### PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

**VOL. 37** 

**AUGUST 25, 1922** 

No. 34

# THE POSTURE OF SCHOOL CHILDREN IN RELATION TO NUTRITION, PHYSICAL DEFECTS, SCHOOL GRADE, AND PHYSICAL TRAINING.

By E. Blanche Sterling, Acting Assistant Surgeon, United States Public Health Service.

The importance of posture from the standpoint of health has been quite generally recognized since the brilliant exposition of the subject by Goldthwait more than a decade ago. Parents have always been interested in the child's posture from the esthetic point of view, but it is realized that the matter has a far deeper significance than that which relates merely to personal appearance. The positive health value of good posture—to say nothing of its mental effect—is conceded to be great enough to demand careful scientific investigation as to the underlying causes of good and bad posture and their relation to the ordinary conditions of childhood.

The exponents of some of the popular health movements are each prone to consider his particular activity as a panacea for all the bad posture in the world. This is particularly true of a certain type of nutrition worker, or play enthusiast, or specialist in corrective gymnastics. It was felt, however, that to obtain any data of value on the subject of posture it would be necessary to take cognizance not only of those matters but to consider also the hygiene of school life and instruction and the prevalence of common physical defects in childhood.

The present study was made in three elementary schools at Bedford, Ind., comprising children in the first six grades. The number of sixth-grade children is small, owing to the fact that this grade was taught in only one of these schools. The age, sex, grade, nutrition, physical defects, and posture were noted in the case of practically every child in the group studied.

#### NUTRITION AND POSTURE.

In estimating the nutrition of these children, those who were less than 7 per cent under the existing standards of weight for a given age and height were considered to be well nourished, and were marked "Good." Those 7 per cent or more below these standards were marked "Poor." Those who were underweight at the first

1530°-22---1

weighing but came up to the accepted standard before the close of the school year, and those having "Good" nutrition at the first weighing but sinking to or below the 7 per cent level during the year, were together given an intermediate rating as "Fair." Posture was rated as "Good," "Fair," or "Poor," according to the points usually considered—position of head and shoulders, antero-posterior curves of the spine, flexion of knees, etc.

TABLE I.—Nutrition and posture rating of 1,115 children in three elementary schools.

Rating.	Nutrition.	Posture.
Good	Per cent. 58 23	Per cent.
Poor	19	40 31

Of a total of 1,115 children who were given a rating for posture, 321, or 29 per cent, were classified as good; 442, or 40 per cent, as fair; and 352, or 31 per cent, as poor. Thus it will be seen that the number of children having good posture was slightly less than that of the children having poor posture.

TABLE II.—Correlation of posture and nutrition.

Good posture:	Per cent.	Poor posture:	r cent.
Good nutrition			. 54
Poor nutrition	14	Poor nutrition	
Good nutrition:		Poor nutrition:	
Good posture	31	Good posture	. 22
Poor posture			39

According to those who believe that nutrition is the controlling factor in posture, one would expect to find a majority of these 1,115 children undernourished. On the contrary, 58 per cent of the number never fell to the 7 per cent line during the whole school year, whereas only 19 per cent were at or below this level during the same period. The number of well-nourished children was twice as great as the number of children with good posture. With three times as many well-nourished children as undernourished, the number having good posture, instead of being three times as great, was slightly less than the number having poor posture. Of the 645 children well nourished throughout the year, 31 per cent had good posture and 30 per cent had poor posture. So that a child with good nutrition has a slightly more than even chance of having good posture. If the child's nutrition is poor, his chances of having good posture are less, 22 per cent of the 212 children underweight throughout the year having good posture and 39 per cent having poor posture.

Of those children who reach the good-posture grade, the well-nourished constitute 63 per cent of the total number. It is to be

noted, however, that 14 per cent of these good-posture children were underweight throughout the year, so that it is quite possible for an underweight child to have good posture. On the other hand, among the children with poor posture, almost twice as many were well nourished as were undernourished—54 per cent to 23 per cent.

On the whole, it seems evident that while good nutrition is a contributory factor to good posture, it is almost as likely to be found with poor posture. Poor nutrition is less likely to be found with good posture than is good nutrition with poor posture.

#### PHYSICAL DEFECTS AND POSTURE.

An investigation of the relation of physical defects to posture brought out some interesting facts.

	Number	Post	ure.
Defect.	of children.	Good.	Poor.
Teeth alone. Eyes alone and with teeth Adenoids alone and with teeth Tonsils alone and with teeth Adenoids alone or with eyes, teeth, or both Tonsils alone or with cyes or teeth or both Adenoids and tonsils alone or with eyes or teeth or both Adenoids or tonsils or both, alone, or with eyes, teeth, or both	39 157 188	Per cent. 34 26 14 26 15 25 23	Per cent. 23 37 43 36 41 39 43 41

TABLE III.—Correlation of physical defects with posture.

Defective teeth, which have been blamed for most of the ills which flesh is heir to, apparently can be given a comparatively clean bill of health in the case of posture. Of 430 children having this defect alone, 34 per cent had good posture and 23 per cent had poor posture. Since the number having good posture is almost one and one-half times as great as those with poor posture, defective teeth can not be considered a factor of importance in the production of poor posture.

The number of children having eye defects alone (37) is too small to furnish any conclusive evidence as to the effect of this defect on posture. When, however, to this number is added those having a combination of eye and teeth defects, and eliminating the latter as unimportant, the figures show 37 per cent with poor posture as against 26 per cent with good posture. Hence, eye defects must be taken into consideration in studying the question of the posture of school children. This evidence supports the opinions long held by orthopedists.

Defects of the nose and throat seem to have an important effect on the posture of the child. Of 384 children having adenoids or enlarged or diseased tonsils, or both, either alone or in combination with eyes, or teeth, or both, 41 per cent had poor posture and only 23 per cent had good posture. Of 306 children in whom were found a combination of poor posture and physical defects, 52 per cent had adenoids, enlarged or diseased tonsils, or a combination of these defects.

It is interesting to note that of those children showing poor posture not accompanied by physical defects the well nourished throughout the year were twice as numerous as the ill nourished throughout the year, and also twice as many as those underweight at the beginning of the year but who came up to the accepted standards before the close of school. This is another bit of evidence that poor posture is by no means pathognomonic of poor nutrition.

#### SCHOOL LIFE AND POSTURE.

In order to inquire into the relation of school conditions to the posture of the pupils, a study was made of posture in the various grades. It seems scarcely fair to include the sixth grade, because of the small number of children of that grade included in the study, and hence it is omitted in the grade studies. It will be seen from the accompanying table that the general direction of the good posture curve is downward from the first grade, and that of the poor posture curve is upward.

TABLE IV.—Posture by grades.

Grade.	Number	Posture.			
Grade.	of pupils.	Good.	Fair.	Poor.	
1	358 197 195 201 125	Per cent. 34 29 28 24 29	Per cent. 40 45 37 38 33	Per cent. 26 28 35 38 38	

The difference between the highest and lowest good posture ratings is 10 per cent, whereas the difference between the highest and lowest poor posture rating is 12 per cent. The fall in good posture is seen to be slightly less than the rise in poor posture. These figures are encouraging, because, with adequate health supervision, physical training, and good hygienic conditions, it ought not to be difficult to convert a 12 per cent deficit into a good posture surplus. The school system studied, while having many excellent features, did not have an adequate system of physical training for its elementary schools, and the pupils at that time had not been furnished with adjustable seats and desks.

#### SCHOOL GRADE AND NUTRITION.

In order to discover whether the increase in poor posture and the decrease in good posture in the higher grades was accompanied by an increase in malnutrition in those grades, a grade-nutrition study was made. It would be manifestly unfair in a school-grade-nutrition study to use the results of the first fall weighing as a basis for the calculation of the malnutrition for that grade. The child's weight when he enters school in the fall is largely influenced by his manner of life during the long summer vacation, when he is not directly under the school's influence. In view of this fact, the age weight-height index was obtained from the spring weighing.

#### TABLE V.—Percentage of underweight pupils by grade.

	Per cent.	
Grade 1		
Grade 2		
Grade 3		
Grade 4	21	,
Grade 5		;

It will be seen from the table that there was a rather sharp fall in the amount of underweight from the first to the second grade, and then a gradual rise until the percentage of underweight pupils in the fifth grade was exactly the same as that in the first grade. The difference between the amount of malnutrition in the second grade and the fifth grade was 6 per cent. Again it is seen that the correlation between nutrition and posture is not a perfect one.

#### POSTURE AND PHYSICAL EXERCISE.

Unfortunately the school system studied furnished no real test of the effect of various forms of physical exercise. No trained teacher of physical education was connected with the elementary schools. The pupils were supposed to engage in supervised play during recess, but there was no supervision other than that of the regular class teacher. Without training in games and the supervision of play activities, her presence on the playground in most cases had no practical value, as far as real playground work was concerned. Occasionally a teacher's enthusiasm helped to make up for her lack of training, but this was the exception rather than the rule.

In two of the schools (L. and S.), in addition to this more or less desultory play, the teacher taught the pupils some free standing gymnastics. In L. school these were conducted to music, in generally poor form, in a hall in the center of the building, without adequate ventilation. At S. school rather vigorous, snappy, free-standing exercises were given without music, frequently in the open air when the weather permitted.

A comparative study of posture in these three schools will give a fair idea of the value of such physical training as the pupils received.

		Posture.			Nutrition.	,	Physical defects.		
School.	Good.	Fair.	Poor.	Good.	Fair.	Poor.	Eyes.	Adenoids.	Tonsils.
8 C	Per cent. 39 24 21	Per cent. 33 42 45	Per cent. 28 34 34	Per cent. 56 63 53	Per cent. 26 19 25	Per cent. 18 18 22	Per cent. 20 21 23	Per cent. 20 18 24	Per cent. 32 29 34

TABLE VI.—Posture, nutrition, and physical defects in three elementary schools.

Table VI shows that the posture rating in S. school was decidedly better than that in either of the other schools. In order to eliminate any source of error in estimating the value of the physical training, the nutrition and physical defects have been included in the same table for the purpose of comparison. Since the nutrition in S. school is lower than that in C. school and the combined percentage of physical defects is higher, the superiority of S. over C. can not be due to either of these factors.

The posture ratings of C. and L. are very nearly equal, though C., with better nutrition and fewer physical defects, should naturally rate higher. It does not seem likely that the poor type of gymnastics at the L. school accounts for this difference. Whether their play is more worth while or the fact that the school has fewer pupils to handle is responsible for the condition can not be definitely stated.

Though it is felt that the effect of play on posture did not have a fair test in the elementary schools, some interesting work in basket ball was carried on in the high school. The Bedford High School team proved itself to be the second best basket-ball team in the State of Indiana, which is fairly conclusive evidence of the thorough training it received. It is worthy of note that even a cursory inspection of these boys showed some examples of fine posture.

#### CONCLUSIONS.

The posture of school children can not be said to depend entirely, or even chiefly, on any one condition. The following conclusions seem to be confirmed by the facts noted in this study:

- 1. While good nutrition is a contributing factor to good posture, it is by no means an indispensable condition.
- 2. Defective vision, adenoids, and bad tonsils tend to have an unfavorable effect on a child's posture.
- 3. When the hygienic conditions in a school are not of the best, and health measures are inadequate, there is a moderate decrease of good posture and increase of poor posture from the first to the fifth

grade, inclusive. This is not believed to be a necessary accompaniment of school life, but a condition that may be easily remedied by cooperation of the health and educational authorities.

4. In planning exercise with a view to the promotion of good posture, it is suggested that setting-up exercises be simple and vigorous and play full of energy and vim. Formless, jellyfish gymnastics, or stupid, silly games, played half-heartedly, have little place in the proper physical development of the growing child.

#### STREAM POLLUTION INVESTIGATIONS.

RECOMMENDATIONS AS TO PLAN AND POLICY MADE BY UNITED STATES PUBLIC.
HEALTH SERVICE CONSULTANTS IN STREAM POLLUTION INVESTIGATION WORK.

For a number of years past, the Public Health Service has been engaged in a fairly extensive study of problems relating to the sewage pollution of interstate and navigable waterways. The work undertaken has comprised laboratory studies of the fundamental biochemistry of sewage disposal and water-purification; experimental studies of methods for the treatment of sewage and industrial wastes: fairly extensive studies of sewage disposal by dilution in several typical waterways; surveys of the pollution of coastal waters, with special reference to contamination of shellfish; and cooperation with States and municipalities in the study of a number of local problems. In the summer of 1921, upon request of the Surgeon General, Dr. Stephen A. Forbes, professor of biology, University of Illinois, Dr. Edwin O. Jordan, professor of hygiene and bacteriology, University of Chicago, and Mr. Langdon Pearse, sanitary engineer for the Sanitary District of Chicago, very generously consented to serve as consultants. Since their appointment, these consultants have been in close touch with the work now in progress, through periodic conferences with the officers of the Public Health Service engaged in the field work, and reports of current progress.

Desiring to obtain the benefit of their advice in the further development of this work, the Surgeon General recently requested them to submit a full and free expression of their opinion as to the general lines to be followed in future work, quite independent of the plans at present being pursued. The joint memorandum which follows, submitted in compliance with his request, is published in the belief that it will be of considerable interest to State officials, sanitary engineers, and others actively concerned with the problems of stream pollution.

#### MEMORANDUM.

In accordance with your request of March 25, 1922, we have thoroughly canvassed the questions put before us by your letter, relating to the general plan and policy to be followed in the streampollution investigations of your service. We are agreed in submitting the following for your consideration, as an expression of our joint opinion.

The act under which the United States Public Health Service operates provides:

The Public Health Service may study and investigate the diseases of man and conditions influencing the propagation and spread thereof, including sanitation and sewage and the pollution, either directly or indirectly, of the navigable streams and lakes of the United States, and it may from time to time issue information in the form of publications for the use of the public.

Under this act it would seem that the United States Public Health Service is empowered, at its discretion, to carry on research in sanitary matters, as well as in pure science. The scope is very broad. There appears to be no direct order, as the word "may" is used, not "shall." We believe that this discretion should properly be exercised and that there are legitimate functions which the service can well perform along the lines hereinafter indicated in sanitary research and field investigation.

The present and probable future resources of the service for sanitary work, both in the field and along the lines of research, would seem to be excellent, inasmuch as we believe that exercise of the proper functions of the service will keep in the organization a compact body of trained specialists in biology, chemistry, bacteriology, and allied sciences, who can indicate the proper fields of investigation for extended research.

The present status of the stream-pollution problem and its regulation in the United States is somewhat unsettled as regards any established policy throughout the country. There has been, however, a definite trend in the last 20 years towards improving the condition of streams, and, in particular, those streams in which the nuisance is marked. The tendency to-day is also towards the improvement of streams from which water supplies are taken, and further consideration is being given to the conservation of fish life by stream cleaning. The probability is that, as the country becomes more thickly populated, there will be further pressure for better stream conditions, not only from the standpoint of nuisance, but also to relieve the load upon water-treatment plants using such streams as sources of supply. In so far as the stream pollution problem itself is concerned, the status and tendency seem to be fairly clear, with a trend towards the bettering of conditions in the waterways. On the other hand, the status and tendency of regulation are somewhat clouded by the variation in the different States having authority to act within their borders. This is further complicated by the lack of police powers in many States. A general tendency seems to be to give a central body, like the State board of health, sufficient control, in a discretionary way, to advise on sanitary problems in stream pollution. There has not been, as yet, any marked inclination to follow the lead of Ohio in permitting the State to order work constructed under certain stipulated conditions. From the standpoint of regulation of industrial waste pollution, while there is considerable activity, so far this has not always led to a complete solution of the problem, owing to the cost of treatment. The industrial waste problem seems to have been one of more or less local handling, the practice of each State varying considerably. In some States no attempt is made to regulate at all. The indications are, however, that even in States containing the most industries, conditions have come to such a pass that even the industries realize that something must be done. This would seem to favor well-considered action towards regulation.

The resources of the State, municipal, and private organizations for necessary studies of stream pollution appear to be somewhat limited. Only the largest organizations have undertaken such work in the past, and then often with limited funds. Where difficulties are traceable to private organizations it would seem desirable that those organizations bear at least part of the expense of investigation. There appears to be, among the different agencies able to investigate, a lack of coordinated effort to avoid duplication of activities and to advance the work as far as possible.

It would seem that the States and municipalities had sufficient potential authority to regulate stream pollution within their respective boundaries. The responsibility for such regulation might be enlarged. As expressed above, police authority is frequently lacking. It would seem that more uniform laws and practice were desirable; in other words, cooperation of the States interested in an interstate problem through some national agency.

It therefore seems to us that the Public Health Service has, if it desires, a very definite function to perform in the handling of interstate problems of stream pollution and in the investigation of the same, as well as in the investigation of and research into the underlying principles of stream pollution, sewage treatment, water purification, and sanitary science in general. It further seems that there is a very legitimate function in the coordination of effort in interstate relations to a definite policy for all concerned. Regulation of the quality of water for interstate carriers might also properly be reviewed from time to time.

Our opinion as to the relative prominence of different lines of study is expressed in order of importance as follows:

(1) Fundamental studies of basic problems leading to results of general application; for example, studies of analytical methods, the laws of oxygen loss and replacement, the laws covering bacterial

death rates, laws governing the efficiency of filtration, the efficiency of methods of waste disposal, and the laws governing the removal of colloids, dehydration of colloids, color removal from water supplies, etc.

(2) Collective studies bringing together scattered observations; for example, assembling from various sources, material relating to stream pollution conditions, sewage treatment practice, water purification etc., utilizing data available from State and municipal organizations.

These studies should, if possible, be correlated by enough personal contact, and perhaps laboratory work, to put the methods of reporting and analysis upon a common basis. If practicable, more effort should be made towards standardization of laboratory methods and methods of reporting. In this connection, also, might well be considered the practicability of a semiannual review of the progress in the fields of sewage treatment, water purification, and sanitary science, with a view to making more easily available in brief compass the special work being carried on throughout the country, as well as summarizing progress in the work.

Detailed studies of individual interstate waterways might be made over a comparatively short period of time, say two to three months, with the primary object of advising the States concerned as to a uniform plan of regulation, as to policy, of both sewage treatment and water purification. An effort might be made to insure cooperation between the States in carrying out such joint projects.

We do not believe that it is properly a function of the service to cooperate with States and municipalities in studying specific local problems not involving interstate relations. Our personal opinion is that these matters should be left to local authorities, and that such a tendency toward centralization should be avoided. Further, we believe that the practice should be avoided, so far as practicable, of detailing men to serve in State or municipal positions without expense to the State or municipality concerned. The practice of detailing men to serve when paid by the State or municipality is not so objectionable, and, under certain conditions, may be very beneficial in starting a useful line of administration.

Our general feeling is that at present, with the studies on the Potomac, Ohio, and Illinois Rivers, the service will have obtained a mass of data on stream pollution which will be applicable to many conditions. We believe the next steps might well be to investigate the loading of water filtration plants in more detail than in the past, and to study the relation of sewage treatment to water purification. In this connection, standards for water supply may well be considered. A beginning in the study of the basic laws

covering the chemistry and bacteriology of the treatment of water and sewage might well be made. In all this work, the investigation should be directed to work along lines leading to some practical application as well as to purely scientific research.

These notes are necessarily somewhat brief. The program for the next year or two, we shall be glad to discuss more fully with the officers engaged in field work, if you desire, as opportunity permits. We feel that there is an ample field of effort open, in which there may be secured results of value to the public health of the Nation.

> STEPHEN A. FORBES. Edwin O. Jordan. Langdon Pearse.

#### MEASLES.1

By W. C. RUCKER, Surgeon, United States Public Health Service.

About 10,000 American children died of measles in the year 1920. This does not include a large number who died of broncho-pneumonia, a great number of cases of which, in children, are caused by measles. Approximately 60 per cent of all deaths from broncho-pneumonia occur in children under 5 years of age, a time of life when measles is most likely to occur. But the story of the ravages of this disease is not complete without the mention of the large number of cases of tuberculosis which follow an attack of it. Less frequently inflammation of the ear or the eye may be left behind as a mark of a visitation of this common disease. From a public health standpoint, then, measles is a disease of prime importance.

Long association with a disease breeds a contempt for it, and measles, in common with the other diseases of childhood, has come to be looked upon as an unavoidable accompaniment of youth.

Each autumn when school opens there is an increase in the number of cases of measles, and as the season progresses they gradually increase, and winter frequently sees the disease spreading in epidemic form. Hirsch has collected data of 309 epidemics of measles, and has classified them according to season; summer had 43, autumn had 76, winter had 96, and spring had 94 epidemics.

Measles is a disease of close association; hence its increase during the colder months.

Frequently a child will go to a party and engage in innocent games in which children are brought in close contact with one another. Perhaps among the guests there is one with reddened, watery, eyes, which are sensitive to light. The eyelids are perhaps a little puffy, and the guest has a hard, high-pitched cough. The other children

Revision of Supplement No. 1 to the Public Health Reports, Jan. 24, 1913.

August 25, 1922. 2054

pay no attention to this, and the games go on uninterruptedly. In this way a single child in the beginning stages of measles may easily affect 15 or 20 others. This is frequently the case when kissing games are played.

About 10 days later the children who have exposed themselves to the disease begin to sicken. They, too, have red, watery, sensitive eyes and puffy eyelids. In fact, in rather severe cases the whole face has a rather swollen, puffed appearance. The throat feels parched and a dry, irritating cough increases the discomfort. The child is likely to come home from school feeling drowsy and irritable, not infrequently complains of chilly sensations, and may even have a chill. At night the irritation increases, the child is feverish, the whites of the eyeballs show little red lines upon them, and the little sufferer has the appearance of being just ready to cry.

If the anxious mother takes the child to the window in the morning, raises the curtain, and examines the little one's throat she will see that the hard palate and back of the throat are a dull, angry red. Perhaps there are a few little red spots on the hard palate, and if the mother will look closely at the lining membrane of the cheek she will see some small white-tipped, reddish spots. These are called "Koplik's" spots, and are one of the signs of measles.

The child is kept from school that day, and that night his fever is higher than it was the night before. He rolls and tosses about the bed and wakes up his mother a good many times to ask for a drink of water. This sort of thing continues for 3 or 4 days; then, one morning when the child is having its bath the mother sees some little dusky red spots along the hair line. They look a good deal like flea bites. Within 24 hours this rash is spread over the body and the child looks very much bespeckled and swollen. In from 5 to 7 days the rash begins to fade, and within 3 or 4 days thereafter is entirely gone away, leaving behind a faint mottling of the skin. This is followed by a peeling off of the outer layer of the skin in little bran-like pieces. This process is called desquamation, and lasts about a week or 10 days.

In the meantime the fever has gone away and as soon as the child has finished scaling he is permitted to go out and play with the other children, and before long is back at school. The foregoing is a description of a mild case.

If measles assumes a malignant type, as it sometimes does among the nonrobust, it may be ushered in by convulsions, very high fever, and an excessive development of all the ordinary symptoms, or the rash when it appears, instead of being a good healthy-looking red, may be a bluish-black discoloration which looks like a recent bruise. Broncho-pneumonia, the most common and the most fatal of all the complications of measles, is very likely to occur. The cough is very painful, and death quickly relieves the sufferer.

The two forms of the disease which have just been cited are in no way exaggerated and unfortunately they are of far too common occurrence. The first child received the infection directly in the harmless games at the party by coming in intimate contact with a child who was just coming down with measles at a time when, according to the researches of Anderson and Goldberger in the Hygienic Laboratory of the United States Public Health Service, the infecting virus is most active. Their work seems to show that the infection does not persist after the fever has gone away.

While all of the severe cases may not be as grave as the one which was cited above, it must be admitted, nevertheless, that bronchopneumonia is the great menace of measles. Fifty-odd years ago Gregory wrote, "I am sure I speak much within bounds when I say that nine-tenths of the deaths by measles occur in consequence of pneumonia." Less frequently there are other complications, and the eyes, ears, the central nervous system, heart, and the skin may, any one of them, suffer. Sometimes there is gangrene at the corners of the mouth, and this may result in death or horrible deformity.

Measles, then, is a serious disease, sparing practically no exposed person who has not had it. In 1846 it attacked the Faroe Islands, and the record of that visitation is both remarkable and instructive. The island had been free from the disease for 65 years, when a Danish cabinetmaker returned from Copenhagen to Thorshavn with the disease. He infected two friends, and the epidemic increased by leaps and bounds, until within a very short time over 6,000 persons out of a population of 7,782 were attacked. Almost every house on the island became a hospital, and the only persons who passed through the visitation unscathed were old inhabitants who had had the disease as children 65 years before. Not a single old person who was not protected by a previous attack and who was exposed to the infection failed to contract the disease.

This is one of the oldest ailments with which man has been afflicted. In fact the word "measles" traces its genealogy back through the German "masern" to the Sanskrit "masura," a word meaning "spots." The writings of the ancient Arabian physicians are replete with mention of this disease. The Italians, who evidently regarded it no more seriously than we do, called it "morbillo," which means "little sickness."

Time and again measles has been widely diffused on Asiatic and European soil, and shortly after the colonization of America it appeared in our Colonies. Many are the quaint records of its visitations, not the least interesting of which is a letter which appeared in the Boston Evening Post, November 12, 1739, entitled "A letter about

good management under the distemper of measles at this time spreading in the country, here published for the benefit of the poor and such as may want help of able physicians." It is signed "Your hearty friend and servant," and the authorship is attributed to Cotton Mather. It is stated that this letter is a reprint of one which Doctor Mather wrote shortly before his death, in 1728.

At present the disease is distributed over the entire habitable globe, from Iceland on the north to Tierra del Fuego on the south. It occurs most often and more severely in the colder months, probably because at such times people are more closely crowded together under more insanitary conditions. When introduced among a people who have never suffered from it before, its ravages are frightful, as in the case of the inhabitants of certain of the Fiji Islands, who, upon being exposed to the infection, fell ill and died by thousands, so that it is estimated that 20,000 deaths occurred in four months. The epidemic ceased only after almost every person on the island had been infected.

In 1917 three States had a death rate from this disease of over 40 per 100,000, and several cities had even a higher rate. The death rate for measles for the registration area of the United States during the period 1911 to 1919 has ranged from 3.9 per 100,000 in 1919 to 14.3 in 1917.

The death rate among those attacked varies from one-half of 1 to 35 per cent. If it is estimated that the death rate is 1 per cent, and the number of deaths from measles in the United States during the year 1920 was 10,000, then it would follow that during that year at least 1,000,000 children suffered from this disease. When it is considered that perhaps 30 per cent of these children were of school age, and that the disease occurs most often during the months of school attendance, then it will be seen that approximately 300,000 children were kept from school from six weeks to two months on account of measles. Leaving out of consideration the death and suffering produced in this way, this is a serious economic loss.

Measles is a frequent accompaniment of war, or any other occasion which brings large numbers of persons together under unhygienic conditions. In fact, measles is one of the most formidable of camp diseases. This fact is well demonstrated by morbidity and mortality statistics of the Civil War. At that time the mortality rate was very high in the general field hospital at Chattanooga, being 22.4 per cent, and in the general field hospital at Nashville it was 19.6 per cent. In 1865 there were 38,000 cases with 1,900 deaths from measles in the Confederate Army. It is reported that during the Brazilio-Paraguayan War an epidemic of measles swept off nearly a fifth of the Paraguayan Army in three months. Measles was a serious hazard to life and efficiency in the mobilization and training camps during the World War.

It is thus seen that measles is many times a very severe disease, one which can not be dealt with lightly, one to which we should not expose our children. The longer one can put off having measles the better, because the younger the child is when it has measles the more likely it is to die, and the more likely it is to suffer severe effects from it even if it does not die. The most fatal period is from 2 to 5 years of age.

A child with measles should be put to bed and kept there as long as it has any fever or cough. The room should be airy, but it should be darkened, because children with measles are very sensitive to light. The bedclothes should be light, because the child is likely to get too warm, kick off the covers, and suffer from the cold. A chilling in this way may predispose to pneumonia. Food should be light and should consist chiefly of nutritious broths, pasteurized milk, soft-boiled eggs, and the like. Iced lemonade will bring comfort to the inflamed throat. The child's eyes should be kept clean; and should the fever get high, the comfort of the little sufferer may be increased by sponging with tepid water and alcohol. Sometimes it is necessary to put an ice bag to the head; but if the child is sick enough to require this, skilled assistance should be summoned.

When the fever and cough have gone, the child may be allowed to be up and about the room, but for a time should not indulge in violent exercise, because there is often some weakening of the heart muscle by the disease. The aim is to allow the heart muscle to regain its normal condition before putting too much strain upon it. The diet should be increased when the fever has gone away, and should include good, plain, strong foods. If there is a tendency to regain weight and strength slowly, the child may be given an increased amount of pasteurized cream or good butter. If the child prefers cod-liver oil, this may be substituted.

The important point about the prevention of the disease is the fact that, judging from the experiments of Anderson and Goldberger above referred to, measles is rarely transmissible after the fever has gone down. Experimenting with monkeys, they found that they were unable to transmit measles from monkey to monkey after the stage of fever had ceased. It used to be thought that the germs of measles were in the scales of skin which were shed at the close of the disease.

It is thought by some that there may be chronic carriers of measles, but this is not at all proved. It is also believed that a discharging ear following measles may be the means of continuing the transmission of the disease. This is not proved. There are on record a large number of instances which seem to point to the fact that under certain conditions a third person may carry the infection from the sick to the well. Transmission of measles to human beings by the lower animals is still unproved.

The cause of measles is not known. A great many scientists have described germs which they believe to be the causal agents, but up to date these have not been positively proved to be the cause of measles. We do, however, know that the infection of measles is one of the most highly communicable infections known to man and is found in the secretions from the nose and throat during the first stages of the disease, therefore, persons suffering with measles should not be allowed to come in contact with well persons until the period of fever has well passed.

Since the disease is known to be spread by the sputum and nasal secretions, the prime measure in the prevention of this disease is to prevent the sputum and nasal secretions from the sick from being taken into the system of well persons. Children with measles should be provided with a quantity of soft paper napkins; and as soon as the napkins become soiled, they should be burned. Children should be taught that they must always hold a handkerchief in front of the mouth while coughing. This is a measure which tends to control the spread of a good many diseases besides measles, because during coughing and sneezing, sputum may be thrown several feet. thing which has come into contact with measles patients should be sterilized before it is allowed to come in contact with other people or other things which may be handled or used by other people. Bedclothes, napkins, table linen, towels, and the like may be sterilized by boiling. Special dishes, drinking glasses, knives, forks, spoons, etc.; should be set aside for the measles patient and carefully sterilized after each using.

When it is known that measles exists in a community, no child having a bad cough should be allowed to come in contact with other children during the first three or four days of the cough.

It is little less than criminal to permit children known to have measles to come in contact with well children. In this connection it may be remarked that while it is generally considered that one attack of measles confers immunity, there are many cases on record of second and third attacks. It is true that the second attacks are usually very mild, but too great reliance should not be placed on this immunity.

Children should be discouraged, as far as possible, from playing games which will permit of an interchange of nasal or mouth secretions. It is the duty of every parent having measles in the home to see to it that it is reported to the public-health authorities. It is equally the duty of parents to see to it that their children do not come in contact with well children during the time when the infection may be transmitted. Measles kills more people in the United States every year than smallpox. You can't kill a child any deader with smallpox than you can with measles. It is the duty of private citizens and municipalities to take every known measure for the prevention of the spread of this disease.

#### DEATH RATES IN A GROUP OF INSURED PERSONS.

DEATH RATES FOR PRINCIPAL CAUSES, MAY AND JUNE, 1922, AND COMPARISON BY COLOR FOR FIRST SIX MONTHS OF 1920, 1921, AND 1922.

The accompanying tables are taken from the Statistical Bulletin of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. for July, 1922. They present the mortality experience of the company for principal causes of death for May and June, 1922, and compare the rates by color for the first six months of the years 1920, 1921, and 1922. The figures are based on a strength of approximately 14,000,000 insured persons.

The death rate in this group for June, 1922, shows a marked downward swing, being 6.5 per cent lower than the rate for May and 4.4 per cent lower than that for June, 1921. As compared with June, 1921, decidedly lower mortality rates are shown for scarlet fever, whooping cough, and diphtheria, and slightly lower rates for tuberculosis, typhoid fever, pneumonia, diarrhea and enteritis, and

Bright's disease.

The gross death rate for the first half of 1922 is stated to be only slightly higher than that for the corresponding period of 1921, the record low rate in the experience of the company. Low mortality from diphtheria, tuberculosis, and most other infectious diseases contributed to this low rate. Increased mortality for the first half of 1922 over the corresponding period of 1921 is shown for influenza, pneumonia, organic heart disease, chronic nephritis, and measles. Officials of the company attribute the lower rate for diphtheria partly to the wider application of the Schick test and use of the toxin-antitoxin mixture.

Death rates (annual basis) for principal causes per 100,000 lives exposed, May and June, 1922, and June and year 1921.

	Death rate per 100,000 lives exposed					
Cause of death.	June, 1922.	May, 1922.	June, 1921. <sup>1</sup>	Year 1921.1		
Total, all causes	904.7	967.7	945. 9	870.6		
Pyphoid fever	5.2	3.7	5.4	6.7		
Consies	7.6 2.4	8.8 4.6	8.2	3.2 7.0		
carlet fever	2.1	23	10	3.9		
Whooping cough	11.1	12.6	20.3	23. 8		
Diphtheria	10.1	20.9	4.4	8		
nfluenza	133.7	131.3	137.7	117.		
Tuberculosis of respiratory system	119.5	119.3	125.1	105.		
ancer Lubercutosis of respiratory system	72.5	76.1	79.4	71.		
ancer erebral hemorrhage	62.8	62.6	60.4	62,		
Organic diseases of heart	126.9	141.4	123.5	117.		
Pneumonia (all forms)	52, 1	82.5	56.5	67.		
ther respiratory diseases	11.4	17.6	14.3	14.		
Diarrhea and enteritis	11.9	7.8	13.9	14.		
right's disease (chronic nephritis)	73.4	72.7	74. 4 21. 5	63. 19.		
uerperal state	20.6	18.5	21.5 8.0	7.		
uicides	8.6	8.3 6.1	7.8	6.		
Iomicides	5. 7 63. 3	55.2	68.7	57.		
Other external causes (excluding suicides and homicides)	13.7	12.4	14.3	12.		
Traumatism by automobile		234.7	234.3	192		
All other causes	220.0					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Provisional figures for 1921 given in preceding numbers of the 1922 bulletins have been revised on the basis of final tabulations of 1921 data.

Death rates (annual basis) for principal causes per 100,000 persons exposed, compared by color for the first six months of 1920, 1921, and 1922.

	Death rate per 100,000 persons exposed.						
Cause of death.		White.		Colored.			
	JanJune 1922	JanJune 1921	JanJune 1920	JanJune 1922	JanJune 1921	JanJune 1920	
All causes of death.	923. 0	872.9	1,115.0	1,489.5	1,396.2	1,744.9	
Typhoid fever	3.2	3.8	4.3	6.3	6.9	8.6	
Measles	6.4	5.4	14.7	2.0	2.6	5.6	
Scarlet fever	7.1	10.3	8.1	.6	3.6		
Whooping cough	2.7	4.7	7.9	3.5	7.8	9.7	
Diphtheria	19.9	26.4	25.1		6.2	6.1	
influenza	32.7	11.0	96.0	68.1	24.3	149	
Meningococcus meningitis	.7	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.0	1	
Tuberculosis (all forms)		110.0	136.6	260.1	284.6	, 319.	
Tuberculosis of respiratory system	98.2	98.9	123. 2	238.7	259.2	202	
Tuberculosis of meninges, etc	4.7	5.6	6.7	5.4	6.4	6.	
Other forms of tuberculosis		5.5	6.8	18.0	19.0	19.0	
Cerebral hemorrhage, apoplexy		60.6	64.6	101.2	92.1	93.	
Organic diseases of the heart		119.5	139.4	206.9	178.0	192	
Total respiratory diseases		102.1	186.9	182.9	160.4	298.	
Bronchitis	7.1	6.6	11.7	12.4	12.7	14	
Bronchopneumonia		30.9	54.8	44.4	39.9	63	
Pneumonia, lobar and undefined	62.7	55.6	107.5	113.4	95.1	205.	
Other diseases of respiratory system	8.6	8.9	12.9	12.7	12.7	15	
Diarrhea and enteritis	7.8	10.7	10.7	11.7	11.0	10.	
Under 2 years		4.0	4.7	3.0	2.4	3	
2 years and over		6.7	6.1	8.7	8.6	6	
Acute nephritis	5.6	5.5	6.0	18.1	16.8	21.	
Chronic nephritis.		67.6	75.5		110.4	118	
Total puerperal state.	20.6	21.2	26.1	27.3	29.8	34.	
Ducen end continumic	7.7	10.0	8.3	l îi.i	13. 2	13.	
Puerperal septicemia.  Puerperal albuminuria and convul-	1 ""	10.0	1 00	1	10.2	13.	
sions	5.1	4.6	4.7	6.7	7.4	7.	
Other diseases of puerperal state		6.7	13.1	9.6		يغا ا	
Total external causes 1	63.7	63.4	63.0	89.3	94.7	87.	
Suicides	8.3	7.9	6.2		5.4	04	
	1 2 2	3.6	3.1	24.7	26.7	20.	
Accidental and unspecified violence	51.8	51.8			62.5	62	
Accidental drowning	5.4	6.1	4.2	6.9	6.2	5.	
Automobile accidents		10.8	8.1	6.2	8.3	1 2	
War deaths	11.i	10.8	0.7		1 .1		
All other and ill-defined causes of death	255.1	249.5	257.6	377.2	366.1	207	
All other and in-defined causes of death	. 200. L	Z79. 0	201.0	011.2	J 300. L	387.	

#### DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED AUGUST 12, 1922.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended August 12, 1922, and corresponding week 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, August 15, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

Policies in force	Week ended Aug. 12, 1922.	Corresponding week 1921. 46, 850, 375
Number of death claims.		7, 048
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate		7.8

<sup>1</sup> Includes "War deaths." 2 Excludes "War deaths."

<sup>3</sup> No deaths.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended August 12, 1922, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, August 15, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

•	<b></b>	Week ended Aug. 12, 1922.		Annual death rate per	Deaths under 1 year.		Infant mor- tality	
City.	Estimated population July 1,1922.	Total deaths.	Death rate.1	1,000 corre- sponding week 1921.	Week ended Aug. 12, 1922.	Corresponding week 1921.	rate, week ended Aug. 12, 1922.	
Total	27,927,877	5, 436	10.1	10.7	883	1,056		
kron, Ohio Albany, N. Y. Atlanta, Ga. Saltimore, Md. Burningham, Ala. Boston, Mass. Reddeport, Conn	208, 435 116, 223 220, 047 762, 222	19 25	4.8 11.2	6.6- 14.0	3	5 7	32 22	
Atlanta, Ga	220,047	66	15.6	16.3	8	4		
Baltimore, Md	762, 222	170	11.6	12.6	40	40	113	
Birmingham, Ala	191,017	41	11.2	16.8	6	11		
Boston, Mass	764, 017 * 143, 555	188 26	12.8 9.4	11.6 8.0	30 5	31 5	80	
Ruffela N V	528, 163	133	13. 1	11.7	27	24	100	
ambridge Mass	110,914	20	9.4	11.3	2		37	
amden, N. J	121,915	28	12.0	7.8	9	7	13	
Bridgeport, Conn. Buffalo, N. Y. Lambridge, Mass. Lamden, N. J. Lhicago, III. Clincinnati, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Columbus, Ohio. Dellos Tox	121, 915 2, 833, 288	533	9.8	10.4	82	102	<b> </b>	
Cincinnati, Ohio	404, 855 854, 003	78	10.0	11.4	6	13	40	
Cleveland, Ohio	851,003	139	8.5	10.3	28 7	43	7	
Columbus, Ohio	253, 455	58	11.9	13.6	7	7 3	7	
Dallas, Tex. Dayton, Ohio. Denver, Colo.	171,974	30 31	9.1 10.0	7.6 7.6	3	6	5	
Dayton, Onio	161,824 267,591	60	11.7	13.3	3 7	6		
Detroit Mich	993,678	179	9.4	9.9	41	42	7	
Fall River Mass	120,790	27	11.7	10.4	5	5	7	
Fort Worth, Tex	114,717	17	7.7		1 4	1		
Grand Rapids, Mich	114,717 143,572	20	7.3	8.9	3	4	5	
Houston, Tex	150,087	22	7.6	13,0	2	7		
Denver, Colo. Detroit, Mich Detroit, Mich Pall River, Mass. Fort Worth, Tex Grand Rapids, Mich Houston, Tex Indianapolis, Ind. Jersey City, N J Kansas City, Mo. Los Angeles, Calif Louisville, Ky Lowell, Mass. Memphis, Tenn Milwaukee, Wis Minneapolls, Minn Nashville, Tenn New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn New Oreans, La	150, 087 333, 257 305, 911	77	12.0	12.2	11	15	8	
Jersey City, N. J	305,911	50	8.5 9.7	10.5 11.9	15	14	9	
Los Angoles Colif	343, 988 634, 866	160	13.1	12.1	14	21 22	7	
Louisvilla Kv	236,877	55	12.1	8.6	17	1 7	l	
Lowell Mass	114, 423	22	10.0	11.0	1 4	) 7	6	
Memphis, Tenn	167, 862	22 35	10.9	11.3	5	5		
Milwaukée, Wis	167, 862 476, 603	68 90	7.4	8.8	1 .7	20	1 3	
Minneapolis, Minn	400,970	90	11.7	8.9	11	6		
Nashville, Tenn	122, 832 127, 542	42	17.8	10.3	} 7	3		
New Degiora, Mass	169, 987	19	7.8 13.2	10.0 10.0	8	/ 8		
New Orleans I a	399,616	43 99	12.9		10			
New York, N. Y	5, 839, 746	1,027	9. 2		163	210		
Newark, N. J.	431,792	7, 84	10.1	10.3	23	23	1	
Norfolk, Va	124,915	29	12.1	10.8		1 3	1	
Oakland, Calif	. 233, 279	44	9.8	7.4	3	. 2		
Umaha, Nebr	233, 279 200, 739	33	8.6 7.5	15.6		1 .8		
raterson, N. J.	. 138, 521	20	7.5	13.3 10.5	3	12	: 1	
New Haven, Conn. New Orleans, La. New York, N. Y Newark, N. J. Norfolk, Va. Oakland, Calif. Omaha, Nebr. Paterson, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Portland, Oreg.	. 1,894,500 607,902	407 122	11. 2 10. 5			45		
Portland. Oreg	269, 240		12.0			. i . 3	il	
Providence, R. I.	241,011	50	10.8			19	1	
Richmond, Va.	. 178,365		9.9	11.0	1 6	ي ا		
Rochester, N. Y.	. 311,548	42	7.0	10.4			<u> </u>	
St. Louis, Mo	. 795,008	134	8.8		15	14		
St. Paul, Minn	. 239,836	47	10.2	7.			l l	
San Antonia (D.	. 123,918	31	13.0	9.9	. 1		١.	
San Francisco Colif	239, 836 123, 918 178, 056 529, 792	43 104					·   · · · · ·	
Seattle. Wash	315,312	45		6.2		i i	3	
Spokane, Wash	104, 445	14		12.0			<b>i</b>	
Springfield, Mass	. 140,052	28		14.2	3   8	3   3	3 1	
Syracuse, N. Y.	181,012	30	8.6 7.	11.		1		
Toledo, Ohio	. 260,717	37	7.	11.	1 3	1	<b>.</b>	
Weshington D. C.	125,075 437,571	36	15.0	18.3	1 1	3 1	7 1	
washington, D. C	115 59	97	11.6 12.6			, i	; I ·	
Wormstar Mass	115,568 188,449	28	9.		51 2	1	i l	
Pittsburgh, Pa Portland, Oreg Providence, R. I. Richmond, Va Richmond, Va Rochester, N. Y 8t. Louis, Mo. 8t. Paul, Minn Sait Lake City, Utah San Antonio, Tex San Francisco, Calif Seattle, Wash Spokane, Wash Springfield, Mass Syracuse, N. Y Toledo, Ohio Trenton, N. J Washington, D. C. Wilmington, D. C. Wilmington, D. C. Wilmington, D. C. Worcester, Mass Youkers, N. Y Varneers, N.	105, 42	19	9.	5.0	<b>5</b>   <b>3</b>	5	ĭ i	
Youngstown, Ohio	144, 970			16.			او	

Annual rate per 1,000 population.
 Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1921. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.
 Enumerated population Jan. 1, 1920.

### PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

### UNITED STATES.

#### CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

#### Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended August 19, 1922.

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

ALABAMA.	. 1	COLORADO.	
Cas	es.	(Exclusive of Denver.)	BSAS.
Chicken pox	1	Chicken pox	
Diphtheria	39	Diphtheria	
Hookworm disease.	24	Poliomyelitis	. 1
Influenza	3	Scarlet fever	. 11
Malaria	48	Tuberculosis	20
Mumps	3	Typhoid fever	10
Pellagra	6	Whooping cough.	. 1
Scarlet fever	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, -
Smallpox	1	CONNECTICUT.	
Tetanus	2	Cerebrospinal meningitis	
Tuberculosis.	14	Diphtheria	. 1
Typhoid fever	63	Dysentery (becillary)	. 20
Whooping cough	7	Malaria	. 1
• • •	- 1	Measles	
ABKANSAS.		Mumag	. 20
Diphtheria	8	Mumps Paratyphoid fever	. 5
Hookworm disease	2	Promonic Cobes	. 1
Influenza	2	Pneumonia (lobar)	. 6
Malaria	257	Poliomyelitis	. 1
Pellagra	7	Scarlet fever	. 14
Scarlet fever	6	Trachoma	. 1
Trachoma	1	Tuberculosis (all forms).	. 27
Tuberculosis	24	Typhoid fever	. 10
Typhoid fever	23	Whooping cough	. 24
Whooping cough	7	DELAWARE.	
ALT TROPING			:
CALIFORNIA.		Cerebrospinal meningitis—Wilmington	. 1
Cerebrospinal meningitis:		Cholera infantum	. 1
Gilroy	1	Malaria	. 1
Long Beach	1	Measles	. 1
Los Angeles	1	Pneumonia	. 1
Stockton	1	Scariet fever	. 3
Diphtheria		Tuberculosis	. 11
Influenza	6	Typhoid fever	. 5
Jaundice (infectious)	1	FLORIDA.	
Lethargic encephalitis—	•	Dengue	010
Santa Barbara County	1	Diphtheria	
Measles	9	Influenza	
Scarlet fever	27		
Smallpox	10	MalariaOphthalmia neonatorum	
Typhoid fever	16	Constat force	• ;
•		Scarlet fever	
	720	R9\	

(2062)

FLORIDA—continued.	ا	LOUISIANA.	
Smallpox	96s. 2	Cerebrospinal meningitis	365. 1
Tetanus	1	Diphtheria	10
Typhoid fever	6	Malaria	53
GEORGIA.		Pellagra	2
	1	Scarlet fever.	4
Anthrax Dengue	1	Typhoid fever	18
Diphtheria	65	Whooping cough	6
Dysentery (bacillary)	1	Maryland.	
Hookworm disease.	16	Cerebrospinal meningitis	1
Influenza	2	Chicken pox	10
Malaria	84	Diphtheria	27
Measles	1	Dysentery	13
Paratyphoid fever	4	Influenza.	21
Pellagra	2	Malaria	16
Pneumonia	3	Measles	19
Scarlet fever	11	Mumps	8
Septic sore throat	2	Ophthalmia neonatorum	1
Tuberculosis (pulmonary)	5	Paratyphoid fever	2
Typhoid fever	36	Pneumonia (all forms)	13
Whooping cough	1	Scarlet fever.	15
ILLINOIS.		Septic sore throat	2
Diphtheria:		Tetanus	1
Chicago	75	Typhoid fever	76 54
Scattering	79	Whooping cough	27
Influenza	21	l .	
Pneumonia	145	MASSACHUSETTS.	
Poliomyelitis:		Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Chicago	2	Chicken pox	10
Crawford County	1	Conjunctivitis (suppurative)	
McHenry County.	1	Diphtheria	98 2
St. Clair County	1	German measles.	
Scarlet fever:		Hookworm disease.	
Chicago		Lethargic encephalitis	
Scattering		Malaria	
Typhoid fever		Measles	
Whooping cough		Mumps	15
		Ophthalmia neonatorum	
INDIANA.		Pneumonia (lobar)	19
Diphtheria		Poliomyelitis	
Rabies in animals—Vigo County		Scarlet fever.	
Scarlet fever		Septic sore throat	
SmallpoxTyphoid fever		Tetanus	
Typhota fever	26	Trachoma	
IOWA.		Tuberculosis (all forms)	
Diphtheria		Typhoid fever	
Scarlet fever		• •	110
Smallpox	2	MINNESOTA.	
Kansas.		Chicken pox	
O	_	Measles.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis		Pneumonia.	
Chicken pox		Poliomyelitis	
Malaria		Scarlet fever.	. 57
Measles.	5	Smallpox.	. 14
Mumps.		Tuberculosis	
Pneumonia		Typhoid fever	
Scarlet fever	_	Whooping cough	. 6
8mallpox		MISSISSIPPI.	
Tetanus		Diphtheria	. 31
Tuberculosis		Scarlet fever	
Typhoid fever		Smallpox	
Whooping cough	42	Typhoid fever	. 22
<sup>1</sup> Week ended Friday.			

MONTANA Ca	ases.	NORTH CAROLINA—continued. C	
Diphtheria		German measles	B.565
Poliomyelitis	2	measies	
Rocky Mountain spotted or tick fever-Hamil-		Poliomyelitis .	. 1
` ton	1	Scarlet fever	
Scarlet fever	. 3	Septic sore throat	4
Smallpox	3	Smallpox	
Typhoid fever	5	Lypnoid lever	
		Whooping cough	7
NEBRASKA.			9
Chicken pox		OREGON.	
Diphtheria		Chicken pox.	
Measles		Dipotoeria:	
Mumps		Portland	11
Scarlet fever		scattering.	_
Tuberculosis		Measies	
Whooping cough		Scarlet lever	
NEW JERSEY.		Smallpox—Portland	
Corobrogninal moningitie		Tuberculosis	4
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	1 1 y phoid lever: .	
Chicken pox		The Dalles	
Dysentery		ocattering	
Influenza.		Whooping cough	3
Malaria.	4	SOUTH DAKOTA.	۰
Measles.			
Pneumonia		Anthrax	1
Poliomyelitis		Diputneria	
Scarlet fever.	5 43	Measies	_
Trachoma		Pneumonia	_
Typhoid fever		Scarlet lever	_
Whooping cough	35	Smanpox	
	100	Tuberculosis.	′1
NEW MEXICO.		Typhoid fever	. 1
Chicken pox	1	TEXAS.	
Diphtheria	8	Diphtheria	
Malaria	3	Mumps.	36
Measles.	1	Pellagra	23
Paratyphoid fever	3	Pneumonia.	8
Pellagra	1	Scarlet fever.	6
Poliomyelitis		Typhoid fever.	13
Scarlet fever	2		28
Tetanus	1	VERMONT.	
Tuberculosis	9	Chicken pox	1
Typhoid fever		Diphtheria	
Whooping cough	3	Measles	. 1
NEW YORK.		Mumps	9
		Poliomyelitis	'n
(Exclusive of New York City.)		Scarlet fever	1
Diphtheria	93	Typhoid fever	1
Influenza.	4	Whooping cough	14
Measles	89		-•
Pneumonia	35	Washington.	
Poliomyelitis:			2
	-	Chicken pox	
Ogdensburg	4	Chicken pox Diphtheria	13
Syracuse	4	Measles	13 3
Syracuse	4 3 14	Measles	13 3 2
Syracuse	4 3 14 58	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever.	13 3 2 6
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever Smallpox Scattering Scarlet fever Smallpox Smallpo	4 3 14 58	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox.	13 3 2 6 6
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever Smallpox	4 3 14 58 2 54	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis.	13 3 2 6 6
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever Smallpox Scattering Scarlet fever Smallpox Smallpo	4 3 14 58 2 54	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tubercuksis. Typhoid fever.	13 3 2 6 6 28 12
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever Smallpox Typhoid fever Smallpox	4 3 14 58 2 54	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tubercuksis. Typhoid fever.	13 3 2 6 6 28 12
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough NORTH CAROLINA.	4 3 14 58 2 54 162	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough.	13 3 2 6 6 28 12
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough NORTH CAROLINA. Cerebrospinal meningitis	4 3 14 58 2 54 162	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough.	13 3 2 6 6 28 12 29
Syracuse Scattering Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough NORTH CAROLINA.	4 3 14 58 2 54 162	Measles.  Mumps. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis Typhoid fever. Whooping cough.	13 3 2 6 6 28 12 29

Cases. Scattering—Continued:

WISCONSIN.

Milwaukee:

WISCONSIN-continued.

Poliomyeiitis. 3 Scarlet fever. 38

Measles	35	Smallpox	9
Mumps	2	Tuberculosis	25
Pneumonia	2	Typhoid fever	2
Poliomyelitis	1	Whooping cough	84
Scarlet fever	3		
Tuberculosis	. 12	WYOMING.	
Typhoid fever	1	Cerebrospinal meningitis:	
Whooping cough	79	Fremont County	1
Scattering:		Tularæmia:	•
Chicken pox	7	Big Horn County	1
Diphtheria	43	Park County	2
Measles	22	Typhoid fever	9
Pneumonia	1	••	. •
• •	Weel	k Ended August 12, 1922.	
CONNECTICUT.	ses.	MAINE.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.	2	Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Chicken pox	4	Chicken pox	6
Diphtheria:		Diphtheria	14
Bridgeport	8	Measles	2
Scattering	14	Mumps	4
Dysentery (bacillary)	1	Poliomyelitis	1
Lethargic encephalitis	1	Scarlet fever	15
Malaria	1	Tetanus	1
Measles	31	Tuberculosis	3
Mumps	2	Typhoid fever	8
Paratyphoid fever	1	Typhoid fever	2
Pneumonia (lobar)	5	•	
Poliomyelitis	4	MISSOURI.	
Scarlet fever	27	Chicken pox.	9
Smallpox	1	Diphtheria	34
Tetanus	1	Epidemic sore throat	5
Tuberculosis (all forms)	39	Measles	14
Typhoid fever	13	Ophthalmia neonatorum	1
Whooping cough	35	Pneumonia	5
DIGGRESS OF GOVIENDS		Scarlet fever	11
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.		Smallpox	` 1
Diphtheria	1	Tetanus	1
Lethargic encephalitis	1	Trachoma	4
Measles		Tuberculosis	48
Tuberculosis		Typhoid fever	46
Typhoid fever		Whooping cough	5
Whooping cough	27		

#### SUMMARY OF CASES REPORTED MONTHLY BY STATES.

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

State.	Cerebrospinal meningitis.	Diphtheria.	Influenza.	Malaria.	Measles.	Pellagra.	Poliomyelitis.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Typhoid fever.
July, 1922. Louisiana Michigan. New York Pennsylvania. West Virginia.	1 17 12 2	51 321 1,048 762 117	5 34 10	210 18	6 519 2, 949 2, 757 46	38	6 7 18 9 1	19 353 618 434 70	128 49 3 1 38	123 69 221 273 156

#### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED AUGUST 5, 1922.

#### ANTHRAX.

	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Michigan: Detroit		1	

#### CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-		ended 5, 1922.	City.	Median for pre-	Weak Aug. 5	ended i, 1922.
Civy.	vious. years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.	Cases.	Death
Alabama: Birmingham	0	1	1	Michigan: Detroit Minuesota: Rochester	1	1	,
Los Angeles Connecticut: New Haven New London	0	1 1	i	New Jorsey: Newark. Plainfield New York:	1	1 2	ļ
owa: Burlington Kansas: Kansas City	0	1 2	1	Buffalo	0	1	
faryland: Baltimore	1	1		Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	1	3	

#### DENGUE.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Florida:( Tampa	125	
Texas: Galveston	40	

#### DIPHTHERIA.

See p. 2071; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2062, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 2065.

#### INFLUENZA.

·	Cas	ies.	Deaths,	÷	Cas	P88:	Deaths
City.	Week ended Aug. 6, 1921.	Week ended Aug. 5, 1922.	week ended Aug. 5, 1922.	City.	Week ended Aug. 6, 1921.	Week ended Aug. 5, 1922.	week ended Aug. 5
California: Los Angeles. San Francisco. Santa Barbara.	5	2		Maryland: Baltimore New Jersey:	1		
District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa	1			Newark New York: Albany	1 3	1	
Georgia: Atlanta	1			New YorkOhio: Akron Pennsylvania:	8	1	
Chicago Kansas: Salina	3	1 2	-	Philadelphia	1		

### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED AUGUST 5, 1922—Continued. LETHARGIC ENCEPHALITIS.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
alifornia: San Francisco	1	2	Wisconsin: Oshkosh	1	
<u></u>		MAL	ARIA.		:
labama:			Massachusetts:		
Birmingham	2		Lowell	1	· .
rkansas:			New York:		
Little Rockalifornia:	4		New YorkOhio:	4	
Sacramento	2		Cleveland	2	
onnecticut:	- 1		Oklahoma:	_	••••••
New Britain	1		_ Oklahoma		
eorgia: Albany	2		Tennessee:		
Macon	6		Memphis	13	
Savannah	ĭ	i	Dallas	3	
ouisiana:	- 1	-	Fort Worth	ĭ	
New Orleans	1				
<del></del>	!		<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	
•			SLES.		ji
See p. 2071; also Tele	graphic	weekly r	eports from States, p. 20	62, and	Monthl
ummaries, by States, p.	2065.				1
		PELL	AGRA.	* •	
City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
labama:			North Carolina:	1	
Mobile					
		2	Raleigh		1
Georgia:			South Carolina:		l
Georgia: Atlanta		1	South Carolina: Charleston		
Georgia: Atlanta Macon	i		South Carolina:		
Georgia: Atlanta	1		South Carolina:		
Georgia: Atlanta	1	1	South Carolina:		
Georgià: Atianta Macon Massachusetts: Boston	1	1	South Carolina: Charleston  (ALL FORMS).		
leorgià: Atlanta Macon Massachusetts: Boston	1	1	South Carolina: Charleston		
Atlanta	PNE	LUMONIA	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne. Muncie.		
Alabama: Birmingham Long Beach	PNI	EUMONIA 4	(ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne. Muncie. Terro Haute.		
Alabama: Birmingham	1 PNE	EUMONIA 4	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne. Muncie. Terro Haute. Kansas:		
Alabama: Birmingham Lies Angeles  Alabdama: Birmingham Lies Angeles Oakland	1 PNE	EUMONIA 4	(ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne. Muncie. Terre Haute. Kansas: Fort Scott.	1 2	
Alabama: Birmingham Salifornia: Long Beach Los Angeles Oakland	1 PNE	1 4 6	Charleston.  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne Muncie. Terro Haute. Kansas: Fort Scott. Kansas City Wichita.		
Alabama: Birmingham Liog Beach Les Angeles Oakland. San Bernardino. San Diego.	1 PNF 1 8 1 2 2 4 4	1 4 4 6	South Carolina:   Charleston     Charleston     Charleston     Charleston     Charleston     Charleston     Charleston     Indiana:   Fort Wayne     Muncie     Terro Haute     Kansas:   Fort Scott     Kansas City     Wichita     Kentucky:		
Alabama: Boston  Alabama: Birmingham  Long Beach  Los Angeles Oakland. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Diego San Francisco	1 PNF	1 4 4 6	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne. Muncie Terro Haute. Kansas: Fort Scott. Kansas City. Wichita Kentucky: Lexington.	2	
Alabama: Birmingham Lise Angeles Oakland Sacramento San Bernardino San Francisco Santa Barbata	1 PNF 1 8 1 2 2 4 4	1 4 4 6	South Carolina:   Charleston	2	
Alabama: Birmingham Los Angeles Los Angeles Oakland Secramento San Bernardino San Francisco San Barbata Colorado: Colorado:	1 PNF 1 8 1 2 2 4 4	1 4 4 6	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne. Muncie Terro Haute. Kansas: Fort Scott. Kansas City. Wichita Kentucky: Lexington.	2	
Alabama: Birmingham Salifornia: Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland. San Bernardino. San Diego. San Francisco Santa Barbara. Colorado: Denver Connecticut:	1 1 8 1 2 2 4 7 7	4 	South Carolina: Charleston	. 1 . 10	
Atanta.  Atanta.  Macon.  Massachusetts:  Boston.  Alabama:  Birmingham:  Loifornia:  Long Beach  Les Angeles  Oakland.  Seoramento.  San Bernardino.  San Piego.  Santa Barbara.  Colorado: Denver.  Connecticut:  Bridgeport.	1 8 1 2 4 7 7	1 4 3 3	Charleston.  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana:     Fort Wayne.     Muncie.     Terro Haute.     Kansas:     Fort Scott.     Kansas City.     Wichita.     Kentucky:     Lexington.     Louisville.     New Orleans.     Maine:     Lewiston.	. 2 1	
Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham alifornia: Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland. Sacramento. San Bernardino. San Piego. Santa Barbara. Colorado: Denver Connecticut: Bridgeport. Greenwich.	1 1 8 1 2 2 4 7 7	2 4 3 1 4 3	South Carolina:   Charleston     C	1 10 1	
Atanta.  Macon.  Massachusetts:  Boston.  Alabama:  Birmingham:  Long Beach  Les Angeles  Oakland.  Seoramento.  San Bernardino.  San Piego.  San Fancisco  Santa Barbaça.  Colorado:  Denver.  Connecticut:  Bridgeport.  Greenwich.  New Haven.	1 8 1 2 4 7 7	1 4 3 3	Charleston.  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne Muncie. Terro Haute. Kansas: Fort Scott. Kansas City Wichita Kentucky: Lexington. Louisville Louisiana: New Orleans Maine: Lewiston Maryland: Baltimore.	. 1 . 10	
Atlanta.  Macon.  Massachusetts:  Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham .alifornia: Long Beach .Les Angeles Oakland .Sacramento. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Francisco Santa Barbaça. Colorado: Denver Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich. New Haven. District of Columbia:	1 8 1 2 4 7 7	2 4 3 1 4 3 2	South Carolina:   Charleston     C	1 10 1	
Adabama: Boston  Alabama: Birmingham Litiornis: Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland Bernardino San Bernardino San Francisco Santa Barbara Colorado: Denyer Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Florids:	1 8 1 2 4 7 7	1 4 3 3 1 2 2 5 5	Charleston  Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne Muncie Terro Haute Kansas: Fort Scott Kansas City Wichita Kentucky: Lexington Louisville Louisiana: New Orleans Maine: Lewiston Maryland: Baltimore Cumberland Massachusetts: Arlington	1 10 1	
Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham Salifornia: Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland. San Bernardino. San Diego. San Francisco Santa Barbara. Colorado: Denver Comeeticut: Bridgeport Greenwich New Haven. District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa	1 8 1 2 4 7 7	2 4 3 1 4 3 2	South Carolina:   Charleston     C	. 2 . 10 . 10	
Alabama: Boston  Alabama: Boston  Birmingham  Lalifornia: Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland Secramento San Bernardino San Francisco Santa Barbara  Colorado: Denver Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich New Haven District of Columbia: Washington  Florida: Tampa Georgia:	1 1 8 1 2 4 7 7 1 1 1 1	2 4 3 3 1 4 4 3 3 2 2 5 1	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana:     Fort Wayne.     Muncie.     Terre Haute.     Kansas:     Fort Scott.     Kansas City.     Wichita     Kentucky:     Lexington.     Louisville. Louisiana:     New Orleans. Maine:     Lewiston. Maryland:     Baltimore.     Cumberland Massachusetts:     Arlington.     Belmont. Belmont.	. 2 . 1 . 10 . 1 . 25	
Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham .alifornia: Long Beach .les Angales Oakland. Sacramento. San Bernardino. San Diego. Santa Barbaça. Colorado: Denver. Connecticut: Bridgeport. Greenwich. New Haven. District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta.	1 8 1 2 4 7 7	2 4 3 1 4 3 2 5	South Carolina:   Charleston     C	. 2 10 10 . 15 15	
Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham Lalifornia: Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland. Secramento. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Francisco Santa Barbara. Colorado: Denver. Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich. New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Froma. Forna.  Tampa Georgia: Atlanta. Savannah	1 1 8 1 2 4 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 3 1 4 3 3 1 1 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	South Carolina:   Charleston	. 2 . 1 . 10 . 1 . 25	
Atanta.  Macon.  Massachusetts:  Boston.  Massachusetts:  Boston.  Alabama:  Birmingham  California:  Long Beach Les Angeles Oakland. Secramento. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Francisco Santa Barbaça. Colorado:  Denver. Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich. New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Forida:  Tampa Georgia: Atlanta. Savannah. Illinois: Chicago.	1 1 8 1 2 2 4 4 7 7 3 3 5 5 1 5 1	2 4 3 1 4 3 2 5	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana: Fort Wayne Muncie Muncie Terre Haute Kansas: Fort Scott Kansas City Wichita Kentucky: Lexington Louisville Louisiana: New Orleans Maine: Lewiston Maryland: Baltimore Cumberland Massachusetts: Arlington Belmont Boston Braintree Cambridge Chelsoa Fall River	. 10 . 10 . 15 . 15 . 1	
Atlanta.  Atlanta.  Atlanta.  Macon.  Massachusetts:  Boston.  Alabama:  Birmingham .alifornia:  Long Beach . Les Angeles . Oakland . Secramento. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Francisco . Santa Barbara. Colorado: Denyer Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich . New Haven . District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta. Savannah Illinois: Chicago. Danyille.	1 1 8 1 2 4 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 3 3 19 19	South Carolina:   Charleston     C	. 10 . 10 . 15 . 15 . 1	
Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham  Alifornia: Long Beach Lee Angeles Oakland. Seoramento. San Bernardino. San Piego. Santa Barbaça: Colorado: Denver. Connecticut: Bridgeport. Greenwich. New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta. Savannah Illinois: Chicago. Danville. Decatur.	1 1 8 1 2 2 4 7 7 3 3 3 5 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 4 3 3 1 4 3 3 3 3 1 9	South Carolina:   Charleston	. 10 . 10 . 15 . 15 . 1	
Alabama: Boston Massachusetts: Boston Massachusetts: Boston Massachusetts: Boston  Alabama: Birmingham Californis: Long Beach Lee Angeles Oakland Secramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco Santa Barbata Colorado: Denver Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta Savannah Illinois: Chicago Danville Decatur Kewanee	1 1 8 1 2 4 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 3 3 1 1 3 3 3 1 9	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana:     Fort Wayne.     Muncie.     Terre Haute.     Kansas:     Fort Scott.     Kansas City.     Wichita     Kentucky:     Lexington.     Louisville. Louisiana:     New Orleans. Maine:     Lewiston. Maryland:     Baltimore.     Cumberland Massachusetts:     Arlington.     Belmont. Boston.     Braintree. Cambridge. Chelsea.     Fall River. Lynn.     New Bedford. Newton.	. 10 . 10 . 15 . 15 . 1	
Alabama: Boston.  Alabama: Birmingham Salifornia: Long Beach Loss Angeles Oakland. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Bernardino. San Diego Denyer. Colorado: Denyer. Connecticut: Bridgeport. Greenwich. New Haven. District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta. Savannah Illinois: Chicago. Danville. Decatur. Kewanee. La Salle.	1 1 8 1 2 2 4 7 7 3 3 3 5 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 4 3 3 1 4 3 3 3 3 1 9	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana:     Fort Wayne.     Muncie.     Terro Haute.     Kansas:     Fort Scott.     Kansas City.     Wichita     Kentucky:     Lexington.     Louisville. Louisiana:     New Orleans. Maine:     Lewiston. Maryland:     Baltimore.     Cumberland Massachusetts:     Arlington.     Belmont. Boston.     Braintree. Cambridge. Chelsoa.     Fall River. Lynn.     New Bedford. Newton. Quincy. Springfield.	. 10 10 1 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Alabama: Boston Massachusetts: Boston Massachusetts: Boston Massachusetts: Boston  Alabama: Birmingham Californis: Long Beach Lee Angeles Oakland Secramento San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco Santa Barbata Colorado: Denver Connecticut: Bridgeport Greenwich New Haven District of Columbia: Washington Florida: Tampa Georgia: Atlanta Savannah Illinois: Chicago Danville Decatur Kewanee	1 1 8 1 2 2 4 7 7 3 3 3 5 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	Charleston  (ALL FORMS).  Indiana:     Fort Wayne.     Muncie.     Terre Haute.     Kansas:     Fort Scott.     Kansas City.     Wichita  Kentucky:     Lexington.     Louisville. Louisville. Louisiana:     New Orleans.     Maine:     Lewiston.     Maryland:     Baltimore.     Cumberland Massachusetts:     Arlington.     Boston.     Belmont.     Boston.     Braintree.     Cambridge.     Chelsoa.     Fall River. Lynn.     New Bedford.     Newton.     Quincy.     Springfield.     Webster.	. 10 . 10 . 15 . 15 . 1	

#### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED AUGUST 5, 1922—Continued.

#### PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)-Continued.

	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths
ichigan:			New York—Continued:		
Detroit	. 14	10	Rome	6	ł
Flint	1		Syracuse	4	
Kalamazoo		ii	Yonkers.		
Marquette		i	North Carolina		
linnesota:	-	-	Winston-Salem	i	l
	6	i	Ohio:		l
Duluth			Akron	1 .	i e
Minneapolis		2	Akron	1	• • • • • • •
St. Paul		7	Barberton	1	:
lissouri:	1	_	Cincinnati	1	ł
Independence	.	2	Cleveland	7	i
Kansas City	.  8	[ 4	Columbus		l
St. Joseph	.	1	East Cleveland	1	l <b></b>
		1	Norwood	1 1	1
ontana: Butte		1 2	Toledo	· -	1
ohraska:		1	Oklahoma:	1	ł
Omaha	1	5	Oklahoma	i	l
ew Hampshire:	.	,	Oregon:		l
ew Hampshire: Concord	4	1	Portland		
Concord		1 :	Portising		ľ
Manchester		1	Pennsylvania:		1
ew Jersey:	.1		Philadelphia	31	ł
Atlantic City	.	2	II KNOGA ISIANG:	1	l
East Orange	. 2		Pawtucket	.	1
Harrison			South Carolina: Charleston.	l	•
Hoboken		1	Charleston	.	i
Newark	. 10	4	Tennessee:	i	1
Orange	1	l i	Memphis		l
Passaic	1	· -	Nashville.		
Passaic Perth Amboy		2	Texas:		I
Plainfield.	1	ែរ	Dallas	1	l
Trenton	1 6		Fort Worth		I
ew York:	.] "		Fort Worth	. 1	į.
	١ .	1 .	Week	.	l
Albany	- 2	4	Waco		l
Buffalo	.] 3	1 1	Virginia:	1	1
Cohoes	·  1		Richmond	.	1
Lackawanna			West Virginia:	Į.	ł
Mount Vernon	. 2		Wheeling	.	1
New York		48	Wisconsin:	1	i
Niagara Falls	. 3	1	Milwaukee	. 1	
Olean	.1	.i i	Racine	.1	1
Rochester		1	Superior		1

#### POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.  Arkansas: Fort Smith	vious. years.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	years.	Cases.	Deaths
Fort Smith		,				Cases.	
				New York—Continued. New York	7		
		-		Peekskill.			,
Oakland	lo			Rome.	0		
San Francisco				Ohio:	י ו		
Massachusetts:				Dayton	0	١,	i
Attleboro	0		ł	Pennsylvania:	י ו		
Boston	ŏ	2		Allentown		2	l
Brookline		1 1	1 1	Erie	0	2	
Medford		1 1		Dhiladalahia	0	1 :	
New Bedford				Philadelphia Scranton	1 0	1 1	
Northampton				Rhode Island:	, 0	, 2	
Webster		3		Cumberland	١ .		ì
Minnesota:				Providence		10	
Minneapolis	0	١.	į .	South Carolina:	0	10	ŀ
New Jersey:	ľ	, .		Charleston	١ .	I .	ł
Bloomfield	0	١.	l ,	Vermont:	0	1	
Elizabeth		5		Rutland		_	i
Plainfield	ŏ	1 ?		Rutiand	0	2	
New Mexico:	1 "			Virginia: Norfolk	١ .		l .
Albuquerque	l	١.	l	Richmond	0	1	
New York:	1				0	1 1	·····
Auburn	0	١ .	١.,	Wisconsin:			l
Buffalo	1 8	2	1 1	Madison	0	1 1	·····

#### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED AUGUST 5, 1922-Continued.

#### RABIES IN ANIMALS.

City.	Cases.	City.	Cases.
California:  Los Angeles.  Passadena  Kentucky:  Louisville.	8 1 1	Massachusotts: Methuen Missouri: Kansas City	1 8

#### SCARLET FEVER.

See p. 2071; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2062, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 2065.

#### SMALLPOX.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-		ended 5, 1922.	City.	Median for pre-	-	
ony.	vious. years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.		Deaths.
Alabama: Mobile	0		1	North Dakota: Grand Forks	0	1	
Long Beach	0	3	1	Springfield	0	1	
Los Angeles Oakland	0	2 1	,	Oklahoma: Oklahoma	1	- 1	<b></b>
Riverside		· •		Oregon: Portland	2	7	
Denver	6	7	<b></b>	Tennessee: Nashville	0		1
Frankfort	0	1		Washington:	1		
Iowa:			1	Everett	. 0	1	
Cedar Rapids Kansas:	. 0	1	<b>{</b>	Seattle	5	1	
Hutchinson	0	1	1	Milwaukee	1 2	1 1	1
Michigan:	1	-	1	Superior	1 7	l ā	
Kalamazoo Minnesota:	0	1		, superior	_		
Duluth	0	3	1	<b>.</b>	l	1	1
Minneapolis	3	2		11 .	1		l

#### TETANUS.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama: Birmingham California: San Bernardino. Connecticut: Hartford. Florids: Tampa Illinois: Chicago.	1 1	1 1	Maine: Bangor Maryland: Baltimore Massachusetts: Beverly Haverhill Nebraska: Lincoln Oregon: Portland		1 1 1

#### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED AUGUST 5, 1922—Continued.

#### TUBERCULOSIS.

See p. 2071; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2062.

#### TYPHOID FEVER.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that of the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-	Week ended Aug. 5, 1922.		Median Aug. 5, 1922. City.		dedian Aug. 5, 1922. City.		City.	Median for pre- vious		
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.	Cases.	Deaths.				
Alabama:				Minnesota:							
Anniston	0	1		Minneapolis	2	2	2				
Birmingham Montgomery	14	9	1 1	St. Paul	0	2					
	0	-1	. 1	Missouri: Kansas City	3	6	١.				
Arkansas: Fort Smith	1			St. Joseph	li	ı	1				
Hot Springs	l ô	4		St. Joseph St. Louis	11	8	i				
California:				Montana:	1						
Los Angeles	3	4	1	Great Falls New Jersey:	1 1	1	·····				
Oakland	1	• • • • • • • • • •	1	Asbury Park	0	1					
Sacramento San Francisco	5	1		Newark	1	1					
Santa Barbara	ŏ	î		Perth Amboy	0	1					
Colorado:	1			Plainfield Trenton	0. 1	4	1 1				
Denver	2	.3		New York:	1	•	1				
Pueblo	0	2		Albany	0	3					
Connecticut:	2	4		Elmira	0	2					
Hartford New Haven		5		IthacaNew York	28	20					
Stonington		ĭ		Rochester	2	1 1	1				
District of Columbia:			1	Rome	1 0	1	l				
_ Washington	13	8	1	Syracuse	0	2					
Florida: Tampa	l	1	1	North Carolina:	0	1	·····				
Georgia:		٠.		Durham	2	3	l				
Atlanta		1	2	Raleigh	Õ	7	i				
Macon	2	2		Winston-Salem	2	1	l î				
SavannahIllinois:	. 3	2		Ohio:	١.	١.	1				
Alton	1	1	1	Bucyrus	1 1	2					
Chicago	. 6	l î		Cincinnati	3	3					
Decatur	0	1		Cleveland	5	5	i				
KewaneeQuincy	0	1 1		Newark Springfield	0	1					
Indiana:	1 "	1 1		Oklahoma:	١	1					
Muncie	. 1	2		Oklahoma		3	1				
Kansas:	١.		1	Tulsa	16	1					
Coffeyville Kansas City		1 2		Oregon: Portland	0	١.	İ				
Wichita	4	2		Pennsylvania:	١ ،	1					
Kentucky:	1	_		Allentown		2					
Louisville		9		Altoona	0	1					
Paducah Louisiana:	1	2		Canonsburg Chester	7	1 1					
New Orleans	. 7	4	3	Erie	ľ	i					
Maine:	1 .		1	Norristown	. 0	2					
Lewicton	- 0	1		Philadelphia	13	10	1				
Baltimore	. 12	7		Pittsburgh Pottstown	5	9 3					
Massachusetts:	1	1		Reading	1	1					
Boston	- 3	2	2	Reading. Swissvale	Ō	2					
Fall River	- 0	1 2		Washington	. 0	3					
Gardner		ĺ		Wilkinsburg York	0	1					
Lowell	م ا	i		Rhode Island:	0						
Lynn Melrose	. 0	1		Providence	. 1	2					
Natick	. 0	1 1		South Carolina:		_	1				
New Bedford	:	li		Charleston Columbia	5	2	1 1				
Somerville	. 0	l î		Greenville	1 0	li					
Waltham	. 0	1		Tennessee:	į.	· -	1				
Michigan: Detroit	. 12	9	1 _	Chattanooga	. 10	1	ļ <u>.</u>				
Flint	. 12	ı	2	Knoxville	7 2	1 5	1 1				
Flint	:I ő	l i	1	Nashville	10	5					

#### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED AUGUST 5, 1922-Continued.

#### TYPHOID FEVER—Continued.

City.	Median for pre-		ended 5, 1922.	City.	Median for pre-		
	vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.	<b>-</b>	years.	Cases.	Deaths
Texas: Dallas El Paso Fort Worth. Virginia: Alexandria Lynchburg Norfolk Petersburg Richmond	5 0 1 1 3 4 0	3 1 1 1 1 1 1	i	Washington: Bellingham Seattle. Taooma. West Virginia: Blundedd. Clarksburg. Huntington. Martinsburg. Morgantown	0 1 0 0	2 3 1 1 1	1

### DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS.

	Popula-	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Scarlet fever.		Tul	
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Сазев.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama: Anniston Birmingham Mobile Montgomery Tuscaloosa Arkansas: Fort Smith Hot Sprines	17, 734 178, 806 60, 777 43, 464 11, 998 28, 870 11, 695	49 20 8	6 4 3 1 1				1		2 5	2 2
Little Rock	65, 142 14, 048	····i							i	1
Alameds. Glendsle. Long Beach. Los Angeles. Oakiand Pasadena Richmond	28, 806 13, 536 55, 593 576, 673 216, 261 45, 354 16, 843	4 8 18 159 27 9	37 9 1	i	i		9 2		31 3 1	1 2 21 2 1
Riverside . Sacramento . San Bernardino . San Diego . San Francisco . Santa Ana .	19,341 65,908 18,721 74,683 506,676 15,485	2 17 9 27 113	1 1 2 14	1 2	1		1 1 4	1	1 4 27	3 10
Santa Barbara. Santa Cruz. Vallejo. Colorado: Denver.	19,441 10,917 21,107 256,491	7 5 4 72	18	1	2		4		1	10
Pueblo	43, 050 10, 906 143, 555	26	3 3	i	. 5		1		2	1
Bristol. Derby. Fairfield. Greenwich. Hartford	20,620 11,238 11,475 22,123 138,036	33	1 1		1 1				i	
Manchester	18, 370 10, 193 59, 316 162, 537 25, 688	0 4 9 25	i		17		1 1		13	1
Norwalk Stonington. Waterbury	27,743 10,236	12			2		i		3	1

	Popula-	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca fev		Tul culo	er- sis.
City.	Popula- tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Delaware:	110, 168	17								÷
Wilmington		Ì				•••••				••••••
Washington Florida:	437, 571	98	8	1	4	•••••	6		23	12
TampaGeorgia:	51,608	13	•••••			• • • • • •		·····		4
Atlanta	200,616	62	3	2			1		1	4
Macon	52, 995 13, 252		1 3							•••••
SavannahIdaho:	83, 252	18							1	i
Boise	21,393	4	2							
PocatelloIllinois:	15,001	2		• • • • • •						•••••
AltonAurora	24,682 36,397	4 6	5						3	·
Bloomington	36,397 28,725	8	ļ						2	
Blue Island	11, 424 2, 701, 705	3 456	74	3	80	4	15	i	1 152	19
Cicero Danville	44,995 33,776	6	3		1			·	2	
Decatur	43, 818	5	i						í	····i
Elgin. Evanston	27, 454 37, 234	5			5				3	
Freeport	19,669 23,834	7	1						ļ	
Kewanee	16,026	3							i	
La Salle	13,050 13,552	3 3	1				. 2			
Peoria	76, 121	17	i		i					i
Quincy	35, 978 65, 651	10			8				3	·····;
SpringfieldIndiana:	59, 183	24	2				i		. 2	
Anderson	29,767	1	ļ		.		. 1		.	
Bloomington	11,595 10,139	4 2			·		· ·····	· ·····	· ·····	·····
East Chicago	10, 139 35, 967 86, 549 11, 585	5 18								
Frankfort	11,585	1 0					: ::::::	: ::::::		
Hammond	36,004 314,194	69	4	····i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 1	i		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Kokomo	30.067	3			. i			:  <u>.</u>	.	.  i
La Fayette	22, 486 21, 626	1 2	ĺ				. ····i		:	: '····i
Mishawaka Muncie	15, 195 36, 524	10	1 1	····i	. 1		. 1		. 1	
South Bend. Terre Haute	1 70,983	9	ļ		. 6		. i		. 4	
Iowa:	66, 083	24					-			
BurlingtonCouncil Bluffs	24,057 36,162 39,141	6 5	1 4	1			· ····	-	-	
Dubuque	39, 141	ļ			i		. i			
Marshalltown	15,731 16,068	4	. 1				. 1			
Ottumwa Waterloo	23,003 36,230	1	. 1							
Kansas:	1					· ····	2	·   · · · ·	1	·
Atchison	12,630 13,452	2	. 2				:			
Fort Scott	13, 452 10, 693	1	4				· ;		<u>.</u>	
Kansas CityLawrence	101, 177 12, 456	i			. 1		. 3		. 5	
LeavenworthParsons	12, 456 16, 912 16, 028	3	. 1		•		i			
Salina Topeka	.   15,085	. 6	1		: ::	.				:
Wichita	50,022 72,217	38	3		· ····	· ····	. 1 2	; <b> </b> -	. 3	

•	Popula-	Total deaths	Diphi	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca fev	rlet er.	Tui culo	
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920,		Cases.	Desths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Desths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Centucky:										
Lexington	41,534 234,891	14 45	7						18	
Paducah	234, 891 24, 735	ļ	6							
ouisiana: New Orleans	387, 219	137	4	1			3		37	1
faine:	1 '	•	•				-			_
Auburn Bangor	16,985 25,978 14,731	3	2			•••••			•••••	•••••
Bath	14,731								i	
BiddefordLewiston	18,008 31,791 69,272 10,691	8	3	•••••					····i	
Portland	69, 272	17	4				. 2			
Sanford	10,691	0,						<b> </b> -		
Baltimore Cumberland	733, 826 29, 837	204 8	10	1	19	1	3		29	2
Assachusetts:	10.008	3	1	l			1	1	1	
Arlington	10,036 18,665	1 4					i		1	
Attleboro	19,731	11							]	
BelmontBeverly	10,749 22,561	3 4	ļ		3		ļ		···i	·····
Boston	22,561 748,060	169	45		40	2	8	i	22	
BraintreeBrockton	10,580 66,254	3 14	7	ļ	3		····· <u>·</u>	<u>}</u>	1	1
Brookline	37,748	1 18	lí		ı		2		2	
Cambridge	109,694	21	1 1		3		1		5 3	
Chelsea	43, 184	10	1 1		1		2.	ļ	. 3	Ī
Cambridge	36, 214 12, 979	1 2	1		1:::::				l····i	1::::
Degnam	10,792	2								ļ
Easthampton	11, 261 40, 120	2			<b> </b>		2		1	
Everett. Fall River.	120, 485	30			8		l		5	
Fitchburg	.] 41,029	7	4		····i		1		i	
FraminghamGardner	17,033 16,971	2 2	1	1	1				1	ļ
Greenfield	. 15,462	3	1							ļ
Haverhill	53,884 19,744	11 2	1	1	·				-	ŀ
T.Awall	112,759	20	i	1			2 2		. 3	1
Lynn	. 99.148	1 20	3	1	3 2		2		. 3	
Malden	49, 103	12 6	2		4	ļ	3	1	2	
Melrose	18 204	2			. 1		1		1	
Methuen	15, 189	6 29	···i	-	. 1		3	-	2	-1
New Bedford	. 121,217 . 15,618		.l		2				1	
Newburyport	. 1 46.054	. 1. 7	2		. 3		i		. 2	1
North Adams Northampton	22, 282 21, 951	6	1	•	4		i	-]		· ····
Pittsfield	. 1 41, 763	11			1		:  i		. 2	1
Plymouth	. 13,045	4	4	-	· ····;·	.]	-	-	4	• • • • •
QuincySalem	. 47,876 42,529	6			l i				1	
Saugus	. 10,874	2	1						.1	
Somerville	. 93,091 . 14,245	20	2		•]	-	. 1	1	. 4	
Springfield	129,614	23	2	1	i	1	. 2	1	2	1
Taunton	129,614 37,137 13,025	4			·Ŀ····	<b>d</b> ·····	-	-		-{
Wakefield Waltham	. 13,025	2 6 2 3 1 3 4 4 1	····i		1				2	1
Watertown	. 21, 457	2	2						1	.
Webster	13, 258	1		· ····	- 1	·····	-	-}		• ••••
West Springfield Westfield	. 13, 443 . 18, 604	4	2	1	1			1:::::	. 2	.l
Winthrop	. 15, 455	] 2							. ī	<b> </b>
Woburn	16,574 179,754				-1	•]••••		-	·····2	· ····

	Popula-	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca: fev	rlet er.	Tul culo	er- sis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
MI-14										
Michigan: Alpena	11, 101		2							
Ann Arbor	19,516 12,233 993,678 91,599	10			····i		····i		• • • • • •	•••••
Benton Harbor Detroit	993, 678	180	33	2	10		19		27	••••
Flint	91,599	14	5		5		2			
Hamtramck	4X 013	6	5						• • • • • •	
Highland Park	46, 499 12, 183	l ö	i				3			
Jackson	48, 374	9	l		i					
Kalamazoo.	48, 487 12, 718	15	3		1	<b> </b> -		ļ	1	!
MarquettePontiac	34, 273	i							i	
Saginaw	34, 273 61, 903	5	1		3		3		ī	
Sault Ste. Marie	12,096	1		<b> </b>						
Minnesota: Duluth	98, 917	13		l	2	l	1		7	
Hibbing	15 089	3	1				3			
Mankato	12, 469 380, 582	·····	·  <u>-</u> -	3			;;		1	
Minneapolis		69 21	8	3	1		10 2		<u> </u>	
St. Cloud	15, 873 234, 698 19, 143	1					1 2		i	
St. Paul	234, 698	56	14		5		2		16	, , , , ,
Winona Missouri:	19, 143	6	1							
Cape Girardeau	10, 252	1	1	l	2	1				
Independence	11,686 324,410 77,939	6			·	ļ				
Kansas City	324, 410	104	2		2		1		8 2	
St. Joseph St. Louis	77,939	23 145	1 15	i	3		i		31	1
Springfield	39,631	ii					1			
Montana:	1 '	1 .	1	1	1	1	ļ		١.	1
ButteGreat Falls	41,611 24,121 12,668	8 6	2		·				4	
Missoula.	12,668	5							i	
Nebraska:	I	1		1	1	1		1	ł	
Lincoln Omaha	54, 948 191, 601	10 45	1 1		i		1 2		ļ	· · · · ·
Nevada:	191,001	1 20	1 *		1 ^		~	1		
Reno New Hampshire:	12,016	4			.	.	.	.		·
New Hampshire: Concord	99 167	11	1	1	. 2	į	. 1	1	l	Į
Dover	13,029	1 2	1		1					
K.cene	22, 167 13, 029 11, 210	2			. 1					
Manchester Nashua	78,384	1 12		. 1	i	-		-		
Now Jerrey:	28, 379	13		-	-  -			-		
Ashury Park	12, 400 50, 707	2		.		.		.		
Atlantic City.	50,707	16	1		2		1		1	
BayonneBloomfield	76,754 22,019	i			1 2				2	
Clifton	26, 470 50, 710	l î				.				
East Orange. Elizabeth.	50,710	5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 2		: ···· <sub>ż</sub>	-	3	
Garfield	95, 783 19, 381		. 20		. 1				4	
Harrison	15, 721 68, 166		1		]	. :::::	]		i	
Hoboken	68, 166	9	3		-		-		. 1	
KearnyMontclair.	26, 724 28, 810	3 5			: ·····ż		. 4	i	· ····i	· ····
Newark	414, 524 33, 268	82	4	2	21		. 2		. 14	
Orange Passaic	33, 268	4	1 2		. 3				. 1	
Paterson	63, 841 135, 875	13	1 4		. 5		. 3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1::::
Perth Ambov	135, 875 41, 707	7	4		:  ĭ		:  ĭ		5 2	
Phillipsburg	16, 923 27, 700	2	21 1				.			
Plainfield	27,700	5			. 1		- 1		. 1	
Summit	10, 174				1				1	
Trenton	10, 174 119, 289 40, 074	35	6 6		. 2	2			. 7	
West Hoboken	40,074 29,926	5	: 1							
A COLITEM I OLK	. 29.920		<sub>1</sub>	·····i		-1	: ····i	.1	. 1	1

	Popula-	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca. fev		Tub culo	er- sis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Casos.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
New Mexico:	15 157		_							
Albuquerque New York:	15, 157	7	3	• • • • • •	•••••	•••••				2
Albany	113, 344 36, 192 506, 775	12	3	•••••	1	•••••	2		1 2	i
AuburnBuffalo	506, 775	99	14	i	4		5	i	27	8
CohoesElmira.	22, 987 45, 393	6	1 1				•••••	•••••		1
Glens Falls	16, 638	4	ļ							•••••
Hornell Hudson	15,025 11,745	6	····· <u>2</u> ·				•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Ithaca	17,004	6	ļ <del>.</del> .							••••• <u>•</u>
JamestownLackawanna	38, 917 17, 918	6			1		14		····i	1
Little Falls	13,029	1								•••••
LockportMiddletown	21,308	3	1						····i	• • • • • •
Mount Vernon	18,420 42,726	3					···i		2	•••••
New York Newburgh	5, 620, 048 30, 366	1,068	105	8	64	6	25		224	103
Niagara Falls	50,760	15	i		2		i		i	•••••
North Tonawanda Olean	15,482	0					<sub>i</sub> .		3	
Peekskill	20, 506 15, 868	5 1	····i		i		l			····i
Rochester	295,750	58	2	i	10		2		9	8
RomeSaratoga Springs	26, 341 13, 181	13 8	····i				1			
Schenectady	13, 181 88, 723 171, 717	21 31	l	i			5		3	1
SyracuseTroy	171,717	31 16	10	1	1		5	1	6	1
watertown	72,013 31,285 21,031	6	î							
White PlainsYonkers	21,031	3 25	3		····· <u>·</u>		····i			
North Carolina:	100, 176	20	1 3		-	ļ	٠.	l		
Durham	21,719	5 9	2		.			ļ	2	1
Raleigh	24,418 33,372 48,395	6		i						
winston-Salem	48,395	16					1		4	8
Ohio: Akron	208, 435	28	2		2	1	3	1	<u> </u>	l
Ashtabula Barberton	208, 435 22, 082 18, 811	4		-	.]					·····i
Bucyrus	18,811 10,425	5 0		-						1 1
Canton	1 87 001	j 13	i		i				1	
Cincinnati	401, 247	100	20	1 1	29		20	····i	16 44	13
Columbus	796, 841 237, 031	130 55 32	3	1	. 2		1	ļ <u>.</u>	2	1
Dayton. East Cleveland	152, 559 27, 292	32	2		•		1		4	·····
Findlay	17,021	2								
Fremont. Lancaster	12,468 14,706	1 5		-	•	·			1	ļ
Lima	41,326	13	i	1						
Lorain Mansfield	41,326 37,295 27,824	3	- 1		. 1		. 1		.	
Marion	27.891		2		: :::::	: ::::::	i	1	: ::::::	
Martins Ferry	11,634	3 2						-	.	
Middletown Newark	23, 594 26, 718	9		-						
Niles	13,080	0	i							
Norwood Piqua	24, 966 15, 044	8	i	-		-				l:::::
Salem	10.305	1 2	· · · · · ·	.	. 4					
Sandusky	22, 897 60, 840	2		: ' 'i	. 1				. 1	
Steubenville	28,508	6	2	·		.		.		1
Toledo Youngstown	243, 164 132, 358	46	7	i	17	2	2		6 2	
Zanesville	132, 358 29, 569	21 7	3		: :::::		ļ		. 3	ļ
Oklahoma: Oklahoma	91, 295	1	. 2	. 1	1		. 1	1	. 1	1
Tulsa.	72,075		` <u>'</u>	` <b>.</b>	.1:::::	1	: 3	1	:1	
							_	•	•	

	Popula-	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	sles.	Sca fev	rlet er.	Tul culo	ber- osis.
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Oregon: Portland	258, 288	50								
l'ennsvivania:	•	30	6	• • • • • •				•••••	2	8
Altoona	73,502 60,331		3		• • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	3	
Beaver Falls	12,802		1							•••••
Berwick	12, 181 50, 358		2		1 2					
Braddock	20,879				2 5					•••••
Bristol	10, 273 10, 632		. 1		1					•••••
Canonsburg	18,640		i		2					
Chester	58,030				10		i			
Coatesville	14,515		1 1		1			<b>-</b>		
Duquesne Easton	19,011 33,813		i							
Erie	93,372								15	·····•
Harrisburg	75,917 32,277		2		4		1			· · · · · •
Homestead	20, 452				····i				2	•••••
Jeannette	10,627				1		1		ļ	
Johnstown	67, 327 53, 150		1 3				3 6		····i	•••••
McKeesport	46,781		l				1		l	
McKees Rocks	16,713 15,599		21	¦	;		1			
Mahanoy City New Castle	44,938		2		1					
Norristown	32,319				3					
North Braddock	14,928	350	20	<sub>2</sub> .	1,2	2	18	2		
Philadelphia Pittsburgh	1,823,779 588,343	330	13		115 59		10	2	89 16	34
Pottsville. Pun×sutawney	21,876 10,311		7				ļ <del>.</del> .		1	
Punxsutawney Reading	10,311 107,784		1 3		12				····	
Scranton	137, 783		1 3	l::::::	12		3		6	·····
Shamokin	137,783 21,204 21,747 24,726		1		1				<b> </b>	
SharonShenandoah	21,747		3	·····	1				·	ļ <b>-</b>
Steelton	13,423		1		l i		i			
Sunbury	15,721		1		ļ <u>.</u> .		1			
Swissvale Uniontown	10, 908 15, 692		2		1				·	
Washington Wilkes-Barre	21,480		1				i		i	
Wilkes-Barre	73,833 24,403		1		·					
Wilkinsburg York	47,512		l		2		····i			·····
Rhode Island:	1			1	1 -		-	1	1	
CranstonCumberland	29, 407 10, 077	3								
Newport	30 255	4	i							
Pawtucket	64,248	15	1							
Providence	237, 595	73	1	2	5		1			11
Charleston	67,957	40	1	1		l				
ColumbiaGreenville	37, 524		1		.				. 1	
Tennessee:	23, 127	3	1		.		{		-	2
Chattanooga	57, 895		. 1		.		. 1	ļ	.	
Knoxville	57, 895 77, 818 162, 351	58	1 4	i	1 3		2	.	. 2	3 3
Nashville	118,342	41	i	1			2		8 7	1 3
Texas:					1		1	1		1
Beaumont	40, 422 10, 522	8 3		·	.	·····	·	·	.	. 1
1)91196	158,976	48	6	1	2	1	i	1	4	i
El Paso Fort Worth Galveston	77,560	23	1		. 2					. 5
Galvecton	106, 482 44, 255	23 25 12	2				. 1		. 1	1 1 1
	1 11,400	1 14	1					.		1 3
Houston	44, 255 138, 276 10, 050	30 8					. 1		.	3

	Popula-	Total deaths	Diph	heria.	Mea	sles.	Sca fev	rlet er.	Tul culo	
City.	tion Jan. 1, 1920.	from all causes.	Casos.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Utah:						_				
Salt Lake City	118, 110	12	1		1					
Vermont: Burlington	22,779	3								
Rutland	14,954	3					i			
Virginia:					1	-	_			
AlexandriaLynchburg	18,060 30,070	2 7	····i	• • • • • •		• • • • • •			;-	••••••
Norfolk	115,777		2				····i		6	1
Petersburg	31,012	8	1				l*.		5	
Richmond	171,667	36	2				1		21	2
Roanoke	50, 842	7	8	• • • • • •					• • • • • •	1
Everett	27,644		1				1		. 1	
Seattle	315, 312		Ī				2		9	
Tacoma	96, 965		1							
West Virginia: Bluefield	15, 282	5	1	i	1	l		İ		
/ Charleston	39,608	l ii	•						2	1
Clarksburg	27, 869	4					i		l î	
Fairmont	17, 851	1	2							
Huntington Martinsburg	50, 177 12, 515	18					1		ļ	2
Morgantown	12, 313		1 3					• • • • • •	2	
Moundsville	10,669	5								····i
Parkersburg	20,050	6								] 2
Wheeling	56, 208	9					1		2	
Ashland	11.334		ł		ļ	1	1 1		17	ŀ
Beloit	21,284	4	1		i		ļ			
Fond du Lac	23, 427	3			ļ		1			
Green BayJanesville	31,017 18,293		2	1		····	ļ			<b>-</b>
Kenosha	18, 293	3 7			i				ļ	
La Crosse	30, 421	l					i		1	
Madison	38,378		2		1		Ī			
Milwaukee	457, 147	·····×	5		29		4		27	
Oshkosh	33, 162 58, 593	9		· · · · · ·		· · · · · ·	2	ļ	2 2	2
Sheboygan	30, 955		2	1					ĺí	l
Superior	39, 671	16	i				2		ļ	i
Waukesha Wyoming:	12,558				.		. 1			
Cheyenne	13,829	3	1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	l
Onojemie	10,029	1 3						1		·····

#### FOREIGN AND INSULAR.

#### PLAGUE ON VESSEL.

#### Greek Vessel-At Messina, Italy.

Under date of July 19, 1922, cases of plague were reported on a Greek vessel arrived at Messina, Italy. The vessel was not allowed to enter.

#### CHINA.

#### Cholera - Shanghai.1

During the month of July, 1922, 197 cases of cholera were reported in Shanghai. Under date of August 10, 1922, 11 deaths from cholera were reported as occurring within the week.

#### Plague - Foochow.

Under date of June 21, 1922, plague was stated to be mildly epidemic at Foochow, China, with two fatal cases occurring in the foreign population in the persons of physicians engaged in treating plague cases.

### Proposed Quarantine Against Hongkong.

In view of the declared plague epidemic present at Hongkong, China, the consular body at Foochow on May 13, 1922, communicated to the Chinese officials their decision that Hongkong should be declared an infected port. To June 21, 1922, no action had been taken by the local government.

#### CUBA.

#### Quarantine Against Mexican Ports on Account of Yellow Fever.

According to information dated August 5, 1922, quarantine on account of yellow fever has been ordered to be enforced at ports in Cuba against arrivals from all Mexican ports.

#### EGYPT.

#### Plague - Increased Prevalence - Port Said.

According to information received under date of July 17, 1922, the seasonal prevalence of plague at Port Said, Egypt, is more marked for the current year than it has been for several previous years. During the two weeks ended July 15, 1922, 10 new cases of plague were re-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public Health Reports, Aug. 11, 1922, p. 1972.

ported admitted to hospital and 11 deaths from plague were reported as occurring in and outside of hospital. Rat trapping was stated to to be carried on in the vicinity of the premises on which the cases occurred. (Population of Port Said, approximately 100,000.)

#### JAMAICA.

#### Alastrim.

During the period June 18 to July 29, 1922, 93 new cases of alastrim were reported in the Island of Jamaica. The greatest number of cases reported for any week during this period was 28, occurring during the week ended July 15, 1922. The lowest number of cases reported was for the week ended July 29, viz, 3 cases.

#### Typhoid Fever-Kingston and Vicinity.

During the same period 22 cases of typhoid fever were reported in Kingston, and 99 cases were notified in the vicinity of Kingston.

#### MEXICO.

#### Measures for Mosquito Destruction-Ciudad Juarez.

According to official information received under date of July 25, 1922, construction of dikes and drains along the Mexican side of the Rio Grande for the elimination of mosquitoes was about to be begun at Ciudad Juarez, Mexico.

#### POLAND.

#### Cholera - Rovno.

Referring to the reported appearance of cholera at Rovno, Poland, June 18, 1922, later information received under date of August 8 shows the occurrence of five cases of cholera with two deaths at Rovno during the week ended June 16, 1922, and three cases with one death during the week ended July 17, 1922. Rovno (or Rowno) is stated to be a repatriation station. The cases occurred in persons repatriated from Russia.

#### RUSSIA.

#### Smallpox-Typhus Fever-Lettonia.

During the month of May, 1922, 30 cases of smallpox and 249 cases of typhus fever were reported in the Province of Lettonia, Russia. During the same period there were reported 12 cases of recurrent typhus. (Population, census of December 31, 1920, 1,727,500; officially estimated, 1922, 1,850,000.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public Health Reports, July 21, 1922, p. 1819.

#### Reports Received During Week Ended August 25, 1922.1

The reports contained in the following tables must not be considered as complete or final, either as regards the list of countries included or the figures for the particular countries for which reports are given.

#### CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China:				
ShanghaiPhilippine Islands:	Aug. 4–10 June 25–July 1	1	11	July 1-31, 1922: Cases, 197.
Manila Province— Nueva Ecija	June 11–17	1	1	
Pampanga Poland:	do	ī	î	
Rovno	June 10–16	5	2	Repatriation station. Cases: In persons repatriated from Rus-
Do Syria:	July 11–17	3	1	sia.
Aleppo	July 16–22			Present in interior.
	PLA	GUE.		
Asia Minor:				
SmyrnaBritish East Africa:	June 30–July 1	1		
Kenya Colony				Mar. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 23; deaths, 23.
Ceylon: Colombo	June 18–24 June 25–July 1	2	2	Plague rats, 5.
Do China: Foochow	4	1	1	2011 m
Egypt	June 21			Mildly epidemic. Two fatal cases in foreign physicians.
City—				Jan. 1-July 20, 1922: Cases, 380; deaths, 169. Increased seasonal
Alexandria	July 14	1 4	2	prevalence reported July 17, 1922. July 3-22, 1922: Cases, 15; deaths,
Province— Benisoucf	July 14-20		5	16.
Fayoum Menoufich	July 20	3	i	
Minich	July 14–18	9	5	·
Bombay Karachi Madras Presidency	July 2-8	2 25	7 2 17	
Madagascar: Tananarive	t		1"	
Mesopotamia: Bagdad	1 -	1	70	
Palestine: Jerusalem	July 11-17	1	1	
Senegal: Dakar	June 1-30	1	1	
On vessel: Greek vessel ———	July 19			At Messina, Italy. Cases on board. Vessel not allowed to
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	enter.
	SMAI	LPUX.	·	<b>4</b>
Arabia:	. July 9-15	14	3	
Argentina: Rosario	June 1-30	1	. 3	
Asia Minor: Smyrna.	June 25-July 1		1	
Brazil: Para Sao Paulo	July 17-23 May 29-June 11	. 14		_{

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

#### Reports Received During Week Ended August 25, 1922—Continued.

#### SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
British East Africa: Kenya Colony— Dar es Salaam Nairobi	May 14-20	3		Mar. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 22; deaths
Canada: Ontario— Hamilton Ottawa	Aug. 6–12 July 30–Aug. 5	1 1		
Concepcion	June 6-20	•••••	9	·
Manchuria— Dairen Mukden	June 12-18 June 18-24	2		Present.
Dominican Republic: San Pedro de Macoris Santo Domingo	July 16-22 July 23-29	32 1	1	Including vicinity.
Egypt: CairoGreece:	Apr. 30-May 6	_	2	
SalonikiIndia.	June 19–25		1	Apr. 30-May 20, 1922: Deaths
Bombay Madras Japan:	June 4–10 July 2–8	3 57	31 31	2,515.
Yokohama Java: West Java—	June 26-July 2	3		-
Batavia Mesopotamia: Bagdad	June 24–30	10	1	Province.
Mexico: Nogales	July 30-Aug. 5	!	6	·
San Luis Potosi Panama: Colon	July 16–31	1	3	
Portugal: 1 isbon	July 2-15	10	5	
Lettonia Senegal: Dakar	May 1-31	1	ļ	
Spain: Seville	June 1-30	l -	15	
Switzerland: BerneSyria:	. July 9-15	1		
Damascus Tunis:	June 25-July 1 July 17-23	l .	1	
Turkey: Constantinople		1	1	

#### TYPHUS FEVER.

			1	
Asia_Minor:				
Smyrna	June 25-July 1	3		District.
Austria:			_	
_ Vienna	July 2-8	1	1	
Egypt:	_	_		
Alexandria	do	1		
Cairo	Apr. 30-May 6	4	3	
Germany:	T	_		
Coblenz	July 23-29	2		
Greece:	7 10 10	2		
Saloniki	June 12-18	2		
Mesopotamia:	35			
Bagdad	May 1-31	5	1	
Russia:	do	میم ا		Decement tomber 10 cons
Lettonia	αο	249		Recurrent typhus, 12 cases.
Turkey:	7 10 04		!	
Constantinople	June 18-24	4 2		
Do	July 9-15	<sup>2</sup>	1	
		j .	i	

#### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922.1

#### CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China:				
AmoyShanghai	May 14-Junc 24 June 25-July 1	1 1	4	Foreign; originally reported July 5. Aug. 2: Reported preva
Tientsin	July 25	2	2	lent. Foreign concession.
Greece: Athens Saloniki	June 29 June 7-17	1 30	1 11	At quarantine station, amon
India				passengers from vessel carryin Russian refugees.
Domhorr	Apr. 23-June 3 Apr. 23-June 24	3 536	3 378	passengers from vessel carryin Russian refugees. Feb. 26-Apr. 29, 1922: Death 17,196. (Report for week ende Feb. 25, 1922, not received.)
Calcutta Do Madras	Apr. 23–June 24 June 25–July 1 May 21–June 17 May 7–June 24	10 3 116	10 1 65	,
Rangoon	May 21-June 24	8	05	
Province— Batangas	May 26-June 3	1	1	
Bulacan	Apr. 30-May 6 Mar. 25-Apr. 1	1	1	
Laguna Mindoro Pampanga	Apr. 16-22 Apr. 23-29 Apr. 16-May 27	1 1 3	3	
Rizal Tarlac	Apr. 2-May 27 May 21-June 10	2	1 4	
Poland: Rowno	June 18		<b> </b>	Present. Among persons rep
Rumania: Crangasi				•
				Suburb of city of Buchares Outbreak. To July 15, cases, 6 deaths. First ca stated in soldier from fronti on Dniester River.
Siam: Bangkok Syria:	Apr. 30-June 17	15	9	
Aleppo	May 27-June 3 June 25-July 15			A few cases in interior. Present in interior.
	PLA	GUE.		
Asia Minor:				
Smyrna	May 28-June 17	3	1	
New South Wales— Sydney	June 1-15	2		Apr. 2-June 10, 1922: 19 plagu infected rats found.
Azores: St. Michaels Island	June 25–July 1	13	3	1
Brazil: Bahia	May 7-June 4			Delgada. Rodent: occurring in a section
Pernambuco	May 7-13	. 1		the city. Many dead rational.
Kenya Colony	Feb. 1-28	15	15	Apr.1-30, 1922: Cases, 81; death 72.
Colombo	. May 6-June 17	1	1	
Amoy Do Canton	May 7-June 24 June 25-July 1 May 1-June 30 May 7-June 10		. 87 26	May 20: From 10 to 20 deat reported daily.
Foochow			23 4	June 17-24: Present. Prevalent.
Hongkong.  1 From medical officers of the	.] June 4-24	.  176	104	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

#### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922-Continued.

#### PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Ecuador:				
Guayaquil Do	June 1-15		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Rats found infected, 16; examined, 3,400.  Rats examined, 4.460: found
	July 1-10			infected 4
Egypt City Alexandria	June 1-28	21	6	Jan. 1-June 29, 1922: Cases, 280; deaths, 120. Jan. 1-July 13, 1922: Cases, 354; deaths, 132.
Port Said	July 2-11 June 12-25	5 2	- <b>3</b>	Septicemic.
Do Suez	May 24-June 25	13 7 1	12 6	
Do Province— Assiout	July 10	14	1 8	Septicemic, 1.
DoBenisouef	July 11 May 26-June 30	1 19	1	bepateemie, i.
Do Fayoum	July 2–13	13 8	7 6 4	
Do	June 3-29 July 2-10 May 28-June 30	10 37	3 13	\$ \frac{1}{2}\$
Do Minieh	July 2 June 2–29	3 24	7	·
Greece: Patras	Apr. 24-May 14		3	
Hamakua	June 30-July 4	1	1	At Kalopa Homesteads. Case Hawaijan.
Do	July 8	<b> </b>		Hamakua Mill Co. One plague rat trapped; found positive July 14, 1922.
Kalopa	July 13	1	1	July 14, 1922. Contact with case at Kalop Homesteads, July 4.
Paauhau	June 30			Pauhau Gulch, June 29; foun- positive, June 30, 1922.
Paauilo	July 7		1	At Pokanea. Japanese.
India Bombay Colombia	Apr. 23-June 3	150 56	108 54	Apr. 23-June 17, 1922: Cases 6,075; deaths, 4,642.
Bombay Calcutta Do. Karachi	Apr. 23-June 3 Apr. 23-June 24 June 25-July 1 May 23-June 24 June 25-July 1	3 3 59	3 55	
Do	May 21-June 24		36	
DoRangoon	June 25-July 1 May 6-June 24	21	8 161	•
Indo-China: Saigon	Apr. 23-June 21	. 30	21	
Japan: Osaka	July 13	. 9	8	Reported as having occurre
Java East Java—				. Month of April, 1922: Report
Soerabaya Soerakarta—	May 7-13	. 2	2	31, 1922; Cases, 293; deaths, 31
Keporen Madagascar:	. May 20	.	ļ	occurring in six Provinces.  Epidemic.
Tananarive Province— Anketrina	May 4	<u> </u>	. 1	Native village; disease stated have been present since abo Apr. 27, 1922. Name of localit
Mesopotamia:				corrected.
Bagdad	1 -	1	8 40	
Vera Cruz Palestine: Jerusalem		1	1	One plague-infected rat.
Peru	. July 1-10	ļ	·	May 1-15, 1922: Cases, 36; death 19. June 1-30, 1922: Cases, 8
Philippine Islands: Manila	June 3			deaths, 15.  From S. S. Taisang from Amo

#### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922—Continued.

#### PLAGUE-Continued.

Place.	. Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Siam:	Apr. 30-June 3	4	3	
Bangkok Straits Settlements:	Apr. 30-June 24	8	9	
Singapore Funis:	June 30-July 9	. 3	٦	
TunisUnion of South Africa: Orange Free State—	-			
Grootkom Farm	May 7-13	•••••		One dead plague-infected roden found. Locality adjoins Trr cart's Berg Farm, on whic plague-infected mouse was found preceding week. Plague-infected wild rodens
Rendezvous Ry. Sta- tion. On vessels:	May 14-20	•		Plague-infected wild rodent found near.
S. S. Ardeola	June 25-July 8			At Liverpool. Four plague-in fected rats found dead. Vess from Las Palmas Capara L
S. S. Southgate	May 30	1		fected rats found dead. Vesse from Las Palmas, Canary II lands, June 26, 1922. At Thursday Island quarantin Australia. Vessel left Calcutt May 2; Rangoon, May 9. Ve sel badly rat infested. At Manila, P. I., from Amo China. Patient landed at M nila June 1, 1922. The Talson
S. S. Taisang	June 1-3	1	1	sel badly rat infested. At Manila, P. I., from Amor China. Patient landed at Minils June 1, 1922. The Taisan was 2½ days en route direction Amoy.
	SMAL	LPOX.		
Arabia:	N. 5.7. 01			
Aden	May 7-June 24 July 2-8	69 12	21 5	
Asia Minor: Smyrna	May 14-June 24	4		In district.
Bolivia: La PazBrazil:	Mar. 1-Apr. 30	97	16	
Para	May 29-June 25 July 3-16	8 28	i	
Rio de Janeiro Do	May 14-June 24	48 22	127	
Sao Paulo	Apr. 10-May 7	2	2	
Kenya Colony	Apr. 16-June 10 May 1-June 10	23 36	6	Apr. 1-30, 1922: Cases, 6.
Canada: Alberta—				
Calgary	June 18-24	1		
" Winnipeg New Brunswick—	. May 6-June 17 June 25-July 1	1		
Kent County Madawaska County Ontario—	June 4-17	6		
Hamilton North Bay	July 30-Aug. 5 June 3-17	. 1 2		
Do Ottawa	.  July 16-29   June 11-July 1	. 2	ļ	
Do	. July 2-29	.] 10		
Toronto Ceylon:	June 18-July 29	ł		
Colombo		. 1	. ::::::::	Prevalent, July 3, 1922, throus
Concepcion Do	. Mar. 14-June 5 June 27-July 3		62	Prevalent, July 3, 1922, throug out southern Provinces.
Quillon			·	In Concepcion Province; edemic in May, 1922, with reported cases. To June

#### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922-Continued.

#### SMALLPOX--Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Chile—Continued.	15 10 00			
San Patricio Talcahuano Temuco	May 16-22 May 22-June 24	13 33	19	May 16-22, 1922: Present: Province of Cautin; epidemic,
Valparaiso	Mar. 26-Apr. 22		52	May, 1922. Incomplete; several districts not
China:	_			reporting.
Amoy	May 7-20 May 29-June 18	4		Present June 18-24: 1 death.
DoChungking	May 29-June 18 July 3-9 May 28-June 24 June 25-July 1	1		Present.
Foochow	May 14-20 June 25-July 1	1		Do.
Hongkong Manchuria—	May 14-June 24	41	32	
Dairen Harbin	May 15-June 4 May 22-28 May 7-June 24	2 1	1	
Nanking Do Shanghai	May 7-June 24 June 25-July 1 May 22-28			Do. Do.
Tientsin	May 14-20	1	3	Native. Present.
Tsingtau	May 9-June 18	1	•	
ChemulpoFusanSeoul.	May 1-31dododo	118 15	53 2	•
Cuba: Antilla	June 18-24	1		Reported for Preston.
Cienfuegos	June 24-July 1 June 1-30	1 3		
Dominican Republic: San Pedro de Macoris	May 21-June 24	167	2	
Do	June 25-July 15	104		port. City and district.
Santo Domingo	June 4-24 June 25-July 22	3	9	Including vicinity. Do.
Egypt: Port Said Finland	June 11–17 June 1–15	1		
Fiume	June 13–19 July 10–16	. 1		
France: Paris	June 1-10	. 1	1	
Great Britain: Sheffield Southampton	. May 28-June 17	. 5		
Halifax	June 18-24	2		Outbreak reported under date of
Huddersfield	-	-		June 17, 1922. Do.
Saloniki Svra Island	May 1-21			-
Haiti: Cape Haitien. Plaine du Nord.		1		
	do			Vicinity of Cape Haitien. Present.
IndiaBombayCalcutta	. Apr. 23-June 3	. 26		
Do Karachi.	June 25-July 1	84	. 4	3,500.
MadrasRangoon	May 23-June 24 May 14-June 24 May 7-June 24	207 207	94	June 19-25: Cases, 30; deaths, 15.
Japan: Kobe Taiwan Island	June 19-25	١,	,	
Yokohama	June 11-30 May 29-June 25	. 26	1 2	
Java:	July 1–10	. 18	3   3	
West Java— Batavia Luxemburg	Apr. 28-June 23 June 15-30	19		City and Province.
Malta	May 1-June 15		4	<b></b> I

### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922—Continued.

#### SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Mesopotamia: Bagdad	Apr. 1-30	3	1	
Mexico:	-		1	
ChihuahuaGuadalajara	June 22-July 2 May 1-31	7		The Market Control of the Control of
Manzanillo Do	June 6-25 June 27-July 3	6	1	Estimated cases, 4 to 10. Estimated.
Mexico City	May 21-June 24	129		Including municipalities in Feeral District. Report, June 1, 17, not received.
NogalesSan Luis Potosi	July 22–29 July 23–29	26	2 3	State of Sonora.
Peru				May 1-15, 1922: Cases, 5; death 4. June 1-33, 1922: Cases, 1 deaths 7
Poland		•••••		deaths, 7. Mar. 26-June 3, 1922: Cases, 1,02 deaths, 218.
Portugal: Lisbon Do	May 29–June 25 June 26–July 8	6 11	8 10	Corrected report.
Russia: Esthonia	May 1-31		10	
Spain: Barcelona	-	i .	1	
Do	June 22-28 June 29-July 5		1	
Corunna	June 11-17 Apr. 1-30		1 2	
Seville	June 11-17	36		Week ended June 11: Many case
ValenciaStraits Settlements:	June 19-July 15 May 21-27	i	72	
Singapore Switzerland:	Apr. 33-June 5	1	2	
Basel Berne.	May 28-June 3 May 14-20	1		
zurich	Apr. 23-June 24	9		
Do Syria:	June 25-July 1	2		
Aleppo Damascus	June 4–24 June 18–24		······2	Present.
Turkey: Constantinople		21	6	
Do Union of South Africa	June 25-July 8	5	i	
				Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 11 deaths, 10 (colored); whit cases, 33.
Cape Province				Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 3 deaths, 1 (colored); white cases.
Do Natal	May 7-June 17			Outbreaks.
				Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 2 deaths, 8 (colored); white, cases.
Orange Free State		·····	·····	May 1-31, 1922: Cases, 12; death 1 (colored). Outbreaks.
Southern Rhodesia	May 7-27	67	4	Outbreaks.
Transvaal		ļ		Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases,
DoVirgin Islands:	May 7-June 17			white, 10 cases. Outbreaks.
St. Thomas	June 5-18	1	1	At quarantine. From vessel from
Yugoslavia			ļ	Dominican Republic. Sept. 4-24, 1921: Cases, 11; death
Serbia.				Oct. 23-29, 1921; Cases, 5.
Serbia.  Belgrade. Zagreb.	June 11–17 June 4–10	1 1		
On vessels:	•	1		
S. S. Changsha	мау і і	1		At Hongkong, China. Callanded from vessel; patient intending passenger. Ves
S. S. Comeric	do	1	ļ	intending passenger. Ves proceeded to Australian por At sea, en route to Durba S. A., from Sydney, Australi (Public Health Reports, Ju

#### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922—Continued.

#### SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
On vessels—Continued. Schr. Fancy Me	May 28			At St. Thomas, Virgin Islands, From San Pedro de Macoris, Dominican Republic. One case removed to quarantine June 5,
S. S. Shelley	Apr. 19	1		died June 18.  At sea, en route from Hongkong.  Vessel left Hongkong Apr. 17.  Arrived Thursday Island quarantine, Australia, Apr. 28, 1922.  Case, member of crew; type,
S. S. St. Albans	May 18	1	•	confluent hemorrhagie.  At Thursday Island querantine, Australia. Case in person of Chinese steerage passenger. Vessellelt Shimonoseki, Japan, for Melbourne via Hongkong and Manila. Left Thursday Island for Australian ports.
	TYPHUS	FEVE	R.	
Algeria:				
Algiers	May 1-31 June 1-30	16 3	1	
Do Asia Minor:	July 1-20		2	
Smyrna	May 14-June 24	8		City and district. Corrected re
Austria:	Man 7 Jan 10	١.	1	port.
Vienna Bolivia:	May 7-June 10	1		
La PazBulgaria:	Mar. 1-Apr. 30	15	8	
Sofia	May 28-June 17	4		•
Chile: Concepcion	Apr. 11-May 29	l	10	
DoValparaiso	Apr. 11-May 29 Juno 27-July 3		1 6	
China:	Apr. 2-22	ì		
AntungFoochow	May 15-21 May 14-20	1		1
Manchuria—	1	1		ł
Harbin Do	May 8-June 11 June 26-July 2	4 3		
Czechoslovakia:	1	1		i
Prague	June 11-17 June 4-10			1
Egypt:	1			
Alexandria	June 4-24 June 25-July 15	9	6 2	
Cairo Port Said	Mar. 19-Apr. 29	42	28	Relapsing fever, Mar. 26-Apr. 8
Do	June 25–July 15. Mar. 19–Apr. 29. May 28–June 3. July 2–8.	i		
GermanyBerlin	.		1	May 1-6, 1922: Five cases typhu fever at quarantine station of
Coblenz	July 2-22	.  2	1	Osternothafen, in persons re
KönigsbergGreece:	May 28-June 3	. 1		turning from Russia.
Saloniki	May 1-28	. 23	1	
Mesopotamia: Bagdad	Apr. 1-30	. 1		
Mexico:				Including municipalities in The
Mexico City	Apr. 23-June 24	. 111		Including municipalities in Federal District.
Palestine:	!	1	1	
Jerusalem	. June 27-July 3	. 1	1	_ [

#### Reports Received from July 1 to August 18, 1922—Continued.

#### TYPHUS FEVER - Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Poland				Mar. 28-Apr. 22, 1922; Cases, 7,155. Apr. 23-June 3, 1922; Cases, 7,178; deaths, 499. Recurrent typhus—Mar. 28-Apr. 22, 1922; Cases, 4,515; deaths, 155. Apr. 23-May 6, 1922; Cases, 1,598; deaths, 34. (Correspondent of the control o
Warsaw	Apr. 23-June 24	156		rected report.) May 7-June 3, 1922: Cases, 2, 817; deaths, 72, Among transient and permanent residents.
Oporto	May 4-June 24	9	4	Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 62.
Bucharest	May 1-31	14		
Chisinau	do	5 21	•••••	
Cluj	Apr. 1–30 May 1–31	18		
Constanza	do	i		
Galata	do	1		
Sulina	do	2		
Provinces—	T 1 01			
Bucovina	Jan. 1-31	35	13	
Chisinau Transylvania	Apr. 1-30	14		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 7.
Russia:	Jan. 1-31	16	3	
EsthoniaLettonia	Apr. 1-May 31	31 275		Recurrent typhus: Cases, 12.
Spain:	35 1 7 00			, -
Madrid	May 1-June 30		16	
Seville Tunis:	May 21-June 3		1	
Tunis	June 4-10			
Turkey:	Julie 2-10	2		
Constantinople	May 21-June 17	12		
Union of South Africa		1 12		Apr 1-May 31 1000: Come 734
				Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 736 deaths, 134 (colored); white,
Cape Province	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			cases. Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 638 deaths, 125 (colored); white,
		1	1	cases.
Do	May 7-June 17		l	Outbreaks.
Natal				Apr. 1-May 31, 1922; Cases, 26
D -		}		deaths, 4 (colored).
Do Orange Free State	May 7-June 17			Outbreaks.  Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 49 deaths, 2 (colored); white, 1
_ Do	Mov 20 Tune 17	l	l	case.
Transvaal	May 28-June 17	l		Outbreaks.
			j	Apr. 1-May 31, 1922: Cases, 23
Do	May 28-June 3		l	deaths, 2 (colored). Outbreaks.
Y ugoslavia				Aug. 7-13, 1921; 2 new cases
Dosma-Herzegovina	Aug. 7-13	1		(1921.)
Croatia-Slavonia Serbia—	Sept. 4-10	1		`Da´
Relocade	Word Trees		I	l
BelgradeVoivodina	May 6-June 3	2		1
From vessel:	Aug. 7-13	1		(1921.)
S. S. Smolensk	June 14	1	1	From Doneia Mar 20 1000 A
		•	•	From Danzig, May 30, 1922. A embarkation detention camp Southampton, England. Pub- lic Health Reports, June 30, 1922, p. 1610.
<u></u>	YELLOV	v feve	R.	I
	1	<del></del>	<del>,</del>	
Mexico: Tampico	July 27-29	1	1	From Panuco. Ratient brough to Tampico on eighth day o