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CANCER MORTALITY IN THE TEN ORIGINAL REGISTRATION STATES

Trend for the Period 1900-1920 ${ }^{1}$

By J. W. Schereschewsey, Surgeon, United States Pubilc Health Service
The progressive increase in the cancer death rates shown in the mortality statistics in practically all civilized countries has invited the serious attention of students of the public health. The more optimistic are of the opinion that these increases in the death rate may be accounted for by improvements in medical diagnosis, increase in the accuracy of vital statistics in general, greater precision in filling out death returns, changes in the age distribution of the population, and similar factors.

Yet others are inclined to a gloomier view of the situation. They hold that the magnitude of the observed increases in the death rate is too great, too general in its distribution, to be accounted for in any such way, so that the apparent is also an actual increase in the cancer mortality.

Because of the importance and interest of this question, it was thought well worth while to attempt a critical analysis of the course of the cancer mortality in the 10 original registration States, i. e., Connecticut, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont. This area was chosen because it is the only one available in this country for continuous study over the selected period of 21 years, as the other States now forming the registration area were added from time to time to the original 10.

Moreover, these States, with the exception of Indiana and Michigan, were all situated in a similar geographic section. The population, about $19,800,000$ in 1900, and more than $57,000,000$ in 1920, represents about 25 per cent of the total population of the United States, and hence is sufficiently large to give considerable mass value to the data. Besides this, the population is about as homogeneous a group as we are likely to get in a country made up of such diverse racial stocks as ours, and it exhibited about the same changes in racial composition, owing to immigration during the period of obserration.

[^0]The source of the data for analysis was the published mortality statistics of the United States Bureau of the Census, and the decennial census reports.

The following method of study and analysis was employed:
Taking the enumerated populations of "all ages," and also for the specific age groups "under 5 years," $5-9,10-19,20-29,30-39,40-49$, 50-59, 60-69, " 70 years and over" as given in the United States census reports of $1900,1910,1920$, the intercensal population of all ages and by specific age groups was estimated by the arithmetical method. In estimating the population, complilations were made as of January 1 instead of July 1 , because of slightly greater convenience, while at the same time no sensible error in the comparative validity of the tables was introduced. Since specific age groups were dealt with, the population of unknown age was omitted from the estimated figures.

General cancer death rates and specific death rates were then computed, first, for all forms of cancer and then for cancer by the seat of organ affected, the international classification ${ }^{1}$ being used. In the case of cancer of the breast and cancer of the female genital organs, rates were computed on the basis of the estimated female population, as cancer of the breast is almost wholly, and cancer of the female genital organs exclusively, confined to that sex.

The extent of death certification by medical men, the changes and improvements in the practice of death certification and in diagnosis, the corrections to be applied for changing age distribution, and finally changes in racial stock due to immigration and the effects of these factors on the mortality rates were each considered in their turn. The results of this analysis and interpretation of the data are now in the process of publication. They are entirely too long to be given in extenso here. However, by using a somewhat different method of age grouping, the main results of the inquiry, their interpretation, and the resulting conclusions may be briefly presented.

The population aged 40 years and over is the important age group, so far as cancer mortality is concerned. In 1900, in the States under consideration, this age group furnished about 89.8 per cent, and in 1920 about 92.5 per cent of all the cancer deaths.

[^1]The population 40 years and over of the 10 original registration States was $5,313,459$ in 1900; in 1920, 8,145,709. It has the age distribution given in Table 1.

Table 1.-Age distribution of 10 original registration States

| Age group | 1900 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Per cent | Population | Per cent |
| 40-49. | 2, 228, 723 | 41.94 | 3, 421, 204 | 42.00 |
| 50-59 | 1, 534, 625 | 28.88 | 2, 431, 602 | 29.85 |
| 60-69. | 963, 991 | 18.14 | 1, 453, 490 | 17.84 |
| 70. | 586, 120 | 11.03 | 839, 413 | 10.30 |
| Total | 5,313,459 | 99.99 | 8, 145, 709 | 99.99 |

From this age distribution the somewhat unexpected fact is noted that, in spite of the increase in the median age of the general population that has taken place since 1900, in the population aged 40 years and over, the proportion of elderly persons 60 years and over was greater in 1900 than it was in 1920 ( 29.17 and 28.14 per cent, respectively). If we redistribute the 1920 population of 40 years and over according to the 1900 percentage composition and apply the appropriate 1920 cancer death rates to each of the resulting age groups, it is found that instead of the 25,368 that were reported for this section of the population, 25,806 deaths would have occurred. This corresponds to a rate of 316.8 per 100,000 , or 5.4 points higher than the observed 1920 rate of 311.4.

From this it follows that the cancer death rates in this group of the population may be compared for the period of 1900-1920 without the necessity of introducing any correction for a changing age distribution, as any correction for this factor would have the effect of slightly increasing instead of lowering the rates of the later years of the period.

Therefore, we arrive immediately at the conclusion that any increases observed in the cancer deaths of this group of the population are independent of changes that may have taken place in the age distribution.

Chart 1 and Table 2 show the changes that have occurred in the death rates from cancer of all forms, and by site of the organ affected in the population 40 years and over, the rates for cancer of the breast and cancer of the female genital organs being based on the female population 40 years and over, which has practically the same age distribution as that of the male.

From this chart and table it is obvious that pronounced increases have taken place in the death rate from cancer of all forms, and in nearly all the cancers of the different organ seats, the only exception
being the rubric, "other organs or organs not specified," of which more will be said later.

Comparing the initial and the final rates, the percentage increases given in Table 3 are observed. It is apparent that with the exception of cancers of the skin and cancers of other organs or organs not specified, the increases have been pronounced and striking. Cancers of the peritoneum, intestines, and rectum have shown the greatest


Chart 1.-Death rate, per hundred thousand of population, from all forms of cancer and by site of organ affected, in age group 40 years and over, in the registration States of 1800 for the period 1900-1920: $A$, cancer, all forms; $B$, stomach and liver; $C$, other organs or organs not specified; $D$, female genital organs; $E$, female breast; $F$, peritoneum, intestine and rectum; $G$, skin; $H$, buccal cavity.
advance, the percentage increase over the 1900 rate being 148.4. Cancers of the skin, on the other hand, as shown by the chart, have shown no increase in the rate since about 1909, while the curve for other organs, or organs not specified, is diffcrent from that for other varieties of cancer, in that the curve shows a pronounced downward concavity.

Table 2.-Death rate from cancer ${ }^{1}$

| Year | Cancer, all forms | Buccal cavity | 8tomach and liver | Peritoneum, intestine and rectum | Female ${ }^{2}$ genital organs | Breast ${ }^{2}$ | Skin | Other or unspecified organs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 212.0 | 5.50 | 77.1 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 34.4 | 7.36 | 60.75 |
| 1901 | 218.1 | 6.13 | 78.1 | 23.7 | 56.7 | 36.6 | 8. 59 | 55.4 |
| 1902 | 217.4 | 6.0 | 80.6 | 23.69 | 55.9 | 39.5 | 8.06 | 51.65 |
| 1903. | 227.9 | 6.84 | 85.0 | 22.95 | 60.4 | 39.5 | 8.79 | 54.85 |
| 1904 | 232.2 | 7.25 | 89.2 | ${ }^{25.03}$ | 61.9 | 42.3 | 8.98 | 50.4 |
| 1905. | 238.8 | 8.08 | 90.8 | 27.48 | 63.6 | 41.2 | 9.13 | 51.4 |
| 1906 | 240.0 | 8.11 | 91.6 | 29.2 | 62.6 | 42.7 | 8.79 | 50.3 |
| 1907 | 248.5 | 8.35 | 96.2 | 30.91 | 65.8 | 46.6 | 9.95 | 47.45 |
| 1908 | 251.0 | 9.14 | 99.3 | 31.44 | 74.5 | 50.1 | 10.91 | 38.6 |
| 1909 | 259.0 | 10.08 | 102.1 | 34.9 | 75.7 | 53.0 | 11.0 | 37. 37 |
| 1910 | 270.8 | 10.4 | 109.0 | 38.28 | 77.8 | 54.2 | 9.46 | 38.61 |
| 1911 | 273.8 | 11. 35 | 107.5 | 39. 5 | 80.7 | 55.9 | 9.81 | 38. 12 |
| 1912 | 278.0 | 10.82 | 112.5 | 38.49 | 78.8 | 57.2 | 10.07 | 38.91 |
| 1913 | 286.0 | 10.98 | 114.0 | 42.2 | 82.3 | 56.5 | 9. 69 | 40.5 |
| 1914. | 286.0 | 12.24 | 107.0 | 41.88 | 83.1 | 64.0 | 10. 19 | 42.11 |
| 1915 | 293.2 | 11. 51 | 114.5 | 44.38 | 81.2 | 59.8 | 9.81 | 43.45 |
| 1916 | 300.0 | 10.54 | 115.1 | 44.96 | 83.6 | 61.6 | 10. 47 | 47.21 |
| 1917 | 301.4 | 11.25 | 114.1 | 45.0 | 84.3 | 62.0 | 10. 13 | 48.55 |
| 1918 | 299.7 | 10. 74 | 113.6 | 46. 95 | 82.6 | 58.3 | 9.57 | 49.03 |
| 1919. | 302.3 | 11.25 | 114.1 | 45. 45 | 84.4 | 59.5 | 10.11 | 50.2 |
| 1920 | 311.4 | 11.18 | 116.2 | 47.2 | 84.0 | 62.8 | 9.38 | 54.9 |

1 The rate given is that for each 100,000 of population, aged 40 years and over, all forms and by site of organ affected, in the 10 registration sfates of 1900, for the period 1900-1920.
${ }^{2}$ These rates figured on women, aged 40 and over.
Table 3.-Percentage increases in death rate from cancer of all forms

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Death rate per } \\ & 100,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | Per cent increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1900 | 1920 |  |
| Cancer, all forms | 212.0 | 311.4 | 46.9 |
| Buccal cavity | 5.5 | 11. 18 | 103.4 |
| Stomach and liver-1-----.-. | 77.1 | 116.2 | 50.7 |
| Peritoneum, intestines and rectum. | 19.0 | 47.2 | 148.4 |
| Female genital organs ${ }^{1}$-..... | 51.0 | 84.0 | 64.7 |
| Breast ${ }_{\text {Skin }}$-..................... | 34. 4 | 62.8 | 82.6 |
| Skin ..................-.-.-.--ilied | 7.36 60.75 | 9.38 54.9 | 27.4 29.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Female population 40 years and over.
${ }^{2}$ Decrease.
As explained by the Census Bureau, the form of this curve is undoubtedly due to increased precision in stating the site of the malignant growth on the death certificate, the fuller information resulting from the efforts of the Census Bureau and local registrars to improve death registration, permitting the assignment of a larger proportion of cancers to the proper seat of the disease.
Reference to the curve, however, shows us that apparently this gain in accuracy, which produced a striking drop in the mortality rate under this rubric in the period 1900-1909, became stabilized at about that time, as the curve for this classification of cancer shows a steady rise, the percentage increase in the rate from 1910 (the low point) to 1920 being 47 per cent. Since the precision of death certification was presumably as great in 1920 as in 1910, this rise in the
death rate curve from thai year must be due to an increase in the reported number of deaths of persons 40 years and over from cancers of this class. The types of cancer classified by the Census Bureau under the rubric "cancer of other organs or organs not specified" are cancer of the larynx, lungs and pleura, pancreas, kidneys and suprarenals, prostate, bladder, brain, bones (except jaw), testes, and others of this class.

On the face of things, in the population 40 years and over, and independent of any change in age distribution, there has been a pronounced increase in all forms of cancer and of cancer of nearly all the specified sites. Before accepting this as an actual increase in the cancer mortality, however, we should subject these data to some interpretation.

The validity of mortality returns are, of course, importantly affected by the extent to which causes of death are reported by members of the medical profession and not by laymen, as is too often permitted.

However, so far as the States in question are concerned, inquiry showed that practically 100 per cent of death returns for the period under consideration were signed by duly licensed physicians, and consequently the diagnostic error was that inherent in the diagnoses of the medical profession in general, uncomplicated by errors due to the reporting of deaths by laymen.

Statistically, therefore, the mortality statistics of the 10 original registration States have a high degree of validity and from this standpoint are much more reliable than those of certain foreign countries that permit laymen to certify to causes of death.

As Willcox points out, another factor that may alter the reliability of death returns is the extent of available medical services. In regions where physicians are scarce the death returns are less trustworthy than where they are plentiful.

From this standpoint, however, the 10 States considered have little to be desired. In 1906 the total number of physicians in these States was 33,127, a ratio to the population of 1:666. In 1921 this number was 39,389 , a ratio of $1: 708$.

From this it is evident that in these registration States the ratio of medical men to the general population is very high, more than twice as high, for instance, as in England or in Germany. This betokens a high degree of availability of medical servires for diagnosis and treatment of the sick. Moreover, we could not ascribe part of the observed increase in the cancer death rates to increase in the availability of medical services, as the ratio of physicians to the general population was slightly greater during the early years of the period of observation than it was later.

Consequently, since no correction resulting either from lack of medical certification or available medical services need be applied to these rates, the remaining elements that should be examined for trustworthiness, and suitably corrected if need be, consist in allowances that should be made for improvements in the precision and accuracy in returning causes of death, progress in medical diagnosis, and the influence on the cancer death rate due to the changes wrought in the racial stock by immigration.


Chart 2.-Death rate per hundred thousand population, from senility, ill-defined causes, and the combined rate, in age group 40 years and over, in the registration States of 1900 for the period 1900-1920.

Even casual examination of the mortality returns over a series of years shows that a pronounced change in the direction of greater precision and detail in the filling out of death certificates must have taken place. An important improvement in this direction is demonstrated, as pointed out by Willcox, by Howard, and by others, but the great changes that hare taken place in the deaths reported in this age group are due to "indefinite" causes and to senility. This -s well shown in Chart 2.

While the general death rate in persons 40 years and over has shown but little change during the period of observation, this chart shows that the death rate from "ill-defined" causes fell during the 21 -year period from 108 to 5 , a decrease of more than 95 per cent. In similar fashion, the mortality rate from senility declined from 185 in 1900 to 34.1 in 1920, a decrease of nearly 82 per cent.

The drop in the combined death rate from these causes has been from 293 in 1900 to 39.1 in 1920, a decrease of nearly 87 per cent.

Since there has been no significant change during the period of observation in the general death rate of persons 40 years and over the great reduction in the death rates from indefinite causes and senility must have been effected by a gradual redistribution of deaths formerly reported under these rubrics to other more precise classifications.

The observed reduction in the reported deaths from these causes is thus good testimony to increasing accuracy and precision in death certification. If the 1920 rate for deaths from ill-defined causes and for senility had prevailed in 1900, instead of the 15,568 deaths reported under these rubrics, only 2,077 deaths would have been attributed to these causes in the population 40 years and over. For that year, this would leave 13,491 deaths to be redistributed among other more precise classifications. Here, then, is a source of excess deaths which, if all assigned to cancer, would much more than obliterate any advances in the cancer death rate.

Of course, there is no justification for any such extreme correction of the cancer death rate, as besides cancer, other diseases, such as diseases of the circulatory system, have shown even more dramatic increases than cancer in this age group. However, we must assume that a certain proportion of the deaths certified to formerly as due to illdefined causes and to "old age" were in reality due to cancer. It is of interest to see what adjustment must be made in the cancer death rate if we assign a fair proportion of these deaths to the cancer classification.

Since the number of deaths in persons under 60 reported as due to senility is negligible, we must divide our age group 40 and over into two subgroups, one aged 40-59, and the other 60 and over.

In the first group, in 1900 there were 1,331 deaths reported as due to ill-defined causes and senility, as against 152 in 1920. Had the 1920 rate prevailed in 1900, only 98 deaths would have been reported as due to these causes, leaving a difference of 1,233 deaths to be distributed among other causes of death. In 1920 the deaths from cancer iormed 13.7 per cent of all deaths in this group with the exception of those due to senility and to ill-defined causes. So, if for the sake of liberal adjustment we add 13.7 per cent of the excess deaths to be redistributed, 169 additional deaths attributable to cancer
result, to be added to the 5,043 reported deaths, making a total of 5,212 deaths. The adjusted rate resulting from this addition is 138.5 instead of 134 .

As the 1920 rate was 176.7 , the difference between this and the adjusted 1900 rate for this group is 38.2 instead of 42.7 points. Since 38.2 is about 89.5 per cent of 42.7 , a little more than 10 per cent of the increase in the cancer death rate in this group may be ascribed to greater precision in certifying causes of death.

Treating the age group 60 and over in similar fashion, we find that in 1900, 14,237 deaths were reported as due to senility and to illdefined causes. Substituting the 1920 rate of 13.2 , only 3,033 deaths would have resulted, leaving 12,188 deaths to be reassigned under more definite classifications. Since, in 1920, 10.6 per cent of all deaths in this age group (except those due to senility and to ill-defined causes) were due to cancer, 10.6 per cent of 12,188 gives 1,292 deaths to be added to the 6,220 reported cancer deaths. This gives an adjusted rate of 484.6, as compared with the observed rate of 401.3. The differences between the reported and adjusted 1900 rate and the 1920 rate are 253.9 and 170.6 , respectively, corresponding to percentage increases of 63.3 and 35.2.

Since 170.6 is about 67 per cent of $253.9,33$ per cent of the observed increase could be explained by transfer to the cancer column of deaths in which the cause was erroneously reported as due to senility or other ill-defined causes.

In making this correction, it has been assumed that the excess deaths are assigned to other causes in the proportion these have to the total deaths from all causes in each age group, the 1920 percentage of cancer, the highest observed, being used in this case.

Willcox believes that this method of correction tends to underestimate rather than overestimate the transfer, since the modern tendency is away from vague and indefinite to specific and definite causes of death. Hence, he believes that there has been a greater tendency to certify cancer, with the increase in precision of death certification, than would be indicated by its chance frequency as a cause of death.

It is believed, however, that the method of correction is liberal for the following reasons: In the first place, the 1920 percentage that cancer formed of all deaths is used, thus representing the more nearly stabilized practices of present day death certification. The circumstance is ignored that, if cancer has actually increased, there would naturaliy be to-day a higher percentage of cancer among all deaths than formerly.

Again, we include in the cancer deaths a large number of deaths due to cancer of accessible sites, such as the buccal cavity, breast, female genital organs and skin, about which, as is conceded, errors, so far as
death certification is concerned, hardly ever occur. In fact, with regard to such types of cancer, it may be concluded that throughout the entire period of observation the tendency to report a vague and indefinite, rather than a specific cause of death was negligible as compared to other varieties of cancer, and very much less than for other causes of death, such as organic diseases of the heart.

There is still another correction that must be discussed. While the cancer death rate has been increasing, that due to nonmalignant tumors has been falling. In 1900, the rate was a little over 12 per hundred thousand for persons 40 years and over, while in 1920 it was but 7.9. Had the latter rate prevailed in 1900, only 420 instead of 646 deaths would have occurred. This gives a difference of 226 deaths reported as nonmalignant but which, presumably, were due to cancer.
Let us now review briefly how matters stand as to the various adjustments that should be made in this group.

Table 4.-Redistribution

| Age group | Transfers to cancer from- | Deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-59 years.. | "Ill-defined" deaths. | 169 |
| 60 years. | "Ill-defined" deaths and senility | 1,292 |
| 40 years... | Nonmalignant to malignant tumors | 226 |
|  | Total | 1,687 |

In regard to changing age distribution, it has already been pointed out that if the population aged 40 and over were redistributed according to the age constitution prevailing in 1900, the 1920 rate of 311.4 should be somewhat increased, to 316.8. This rate is greater than the observed rate of 212 in 1900 by 49.5 per cent. In 1900 there were reported 11,263 cancer deaths in this group. As a result of the previous computations, the number of deaths given in Table 4 should be added to this figure.

This total, added to the 11,263 already reported, gives 12,950 deaths. This yields a death rate per hundred thousand of 243.9, 31.9 points higher than the observed rate of 212.

This adjusted rate is less than the 1920 rate adjusted for change in age distribution of 316.8 by 72.9 points. This corresponds to an increase of 29.9 instead of 49.5 per cent. As 72.9 is about 69.5 per cent of 104.8 (the difference between the 1920 adjusted and the 1900 observed rate), a little more than 30 per cent of the increase in this age group could be attributed to greater precision and more accuracy in returning the causes of death.

One aspect that must be considered in connection with the increase in cancer mortality is the extent to which general improvement in diagnostic skill may have contributed to such increase. It must, however, be borne in mind that here we are dealing, not with im-
provement in the early diagnosis of cancer, when there is still hope of arresting the disease, but with the diagnosis of cancer in its terminal stages.

From this standpoint, and especially in the recognition of cancers of the accessible sites, such as the buccal cavity, the breast, and the female genital organs, it is doubtful whether the physicians of 1900 were much, if at all, inferior to their brethren of to-day.

Yet the death rates of some of these cancers of accessible sites, such as the buccal cavity, the breast, and the uterus, show a higher percentage increase than that of an inaccessible site, such as cancer of the stomach and liver.

This is shown by the following percentage increase in the rates: Cancer of the buccal cavity, 103.4 per cent; cancer of the uterus, 64.7 per cent; cancer of the breast, 82.6 per cent; cancer of the stomach and liver, 50.7 per cent.

It is true that the disproportionate increase in the death rate from cancer of the peritoneum, intestine, and rectum would indicate some improvement in the diagnosis of these types of cancer. The evidence just given, however, is somewhat weakened by the failure of skin cancer to advance since about 1910.

While no completely satisfactory explanation is at hand, we may suppose here that the superficial situation, generally lower malignancy, greater amenability both to surgical removal and to radiotherapy, and the much higher average age at death may be cited as factors that would explain the failure of skin cancers to advance pari passu with the other varieties.

Before concluding, let me refer briefly to one other point. This is the probable exect on the cancer death rate of the changes in racial stock effected by immigration during this period. It is well known that the character of immigration has been changing. Formerly, immigrants originated mainly from northern and western Europe. Now they come mainly from southern and eastern Europe. The races contributing to the "old" immigration have been the English, Celtic, Teutonic, and Scandinarian. The predominant racial stocks in the "new" immigration are Italian and Slavic.
Since the reported cancer death rates in the latter stocks, so far as statistics are available, seem lower, and certainly are no higher than in the racial stock that originated the old immigration, we may assume that the changes in racial stock due to immigration had, if anything, a tendency to lower rather than to raise the prevailing cancer death rates.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. There has been a pronounced increase in the observed death rate from cancer in persons 40 years and over in that part of the United States known as the 10 original registration States.
2. Part of this increase (about 30 per cent) is due to greater precision and accuracy in the filling out of death returns.
3. The remainder, however, is an actual increase in the mortality resulting in a death rate between 25 and 30 per cent higher than it was 21 years ago.

## PRINCIPAL CAUSES OF DEATH, 1924

The Department of Commerce announces that $1,173,990$ deaths occurred in 1924 within the death registration area of continental United States, representing a death rate of 11.9 per 1,000 population as compared with 12.3 in 1923, 11.8 in 1922 and 11.6 in 1921.

The death registration area (exclusive of the Territory of Hawaii) in 1924 comprised 39 States, the District of Columbia, and 18 cities in nonregistration States, with a total estimated population on July 1 of $99,030,494$, or 88.4 per cent of the estimated population of the United States.

The decrease in the rates from influenza, from 44.7 per 100,000 population in 1923 to 19.6 in 1924, and from pneumonia, all forms, from 109 to 98.4 , accounts for nearly three-fourths of the decrease in the rate from all causes. Some of the other causes for which the rates decreased are measles, diphtheria, diarrhea and enteritis (under two years), and tuberculosis (all forms).
Slight increases appear in the death rates from diseases of the heart, cancer, and automobile accidents.

The following table shows for the death registration area in continental United States in 1923 and 1924, the total number of deaths and the death rates from leading causes.

| Cause of death | Deaths in the registration area (exclusive of Hawaii) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | Rate per 100,000 estimated population |  |
|  | 1924 | 1923 | 1924 | 1923 |
| All causes ${ }^{1}$ | 1,173,990 | 1, 193, 017 | 1, 185.5 | 1,230.1 |
| Typhoid and paratyphoid fever | 6,677 | 6, 635 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| Malaria.- | 2, 441 | 2,736 | 2.5 | 2.8 |
| Smallpox | 874 | 10.131 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Measles | 8, 517 | 10,450 | 8.6 | 10.8 |
| Scarlet fever --- | 3, 122 | 3,440 | 3. 2 | 3.5 |
| Whooping cough | 8, 188 | 9,440 | 8.3 | 9.7 |
| Diphtheria.-.. | 9,316 | 11,733 | 9.4 | 12.1 |
| Influenzs.- | 19,374 | 43,370 | 19.6 | 44.7 |
| Dysentery. | 2,946 | 3, 118 | 3.0 | 3. 2 |
| Erysipelas... | 2,458 | 2,593 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Lethargic encephalitis. | 1,441 | 1,966 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Meningococcus meningitis | 964 | 1,026 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Tuberculosis (all forms) .-. | 89, 724 | 90, 732 | 90.6 | 93.6 |
| Of the respiratory system | 78, 696 | 79,534 | 78. 9 | 82.0 |
| Of the meninges, central nervous syst | 4,014 | 4,010 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
|  | 7,614 | 7,188 | 7.7 | 7.4 |
| 3 Exclusive of stillbirths. |  |  |  |  |


| Cause of death | Deaths in the registration area (exclusive of Hawaii) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | Rate per 100,000 estimated population |  |
|  | 1924 | 1023 | 1924 | 1923 |
| Syphilis ${ }^{2}$. | 16, 248 | 15, 811 | 16.4 | 16.3 |
| Cancer and other malignant tumors. | 91, 138 | 86, 754 | 92.0 | 89.4 |
| Rheumatism.- | 4,548 2,347 | 4,064 2,352 | 4.6 | 4.2 |
| Diabetes melitus | 16, 453 | 17,357 | 16.6 | 17.9 |
| Meningitis (nonepidemic) | 3,366 | 3,652 | 3.4 | 3.8 |
| Cerebral hemorrhage andi softening | 91,941 | 87,707 | 92.8 | 90.4 |
| Paralysis without specified cause. | 5, 057 | 6, 056 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| Diseases of the heart --......- | 176, 671 | 170,033 | 178.4 | 175.3 |
| Diseases of the arteries, atheroma, aneurysm, etc | 23,278 7,207 | 22,085 8,815 | 23.5 7.3 | 228 |
|  | 97, 403 | 105,680 | 98.4 | 109.0 |
| Respiratory diseases other than bronchitis and pneumenia (all forms) | 8,998 | 9,550 | 9.1 | 9.8 |
| Diarrhea and enteritis (total) | 34,482 | 38,703 | 34.8 | 39.9 |
| Diarrbea and enteritis (under 2 years) | 27,566 | 31,444 | 27.8 | 32.4 |
| Diarrhea and enteritis (2 years and over) | 6, 916 | 7,259 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| Appendicitis and typhlitis. | 14,788 | 14,345 | 14.9 | 14.8 |
| Hernia, intestinal obstruction | 10,480 | 10, 211 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
| Cirrhosis of the liver | 7,344 | 7,027 | 7.4 | 7.2 |
| Nephritis........-. | 88, 863 | 87,378 | 89.7 | 90.1 |
| Puerperal septicenuia | 5,745 | 5,657 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Puerperal causes other than puerperal septicemia | 9,630 | 9,448 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Congenital malioimations and diseases of early infancy | 77,653 | 75,626 | 78.4 | 78.0 |
| Suicide. | 12,061 | 11, 287 | 12.2 | 11.6 |
| Homicide | 8,420 $\mathbf{7 5}, 745$ | 74, 7131 | 8.5 76.5 | 88.1 |
| Burns (conflagration excepted)................ | 6,896 | 6,503 | 7.0 | 6.7 |
| Accidental drowning. | 6,490 | 5,975 | 6.6 | 6.2 |
| Accidental shooting. | 2,571 | 2,578 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Accidental falls. | 12,955 | 12,378 | 13.1 | 12.8 |
| Mine accidents. | 2,234 | 2,207 | 2.3 | 23 |
| Machinery accidents | 2,052 | 2,224 | 2.1 | 23 |
| Railroad accidents | 6,430 | 7,100 | 6.5 | 7.3 |
| Street-car accidents. | 1,623 | 1,757 | 11.6 | 1.8 14 |
| Injuries by vehicles other than railroad cars, street cars, and automobiles ${ }^{4}$ | 15,528 <br> 1,680 | 14,411 1,806 | 15.7 1.7 | 14.9 1.9 |
| Excessive heat (burns excepted) | 409 | 529 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Other external causes. | 16, 878 | 16,662 | 17.0 | 17.2 |
| All other defined causes | 109,646 | 107,402 | 110.7 | 110.7 |
| Unknown or ill-defined causes. | 17,536 | 16,638 | 17.7 | 17.2 |

2 Includes tabes dorsalis (locomotor ataxia) and general paralysis of the insane.
3 Does not include deaths from collisions with steam and street cars.

- Includes airplane, balloon, and motor-cycle accidents.


## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED DECEMBER 19, 1925

## Summary of information rereived by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended December 19, 1925, and corresponding week of 1924. (From the Weekly Health Index, December 22, 1925, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)

|  | Week ended Dec. 19, 1925 | Corresponding week, 1924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Policies in force | 62, 410, 497 | 57, 951, 439 |
| Number of death claims | 12, 148 | 11, 548 |
| Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate | 10. 1 | 10.4 |

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended December 19, 1925, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1924. (From the Weekly Health Index, December 22, 1925, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)


${ }^{1}$ Annual rate per 1,000 population.
${ }^{2}$ Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births-an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1924. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.
${ }^{3}$ Data for 59 cities.
${ }_{4}{ }^{5}$ Deaths for week ended Friday, Dec. 18, 1925.
${ }^{5}$ In the cities for which deaths are shown by color, the colored population in 1920 constituted the following per cents of the total population: Atlanta 31, Baltimore 15, Birmingham 39, Dallas 15, Fort Worth 14, Houston 25, Kansas City, Kans., 14, Louisville 17, Memphis 38, Nashville 30, New Orleans 26, Norfolk 38. Richmond 32, and W ashington, D. C., 25.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended December 19, 1925, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding ueek of 1924. (From the Weekly Health Index, December 22, 1925, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce)-Continued


[^2]
## PREVALENCE OF DISEASE

## No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring

## UNITED STATES

## CURRENT WEEKLY STATE REPORTS

## These reports are preliminary and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers

Reports for Week Ended December 26, 1925

| ALABAM. | Cases | CALIFO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chicken pox. |  | Cerebrospinal meningitis: | Cases |
| Dengue. | 1 | North Sacramento. | 1 |
| Diphtheria. | - 24 | Pittsburg. | 1 |
| Influenza. | - 74 | Red Bluff. | 1 |
| Malaria | 6 | Chicken pox | - 133 |
| Measles | 1 | Diphtheria. | 65 |
| Mumps. | 23 | Influenza. | 74 |
| Pellagra | 2 | Lethargic encephalitis: |  |
| Pneumonia. | 70 | Fresno County. | 1 |
| Scarlet fever. | - 10 | Measles. | - 10 |
| Smallpox | 5 | Mumps. | . 115 |
| Tetanus | 1 | Poliomyelitis: |  |
| Tuberculosis. | - 23 | Madera | 1 |
| Typhoid fever. | - 7 | San Fernando. | 1 |
| Whooping cough | - 13 | Scarlet fever. | 82 |
| ARIZONA |  | Smallpox: <br> Los Angeles County. | 5 |
| Chicken pox. | - 1 | Oakland...--.-....... | 8 |
| Mumps..- | - 1 | Sacramento | 5 |
| Scarlet fever- | - 7 | Scattering. | 14 |
| Tuberculosis. | 10 | Typhoid fever | 10 |
| Typhoid fever. | 1 | Wheoping cough. | 33 |
| arkansas |  | COLOR |  |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis. | 1 | Chicken pox. | 38 |
| Chicken pox. | 8 | Diphtheria. | 27 |
| Diphtheria. | 7 | Dysentery. | 1 |
| Influenza. | 35 | Measles. | 7 |
| Malaria | 11 | Mumps. | 4 |
| Mumps. | 1 | Paratyphoid fever. | 2 |
| Pellagra. | 6 | Pneumonia. | 4 |
| Scarlet fever. | 5 | Scariet fever | 14 |
| Smallpox. | 2 | Smallrox. | 1 |
| Trachoma. | 3 | Tuberculesis. | 45 |
| Tubercuiosis. | 6 | Typioid fever. | 3 |
| Typhoid fever.. | 9 | i'hooping coush. | 21 |


| CONNECTICUT |  | illinois-cont |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis.... | $\therefore 2$ | Scarlet fever: | Cases |
| Chicken pox. | . 61 | Cook County.. | 121 |
| Diphtheria. | . 24 | Kane County . | 21 |
| German measles. | . 7 | Livingston County | 10 |
| Influenta. | 6 | Madison County | 10 |
| Measles. | - 180 | Peoria County | 12 |
| Mumps. | 4 | Scattering. | 99 |
| Pneumonia (broncho) | - 16 | Smallpox: |  |
| Pneumonia (lobar) | 45 | St. Clair County. | 10 |
| Scarlet fever | 56 | Scattering. | 28 |
| Septic sore throat | 2 | Tuberculosis. | 125 |
| Tuberculosis (pulmonary) | 12 | Typhoid fever: |  |
| Typhoid fever. | - 4 | Franklin County. | 13 |
| Whooping cough. | - 31 | Scattering.- | 35 |
| delamare |  | Whooping cough. | 73 |
| Anthrax. |  | indinna |  |
| Chicken pox. | - 1 | Cerebrospinal meningitis | 2 |
| Diphtheria. | - 1 | Chicken pox.............. | 49 |
| Measles. | 10 | Diphtheria... | 59 |
| Pneumonia- | - 7 | Influenza... | 31 |
| Tuberculosis. | 4 | Measles... | 34 74 |
| plorida |  | Pncumonia |  |
| Chicken pox. | - 9 | Scarlet fever. | 156 |
| Dengue. | 1 | Smallpor. |  |
| Diphtheria. | 25 | Tuberculosis. | 22 |
| Influenza. | 19 | Typhoid fever. | 8 |
| Malaria | 31 | Whooping cough. | 43 |
| Measles.. |  |  |  |
| Mumps. | 3 | Iown |  |
| Pneumonia | - 80 |  |  |
| Scarlet fever | 2 | Cerebrospinal meningitis |  |
| Smallpox. | 9 | Chicken pox | 37 |
| Tetanus.. | 13 | Diphtheria.. |  |
| Tuberculosis. | 94 | German measles |  |
| Typhoid fever. | 11 | Meas | - 36 |
| Whooping cough... | 2 | Mumps..... <br> Pneumonia | 3 1 |
| aeorgia |  | Poliomyelitis. | 2 |
| Chicken pox | 13 | Scarlet fever.. | 51 |
| Diphtheria | 15 | Smallpox. | 13 |
| Dysentery.- | 5 | Typhoid fever. | 7 |
| German measles. | 1 | Whooping cough. | 6 |
| Hookworm disease | 1 |  |  |
| nfluenza. |  | kansas |  |
| Malaria. |  | Cerebrospinal meningitis: |  |
| Measles. | 2 | Cerebrospinal meningitis. |  |
| Mumps | 10 | Abinsas City. | 1 |
| Pneumonia. | 61 | Chicken pos..... | 85 |
| carlet fever. | 6 |  | 22 |
| Septic sore throat. | 7 |  |  |
| mallpox....... | 2 | Mreasles | 2 |
| Tuberculosis... | 2 |  |  |
| Typhoid fever. | 2 | Mumps.... |  |
| Whooping cough.. | 4 |  | 29 |
| illinots |  | Poliomyelitis: Eudora | 1 |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis-Jefferson | 1 | Hayes.. | 1 |
| Diphtheria: |  | Kansas City. | 1 |
| Cook County. | 64 | Scarlet fever.- | 43 |
| Scattering. | 21 | Septic sore throat. | 1 |
| flluenza. | 26 | Smallpox.. | 5 |
| ethargic encephalitis-Cook ('ount | 1 | Tuberculosis | 48 |
| Measles. | 191 | 'Typhoid fever.. | 7 |
| neumonia | 214 | Whooping cough. | 31 |
| $73520^{\circ}-26 \dagger-2$ |  |  |  |


| lousiana |  | Minsesota |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diphtheria | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \ldots \quad 11 \end{gathered}$ | Chicken pox | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ --114 \end{gathered}$ |
| Influenza. | - 11 | Diphtheria. | 51 |
| Malaria | 7 | Measles. |  |
| Pneumonia | 35 | Pneumonia |  |
| Scarlet fever | 8 | Poliomyelitis. |  |
| Smalipor. | 39 | Scarlet fever. | 210 |
| Tuberculosis | 28 | Smallpox. |  |
| Typhoid fever | 3 | Tuberculosis. | 33 |
| maine |  | Typhoid fever. |  |
| Chicken pox. | 25 | Whooping cough |  |
| Diphtheria | 5 | MISSISSIPPI |  |
| German measles | 1 | Diphtheria |  |
| Influenza | 5 | Scarlet fever. |  |
| Measles. | 3 | Smallpor |  |
| Mumps. | 20 | Typhoid fever. |  |
| Pneumonia | 2 | MISSOURI |  |
| Scarlet fever | 33 | (Exclusive of Kansas |  |
| Septic sore throat |  | (Exclusive of Kansas |  |
| Tuberculosis | - 3 | Cerebrospinal meningitis. |  |
| Typhoid fever. | - 6 | Chicken pox |  |
| Vincents angina | 1 | Diphtheria. | 57 |
| Whooping cough. | 12 | Epidemic sore throat |  |
| Maryland ${ }^{1}$ |  | Leprosy |  |
| Chicken pox... | 84 | Measles. |  |
| Diphtheria. | 22 | M |  |
| German measles. | 2 | Scarlet fever |  |
| Influenza. | 17 | Smallpox |  |
| Malaria | 1 | Tuberculosis |  |
| Measles | 161 | Typhoid fever.- |  |
| Mumps | 59 | Whooping cough |  |
| Ophthalmia neonatorum | 1 | Whooping cough |  |
| Pneumonia (broncho). | 43 | montana |  |
| Pneumonia (lobar) | 55 | Cerebrospinal meningitis.. | 1 |
| Scarlet fever | 50 | Chicken pox. | 18 |
| Tuberculosis | 28 | Diphtheria.. |  |
| Typhoid fever. | 13 | Mumps.. | 57 |
| Whooping cough. | 26 | Poliomyelitis. |  |
| massachusetts |  | Scarlet fever | 13 |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis. | 2 | Smallpox. |  |
| Chicken pox. | 138 | Tuberculosis | 2 |
| Conjunctivitis (suppurative) | 3 | Typhoid fever.. | 2 |
| Diphtheria.- | 46 | Whooping cough | 10 |
| German measles. |  | nebraska |  |
| Influenza | 12 | Cerebrospinal meningitis. | 1 |
| Measles | 654 | Chicken pox. | 12 |
| Mumps. | 22 | Diphtheria | 7 |
| Ophthalmia neonatorum | 5 | Influenza. | 1 |
| Pneumonia (lobar) | 58 | Mumps...... | - 1 |
| Scarlet fever | 101 | Pneumonia | - 6 |
| Tuberculosis (pulmonary) | 42 | Scarlet fever | 19 |
| Tuberculosis (other forms) | 7 | Smallpox | 21 |
| Typhoid fever... | 5 | Whooping cough | - 2 |
| Whooping cough.. | 154 | NEW JERSEY |  |
| michigan |  | Chicken pos..... | 211 |
| Diphtheria. | 75 | Diphtheria. | 65 |
| Measles. | . 174 | Dysentery.... | - 1 |
| Pneumonia | 141 | Infuenza.. | 5 |
| Scarlet fever. | 217 | Measles.. | 308 |
| Smallpox. | 2 | Pneumonia | 82 |
| Tuberculosis | 36 | Scarlet fever | 96 |
| Typhoid fever. | 39 | Typhoid fever. | 2 |
| Whooping cough. | 111 | Whooping cough | 21 |




## SUMMARY OF MONTHLY REPORTS FROM STATES

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

| State | Cere-brospinal meningitis | Diphtheria | Influenza | M8laria | Measles | Pellagra | Polio-myelitis | Scarlet fever | Smallpox | Ty. phoid fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November, 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 3 | 219 | 262 | 137 | 6 | 36 | 5 | 105 | 156 | 134 |
| Colorado. |  | 176 | 4 |  | 13 |  | 1 | 90 | 1 | 58 |
| Delaware |  | 34 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 15 | 0 | 5 |
| Florida. | 1 | 141 | 25 | 60 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 14 | 57 |
| Georgia | 2 | $15 B$ | 385 | 99 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 44 | 19 | 110 |
| Illinois. | 3 | 584 | 55 | 1 | 682 |  | 12 | 1,280 | 79 | 206 |
| Indiana. | 3 | 292 | 82 |  |  |  | 13 | 750 |  | 72 |
| lowa.... | 2 | 180 |  |  | 16 |  | 16 | 211 | 39 | 125 |
| Lollisiana | 1 | 154 | 91 | 59 | 6 | 34 | 9 | 58 | 34 | 164 |
| Maryland. | 1 | 154 | 70 | 2 | 530 | 0 | 1 | 187 | 0 | 118 |
| Minnesota. | 1 | 353 | 3 |  | 23 |  | 16 | 859 | 14 | 25 |
| Mississippi | 2 | 250 | 2,811 | 4,397 | 183 | 333 | 3 | 77 | 39 | 309 |
| Missouri .- | 1 | 388 | - 52 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 555 | 10 | 145 |
| Ohio..... | 2 | 833 | 44 | 0 | 1,076 |  | 9 | 1,140 | 137 | 187 |
| Oklahoma ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 3 | 200 | 525 | 104 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 135 | 26 | 322 |
| Oregon..- | 4 | 182 | 30 |  | 21 |  | 2 | 218 | 88 | 17 |
| Rhode Island | 0 | 51 | 8 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 10 |
| Virginia............. | 2 | 500 | 1,102 | 74 | 267 | 12 | 6 | 396 | 17 | 139 |

${ }^{1}$ Reports not required by law.
${ }^{2}$ Exclusive of Oklahoma City and Tulsa.

## RECIPROCAL NOTIFICATIONS

Notifications regarding communicable diseases sent during the month of November, 1925, to other State health departments by departments of health of certain States

| Referred by- | Scarlet fever | Tuberculosis | Typhoid fever |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois |  | 11 | 4 |
| Massachusetts...- |  |  |  |
| Minnesota New York-.... | 1 | 31 |  |

## PLAGUE-ERADICATIVE MEASURES IN THE UNITED STATES

The following items were taken from the reports of plaçue-tradicative measures from the cities named:

> Los Angeles, Calif.

Week ended Dec. 12, 1925:
Number of rats trapped
Number of rats found to be plague infected.................................... 0



Number of mice found to be plague infected-.....-........................... 0
Date of discovery of last plague-infected rodent, Nov. 6, 1925.
Date of last human case, Jan. 15, 1925.

Oakland, Calif.

## (Including other East Bay communities)

Week ended Dec. 12, 1925 :



## Totals:

Number of rats trapped Jan. 1 to Dec. 12, 1925....................... 77, 866
Number of rats found to be plague infected.-.............................. 21
Number of squirrels examined May 1 to Aug. 1, 1925................. 7, 277
Number of squirrels found to be plague infected.......................... 0

Date of discovery of last plague-infected rat, Mar. 4, 1925.
Date of last human case, Sept. 10, 1919.

## GENERAL CURRENT SUMMARY AND WEEKLY REPORTS FROM CITIES

Diphtheria.-For the week ended December 12, 1925, 36 States reported 1,618 cases of diphtheria. For the week ended December 13, 1924, the same States reported 2,037 cases of this disease. One hundred and two cities situated in all parts of the country and having an aggregate population of about $29,000,000$, reported 909 cases of diphtheria for the week ended December 12, 1925. Last year for the corresponding week they reported 1,055 cases. The estimated expectancy for these cities was 1,392 cases. The estimated expectancy is based on the experience of the last nine years, excluding epidemics.

Measles.-Thirty-three States reported 4,329 cases of measles for the week ended December 12, 1925, and 1,665 cases of this disease for the week ended December 13, 1924. One hundred and two cities reported 2,212 cases of measles for the week this year, and 694 cases last year.

Poliomyelitis.-The health officers of 37 States reported 41 cases of poliomyelitis for the week ended December 12, 1925. The same States reported 58 cases for the week ended December 13, 1924.

Scarlet fever.-Scarlet fever was reported for the week as foilows: Thirty-six States-this year, 3,165 cases; last year, 3,380 cases. One hundred and two cities-this year, 1,281 cases; last year, 1,712 cases; estimated expectancy, 1,007 cases.

Smallpox.-For the week ended December 12, ${ }^{\circ} 1925,36$ States reported 379 cases of smallpox. Last year for the corresponding week they reported 799 cases. One hundred and two cities reported smallpox for the week as follows: 1925, 119 cases; 1924, 236 cases; estimated expectancy, 53 cases. One death from smallpox was reported by these cities for the week this year-at Los Angeles, Calif.

Typhoid fever.-Four hundred and twenty-two cases of typhoid fever were reported for the week ended December 12, 1925, by 36 States. For the corresponding week of 1924, the same States re-
ported 571 cases of this disease. One hundred and two cities reported 112 cases of typhoid fever for the week this year and 237 cases for the corresponding week last year. The estimated expectancy for these cities was 96 cases.

Influenza and pneumonia.-Deaths from influenza and pneumonia were reported for the week by 95 cities, with a population of more than $28,000,000$, as follows: 1925, 789 deaths; 1924, 945.

## City reports for week ended December 12, 1925

The "estimated expectancy" given for diphtheria, poliomyelitis, scarlet fever, smallpox, and typhoid fever is the result of an attempt to ascertain from previous occurrence how many cases of the disease under consideration may be expected to occur during a certain week in the absence of epidemics. It is based on reports to the Public Health Service during the past nine years. It is in most instances the median number of cases reported in the corresponding week of the preceding years. When the reports include several epidemics or when for other reasons the median is unsatisfactory, the epidemic periods are excluded and the estimated expectancy is the mean number of cases reported for the week during nonepiciemic years.
If reports have not been received for the full nine years, data are used for as many years as possible, but no year eariier than 1915 is included. In obtaining the estimated expectancy the figures are smoothed when necessary to avoid abrupt deviations from the usual trend. For some of the diseases given in the table the available data were not sufficient to make it practicable to compute the estimated expectancy.

| Division, State, and city | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & \text { July 1, } \\ & \text { 1923, } \\ & \text { estimated } \end{aligned}$ | Chick. en pox, cases reported | Diphtheria |  | Influenza |  | Measles, cases reported | Mumps, cases reported | Pneumonia, deaths reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re-- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Cases reported | Deaths reported |  |  |  |
| NEW ENGLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine: Portland | 73, 129 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 |
| New Hampshire: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concord | 22, 408 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermont: <br> Barre | 110,008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Burlington | 23,613 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Massachusetis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boston..-- | 770, 400 | 66 | 64 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 143 | 10 | 20 |
| Fall River. | 120,912 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 4 |
| Springfield .-...-. --. -- | 144, 227 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Worcester .-........-- | 191, 927 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 2 | 11 |
| Rhode Island: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pawtucket .........- | 68,799 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Providence.-......-- | 242,378 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 6 |
| Connecticut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridgeport . . . . . . . . - | 1 1 1 1388,036 | ${ }_{12}^{2}$ | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 1 |
| Hartford....--.-..------ | 1 138,036 172,967 | 12 35 | 9 4 | 5 2 | 1 | 0 | 26 9 | 0 1 | 3 |
| middle atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffalo. | 536, 718 | 13 | 32 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| New York | 5, 927, 625 | 250 | 207 | 144 | 27 | 12 | 742 | 17 | 139 |
| Rochester -........--- | 317,867 | 18 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 7 |
| Syracuse..........--- | 184, 511 | 24 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| New Jersey: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camden | 124, 1.57 | 5 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 |
| Newark. | 43i. 699 | 82 | 19 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 33 2 | 1 | 6 4 |
| Trenton-.---------- | 127, 390 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania: Philadelphia | 1, 222, 783 | 211 | 77 | 69 | 0 | 5 | 59 | 10 | 56 |
| Pittshurgh..-.-...----- | 1, 6!3, 442 | 33 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 27 |
| Reading-............- | 110,317 | 38 ) | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

[^3]City reports for week ended December 12, 1925-Continued

| Division, State, and city | Population July 1, 1823, cstimated | Chicken pox, cases reported | Diphtheria |  | Influenza |  | Measles, cases reported | Mumps, cases reported | Pncumonia, deaths reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | Cases reported | Cases reported | Deaths reported |  |  |  |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati | 406, 312 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Cleveland. | 888, 519 | 68 | 50 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 209 | 3 | 24 |
| Columbus...-.-..-.- | 281, 082 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Toledo.-.--...-...-- | 268, 338 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 |
| Indiana: <br> Fort Wayne | 93,573 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Indianapolis........- | 342, 718 | 24 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 16 |
| South Bend.......-- | 76, 709 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Terre Haute........- | 68,939 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Illinois: <br> Chicago | 2,886,121 | 128 | 191 | 61 | 11 | 7 | 27 | 9 | 47 |
| Peoria... | 2,886,121 | 14 | 191 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Springfield....-.----- | 61, 833 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 |
| Michigan: <br> Detroit | 1,155, 000 | 85 | 78 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 159 | 7 | 36 |
| Flint. | 1,117,968 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Rapids..----- | 145, 947 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Wisconsin: <br> Madison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midwanke.-...-...--- | 484,595 | 192 | 28 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Racine...-.-.----------- | 64,393 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Superior...-.-.-...--- | 139,671 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West norti central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duluth....-- | 106, 289 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Minneapolis | 469, 125 | 75 | 27 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| St: Paul... | 241,891 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| Iowa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davenport. | 61, 262 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |  |
| Des Moines. | 140,923 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sioux City . | 79, 662 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Waterloo..........-- | 39,667 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Missouri: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City........- | 351, 819 | 48 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| St. Joseph | 78, 232 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 4 |
| St. Louis ............- | 803, 853 | 47 | 67 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| North Dakota:-------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Forks .-....- | 14, 547 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aberdeen. | 15, 829 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 40 |  |
| Sioux Falls .......--- | 20, 206 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nebraska: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lincoln. | 5S, 761 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Omaha. | 204, 382 | 26 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| Kansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Topeka------------ | 52, 555 | 26 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Wichita---.-.------ | 79,261 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| SOUTH ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore --------- | 773, 580 | 105 | 30 | 24 | 21 | 2 | 287 | 9 | 21 |
| Cumberland | 32,361 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Frederick .......-.--- | 11,301 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| District of Columbia:-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginla: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lynchburg.-.-.-.-.- | 30,277 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Norfolk............--- | 159, 089 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Richmond...-.-....-- | 181, 044 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 4 |
| Roanoke.-.-.-.-.--- | 55, 502 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Virginia: $\quad$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston.-.....-. | 45,597 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Huntington........- | 57,918 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Wheeling-..........- | 156,268 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Population Jan. 1, 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Division, State, and eity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { July } 1, \\ 1923, \\ \text { estimated } \end{gathered}$ | Chicken pox, cases reported | Diphtheria |  | Influenzs |  | Measles, cases reported | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mumps, } \\ \text { cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Pneumonia deaths reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| south atlantic-Cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina: $\quad 20,171 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waleigh.......---.--- | 29,171 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Winston-Salem.-- | 56, 230 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 2 | 4 |
| South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston.-.-.-.-. | 71, 245 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Columbia. | 39, 688 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Greenville.. | 25,789 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Georgia: $\quad 202063$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. | 222,963 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Brunswick | 15,937 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Savannah....-. | 89,448 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Florida: | 24,403 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Tampa....... | 56,050 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| East south central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covington | 57,877 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Louisville.........- | 257, 671 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| Tennessee: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nashville.-.-.-.-.-.---- | 121, 128 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Alabama: 10500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham.......- | 195, 301 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Mobile .............. | 63, 558 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Montgomery .-..-.- | 45,383 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fort Smith... | 30,635 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - .-- | 0 | 0 |  |
| Little Rock........-- | 70,916 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |
| Louisiana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Orleans...-. - | 404, 575 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Shreveport........-- | 54,590 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Oklahoma: <br> Oklaboma City | 101, 150 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas. | 177, 274 | 18 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Galveston | 46, 877 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Housten. | 154, 970 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| San Antenio.......-- | 184, 727 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| MOCNTAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billings. | 16,927 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Great Falls | 27, 887 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 0 |
| Helena. | 112,037 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Idaho: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bcise-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-- | 22,806 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2i2, 031 | 48 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque..------ Arizona: | 16,648 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phoenix. | 33,899 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Lake City ....- | 126,241 | 85 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 2 |
| Nevada: <br> Reno | 12, 429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pactfic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seattle.............-- | ${ }^{1} 315,685$ | 36 | 8 | 6 | 0 |  | 3 | 19 |  |
| Spokane....-.......- | 104, 573 | 54 | 5 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Tacoma...........-- | 101,731 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Oregon: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portland.--.-.----- | 2;3,621 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
| California: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles........- | 666, 853 | 29 | 37 | 42 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 11 |
| Sacramento........- | 69, 950 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| San Francisco. . . . - | 529, 638 | 48 | 24 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ Podulation Jan. 1. 1920.
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| Division, State, and city | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  |  | Tuber-culosis, deaths ported | Typhoid fever |  |  | Whoop-ingcough,casesre-ported | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Cases, estimated expect- ancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Deaths ported |  | Cases, estimated expect- | Cases ported | Deaths ported |  |  |
| new england |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 22 |
| Portland --.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire: Concord |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Vermont: |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Barre.........- |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Burlington.--- | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Boston.... | 312 | 42 |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 001 |  | 37 | 223 |
| Fall River-. |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0000 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| Springfield...-- | 811 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 30 |
| Wrorcester-...- |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 51 |
| Rhode Island: Pawtucket.... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |
| Providence...- | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 1 |  | 12 | 68 |
| Conuecticut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridgeport | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 000 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 001 | 120 | 01 | 17 | 34 |
| New Haven... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 47 |
| middle atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buffalo-......- | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 152 \end{array}$ | 18109 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9198 | 18 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 3 | 1756 | 1, ${ }^{141}$ |
| New York..... |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |
| Rochester .-... | 13 12 | 19 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 56 | ${ }_{35}$ |
| New Jersey: | 12 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camden. | 2162 | 21.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 412 | 2 | 02 | 0 | 090 | 4512340 |
| Newark... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trenton--...-- |  | 2 |  |  | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Pennsylvania: Philadelphia | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \\ 31 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 63 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 000 | 000 | 000 | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 9 \\ \mathbf{2} \end{array}$ |  |  | 1$\mathbf{0}$0 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 51018268 |
| Pittsburgh..-- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 410 | 801 |  |  |  |
| Reading......-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAST NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati....- | 14331015 | 11292010 | 0100 | 013 | 000 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 14 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1201 | 310 | 100 | 9873 | 1461958080 |
| Cleveland..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbus...--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana: ${ }_{\text {Toledo }}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 68 |
| Indiana: Fort Wayne... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12951218 |
| Indianapolis.-. | 21033 | $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 16 \\ \mathbf{8} \\ \mathbf{8} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 03000 | 03030 | 0000 | 1400 | 1100 | 30001 | 0002 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 18 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  |
| South Bend --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terre Haute... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois: Chicago | 1186 | 1546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 646 |
| Peoria.......... |  |  | 1 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 47 0 0 | 6 0 | 7 0 | 3 0 | 18 1 | 11 |
| Springfield...-- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Michigan: <br> Detroit | 80108 | 119219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flint |  |  | 201 | 000 | 0 | 20 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 16 |
| Grand Rapids- |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 27 | 33 |
| W isconsin: <br> Madison $\qquad$ |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milwaukee....- | 30 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | . 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 109 |
| Racine | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Superior-.....-- | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| WEST NORTH CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duluth.-.....- | 39 | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Minneapolis..-- | 39 | 59 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 87 |
| St. Paul....... | 17 | 46 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 70 |
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| Division, State, and city | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  |  | Tuber-culosis, deaths reported | Typhoid fever |  |  | Whooping cough, cases reported | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Deaths reported |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Deaths reported |  |  |
| WEST NORTH central-contd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davenport | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | --- | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Des Moines..- | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Sioux City..... | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Waterloo.-...-- | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |  |
| Missouri: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City--- | 11 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 98 |
| St. Joscph | 3 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
| North Dakota: ${ }^{\text {---- }}$ | 33 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 216 |
| Fargo........- | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 |
| Grand Forks .- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 4 |  |
| Bouth Dakota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aberdeen <br> Sioux Falls | 1 | 1 | 0 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Nebraska: |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Lincoln........- | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 15 |
| Omaha | 5 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 52 |
| Kansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Topeka.......-- | 2 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 31 |
| SOUTH ATLANTIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wilmington..- | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 36 |
| Maryland: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore.-.-- | 22 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 212 |
| Cumberland.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Frederick....-- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dist. of Columbia: Washington. | 20 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 145 |
| Virginia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lynchburg...- | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Norfolk.......-. | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Richmond...-- | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Roanoke.-....- | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| West Virginia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston...- | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 27 |
| Huntington--- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Wheeling......- | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| North Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raleigh .....--- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Wilmington.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Winston-sialem | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charleston...- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Columbia.-.-- | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Greenville...-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| Georgia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 69 |
| Brunswick.-.- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Savannah.-.-- | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Petersburg. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Tampa-.------ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| EAST SOUTH Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covington....- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Louisville.-.-- | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 67 |
| Tennessee: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memphis...--- | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 1 | 4 0 | 68 |
| Nashville.----- | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 50 |
| Birmingham .- | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 69 |
| Mobile | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Montgomery .-I | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
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| Division, State, and city | Scarlet fever |  | Smallpox |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tuber- } \\ \text { culo- } \\ \text { sis, } \\ \text { deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Typhoid fever |  |  | Whooping cough, cases reported | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deaths, } \\ & \text { all } \\ & \text { causes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases, estimated expect ancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ | Deaths reported |  | Cases, estimated expectancy | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Cases } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deaths } \\ \text { re- } \\ \text { ported } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| West solth CENTRAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas: Fort Smith | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | --..--* |
| Louisiana: | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| New Orleans.- | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 151 |
| Shreveport.... | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 28 |
| Oklahoma: Oklahoma City | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas...-.....- | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 16 | 44 |
| Galveston....- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Houston.......- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 |
| San Antonio..- | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| MOUNTAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billings.......- | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Great Falls....- | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Helena. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Missoula-....- | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Idaho: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Colorado:---------- | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Denver.- | 10 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 80 |
| Pueblo...-.-.---- | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| New Mexico:---- | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 4 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque.- <br> Arizona: | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Phoenix - |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Utah: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Lake City | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 18 |
| Nevada: <br> Keno | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| PACIFIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seattle........- | 6 | 9 | 1 | 2 |  | - | 1 | 3 | -- | 10 |  |
| Spokane......-- | 5 | 27 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  |
| Tacoma......-- | 2 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| Oregon: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California: | 7 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Los Angeles... | 20 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 225 |
| Sacramento...- | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| San Francisco. | 12 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 176 |

City reports for week ended Deccmber 12, 1925-Continued


1 Typhus fever, 2 cases, New York City.

The following table gives the rates per 100,000 population for 103 cities for the 10 -week period ended December 12, 1925. The population figures used in computing the rates were estimated as of July 1, 1923, as this is the latest date for which estimates are available. The 103 cities reporting cases had an estimated aggregate population of nearly $20,000,000$, and the 96 cities reporting deaths had more than $28,000,000$ population. The number of cities included in each group and the aggregate populations are shown in a separate table below:

Summary of weekly reports from cities, October 4 to December 12, 1925-Annual rates per 100,000 population ${ }^{1}$

DIPHTHERIA CASE RATES

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

MEASLES CASE RATES

| 103 cities | 55 | 70 | 293 | ${ }^{3} 105$ | 154 | 174 | 229 | 212 | ${ }^{4} 357$ | 441 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 385 | 447 | ${ }^{3} 599$ | 604 | 852 | 937 | 1,130 | 827 | 1,583 | 2,025 |
| Middle Atlantic | 47 | 65 | 87 | 110 | 159 | 171 | 256 | 239 | 339 | 453 |
| East North Central | 26 | 25 | 47 | 57 | 74 | 88 | 103 | 124 | 255 | 307 |
| West North Central | 6 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 31 | 19 | 25 |
| South Atlantic. | 16 | 55 | 640 | 59 | 154 | 232 | 289 | 353 | 552 | 576 |
| East South Central | 11 | 6 | 40 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 51 | 34 | 743 | 23 |
| West South Central | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mountain. | 38 | 10 | 29 | ${ }^{3} 20$ | 38 | 47 | 29 | 10 | ${ }^{8} 19$ | 38 |
| Pacific. | 12 | 29 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 32 | 26 | 58 | 55 |

SCARLET FEVER CASE RATES


[^5]Summary of weekly reports from cities, October 4 to December 12, 1925-Annual rates per 100,000 population-Continued
smallpox case rates

|  | Week ended- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | Oct. 17 | Oct. 24 | Oct. 31 | Nov. 7 | Nov. 14 | Nov. 21 | Nov. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 103 cities. | 5 | 8 | 27 | ${ }^{3} 10$ | 10 | 8 | 17 | 16 | 413 | 21 |
| New England. | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East North Central | 1 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 12 | 13 | 32 | 32 | 14 | 34 |
| West North Central | 10 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 12 | 4 | 17 | 10 | 19 | 19 |
| South Atlantic...-- | 6 | 6 | 60 | 6 | 12 | ${ }^{6}$ | 21 | 2 | 74 | 8 |
| East South Central | 17 | 46 | 6 | 6 | 29 | 34 | 11 | 11 | ${ }^{7} 12$ | 8 |
| West South Central | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 9 |
| Mountain....-. | 10 | 29 | 10 | ${ }^{3} 10$ | 19 | 19 | 19 | 10 | 80 | 105 |
| Pacific. | 46 | 58 | 78 | 46 | 49 | 44 | 78 | 99 | 110 | 131 |

TYPHOID FEVER CASE RATES

| 103 cities | 37 | 36 | ${ }^{2} 33$ | ${ }^{3} 26$ | 28 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 420 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 17 | 25 | ${ }^{8} 15$ | 17 | 22 | 2 | 32 | 17 | 22 | 22 |
| Middle Atlantic | 31 | 28 | 25 | 21 | 12 | 8 | 20 | 14 | 26 | 25 |
| East North Central. | 22 | 32 | 9 | 16 | 19 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| West North Central | 33 | 21 | 33 | 19 | 31 | 17 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| South Atlantic. | 55 | 70 | ${ }^{6} 78$ | 27 | 64 | 10 | 31 | 29 | 21 | 25 |
| East South Central | 177 | 132 | 160 | 109 | 183 | 46 | 34 | 23 | ${ }^{7} 61$ | 29 |
| West south Central | 60 | 46 | 83 | 83 | 51 | 60 | 32 | 32 | 42 | 32 |
| Mountain....... | 124 | 48 | 67 | ${ }^{3} 88$ | 38 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 80 | 19 |
| Pacitic. | 9 | 20 | 32 | 20 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

INFLUENZA DEATII RATES

| 96 cities | 3 | 6 | ${ }^{2} 8$ | ${ }^{2} 11$ | 13 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 412 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{5} 2$ | 12 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 10 |
| Middle Atlantic | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| East North Central | 3 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| West North Central. | 4 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 |
| South Atlaritic... | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{6} 2$ | 6 | 18 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 18 | 8 |
| East South Central | 0 | 17 | 6 | 29 | 40 | 29 | 46 | 29 | 749 | 51 |
| West South Central. | 15 | 10 | 20 | 41 | 15 | 31 | 10 | 36 | 41 | 46 |
| Mountain. | 10 | 0 | 38 | ${ }^{3} 10$ | 10 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 19 | 19 |
| Pacific. | 0 | 11 | 4 | ${ }^{104}$ | 15 |  | 19 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

PNEUMONIA DEATH RATES

| 96 cities | 66 | 94 | 296 | ${ }^{8} 122$ | 141 | 138 | 151 | 130 | ${ }^{4} 149$ | 134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 60 | 97 | ${ }^{5} 87$ | 112 | 139 | 137 | 144 | 161 | 180 | 137 |
| Middle Atlantic | 64 | 91 | 104 | 137 | 153 | 144 | 160 | 145 | 161 | 132 |
| East North Central | 65 | 94 | 83 | 119 | 125 | 137 | 146 | 100 | 149 | 121 |
| West North Central. | 46 | 61 | 63 | 99 | 88 | 83 | 103 | 83 | 55 | 85 |
| South Atlantic. | 76 | 129 | ${ }^{6} 124$ | 134 | 207 | 162 | 156 | 144 | 170 | 185 |
| East South Central | 120 | 103 | 132 | 114 | 166 | 177 | 240 | 194 | ${ }^{7} 153$ | 200 |
| West South Central. | 66 | 56 | 117 | 138 | 163 | 122 | 163 | 158 | 163 | 219 |
| Mountain | 95 | 124 | 115 | ${ }^{3} 78$ | 105 | 181 | 229 | 162 | 162 | 181 |
| Pacific. | 57 | 83 | 79 | 1053 | 95 | 114 | 91 | 102 | 102 | 79 |

[^6]Number of cities included in summary of weekly reports and aggregate population of cities in each group, estimated as of July 1, 1923

| Group of cities | Number of coties reporting cases | Number reporting deaths | Aggregate population of cities reporting cases | Aggregate population of cities reporting deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total. | 103 | 96 | 23, 977, 311 | 28,321, 626 |
| New England. | 12 | 12 | 2, 098,746 | 2,098,746 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 10 | 10 | 10, 304, 114 | 10, 304, 114 |
| East North Central | 16 | 16 | 7, 135, 899 | 7, 135, 889 |
| West North Central | 14 | 11 | 2, 515, 330 | 2, 381, 454 |
| South Atlantic.-... | 21 | 21 | 2,542,498 | 2,542,498 |
| East South Atlantic | 7 | 7 | 911, 885 | 911, 885 |
| Mest south Central | 8 | 9 | 1, 124, 564 | 1,023, 013 |
| Mountain......... | 9 | 9 | 546, 445 | 546,445 |
| Pacific.. | 6 | 4 | 1,797,830 | 1,377, 572 |

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR

## THE FAR EAST

Report for week ended November 28, 1925.-The following report for the week ended November 28, 1925, was transmitted by the far eastern bureau of the health section of the League of Nations' Secretariat, located at Singapore, to the headquarters at Geneva:

| Port |  | Plague |  | Cholera |  | Smallpox |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cases | Deaths | Cases | Deaths | Cases | Deaths |
| Calcutta. |  |  | 0 | ...--- | 42 | 5 | 4 |
| Bombay |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Madras..- |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Rangoon. |  |  | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Karachi... |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Negapatam |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Colombo... |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Singapore |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Swettenham |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Penang....- |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Batavia. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Soerabaya. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Samarang. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Belawan Deli |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Padang (Sumatra) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sabang (Rhio) .-.. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macassar..... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pontianak (Borneo) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sandakan (North B |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kuching (Sarawak) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Manila |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bangkok. |  | 1 | 1 | 81 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| Saigon and Cholon |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hong Kong. .-.-. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shanghai..- |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 |
| Amoy |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nagasaki. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yokohama.... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Simonoseki... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moji .-....- |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kobe...... |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Osaka...... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Keclung. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fusan... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dairen...... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| Adelaide.. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brisbane.. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fremantle..... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melbourne... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sydney...- |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rockhampton. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Townsville.... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Darwin. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Broome. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Moresby. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Basra...... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Suez. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alexandria |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Said. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mombasa (Kenya) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Zanzibar .......... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Massowah. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Djibuti |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lourenco-Marques. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Durban.. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| East London. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Elizabeth. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cape Town |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Port Louis (Maurit |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seychelles........... |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## CANARY ISLANDS

Infantile mortality-Las Palmas.-Current vital statistics for the city of Las Palmas under date of November 20, 1925, indicate that 59 per cent of all deaths occurring in that city are of children not more than four years of age. The causes suggested were lack of child welfare service, ignorance on the part of mothers, and general insanitary local conditions. Population of Las Palmas, 66,461, census of 1920 .

## FINLAND

Communicable diseases-October, 1925.-During the month of October, 1925, communicable diseases were notified in the Republic of Finland as follows: Diphtheria, 135 cases; dysentery, 1; lethargic encephalitis, 3; paratyphoid fever, 42; scarlet fever, 113; typhoid fever, 133; typhus fever, 1 case.

## GUADELOUPE (WEST INDIES)

Influenza-Pointe à Pitre.-Under date of November 16, 1925, influenza, with many fatalities, was reported present at Pointe à Pitre, Island of Guadeloupe, West Indies.

## Latvia

Communicable diseases-October, 1925.-During the month of October, 1925, communicable diseases were reported in the Republic of Latvia as follows:

| Disease | Cases | Disease | Cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cerebrospinal meningitis | 1 | Relapsing fever. | 1 |
| Diphtheria......--.-...... | 67 | Scarlet fever .-. | 184 |
| Dysentery. | 11 | Typhoid fever. | 96 |
| Measles. | 110 | Typhus fever.- | 2 |
| Mumps.-.-.-................ | 11 | Whooping cough. | 13 |

## SIAM

Epidemic cholera, imported-Bangkok-October, 1925.-Epidemic cholera was reperted at Bangkok, Siam, during the period October 4 to 31,1925 . The disease was stated to have been imported by coolie passengers on a vessel which arrived at Bangkok with a number of cases of cholera on board. During the four weeks ended October 31, 60 cases of cholera, with 30 deaths, were reported. The greatest number of cases occurring during one week was 27 , with 11 deaths.

Bangkok declared infected.-Under date of October 28, 1925, cholera was declared present in sporadic form at Bangkok. The port was made subject to quarantine restrictions.

## VIRGIN ISLANDS

Communicable diseases-November, 1325.-During the month of November, 1925, communicable diseases were notified in the Virgin Islands of the United States as follows:

| Island and disease | Cases | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Thomas and St. John: |  |  |
| Chancroid. |  | 1 imported. |
| Dengue-.--- | 1 | Unclassified. |
| Gonorrhea.- | 3 | 1 imported. |
| Syphilis---- | 2 | Do. |
| St. Croix: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Leprosy... | 1 |  |
| Syphilis.--.- | 3 1 | Sccondary. |

## CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER

The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final as regards either the lists of countries included or the figares for the particular countries for which reports are given.

Reports Received During Week Ended January 1, $1926{ }^{1}$
cholera


SMALLPOX


[^7]CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, AND TYPHUS FEVER-Continued
Reports Received During Week Ended Jauuary 1, 1926—Continued
SMALLPOX-Continued

| Place | Date | Cases | Deaths | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France. |  |  |  | September, 1925: Cases, 25. |
| Greece. |  |  |  | Oct. 1-31, 1925: Cases, 16. |
| India... |  |  |  | Oct. 18-24, 1925: Cases, 1,138; |
| Bombay | Nov. 8-14. | 5 | 3 | deaths, 263. |
| Karachi | Nov. 1-14. | 17 |  |  |
| Iraq Rangoon | Oct. 25-31 | 1 |  |  |
| Iraq Bagdad | Nov. 1-14 | 4 | 4 | Sept. 6-19, 1925: Cases, 41; deaths, |
| Italy |  |  |  | Aug. 2-Sept. 30, 1925: Cases, 26. |
| Java: ${ }_{\text {Batavia_ }}$ | Oct. 24-30 | 1 |  |  |
| Kraksaan | Oct. 11-17... | 11 |  |  |
| Malang | ---do.-. | 2 |  |  |
| North Bantam | Oct. 4-17.-. | 4 |  |  |
| Probolingo... | Oct. 11-17. | 1 |  |  |
| South Bantam | ---do--- | 1 |  |  |
| Soerabaya. | Oct. 11-24 | 158 | 18 |  |
| Mexico... | Oct. 4-10. | 9 | 1 |  |
| Peru: Arequipa | Oct. 1-31. |  | 1 | (1) |
| Russia... |  |  |  | May-June, 1925: Cases, 1,336. |
| Siam.... |  |  |  | July 12-Sept. 5, 1925: Cases, 21; deaths, 6. |
| Switzerland.- |  |  |  | June 28-Oct. 24, 1925: Cases, 36. |
| Tunisia: Tunis. | Nov. 21-30. | 2 |  |  |

TYPHUS FEVER



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read before the Section on Preventive and Industrial Medicine and Public Health at the serenty-sirth annual session of the Americun Medical Association, Atlantic City, N. J., May, 1925. From the Journal of the American Medical Assuciation, vol. 85 , No. 16, October 17, 1925, pp. 1175-1179.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the international classification of causes of death, cancers are thus divided: The general rubric is "cancer and other malignant tumors" which, in turn, is subdivided into: (1) Cancer of the buccal cavity; (2) cancer of the stomach and liver; (3) cancer of the peritoneum, intestines, and rectum; (4) cancer of the female genital organs; (5) cancer of the breast; (6) cancer of the skin; (7) cancer of other organs or of organs not specified. It should be noted that this classification was not quite uniform for the 21 years. Thus, prior to 1910, we find the rubrics "cancer of the mouth" and "cancer of the intestines" in the plaee o: "cancer of the buccal cavity" and "cancer of the peritoneum, intestines, and rectum." These difierences in classification may have had some effect on the figures, though this was probably small.

[^2]:    4 Deaths for week ended Friciay, Dec. 18, 1925.
    6 In the cities for which deaths are shown by color, the colored population in 1920 constituted the following per cents of the total population: Atlanta 31, Baltimore 15, Birmingham 39, Dalias 15, Fort Wo: th 14, Houstnn 25, Kansas City, Kans., 14, Louisville 17, Memphis 38, Nashville 30 . New Orleans 26, Noriolk 38, Richmond 32, and Washington, D. C., 25.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Population Jan 1, 1920.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figures given in this table are rates per 100,000 population, annual basis, and not the number ol cases reported. Populations used are estimated as of July 1, 1923.
    ${ }^{2}$ Barre, Vt., and Winston-Salem, N. C., not included.
    ${ }^{3}$ Helena, Mcnt., not included.
    4 Covington, Ky., and Denver, Colo., not included.
    ${ }^{5}$ Barre, Vt., not included.
    0 Winston-Salem, N. C., not included.
    i Covington, Ky., not included.
    ${ }^{\delta}$ Denver, Colo., not included.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Barre, Vt., and Winston-Salem, N. C., not included.
    3 IItlena, Mont., not included.
    4 Covington, Ky., and Denver, Colo., not included.
    8 Barre, Vt., not included.
    6 Winston-Salem, N. C., not included.
    7 Covinglon, Ky., rot included.

    - Denver, Colo., not included.
    - Melena, Mont., and Tacoma, Wash., not included.

    10 Tacoma, Wash., nci included.

[^7]:    1 From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and othe: sources. For reroerts received from June 27 to Dec. 25,1925 , see P'ublic Health Reports for Dec. 25,1825 The tables of quarantinable diseases are terminated semiannually and new tables begun.

