient numbers, it would seem that the mere introduction of rice culture can not be expected to reduce the malaria rate unless there is a concomitant betterment of the social and hygienic status of the people. It has been suggested, however, that certain biological factors having to do with the mosquito carrier may have contributed to the decrease in malaria. Some of these factors will be considered.

Alessandrini (5) suggests the hypothesis that a mosquito species, normally an efficient vector of malaria parasites, may become less susceptible to infection through the favorable conditions under which the larvæ develop in the rice fields. He compared a series of larvæ bred in the rice fields with those bred in swamps, selecting only larvæ about to pupate, in order to get a comparable size, and found that those bred in the fields are, on the average, measurably larger. The more vigorous adults emerging from larvæ of the rice fields may, then, be less susceptible to malaria, following the analogy observed generally, that the weaker individuals are more susceptible to disease. Little experimental evidence has thus far been adduced by the author in support of his hypothesis.

In 1922, at Stuttgart, Ark., we attempted to determine by infection experiments whether mosquitoes bred in rice fields are susceptible to malaria infection. Our results are given in Table 7. The mosquitoes tested were all *A. quadrimaculatus*, collected in the imago stage from shelters in the midst of the rice region. They were taken at a time of drought when it was unlikely that any had been bred elsewhere than in the rice fields. All the human carriers on which the mosquitoes were fed harbored crescents; only that of lot No. 5 had, in addition, any benign tertian gametocytes. We have included in the table only those mosquitoes which were known to have taken blood from the carrier. They were fed but once on the carriers.

Lot No.	Carrier No.	Date of feeding, 1922	Number of cres- cents per 100 leu- cocytes	Number of mos- quitoes dissected	Per cent of mos- quitoes infected	A verage number of oöcysts per gut in positives	
1	1	Aug. 22	1	13	0.0	0.0	
2	1	Aug. 23	1.5	12	58.3	2.5	
3	1	Aug. 25	1	11	45.4	1.4	Patient had taken a total of about
							70 grains quinine.
4	1	Aug. 26	0.8	14	64.3	5.3	Sporozoites in oöcysts in gut.
5	2	Sept. 1	1	2	0. 0	0.0	Crescents plus a few B. T. gametocytes also.
6	3	do	8.5	8	0. 0	0.0	Barroood for alon

TABLE 7.-Infection experiments with rice-field-bred Anopheles, at Stuttgart, Ark.

14211°--26†----2

It is shown in Table 7 that three of six lots had a high percentage of infected mosquitoes. The average numbers of oöcysts is small, as is common where the percentage of gametocytes is low. Older oöcysts often showed "black spores" or other signs of degeneracy. We found sporozoites, in some cases apparently tending to degeneracy, in the oöcysts of lot No. 5, but in no case did we find them in the salivary glands, although we dissected 12 with positive gut 10 or more days after feeding, including 9 positives dissected 12 to 15 days after feeding. Such degeneracy of oöcysts and lack of sporozoites might be taken as evidence in support of the theory of Alessandrini, but these findings are by no means uncommon in mosquito infection experiments, whatever the breeding place of the mosquito.

We did another series of mosquito infection experiments in Louisiana. Here the experiments were made for a different purpose, and it was less certain that the *Anopheles* used were actually bred in rice fields. Mosquitoes were fed on two crescent carriers; one with 2.8 and the other with 3.5 crescents per 100 leucocytes. Twenty-one *A. quadrimaculatus* and one *A. crucians* were dissected, all proving negative. On October of the same year, when the rice-field-bred *Anopheles* had presumably disappeared, we obtained positive infection experiments with *A. quadrimaculatus* caught in the adult stage, and with both benign tertian and estivo-autumnal parasites.

Our Stuttgart experiments show clearly that A. quadrimaculatus bred in rice fields may be infected with parasites of estivo-autumnal malaria; and we are inclined to doubt whether any species may be sufficiently modified by breeding in such a locality as to decrease greatly its power of transmitting malaria. Rice fields exhibit an infinite variety of breeding places, of which not all are exceptionally favorable to anopheline larvæ, and myriads of adults come from waters very similar to those found in ponds or swamps. Further, in considering any biological factor we must give due weight to the evidence that malaria was formerly plentiful in certain rice-growing regions. The possible effect of food, aeration, or any characteristic of the breeding place on the susceptibility of the mosquito is a matter of importance and worthy of study; but a long and careful series of experiments would be required to give any definite information, since we have to do with complicated and highly variable conditions.

Rice fields are usually drained in September and the number of *Anopheles* is greatly diminished in early October; but it seems unlikely that the October diminution could have much influence on the malaria rate, since adults of *A. quadrimaculatus* are abundant from June to September, inclusive, a period comprising the warmer months of the year.

Domestic animals may possibly decrease the transmission of malaria by diverting from human beings mosquitoes in their search for blood, but it is doubtful whether this factor is of greater weight in the rice country than elsewhere. We have demonstrated that *Anopheles* in the rice country will bite human beings in a stable crowded with domestic animals (18), and the Italian authors above quoted (5) have made a similar observation in Italy. The malaria rate is higher in the Arkansas rice country than in that of Louisiana, although domestic animals in the Arkansas region are quite as numerous as in the Louisiana rice country, and better housed.

Longevity of the mosquito is presumably important in respect to the probablity of its transmitting malaria. In the rice country of Arkansas we (19) have demonstrated by staining methods that adult Anopheles may live in the open during midsummer and under natural conditions as long as 25 days.

It has been suggested that thick woods may promote the prevalence of malaria, possibly through affording favorable shelters for *Anopheles*. Such woods are plentiful in both the Arkansas and the Louisiana rice regions. Further, malaria may be very intense in a very thinly wooded country, as Calabria, in southern Italy. In the survey of malaria in rice fields described by Kendrick (15), nearness to jungle seemed to have promoted malaria, but here the jungle may have furnished a breeding place for the chief vector of malaria, A. culicifacies.

Purdy (20) describes the great variation in the anopheline output of different California rice fields, some of which produce practically no Anopheles. He believes that there is a probability that such lack of breeding in certain fields is due to the presence there of a heavy growth of a blue-green alga, Tolypothrix tenuis. He did not find this alga abundant in the rice fields of Arkansas or Louisiana, nor could we find any amount of it there.

Chara robbinsii is very abundant in the Louisiana rice fields, but does not appear to deter the production of Anopheles (21).

THE HUMAN FACTOR IN THE PREVALENCE OF MALARIA IN THE RICE REGIONS

The history of malaria in rice regions and the study of the present status of the disease lead one to ascribe the relative freedom from malaria in rice regions to human rather than to mosquito factors. Certain of the human factors as they exist in the prairie rice regions will be briefly considered.

In neither Arkansas nor Louisiana is much hand labor employed in the cultivation of rice. The greater part of the preparation of the soil and the seeding, irrigation, and harvesting is done by machinery. Some hand labor is employed in weeding, but the number of such

laborers is inconsiderable. Negro laborers are employed in rice production, but the greater part of them live in towns and go out to their work in the fields. There is no large rural negro population such as is found on the cotton plantations of the Mississippi Delta. A large proportion of the owners of rice farms live on their own land and cultivate it themselves. There is no large class of "croppers" poorly housed, or of "bunk-house" labor in the prairie rice country, whose presence tends to favor the transmission and spread of malaria. We should be on our guard, however, against placing too much stress on the labor or any other single human factor in the prevention of malaria. In the rice country of northern Italy malaria is comparatively insignificant, although a large amount of transient hand labor is employed in weeding and other work. On the sugar plantations of Louisiana, where malaria is not usually severe, the labor, chiefly negro, is usually housed in unscreened cabins clustered around the sugar "centrals."

The quality of the dwellings in the prairie rice region is somewhat above the average found in cotton-growing territories. In the Arkansas region screening is almost universal, although many of the houses are imperfectly screened. In Louisiana, screening is alsocommon, although by no means universal. Many rural houses are wholly without this protection.

The use of quinine as a preventive by well persons is uncommon in the rice regions, as everywhere else in the country, and early treatment of cases is about as common there as in any well-to-do country. The Italian authors above quoted (5) hold that quinine has been an important factor in the diminution of malaria in Italy—not through prophylaxis in the sense of immunizing the well but through the prompt use of the drug in treatment. Quinine is abundantly and cheaply available to the laborers and they are accustomed to take it at the first appearance of malaise.

The population of the prairie rice regions of both Arkansas and Louisiana has within recent years shown a notable increase—a factor which would tend to decrease the malaria rate, but not necessarily the amount of malaria (22). In both districts, however, a large proportion of the increment in population has come from the Northern States or from other localities relatively free from malaria and is composed of people presumably susceptible to the disease; it is unlikely, therefore, that the relatively rapid increase in population has of itself greatly diminished the malaria rate.

In summing up the social and hygienic conditions in the rice regions it may fairly be stated that the conditions of living of the rural population are generally superior to those found in the rural regions of other parts of the Southern States.

MALARIA IN CERTAIN REGIONS NOT RICE-PRODUCING

In considering the factors which may have affected the malaria rate in the rice region, we should keep in mind the fact that there has been a marked diminution of malaria in other parts of the United States in the presence of numbers of Anopheles apparently adequate for the transmission of malaria. During 1923 and 1924 we made surveys comparing the prevalence of malaria in certain regions bordering the upper and lower portions of Bayou Teche in southwest Louisiana. The results of these surveys, which appear in Table 8, illustrate the fact that, of two regions, neither of which is near rice fields, but both abounding in Anopheles, one may exhibit a low malaria rate and the other a relatively high one. The upper Teche is mainly devoted to cotton growing and the lower to sugar-cane. In the lower region Anopheles breed in a series of more or less weedy lakes. and in both regions in the swamps bordering the bayou. All biological conditions which may affect the mosquito-breeding placespresence of woods or of domestic animals-are similar in the two regions. In the upper region we found some groups of negro renters among whom the malaria rate was relatively high. In the lower region most of the negro plantation workers live in cabins near the sugar factories.

 TABLE 8.—Parasite index of certain regions bordering the upper and lower portions of Bayou Teche in Louisiana

	Num- ber ex- smined	Per cent posi- tive		Num- ber ex- amined	Per cent posi- tive
Upper Teche: 9 colored schools	271 310 239 83	8. 1 3. 9 11. 7 9. 6	Lower Teche: 3 colored schools 5 sugar plantations 1 lumber camp 1 neighborhood survey	95 179 31 25	0. 0 1. 1 0. 0 0. 0
Total	903	7.8	Total	330	0.6

In southern Alabama also we found a region where the malaria rate was very low in spite of the presence of *Anopheles*, both *A*. *quadrimaculatus* and *A. crucians*, in numbers apparently fully adequate for malaria transmission.

In none of the regions we have described—the prairie rice country, the Alabama region, or the Teche regions—had there been any systematic attempt to control malaria.

```
METHODS OF CONTROL. OF MALARIA IN RICE REGIONS
```

Geiger and Purdy (23) used sawdust soaked with fuel oil (about 3 gallons of oil to a bushel of sawdust) as a larvicide in some experimental plots of rice. The mixture when sown broadcast destroyed 85 per cent of the larvæ without injuring the rice. We have found Paris green effective as a larvicide in rice fields provided the plants are not so tall and thick as to intercept too large a proportion of the dust. We treated an area of about 2,000 square meters with one-fourth pound of Paris green mixed with dust. The dust was thrown into the air and distributed by the wind. Over 90 per cent of the larvæ were destroyed by a single treatment. In a second tract, where the rice was about 30 inches tall, thick, and blossoming, we got much poorer results with a similar treatment.

King and Bradley (24) made use of an airplane in dusting a tract of flooded rice with Paris green. Five pounds of Paris green mixed with 100 pounds of Tripoli earth were distributed over a tract of $7\frac{1}{2}$ acres, where the plants were about 20 inches in height. The distribution of the dust was thorough, except that one edge of the field was missed on account of a strong wind. "For the whole 'cut' an estimated mortality of 73 per cent resulted, but with the exception of the one edge practically 100 per cent of the large larvæ were destroyed as well as the majority of the small ones."

In their experiments, as well as in ours, it was found that the quantity of Paris green necessary to kill anopheline larvæ is not injurious to the rice plants.

It appears that Paris green might be a practical means of destroying anopheline larvæ in a small group of rice fields, but where many thousands of acres have to be treated, as is the case in rice regions of Louisiana and Arkansas, the use of any known larvicide would be impracticable on account of the expense.

Destruction of larvæ by intermittent drainage has been proposed. However, such drainage always leaves many puddles which would continue to breed *Anopheles*. Further, as pointed out by Geiger and Purdy, drainage in these level plains is likely merely to transfer the larvæ to another locality where they will continue their development within flight distance of dwellings.

There is no question that the presence of *Gambusia* or other topfeeding minnows will reduce the numbers of larvæ in rice fields. Geiger and Purdy (23) state that they reduced by 70 per cent the numbers of mosquito larvæ in an experimental plot by the introduction of top-feeding minnows. We have noted that where water is pumped from wells into a field unstocked with *Gambusia*, breeding may be very intense. In July, 1924, we had an opportunity of testing the efficiency of top-feeding minnows in certain plots of the rice experimental farm at Crowley, La. Into certain plots, fish were introduced freely; from others they were excluded by means of weirs or other devices. A very few fish may have entered into the "fishfree" plots, but their numbers were inconsiderable. The incidence of anopheline larvæ in the "fish-containing" and the "fish-free" plots was compared by dipping. Seven hundred and seventy-seven dips in the fish-containing plots gave an average of 0.63 anopheline larvæ or pupæ per dip and in the fish-free plots 460 dips gave an average of 1.03 larvæ or pupæ per dip. Pupæ were more numerous in the fishfree plots, the ratio of pupæ to total larvæ being 1:61 in the presence of the fish and 1:23 in the fish-free plots. By way of comparison, the incidence of anopheline larvæ in certain ditches well stocked with *Gambusia* was compared with that in similar ditches immediately adjacent and wholly fish-free. The former gave an average of 1.54 larvæ or pupæ per dip (98 dips) and the latter an average of 2.75 per dip (36 dips). In these ditches the culicine mosquitoes were almost wholly destroyed by the fish, being apparently more accessible to the minnows than the *Anopheles*.

It is clear from these observations that one can not depend on fish as a means of control of *Anopheles* in breeding areas so immense as those afforded by rice fields, because sufficient larvæ will escape the fish to produce large numbers of adults; but in regions where topfeeding minnows are lacking, it would be worth while to introduce them, since once established in canals or puddles they may persist from year to year, and at least somewhat lower the production of mosquitoes of all sorts.

The prohibition by legislation of the cultivation of rice within a certain distance of towns, a measure which has been carried out in Italy and in other regions, would not be practical in the prairie regions of the United States. Geiger and Purdy, by means of stained mosquitoes, found that *A. quadrimaculatus* will spread a distance of a mile or more from rice fields. Barber and Hayne (19), by means of a survey of daytime resting places, also found that *Anopheles* in effective numbers would spread a distance of a mile from the rice fields. With towns and villages as thick as they are in some portions of Louisiana, therefore, a large proportion of the land, often little fit for anything but rice, would have to be abandoned or only partially used.

Screening and quinine, already mentioned, are certainly among the best of antimalaria measures in the rice country, as elsewhere. Education as regards the early and thorough treatment of attacks and as to the proper use of screens and other mechanical protection against *Anopheles*, is, of course, to be encouraged in every way. The elimination of carriers is important in any locality. Sixty-four persons in Louisiana whom we found infected with malaria parasites in 1923 were reexamined in 1924, and 28.1 per cent were again found positive. The general parasite index of the region during 1924, as indicated by the examination of 1,173 blood specimens of school children, was only 3.7 per cent.

Destruction of adult mosquitoes in houses may be an excellent measure, especially in case of an epidemic (12) (13). The disposition

of animals at strategic points in order to intercept or divert mosquito attacks, a measure practiced in Java (12), would hardly be practical in the prairie rice country of the United States. With the immense numbers of *Anopheles* present even a human cordon seems unavailing. We found that large numbers of *A. quadrimaculatus* will penetrate a thickly populated town for a distance of at least a quarter of a mile.

DISCUSSION

In considering the effect of the cultivation of rice on malaria we must keep in mind the fact that the diminution of malaria or the maintenance of a comparatively low rate of the disease in spite of the presence of numerous Anopheles is a phenomenon by no means peculiar to the rice regions, although it is more striking there on account of the large numbers of Anopheles present. Further, in some parts of the world, high endemic and epidemic malaria may occur in rice regions, and the history of malaria in some rice regions now relatively exempt from the disease indicates that malaria was formerly intense in them. Apparently the amelioration in malaria prevalence in Anopheles-infested regions occurs only where improved agriculture or other social factor has brought about a general economic improvement in the condition of the people, an improvement which may have been the more rapid and complete where rice cultivation was introduced into swamps or into a prairie country little adapted to other sorts of crops. It would seem that, with even a moderate betterment of social conditions, malaria in the United States tends to disappear or become relatively inconsiderable provided such improvement is general. Or, to state the proposition in another way, the maintenance of high endemic malaria requires a permanent reservoir of infection such as is furnished by a considerable body of people lacking proper housing, proper food, and adequate medical treatment. Now that pioneer conditions of life have in most parts of the country disappeared or have become modified, it is usually a certain type of renter class which provides the necessary reservoir of infection. It is well known that the impoverishment of a people or the introduction into it of unprotected temporary labor or soldiers may bring about an increase in malaria. The economic factor, then, is an important one and should receive due emphasis.

We do not wish to discourage the use of antimosquito measures or to regard such as unimportant. In regions where it is feasible to eliminate or greatly reduce Anopheles, such measures should be practiced; but where the Anopheles link in the chain of transmission of malaria is too strong to break, conditions are still far from discouraging, as is shown by the prairie rice regions of the United States where malaria remains at a comparatively low level without any conscious antimalaria work and in spite of an abundance of Anopheles. In malaria work we must decide where to expend the greatest effort, a problem which must vary greatly in different regions.

It would seem that the study of regions of the type of the prairie rice country might afford malaria workers some hints as to means suitable for antimalaria work generally; but when we come to analyze the conditions found there, or try to evaluate the different factors comprised under the term "social improvement," it is difficult to determine which of them has had the most effect on malaria reduc-Screening and other precautions against mosquito bites, altion. though by no means universally practiced in the rice regions of the United States, and employed but little in such regions of Italy, have doubtless been of direct influence against malaria. The use of quinine and medical treatment of malaria in general have probably improved. Less direct measures, such as improvement in food, housing, hours of labor, water supply, and the like, have doubtless been of weight, since all tend to maintain a higher physical level and to prevent relapse of malaria attacks. It would seem that nearly every phase of economic improvement has had some effect on the reduction of malaria.

The work of the health officer in regions where the presence of numerous Anopheles is inevitable is, of course, limited. He may encourage screening and general betterment of tenant houses, a work which is already under way in certain States. He may make quinine as abundantly and cheaply available as possible. Education of the people may encourage the proper use of screens and the early and thorough use of quinine. In certain malarious regions the inhabitants may be unduly disposed to await relief from the outside. In such regions the lesson of the prairie rice country may be applied and the people taught that a good part of the remedy lies in themselves, and that a betterment of conditions of living may bring about a considerable amelioration in malaria, even though mosquitoes are not immediately abolished.

Irrigated regions where a high anopheline rate is inevitable should be kept under hygienic supervision, even though the malaria rate be inconsiderable. Such regions should be regarded as being in a state of less stable equilibrium, and possible epidemics should be looked out for and detected as early as possible. But in the prairie rice regions of the southern United States conditions are now such that malaria should be no deterrent to the prospective immigrant that is willing to take comparatively simple precautions to protect himself. Among such precautions, screening, and proper treatment in case of an attack probably stand first.

2548

SUMMARY

In the prairie rice region of the southern United States conditions favor a large production of Anopheles. A. quadrimaculatus, an efficient vector of malaria, is the predominant species, and Anopheles are very abundant from June to September, inclusive, a period of sufficiently high temperature to favor the transmission of malaria. There does not appear to be any biological factor having to do with the mosquito or its breeding places which would prevent these mosquitoes from being an efficient vector of malaria. Malaria of both the estivo-autumnal and the benign tertian types is present in the rice region; but the rate is low and the malaria problem is not a serious one. Attacks of malaria, when they occur, are usually comparatively mild, and deaths from the disease are rare. The economic and hygienic status of the rural population in the rice region is relatively good, and this high general status is probably the most important factor in keeping the malaria rate at a comparatively low level. Conditions are such in the prairie rice regions that the fear of malaria should be no deterrent to immigration, provided simple precautions are taken by the immigrant; but, like any region heavily infested with Anopheles, the prairie rice country should be kept under hygienic supervision.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are indebted to Mr. Charles E. Chambliss, Associate Agronomist in charge of Rice Investigations, United States Bureau of Plant Industry, and to Mr. J. Mitchell Jenkins, Superintendent of the Rice Experiment Station, Crowley, La., for laboratory facilities and much other assistance.

REFERENCES

- (1) Carter, H. R.: Pub. Health Bull. No. 104, p. 88. (1919.)
- (2) Geiger, J. C., Purdy, W. C., Tarbett, R. E.: Jour. Am. Med. Assn., LXXII, 844-847. (1919.) (3) Geigér, J. C., Purdy, W. C., Bates, L.: Jour Am. Med. Assn., LXXI, 1283-
- 1285. (1918.)
- (4) Barber, M. A., and Mayne, B.: South. Med. Jour., XVII, 583-590. (1924.)
- (5) Giardina, G., Novelli, N., Alessandrini, G., and Sampietro, G.: La Risicoltura e la Malaria. Roma, Provveditorato Generale Dello Stato Libreria, 1925.
- (6) Herms, W. B.: Month. Bull. Calif. State Board of Health, December, 1919, p. 183.
- (7) Lenert. L. G.: Pub. Health Bull. No. 137, p. 13-23. (1922.)
- (8) Eugling, M.: Arch. F. Hyg., München & Berlin, XCII, 244-250. (1923.)
- (9) Nocht, B.: Beihefte z. Arch. f. Schiffs u. Tropen-Hyg., XXX, No. 1, 1-17. (1926.)
- (10) Watson, M : Tr. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. and Hyg., XVIII, 147. (1924.)
- (11) Barber, M. A., Raquel, A., Guzman, A., Rosa, A. P.: Philippine Jour. Sc., X, No. 3, Sec. B., 177-247. (1915.)

- (12) Walch, E. W.: South. Med. Jour., XVIII, 434-438. (1925.)
- (13) LePrince, J. A.: Pub. Health Rep., 41, 1220-1226. (1926.)
- (14) Bentley, C. A.: Malaria and Agriculture in Bengal. Calcutta, Secretariat Book Depot, 1925.
- (15) Kendrick, W. H.: Report on Malaria in the Central Provinces, 1912-1913. Nagpur, Government Press, 1914.
- (16) Carter, H. R.: Pub. Health Bull. No. 104, p. 87. (1919.)
- (17) Gamble, T., jr.: A History of the City Government of Savannah. Savannah, Ga., pp. 141-143, 200, 201, and 219. (1900.)
- (18) Barber, M. A., and Hayne, T. B.: Pub. Health Rep., 39, 139-144. (1924.)
- (19) Barber, M. A., and Hayne, T. B.: Pub. Health Rep., 39, 195-203. (1924.)
- (20) Purdy, W. C.: Pub. Health Bull. No. 145. (1924.)
- (21) Barber, M. A.: Pub. Health Rep., 39, 611-615. (1924.)
- (22) Ross, R.: The Prevention of Malaria. London, 1911.
- (23) Geiger, J. C., and Purdy, W. C.: Jour. Am. Med. Assn., 1919, LXXII, 774-779.
- (24) King, W. V., and Bradley, G. H.: Department Circular No. 367, U. S. Dept. Agr., 1926.

PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING ABSTRACTS

The 1926 Tourist Camp Survey.—Lewis S. Finch, director, water and sewage department. *Monthly Bulletin*, Indiana State Board of Health, vol. 29, No. 8, August, 1926, pp. 117–118. (Abstract by I. W. Mendelsohn.)

Supervision of tourist camps in Indiana was begun by the State board of health in 1923. At present there are about 325 such camps.

From May 20, 1926, to August 1, 1926, 227 camps were inspected. The inspector takes samples of all camp water supplies, investigates the methods of sewage and garbage disposal, and discusses unsatisfactory conditions with the owner or operator. When the laboratory examination of the water sample is completed, a report of the survey with recommendations is sent to the camp owner and to the health official in whose district the camp is located.

The work in the past has been productive of good results, as shown in the following table:

	19	23	19	24	1925	
Item	Total	Per cent	Total	Per cent	Total	Per cent
	number	good	number	good	number	good
Water supplies	99	74	174	74	184	81
Sewage disposal	97	15	181	48	186	84
Garbage disposal	93	16	174	71	192	82

Sanitary conditions of Indiana tourist camps inspected

Camp Sanitation is an Important Public Health Problem.—Charles G. Cox, division of sanitation, New York State Department of Health, Albany, N. Y. *The Nation's Health*, vol. 8, No. 7, July 15, 1926, pp. 459-461. (Abstract by Paul S. Fox.)

Close cooperation between State divisions of sanitary engineering and local health officers is important, because most camp sanitation problems are of an engineering nature.

Camp site.—A camp is best located on western shore of stream or lake, since timbered section offers shade from hot afternoon sun. Soil should be porous and dry. Site should be free from mosquito breeding places.

Water supply.—Drinking water should be obtained from unpolluted springs or wells. Larger camps sometimes maintain their own filter plants. Camps should be supplied with hypochlorite for emergency sterilization. Dual water supplies should not be allowed.

Sewage disposal.—Flush toilets should be used whenever possible. Sanitary privies or chemical toilets may be used.

Garbage disposal.—Garbage should be disposed of daily by either burying or burning.

Milk supply.—Pasteurized milk should be provided, and, if possible, it should come from a tuberculin tested herd. Milk should be stored in properly sterilized containers and kept at low temperatures.

The sanitary condition of camps depends not only upon the character of equipment but upon the degree of supervision by the camp authorities.

Administrative Phases of Stream-Pollution Control.—J. E. Monger, State director of health, Columbus, Ohio. *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 16, No. 8, August, 1926, pp. 788–804. (Abstract by J. H. O'Neill.)

The effects of pollution may differ in various communities, but in general they may be classified somewhat as follows: (1) The menace of a contaminated public water supply; (2) the creation of nuisance otherwise affecting public health and comfort; (3) the damage to property with resulting depreciation of values; (4) the killing of fish and other natural stream life; (5) the damage to livestock; (6) the impairment of recreational facilities and destruction of bathing places; (7) the damage to public and private river and harbor improvements and navigation.

The general administrative features to be invoked in dealing with this problem are common to all States, and the necessity for meeting the problem is present in all of them. The underlying principles will vary but little; but variants, such as the quantity and quality of the various polluting agencies, the proximity of these points of discharge to water-supply intakes, and other important factors, render a hard-and-fast consideration of administrative policies difficult. The paper deals principally with the general underlying principles employed in Ohio.

The Ohio law gives the State health department the same control over industrial wastes that it had over municipal wastes. It gives the public health council power to make regulations designed to meet the varying needs of different watersheds. It limits the pollution problem to its present dimensions and permits the orderly consideration of each individual watershed according to the necessities of the case. An important feature of the law is that permitting the coordination of municipal and industrial effort.

The things necessary to meet the problem of a future uncontaminated water supply are summarized as follows: Drive home its necessity and importance; develop a civic and industrial conscience that will not permit indiscriminate destruction of a great public asset; exercise a common sense degree of patience pending the development of economically possible methods of meeting the problem.

The question of the advisability of Federal legislation at the present time is a debatable one. In dealing with interstate problems the joint actions of the respective States and the cooperation of Federal agencies is most important. (Abstractor's note: The above paper is part of a symposium on the subject presented at the meeting of the American Public Health Association at Atlantic City, May 20, 1926. The discussion published with the papers contains much of interest.)

Administration Problems in the Control of Pollution in Streams.— George W. Fuller. American Journal of Public Health, vol. 16, No. 8, August 1926. pp. 777–781. (Abstract by J. H. O'Neill.)

Although much progress has been made in the last 30 years, particcularly with reference to the quality of public water supplies, the problem is far from complete solution. Gross nuisances in our streams abound and new ones come to affect those corrected. It is pointed out that the lack and failure of control of stream pollution can not be attributed to deficiencies in engineering knowledge or to the absence of legal and legislative measures for preventing pollution.

The answer to the question "Why is not stream pollution under better control?" is to be found in the shortcomings of present administrative facilities for carrying out suitable remedies designed to meet the demands of legislative acts, when interpreted in the light of the needs of the public from health and welfare standpoints. Popular support and adequate funds are needed for effective work.

There are two types of administrative problems: One relating to the local or decentralized or internal problems for the particular locality in question, the other having to do with group, district, regional, or Federal type of control. A discussion is given of each group.

A Model Milk Pasteurization Plant.—Anon. The Medical Officer, vol. 36, No. 2, July 10, 1926, pp. 19-20. (Abstract by H. A. Kroeze.)

This article states that while Great Britain has been slow to adopt the methods of milk production and distribution which have been perfected in some of the cities of the United States, it is not yet generally realized what progress has been made in this direction during the last few years. In London especially the great organization known as United Dairies (Ltd.) has established standards of efficiency in the handling of milk supplies that would have been thought unattainable only a few years ago.

On June 29 the new pasteurizing plant at Valley Farm, Streatham, was opened. Competent judges, both American and European, have declared this plant as second to none in either hemisphere. The article gives a brief description of the plant, and methods of receiving, pasteurizing, and bottling of the milk. Of particular interest are the methods used for washing bottles and cans—each utensil being washed for 20 minutes by an elaborate process—a description of which is given.

A brief note is made of the arrangements for the welfare of the staff, which are on a scale never before attempted in the dairy trade. These provide for rest rooms, two restaurants (men and women), bath rooms, drying rooms for roundsmen's clothes, laundry, and playing fields. There are also large and fully equipped stables with accommodation for 100 vans and horses.

DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED OCTOBER 23, 1926

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended October 23, 1926, and corresponding week of 1925. (From the Weekly Health Index, October 27, 1926, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

	Week ended Oct. 23, 1926	Corresponding week, 1925
Policies in force	65, 641, 744	61, 666, 572
Number of death claims		11, 350
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate	8.9	9.6

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended October 23, 1926, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1925. (From the Weekly Health Index, October 27, 1926, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

		ided Oct. 1926	Annual death		under 1 ear	Infant moriality
City	Total deaths	Death rate ¹	rate per 1,000 cor- respond- ing week, 1925	Week ended Oct. 23, 1926	Corre- sponding week, 1925	rate, week ended Oct. 23, 1926 ²
Total (65 cities)	6, 279	11.3	12.0	750	765	\$ 62
Akion Albany 4 Atlanto White	33 34 77 35	14.9	12.8	6 4 6 3	1 3 7	65 83
Colored Baltimore 4 White	42 196 140	(⁵) 12.6	14. 1	3 27 16	24	82 60
Colored. Birmingham White	56 78 37 41	(5) 19.3 (3)	12.7	11 8 4 4	8	175
Boston Bridgeport Buffelo	206 28 138 27	13.6 13.2 11.5	12. 4 16. 2 10. 0	33 3 18 6	24 3 10	92 51 75 107
Camden Canton Chicego 4	26 20 €01	10.3 9.5 10.3	13.8 9.3 10.4	5 6 58	3 6 1 73	84 132 51
Circlincti Circland Celunbus Dellas	122 175 73 49	15, 5 9, 5 13, 4 12, 8	15.8 10.1 13.6 12.9	11 19 14 11	10 18 2 11	69 49 131
White Colored Dayton Denver	43 6 37 77	(⁵) 10. 9 14. 1	10.9 17.1	10 1 4 10	 8 12	66
Des Moines Detroit Duluth	25 271 29	8.9 11.0 13.4	10.7 10.8 11.8	$\begin{array}{c}1\\52\\2\end{array}$	1 47 7	17 85 46
El P(30 Erie Fall River 4 Flint	18 29 19 18	8.6 7.6 6.9	11,4 8,9 8,0	3 4 4 6	4 5 5 4	78 63 102
Fort Worth White Colored Grand Rapids	27 21 6 32	(³) 10. 7	6. 2 13. 9	5 4 1 2	3	29
Houston White Colored	46 33 13	(³) 12. 5		5 3 2 8 7	2	
Indianapolis White Colored Jersey City	88 78 10 55	(³) 9.0	14.7	1 5	12 14	61 61 57 38
Kansas City, Kans	35 29 6 67	(⁵) 9. 8	15.3	8 7 1 3	6 	155 156 152
Kansas City, Mo Los Angeles. Louisville. White	196 98 73	16. 4	11. 1	16 8 7	24 11	45 68 68
Colored Lowell. Lyan	25 33 15 44	(⁵) 7.5 13.0	7.6 16.1	1 2 2 6	0 2 4	70 39 53
White Colored Milwaukee Minneapolis	22 22 91 80	(⁵) 9. 2 9. 6	10. 2 9. 4	3 3 14 3	17	66 17

¹ Annual rate per 1,000 population.
² Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births. Cities left blank are not in registration area for births.
³ Data for 63 cities.
⁴ Deaths for week ended Friday, Oct. 22, 1926.
⁴ In the cities for which deaths are shown by color, the colored population in 1920 constituted the following percentages of the total populetion: Atlanta, 31; Baltimore, 15; Birmingham, 39; Dallas, 15; Fort Worth, 14; Houston, 25; Indianapolis, 11; Kansas City, Kans., 14; Louisville, 17; Memphis, 38; Nashville, 30; New Orleans, 26; Norfolk, 38; Richmond, 32; and Washington, D. C., 25.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended October 23, 1926, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1925. (From the Weekly Health Index, October 27, 1926, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)—Continued

		nded Oct. 1926	Annual death		under 1 ear	Infant mortality
City	Total deaths	Death rate	rate per 1,000 cor- respond- ing week, 1925	Week ended Oct. 23, 1926	Corre- sponding week, 1925	rete. week ended Oct. 23, 1926
Nashville 4	45 22	17. 1	16. 5	42	8	
Colored	23	(5)		2		
New Bedford	30			6	3	104
New Haven	33	9.5	12.2	0	4	0
New Grieans	126 85	15.7	19.0	11	9	
White Colored	80 41	(3)		5 6		
New York	1. 253	11.0	11.1	137	146	
Bronx Borough	168	9.7	9.2	11	14	37
Brooklyn Borough	393	9.1	9.9	43	41	44
Manhattan Berough	543	15.1	14.9	67	76	74
Queens Borough Richmond Borough	106 43	7.2 15.7	7.2 8.7	14 2	12 3	64 35
Newark, N. J	78	8.9	11.2	10	11	48
Norfolk	37		8.6	3	5	61
White	19			0		0
Colored	18	(5)		3		159
Oakiand Oklahoma City	59 28	11.8	10.5	6 4	5 6	70
Omaha	43	10.4	16.8	6	5	64
Paterson	32	11.7	10.3	2	2	34
Philadelphia	473	12.3	13.1	62	59	83
Pittsburgh	147	12.0	13.7	25	24	83
Portland, Oreg	53			5	6	50
Providence Richmond	51 43	9.7 11.9	12.3 10.4	6 6	8 8	50 75
White	26	11. 5	10. 4	1	0	19
Colored	17	(5)		5		173
Rochester	48	7.8	127	6	12	48
St. Louis	198	12.4	12.2	19	13	
St. Paul	64	13.5	11.0	5	4	44
Salt Lake City 4	25 43	9.8 10.9	9.2 13.4	3 5	1 5	46
San Antonio San Diego	43 26	10. 9	13.4	1	0	21
San Francisco	103	9.5	14.0	3	9	18
Schnectady	18		10. 1	ŏ	2	0
Seattle	65			• 1	2	10
Somerville	11	5.7	13.7	0	4	0 70
Spokane	35 30	16.7 10.8	14.4 11.7	32	2 3	31
Syracuse	42	10.8	12.0	9	6	114
Toledo	53	9.4	13.6	11	11 I	106
Trenton	27	10.5	11.1	2	5	34
Utica	34	17.2	13.3	5	3	114
Washington, D. C.	118	11.7	14.0	15	11	86
White	78 40	(5)		12 3		100 55
Colored Waterbury	40	(e)		3	5	0
Wilmington, Del	22	9.3	12.0	1	3	22
Worcester	46	12.4	13.9	8	7	96
Yonkers	15	6.7	7.3	0	1	0
Youngstown	30	12.5	8.2	8	4	101

⁴ Deaths for week ended Friday, Oct. 22, 1926 ⁵ In the cities for which deaths are shown by color, the colored population in 1920 constituted the following percentages of the total population: Atlanta, 31; Baltimore, 15; Birmingham, 39; Dallas, 15; Fort Worth, 14; Houston, 25; Indianapolis, 11; Kansas City, Kans., 14; Louisville, 17; Memphis, 38; Nashville, 30; New Orleans, 26; Norfolk, 38; Richmond, 32; and Washington, D. C., 25.

PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

UNITED STATES

CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

Reports for Week Ended October 30, 1926

ALABAMA

COLORADO

.

ALABAMA	Cases	COLORADO	ases
Chicken pox		Cerebrospinal meningitis	
Diphtheria.		Chicken pox	
Influenza.			
Malaria		Diphtheria Measles	. 8
Maaria			
		Mumps	
Numps		Pneumonia	. 2 . 42
Pellagra		Scarlet fever	. 42
Preumonia.		Tuberculosis	. 37
Scarlet fever		Typhoid fever	. 7
Tetanus		Whooping cough	. 7
Trachoma.		CONNECTICUT	
Tuberculosis			
Typhoid fever		Chicken pox	
Typhus fever		Diphtheria	
Whooping cough	14	Dysentery (bacillary)	
ARIZONA		German measles	
		Influenza	
Cerebrospinal meningitis		Lethargic encephalitis	
Diphtheria		Measles	
Measles		Mumps	
Mumps		Pneumonia (broncho)	
Scariet fever		Pneumonia (lobar)	16
Trachoma	6	Poliomyelitis	4
Tuberculosis	8	Scarlet fever	48
Typhoid fever	3	Septic sore throat	76
Whooping cough	3	DELAWARE	
ARKANSAS			•
	7	Chicken pox	6 8
Chicken pox		Diphtheria	3
Diphtheria		Measles.	
Influenza.		Pneumonia	2
Malaria		Scarlet fever	25
Measles		Tuberculosis	1
Mumps		Typhoid fever	12
Paratyphoid fever		Whooping cough	2
Pellagra		FLORIDA	
Scarlet fever			2
Smallpox		Chicken pox	
Tuberculosis		Diphtheria	70
Typhoid fever		Dysentery (amebic)	1
Whooping cough	10	Influenza	5
14211°26†3	(25	55)	

November 5, 1926

FLORIDA—continued	Cases
Malaria	11
Measles	1
Mumps	4
Pneumonia	1
Scarlet fever	14
Smallpex	6
Tetanus	1
Tuberculosis	17
Typhoid fever	7
Whooping cough	5

GEORGIA

Chicken pox	5
Dengue	3
Diphtheria	91
Dysentery	3
Hookworm disease	2
Influenza	53
Malaria	80
Measles	4
Mumps	6
Paratyphoid fever	1
Pellagra	1
Pneumonia	29
Scarlet fever	24
Septic sore throat	24
Smallpox	11
Tuberculosis	20
Typhoid fever	31
Typhus fever	2
Whooping cough	9

IDAHO

Chicken pox	5
Diphtheria	5
Measles	6
Mumps	1
Pneumonia	1
Scarlet fever	30
Septic sore throat	2
Typhoid fever	2
Whooping cough	2

ILLINOIS

Cerebrospinal meningitis-Cook County	1
Chicken pox	239
Diphtheria	130
Influenza	24
Lethargic encephalitis:	
Cook County	1
Menard County	1
Measles	
Mumps	
Pneumonia	
Poliomyelitis-Cook County	4
Scarlet fever	211
Smallpox	3
Tuberculosis	269
Typhoid fever	
Whooping cough	211
IOWA	
Chicken pox	35
Diphtheria	31
German measles	1

¹ See page 25-59.

10 WA—continued	Cases
Measles	3
Mumps	3
Scabies	. 2
Scarlet fever	
Smallpox	
Tuberculosis	
Typhoid fever	
Whooping cough	

KANSAS

Cerebrospinal meningitis-Coffeeville	1
Chicken pox	79
Diphtheria	63
Influenza	4
Measles	42
Mumps	7
Pneumonia	18
Poliomyelitis:	
Inman	1
Smith Center	1
Stafford	1
Scarlet fever	57
Scarlet fever	57 1
Septic sore throat	1
Septic sore throat Smallpox	1 5

LOUISIANA

Bubonic plague (imported) ¹	2
Diphtheria	37
Influenza.	8
Leprosy	1
Malaria	
Pneumonia	19
Scarlet fever.	10
Tuberculosis	39
Typhoid fever	24

MAINE

Chicken pox
Diphtheria
German measles
Influenza
Measles
Mumps
Pneumonia
Poliomyelitis
Scarlet fever
Septic sore throat
Tuberculosis
Typhoid fever
Whooping cough

MARYLAND 3

a	
Chicken pox	32
Diphtheria	42
Dysentery	
German measles	
Influenza	
Lethargic encephalitis	1
Measles	4
Mumps	8
Paratyphoid fever	2
Pellagra	
9 Week anded Eridert	

² Week ended Friday.

MARYLAND—continued	Cases
Pneumonia (broncho)	18
Pneumonia (lobar)	18
Poliomyelitis	1
Scarlet fever	45
Septic sore throat	1
Tuberculosis	26
Typhoid fever	50
Vincent's angina	4
Whoe ing cough	70

MASSACHUSETTS

Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Chicken pox	180
('onjunctivitis (suppurative)	6
Diphtheria	92
German measles	8
Influenza	8
Lethargic encephalitis	2
Measles	39
Mumps	73
Ophthalmia neonatorum	40
Pellagra	1
Pneumonia (lobar)	58
Poliomyelitis	6
Scarlet fever	246
Septic sore throat	4
Tuberculosis (pulmonary)	91
Tuberculosis (other forms)	23
Typhoid fever	13
Whooping cough	97

MICHIGAN

211
20
71
204
14
231
21
134

MISSISSIPPI

Dipht'eria	39
Polion.yelitis	1
Scarlet fever	2 0
Smallpox	1
Typhoid fever	19

MISSOURI

(Exclusive of Kansas City)

Chicken pox	33
Diphtheria	68
Epidemic sore throat	1
Influenza	16
Malaria	1
Measles	14
Scarlet fever	93
Smallpox	.2
Trachoma	8
Tuberculosis	22
Typhoil fever	17
Whooping cough	18

MONTANA	Cases
Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Chicken pox	
Diphtheria	
Measles	. 84
Mumps	
Scarlet fever.	
Smallpox	
Frachoma	
Гурhoid fever	
Whooping cough	

NEBRASKA

Chicken pox	18
Diphtheria	21
German measles	2
Measles	1
Mumps	5
Pneumonia	1
Poliomyelitis	1
Scarlet fever	18
Smallpox	3
Tuberculosis	1
Whooping cough	11

NEW JERSEY

Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Chicken pox	43
Diphtheria	86
Influenza	1
Measles	7
Paratyphoid fever	1
Pneumonia	66
Poliomyelitis	1
Scarlet fever	100
Trachoma	1
Typhoid fever	23
Whooping cough	101

NEW MEXICO

1
1
4
16
29
2
4

NEW YORK

(Exclusive of New York City)

Anthrax	1
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1
Chicken pox	242
Dipitheria	82
Dysentery	1
German measles.	22
Malaria	1
Measles	224
Mumps	62
Ophthalmia neonatorum	3
Pneumonia	144
Poliomyelitis	12
Scarlet fever	89
Septic sore throat	1
Smallpox	11

November 5, 1926

2558

NEW YORK-continued

NEW YORK—continued Ca	ses
Tetanus	1
Typhoid fever	
Vincent's angina	21
Whooping cough	203

NORTH CAROLINA

Chicken pox	24
Diphtheria	217
German measles	5
Malaria	3
Measles	
Poliomeylitis	2
Scarlet fever	99
Septic sore throat	2
Smallpox	13
Typhoid fever	35
Whooping cough	220

OREGON

Cerebrospinal meningitis	1
Chicken pox	24
Diphtheria	17
Influenza	10
Measles	17
Mumps	7
Pneumonia	87
Poliomyelitis	1
Scarlet fever	37
Septic sore throat	1
Smallpor	29
Tuberculosis	84
Typhoid fever	2
Whooping cough	3

SOUTH DAKOTA

Chicken pox	2
Diphtheria	1
Measles	8
Scarlet fever	
Typhoid fever	1
Wheeping cough	

TENNESSEE

Chicken pox	1
Diphtheria	63
Influenza	29
Malaria	24
Measles	5
Mumps	2
Pellagra	8
Pneumonia	10
Scarlet fever	53
Smallpox	3
Tuberculosis	14
Typhoid fever	59
Whooping cough	35

TEXAS

Chicken pox	1
Diphtheria	
Influenza	
Mumps	1
Pneumonia	3
Scarlet fever	19
1 Deaths	

TEXAS-continued

C	ases
Tuberculosis	10
Typhoid fever	14
Whooping cough	18
UTAH	
Chicken pox	38
Diphtheria	7
German measles	19
Influenza	3
Measles	138
Pneumonia	
Poliomyclitis-Monroe	1
Scarlet fever	
Smallpox	
Typhoid fever	3
Whooping cough	9
n noopang coupressessessessessessessessessesses	9

VERMONT

Chicken pox	23
Diphtheria	3
Measles	
Mumps	14
Scarlet fever	1
Whooping cough	35

VIRGINIA

Smallpox..... 1

WASHINGTON

Cerebrospinal meningitis:	
Douglas County	1
Spokane	1
Chicken pox	75
Diphtheria	23
German measles	3
Measles	33
Mumps	32
Pneumonia	1
Scarlet fever	50
Septic sore throat	1
Smallpox	11
Tuberculosis	2
Typhoid fever	16
Whooping cough	11

WEST VIRGINIA

Cerebrospinal meningitis-Wood County	1
Chicken pox	16
Diphtheria	54
Influenza	19
Measles	8
Poliomyelitis-Morgantown	2
Scarlet fever	84
Smallpox	2
Tuberculosis	24
Typhoid fever	62
Whooping cough	55

WYOMING

12
1
13
1
14
2

Deaths.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		NORTH DAKOTA—continued .	
C	ases		Cases
Chicken pox	5	Tuberculosis	- 5
Diphtheria	18	Typhoid fever	_ 13
Pneumonia	19	Whooping cough	. 22
Scarlet fever	15	SOUTH CAROLINA	
Tuberculosis	13	Chicken pox.	10
Whooping cough	7	Dengue	. 10
		Diphtheria	
NORTH DAKOTA		Hookworm disease	
('hicken pox	12	Influenza	
Diphtheria	6	Malaria	
German measles	6	Measles	
Lethargic encephalitis	1	Paratyphoid fever	
Measles	93	Pellagra	
Mumps	9	Poliomyelitis	
Paratyphoid fever	1	Scarlet fever	
Pneumonia	3	Smallpox	
Scarlet fever	39	Tuberculosis.	
Smallpox	n	Typhoid fever	
Trachoma	3	Whooping cough	
			~*

Reports for Week Ended October 23, 1926

PLAGUE ON VESSEL AT NEW ORLEANS

The Japanese steamship Manila Maru from Pacific ports, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and Rio de Janeiro and Santos, Brazil, arrived at New Orleans on October 24 with two human cases of bubonic plague. The patients were removed to the United States Marine Hospital, where one patient subsequently died. Diagnosis in both cases was confirmed clinically and bacteriologically. The steamer is now in process of complete deratization, which requires several fumigations during the discharge of cargo to prevent live rats from remaining in the Fumigations to date have yielded 130 rats, of which 6 of the cargo. species alexandrinus have been found to be infected. After complete discharge, the vessel will be given thorough fumigation throughout and will be surveyed by a service employee, an expert in ship ratproofing, sent especially from New York for this purpose, in order to locate the breeding places of rats on the ship and permanently to eliminate all these in so far as possible. The vessel is bound for Galveston, Cristobal, and San Pedro.

Cere-bro-Polio-Ty-phoid Pel-Scarlet Small-Diph-Influ-Ma-Mea-State spinal mye-litis pex laria sles lagra fever theria enza fever menin-. gitis July, 1926 2, 979 755 2 Pennsylvania..... 5 514 0 0 3 113 September, 1926 2 13 141 503 90 57 1, 289 22 45 3 3 66 425 15 Alabama.... 45 529393 127 52 48 27 308 124 California ... 60 14 35 34 14 39 2 36 8 0 54 Colorado..... Florida..... 1 1 4 001 1160003110 1 3 0 80 28 31 27 19 48 376 139 81 36 157 74 213 6 Idaho..... 0 g 236 37 82 273 21 23 Illinois..... 93 15 21 Kansas... Maine.... 48 13 17 3 33 418 7 õ õ 16 145 93 29 12, 26Ž Mississippi.... 1, 330 246 569 489 49 18 62 36 162 16 335 Missouri 12 5 0 Montana. 0 16 7 North Carolina. 432 3Ŏ 106 Oklahoma 1 122 146 707 40 86 94 20 535 South Dakota ... 9 90 2 11 ---39 **5**9 159 Washington.... 10 110 6 1 62

SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

¹ Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

Diphtheria.—For the week ended October 16, 1926, 37 States reported 1,858 cases of diphtheria. For the week ended October 17, 1925, the same States reported 1,742 cases of this disease. One hundred cities, situated in all parts of the country and having an aggregate population of more than 30,260,000, reported 960 cases of diphtheria for the week ended October 16, 1926. Last year for the corresponding week they reported 855 cases. The estimated expectancy for these cities was 1,133 cases. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics.

Measles.—Thirty-five States reported 1,408 cases of measles for the week ended October 16, 1926, and 766 cases of this disease for the week ended October 17, 1925. One hundred cities reported 253 cases of measles for the week this year, and 386 cases last year.

Poliomyelitis.—The health officers of 37 States reported 66 cases of poliomyelitis for the week ended October 16, 1926. The same States reported 180 cases for the week ended October 17, 1925.

Scarlet fever.—Scarlet fever was reported for the week as follows: Thirty-six States—this year, 2,064 cases; last year, 1,563 cases; 100 cities—this year, 752 cases; last year, 692 cases; estimated expectancy, 639 cases.

Smallpox.—For the week ended October 16, 1926, 37 States reported 119 cases of smallpox. Last year for the corresponding week they reported 111 cases. One hundred cities reported smallpox for the week as follows: 1926, 23 cases; 1925, 45 cases; estimated expectancy, 22 cases. No deaths from smallpox were reported by these cities for the week this year.

Typhoid fever.—One thousand and thirty-seven cases of typhoid fever were reported for the week ended October 16, 1926, by 36 States. For the corresponding week of 1925, the same States reported 864 cases of this disease. One hundred cities reported 184 cases of typhoid fever for the week this year and 198 cases for the corresponding week last year. The estimated expectancy for these cities was 177 cases.

Influenza and pneumonia.—Deaths from influenza and pneumonia were reported for the week by 94 cities, with a population of more than 29,560,000, as follows: 1926, 475 deaths; 1925, 534 deaths.

City reports for week ended October 16, 1926

The "estimated expectancy" given for diphtheria, poliomyelitis, scarlet fever, smallpox, and typhoid fever is the result of an attempt to ascertain from previous occurrence how many cases of the disease under consideration may be expected to occur during a certain week in the absence of epidemics. It is based on reports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most instances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding week of the preceding years. When the reports include saveral epidemics or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are excluded and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases reported for the week during nonepidemic years.

If reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many years as possible, but no year earlier than 1917 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy the figures are smoothed when necessary to avoid abrupt deviations from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the table the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectancy.

			Diph	theria	Influ	ienza			
Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1925, estimated	Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Mea- sles, cases re- ported	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
NEW ENGLAND									•
Maine: Portland	75, 333	6	1	0	0	o	1	0	2
New Hampshire: Concord Manchester	22, 546 83, 097	0	· 0 4	0	0	000	· 1 0	0	· 0 0
Vermont: Barre Burlington	10, 008 24, 089	1 2	0 0	0 0	. 0	0	2 0	0	0 2
Massachusetts: Boston Fall River	779, 620 128, 993	13 0	47 4	15 0	02	0	5 0 0	20 1 0	13 2 2 4
Springfield Worcester Rhode Island:	142, 065 190, 757	1 15	47	17	01	00	Ō	0	
Pawtucket Providence	69, 760 267, 918	2 0	1 5	2 2	0	02	0	Ō	06
Bridgeport Hartford New Haven	(1) 160, 197 178, 927	0 1	9 7 3	4 2	0 0	0 0	. 1	2	0 2
MIDDLE ATLANTIC									
New York: Buifaio New York Rochester Syracuse New Jersey:	538, 016 5, 873, 356 316, 786 182, 003	24 42 1 0	21 141 11 9	7 122 0 1	27	0 5 0 0	2 5 3 4	0 33 0 0	5 116 2 2
Camden Newark Trenton	128, 642 452, 513 132, 020	2 12 0	7 13 5	18 5 3	. 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0	0 2 0	3 8 2

¹ No estimate made.

<u></u>							,	-	
		Chick	Diph	theria	Infit	10 1128	Mar		_
Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1925, estimated	Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Mea- sles, cases re- ported	Munnpe, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
MIDDLE ATLANTIC-CON.									
Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Pittsburgh Reading	1, 979, 364 631, 563 112, 707	17 40 5	64 31 4	29 15 0		2 2 0	0 3 0	4 2 1	81 7 1
EAST NORTH CENTRAL									
Ohio: Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo	409, 333 936, 485 279, 836 287, 380	5 17 0 22	17 47 7 13	14 50 9 5	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 5 1 2	0 0 0	4 15 2 0
Indiana: Fort Wayne Indianapolis South Bend Terre Haute	97, 846 358, 819 80, 091 71, 071	3 22 8 7	3 14 2 2	7 19 2 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 0	0 0 0	1 6 2 3
Ilfinois: Chicago Peoria. Springfield	2, 995, 239 81, 564 63, 923	31 0 2	126 2 2	66 6 0	16 0 0	0 0 0	28 25 6	10 5 0	29 3 1
Michigan: Detroit Flint Grand Rapids Wisconsin:	1, 245, 824 130, 316 153, 698	26 7 1	59 12 6	125 7 2	1 0 0	1 9 0	1 2 1	9 1 1	13 3 2
Kenosha Madison Milwaukee Racine Superior	50, 801 46, 385 509, 192 67, 707 39, 671	1 0 36 4 0	1 1 23 2 1	0 1 17 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	Q 0 5 0 0	0 0 13 3 0	1 2 9 0 0
WEST NORTH CENTRAL				Ì			ł		
Minnesota: Duluth Minneapolis St. Paul Iowa:	110, 502 425, 435 246, 001	4 28 15	4 31 20-	1 34 12	0 0 0	0 0 3	14 2 1	0 0 0	1 3 5
Davenport Des Moines Sioux City Waterloo	52, 469 141, 441 76, 411 36, 771	1 0 2 12	2 9 3 1	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0		2 0 2 1	0 0 1 0	
Kansas City St. Joseph St. Louis Vorth Dakota:	367, 481 78, 342 821, 543	9 1 10	13 3 47	2 0 52	2 0 0	2 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 2 -	7 0
Fargo Grand Forks bouth Dakota;	26, 403 14, 811	3 0	0	0	0	0	12	3 0 -	0
A berdeen Sioux Falls	15, 036 30, 127	2 1	01	0	0-	θ	0	0 - 0 -	<u>0</u>
Lincoln Omaha Kansas:	60, 941 211, 768	2 1	1 13	3 0	0	1 0	0	0	2 6
Wichita SOUTH ATLANTIC	55, 411 88, 367	4 1	2 4	0 2	0	0	0	0- 0-	0 3
Delaware: Wilmington	199 040	0							
aryland: Baltimore Cumberland	122, 049 796, 296 33, 741	15 0	3 27 1	1 20 1	0 5 0	0 1 0	0 4 0	0 2 0	2 17 0
Frederick. District of Columbia: Washington	12, 035 497, 906	0 2	1 15	2 13	Ŏ	0 e	0	ě l	Ŭ 8

City reports for week ended October 16, 1926-Continued

City reports for week ended October 16, 1926-Continued

	• •								
			Diph	theria	Influ	lenza			
Division, State, and city	Population July 1, 1925, estimated	Chick- en por, cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Mea- sles, cases re- ported	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu- monia, deaths re- ported
SOUTH ATLANTIC-COD.									
Virginia: Lynchburg Norfolk Richmond Roanoke West Virginia:	30, 395 (¹) 186, 403 58, 208	0 11 0 0	2 3 22 5	3 5 24 10	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 5 1
Charleston Huntington Wheeling North Carolina:	49, 019 63, 485 56, 208	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	3 4 3	0 6 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1
Wilmington Winston-Salem South Carolina:	30, 371 37, 061 69, 031	1 0 0	4 1 4	5 1 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 0 2
Columbia Greenville Georgia:	73, 125 41, 225 27, 311	0 0 0	1 3 1	3 2 4	25 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 0 0
Atlanta Brunswick Savannah Florida:	(1) 16, 809 93, 134	2 0 0	10 0 4	15 0 0	4 0 1	0 0 1	3 0 0	1 9 0	2 0 2
Miami St. Petersburg Tampa	69, 754 26, 847 94, 743	0	0 1	5 3	3 0	0 0 1	0 0	0 0	1 0 0
BAST SOUTH CENTRAL									
Kentucky: Covington Louisville	58, 309 305, 935	04	· 12	8 0	0 1	0	0 0	0 Q	0
Tennessee: Memphis Nashville Alabama:		5 0	13 4	7 28	0	0 1	0	0	42
Birmingham Mobile Montgomery	205, 670 65, 955 46, 481	0 0 0	7 2 3	0 1 8	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 2 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 8 0
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL									
Arkansas: Fort Smith Little Rock	31, 643 74, 2 16	1 0	2 2	2 0	0 0	0	2 0	0 0	2
Louisiana: New Orleans Shreveport Oklahoma:	414, 493 57, 8 57	0	10 0	1 2	3 0	· 0	0	0	10 1 0
Oklahoma City Texas:	(1)	0	3	2	13	0	0	0	3
Dall a s Galveston Houston San Antonio	194, 450 48, 375 164, 954 198, 669	4 0 0 0	9 0 3 1	21 2 21 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 3 4
MOUNTAIN								1	
Montana: Billings Great Falls Helena Missoula	17, 971 29, 883 12, 037 12, 668	1 11 0 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 1 1
Idaho: Boise	23, 642		1	0	0	0	0		0
Colorado: Denver Pueblo New Mexico:	280, 911 43, 787	· 1 4	14 5	15 0	0	3 0	3 0	0	5 0
Albuquerque	21 , 000	1	1	0	0	0	0) 0	1

¹ No estimate made.

November 5, 1926

2564

		Diph	theria	Influ	lenza			
Population July 1, 1925, estimated	Chick- en pox, cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	Mea- sles, cases re- ported	Mumps, cases re- ported	Pneu monia, deaths re- ported
38, 669 130, 9 48 12, 665	0 9 0	0 4 0	0 3 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 23 0	0 0 0	0 4 0
(1) 108, 897 104, 455 282, 383 (1) 72, 260	37 13 5 8 11 0	7 4 3 9 38 2	14 4 5 6 25 5	0 0 0 3 1	1 0 1 0	3 3 1 13 3 5	16 0 1 7	2 7 9 5
	July 1, 1925, estimated 38, 669 130, 948 12, 665 (1) 108, 897 104, 455 282, 383 (1)	July 1, 1925, estimated en pox, re- ported 38,669 0 130,948 9 12,665 0 (1) 37 108,897 13 104,455 5 282,383 8 (1) 11	Population July 1, 1925, estimated Chick- en pox, re- ported Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy 38, 669 0 0 38, 669 0 0 130, 948 9 4 12, 665 0 0 (1) 37 7 106, 897 13 4 104, 455 5 3 282, 383 8 9 (1) 11 38	Population July 1, 1925, estimated en pox, cases ported Cases, match ported Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy Cases, re- ported 38,669 0 0 0 38,669 0 0 0 130,948 9 4 3 12,665 0 0 0 (1) 37 7 14 108,897 13 4 4 104,455 5 3 5 282,383 8 9 6 (1) 11 38 25	Population July 1, 1925, estimated Chick- en pox, re- ported Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy Cases, re- mated expect- ancy Cases, re- ported Cases, re- ported 38,669 0 0 0 0 0 130,948 9 4 3 0 12,665 0 0 0 0 (1) 106,897 37 13 7 4 14 0 0 282,383 8 9 6 0 (1) 11 38 25 3	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

City reports for week ended October 16, 1926-Continued

											1
	Scarle	et fever		Smallp	DX			phoid (lever	Whoop-	
Division, State, and city	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re-	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths rc- ported	Tuber- culosis, deaths re- ported	Cases, esti- mated	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	ing cough.	Deaths, all causes
NEW ENGLAND											
Maine:											
Portland	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	17
New Hampshire:	-	-	-	·	Ů	Ů	-	-	, v	, v	
Concord	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8
Manchester	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Vermont: Barre	0	0		•							
Burlington	1	ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	0		4	25
Massachusetts:	-	v	v	v	U	U U	U	U	Ű	1	. 0
Boston	24	31	0	0	0	12	4	17	2	18	191
Fall River	1	2	Ó	0	Ő	2	2	1	Õ	4	27
Springfield	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	35
Worcester Rhode Island:	7	18	0	0	0	3	1	8	0	3	40
Pawtucket	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0			
Providence	4	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	2	1	1	0	02	9 72
Connecticut:	-	Ů	· · ·]	Ŭ,		-	-	-	v	-	14
Bridgeport	3	5	0	0	0	0	1	0.	0	0	16
Hartford	3		0				1				
New Haven	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	. 4	35
MIDDLE ATLANTIC											
New York:											
Buffalo	13	6	0	0	0	10	3	2	2	12	139
New York	57	53	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	2 86	29	29	4	36	1, 267
Rochester	· 6	3	0	0	Ō	3	2	2	ō	3	61
Syracuse New Jersey:	6	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	Ó	17	57
Camden	2	5	0				.				
Newark	8	3	ŏ	0	0	2 11	1 3	0 1	0	$\begin{array}{c}1\\22\end{array}$	26 93
Trenton	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	- 11	1	ó	ŏ	22	93 30
Pennsylvania:	-	-	°	· •	Ŭ	•	- 1	v I	, v		00
Philadelphia	41	42	0	0	0	38	12	12	1	34	449
Pittsburgh Reading	28 1	9 1	0	0	0	16	3	3	0	11	148
rearing	1.	11	0	0 1	0	3	11	1'	0	5	23

¹ No estimate made.

³ Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

	Scarle	t fever		Smallpo	x	Tuber-	Ту	phoid f	ever	Whoop-	
Division, State, and city	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	culosis, deaths re-		Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	ing cough, cases re- ported	Deaths, all causes
EAST NORTH CENTRAL											
Ohio: Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Toledo Indiana:	9 18 7 8	5 11 8 6	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 12 5 6	1 2 4 2 2	0 6 2 0	0 2 0 0	4 20 2 32	101 208 71 59
Fort Wayne Indianapolis South Bend Terre Haute	1 6 2 1	1 8 1 3	1 1 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 13 0 1	1 2 0 0	2 2 0 0	· 3 0 0	0 17 2 0	23 102 13 23
Illinois: Chicago Peoria Springfield Michigan:	7 2 9 1	52 3 1	0 9 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	62 1 0	7 0 1	· 8 0 0	2 10 0	53 1 5	614 20 20
Detroit Flint Grand Rapids. Wisconsin:	48 7 6	51 22 8	2 0 0	1 0 0	. 0 0 0	17 0 0	- 5 0 0	2 0 0	2 0 0	37 0 1	266 28 35
Kenosha Madison Milwaukee Racine Superior	1 18 3 2	1 2 18 1 2	1 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 1 0	1 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 6 46 2 0	7 3 71 7 7 7
WEST NORTH CENTRAL Minnesota:											
Duluth Minneapolis St. Paul	6 28 12	9 58 34	0 1 3	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 4 4	1 1 0	0 1 1	0 0 10	1 4 18	21 76 55
Davenport Des Moines Sioux City Waterloo	1 8 2 2	2 4 3 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0			0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0		0 0 1 7	
Missouri: Kansas City St. Joseph St. Louis North Dakota:	8 3 26	3 4 19	0 0 1	0 0 1	0 0 0	8 0 10	3 0 4	0	0 0 2	5 3 13	92 32 193
Farge Grand Forks South Dakota:	1	11 8	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		0 D	8
Aberdeen Sioux Falls Nebraska:	1	6 0	00	0	0	0	0	0		5 10	
Lincoln Omaha Kansas:	0 3 2	16	0 1 0	0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	03	0 1 1	1 1 0	0 1 1	1 0 1	15 47 14
Topeka Wichita SOUTH ATLANTIC	2	3 7	Ő	0	Û	0 2	1	0	Ō	4	35
Delaware: Wilmington Maryland:	2	0	0	0	0	10	1	10	0	Ð	2 5
Baltimore Cumberland Frederick	10 1 0	12 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	15 0 0	9 0 0	11 0 0	0 0 0	32 0 0	208 13 2
District of Col.: Washington Virginia:	11	8	0	0	0	10	3	2	Ð	13	128
Lynchburg Norfolk Richmond Boanoke	1 1 7 2	4 2 8 5	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 9 1	0 0 0	1 1 4 1	1 0 2 1	3 D O 5	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0	9 51 19
West Virginia: Charleston Huntington Wheeling	1 1 4	5 4 2	0 0	0 0 0	0	4	2 0 2	0 0 0	0 0	1 0 1	23 22
North Carolina: Baleigh Wilmington Winston-Salem	2	6 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 1	0 1 2	01	13 5 7	15 12 19

City reports for week ended October 16, 1926-Continued

November 5, 1926

2566

	Scarle	t fever		Smallp	ox.	Tuber-	Ту	phoid i	fever 🛛	Whoop	
Division, State, and city	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	culosis, deaths re- ported	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases re- ported	Deaths re- ported	ing cough, cases re- ported	Deaths all causes
SOUTH ATLANTIC											
South Carolina: Charleston Columbia Greenville Georgia:	0 0 0	1 0 1	• 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	2 1 0	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	20
Atlanta Brunswick Savannah Florida;	6 • 1 1	12 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 0	2 0 0	5 2 0	1 0 0	2 0 0	72 5 30
Miami St. Petersburg. Tampa	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 0 0	4 0 0	0 1	2 2	0 0 0	3 0	32 9 23
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL											
Kentucky: Covington Louisville Tennessee:	1 4	2 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 5	0 4	0 2	0 2	0 3	18 81
Memphis Nashville Alabama:	3 4	12 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	4 3	3 3	9 12	1 2	• 21 6	81 50
Birmingham Mobile Montgomery	5 1 1	4 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	4 1 0	4 0 0	0 3 1	1 0 0	1 0 0	60 18 9
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL											
Arkansas: Forth Smith Little Rock Louisiana:	1 2	0 3	0 0	0	0	2	0 1	0 0	0	2 0	
New Orleans Shreveport Oklahoma:	3 1	3 3	0 0	00	0 0	12 5	4 1	2 0	0 0	0 0	134 30
Oklahoma City. Fexas: Dallas	1	2 9	0	0	0	2 3	1 2	4	0	0	23 44
Galveston Houston San Antonio MOUNTAIN	0 0 1	· 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 4 5	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 . 0	0 0 0	16 54 35
Montana: Billings Great Falls	1 1	03	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	5 10
Helena Missoula daho: Boise	1 0 0	0 5 0	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	02	01	0 0	6 10 3
Colorado: Denver Pueblo	5	18 2	1	0	0	84	3	1	0	2	80 12
Yew Mexico: Albuquerque	1	1	0	0	o	5	. 2	4	0	o	11
Phoenix Jtah: Salt Lake City.	1 2	0	0	0	0	7	0 3	0 2	· 0	0 5	17 29
Vevada: Reno PACIFIC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Vashington: Seattle Spokane	75	20 11	1	1			2 1	2		0.1	
Tacoma Dregon: Portland	3	2 30	1	11	0	0	1	1	0	0	19
alifornia: Los Angeles	11	27	2	6 0	0	0 18	2 4	1 1	1 0	2 5	70 205
Sacramento San Francisco.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\6 \end{bmatrix}$	2 14	0	0	0	$1 \\ 12$	1	1 0	1 0	0 9	155

City reports for week ended October 16, 1926-Continued

	Cereb men	rospinal ingitis		ha rgic phalitis	Pe	llagra	Poliomyelitis (infan- tile paralysis)			
Division, State, and city	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases, esti- mated expect- ancy	Cases	Deaths	
NEW ENGLAND						l				
Massachusetts: Boston	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	C	
Rhode Island:										
Providence	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MIDDLE ATLANTIC New York:										
New York	3	2	0	3	0	0	12	0	1	
New Jersey: Newark	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
Pennsylvania:	1 1	0	1		0			0	ò	
Philadelphia Pittsburgh	ŏ	ŏ	ō	1 1	0	0 0	1 0	1	Ŭ	
EAST NORTH CENTRAL										
Ohio: Toledo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Illinois:										
Chicago Michigan:	1	0	1	1	0	0	4	4	0	
Detroit	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4	
WEST NORTH CENTRAL										
Missouri: Kansas City	0	o	0	0	0	o	0	1	Ö	
Kansas City St. Joseph ¹	Ŏ	0	0	0	Ó	1	0	0 1	0	
St. Louis	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	U	
SOUTH ATLANTIC										
Maryland: Baltimore	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
District of Columbia: Washington	0	0	ì	1	0	o	1	0	0	
virginia:	o	0					0	0	1	
Richmond Roanoke	ŏ	Ő	0	1 0	0	0 1	ŏ	ŏ	9	
North Carolina: Wilmington	1	0	0	0	0	o	0	1	0	
South Carolina:		0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Charleston	0	-							-	
Atlanta Florida:	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Tampa	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
BAST SOUTH CENTRAL										
Kentucky: Louisville	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	1	0	
Tennessee:							o	0	0	
Memphis Nashville	0 1	1	0	0	0	1 0	0	ŏ	ŏ	
Alabama: ² Birmingham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
-	Ů	ů l	Ĩ	°	Ŭ	Ĩ	-		_	
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL Louisiana:										
New Orleans	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	
Texas: Dallas	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
MOUNTAIN							}			
Montana: Missoula	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	-		-		-	-		ļ		
PACIFIC Washington:		_				_ [-	
Seattle Oregon:	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Portland California: 2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
San Francisco	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	

City reports for week ended October 1, 1923-Continued

¹ Rabies (human): 1 case and 1 death at St. Joseph, Mo. ² Typhus fever: 1 case at Montgomery, Ala., and 1 case at Los Angeles, Calif

The following table gives the rates per 100,000 population for 101 cities for the five-week period ended October 16, 1926, compared with those for a like period ended October 17, 1925. The population figures used in computing the rates are approximate estimates as of July 1, 1925 and 1926, respectively, authoritative figures for many of the cities not being available. The 101 cities reporting cases had an estimated aggregate population of nearly 30,000,000 in 1925 and nearly 30,500,000 in 1926. The 95 cities reporting deaths had more than 29,200,000 estimated population in 1925 and more than 29,730,000 in 1926. The number of cities included in each group and the estimated aggregate populations are shown in a separate table below.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, September 12 to October 16, 1926-Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1925 1

	Week ended—										
	Sept. 19, 1925	Sept. 18, 1926	Sept. 26, 1925	Sept. 25, 1926	Oct. 3, 1925	Oct. 2, 1926	Oct. 10, 1925	Oct. 9, 1926	Oct. 17, 1925	Oct. 16, 1926	
101 cities	2 95	84	2 97	107	3 115	3 128	134	4 159	150	\$ 165	
New England	139	35	81	73	74	66	96	66	120	\$ 84	
Middle Atlantic	83	63	81	70	84	81	114	118	129	100	
East North Central	76	95	101	128	3 130	\$ 135	153	188	166	219	
West North Central	145	95	153	127	192	143	198	177	233	209	
South Atlantic	88	111	109	128	207	163	179	6 224	209	218	
East South Central	74	109	58	135	63	270	89	7 242	89	270	
West South Central	57	77	75	69	62	211	79	⁸ 188	88	219	
Mountain	\$ 217	237	2 189	137	129	291	194	173	157	164	
Pacific	130	100	102	213	102	175	102	200	105	175	
		MEA	SLES (CASE	RATES			•			
101 cities	² 29	28	2 35	37	3 39	3 36	53	4 31	67	5 44	
New England	108	19	177	38	242	21	371	33	431	⁵ 28	
Middle Atlantic	34	19	33	30 9	35	10	47	11	⁴³¹ 65	- 28	
East North Central	22	23	22	22	3 24	324	24	29	24	36	
West North Central	8	12	6	28	6	10	6	26	10		
South Atlantic	15	9	29	11	23	10	15	¢ 16	52	21	
East South Central	5	16	11	10	11	13	11	. 76	5	0	
West South Central	4	4		0	11	ő	0	50	ő	13	
Mountain	29	73	228	118	9	109	37	109	18	237	
Pacific	14	213	19	310	3	329	11	181	28	291	
	SC.	ARLEI	FEVE	ER CA	SE RA'	res		· ·	·I		
101 cities	3 60	66	2 63	79	3 86	3 100	92	4 112	121	\$ 130	
New England	60	76	46	71	86	104	105	144	127	\$ 143	
Middle Atlantic	46	44	48	56	62	51	105 65	57	75	• 143 62	
East North Central	58	64	65	80	3 96	3 99	109	121	143	132	
West North Central	133	129	135	153	176	197	119	215	256	318	
South Atlantic	36	49	61	79	67	197	92	• 103	129	126	
East South Central	53	119	74	83	74	99	121	7 149	142	120	
West South Central	40	30	13	52	48	69	62	\$ 64	53	86	
Mountain	2 161	82	2 85	118	176	319	02 148	300	46	264	
Pacific	64	119	- 65	118	88	175	102	159	135	204	
_ aomo		113		119	00	113	102	100	199	200	
1 The figures given in this	table or	o rotos	Dar 100 (00 000	lation	oppusi	hogig o	nd not	the num	bor of	

DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

--- -

. .

1

¹ The figures given in this table are rates per 100,000 population, annual basis, and not the number of cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1925 and 1926, respectively.
² Helena, Mont., not included.
³ Superior, Wis., not included.
⁴ Greenville, S. C., Tampa, Fla., Covington, Ky., and Little Rock, Ark., not included.
⁶ Hartford, Conn., not included.
⁶ Greenville, S. C., and Tampa, Fla., not included.
⁷ Covington, Ky., not included.
⁸ Little Rock, Ark., not included.

Summary of weekly reports from cities, September 12 to October 16, 1926—Annual rates per 100,000 population, compared with rates for the corresponding period of 1925—Continued

	Week ended										
	Sept. 19, 1925	Sept. 18, 1926	Sept. 26, 1925	Sept. 25, 1926	Oct. 3, 1925	Oct. 2, 1926	Oct. 10, 1925	Oct. 9, 1926	Oct. 17, 1925	Oct. 16, 1926	
101 cities	26	2	2 5	3	3 2	31	5	+ 3	8	54	
New England Middle Atlantic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$ 0 0	
East North Central	2 2 12 37	0	22	12	³ 0 2	³ 0 2	1 10	$\frac{1}{2}$	8 0	3 6	
South Atlantic	12	9	6	6	0	4	6	٥ <u>٥</u>	6	4	
East South Central	3/	0	32 0	0 13	0	0	16 0	7 11 8 5	42 0	4	
Mountain	20	0	38	0	9) 9	9	9	28	9	
Pacific	47	19	39	19	25	5	44	19	55	32	

SMALLPOX CASE RATES

TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

101 cities	2 49	53	2 44	44	³ 39	³ 42	36	4 33	35	\$ 32
New England	29	33	22	9	46	17	26	17	24	\$ 61
Middle Atlantic	35	55	34	45	32	28	31	27	28	26
East North Central	18	29	29	26	³ 20	³ 34	21	23	31	15
West North Central	57	26	16	26	35	40	33	22	20	14
South Atlantic	104	81	88	92	50	115	52	6 75	65	66
East South Central	194	249	200	166	131	130	163	7 154	121	140
West South Central	159	69	97	77	92	47	57	6 23	44	26
Mountain	2 85	82	2 94	36	111	82	120	64	46	46
				36 22	111 28	82 19	120 8	64 22	46 19	46 16

INFLUENZA DEATH RATES

95 cities	25	4	13	6	35	³ 6	3	⁹ 4	6	\$ 6
New England Middle Atlantic. Fast North Central. South Atlantic. East South Critral West South Critral. West South Central. Mountain. Pacific.	0 6 4 6 2 5 10 2 19 0	0 3 3 4 6 5 24 0 7	0 3 4 4 2 0 0 29 4	5 3 3 9 10 24 9 7	0 3 36 6 4 16 19 0 0	2 2 3 5 0 9 10 38 18 7	0 3 4 2 0 15 9 0	0 3 2 6 6 7 6 14 18 0	0 5 8 6 2 16 10 0 11	5 4 2 11 8 16 14 27 11

PNEUMONIA DEATH RATES

95 cities	2 60	53	2 54	65	3 61	³ 69	63	۶ <u>64</u>	90	^{\$} 78
New England	67	54	53	76	31	87	58	33	93	5 79
Middle Atlantic	61	51	66	70	68	71	63	76	94	88
East North Central	44	40	39	45	344	3 58	61	54	89	63
West North Central	45	51	26	55	36	70	45	63	58	53
South Atlantic.	81	54	86	79	81	66	71	61	121	88
East South Central	79	52	42	88	100	109	110	777	95	52
West South Central	77	123	48	99	63	71	63	94	53	104
Mountain	2 113	118	276	55	139	155	92	55	120	118
Pacific	62	53	51	78	87	28	51	53	80	82

² Helena, Mont., not included.
³ Superior, Wis., not included.
⁴ Greenville, S. C., Tampa, Fla., Covington, Ky., and Little Rock, Ark., not included.
⁵ Hartford, Conn., not included.
⁶ Greenville, S. C., and Tampa, Fla., not included.
⁷ Covington, Ky., not included.
⁸ Little Rock, Ark., not included.
⁸ Greenville, S. C., Tampa, Fla., and Covington, Ky., not included.

November 5, 1926

November 5, 1926	2570
Number of cities included in summary) of weekly reports, and aggregate population
of cities in each group, approximate	d as of July 1, 1925 and 1926, respectively

Group of cities	Number of cities	of cities	Aggregate p cities repo	opulation of rting cases	Aggregate population of cities reporting deaths		
	repoiting cases	reporting deaths	1925	1926	1925	1926	
Total	101	95	29, 900, 058	30, 427, 598	29, 221, 531	29, 733, 613	
New England Middle Atlantic East North Central. West North Central South Atlantic. East South Central. West South Central. Mountain. Pacific.	12 10 16 12 21 7 8 9 6	12 10 16 10 21 7 6 9 4	2, 176, 124 10, 346, 970 7, 481, 656 2, 550, 024 2, 716, 070 993, 103 1, 184, 057 563, 912 1, 888, 142	2, 206, 124 10, 476, 970 7, 655, 436 2, 589, 131 2, 776, 070 1, 004, 953 1, 212, 057 572, 773 1, 934, 084	2, 176, 124 10, 346, 970 7, 481, 656 2, 431, 253 2, 716, 070 993, 103 1, 078, 198 563, 912 1, 434, 245	2, 206, 124 10, 476, 970 7, 655, 436 2, 468, 448 2, 776, 070 1, 004, 953 1, 103, 695 572, 773 1, 469, 144	

FOREIGN AND INSULAR

CHOLERA ON VESSEL

Further relative to steamship "Macedonia"—Suez, Egypt, from Yokohama, Japan, via ports—September 26, 1926.¹—Information received under date of September 26, 1926, from Suez, Egypt, shows the arrival at that port of the steamship Macedonia from Yokohama, Japan, via Kobe, Hongkong, Shanghai, and Colombo, with history of having landed seven cases of cholera at Yokohama, August 5, 1926. Previous report had stated the arrival at Yokohama of the vessel with one case of cholera. The medical officer on the vessel stated that the entire crew had received vaccination against cholera.

THE FAR EAST

Reports for week ended October 9, 1926.—The following report for the week ended October 9, 1926, was transmitted by the Far Eastern Bureau of the Secretariat of the Health Section of the League of Nations, located at Singapore, to the headquarters at Geneva:

	Pla	gue	Ch	olera	Sm	allpox		Plague Chol		olera	lera Smallpox		
Maritime towns	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Maritime towns	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths	Cases	Deaths
Egypt: Alexandria Mauritius: Port Louis Arabia: Aden British India: Calcutta Bombay Madras Rangoon	0	0 1 0 1 0 2	0 0	0 0 14 0 0	1 0 1 4 3 11 0	0 0 2 2 1 0	Dutch East Indies: Belawan Deli Siam: Bangkok China: Amoy Shanghai	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 18 6	0 0 	1 3 0 0	0 2 0 0

Telegraphic reports from the following maritime towns indicated that no case of plague, cholera, or smallpox was reported during the week:

ASIA

Arabia.—Jeddah, Kamaran, Perim. Iraq.—Basra. Persia.—Mohammerah, Bender-Abbas, Bushire. British India.—Karachi, Chittagong, Cochin, Vizagapatam, Tuticorin, Negapatam.

¹ Public Health Reports, Sept. 10, 1926, p 1984 14211°----26†-----4 (2571)

Ceylon.—Colombo.

Federated Malay States.—Port Swettenham.

Straits Settlements.—Sinpapore, Penang.

Dutch East Indies.—Batavia, Cheribon, Surabaya, Samarang, Palembang, Sabang, Makassar, Banjermasin, Tarakan, Padang, Balik-Papan, Samarinda, Menado.

Sarawak.—Kuching.

British North Borneo.-Sandakan, Jesselton, Kudat, Tawao.

Portuguese Timor.-Dilly.

French Indo-China.-Saigon and Cholon, Turane, Haïphong.

China.—Hong-Kong.

Formosa.-Keelung.

Japan.—Yokohama, Osaka, Nagasaki, Moji, Kobe, Niigata, Tsuruga, Hakodate, Simonoseki.

Korea.—Chemulpo, Fusan.

Manchuria.-Mukden, Changchun, Harbin, Antung.

Kwantung.—Port Arthur, Dairen.

U.S.S.R.-Vladivostok.

AUSTRALASIA AND OCEANIA

Australia.—Adelaide, Melbourne, Sydney, Brisbane, Rockhampton, Townsville, Port Darwin, Broome, Fremantle, Carnarvon, Thursday Island.

New Guinea.—Port Moresby.

New Britain Mandated Territory.-Rabaul.

New Zealand.—Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, Invercargill; Dunedin.

New Caledonia.—Noumea.

Fiji.—Suva.

Hawaii.—Honolulu.

Society Islands.—Papeete.

AFRICA

Egypt.—Port Said, Suez. Anglo-Egyptian Sudan.—Port Said, Suakin. Eritrea.—Massaua. French Somaliland.—Jibuti. British Somaliland.—Berbera. Italian Somaliland.—Mogadiscio. Kenya.—Mombasa. Zanzibar.—Zanzibar. Tanganyika.—Dar-es-Salaam. Seychelles.—Victoria. Portuguese East Africa.—Mozambique, Beira, Lorenço-Marques.

Union of South Africa.—Durban, East London, Port Elizabeth, Cape Town.

Reports had not been received in time for distribution from-

Dutch East Indies.—Pontianak. Philippine Islands.—Manila, Iloilo, Jolo, Cebu, Zamboanga. Madagascar.—Tamatave, Majunga.

ALGERIA

Plague—Algiers—September 23, 1926.—Information received under date of October 14, 1926, shows the occurrence of a case of plague at Algiers, Algeria, September 23, 1926.

CANADA

Communicable diseases—Week ended October 16, 1926.—The Canadian Ministry of Health reports cases of certain communicable diseases in seven Provinces of Canada for the week ended October 16, 1926, as follows:

Disease	Nova Scotia	New Bruns- wick	Quebec	Ontario	Mani- toba	Sas- katch- ewan	Alberta	Total
Cerebrospinal fever Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis Poliom yelitis Smallpox Typhoid fever	12	5		2 2 8 3 20	7	1 2	 6 4	2 12 2 8 10 48

CUBA

Malaria prevalence—Santiago—July-August, 1926.—On July 12. 1926, 75 cases of malaria were reported present at Santiago de Cuba. Malaria prevalence was continuously reported to October 16, 1926, with 20 cases present on that date. Population, 70,000.

Typhoid and other fevers—Water supply.—Typhoid fever and intestinal fevers were stated to be prevalent and the water supply and sanitary system inadequate.

EGYPT

Typhoid fever prevalence—Alexandria.—Typhoid fever prevalence was reported at Alexandria, Egypt, September 23, 1926. Previous reports show the occurrence of 55 cases of typhoid fever at Alexandria from July 1 to 29 and 128 cases with 10 deaths from July 30 to August 26, 1926.

HAITI

Disease prevalence—Port au Prince.—Disease prevalence has been reported at Port au Prince, Haiti, for the months of July, August, and September, 1926, as follows: Gastroenteritis continuously present and constituting the most important health problem of the community; tuberculosis and typhoid fever present with an unreported number of cases; malaria stated to be present in many sections of the Island. Population, 120,000.

MADAGASCAR

Plague—July, 1926—August 1-15, 1926.—Plague has been reported in the Island of Madagascar as follows:.July 1-31, 1926 cases, 17; deaths, 16. The urban occurrence was: Tamatave (port)cases, 3; deaths, 3 (bubonic); Tananarive (interior)—cases, 4; deaths, 4 (pneumonic). August 1-15, 1926.—Cases, 30; deaths, 25. The urban occurrence was as follows: *Majunga* (port)—cases, 14; deaths, 10; *Tamatare* (port)—cases, 3; deaths, 2 (bubonic). *Tananarive*—(interior) cases, 3; deaths, 3 (pneumonic, 2; septicemic, 1).

Further relative to plague at Majunga, Tamatave, and Tananarive.— On August 14, 1926, bubonic plague was declared epidemic at Majunga. This locality was stated to be the most important port on the west coast of Madagascar. At Tananarive from August 15 to 24, 1926, two deaths from plague in Europeans, members of the same family, were reported. The last previous occurrence of plague in Europeans was stated to have been in the year 1924.

SALVADOR

Mortality—San Salvador—July, 1926.—During the month of July, 1926, 60 deaths from communicable diseases were reported at San Salvador, Republic of Salvador. Gastroenteritis caused 43 deaths, measles 6, tuberculosis 9, typhoid fever 2. (Population, 85,000.)

Mortality—Malaria—Republic of Salvador.—During the period under report, 3,485 deaths were reported in the Republic of Salvador. The prevailing diseases were stated to be malaria and other tropical fevers. (Population, 1,600,000.)

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER

The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the lists of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
China: Shanghai India	Sept. 12-18	2	19	Cases, foreign: Deaths, nativa and foreign. Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 2.376
Calcutta Madras Siam		27 3	27 3	deaths, 1,528. Sept. 5-11, 1926: Cases, 33; death:
Bangkok	Sept. 5-11	7	4	23. Apr. 1-Sept. 11, 1526 Cases, 7,587; deaths, 4,976. District.
S. S. Macedonia	Aug. 5	7		At Yokohama, Japan, from Sin gapore, July 18. Correcte- from report of Sept. 10, 1925 Vessel last reported at Suez.

Reports Received During Week Ended November 5, 1926 1

CHOLERA

PLAGUE

Algeria:				
Algiers	Sept. 23	1		
Oran	Sept. 23 Sept. 21-30	6	1	
Greece:	copt. 21 oblight	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	•	
Patras	Cant of Oat o			
I attas	Sept. 20-Oct. 2	1 }	!	

¹ From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received During Week Ended November 5, 1926-Continued

PLAGUE—Continued

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
India				Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 481
Bombay	Sept. 12-18	4	3	deaths, 266.
Madras Presidency	Aug. 29-Sept. 4	75	51	
Rangoon	Sept. 5-18	18	19	
Iraq: Baghdad Madagascar:		2	2	
Tananarive Province				July, 1926: Cases, 17; deaths, 16 Bubonic and pneumonia. Aug 1-15, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths 25. Bubonic, pneumonic, sep
Towns— Majunga	Aug. 1-15	14	10	ticemic. Bubonic (port).
Tamatave		3	3	Do.
Do	Aug. 1-15	3	2	Do.
Tananarive	July 1-31	4	4 3	Pneumonic. (Interior.)
Do Siam.	Aug. 1-15	•	3	Pneumonic. (Interior.) Pneumonic, 2; septicemic, 1. Apr. 1-Sept. 11, 1926: Cases, 15
				deaths, 10.
	SMA	LLPOX		
Brazil:				
Para	Sept. 5-25	11	8	
Pernambuco Rio de Janeiro	Aug. 29-Sept. 11 Sept. 19-25		8 198	From Jan. 1-Sept. 25, 1926
Rio de Janen o	Dept. 10 20	001	100	Cases, 3, 272; deaths, 1, 690.
British South Africa:		_		
Northern Rhodesia	Sept. 11-17	1		
Canada: Alberta	Oct. 9-16	6		
Calgary	do	5		
Ontario	do	3		
Saskatchewan		1		
Ceylon: Colombo	Sept. 12-18	2		
Great Britain:				
London				
London	Sept. 26-Oct. 2	2		
Newcastle-on-Tyne	Oct. 3-9	ī		Several acces
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields	Oct. 3-9 do	ī		Several cases. Aug. 22-28, 1926; Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia	Oct. 3-9	ī		Several cases. Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471 deaths, 407.
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields	Oct. 3-9 do Sept. 5-18 do	1 		Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia Bombay Calcutta Madras	Oct. 3-9 do	1 	4	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia Bombay Calcutta Madras Rangoon	Oct. 3-9 do Sept. 5-18 do	1 	4	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
New castle-on-Tyne South Shields Bombay Calcutta Madras. Rangoon raq:	Oct. 3-9do Sept. 5-18 do Sept. 19-25 Sept. 5-11	1 8 4 4 1	4	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia Bombay Calcutta Madras Rangoon raq: Baghdad	Oct. 3-9do Sept. 5-18 do Sept. 19-25 Sept. 5-11	1 	4	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia Bombay Calcutta Madras Rangoon raq: Baghdad	Oct. 3-9 do Sept. 5-18 Oc Sept. 19-25 Sept. 5-11 do	1 8 4 1 1	4	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields India Bombay Calcutta Madras Rangoon Baghdad Baghdad San Luis Potosi Portugal:	Oct. 3-9 Sept. 5-18 do Sept. 19-25 Sept. 5-11 do Oct. 3-16	1 8 4 4 1 1	48	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia. Bombay Calcutta. Madras. Rangoon. raq: Baghdad. Mexico: San Luis Potosi. Portugal: Lisbon.	Oct. 3-9 do	1 8 4 1 1	4 8 	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471 deaths, 407.
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields ndia. Bombay Calcutta. Madras. Rangoon. raq: Baghdad. Mexico: San Luis Potosi. Portugal: Lisbon.	Oct. 3-9 Sept. 5-18 do Sept. 19-25 Sept. 5-11 do Oct. 3-16	1 8 4 4 1 1	48	 Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471 deaths, 407. Sept. 5-11, 1923: Cases, 7; deaths 4. Apr. 1-Sept. 11, 1926: Cases
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields India. Bombay Calcutta. Madras. Rangoon. Iraq: Baghdad. Mexico: San Luis Potosi. Portugal: Lisbon.	Oct. 3-9 do	1 8 4 4 1 1	4 8 	Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471 deaths, 407. Sept. 5-11, 1923: Cases, 7; deaths
Newcastle-on-Tyne South Shields India. Bombay. Calcutta. Madras. Rangoon. Iraq: Baghdad. Mexico: San Luis Potosi. Portugal: Lisbon. Siam.	Oct. 3-9 do	1 8 4 1 1	4 8 	 Aug. 22-28, 1926: Cases, 1,471 deaths, 407. Sept. 5-11, 1923: Cases, 7; deaths 4. Apr. 1-Sept. 11, 1926: Cases 564; deaths, 222.

TYPHUS FEVER

			1	
China:				
Antung	Sept. 12-19	2		
Mexico:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mexico City	Sept. 26-Oct. 9	15		Including manicipalities in Fed-
Dolo ati				er il distric.
Palestine: Jaffa District	Sept. 28-Oct. 4	1		
Jerusalem	Sept. 25-Oct. 4	1		
Union of South Africa:	Sept. 21 21	•		
Natal-				
Durban.	Aug. 8-14	1		

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926¹

CHOLERA

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Ceylon				Apr. 18-May 29, 1926: Cases, 31
			1	deaths, 29.
China: Amoy	Aug 8-Sept 18	170		Stated to be present in epidemi
Canton	Aug. 8-Sept. 18 June 1-30	38		form.
Canton Do	July 15-31	54		iorm.
Foochow	Aug. 15–Sept. 18			Present.
Kulangsu	Sept. 12-18		- 2	
Manchuria-	1	Ι.	.	
Dairen Nanking	Aug. 23–29 July 25–Aug 7	. 1	1	Do.
Shanghai			8	. D0.
Do		34		Cases, foreign; deaths, nativ
Swatow	July 11-Sept. 18	. 36		and foreign.
Tsingtao	July 11-Aug. 30	4	4	Japanese settlements, 10 deaths Chinese, 30 to 40 deaths daily estimated.
Chesen:				
North Heian Province		70		Deaths estimated.
Shingishu French Settlements in India	Sept. 13	19		Including places in vicinity. Mar. 7–June 26, 1926: Cases, 31
French Settlements in India				Mar. 7-June 26, 1926: Cases, 31
India				deaths, 30. Apr. 25-June 26, 1926: Cases
				18,526; deaths, 11,531. June 27- Aug. 21, 1926: Cases, 16,248 deaths, 10,349.
Bombay	May 30-June 5	1	1	death3, 10,010.
Do	July 18-Aug. 28	3	3	
Calcutta	Apr. 4-May 29	478	418	
Do	June 13-26	73	69	
Do	June 27-Sept. 4	268 2	238	
Madras Do	Aug 1-Sept 18	4	13	
Rangoon	May 9-June 26	67	44	
Do.	June 27-Sept. 4	31	29	
Indo-China:				
Saigon		52	48	
Do		42	32	
DoJapan		31	17	To Sont 10 1008, Gazar 25
Ken (Prefecture)—				To Sept. 10, 1926: Cases, 35.
Hiroshima	To Sept. 10	1		
Hiroshima Hyogo	do	7		
Kagakawa Kanagawa Kochi	_ do	8		
Kanagawa	do	3		Including Yokohama.
Kochi	do			
Ookayama Osaka	do	6		
Taihoku	_ Sept. 1-10	2		
Wakayama	To Sept. 10.	2		
Philippine Islands:				
Manila		2	2	
Do	_ June 27-Sept. 11	13	3	
Provinces-	1 18 04			
Albay Davao	- Apr. 18-24	1 1	1	
Mindoro	Feb 21-Mar 6	3	3	
Pampanga	July 25-31	ĭ	ĭ	
Rizal	_ July 18-24	ī		
Romblon	Dec. 14-31	42	43	
Do	Jan. 2-Mar. 27	41	35	
Siam		1 207		Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 7,554;
Bangkok Do	May 2-June 12 June 20-26	1, 325 56	736 26	deaths, 4,953.
Do	June 27-Sept. 4	82	20	
Straits Settlements:	-		~3	
Singapore	July 4-17	2	1	
On vessel: Steamship Macedonia		1		At Yokohama, Japan. Vessel
				sailed from Singapore, July 18 1926.

· From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926-Continued

PLAGUE

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Algeria:				
Algiers	June 21-30	1		Under date of July 16, 2 cases
Do	July 1–20 Aug. 14 Sept. 7	1		reported.
Bona Philippeville	Aug. 14			
Philippeville	Sept. 7	1		
A zores:				
Fayal Island-				
Horta	Aug. 2-29	2	2	
St. Michaels Island	May 9–June 26	4	1	
Do	June 27-July 10	3	1	
Brazil:				
Paranagua	Oct. 8			Present.
British East Africa:			1	
Kisumu	May 16–22 Aug. 17–Sept. 11	1	1	
Do	Aug. 17-Sept. 11	3	2	
Uganda	Mar. 1–June 30	732	574	
Canary Islands:				
Teneriffe	Aug. 2	- 2		
Cevlon:	11 ug. 2	-		
Colombo	May 29-June 5	1	1	
	JUHC 0	1	1 1	
Chile:	June 20-26		1	
Iquique	June 20-20		1 1	
China:	Apr 18 June 90	40	30	
Amoy	Apr. 18-June 26 June 27-Aug. 7	28	30	
Do Foochow	June 27-Aug. 7			Comment open Not emidemic
Foochow	June 6-July 31			Several cases. Not epidemic.
Nanking	May 9-Sept. 18			Prevalent.
Swatow		14		
Ecuador				January-June, 1926: Cases, 385; deaths, 154.
	1			deaths, 154.
Chimborazo	January-June	9	2	Rats taken, 766.
Guayaquil.	May 16-June 30	6		Rats taken, 30,914; found in-
			1	fected, 31.
Do	July 1-Sept. 30	16	3	Rats taken, 62,544; found in-
				fected, 89.
Leon	January-June	43	19	Localities, 2
Loia	do	176	75	Cantons, 2.
Loja Tungurahua	do do	83	29	At Ambato, Huachi, and Pica-
rungu unuu				yhua. Rats taken, 1,542.
Egypt				Jan. 1-Sept. 9, 1926; Cases, 128.
City—				
Alexandria	July 27-Aug. 12 May 21-July 1	4	1	
Suez	May 21-July 1	9	5	
Suez Do	July 29	2		
Provinces—		-		
Behera	July 23-Aug. 15	4	1	
Beni-Suef	May 23-June 8	8	2	
Charkiah	July 27	ĭ	ī	
Gharbieh	June 2	1	l i	
Gharolen	Julie 2	1	i	
Minieh Sidi Barani	July 24	12	1 1	In western desert.
Sidi Barani	Sept. 30	. 12		In western deserv.
France:	Terler 0	1	1	Reported July 24.
Marseille	July 8		1 1	Vicinity of Paris.
St. Denis	Reported Aug. 2	1		Vicinity of Paris.
St. Ouen	Aug. 14	2		Suburb of Paris.
Great Britain:				
Liverpool	Aug. 29-Sept. 4	2	1	
Greece:				
Athens	Apr. 1-May 31	16	4	Including Piræus.
Do	Aug. 1-31	9	2	Do.
Patras	May 27–June 12 July 25–Sept. 4	4	1	
Do	July 25-Sept. 4	7	4	
Zante	May 17	1		
Hawaii:		-		
Hamakua	June 9			1 plague rodent trapped near
110mm u0	• uno •			Hamakua Mill.
Paauhau	July 18-24			Plouve infected rat tranned
India	July 10-24			Apr. 25-June 16, 1926: Cases, 53,001; dcaths, 41,576. June 27-Aug. 21, 1926: Cases, 2,245;
				53,001: dcaths. 41.576. June
			I i	27-Aug 21, 1926; Cases, 2.245;
			1	at 110p. at, 1000. Cubby ajaro,
	Mar 0 Inc. 20			deaths, 1,366.
Bombay	May 2-June 26	16	15	deatrs, 1,300.
	May 2-June 26 July 18-Aug. 21 May 23-June 26	16 5 15	15 5 13	deatns, 1,300.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926-Continued

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
India—Continued.				
Madras Presidency	Apr. 25-June 26	162	93	
Do	July 4-Aug. 28	434		
Rangoon	May 9-June 26	20		
	June 27-Sept. 4	56	44	
Indo-China:		1		
Saigon	May 23-June 26	! 8	3	
Do	July 18-Aug. 7	2	1	
Iraq:			1	
Baghdad	Apr. 18-June 12	161	108	
Do	July 18-31	2	2	
Japan:	Tuly 0 20	9	. 5	
Yokohama Do	July 2-30 Aug. 7	2		Total, July 2-Aug. 10, 1925:
D0	Aug. /			[Total, July 2-Aug. 10, 1925; Cases, 9; deaths, 8.
ava:				Cases, 9, deatus, 0,
Batavia	Apr. 24-June 19	65	65	
Do	$I_{1100} = 26$ -Sont 11	64	62	
Charibon	June 26-Sept. 11 Apr. 11-24 June 13-19	3	3	
Cheribon East Java and Madoera	Inno 12-10	1	1	
Do	July 25-31	i	1	
Surabaya	Aug. 22-28	17	2	
Madagascar:	11ug. 44-40	11	2	
Ambositra Province	Max 1-15	4	4	Septicemic,
Ambositra Province Antisirabi Province	May 1-15 June 16-30	4	4	Septicenne,
Itasy Province	do	17	10	
Majungo Province	do	10	6	
Majunga Province Mananjary Province	do	10	1	
Moramanga Province	Apr 1-15	2		Do.
Tananariya Province	Apr. 1-15	-	1 *	Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 130;
Tananarive Province Tamatave (Port) Tananarive Town	May 16_31	1	1	deaths, 120.
Tanatave (101)	Apr 1-Jupe 20	7	7	utatio, 120.
fauritius:	Apr. 1-June 50			
Port Louis	Tuly 31	1	1	
Port Louis	July 51	1		Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Cases, 115
ilgenta			3	doathe 02
Peru				May-June, 1926: Cases, 57; deaths, 16. July 1-Aug. 31,
				1926; Cases, 44; deaths, 16.
Departments-	36 1.01			
Ancash	May 1-31			Present.
Ancash Do Cajamarca	July 1-31	2	<u>-</u> -	
Cajamarca	May 1-June 30	10	4	
Do Ica	Aug. 1-31	1		
1ca	May 1-31	1		
Do Libertad Lima Do Piura	July 1-31	1		
Labertad	May 1-51	4		
Lima	May 1-June 30	29 40	12	
D0	July 1-Aug. 30		16	
Plura.	June 1-30	13		T 1 M 01 1000 G 07
lussia				Jan. 1-Mar. 31, 1926: Cases, 37. Nov. 1-30, 1925: Cases, 3; deaths, 2. Mar. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Cases,
enegal				Nov. 1-30, 1925: Cases, 3; deaths,
	1			2. Mar. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Cases, 15; deaths, 4.
iam	1			Apr 1 Sont 4 1096, Come 20:
iam				Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
	1			Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20.
	1	2	2	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok Do	1	2 1	 2 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok Do traits Settlements:	May 23-June 26 July 18-24	1	1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok Do traits Settlements:	May 23-June 26 July 18-24	1 1	1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok Do traits Settlements: Singapore Do	May 23-June 26 July 18-24	1	1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok Do traits Settlements: Singapore Do.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17	1 1 1	1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok. Do traits Settlements: Singapore. Do Do Beirut.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10	1 1	1 1 1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20.
Bangkok Do traits Scttlements: Singapore Do yria: Beirut	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10	1 1 1 2	1 1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30;
Bangkok Do. traits Settlements: Singapore. Do. yria: Beirut. Do. unisia.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30	1 1 2 174	1 1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20.
Bangkok. Do traits Settlements: Singapore. Do Beirut. Do unisia.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30	1 1 2 174 12	1 1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present.
Bangkok. Do traits Settlements: Singapore. Do Do Beirut.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30	1 1 2 174 12	1 1 1	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
Bangkok Do traits Settlements: Singapore. Do yria: Beirut. Do unisia. Do Kairouan.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 May 2-8 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30	1 1 2 174 12	1 1 1	Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present.
Bangkok. Do. traits Settlements: Singapore. Do. yria: Beirut. Do. unisia. Do. Kairouan.	May 23-June 26 July 13-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 July 1-20 June 9	1 1 2 174 12 3	1 1 1	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
Bangkok Do Traits Settlements: Singapore. Do Do Beirut. Do unisia. Do Kairouan. urkey: Constantinople.	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 June 9 Aug. 1-Sept. 25	1 1 2 174 12	1 1 1	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
Bangkok Do Do traits Scttlements: Singapore Do Do Singapore Do Do Sairouan Unisia Kairouan Sairouan Constantinople	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 June 9 Aug. 1-Sept. 25	1 1 2 174 12 3 7	1 1 1 	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
Bangkok Do Do traits Scttlements: Singapore Do Do Singapore Do Do Do Tanisia Do Kairouan 'urkey: Constantinople	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 June 9 Aug. 1-Sept. 25	1 1 2 174 12 3 7 5	1 1 1 	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
Bangkok Do Do traits Scttlements: Singapore Do yria: Beirut. Do traisia Do Kairouan 'urkey: Constantinople	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 June 9 Aug. 1-Sept. 25	1 1 2 174 12 3 7 7 5 12	1 1 1 	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
traits Settlements: Singapore yria: Do Tunisia. Do Do Kairouan Surkey: Constantinople	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 June 9 Aug. 1-Sept. 25	1 1 2 174 12 3 7 7 5 12 4	1 1 1 	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-
Bangkok Do Do Singapore Do yria: Beirut Do Unisia Lo Kairouan Vurkey: Constantinople	May 23-June 26 July 18-24 July 4-17 July 4-17 July 1-Aug. 10 Oct. 15 May 11-June 30 July 1-20 June 9 Aug. 1-Sept. 25	1 1 2 174 12 3 7 7 5 12	1 1 1 	 Apr. 1-Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 30; deaths, 20. Present. 9 cases 30 miles south of Kai-

PLAGUE-Continued

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926-Continued

	I DAGOD		ucu					
Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks				
Union of South Africa—Con. Orange Free State— Hoopstad District Protestpan	Aug. 15-21 May 9-22	13	3					
On vessel: Steamship Zaria	September, 1926	2	2	At Liverpool, England , from Lagos, Nigeria, West Africa: 29 plague-infected rats found on board.				
SMALLPOX								
Algeria: Algiers Do Belgium:	May 21–June 20 July 1–Aug. 31	14 3						
Antwerp	Aug. 1-7	1	1					
Bolivia: La Paz Do	May 1–June 30 July 1–Aug. 31	14 16	7 8					
Brazil: Bahia Do	June 20-26 June 27-Sept. 11	1 63	36					
Manaos Para	Apr. 1–30 May 16–June 26	26	5 25					
Do	June 27-Aug. 14 July 11-Aug. 28	18 70	11 10					
Pernambuco Porto Alegre	Aug. 10-31	2						
Porto Alegre Rio de Janeiro Do Santos	Aug. 10-31. May 2-June 19 July 4-Sept. 18 Mar. 1-7	132 2, 230	91 1, 135 1					
British East Africa:			_					
Mombasa Tanganyika Uganda	July 5-11 May 1-31. Mar. 1-May 31	5 252 3	4 46					
British South Africa: Northern Rhodesia Do	May 18-24 June 8-14	17	6	Natives.				
Canada		·····		May 30-June 12, 1926: Cases, 46.				
Alberta Calgary British Columbia—	Sept. 5-Oct. 9	16		May 30-June 12, 1926: Cases, 46. May 36-June 12, 1926: Cases, 3. June 27-Oct. 9, 1926: Cases, 47.				
Vancouver Manitoba	Aug. 16-Sept. 12	3		May 30-June 26, 1926: Cases, 15. June 27-Sept. 25, 1926: Cases,				
Winnipeg Do	June 6-12 July 4-Sept. 4	$5 \\ 12$		June 27-Sept. 25, 1926: Cases, 19. May 30-June 26, 1926: Cases, 36.				
Ontario				June 27-Oct. 9: Cases, 82.				
Fort William Kingston	July 25-Aug. 7 May 23-June 26	2 5						
Do	May 23-June 26 July 11-17 Apr. 26-May 29 May 2-22	2	1					
Kitchener North Bay	Apr. 26-May 29 May 2-22	3 5	1					
Do	July 25-31 Apr. 26-May 29	2						
Orilli a Ottawa		7						
Packenham	do	10						
Peterboro	Sept. 1-30	10						
Toronto Waterloo	July 18-Oct. 9 July 18-24	11 6						
Saskatchewan				May 30-June 26, 1926: Cases, 16.				
Regina Ceylon	July 4-Sept. 25	3		June 27-Oct. 9: Cases, 86. Mar. 14-May 29, 1926: Cases, 44; deaths, 3.				
Chile: Antofagasta China:	June 6-12	1	••••••					
Amoy	May 1-June 26	4	8					
Do	July 4-10	1						
Antung Do		5						
Canton	May 1-31	4	2					
Canton Changsha	Aug. 8–14l	1 !						

PLAGUE-Continued

`

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926-Continued

Antung May 16-June 26. 6 Do. Do June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do June 28-July 3. 1 Do. June 28-July 3. 1 Do. Do. June 28-July 3. 1 Do. Do. June 28-July 3. 1 Do. Do. Harbin May 16-June 30. 21 Do. Kungchuling June 13-19. 1 Do. Kungchuling May 16-June 30. 4 Do. Mitkden	Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	a-Continued.				
Forchow	hungking N	fay 2-Sept. 4			Present.
Hongkong May 2-June 20. 19 10 Manchuria July 4-31. 18 Anachuria Railway stations. An-shan May 16-June 12 5 South Manchurian An-shan May 16-June 12 5 Date 2019 30. Da	oochow	do			
Manchuria July 4-31. 18 Railway stations. Anchung May 16-June 12. 5 South Manchurian Antung May 16-June 20. 66 Do. Do June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do June 28-Aug. 8. 5 3 Fushon May 16-June 30. 21 Do. Do July 1-28. 12 Do. Kaiyuan May 16-June 30. 21 Do. Kuigoyang May 16-June 30. 4 Do. Mukden do. 4 Do. Mukden do. 2 Do. Wanking May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Sunghai May 2-June 26. 10 25 Cases, foreign: der Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. 3 3 3 Guido Case. May 15-July 1. 18 3 3 Guido Cast. Sept. 26-Oct. 2. 1 May 2.June 30, 192	longkong N	1ay 2-June 26	. 19		
An-shan May 16-June 12. 5 South Manchurian Antung. May 16-June 26. 6 Do. Do. June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do. June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Do. June 28-Aug 8. 5 3 Fushun May 16-June 30. 1 Do. Do. June 28-Aug 8. 5 3 Kai-yuan May 16-June 30. 1 Do. Kai-yuan May 16-June 30. 1 Do. Kungchuling June 13-19. 1 Do. Makden	Do Ju				
Antung May 16-June 26. 5 Do. Do June 27-July 3. 1 Do. Dairen Apr. 28-June 20. 69 16 Do June 27-Aug. 8. 5 3 Fushan May 16-June 30. 21 Do. Do July 1-28. 12 Do. Kungchuling June 13-19. 1 Do. Kai-yuan May 16-June 30. 1 Do. Kungchuling June 13-19. 1 Do. Mukden do. 4 Do. Mukden do. 4 Do. Mukden do. 2 Do. Sunjngkai May 16-June 20. 10 25 Shanghai May 2-June 26. 10 25 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Sporatic. Sustima May 15-June 16. 7 3 Choo. July 23-Aug. 19. 11 5 Wanshien May 15-June 16. 7 3 Choo. July 23-Aug. 19. 11 5 France <t< td=""><td>Janchuria</td><td>uly 4-31</td><td></td><td></td><td>Railway stations.</td></t<>	Janchuria	uly 4-31			Railway stations.
	An-shan N	1ay 16-June 12	5		South Manchurian Railway.
	Antung N	1ay 16-June 19	5		
	Changchun N	lay 16-June 26	6		
	Do Ji	ine 27-July 3	1		Do.
	Dairen A	pr. 26-June 20	69		
Harbin May 14-June 30. 21 Do. Do. July 1-2s. 12 Do. Kai-yuan May 16-June 30. 10 Do. Kungchuling June 13-19. 1 Do. Muk den	Do Ju	ine 28-Aug. 8	5		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fushun N	lay 16-June 5	4		
Kai-yuan Máy 16-June 30. 10 Do. Kungchuling June 13-19. 1 Do. May 16-June 30. 4 Do. Muk den do. 4 Do. Suppingkai. May 16-June 30. 4 Do. Teshihchiao do. 3 Do. Nanking May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Nanking May 2-June 26. 10 25 Do. Shanghai May 2-June 26. 10 25 Cases, foreign: dettion of internat sion, foreign and i ston, foreign a		[ay 14-June 30	21		Do.
Kungchuling June 13-19 1 Do. Liaoyang May 16-June 30. 4 Do. Penhsihu May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Supingkai. May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Nanking May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Nanking May 2-June 26. 10 2 Do. Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Tientsin Sporadic. Reported by Bripality. Wanshien May 1-31. 1 Reported by Bripality. Present. Wanshien May 15-July 1. 18 3 July 23-Aug. 19. May 1-June 30, 192 Wanshien May 15-July 1. 18 3 July 23-Aug. 19. May 1-June 30, 192 Fusan May 15-July 1. 18 3 July 23-Aug. 19. May 1-June 30, 192 Paris. Sept. 1-20. 21 May 1-June 30, 192 May 1-June 30, 192 Prance Sept. 1-20. 21 May 1-June 30, 192 July 23-Aug. 19. May 1-June 30, 192 Gold Coast. May 1-June 26. 282 282 282 292 12 Barm	Do Ji	ily 1-28	1 12		_
Mukden do 4 Do. Penhsihu May 16-June 19. 4 Do. Ssupingkai May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Teshihchiao do 2 Do. Wa-feng-tien do 2 Do. Shanghai May 2-June 26. 10 25 Cases, foreign: det ison, foreign and 3 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Tientsin June 27-July 24. 3 3 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Tientsin June 27-July 24. 3 3 Wanshien May 1. 1 Seported by Bri pality. Present. May 1June 30, 192 Fusan May 15-July 1 18 3 1 deaths, 121. Seishun do 2 1 1 deaths, 121. Sterishun May 15-July 1 18 3 1 deaths, 121. Sterishun Sept. 1-20 21 5 5 May 1-June 30, 192 May 1-June 30, 192 Paris. Sept. 1-20 21 5 5 5 1 5 Stetienne	Kai-yuan M	lay 16-june 30	10		
Mukden do 4 Do. Penhsihu May 16-June 19. 4 Do. Ssupingkai May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Teshihchiao do 2 Do. Wa-feng-tien do 2 Do. Shanghai May 2-June 26. 10 25 Cases, foreign: det ison, foreign and 3 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Tientsin June 27-July 24. 3 3 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Tientsin June 27-July 24. 3 3 Wanshien May 1. 1 Seported by Bri pality. Present. May 1June 30, 192 Fusan May 15-July 1 18 3 1 deaths, 121. Seishun do 2 1 1 deaths, 121. Sterishun May 15-July 1 18 3 1 deaths, 121. Sterishun Sept. 1-20 21 5 5 May 1-June 30, 192 May 1-June 30, 192 Paris. Sept. 1-20 21 5 5 5 1 5 Stetienne	Kungchuling Ju	ine 13-19			
Penhsihu May 16-June 19 4 Do. Ssupingkai May 16-June 30 2 Do. Teshihchiao do 3 Do. Nanking May 2-June 26 10 25 Do June 27-July 24 3 3 Swatow May 2-June 26 10 25 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18 Sporadic Present. Swatow May 1-31 1 Sporadic Sporadic Fusan May 1-31 1 Sporadic Sporadic Fusan May 15-July 1 18 3 Jan. 29-Apr. 1 16 Seishun May 15-July 1 18 3 Jan. 29-Apr. 1 16 May 1-June 30, 192 Paris Sept. 1-20 21 5 3 June 27-Sept. 28 22 Gold Coast Mar. 1-May 31 662 13 May 23-June 30, 192 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 Gold Coast Mar. 7-June 26 28 282 262 May 2-June 30, 192 Birmingham Sept. 20-Oct. 2 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1 J	Liaoyang N	Lay 16-June 30			
Penhsihu May 16-June 19. May 16-June 30. Teshihchiao. Wa-feng-tien May 16-June 30. May 16-June 30. 2 Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	Mukden	do			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Penhsihu	[av 16-June 19			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ssupingkai M	[ay 16-June 30			
Wa-feng-tien do 3 Do. Nanking May 8-Sept. 18. do 25 Do. June 27-July 243 3 3 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Sporadic. Sporadic. Tientsin June 2-26. 1 Reported by Bri pality. Wanshien May 1-31. 1 Sporadic. Fusan May 1-31. 1 Mar. 1-May 31, 192 June 2-26. 1 Mar. 1-May 31, 192 deaths, 121. Fusan May 1-31. 1 Mar. 1-May 31, 192 Gairo Jun 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 Esphonia Jan. 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 France Sept. 1-20. 21 5 St. Etienne Apr. 18-June 15. 7 3 Gold Coast Mar. 1-May 31. 662 13 Great Britain: England and Wales Sept. 20-Oct. 2. 1 June 27.Sept. 25. Do. Jung 29-Sept. 4. 1 Jue 27.Sept. 25. Jue 27.Sept. 25. Jue 27.Sept. 25. Bradford May 2-June 5. 7 S Jue	Teshihchiao	do			Do.
Nanking May 8-Sept. 18. Present. Shanghai June 27-June 26. 10 25 June 27-July 24 3 3 Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Sopradic. Tientsin June 2-26. 1 Wanshien May 1. Sporadic. Chosen May 1-31. 1 Seishun do. 2 Egypt: May 15-July 1 18 Jan. 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 France Sporadic. Mar. 1-May 31, 192 Gold Coast. Jan. 29-Apr. 1 16 France Sept. 1-20. 21 St. Etienne Apr. 18-June 15. 7 St. Etienne Apr. 18-June 26. 282 Birmingham Sept. 26-Oct. 2. 1 Bradford May 23-upe 5. 1 Do. July 18-24. 1 Do. July 19. 18 Bradford May 23-upe 5. 1 Do. July 11. 10 Bradford May 23-upe 5. 1 Do. June 6-12.	Wa-feng-tien	do	3		
Shanghai May 2-June 26 10 25 Cases, foreign: degrid for ternation of internation of the second constraints	anking M	[ay 8-Sept. 18			Present.
Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Sporadic. Tientsin June 2-26. 1 Reported by Bri pality. Chosen May 131. 1 Prevalent. Fusan May 1-31. 1 Prevalent. Seishun do. 2 1 Alexandria May 15-July 1. 18 3 Do Jun 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 Egypti May 15-July 1. 18 3 Cairo Jan. 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 France Apr. 18-June 15. 7 3 France Apr. 18-June 26. 282 282 Gold Coast Mar. 1-May 31. 662 13 Great Britain: England and Wales Sept. 26-Oct. 2. 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Birmingham Sept. 20-Oct. 2. 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Do July 11-Sept. 25. 3 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Do July 19.89.24. 1 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 Bradford July 19.89.24. <t< td=""><td>hanghai M</td><td>ay 2-June 26</td><td>10</td><td>25</td><td>Cases, foreign: deaths, popula-</td></t<>	hanghai M	ay 2-June 26	10	25	Cases, foreign: deaths, popula-
Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Sporadic. Tientsin June 2-26. 1 Reported by Bri pality. Chosen May 131. 1 Prevalent. Fusan May 1-31. 1 Prevalent. Seishun do. 2 1 Alexandria May 15-July 1. 18 3 Do Jun 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 Egypti May 15-July 1. 18 3 Cairo Jan. 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 France Apr. 18-June 15. 7 3 France Apr. 18-June 26. 282 282 Gold Coast Mar. 1-May 31. 662 13 Great Britain: England and Wales Sept. 26-Oct. 2. 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Birmingham Sept. 20-Oct. 2. 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Do July 11-Sept. 25. 3 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Do July 19.89.24. 1 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 Bradford July 19.89.24. <t< td=""><td>Do Ju</td><td>ine 27-July 24</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>tion of international conces-</td></t<>	Do Ju	ine 27-July 24	3	3	tion of international conces-
Swatow May 9-Sept. 18. Sporadic. Tientsin June 2-26. 1 Reported by Bri pality. Chosen May 131. 1 Prevalent. Fusan May 1-31. 1 Prevalent. Seishun do. 2 1 Alexandria May 15-July 1. 18 3 Do Jun 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 Egypti May 15-July 1. 18 3 Cairo Jan. 29-Apr. 1. 16 4 France Apr. 18-June 15. 7 3 France Apr. 18-June 26. 282 282 Gold Coast Mar. 1-May 31. 662 13 Great Britain: England and Wales Sept. 26-Oct. 2. 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Birmingham Sept. 20-Oct. 2. 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Do July 11-Sept. 25. 3 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 June 27-Sept. 25, 1, 289 Do July 19.89.24. 1 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 June 27-Sept. 26, 192 Bradford July 19.89.24. <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>sion, foreign and native.</td></t<>		-			sion, foreign and native.
Wanshien May 1 pailty. prevalent. Chosen May 1-31 1 Mar. 1-May 31, 192 Seishun	watowN	[av 9-Sept. 18			Sporadic.
Wanshien May 1 pality. prevalent. Chosen May 1-31 1 Mar. 1-May 31, 192 Seishun	ientsin Ju	ine 2-26		1	Reported by British munici-
Wanshien May 1 Prevalent. Chosen May 1-31 1 Mar. 1-May 31, 192 Seishun do 2 1 Beypt: May 15-July 1 18 3 Do July 23-Aug. 19 11 5 Cairo Jan. 29-Apr. 1 16 4 Esthonia Sept. 1-20 21 5 France Apr. 18-June 15 7 3 Paris Sept. 1-20 21 5 St. Etienne Apr. 18-June 15 7 3 Gold Coast Mar. 1-May 31 662 13 Great Britain: May 23-29 1 1 Bardford May 23-29 1 1 Newcastle-on-Tyne June 6-12 1 1 July 11-Sept. 25 3 1 1 Do July 18-24 1 1 1 Do July 18-24 1 1 1 Do July 18-24 1 1 1 Do July 19-31 71 6 1				-	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	anshien . M	av 1			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	וייוייוייוויי-				Mar. 1-May 31, 1926: Cases, 548;
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		av 1-31	1		deaths, 121.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				1	4041115, 1211
Alexandria May 15-July 1 18 3 Do. July 23-Aug. 19. 11 5 Cairo Jan. 29-Apr. 1 16 4 France. May 1-June 30, 192 Mar. 1-June 30, 192 France. Mar. 1-20 21 5 St. Etienne. Apr. 18-June 15 7 3 French Settlements in India. Mar. 7-June 26 282 282 Gold Coast. Mar. 1-May 31 662 13 Great Britain: May 23-29 1 11,289 Birmingham Sept. 26-Oct. 2 1 June 27-Sept. 25, 3 June 27-Sept. 25, 3 Do. July 18-24 1 May 2-June 5 7 June 13-19 Do July 18-24 1 At Gateshead, sever ported. Do July 4-Oct. 2 9 1 Greece: July 4-Oct. 2 9 1 Athens July 1-31 71 6 Apr. 25-June 26, 12 Guatemala City June 1-30 220 134 54,851; deaths, 14, 7 Do June 13-26 24 15			-	-	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lexandria M	av 15-July 1	18	3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Do	ly 23-Aug. 19	11		
Esthonia May 1-June 30, 192 France Mar. 1-20	airo	n. 29-Apr. 1		Å Å	
France	mia				May 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 3.
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	·A				Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 141.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	aris	nt. 1-20	21	5	
Gold Coast. Mar. 1-May 31	Etienne A	or. 18-June 15	7		
Gold Coast. Mar. 1-May 31 662 13 Great Britain: England and Wales May 23-June 26, 192 Birmingham Sept. 26-Oct. 2 1 Birmingham May 23-29 1 Do Aug. 29-Sept. 4 1 Do June 6-12 1 Do July 11-Sept. 25 3 Do July 18-24 1 Do July 18-24 1 Do July 18-24 1 Breece: Athens	h Settlements in India M	ar. 7-June 26	282	282	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	old Coast	ar. 1-May 31			
England and Wales May 23-June 26, 192 Birmingham Sept. 26-Oct. 2 1 Bradford May 23-29 1 Do Aug. 29-Sept. 4 1 Newcastle-on-Tyne June 6-12 1 Do July 11-Sept. 25 3 Nottingham May 2-June 5 7 Do July 18-24 1 Do July 18-24 1 Sheffield June 13-19 1 Carece: July 1-31 71 6 Stafoniki July 1-31 71 6 Guatemala Guatemala City June 1-30 2 Do June 27-Sept. 4 104 54,851; deaths, 14, 7 Do June 1-30 220 134 Bombay May 2-June 26 24 18 Do June 1-28 24 19 Bo June 27-Sept. 4 104 57 Calcutta Apr. 4-May 29 171 152 Do June 27-Aug. 21 13 7	Britain:				
Bradford May 23-29 1 1,289. Do Aug. 29-Sept. 4. 1 1 Do June 6-12 1 1 Do July 11-Sept. 25. 3 Att Gateshead, seve Do July 10-Sept. 25. 3 Att Gateshead, seve Do July 18-24. 1 Immer 13-19. 1 Jone July 18-24. 1 Immer 13-19. 1 Greece: July 1-31. 71 6 Including Piræus. Guatemala: June 1-30. 2 9 Including Piræus. Guatemala: June 1-30. 2 134 54,851; deaths, 14,7 Do. June 13-26. 24 152 152; deaths, 6,129. India June 17-Aug. 28. 34 29 Karachi. May 16-June 26. 24 18 Do. June 27-Aug. 28. 34 29 42 13 7					May 23-June 26, 1926; Cases, 933.
Bradford May 23-29 1 1,289. Do Aug. 29-Sept. 4. 1 1 Do June 6-12 1 1 Do July 11-Sept. 25. 3 Att Gateshead, seve Do July 10 Sept. 4. 1 1 Do July 11-Sept. 25. 3 Att Gateshead, seve Do July 18-24. 1 1 1 Sheffield June 13-19. 1 1 1 1 Greece: July 1-31. 71 6 Including Piræus. Guatemala: June 1-30. 2 1 1 Guatemala City June 1-30. 2 1 54,851; deaths, 14,7 Do June 27-Sept. 4. 104 57 Aug. 21, 1926; C 154 Do June 27-Sept. 4. 104 57 Aug. 21, 1926; C 12, 1926; C Do June 27-Aug. 28. 34 29 44 18 18 Do June 27-Aug. 21. 13 7 13 7	Birmingham	nt. 26-Oct. 2	1		June 27-Sept. 25, 1926: Cases.
Newcastle-on-Tyne June 6-12 1 At Gateshead, seven ported. Do July 11-Sept. 25 3	Bradford	av 23-29	ī		1.289
Newcastle-on-Tyne June 6-12 1 At Gateshead, seven ported. Do July 11-Sept. 25 3	Do	1g. 29-Sent. 4			1,2001
Do	Newcastle-on-Tyne Ju	ne 6-12			
Nottingham. May 2-June 5 7 ported. Do July 18-24 1 1 Sheffield June 13-19 1 1 Do July 4-Oct. 2 9 1 Greece: July 1-31 71 6 Saloniki July 1-31 71 6 Guatemala: June 1-14	DoJu	ly 11-Sept. 25			At Gateshead, several cases re
Do July 18-24 1 Sheffield Jume 13-19 1 Jung 13-19 July 4-Oct. 2 9 Greece: July 1-31 71 6 Saloniki June 1-14 3 3 Guatemala June 1-30 2 1 Guatemala June 1-30 2 1 Bonbay May 2-June 26 220 134 Apr. 25-June 26, 1 Do June 27-Sept. 4 104 57 Aug. 21, 1926: C Do June 13-26 24 18 Deaths, 6, 129. Do June 27-Aug. 28 34 29 Karachi May 16-June 26 24 18 7	NottinghamM	ay 2-June 5.			
Sheffield June 13-19 1 Do July 4-Oct. 2 9 9 Greece: July 1-31	DoJu	ly 18-24			• • • • • •
Do	Sheffield Ju	ne 13-19			
Greece: July 1-31					
Saloniki		•			
Saloniki	thens. Ju	lv 1-31	71	6	Including Piræus.
Guatemala: June 1-30	loniki Ju	ne 1-14	•-		
Guatemala City June 1-30 2 Apr. 25-June 26, 1 Bo:nbay May 2-June 26, 220 134 54,851; deaths, 14, 7 Do June 27-Sept. 4 104 57 Aug. 21, 1926; C Calcutta Apr. 4-May 29, 171 152 deaths, 6,129. Do June 13-26, 24 18 Do June 27-Aug. 28 34 29 Karachi May 16-June 26 44 18 Do June 27-Aug. 21 13 7	inala:				
India		ne 1-30		2	
Do June 27-Sept. 4 104 57 Aug. 21, 1926; C Calcutta Apr. 4-May 29 171 152 deaths, 6,129. Do June 13-26 24 18 Do June 27-Aug. 28 34 29 Karachi				- 1	Apr 25-June 26, 1926; Cases,
Do June 27-Sept. 4 104 57 Aug. 21, 1926; C Calcutta Apr. 4-May 29 171 152 deaths, 6,129. Do June 13-26 24 18 Do June 27-Aug. 28 34 29 Karachi	ombay M	av 2-June 26	220	134	54 851: deaths 14 771 June 27-
Do June 13-26	Do. In	ne 27-Sent 4		57	Aug. 21, 1926: Cases, 18,910;
Do June 13-26	alcutta Ar	or. 4-May 29			deaths, 6,129.
Do	Do	ne 13-26	24		acating of the
Karachi May 16-June 26 44 18 Do	Do	ne 27-Aug 28	34		
Do	arachi	av 16-June 26			
Madras	Do	no 27-4110 20			
	adras M	av 16_Juna 26			
	Do	no 27-Sont 19	54		
Do June 27-Sept. 18 54 15 Rangoon May 9-June 26 10 5	angoon Ju	uc 21-Sept. 18			
	Do M	ay 9-Julie 20			
Do July 4-Sept. 4 20 4	Thina.	iy - Sept	40	*	
Saigon May 9-June 26 2		av Q_June 94		1	
~~		ag o-sume 20]	ا ک	!	

SMALLPOX—Continued

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926--Continued

Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks
Iraq: Baghdad Do Basra Do	May 9-June 26 July 4-Aug. 28 Apr. 18-June 22 Aug. 15-21	8 2 34 1	3 1 25	
Italy Catania Rome	Aug. 9-15. June 14-20.	2 4		Mar. 28-June 26, 1926: Cases, 34 June 27-July 10, 1926: Cases, 3 Entire consular district, includ
Jamaica				ing island of Sardinia. Apr. 25-June 26, 1926: Cases, 201 (Reported as alastrim.) June 27-Sept. 25, 1926: Cases, 238
Do Japan Kobe	May 30-June 5	1		(Reported as alastrim.) Apr. 11–June 19, 1926: Cases, 641
Nagoya Do Taiwan Island	May 16-22. July 4-10. May 11-20.	1 1 24	1	
Do Do Tokyo	June 1–20 July 11–Aug. 10 June 26–July 17	$23 \\ 2 \\ 3$		
Yokohama Java: Batavia Do	May 2–8 May 15-June 25 July 24–Aug. 28	2 2 5		Province. Do.
East Java and Madura	Apr. 11-July 3 July 4-Aug. 7 Apr. 4-10	100 43 6	6 1 1	Interior.
Malang Surabaya Do Latvia	May 16–22 July 18–Aug. 28	14 63	1 3	Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 5.
Mexico Aguascalientes Guadalajara Do	June 13–26. June 8–14. June 29–Sept. 27		5 2 8	Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Deaths, 982
Mexico City Do	May 16–June 5 July 25–Sept. 25	3	·	Including municipalities in Fed eral District. Do.
Saltillo San Antonio de Arenales San Luis Potosi	July 18–24 Jan. 1–June 30 June 13–26		1 7 15	Present: 100 miles from Chihua hua.
Do Tampico Torreon Do	July 4-Oct. 2 June 1-10 May 1-June 30 July 1-Sept. 30		13 2 17 13	
Netherlands: Amsterdam Nigeria	July 18-24		9	Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Cases, 404 deaths, 33.
Persia: Teheran Peru:	Apr. 21-June 22		7	deaths, 55.
Arequipa Poland	June 1–30		1	Mar. 28-May 1, 1926: Cases, 12 deaths, 1. June 27-July 24 1926: Cases, 2; deaths, 1.
Portugal: Lisbon	Apr. 26-June 19 July 11-Sept. 11	21	3 6	
Oporto Do Russia Siam	May 23–June 5 July 11–24	4 2		Jan. 1–Mar. 31, 1926: Cases, 2,103 Apr. 1–Sept. 4, 1926: Cases, 557
Bangkok Do Spain:	May 2-June 12 July 4-Sept. 4	23 55	20 44	deaths, 218.
Valencia Straits Settlements: Singapore	Aug. 22–28	1		
Do Sumatra: . Medan Switzerland:	July 11-17 Aug. 22-28	-		One case varioloid.
Lucerne Canton Do Tripolitania	June 1-30 July 1-31 Apr. 1-30	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ 11 \end{vmatrix}$		

SMALLPOX—Continued

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926-Continued

SMALLI VA-Continueu						
Place	Date	Cases	Deaths	Remarks		
Tunisia	A			Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 17.		
Tunis Union of South Africa	Aug. 11-30 June 1-30	28		-		
Cape Province	June 20-26	0		Outbreaks.		
Do	Aug. 15-21			. Do.		
Idutya district	May 2329		-	Do.		
Natal Orange Free State			-	Do.		
Transvaal	June 20-Aug. 28			Do. June 6-12, 1926: Outbreaks ir		
				Pietersburg and Rustenburg districts.		
Do	Aug. 29-Sept. 4	1		Native.		
Johannesburg	May 9-June 12	5				
Do	July 11-Sept. 4	2				
Yugoslavia Zagreb	Aug. 9–15	2		Apr. 15-30, 1926: Cases, 2; deaths		
On vessels:	Aug. 5-13	2				
S. S. Karapara				At Zanzibar, June 7, 1926: One		
Steamship	July 2	1		At Zanzibar, June 7, 1926: One case of smallpox landed. At Durban, Union of South Africa, June 16, 1926: One suspect case landed. Vessel from Glasgow, Scotland, for Canada. Patient from Glasgow; removed at quaran- tine on outward voyage.		
	TYPHUS	FEVE	R			
Algeria:	1		1	1		
Algiers	May 21-June 30	7	1			
Do	July 21-Aug. 31	3				
Arcentina:						
Rosario	Feb. 1-28	2				
Bolivia: La Paz	June 1-30		1			
Do.	Aug. 1-31	9	1			
Bulgaria		.		Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 87;		
				deaths, 14.		
Chile:	Man 00 Turne 00	4				
Antofagasta Do	May 23–June 26 June 27–July 3	4				
Concepcion	June 1–7		1			
Concepcion Valparaiso	June 1–7 Apr. 29–May 5		1			
Do	Aug. 14-Sept. 18	7				
China: Antung	June 14-27	7	1			
Do	June 28-Sept. 12	29	i			
Canton	May 1-31	ĩ				
Chungking	Aug. 29-Sept. 4			Present.		
Ichang			1	Reported May 1, 1926. Occur-		
Wanshien				ring among troops.		
wansmen				Present among troops, May 1, 1926. Locality in Chungking		
				consular district.		
Chosen				Feb. 1-May 31, 1926: Cases, 887;		
Chemulpo	May 1-June 30	38	2	deaths, 91.		
Do.	July 1-31	7	2	Ň		
Gensan Seoul	June 1-30	1	3			
Do	July 1-Aug. 31	8	3			
Czechoslovakia	Valy I Mag. 01			Jan. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 156;		
				deaths, 6.		
Egypt:			1			
Alexandria	July 16-Aug. 19	3	;			
Cairo Do	July 23-Aug 5	74 1	17			
Port Said	July 16-Aug. 19 Jan. 29-Mar. 4 July 23-Aug. 5 June 4-24	4	1			
Do	July 9-Aug. 19	Â	i			
Scotland—						
Glasgow	July 30-Aug. 21	9	1	•		
Ireland (Irish Free State):		.	1			
Cobh (Queenstown)	May 30 June 5 June 27–July 3	1				
Do Cork	June 5	1	1			
Kerr County-	• uno 0	-	••••••			
Dingle	June 27-July 3	1				
-	• •••••					

SMALLPOX-Continued

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued

Reports Received from June 26 to October 29, 1926-Continued

TYPHUS FEVER—Continued

Italy	ine 5 ept. 18 ing. 30 j ing. 2 ine 22	20 9 3 31 	J	Mar. 28-May 8, 1926: Cases, 3. Mar. 28-May 29, 1926: Cases, 3. May 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 199; decths, 22. Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Cases, 199; decths, 22. Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Deaths, 110. Including municipalities in Fed- eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13, 1926: Cases, 5.
Lithuania Mexico. Durango. Durango. Durango. July 1-31. Mexico City. Do. June 13-19 Do. July 25-31. June 13-26 Morocco. Norway: Stavanger. Palestine. Gaza. Haifa. Gaza. July 6-12. Haifa. July 13-At Nagareth district. Teheran. Persia: Teheran. Persia: Teheran. Rumania. Rumania. Rumania. Rumania. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 15-28 Jerus 2000 July 13-At May 23-Ju June 11-30 July 13-At May 23-Ju June 11-30 June 11-30 July 13-At July 13-At May 23-Ju June 11-30 June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju	ine 5 ept. 18 ing. 30 j ing. 2 ine 22	20 9 3 31 		Gectns, 22. Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Deaths, 110. Including municipalities in Fed- eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Lithuania Mexico. Durango. Durango. Durango. July 1-31. Mexico City. Do. June 13-19 Do. July 25-31. June 13-26 Morocco. Norway: Stavanger. Palestine. Gaza. Haifa. Gaza. July 6-12. Haifa. July 13-At Nagareth district. Teheran. Persia: Teheran. Persia: Teheran. Rumania. Rumania. Rumania. Rumania. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 15-28 Jerus 2000 July 13-At May 23-Ju June 11-30 July 13-At May 23-Ju June 11-30 June 11-30 July 13-At July 13-At May 23-Ju June 11-30 June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju	ine 5 ept. 18 ing. 30 j ing. 2 ine 22	20 9 3 31 		Gectns, 22. Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Deaths, 110. Including municipalities in Fed- eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Lithuania Mexico. Durango. Durango. Durango. Durango. Mexico City. Do. June 13-19 Do. June 13-19 Do. June 13-26 Morocco. Norway: Stavanger. Palestine. Gaza. Haifa. Gaza. July 6-12. Haifa. July 13-At Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district. June 15-28 Sept. 6-12. Palestine. Gaza. July 6-12. July 13-At Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district. July 13-At Nazareth district. Aug. 3-9. Yavneil. Persia: Teheran. Persia: Teheran. Rumania. Rumania. Rumania. Rumania. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju June 27-Ju	ine 5 ept. 18 ing. 30 j ing. 2 ine 22	20 9 3 31 		Gectns, 22. Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Deaths, 110. Including municipalities in Fed- eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Do. June 13-19 Do. July 25-31 July 25-31 Aug. 15-35 San Luis Potosi June 13-26 Morocco. Norwsy: Stavanger. Sept. 6-12 Palestine. July 13-A1 Halal July 13-A2 Halal July 13-A2 Jaffa district July 13-A3 Jaffa district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Magaled district July 13-A4 Nazareth district July 13-A4 Nazareth district July 13-A4 Persia: Treberan Thebran May 23-Ju Persia: Treberan Treberan Jan. 1-31 Poland June 11-30 Turisia June 16-32 Uni	ng. 30. 	9 3 31 1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		Gecths, 22. Feb. 1-Apr. 30, 1926: Deaths, 110. Including municipalities in Fed- eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Do. June 13-19 Do. July 25-31 July 25-31 Aug. 15-35 San Luis Potosi June 13-26 Morocco. Norwsy: Stavanger. Sept. 6-12 Palestine. July 13-A1 Halal July 13-A2 Halal July 13-A2 Jaffa district July 13-A3 Jaffa district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Maidal district July 13-A4 Magaled district July 13-A4 Nazareth district July 13-A4 Nazareth district July 13-A4 Persia: Treberan Thebran May 23-Ju Persia: Treberan Treberan Jan. 1-31 Poland June 11-30 Turisia June 16-32 Uni	ng. 30. 	9 3 31 1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		Including municipalities in Fed- eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Do. June 13-19 Do. July 25-31 July 25-31 Aug. 15-35 San Luis Potosi June 13-26 Morocco. Norwsy: Stavanger. Sept. 6-12 Palestine. July 3-A1 Halal July 13-A2 Halal July 13-A2 Jaffa district July 13-A2 Maidal district July 13-A3 Maidal district July 13-A3 Maidal district July 13-A3 Maidal district July 13-A3 Parsalem Sept. 14-20 Maidal district July 13-A3 Nazareth district July 13-A3 Persia: Teheran. Teheran. Aug. 17-23 Persia: Aug. 3-9. Yavneil. June 15-28 Persia: May 23-July 13-A3 Persia: Theheran. Teheran. May 23-July 13-A3 Poland. Jan. 1-31. Poland. June 11-30 Rumania. June 11-30 Turkey: Lone of South Africa. Do. Cape P	ng. 30. 	9 3 31 1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Do. June 13-19 Do. July 25-31 July 25-31 Aug. 15-35 San Luis Potosi June 13-26 Morocco. Norwsy: Stavanger. Sept. 6-12 Palestine. July 3-A1 Halal July 13-A2 Halal July 13-A2 Jaffa district July 13-A2 Maidal district July 13-A3 Maidal district July 13-A3 Maidal district July 13-A3 Maidal district July 13-A3 Parsalem Sept. 14-20 Maidal district July 13-A3 Nazareth district July 13-A3 Persia: Teheran. Teheran. Aug. 17-23 Persia: Aug. 3-9. Yavneil. June 15-28 Persia: May 23-July 13-A3 Persia: Theheran. Teheran. May 23-July 13-A3 Poland. Jan. 1-31. Poland. June 11-30 Rumania. June 11-30 Turkey: Lone of South Africa. Do. Cape P	ng. 30. 	9 3 31 1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		eral District. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Do. July 25-31 Aug. 15-S San Luis Potosi. Morocco. June 13-26 Norwsy: Stavanger. Stavanger. Sept. 6-12. Palestine. July 13-At Haifa July 13-At Halalal Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district. June 15-28 Jerusalem. Sept. 14-27 Majdal district. July 13-At Nazareth district. July 13-At Persia: Teberan. Teheran. May 23-Ju Peru: Arequipa. Arequipa. Jan. 1-31. Poland. June 11-30 Turkey: Constantinople. June 16-22 June 16-22 Union of South Africa. June 16-32 Do. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju	ept. 18.	1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1 		Do. Do. Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Do. July 25-31 Aug. 15-S San Luis Potosi. Morocco. June 13-26 Norwsy: Stavanger. Stavanger. Sept. 6-12. Palestine. July 13-At Haifa July 13-At Halalal Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district. June 15-28 Jerusalem. Sept. 14-27 Majdal district. July 13-At Nazareth district. July 13-At Persia: Teberan. Teheran. May 23-Ju Peru: Arequipa. Arequipa. Jan. 1-31. Poland. June 11-30 Turkey: Constantinople. June 16-22 June 16-22 Union of South Africa. June 16-32 Do. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju Glengray district. June 27-Ju	ept. 18.	1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1 		Do. Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Norway: Stavanger. Sept. 6-12. Palestine. July 6-12. Haifa July 13-A1. Halalal Aug. 17-23. Jaffa district June 15-28. Sept. 14-22. Majdal district Majdal district July 13-A1. Nazareth district July 13-A1. Majdal district July 13-A1. Nazareth district Aug. 17-23. Persia: Teheran. Yeru: Arequipa. Jan. 1-31. Poland. Rumania. June 11-30. Turisia. June 16-22. Union of South Africa. June 27-Ju. Do. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 27-Ju. Glengray district. June 27-Ju.	lıg. 30) lıg. 2 lıne 22	1 1 5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1 		Present city and country. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Norway: Stavanger. Sept. 6-12. Palestine. July 6-12. Haifa July 13-A1. Halalal Aug. 17-23. Jaffa district June 15-28. Sept. 14-22. Majdal district Majdal district July 13-A1. Nazareth district July 13-A1. Majdal district July 13-A1. Nazareth district Aug. 17-23. Persia: Teheran. Yeru: Arequipa. Jan. 1-31. Poland. Rumania. June 11-30. Turisia. June 16-22. Union of South Africa. June 27-Ju. Do. Cape Province. Glengray district. June 27-Ju. Glengray district. June 27-Ju.	lıg. 30) lıg. 2 lıne 22	1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 426. Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Norway: Sept. 6-12. Stavanger. July 6-12. Palestine. July 13-A1. Haifa July 13-A1. Halalal. June 15-28. Jaffa district. June 15-28. Sept. 14-22. Majdal district. Majdal district. July 13-A1. Nazareth district. July 13-A1. Majdal district. July 13-A1. Nazareth district. Aug. 17-23. Persia: Teheran. Persia: May 23-Ju Persia: Jan. 1-31. Poland. June 11-30. Tunisia. June 11-30. Turkey: Constantinople. June 16-22. Union of South Africa. June 27-Ju. Glengray district. June 27-Ju. Glengray district. June 27-Ju. Glengray district. June 27-Ju.	lıg. 30) lıg. 2 lıne 22	1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13,
Stavanger. Sept. 6-12. Palestine. July 6-12. Haifa July 13-A: Halalal Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district June 15-28 Jerusalem. Sept. 14-20 Majdal district July 13-A: Nazareth district July 13-A: Nazareth district July 13-A: Persia: Teheran. Persia: Aug. 7-23 Persia: Jan. 1-31. Poland Jan. 1-31. Poland June 11-30 Turkey: Constantinople. June 16-22 June 16-22 Union of South Africa. June 16-22 Do. Cape Province. Glengray district June 27-Ju Glengray district June 27-Ju	ug. 30)ug. 2 1ne 22	1 5 1 2 3 1 1		Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13, 1926: Cases, 5.
Palestine. July 6–12. Haifa July 13–A1 Hailal Aug. 17–23 Jaffa district June 15–28 Sept. 14–20 June 15–28 Majdal district July 13–A1 Nazareth district July 13–A1 Nazareth district July 13–A1 Persia: Aug. 3–9. Yavneil. Yaug. 17–23 Persia: May 23–Ju Persia: Jan. 1–31. Poland. Jan. 1–31. Poland. June 11–30 Tunisia. June 11–30 Turkey: Constantinople. June 16–22 Union of South Africa. June 27–Ju Glengray district	ug. 30)ug. 2 1ne 22	1 5 1 2 3 1 1		Mar. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 14; deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13, 1926: Cases, 5.
Gaza July 6-12. Haifa July 13-A1 Hailal June 15-22 Jaffa district June 15-22 Jerusalem Sept. 14-22 Majdal district June 15-22 Majdal district July 13-A1 Nazareth district do. Tiberias Aug. 17-23 Persia: Aug. 17-23 Persia: May 23-Ju Persia: Jan. 1-31 Poland Jan. 1-31 Rumania June 11-30 Turkey: Constantinople Union of South Africa June 16-22 Do. Glengray district Glengray district June 27-Ju Glengray district June 27-Ju	ug. 30) ug. 2 1ne 22	5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1		deaths, 1. Aug. 10-Sept. 13, 1926: Cases, 5.
Haifa July 13-A1 Halalal Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district June 15-28 Jerusalem Sept. 14-28 Najdal district July 13-A1 Nazareth district	1g. 30	5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1		1926: Cases, 5.
Haifa July 13-A1 Halalal Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district June 15-28 Jerusalem Sept. 14-28 Najdal district July 13-A1 Nazareth district	1g. 30	5 1 5 1 2 3 1 1		
Halalal Aug. 17-23 Jaffa district June 15-28 Jerusalem Sept. 14-24 Majdal district July 13-A Nazareth district July 13-A Tiberias Aug. 3-9 Yavneil Aug. 17-23 Persia: May 23-Ju Peru: Jan. 1-31 Poland Jan. 1-31 Rumania June 11-30 Turkey: Constantinople Union of South Africa June 16-22 Glengray district June 27-Ju Glengray district June 27-Ju Glengray district June 27-Ju	ug. 2	1 5 1 2 3 1 1 1		
Jerusalem) ug. 2 			
Jerusalem) ug. 2 			
Majdal district	ug. 2 	2 3 1 1		
Nazareth district.	1ne 22	3 1 1		
Yavneil. Aug. 17-23 Persia: Teheran	ine 22	1		
Persia: Teheran	ıne 22			
Teheran			1	
Peru: ArequipaJan. 1-31 Poland Rumania Russia Tunisia Tunisia Turkey: Constantinople Union of South Africa Do Cape Province Glengray districtJune 27-Ju			-	
Arequipa				
Rumania		•	2	
Russia				Mar. 28-June 26, 1926: Cases, 1,272; deaths, 85. June 27-July
Russia				1,272; deaths, 85. June 27-July
Russia				24, 1926: Cases, 147; deaths, 11. Mar. 1-May 31, 1926: Cases, 711;
Tunisia				deaths, 69.
Tunisia				Jan. 1-Mar. 31, 1926: Cases.
Turkey: Constantinople				14,814.
Turkey: Constantinople				Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 110.
ConstantinopleJune 16-22 Union of South Africa		3		
Do Cape Province Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo				
Do Cape Province Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo		1		Apr. 1-May 31, 1926: Cases, 153;
Cape Province Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo				deaths, 19.
Cape Province Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo				July 1-31, 1926: Cases, 90; deaths,
Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo		1	i	17.
Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo				Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 202;
Glengray district June 27-Ju Grahamstowndo		1		deaths, 24, native. July 1-31, 1926: Cases, 58; deaths, 15.
Grahamstowndo	ılv 3		· ·	Outbreaks.
N	uy 0	1		
Natal		.		Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 28.
		1		July 1-31, 1926: Cases, 23;
Durban July 25-Au				deaths, 2.
		9	1	Apr. 1-June 30, 1926: Cases, 24;
Orange Free State				deaths, 4. July 1-31, 1926:
				Cases, 7.
Transvaal				Apr 1-June 30, 1926; Cases, 10;
		1		deaths, 5. July 1-31, 1926: Cases, 2. Aug. 15-21, 1926:
,		1		Cases, 2. Aug. 15–21, 1926: Outbreaks.
Johannesburg Aug. 29-Se		Ι.		Outoreaks.
Walkkerstroom district. June 20-26				Outhmake
Wolmaransstad district		1		Outbreaks.
Yugoslavia May 15-21	ept. 4			Do.
Zagreb May 15-21	ept. 4			Do. Apr. 15-June 30, 1926: Cases, 48;
	ept. 4	1 		Do.

YELLOW FEVER

Brazil	Reported June 26	1		Present in interior of Bahia,
Bahia	Reported June 26 May 9-June 26	10	7	Pirapora, and Minas.
Do Gold Coast	July 4-10 Apr. 1-May 31	1		
Cold Coast	Apr. 1-May 31	0	3	