

# PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 37

MARCH-31, 1922

No. 13

## THE LOADING OF FILTER PLANTS.<sup>1</sup>

By H. W. STREETZ, Associate Sanitary Engineer, United States Public Health Service.

The rapid growth of the inland cities of the United States, together with the extension of their sewerage systems, has brought with it a serious public-health problem in the marked increase that has taken place in the pollution of streams used jointly as carriers of sewage and as sources of public water supplies. So rapidly has this condition developed along a number of the more important waterways that concern has been aroused among sanitary engineers as to how much further it can be safely allowed to continue unchecked without threatening to break down the safeguards which modern water purification has erected between the sewage-polluted source of water supply and the domestic consumer.

A very good illustration of the rapidity with which the increasing pollution of our larger river systems has caused a progressive deterioration in the raw water supplies of certain important municipal filtration works is afforded by yearly average bacterial figures<sup>2</sup> for the raw water taken from the Ohio River at the Cincinnati filtration plant, extending over a period of 12 years, from 1908 to 1919, inclusive. Averaging these figures by three-year periods the results are as follows:

Years.	Bacteria per c. c. (gelatin, 20° C.).	B. coli per c. c.
1908-1910.....	8,400	.....
1911-1913.....	13,670	13.9
1914-1916.....	17,030	23.2
1917-1919.....	23,040	23.6

There are no cities of any considerable size located on the Ohio River or any of its tributaries within a distance of over 100 miles upstream from the Cincinnati water intake, so that the increase in degree of pollution of the river at this intake, as shown by the above

<sup>1</sup> An abstract of this paper was read at the annual meeting of the American Water Works Association, Cleveland, Ohio, June, 1921.

<sup>2</sup> Kindly furnished by Mr. Clarence Bahlman, chief bacteriologist at the Cincinnati filtration plant.

figures, can not be attributed to any influences local in their character, but is due solely to the effects of widespread increasing pollution of the upper Ohio River system. This example, while perhaps more striking than some others, is fairly representative of the changes that are occurring in a large number of important streams used as sources of water supplies, particularly in the more thickly settled portions of the Middle West.

A rational view of this problem in the light of modern resources for dealing with it recognizes first of all that public interest and economy demand the continued use of streams jointly for purposes of sewage disposal and water supplies. While the latter use must always take precedence over the former, it has become axiomatic that all water supplies taken from surface sources must be purified before delivery to the consumer. From a practical standpoint, therefore, the problem has become one of so regulating the pollution of streams that water-purification plants taking their raw-water supplies from them may be insured against becoming overloaded. The key to its most effective and economical solution lies, first, in determining what, in measurable terms of stream pollution, constitutes the maximum burden of pollution which can safely be imposed upon such plants and, second, in so utilizing the natural dilution and self-purification capacities of polluted streams that any threatened overburdening of these plants may be relieved at a minimum expense. While the present paper deals largely with the first of these two questions, they are so intimately related to each other that any discussion of one can hardly exclude some consideration of the other.

Until very recently, the belief was current that water-purification plants of modern type, particularly with the introduction of chlorine disinfection, were capable of purifying satisfactorily a water of almost any degree of pollution, ordinarily at a cost within reasonable limits. More extensive experience in operating such plants under various conditions, however, has demonstrated that there are more or less definite limits to the efficiency of water-purification processes, this being especially true when the various economic factors entering into the problem are taken into account. Such experience has, in fact, shown more and more conclusively that these processes, under the economic and other limitations surrounding their operation, can not with reasonable economy be made impervious to the passage of bacteria, nor can they ordinarily be so operated under widely varying conditions of loading as to produce effluents even closely approaching absolute constancy of bacterial content. Thus a purification plant may be likened to a series of barrier screens interposed in the path of polluting matter. The fineness of these "screens" may be increased by careful design of the plant and particularly by its efficient operation, but it can not economically be made infinitely great, to the

extent that the plant becomes an impassable barrier to polluting matter. This being true, a more or less definite relation should exist between the degree of pollution of a given raw water at various times and the bacterial character of effluent produced from it by a purification plant; and from this relation it should be possible to determine, at least empirically, the limits of safe bacterial loading for a given plant or type of plant consistent with its production of an effluent of specified bacterial quality.

The first noteworthy action to this end was that of the International Joint Commission in adopting a bacterial standard of loading for filtration plants purifying Great Lakes waters as its guiding principle in regulating the pollution of the international boundary waters between Canada and the United States. This standard, in substance, provided that the average load upon any one of these plants should be such that the raw water delivered to it should not contain, as a yearly average, more than 500 *B. coli* per 100 cubic centimeters, expressed in terms of the so-called *B. coli* index. In deriving this standard, it was assumed that effluents from purification plants treating Great Lakes waters should satisfy the United States Treasury Department requirements for interstate water supplies with respect to *B. coli* content, which provide that water furnished for drinking purposes by interstate carriers shall not contain more than two *B. coli* per 100 cubic centimeters, as determined by the *B. coli* index. While the International Joint Commission standard was admittedly a tentative one, derived from broad experience rather than experimental data, it was based upon extremely competent expert opinion and, as will be noted later, its general reasonableness was confirmed rather closely by subsequent experiment.

About a year after the formulation of this standard, the United States Public Health Service, in connection with an extensive study of stream pollution in the Ohio River, made a study, extending over about a year, of the operation of two modern and efficiently managed filtration plants taking their raw water supplies from this stream. The main object of this study was to determine by careful observation, under actual operating conditions from day to day, the maximum loading in bacterial terms which can safely be imposed upon filtration plants purifying Ohio River water. It was believed that this loading, if found to be measurable, should furnish the best criterion available for fixing permissible limits of pollution for this river, after allowing for such factors as dilution and self-purification, which were made an object of extensive separate study. Without entering into details of the filtration plant study, it is proposed to refer briefly here to certain observations made and conclusions reached which have an important bearing on the present discussion.

Perhaps the most interesting, and certainly the most important,

observation made in connection with this study was the close correlation found to exist between the bacterial content of the influent and that of the effluent of both the purification process as a whole and its various separate steps. At one of the two plants, where the water is not chlorinated until after filtration, the correlation between raw water and filter effluent prior to chlorination was found to be particularly high, though in general it was also high enough as between raw water and final disinfected effluent to satisfy by an ample margin the usual statistical tests for correlation, such as the Pearson coefficient.

By grouping the data according to weekly average numbers of bacteria observed in the raw water, and averaging coincident numbers in raw water and final effluent falling into each group, the correlation was shown as in Table I, the method here employed being the common "method of grouping" used by statisticians in studying the nature of relations existing between two variables. It will be noted in connection with Table I that an increase in bacterial content of the raw water is accompanied by an increase in the final effluent content, though the latter is not in direct proportion, as is indicated by the coincident decrease in the percentage of bacterial numbers remaining in the final effluent.

TABLE I.—Relation between average numbers of bacteria in raw water and in final effluent, with varying amounts of the former.

[Effect of chlorination included.]

	Raw water count (range).	Average count.		Per cent in final effluent.
		Raw water.	Final effluent.	
Gelatin counts (20° C.) (bacteria per cc.) . . .	0 to 2,500 . . . . .	1,420	30	2.11
	2,501 to 5,000 . . . . .	3,680	36	.98
	5,001 to 10,000 . . . . .	7,330	52	.71
	Over 10,000 . . . . .	26,400	65	.25
Agar counts (37° C.) (bacteria per cc.) . . . . .	0 to 1,000 . . . . .	574	7	1.22
	1,001 to 2,000 . . . . .	1,460	13	.89
	2,001 to 4,000 . . . . .	2,780	20	.72
	Over 4,000 . . . . .	6,800	30	.44
B. coli count (37° C.) (B. coli per 100 cc.) . . . . .	0 to 1,000 . . . . .	898	2.3	.256
	1,001 to 5,000 . . . . .	3,220	3.1	.096
	5,001 to 20,000 . . . . .	8,270	4.5	.054
	Over 20,000 . . . . .	30,900	6.0	.019


In Figure 1 is shown a plot of the percentage figures in terms of the gelatin 20° count. It is noted that these tend to approach a minimum value as the raw-water count increases, indicating that the efficiency of purification tends with increased loading to approach a more or less well-defined maximum. The curve shown in Figure 1 is typical of similar curves defined by the 37° and *B. coli* counts in Table I.

By plotting the bacterial figures for raw water and effluent as given in Table I on logarithmic ordinate and abscissa scales, the

correlated values are found to plot along paths closely following straight lines, indicating that the relation between the two variables is that of a power function having the simple formula:

$$E = c R^n$$

in which ( $E$ ) represents the bacterial content of the effluent, ( $R$ ) that of the influent, and ( $c$ ) and ( $n$ ) constants defining, roughly, the average efficiency of purification and the relative constancy of effluent under different loadings, respectively. In general, the higher the value of ( $c$ ) the lower will be the average efficiency of



purification, whereas the higher the value of ( $n$ ) the less uniform is the character of effluent obtained under different loadings. The above relation is very similar to that which was found by Wolman<sup>2</sup> to govern the bacterial efficiency of a number of filtration plants in Maryland under different loadings, though his observation that the value of ( $c$ ) approaches unity with sufficient closeness to be safely disregarded was not confirmed in the case of the two Ohio River plants, as is indicated in Table II, giving values of the constants ( $c$ ) and ( $n$ ) derived from the plots in Figure 2.


<sup>2</sup>Jour. Am. W. W. Assoc., September, 1918.

TABLE I.—Values of constants (c) and (n) in formula,  $E=c R^n$ , defining bacterial efficiency of entire purification process, including chlorination.

[Derived from plots in Fig. 2.]

	(c)	(n)
Gelatin count.....	4.41	0.27
Agar count.....	.23	.55
B. coli.....	.29	.30

When the data obtained from the Ohio River study were analyzed for each step of the purification process in a similar manner to that noted above, it was found that the relation between influent and effluent with respect to bacterial content was in each case governed



by the same power function formula that has been described. In Table III, values of (c) and (n), based upon the *B. coli* relations, are given for each of the four steps of the purification process, the plots from which they were derived being omitted for brevity.

TABLE III.—Values of constants (c) and (n) in Formula,  $E=c R^n$ , for various steps of purification process.

[Based on *B. coli* data in Table I.]

	(c)	(n)
Plain sedimentation.....	7.10	0.66
Coagulation.....	.31	.65
Filtration.....	1.11	.37
Chlorination.....	1.32	.44

It will be noted that the loading constants above described have been derived wholly from bacterial correlations, taking no account of the effect of suspended matter, which is a powerful factor in the efficiency of all water-purification processes. In the absence of further evidence, the point might well be taken that what has appeared as a function of bacterial numbers independently is, in reality, one of suspended matter, the bacterial correlations holding good in a given case because in the purification of natural waters quantitative changes in these two kinds of constituents follow each other more or less closely. If this were true, loading curves based upon bacterial correlations alone might not necessarily apply to even the same raw water if its degree of bacterial pollution as related to its suspended matter content were to change materially. If, on the other hand, the bacterial correlation were found to hold independently of the turbidity correlation, the fact that the latter also exists would not vitiate the applicability of the former.

TABLE IV.—*Relative effects of variations in raw-water turbidity and bacterial content upon percentages of raw-water bacteria remaining in coagulated water.*

Bacteria per c. c., raw water.	Percentages of raw-water bacteria remaining in coagulated water with raw-water turbidities of—			
	50-100 ppm.	100-250 ppm.	250-500 ppm.	500-1,000 ppm.
A. 1,000-2,500 .....	14.4	8.3	.....	.....
B. 2,501-5,000 .....	8.4	8.9	7.2	.....
C. 5,001-10,000 .....	.....	.....	3.3	3.8

NOTE.—Bacterial figures grouped primarily according to raw-water turbidity; then results in each turbidity group regrouped according to raw-water bacterial content (Groups A, B, and C).

In order to test this matter, observations similar to those previously cited were first divided into groups according to raw-water turbidity, each group having a rather narrow turbidity range, but presenting a wide variation in bacterial numbers. The data for each one of these groups were then subdivided into a series of secondary groups according to raw-water bacterial content. An example of the results obtained is given in Table IV, based on a correlation of raw and coagulated waters. It is noted in this table that, excepting in Group A, little variation in the percentages of residual bacteria occurs with increasing raw-water turbidity, whereas a well-marked decrease in these percentages takes place with increasing raw-water bacterial content, indicating that, in general, the correlation between influent and effluent with respect to bacterial content is little affected by variations in turbidity when these are unaccompanied by similar variations in bacterial numbers. From the above and other results obtained in similar analyses of the data, it was apparent that the influent-effluent correlation holds more or less independently with respect to bacterial content as far as the

influence of visible turbidity is concerned; although if it were possible to measure turbidity so finely divided and small in amounts as to be beyond the limits of visibility of present turbidimetric apparatus, it might be found that the numbers of bacteria in apparently clear filter effluents were closely related to their ultraviolet suspended-matter content. However this may be, the evidence of the above observations points to the fundamental nature of the bacterial correlation between influent and effluent such as has been described.

It is next proposed to show how this correlation may be utilized in a practical way as a basis of predicting the probable loading conditions under which water-purification plants to which a given set of loading constants are applicable are likely to become overburdened, as far as their producing effluents of specified bacterial quality is concerned.

If it be assumed that the values of (c) and (n) given in Table II define a set of standard loading curves for purification plants taking their raw-water supplies from the Ohio River, for example, the maximum loading values for these plants consistent with their production of effluents having any given bacterial content are readily ascertainable from the general formula that has been given. In Table V a series of such values is given. By referring to the *B. coli* figures in this table, it is noted that in order consistently to furnish effluents conforming to the Treasury Department standard, purification plants taking their raw-water supplies from the Ohio River should have delivered to them water containing not more than an average of 650 *B. coli* per 100 cubic centimeters, which corresponds quite closely with the International Joint Commission loading standard, previously cited, and thus affords an experimental confirmation of its general reasonableness. The close correspondence of these two criteria when applied on a common basis is both interesting and significant, in view of their different methods of derivation and the wide differences existing between the two classes of waters for which the criteria were derived.

TABLE V.—Maximum bacterial loadings consistent with production of effluents containing not more than specified numbers of bacteria, as defined by values of (c) and (n) in Table II.

Gelatin, 20° C. (bacteria per c.c.).		Agar, 37° C. (bacteria per c.c.).		B. coli (per 100 c.c.).	
Final effluent.	Raw water.	Final effluent.	Raw water.	Final effluent.	Raw water.
30	1,200	10	30	2	650
40	3,400	20	3,300	3	2,500
50	7,600	30	6,800	4	6,600
70	26,000	50	17,000	5	14,000
100	96,000	70	31,000	7	42,000
		100	58,000	10	140,000

NOTE.—Raw-water figures in round numbers.



A further example of the application of the constants given in Table II is afforded by a rough test that was made of their general applicability as an index of the bacterial efficiency of a group of 13 well-known water-purification plants of the rapid sand, gravity filter type, all located in the Mississippi Valley, where conditions are at least approximately comparable with those along the Ohio River. The test was made by use of published data for these plants given in a tabulation of figures for 25 plants of various types by Hinman.<sup>3</sup> One rapid sand plant listed by Hinman and located in the Mississippi Basin (at Columbus, Ohio) was excluded from the test because of its being a combined softening and purification plant. Another (at Appleton, Wis.) was also excluded because its raw-water supply was not regarded as being sufficiently typical of Mississippi Basin waters to be entirely comparable with them. For two of the plants included, use was made of somewhat more complete data relative to 37° and *B. coli* results than were given in Hinman's table. Otherwise, the figures given in his table were used entirely.

TABLE VI.—Comparison of actual average numbers of bacteria in effluents of 13 rapid sand filter plants in Mississippi Basin, with numbers calculated from actual raw-water counts by formula,  $E=cR^n$ , using values of (*c*) and (*n*) as given in Table II.

Plant.	Gelatin, 20° C. (bacteria per c. c.).			Agar, 37° C. (bacteria per c. c.).			B. coli (B. coli per 100 c. c.).		
	Raw water.	Final effluent.		Raw water.	Final effluent.		Raw water.	Final effluent.	
		Observed.	Calculated.		Observed.	Calculated.		Observed.	Calculated.
Decatur, Ill. ....	7,200	49	49	2,080	11	16	7,000	7.0	8.4
Quincy, Ill. ....	1,250	50	30	5,000	45	44	7,400	4.8	4.1
Evansville, Ind. ....	25,000	50	70	3,190	23	20	1,500	2	2.8
Louisville, Ky. <sup>a</sup> ..	2,250	24	35	775	7	8	3,100	1.7	3.2
Minneapolis, Minn. ....	81,000	170	95	12,000	16	41	35	.5	.8
St. Louis, Mo. ....	20,000	60	65	12,000	50	41	130	.9	.6
Omaha, Nebr. ....	2,900	38	38	200	14	4	100	3.3	1.1
New Orleans, La. ....	1,830	34	34	246	11	5	2,140	4.6	2.8
Akron, Ohio. ....	5,000	80	44	1,500	40	13	2,340	1.1	2.9
Alliance, Ohio. ....	13,900	48	58	1,920	19	15			
Cincinnati, Ohio <sup>b</sup> ..	22,000	34	67						
Toledo, Ohio. ....	3,000	40	39	1,400	7	12			
McKeesport, Pa. ....									
Average. ....	15,440	56	52	3,660	22	19	10,540	2.7	3.0

<sup>a</sup> Agar and *B. coli* figures, average of daily results for one year.

<sup>b</sup> Agar figure, average of daily results for one year; *B. coli* figures, average for 6 years (1912-1917).

The test was made by calculating from Hinman's raw-water figures what the effluent count would be in each case if the efficiency of purification were assumed to be as defined by the values of (*c*) and (*n*) previously cited. The calculated values were then compared with the actual effluent figures as given in Hinman's table, with results as shown in Table VI. With a few exceptions, these results indicate a rather surprisingly close agreement between actual

<sup>3</sup> Hinman, J. J., Jr., Jour. Am. W. W. Assoc., June, 1918, Table 2.

and calculated values, considering the variable factors of geographical location, raw-water conditions, and plant operation, which might be expected to produce rather wide deviations in individual cases. To these factors of variation might also be added the factor of slight differences in laboratory methods, which experience has shown may produce wide deviations in bacterial results. The extremely close agreement between the average values shown at the bottom of the table gives the results of the comparison greater significance when all of the factors causing individual divergences in them are taken into account. Although it is hardly probable that loading curves such as have been described could, in their present state of development, be, in fairness, applied as standards of efficiency for individual plants, the evidence cited above would most certainly indicate that they could be safely applied as criteria of safe loading with respect to a group of plants in a given drainage area as a guide for stream-pollution regulation. Such evidence would suggest, moreover, that when further study of the question has advanced sufficiently to justify the more general adoption of loading standards for water-purification plants, they may be found to be more uniform in character and wider in their field of application than might at present be supposed, in view of the known complexity of factors, frequently summed up as "local conditions," which affect the efficiency of different plants.

The formulation of any fixed standards of this kind, however, must finally be governed by whatever standard or set of standards may be adopted relative to the quality of purified water supplies intended for domestic consumption. This is evident from the figures given in Table V, which show that between comparatively narrow limits of variation in the required bacterial quality of filter-plant effluents, the permissible loading factor varies widely. The adoption of any definite policy relative to the limitation of stream pollution, as far as it concerns the protection of water-purification plants, must likewise be governed by a similar standard.

While a discussion of water-supply standards is hardly within the scope of the present paper, it is pertinent to emphasize that any standard which may be adopted relative to the quality of purified water supplies as a criterion for stream-pollution control must, in order to be applicable in a practical way, be expressed in terms similar to those by which both stream-pollution and filter-plant efficiency can be directly measured. In other words, the three variables, filter-plant loading, filter-plant efficiency, and quality of effluent, must be expressed in common terms in order to be mutually convertible. While so-called engineering criteria, such as the sanitary survey, may ultimately become sufficiently developed and correlated to permit the formulation of standards in these matters

expressed in more fundamental terms, virtually the only criterion available at the present time which fulfills the above conditions is the bacteriological determination. With all of their admitted faults, bacterial criteria have the very practical advantage of being in common use; and efforts to improve them will, for the present at least, probably be far more fruitful of practical results than attempts to develop standards of a more fundamental character.

In addition to a definition of standards for filter-plant effluents, further knowledge of the problem discussed in this paper is needed along the following lines:

1. As to the influence of seasonal and climatic factors, type of raw water, relative age of its pollution, and operation conditions upon the efficiency of water-purification plants and upon their limits of safe loading.
2. As to the rôle of chlorination in relation to filtration processes in determining their limiting safe loading.
3. As to the economic limits of water purification as related to stream-cleaning measures.

It was noted in the study of Ohio River plants that seasonal factors, particularly temperature, have an important relation to the efficiency of filtration processes employing coagulation. It has also been commonly observed that seasonal changes in the character of suspended matter carried by many natural streams materially affect the efficiency of purification plants at certain times. As to the possible influences exerted by variations in the type of raw water and relative age of its pollution upon the character of effluents obtainable under given conditions of loading, as measured in bacterial terms, virtually no data are at present available. Knowledge of these matters will be of particular importance in determining what weight should be given to variations in raw-water composition, as related to its average character, in fixing standards of loading for plants in a given locality.

There is, of course, no question of the great importance of chlorination as an aid to water purification, nor can there be any doubt that its general introduction has actually relieved from threatened or existing overburden many plants forced to treat highly polluted waters. A question remains, however, as to whether, in fixing permissible limits for the pollution of raw-water supplies, chlorination should be considered as an integral part of the purification plants drawing upon these supplies or should be held in reserve as a factor of safety. A fairly general agreement on this question is essential to the adoption of loading standards having wide acceptance.

Finally, there remains the question as to what are the economic limits of water purification as related to those measures of stream cleaning which involve extensive sewage treatment programs. Is it,

for example, economically justifiable to seriously consider the development of water-purification plants beyond their present degree of elaboration, in order to increase their limits of safe loading and thereby minimize correspondingly the expenditure of funds for systematic stream cleaning? There are theoretically a number of possible ways of accomplishing this, among which might be noted the construction of large auxiliary storage reservoirs and the use of secondary treatment processes. But these measures would involve greatly added costs of water purification, against which are to be balanced the growing possibilities for securing at a nominal expense a sufficient degree of relief for many overburdened streams through partial treatment of the sewage and other harmful wastes discharged into them. On the latter side of the balance sheet are to be added the benefits to be realized from stream cleaning, in addition to the relief of overburdened water-purification plants. In some cases these may prove to be determining factors in the equation.

In general, however, the most economical solution of problems of this kind must finally depend upon local conditions governing the use of a particular stream for water-supply and sewage-disposal purposes, such as, for example, the distribution of waste-contributing population on its drainage area and its natural dilution and self-purification capacities. A recapitulation of the present cost factors entering into water-purification and sewage treatment, together with data regarding the laws and principles underlying stream pollution and purification phenomena, such as are being gathered by the United States Public Health Service in connection with its stream-pollution studies, will aid very materially in affording a definite basis for the solution of problems of this kind.

In conclusion, it may be reiterated that the excessive loading of water-purification plants in the more populous sections of the country is rapidly assuming the proportions of a widespread and serious problem, in spite of the remarkable progress that has been made in lowering the typhoid-fever rate in a large number of our cities. The discovery and general use of chlorine disinfection as an aid to filtration processes has, in many cases, turned the scale from imminent danger to temporary safety; but it can not be too strongly emphasized that in view of the present trend in the increasing pollution of inland streams the safety thus gained is but temporary. Unless, as appears most unlikely, advances of a revolutionary character should occur in the art of water purification, systematic measures for relieving overpollution of streams used as sources of public water supplies will be necessary in a number of large river systems within a comparatively short time. If these measures are to be scientifically applied, with due regard for the enormous economic interests involved, the fullest possible use must be made of both the natural

purification forces at work in polluted streams and such artificial methods as modern water purification provides. The work of the Public Health Service, which has been referred to rather extensively in this paper, has been consistently aimed toward an evaluation of these measures in fundamental terms. Further studies of loading factors for water-purification processes, however, are needed, somewhat broader in scope and more intensive in their experimental features than those which have thus far been made of the question. The present paper has been written with the hope that it will stimulate discussion and coordinated effort toward this end.

---

### SMALLPOX CONTROL IN RURAL COMMUNITIES.

By L. L. LUMSDEN, Surgeon, United States Public Health Service.

In an account of a smallpox outbreak at Poteau, Okla., based on a report made by Assistant Surg. Thomas Parran, jr., and published in Public Health Reports of March 3, 1922, it is stated that of the five prisoners who broke jail, one was reported to have died in Alabama. It was an interesting coincidence that this escaped prisoner went to a home located in one of the several counties in Alabama, Lauderdale County, in which the Public Health Service is cooperating with the State and local health authorities in a demonstration project in rural health work (see Public Health Reports, Vol. 36, No. 40, pp. 2472 to 2487, of October 7, 1921).

The demonstration project in Lauderdale County, Ala., is directed by a whole-time county health officer, who has a status of field agent in the Public Health Service. In the Monthly Progress Report for January, 1922, sent by this field agent to the Rural Sanitation Office in Washington, it is stated (1) that at a rural home in the county a case of malignant smallpox, which terminated fatally, was found soon after onset of the illness in a man who had come a few days before from Poteau, Okla.; (2) that measures including frequent inspections of known and suspected contacts, isolation, and vaccination were carried out promptly to prevent the spread of the infection; (3) that only one secondary case developed in the neighborhood; and (4) that the secondary case was a mild one in a contact who was vaccinated too late apparently to be given full protection against the infection.

The contrast between the two situations is striking. In Poteau, where there was no whole-time county officer, the virulent smallpox infection from Kansas City, Mo., introduced by one person, spread rapidly, causing 38 cases with 24 deaths. In Lauderdale County, Ala., where there was a whole-time county health officer, the same infection introduced by one person was controlled promptly so that only one mild secondary case resulted.

## SMALLPOX MORTALITY IN THE REGISTRATION AREA, 1916-1920.

The Department of Commerce, through the Bureau of the Census, announces that there have been very few deaths from smallpox in recent years. Since the beginning of annual compilations in 1900 the highest rate from this cause in the death registration area of the United States was 6.6 per 100,000 population in 1902; in 1903 it was 4.2, and in 1904, 2.1; since which time the rate for the registration area has never reached 1 per 100,000 population. Much higher rates in certain States and cities, however, clearly show that the danger of smallpox in an unvaccinated population must not be lost sight of. The high rate (9.2) in 1920 in Louisiana should serve as a warning.

*Deaths and death rates from smallpox in the registration area (exclusive of Hawaii) and in the registration States, 1916-1920.*

Area.	Number of deaths.			Rate per 100,000 population.				
	1920	1919	1918	1920	1919	1918	1917	1916
Registration area.....	508	358	339	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2
Registration States <sup>1</sup> (1916).....	223	143	256	.3	.2	.4	.2	.1
California.....	9	6	3	.3	.2	.1	.5	.4
Colorado.....	10	5	13	1.1	.5	1.4	.1	.2
Connecticut.....							.2	
Delaware.....	2		( <sup>2</sup> )	.9		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Florida (total).....	2		( <sup>2</sup> )	.2		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
White.....			( <sup>2</sup> )			( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Colored.....	2			.6		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Illinois.....	15	5	15	.2	.1	.2	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Indiana.....	17	14	22	.6	.5	.8	.5	( <sup>2</sup> )
Kansas.....	4	1	26	.2	.1	1.5	.4	.2
Kentucky (total).....	21	17	12	.9	.7	.5	.1	.2
White.....	21	16	11	1.0	.7	.5	.1	.2
Colored.....	1	1	1		.4	.4	.4	
Louisiana (total).....	167	175	18	9.2	9.8	1.0	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
White.....	59	26	7	5.3	2.4	.7	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Colored.....	108	149	11	15.4	21.2	1.6	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Maine.....		2			.3			
Maryland (total).....							.1	
White.....							.1	
Colored.....								
Massachusetts.....	2	2*	1	.1	.1	( <sup>2</sup> )	.3	
Michigan.....	11	10	16	.3	.3	.5	.3	.1
Minnesota.....	17	9	10	.7	.4	.4	.9	( <sup>2</sup> )
Mississippi (total).....	45	8	( <sup>2</sup> )	2.5	.4	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
White.....	24		( <sup>2</sup> )	2.8		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Colored.....	21	8	( <sup>2</sup> )	2.3	.9	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Missouri.....	28	17	83	.8	.5	2.5	.9	.3
Montana.....	2	9	6	.4	1.7	1.1	1.2	.4
Nebraska.....	22	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	1.7	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
New Hampshire.....								.2
New Jersey.....			1			( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	
New York (total).....	1		2	( <sup>2</sup> )		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
White.....	1		2	( <sup>2</sup> )		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Colored.....								
North Carolina (total).....	28	9	3	1.1	.4	.1	.5	.5
White.....	21	6	2	1.2	.3	.1	.4	.3
Colored.....	7	3	1	.9	.4	.1	.9	1.1
Ohio.....	17	10	22	.3	.2	.4	.2	.1
Oregon.....	10	2		1.3	.3		( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Pennsylvania (total).....	1	1	2	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )		
White.....	1	.1	1	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )		
Colored.....			1			.4		

<sup>1</sup> Includes the District of Columbia.

\* Not admitted to the registration area until a later date.

<sup>2</sup> Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent.

*Deaths and death rates from smallpox in the registration area (exclusive of Hawaii) and in the registration States, 1916-1920—Continued.*

Area.	Number of deaths.			Rate per 100,000 population.				
	1920	1919	1918	1920	1919	1918	1917	1916
Rhode Island.....			1			.2		.2
South Carolina (total).....	2	4	2	.1	.2	.1		.2
White.....	1	2		.1	.2			
Colored.....	1	2	2	.1	.2	.2		.5
Tennessee (total).....	12	15	16	.5	.6	.7	.2	( <sup>2</sup> )
White.....	9	11	11	.5	.6	.6	.2	( <sup>2</sup> )
Colored.....	3	4	5	.7	.9	1.1	.2	( <sup>2</sup> )
Utah.....	13	4	10	2.9	.9	2.3	.9	
Vermont.....								.3
Virginia (total).....	12	9	5	.5	.4	.2	.1	( <sup>2</sup> )
White.....	9	5	1	.6	.3	.1	.1	.1
Colored.....	3	4	4	.4	.6	.6		
Washington.....	18	8	4	1.3	.6	.3	.1	.1
Wisconsin.....	10	5	12	.4	.2	.5	.1	.1

<sup>1</sup> Not admitted to the registration area until a later date.

<sup>2</sup> Less than one-tenth of 1 per cent.

### CASES OF INFLUENZA REPORTED BY STATES, 1922.

The accompanying table shows, by weeks, the number of cases of influenza reported by State health officers from January 22 to March 25, 1922.

On pages 640-641 of the Public Health Reports for March 17, 1922, appears a table giving the number of cases of influenza reported by State health officers during the first 10 weeks of the years 1920, 1921, and 1922.

The aggregate estimated population of the 28 States and the District of Columbia is approximately 67,200,000.

*Number of cases of influenza reported by States from Jan. 22 to Mar. 25, 1922, inclusive, by weeks.*

State.	Number of cases reported during week ended—								
	January.	February—				March—			
	28	4	11	18	25	4	11	18	25
Alabama.....	3	26	95	29	20	31	185	340	177
Arkansas.....	88	192	232	158	202	371	409	529	1,032
California.....	48	92	845	4,315	10,053	9,917	4,627	3,289	1,169
Colorado (exclusive of Denver).....	2	4	6	17	12	67	937	755	146
Connecticut.....	22	109	518	1,325	675	711	486	194	146
Delaware.....	2	7	2	2	9	2	2	16	38
District of Columbia.....	7	5	9	8	7	9	9	3	4
Florida.....	6	15	35	123	118	68	72	74	57
Georgia.....	64	74	81	128	162	179	149	268	470
Illinois.....	125	108	417	633	1,059	809	735	765	686
Kansas.....	121	364	440	480	901	626	557	524	321
Kentucky.....	51	332	640	705	748	1,088	495	548	398
Louisiana.....	8	10	39	36	368	469	1,603	3,527	3,669
Maine.....	14	97	145	131	441	487	352	223	222
Maryland.....	93	110	189	263	431	612	814	728	409
Massachusetts.....	66	398	1,469	1,764	1,285	904	521	292	190
Minnesota.....		2	12	10	44	71	209	245	16
Missouri.....	20	71	99	234	313	405	279	491	303
Montana.....		1			188	178	263	674	435
Nebraska.....		6	6	10	161	66	119	157	164
New Jersey.....	125	426	1,288	1,555	918	512	221	117	97
New Mexico.....		10	14	35	92	304	209	437	1,534
New York (exclusive of New York City).....	173	694	771	1,577	1,568	1,774	1,973	1,796	1,424
New York City.....	1,230	5,731	7,070	3,294	1,312	562	310	173	120
Oregon.....	7	31	168	442	616	782	250	158	126
Texas.....	5	57	141	123	76	353	1,181	240	237
Vermont.....	1	7	2	12	1	2	15	9	9
Washington.....	33	176	1,031	902	360	389	81	116	17
West Virginia.....			62	59	82	446	178	143	98.
Wisconsin.....	22	24	37	22	73	129	321	543	772
Total.....	2,337	9,179	15,893	18,382	22,285	22,352	17,562	17,374	14,486
Number of States reporting cases.....	24	28	28	28	29	28	29	29	29

**DEATHS FROM INFLUENZA AND PNEUMONIA COMBINED.**

IN CERTAIN LARGE CITIES OF THE UNITED STATES, JANUARY 22 TO MARCH 25, 1922.

The accompanying table gives the number of reported deaths from influenza and pneumonia (all forms) combined, by weeks, from January 22 to March 25, 1922, inclusive, in 63 large cities of the United States.

The data were furnished by city health officers. Use was made of the figures contained in the "Weekly Health Index," issued by the Bureau of the Census, in supplying deficiencies in the figures.

On pages 642-644 of the Public Health Reports for March 17, 1922, appears a table giving the number of deaths from influenza and pneumonia (all forms) combined, in 36 of these cities during the first 10 weeks of the years 1919, 1920, 1921, and 1922.

The population of the 63 cities, estimated as of July, 1921, is approximately 27,500,000.



Number of deaths from influenza and pneumonia (all forms) combined, in large cities, from Jan. 22 to Mar. 25, 1922, inclusive, by weeks.

City.	Number of deaths reported during week ended—								
	January.	February—				March—			
		28	4	11	18	25	4	11	18
Birmingham, Ala.	6	13	4	4	14	9	7	7	10
Los Angeles, Calif.	21	26	29	33	79	84	69	64	43
Oakland, Calif.	6	8	8	12	12	15	18	11	6
San Francisco, Calif.	12	9	15	36	79	51	31	22	9
Denver, Colo.	17	18	16	19	22	26	40	29	26
Bridgeport, Conn.	3	4	3	8	19	9	5	9	9
Hartford, Conn.	2	3	3	7	4	6	4	1	9
New Haven, Conn.	4	13	10	14	30	27	23	23	15
Wilmington, Del.	5	9	8	6	8	8	9	1	7
Washington, D. C.	27	25	22	27	26	27	22	21	24
Atlanta, Ga.	7	20	17	11	16	13	20	12	33
Chicago, Ill.	65	72	80	56	94	139	150	130	92
Indianapolis, Ind.	17	29	42	39	38	36	24	20	10
Kansas City, Kans.	6	5	5	13	21	16	11	5	7
Louisville, Ky.	7	16	24	28	25	19	16	15	11
New Orleans, La.	13	19	25	20	19	31	52	37	33
Baltimore, Md.	26	29	27	29	40	47	71	63	38
Boston, Mass.	28	33	38	51	83	84	61	67	42
Cambridge, Mass.	4	7	7	8	9	8	16	4	5
Fall River, Mass.	6	5	7	9	22	29	24	15	18
Lowell, Mass.	4	4	6	5	13	11	4	5	1
New Bedford, Mass.	1	1	5	8	8	25	13	13	11
Springfield, Mass.	4	7	0	6	5	8	9	8	4
Worcester, Mass.	7	16	16	16	15	13	4	5	7
Detroit, Mich.	33	34	54	45	71	93	104	86	70
Grand Rapids, Mich.	4	4	2	1	2	5	3	4	5
Minneapolis, Minn.	9	6	9	4	8	19	20	31	11
St. Paul, Minn.	3	8	6	6	5	9	18	29	17
Kansas City, Mo.	25	25	28	39	71	52	41	34	22
St. Louis, Mo.	42	44	58	68	71	108	83	83	59
Omaha, Nebr.	12	16	12	11	17	16	9	6	10
Camden, N. J.	7	11	4	3	12	4	7	9	8
Jersey City, N. J.	14	25	30	23	34	20	20	14	8
Newark, N. J.	20	33	33	46	37	28	20	15	20
Paterson, N. J.	8	11	16	19	23	12	11	12	5
Trenton, N. J.	11	22	23	10	24	13	3	8	8
Albany, N. Y.	4	6	13	7	8	10	13	10	9
Buffalo, N. Y.	19	21	15	15	20	22	36	31	30
New York, N. Y.	302	481	596	576	548	404	331	287	282
Rochester, N. Y.	14	6	7	14	11	11	18	26	25
Syracuse, N. Y.	6	7	7	7	6	7	3	5	5
Yonkers, N. Y.	7	9	12	18	9	7	4	2	4
Akron, Ohio.	4	4	4	6	11	17	9	10	10
Cincinnati, Ohio.	19	21	27	41	54	49	42	32	26
Cleveland, Ohio.	28	25	18	25	60	55	61	62	44
Columbus, Ohio.	10	8	6	10	11	13	20	19	10
Toledo, Ohio.	12	7	6	5	6	10	15	19	19
Youngstown, Ohio.	9	12	11	11	8	13	17	16	19
Portland, Oreg.	6	5	15	17	27	32	28	25	21
Philadelphia, Pa.	86	85	91	101	162	136	143	134	93
Pittsburgh, Pa.	47	60	80	109	99	92	60	38	43
Providence, R. I.	17	11	15	26	32	39	19	22	13
Memphis, Tenn.	12	10	18	16	21	21	7	13	11
Nashville, Tenn.	3	5	5	4	10	17	16	12	15
Dallas, Tex.	7	12	9	7	12	19	15	10	14
Fort Worth, Tex.	2	11	2	10	9	5	7	9	2
Houston, Tex.	3	3	5	3	2	3	7	5	14
Salt Lake City, Utah.	7	5	11	3	10	7	12	12	9
Norfolk, Va.	5	3	3	4	14	12	11	4	7
Richmond, Va.	4	8	9	12	21	19	8	8	12
Seattle, Wash.	5	18	24	39	17	22	13	8	13
Spokane, Wash.	7	3	4	9	9	12	12	4	15
Milwaukee, Wis.	8	18	11	14	14	11	18	17	15
Total.	1,131	1,491	1,716	1,854	2,287	2,186	1,987	1,758	1,470
Number of cities reporting deaths.	61	62	63	63	63	63	63	63	61

## SHIP SANITATION AND FIRST AID FOR SEAMEN.

A NEW MANUAL ON SHIP SANITATION AND FIRST AID FOR OFFICERS AND MEN OF  
THE MERCHANT MARINE.

The Secretary of Commerce has recently approved an amendment to the general rules and regulations prescribed by the Board of Supervising Inspectors, which requires that no candidate for original license as master, mate, pilot, or engineer shall be examined unless he has completed a course of instruction in "first aid" approved by the United States Public Health Service and has passed an oral examination based on a Manual on Ship Sanitation and First Aid recently prepared by the Public Health Service in cooperation with the Seamen's Church Institute, of New York City.

The manual is intended primarily to furnish officers and men of the American merchant marine a simple but comprehensive text-book on ship sanitation and hygiene, an outline of surgical conditions that obtain on shipboard, and fundamental information regarding the treatment of disease. The information contained in the manual will be of greatest value to officers and men of vessels that do not carry a ship's doctor and lack facilities for the care of the sick and injured.

Sanitary conditions on shipboard are, in many instances, not what they should be—conditions that are due largely to ignorance regarding the elementary rules of sanitation and hygiene. Aside from the humanitarian point of view, it is highly desirable from an economic viewpoint that the sanitary conditions be improved. Vessels are often compelled to run shorthanded because of illness in members of the crew that could have been avoided by simple medical treatment or by the application of fundamental sanitary knowledge. It was because of these conditions that the Secretary of Commerce approved the amendment to the regulations requiring that candidates for original licenses as master, mate, pilot, or engineer have "first-aid" knowledge.

Preventive medicine marks a signal advancement in general public health work; and just as the dissemination of its principles has made for improved conditions of life on land, the same practice should contribute toward improvement in sanitation and hygiene on shipboard.

## NATIONAL NEGRO HEALTH WEEK.

EIGHTH ANNUAL HEALTH EDUCATION AND CLEAN-UP CAMPAIGN TO BE OBSERVED  
APRIL 2-8, 1922.

The National Negro Health Week of 1921 resulted in an increased interest among the colored people in the matter of health improvement, an interest that has been materially stimulated by the "health weeks" of the past few years. A program was prepared which contained a schedule of the daily activities to be carried on and other helpful information. Unfortunately, the number available was insufficient to supply the requests (for 37,280 copies) which came from all sections of the country.

To meet the demand for the 1922 Health Week program, the Tuskegee Institute has appropriated \$200 from its health fund for the purchase of pamphlets containing the program and other information. Other agencies have included quantity purchases in their plans for cooperation. These pamphlets can be secured from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

The health and welfare agencies cooperating include the following: The United States Public Health Service, the State boards of health, the National and State medical associations, the National and State associations of graduate nurses, the American Social Hygiene Association, the National Child Welfare Association, the National Tuberculosis Association, the American Red Cross, the National Urban League, the Y. M. C. A. and the Y. W. C. A., the National Federation of Colored Women's Clubs, churches, schools, fraternal societies, insurance companies, and other organizations interested in health welfare and public health education.

### SOME RESULTS OF HEALTH EDUCATION AND HEALTH SERVICE.

Madison County, Ala., has a population of 50,000, a large proportion of which is colored. In 1917 the Public Health Service undertook a demonstration in rural sanitation, in cooperation with the State and county health authorities and certain nongovernmental agencies. A strong health department was jointly effected; a public health educational program was carried out; sanitary inspections were made; numerous sanitary privies were installed; many inoculations were performed against typhoid fever and smallpox; and other activities of a public health nature were carried on.

The reduction in deaths for 1919, as compared with the average for the years 1915 to 1917, amounted to over 150 lives. Such a reduction is too great to be explained on the basis of normal fluctuation; a large part of it was apparently due to the public health campaign. The total cost of this demonstration was about \$10,000 a

year. If the 150 lives were saved as a result of the campaign, it cost \$66 to save each life.

In the past eight years, largely through health education and public health nursing, the death rate of the 1,500,000 Negro policy holders of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. was reduced 9 per cent. This demonstrates the importance of widespread information on health improvement.

The National Negro Insurance Association, organized in Durham, N. C., October, 1921, has proposed in its program for colored insurance companies the establishment of social service and health departments. Some companies already have and are developing a service of this kind.

It is estimated in the Negro Year Book that 450,000 colored people in the South are seriously ill all the time; that the annual cost of these 450,000 cases of sickness is \$75,000,000; that 225,000 colored people in the South die annually; that the annual expense of these 225,000 deaths is \$25,000,000; that 50 out of every 100 cases of annual sickness can be prevented; that 45 out of every 100 annual deaths can be prevented; that the annual loss of earnings from sickness and deaths is \$300,000,000; that \$150,000,000 in earnings can be saved annually by hygiene and sanitation.

In recent years, especially since the establishment of the National Negro Health Week, the death rate among Negroes has been decreasing.

The 1922 Health Week printed program will contain statistical graphs showing mortality rates in the colored population for certain diseases having very high rates and showing the decline in these rates since the campaign for definite health education and service was instituted.

In accordance with the campaign plans discussed at a meeting of representatives of the national health organizations held during the sessions of the Annual Tuskegee Negro Conference at Tuskegee Institute in January, 1922, the following program was approved:

#### 1922 HEALTH WEEK PROGRAM.

*Sunday, April 2:* Sermon and Lecture Day. Health sermons and lectures by ministers, physicians, and other qualified persons. Urge the carrying out of the Health Week program. Give references to health information and urge cooperation with organized agencies. Emphasize mother and infant welfare work to reduce high infant mortality.

*Monday, April 3:* Hygiene Day. Personal and community hygiene talks by physicians, visiting nurses, social workers, and other qualified persons. Social hygiene education and venereal disease control measures should be considered in special meetings. Health

films, slides, and exhibits should be used wherever possible under proper supervision.

*Tuesday, April 4:* "Swat-the-Fly" Day. Destroy the breeding places of flies and mosquitoes. Talk on the possibility and danger of disease being spread by insects and rats, and describe the methods of destroying these disease carriers. All homes, markets, bakeries, and food establishments should be screened against flies.

*Wednesday, April 5:* Children's Health Day. Health programs, stories of modern health crusades, parades, etc. It is suggested that, on or before this day, school buildings and premises be put in sanitary condition, and if programs are carried out in school buildings parents and patrons be invited to attend. Some part of the exercises of this day should be devoted to commemoration of the birthday of Booker T. Washington, founder of National Negro Health Week.

*Thursday, April 6:* Tuberculosis Day. Talks by physicians, visiting nurses, social workers, and other qualified persons. Explain that tuberculosis (consumption) is not hereditary, but is spread through carelessness; that treatment should begin early. Emphasize for prevention: (1) Good cheer, (2) Good food; (3) Fresh air; (4) Proper living.

*Friday, April 7:* Church Sanitation Day. Clean churches thoroughly inside and out. Clear the yards of all rubbish, etc. Put toilets in sanitary condition. It is suggested that health entertainments or meetings for informal talks on the week's program and the Saturday general clean-up follow the day's work.

*Saturday, April 8:* General Clean-up Day. Complete all cleaning of homes, buildings, and premises. The community supervising committee should prepare, through its secretary or other person, a report of the results of the Health Week program and send copy or summary to newspapers and cooperating organizations.

It is suggested that a committee be organized in each community to supervise the carrying out of the above program.

References to agencies supplying health information and materials are printed on the back cover of the program.

Requests for other information and suggestions for the campaign should be sent to Dr. R. R. Moton, principal, Tuskegee Institute, Ala.

## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED MAR. 18, 1922.

*Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended Mar. 18, 1922, and corresponding week, 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, Mar. 31, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)*

	Week ended Mar. 18, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.
Policies in force.....	49, 269, 076	46, 293, 930
Number of death claims.....	11, 727	9, 434
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate....	12. 4	10. 6

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Mar. 18, 1922, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of 1921. (From the Weekly Health Index, Mar. 21, 1922, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

City.	Estimated population July 1, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 18, 1922.		Annual death rate per 1,000, corresponding week, 1921.	Deaths under 1 year.		Infant mortality rate, week ended Mar. 18, 1922. <sup>3</sup>
		Total deaths.	Death rate. <sup>1</sup>		Week ended Mar. 18, 1922.	Corresponding week, 1921.	
<b>Total</b> .....	26, 756, 781	8, 273	16. 1	13. 6	1, 213	1, 008	.....
Akron, Ohio.....	* 208, 436	47	11. 8	10. 2	8	8	53
Albany, N. Y.....	115, 071	41	18. 6	15. 4	5	7	112
Baltimore, Md.....	750, 864	290	20. 1	17. 3	41	22	115
Birmingham, Ala.....	186, 133	50	14. 0	17. 1	8	8	.....
Boston, Mass.....	757, 634	302	20. 8	16. 0	55	36	147
Bridgeport, Conn.....	* 143, 555	35	12. 7	8. 7	3	5	37
Buffalo, N. Y.....	519, 608	175	17. 6	11. 9	39	27	153
Cambridge, Mass.....	110, 444	30	14. 2	12. 7	2	5	37
Camden, N. J.....	119, 672	36	15. 7	17. 4	7	7	107
Chicago, Ill.....	2, 780, 655	803	15. 1	12. 5	146	111	.....
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	408, 418	144	18. 6	15. 1	19	16	127
Cleveland, Ohio.....	831, 138	227	14. 2	12. 6	44	41	113
Columbus, Ohio.....	245, 358	86	18. 3	14. 7	14	8	148
Dallas, Tex.....	165, 282	38	12. 0	10. 4	4	4	.....
Dayton, Ohio.....	* 152, 559	28	9. 6	13. 2	2	5	34
Denver, Colo.....	263, 152	119	23. 6	16. 8	9	7	.....
Detroit, Mich.....	1, 070, 450	309	15. 1	10. 1	54	54	104
Fall River, Mass.....	120, 668	46	28. 5	18. 1	17	14	238
Fort Worth, Tex.....	111, 423	25	11. 7	.....	5	.....	.....
Grand Rapids, Mich.....	141, 197	34	12. 6	14. 8	4	7	67
Houston, Tex.....	144, 340	42	15. 2	12. 6	7	5	.....
Indianapolis, Ind.....	325, 632	111	17. 8	10. 7	10	10	76
Jersey City, N. J.....	302, 788	85	14. 6	11. 9	10	11	64
Kansas City, Kans.....	103, 894	25	12. 5	20. 6	4	5	92
Kansas City, Mo.....	336, 157	111	17. 2	10. 4	8	12	.....
Los Angeles, Calif.....	614, 160	268	22. 8	15. 2	24	15	100
Louisville, Ky.....	236, 063	70	15. 5	14. 4	13	2	140
Lowell, Mass.....	113, 757	35	16. 0	15. 6	4	7	67
Memphis, Tenn.....	165, 656	84	26. 4	16. 4	19	7	.....
Milwaukee, Wis.....	468, 386	108	12. 0	9. 7	20	17	98
Minneapolis, Minn.....	392, 815	143	19. 0	15. 3	15	12	82
Nashville, Tenn.....	122, 036	39	16. 7	15. 8	7	5	.....
New Bedford, Mass.....	125, 012	48	20. 0	12. 5	7	10	104
New Haven, Conn.....	167, 007	62	19. 4	11. 2	5	2	61
New Orleans, La.....	394, 657	149	19. 7	15. 9	11	17	.....
New York, N. Y.....	5, 751, 867	1, 607	14. 6	13. 1	264	215	102
Newark, N. J.....	424, 885	122	15. 0	12. 9	10	17	44
Norfolk, Va.....	121, 260	34	14. 6	10. 8	5	0	89
Oakland, Calif.....	226, 472	68	15. 7	9. 2	4	6	50
Omaha, Neb.....	197, 066	57	16. 1	12. 4	11	6	118
Paterson, N. J.....	137, 463	30	11. 4	20. 1	8	6	123
Philadelphia, Pa.....	1, 866, 212	619	17. 3	15. 4	79	68	94
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	602, 452	170	14. 7	18. 0	27	28	88
Portland, Oreg.....	264, 859	73	14. 4	15. 2	6	11	59
Providence, R. I.....	239, 645	77	16. 8	17. 0	12	9	95
Richmond, Va.....	175, 686	53	15. 7	14. 5	7	7	85
Rochester, N. Y.....	308, 229	98	16. 7	10. 6	12	15	92
St. Louis, Mo.....	786, 164	272	18. 0	13. 7	29	6	.....
St. Paul, Minn.....	237, 781	90	19. 7	13. 2	11	7	103
Salt Lake City, Utah.....	121, 595	45	19. 3	12. 9	5	6	75
Seattle, Wash.....	* 315, 312	59	9. 8	12. 9	8	7	68
Spokane, Wash.....	104, 442	27	13. 5	11. 0	4	2	85
Springfield, Mass.....	135, 877	47	18. 0	16. 5	3	6	45
Syracuse, N. Y.....	177, 265	43	12. 6	12. 4	6	8	72
Toledo, Ohio.....	253, 696	84	17. 3	14. 2	6	9	59
Trenton, N. J.....	122, 760	40	17. 0	13. 2	3	5	46
Washington, D. C.....	* 437, 571	151	18. 0	14. 7	22	12	126
Wilmington, Del.....	113, 408	23	10. 6	12. 0	6	2	117
Worcester, Mass.....	184, 972	57	16. 1	19. 2	7	10	76
Yonkers, N. Y.....	103, 324	23	11. 6	9. 6	5	2	104
Youngstown, Ohio.....	139, 432	39	14. 6	15. 3	6	9	79

<sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.

<sup>2</sup> Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1921. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

<sup>3</sup> Enumerated population Jan. 1, 1920.

# PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

*No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.*

## UNITED STATES.

### CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

#### Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Mar. 25, 1922.

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

ALABAMA.	Cases.	CALIFORNIA.	Cases.
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1	Cerebrospinal meningitis—Orange County... 1	1
Chicken pox.....	68	Diphtheria.....	143
Diphtheria.....	6	Influenza.....	1,169
Dysentery.....	1	Leprosy:	
Hookworm disease.....	203	Los Angeles.....	1
Influenza:		Orange County.....	1
Barbour County.....	55	Lethargic encephalitis—Sacramento.....	1
Bullock County.....	66	Measles.....	20
Geneva County.....	19	Scarlet fever.....	123
Scattering.....	37	Smallpox:	
Lethargic encephalitis.....	1	San Jose.....	10
Malaria.....	6	Scattering.....	26
Measles.....	18	Typhoid fever.....	8
Mumps.....	5		
Pellagra.....	1		
Pneumonia.....	12	COLORADO.	
Poliomyelitis.....	1	(Exclusive of Denver.)	
Scarlet fever.....	2	Chicken pox.....	10
Septic sore throat.....	1	Diphtheria.....	8
Smallpox.....	16	Influenza.....	146
Tuberculosis.....	17	Measles.....	12
Typhoid fever.....	12	Mumps.....	3
Whooping cough.....	2	Pneumonia.....	24
		Scarlet fever.....	32
ARKANSAS.		Smallpox.....	14
Chicken pox.....	17	Tuberculosis.....	72
Hookworm disease.....	15	Typhoid fever.....	6
Influenza.....	1,032	Vincent's angina.....	1
Malaria.....	12		
Measles.....	16	CONNECTICUT.	
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	1	Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	3
Pellagra.....	4	Chicken pox.....	29
Scarlet fever.....	3	Diphtheria:	
Smallpox.....	8	Bridgeport.....	11
Tuberculosis.....	4	Scattering.....	50
Typhoid fever.....	2	German measles.....	12
Whooping cough.....	1	Influenza.....	146

CONNECTICUT—continued.	
Measles:	Cases.
Hartford.....	44
West Hartford.....	10
New Haven.....	23
Stamford.....	58
Scattering.....	47
Mumps.....	24
Pneumonia (lobar).....	70
Scarlet fever:	
Bridgeport.....	10
New Haven.....	9
Waterbury.....	9
Scattering.....	51
Septic sore throat.....	1
Smallpox:	
Bridgeport.....	11
Scattering.....	9
Tuberculosis (pulmonary).....	39
Typhoid fever.....	3
Whooping cough.....	22

DELAWARE.	
Chicken pox.....	2
Influenza.....	38
Mumps.....	1
Pneumonia.....	4
Scarlet fever:	
Wilmington.....	69
Scattering.....	12
Tuberculosis.....	13
Typhoid fever.....	2

FLORIDA.	
Diphtheria.....	19
Influenza.....	57
Malaria.....	9
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	1
Poliomyelitis.....	2
Scarlet fever.....	1
Smallpox.....	13
Typhoid fever.....	16

GEORGIA.	
Chicken pox.....	26
Conjunctivitis (infectious).....	1
Diphtheria.....	4
Dysentery (bacillary).....	1
Hook worm disease.....	39
Influenza.....	470
Malaria.....	13
Measles.....	7
Mumps.....	3
Paratyphoid fever.....	2
Pneumonia.....	34
Scarlet fever.....	16
Septic sore throat.....	2
Smallpox.....	34
Tetanus.....	1
Tuberculosis (pulmonary).....	6
Typhoid fever.....	3
Whooping cough.....	9

ILLINOIS.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis:	
Chicago.....	1
Greenville.....	1
Jo Daviess County—Stockton Township.....	1

ILLINOIS—continued.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis—Continued.	Cases.
La Salle County—Grand Rapids Town-ship.....	1
Naples.....	2
Diphtheria:	
Chicago.....	125
Scattering.....	76
Influenza.....	686
Pneumonia.....	458
Poliomyelitis—Sullivan.....	1
Scarlet fever:	
Chicago.....	106
Scattering.....	152
Smallpox:	
Peoria.....	10
Scattering.....	29
Typhoid fever.....	7
Whooping cough.....	92

INDIANA.	
Diphtheria.....	35
Scarlet fever.....	63
Smallpox.....	44
Typhoid fever.....	6

IOWA.	
Diphtheria.....	12
Scarlet fever.....	80
Smallpox.....	21

KANSAS.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1
Chicken pox.....	70
Diphtheria.....	46
Influenza.....	321
Measles.....	11
Mumps.....	6
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	1
Pneumonia.....	73
Scarlet fever.....	73
Septic sore throat.....	1
Smallpox.....	23
Tetanus.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	54
Typhoid fever.....	4
Whooping cough.....	21

LOUISIANA.	
Diphtheria.....	10
Influenza.....	3,609
Scarlet fever.....	7
Smallpox.....	17
Typhoid fever.....	8

MAINE.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	2
Chicken pox.....	16
Diphtheria.....	11
Influenza.....	222
Measles.....	1
Pneumonia.....	22
Scarlet fever.....	36
Smallpox.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	8
Typhoid fever.....	2



MARYLAND.<sup>1</sup>

	Cases.
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	2
Chicken pox.....	87
Diphtheria.....	33
German measles.....	4
Influenza.....	409
Lethargic encephalitis.....	1
Malaria.....	2
Measles.....	202
Mumps.....	154
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	2
Pneumonia (all forms).....	191
Scarlet fever.....	70
Tuberculosis.....	58
Typhoid fever.....	5
Whooping cough.....	23

## MASSACHUSETTS.

Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	2
Chicken pox.....	111
Conjunctivitis (suppurative).....	13
Diphtheria.....	158
German measles.....	9
Influenza.....	190
Lethargic encephalitis.....	7
Measles.....	595
Mumps.....	98
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	26
Pneumonia (lobar).....	150
Polioomyelitis.....	4
Scarlet fever.....	214
Septic sore throat.....	3
Tuberculosis (all forms).....	159
Typhoid fever.....	8
Whooping cough.....	129

## MINNESOTA.

Chicken pox.....	19
Diphtheria.....	52
Influenza.....	16
Measles.....	30
Pneumonia.....	8
Polioomyelitis.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	169
Smallpox.....	61
Tuberculosis.....	73
Typhoid fever.....	2
Whooping cough.....	9

## MISSISSIPPI.

Diphtheria.....	7
Scarlet fever.....	3
Smallpox.....	17
Typhoid fever.....	1

## MISSOURI.

Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	4
Chicken pox.....	60
Diphtheria.....	45
Epidemic sore throat.....	13
Influenza.....	303
Measles.....	8
Mumps.....	17
Pneumonia.....	50
Scarlet fever.....	52

## MISSOURI—continued.

	Cases.
Smallpox.....	12
Trachoma.....	12
Tuberculosis.....	54
Typhoid fever.....	4
Whooping cough.....	5

## MONTANA.

Diphtheria.....	7
Influenza.....	435
Scarlet fever.....	29
Smallpox.....	16

## NEBRASKA.

Chicken pox.....	18
Diphtheria.....	8
Influenza.....	164
Measles:	
Fillmore County.....	11
Fremont.....	9
Lincoln.....	17
Omaha.....	22
Scattering.....	4
Mumps.....	80
Pneumonia.....	9
Polioomyelitis—Howell.....	1
Scarlet fever:	
Harrington.....	10
Scattering.....	51
Smallpox.....	8
Tuberculosis.....	2
Whooping cough.....	1

## NEW JERSEY.

Anthrax.....	1
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	2
Chicken pox.....	115
Diphtheria.....	121
Influenza.....	97
Leprosy.....	1
Measles.....	603
Pneumonia.....	170
Scarlet fever.....	306
Typhoid fever.....	3
Whooping cough.....	76

## NEW MEXICO.

Chicken pox.....	6
Conjunctivitis.....	4
Diphtheria.....	18
Influenza.....	1,534
Measles.....	2
Mumps.....	2
Pneumonia.....	32
Scarlet fever:	
Albuquerque.....	11
Scattering.....	4
Smallpox.....	2
Tuberculosis.....	24
Whooping cough.....	4

## NEW YORK.

(Exclusive of New York City.)

Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	3
Diphtheria.....	127
Influenza.....	1,424

<sup>1</sup> Week ended Friday.

NEW YORK—continued.

	Cases.
Lethargic encephalitis.....	2
Measles.....	392
Pneumonia.....	592
Poliomyelitis.....	3
Scarlet fever.....	270
Typhoid fever.....	7
Whooping cough.....	203

NORTH CAROLINA.

Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	3
Chicken pox.....	222
Diphtheria.....	31
Measles.....	31
Poliomyelitis.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	27
Septic sore throat.....	5
Smallpox.....	67
Typhoid fever.....	4
Whooping cough.....	123

OREGON.

Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	1
Chicken pox.....	32
Diphtheria:	
Portland.....	8
Scattering.....	8
Influenza.....	126
Lethargic encephalitis.....	1
Measles.....	5
Mumps.....	8
Pneumonia.....	113
Scarlet fever:	
Portland.....	10
Scattering.....	4
Septic sore throat.....	3
Smallpox:	
Portland.....	17
Scattering.....	3
Tuberculosis.....	5
Typhoid fever.....	3
Whooping cough.....	12

SOUTH DAKOTA.

Chicken pox.....	6
Diphtheria.....	9
Influenza.....	56
Measles.....	3
Pneumonia.....	17
Scarlet fever.....	19
Smallpox.....	10
Tuberculosis.....	3
Typhoid fever.....	3

TEXAS.

Diphtheria.....	17
Influenza.....	237
Measles.....	98
Pneumonia.....	31
Smallpox.....	14
Typhoid fever.....	3

VERMONT.

	Cases.
Chicken pox.....	20
Diphtheria.....	3
Influenza.....	9
Lethargic encephalitis.....	2
Measles.....	8
Mumps.....	21
Pneumonia.....	14
Scarlet fever.....	22
Whooping cough.....	11

VIRGINIA.

Smallpox—Smyth County.....	1
----------------------------	---

WASHINGTON.

Chicken pox.....	32
Diphtheria.....	8
Influenza.....	17
Measles.....	1
Mumps.....	63
Pneumonia.....	2
Poliomyelitis—Lewis County.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	25
Smallpox:	
Spokane.....	11
Scattering.....	46
Tuberculosis.....	23
Typhoid fever.....	1
Whooping cough.....	14

WEST VIRGINIA.

Diphtheria.....	17
Influenza:	
Fairmont.....	31
Princeton.....	30
Scattering.....	37
Scarlet fever.....	6
Smallpox.....	2

WISCONSIN.

Milwaukee:	
Chicken pox.....	28
Diphtheria.....	10
German measles.....	2
Lethargic encephalitis.....	1
Measles.....	1
Pneumonia.....	10
Scarlet fever.....	6
Smallpox.....	1
Tuberculosis.....	12
Whooping cough.....	55
Scattering:	
Chicken pox.....	49
Diphtheria.....	28
German measles.....	9
Influenza.....	772
Measles.....	3
Ophthalmia neonatorum.....	7
Pneumonia.....	1
Poliomyelitis.....	1
Scarlet fever.....	98
Smallpox.....	39
Tuberculosis.....	16
Typhoid fever.....	2
Whooping cough.....	20

<sup>1</sup> Deaths.

## Delayed Reports for Week Ended Mar. 18, 1922.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.		Cases.	KENTUCKY—continued.		Cases.
Chicken pox.....		39	Measles—Continued.		
Diphtheria.....		7	Scott County.....		10
Influenza.....		3	Shelby County.....		12
Measles.....		6	Scattering.....		15
Scarlet fever.....		13	Mumps.....		16
Tuberculosis.....		35	Pellagra.....		1
Typhoid fever.....		1	Pneumonia.....		74
Whooping cough.....		8	Scarlet fever.....		11
			Septic sore throat.....		5
			Smallpox:		
			Warren County.....		12
			Scattering.....		13
			Tetanus.....		1
			Tuberculosis:		
			Jefferson County.....		33
			Scattering.....		8
			Typhoid fever.....		4
			Whooping cough.....		7
			MAINE.		
			Chicken pox.....		12
			Diphtheria.....		7
			Influenza.....		223
			Lethargic encephalitis.....		2
			Measles.....		2
			Mumps.....		1
			Pneumonia.....		25
			Scarlet fever.....		43
			Smallpox.....		1
			Tuberculosis.....		6
			Whooping cough.....		6

## SUMMARY OF CASES REPORTED MONTHLY BY STATES.

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week.

State.	Cerebrospinal meningitis.	Diphtheria.	Influenza.	Malaria.	Measles.	Pellagra.	Poliomyelitis.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Typhoid fever.
1922.										
Hawaii (February).....	1	14	19		12			5		15
Illinois (February).....	13	1,347	3,982	57	1,285		4	1,784	342	75
Indiana (February).....	7	387	470		83			430	114	16
Iowa (February).....	3	99	2		11		3	339	157	1
Maine (February).....	4	51	911		2		4	211	7	3
Maryland (February).....	5	176	1,268	1	636		3	446		17
Minnesota (February).....	7	253	78		128			820	266	18
Mississippi (February).....	2	73	8,201	3,347	42	164	3	1,322	108	95
New Jersey (February).....	16	571	4,415	2	942		3	1,387	3	16
New Mexico (January).....	1	130	7	3	7	1		57	7	16
New Mexico (February).....	1	68	170		2	1		55	7	11
New York (February).....	13	1,720	15,328		5,836		12	2,859	15	166
North Carolina (February).....	8	187			124			177	187	20
North Dakota (February).....		17	186		3			133	63	3
Oklahoma (February).....		22	354	15	2	1		25	159	11
Rhode Island (February).....		139	658		11		1	70	1	2
South Carolina (February).....		104	116	3	1	3		9	82	6
South Dakota (February).....	1	41	3		27			169	190	
Washington (February).....	2	102	4,407		16		2	137	323	16
West Virginia (February).....	1	139	2,311		267		2	105	48	48

<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of New York City.

**RECIPROCAL NOTIFICATION.**

**Minnesota—February, 1922.**

*Cases of communicable diseases referred during February, 1922, to other State health departments by the Department of Health of the State of Minnesota.*

Disease and locality of notification.	Referred to health authority of—	Why referred.
Poliomyelitis: Rochester, Olmsted County. Typhoid fever.....	Palmer, Merrick County, Nebr. Waterloo Township, Allamakee County, Iowa.	Patient contracted disease at Palmer Nebr., Jan. 20, and died Feb. 4, 1922. Blood specimen examined in laboratory, Division of Preventable Diseases, showed Widal reaction present. (Origin, Dorchester, Iowa.)
Tuberculosis: Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Olmsted County.	Murpheysboro, Jackson County, Ill. Marshalltown, Marshall County, Iowa. Mandan, Morton County, N. Dak. Brester, Stark County, Ohio. La Valle, Ironton Township, Sauk County, Wis. Woodruff, Oneida County, Wis.	One incipient case, three moderately advanced; and two advanced cases left for their homes.
Minnesota State Sanatorium.	Beulah, Mercer County, N. Dak.	Patient left sanatorium for home.
Northwestern Hospital....	La Crosse, La Crosse County, Wis.	Patient left hospital for home.
Nopeming Sanatorium....	Superior, Douglas County, Wis.	Patient left sanatorium for home.
Pokegama Sanatorium....	Saratoga, Howard County, Iowa.	Do.
Sivertsen Clinic, Minneapolis.	Wilmot, Roberts County, S. Dak.	Advanced case allowed to go home.
Thomas Hospital, Minneapolis.	Midway, Slope County, N. Dak. Bradley, Clark County, S. Dak. Canova, Miner County, S. Dak.	One active case, one mildly advanced, and one incipient allowed to go home.
U. S. Veterans' Hospital No. 65.	Chicago, Cook County, Ill... Deer Lodge, Powell County, Montana. Medina, Stutsman County, N. Dak. Fargo, Cass County, N. Dak. Sioux Falls, Minnehaha County, S. Dak. Newell, Butte County, S. Dak.	Two active cases, one advanced, one inactive, one unimproved, and one arrested released to go to their homes.

**CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922.**

**ANTHRAX.**

City.	Cases.	Deaths.
California: Los Angeles.....	1	-----

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

## CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.		City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
		Cases.	Deaths.			Cases.	Deaths.
California:				Michigan:			
San Francisco.....	0	1		Detroit.....	2		1
Santa Barbara.....	0		1	Nebraska:			
Connecticut:				Omaha.....	0	1	1
Bridgeport.....	0	1		New York:			
New Haven.....	0	1	1	Buffalo.....	0	2	
Illinois:				New York.....	9	10	2
Chicago.....	1	5	1	Troy.....	0		1
Danville.....	0	1	1	Watertown.....	0		1
Indiana:				North Carolina:			
East Chicago.....			1	Durham.....	0	1	
Massachusetts:				Pennsylvania:			
Fall River.....	0	2	1	Philadelphia.....	1	1	1
Malden.....	0		1	Wisconsin:			
New Bedford.....	0	1		Milwaukee.....	1	3	

## DIPHTHERIA.

See p. 777; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 763, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 767.

## INFLUENZA.

City.	Cases.		Deaths, week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	City.	Cases.		Deaths, week ended Mar. 11, 1922.
	Week ended Mar. 12, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.			Week ended Mar. 12, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
Alabama:				District of Columbia:			
Anniston.....	1			Washington.....	8	9	
Montgomery.....	4			Florida:			
Arkansas:				Tampa.....		3	
Little Rock.....	1	64		Georgia:			
California:				Albany.....		1	
Alameda.....	1	5	1	Atlanta.....	1	93	4
Bakersfield.....		47	3	Macon.....		18	
Berkeley.....	7			Rome.....		15	
Eureka.....		8		Savannah.....		2	3
Long Beach.....		69	1	Idaho:			
Los Angeles.....	8	1,243	33	Boise.....		75	
Oakland.....	10	29	4	Illinois:			
Pasadena.....		76		Alton.....		23	
Riverside.....		77		Chicago.....	13		
Sacramento.....	4	10	5	Chicago Heights.....			1
San Diego.....		356	10	Cicero.....		1	
San Francisco.....	22	121	21	Danville.....		3	
Santa Ana.....		90		East St. Louis.....		13	
Santa Barbara.....			2	Evanston.....		6	
Santa Cruz.....		13		Oak Park.....		1	
Stockton.....	4	39		Pekin.....		10	
Colorado:				Rockford.....		8	
Denver.....			11	Rock Island.....		3	
Pueblo.....			2	Springfield.....		2	1
Connecticut:				Indiana:			
Bridgeport.....		13	2	Crawfordsville.....		1	
Bristol.....		1	1	Indianapolis.....			3
Hartford.....		3		Kokomo.....			1
Meriden.....		36		Kansas:			
New Haven.....		9	1	Hutchinson.....		1	
New London.....		1	1	Kansas City.....		3	
Norwalk.....		1	1	Lawrence.....		7	
Stonington.....		30	1	Parsons.....		1	
Waterbury.....		7	1	Salina.....		1	
				Wichita.....	1		

CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

INFLUENZA—Continued.

City.	Cases.		Deaths, week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	City.	Cases.		Deaths, week ended Mar. 11, 1922.
	Week ended Mar. 12, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.			Week ended Mar. 12, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
Kentucky:				New Jersey:			
Lexington.....		3		Bayonne.....	2	1	
Louisville.....		67	3	Belleville.....	3		
Louisiana:				East Orange.....		1	
Baton Rouge.....	2			Garfield.....		1	
New Orleans.....	2	70	14	Harrison.....		1	
Maine:				Kearny.....	10	8	
Auburn.....			1	Montclair.....		5	
Bath.....		3		Newark.....	51	118	
Lewiston.....		2		Orange.....	1		
Portland.....			1	Passaic.....		8	1
Sanford.....		143	1	Paterson.....		5	
Maryland:				Plainfield.....		2	
Baltimore.....	169	327	7	Trenton.....		5	1
Cumberland.....		20		West Orange.....	2	2	
Massachusetts:				New Mexico:			
Attleboro.....		4		Albuquerque.....		56	2
Boston.....	14	119	9	New York:			
Braintree.....		21		Albany.....	1	119	
Brookline.....		7		Auburn.....		8	
Cambridge.....		36	4	Binghamton.....		54	
Chelsea.....		2		Buffalo.....	4	73	4
Clinton.....		1		Cohoes.....	1	28	
Danvers.....		1		Hornell.....		1	
Fall River.....	1	20	5	Ithaca.....		1	
Haverhill.....		18		Jamestown.....		16	
Leominster.....		2		Middletown.....		5	
Lowell.....		5		Mount Vernon.....	6	10	
Lynn.....		1	1	New York.....	124	310	37
Malden.....		1		Niagara Falls.....		1	
New Bedford.....		18	1	North Tonawanda.....		35	
Newton.....		1		Peekskill.....	1	4	1
North Adams.....	3	2		Poughkeepsie.....		4	1
Northampton.....		1	1	Rochester.....		39	2
Fitsfield.....		5	1	Saratoga Springs.....	1	167	
Quincy.....	1	13		Schenectady.....		2	1
Saugus.....	3			Syracuse.....		2	
Somerville.....		24		Watertown.....		8	
Southbridge.....		3	4	North Carolina:			
Springfield.....		7		Raleigh.....			1
Waltham.....		1		Salisbury.....	2		1
Watertown.....		4		Winston-Salem.....			1
Woburn.....			1	North Dakota:			
Worcester.....	4			Grand Forks.....		2	
Michigan:				Ohio:			
Battle Creek.....	1			Akron.....		8	
Detroit.....	2	112	18	Cambridge.....		1	
Flint.....		6		Canton.....			2
Grand Rapids.....		2		Cincinnati.....	2		
Saginaw.....		1		Cleveland.....		172	9
Minnesota:				Columbus.....		10	1
Minneapolis.....	65	10		East Cleveland.....			1
Rochester.....	1			East Youngstown.....			2
St. Paul.....			5	Hamilton.....		2	1
Winona.....	2			Mansfield.....		1	
Missouri:				Norwood.....		1	8
Independence.....			11	Toledo.....		36	1
Joplin.....		9		Youngstown.....		4	4
Kansas City.....	2	14	12	Zanesville.....			2
St. Joseph.....	2	2		Oregon:			
St. Louis.....	4	43	19	Portland.....		12	11
Springfield.....			3	Pennsylvania:			
Montana:				Philadelphia.....	14	23	17
Billings.....		56	1	Rhode Island:			
Great Falls.....		16	4	Providence.....		15	3
Missoula.....		244		South Carolina:			
Nevada:				Charleston.....		3	
Reno.....	23			Tennessee:			
New Hampshire:				Chattanooga.....		17	
Dover.....	1			Memphis.....			1
				Nashville.....			2

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

## INFLUENZA—Continued.

City.	Cases.		Deaths, week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	City.	Cases.		Deaths, week ended Mar. 11, 1922.
	Week ended Mar. 12, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.			Week ended Mar. 12, 1921.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
Texas:				West Virginia:			
Dallas.....	2	17	3	Bluefield.....		1	
Houston.....			3	Charleston.....		12	
Utah:				Fairmont.....		4	
Salt Lake City.....		10	3	Wisconsin:			
Vermont:				Beloit.....		3	
Rutland.....	1			Kenosha.....		13	
Virginia:				Madison.....		1	
Danville.....			2	Oshkosh.....		4	
Norfolk.....	1			Racine.....		2	
Petersburg.....		36		Wausau.....		2	
Richmond.....			2	Wyoming:			
Roanoke.....	10	9	2	Casper.....		18	1
Washington:							
Spokane.....		14					
Walla Walla.....		6					

## LEPROSY.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.
California:		
San Francisco.....		1

## LETHARGIC ENCEPHALITIS.

California:		
San Francisco.....	1	
Indiana:		
Frankfort.....		1
Massachusetts:		
Lawrence.....	1	1

## MALARIA.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:			Louisiana:		
Anniston.....	1		New Orleans.....	2	2
Tuscaloosa.....	7		New York:		
Florida:			New York.....	1	
Tampa.....	4				
Kentucky:					
Owensboro.....	2				

## MEASLES.

See p. 777; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 763, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 767.

## PELLAGRA.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:			Texas:		
Birmingham.....		1	Galveston.....		1
Mobile.....		1	Waco.....		1
Montgomery.....	1				
Michigan:					
Battle Creek.....	1	1			

CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS).

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
<b>Alabama:</b>			<b>Indiana:</b>		
Anniston.....	1		Crawfordsville.....		2
Birmingham.....		7	East Chicago.....		5
Mobile.....		1	Hammond.....		3
Montgomery.....		2	Huntington.....		2
<b>Arizona:</b>			Indianapolis.....		21
Tucson.....		5	Kokomo.....		2
<b>Arkansas:</b>			La Fayette.....		1
Fort Smith.....		1	Logansport.....		3
Little Rock.....	7		Muncie.....		3
<b>California:</b>			South Bend.....		1
Alameda.....		2	Terre Haute.....		1
Bakersfield.....		5	<b>Iowa:</b>		
Eureka.....		1	Council Bluffs.....		4
Long Beach.....		2	<b>Kansas:</b>		
Los Angeles.....	106	36	Coffeyville.....	1	
Oakland.....		14	Fort Scott.....		1
Pasadena.....	13	9	Hutchinson.....	3	
Riverside.....		2	Kansas City.....	2	
Sacramento.....		6	Lawrence.....		3
San Bernardino.....		3	Parsons.....	2	1
San Diego.....	13	7	Topeka.....	5	3
San Francisco.....	15	10	Wichita.....		9
Santa Ana.....		2	<b>Kentucky:</b>		
Santa Barbara.....		3	Covington.....		8
Santa Cruz.....		1	Lexington.....		5
Stockton.....		13	Louisville.....		13
Vallejo.....		1	Paducah.....	1	
<b>Colorado:</b>			<b>Louisiana:</b>		
Denver.....		29	Baton Rouge.....	2	1
Pueblo.....		4	New Orleans.....		38
<b>Connecticut:</b>			<b>Maine:</b>		
Bridgeport.....	9	3	Auburn.....		1
Bristol.....		2	Bath.....	3	1
Greenwich.....		1	Biddeford.....		4
Hartford.....	5	4	Lewiston.....		2
Manchester.....	2		Portland.....		9
Meriden.....	5	1	Sanford.....	10	1
Milford.....		1	<b>Maryland:</b>		
New Haven.....	24	22	Baltimore.....	161	64
New London.....		3	Cumberland.....	2	1
Norwalk.....		1	<b>Massachusetts:</b>		
Stonington.....		2	Adams.....		1
Waterbury.....	17	9	Amesbury.....	2	
<b>Delaware:</b>			Arlington.....		2
Wilmington.....		9	Attleboro.....		2
<b>District of Columbia:</b>			Belmont.....		1
Washington.....		22	Beverly.....		2
<b>Florida:</b>			Boston.....	78	52
Tampa.....	1		Brookline.....		2
<b>Georgia:</b>			Cambridge.....	14	12
Atlanta.....		16	Chelsea.....	10	6
Rome.....	1		Chicopee.....		2
Savannah.....		5	Clinton.....	1	
<b>Illinois:</b>			Danvers.....		
Alton.....	6	2	Everett.....	8	1
Aurora.....	2	1	Fall River.....	22	19
Bloomington.....		1	Framingham.....		3
Champaign.....	1		Gardner.....	1	
Chicago.....	504	117	Greenfield.....	2	1
Chicago Heights.....		2	Haverhill.....	5	2
Cicero.....		5	Holyoke.....		3
Danville.....	6	4	Lawrence.....		2
East St. Louis.....		8	Leominster.....	1	
Elgin.....		2	Lowell.....	9	4
Evanston.....	7		Lynn.....	15	5
Galesburg.....	7	5	Malden.....	2	
Jacksonville.....		5	Medford.....	4	2
Kewanee.....	4	2	Melrose.....	3	
La Salle.....	2		New Bedford.....		11
Mattoon.....	1		Newton.....		3
Oak Park.....	9		North Adams.....		1
Pekin.....	2		Northampton.....	3	1
Peoria.....		4	Norwood.....	5	2
Quincy.....	1		Pittsfield.....		1
Rock Island.....	5	3	Plymouth.....		2
Rockford.....		3	Quincy.....		2
Springfield.....	14	10	Salem.....	6	3



## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922 - Continued.

## PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)—Continued.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Massachusetts—Continued.			New York—Continued.		
Somerville.....	6	3	Hornell.....	2	1
Southbridge.....		2	Hudson.....		1
Springfield.....	9	2	Ithaca.....	1	
Taunton.....		4	Jamestown.....	5	1
Wakefield.....		1	Lackawanna.....	6	
Waltham.....	2	1	Lockport.....	4	2
Watertown.....		2	Middletown.....	2	1
Webster.....	1		Mount Vernon.....	22	2
Winthrop.....	4	2	Newburgh.....		1
Woburn.....		3	New York.....	574	294
Worcester.....		4	Niagara Falls.....	5	1
Michigan:			North Tonawanda.....	2	
Ann Arbor.....	12	4	Cgdensburg.....		1
Detroit.....	222	86	Feekskill.....	6	2
Flint.....		7	Fort Chester.....	5	1
Grand Rapids.....	9	3	Poughkeepsie.....	10	1
Hemlock.....	7	2	Rochester.....	57	16
Isabella.....		1	Rome.....	3	2
Kalamazoo.....	4	1	Saratoga Springs.....	3	
Port Huron.....	3	1	Schenectac y.....	7	4
Saginaw.....	7	4	Syracuse.....	22	3
Minnesota:			Troy.....	8	7
Austin.....		1	Watertown.....	6	
Minneapolis.....		10	Watervliet.....		1
St. Paul.....		13	White Plains.....	3	1
Missouri:			Yonkers.....		4
Kansas City.....	40	22	North Carolina:		
St. Joseph.....		16	Durham.....		2
Springfield.....		4	Greensboro.....		2
Montana:			Raleigh.....		1
Anaconda.....		4	Rocky Mount.....		1
Butte.....		15	Wilmington.....	5	2
Great Falls.....	4	3	Winston-Salem.....		3
Missoula.....	7	3	Ohio:		
Nebraska:			Akron.....	14	
Lincoln.....		3	Ashtabula.....		4
Omaha.....		9	Barberton.....		2
Nevada:			Cambridge.....		1
Reno.....		2	Canton.....		3
New Hampshire:			Chillicothe.....		3
Berlin.....	3		Cleveland.....	131	52
Concord.....		1	Columbus.....		19
Dover.....		1	Dayton.....	2	
Nashua.....		2	East Cleveland.....		1
New Jersey:			East Youngstown.....		1
Asbury Park.....		1	Hamilton.....		2
Bayonne.....	1		Ironton.....	2	1
Bloomfield.....	3	1	Kenmore.....	3	
Clifton.....	3	1	Lancaster.....		2
East Orange.....	6		Lima.....		4
Elizabeth.....		3	Mansfield.....	6	1
Garfield.....	2		Martins Ferry.....	2	1
Hackensack.....	4		Newark.....		4
Hoboken.....		5	Niles.....	1	
Jersey City.....	17		Piqua.....		1
Kearny.....	3	1	Springfield.....		3
Montclair.....	3	1	Toledo.....		7
Morristown.....		1	Zanesville.....		4
Newark.....	83	20	Oklahoma:		
Orange.....	6	2	Oklahoma.....		6
Passaic.....	7	3	Tulsa.....	1	
Paterson.....	9		Oregon:		
Patersonburg.....		2	Portland.....		17
Plainfield.....	6	4	Pennsylvania:		
Summit.....	2		Philadelphia.....	158	126
Trenton.....	6	2	Rhode Island:		
West Hoboken.....		1	Cranston.....		2
West Orange.....	2		Pawtucket.....		9
New Mexico:			Providence.....		16
Albuquerque.....	22	13	South Carolina:		
New York:			Charleston.....		4
Albany.....	30		Greenville.....		1
Auburn.....	1		South Dakota:		
Binghamton.....	25		Sioux Falls.....		1
Buffalo.....	94	32	Tennessee:		
Cohoes.....	6	1	Memphis.....		6
Fulton.....		1	Nashville.....		14
Glens Falls.....	3	1			

**CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.**

**PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)—Continued.**

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
<b>Texas:</b>			<b>West Virginia:</b>		
Corpus Christi.....	1	12	Bluefield.....		2
Dallas.....		3	Charleston.....		1
El Paso.....		7	Clarksburg.....		1
Fort Worth.....		2	Huntington.....		1
Galveston.....		4	Parkersburg.....		2
Houston.....		5	Wheeling.....		18
Waco.....			<b>Wisconsin:</b>		
<b>Utah:</b>			Beloit.....		1
Salt Lake City.....		9	Kenosha.....		3
<b>Vermont:</b>			Milwaukee.....	21	
Burlington.....		1	Oshkosh.....	1	
Rutland.....	2		Racine.....		1
<b>Virginia:</b>			Superior.....		3
Alexandria.....	4		<b>Wyoming:</b>		
Danville.....		11	Casper.....	12	3
Norfolk.....		1	Cheyenne.....		1
Petersburg.....		5			
Portsmouth.....		2			
Richmond.....		6			
Roanoke.....	5	2			

**POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).**

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.		City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
		Cases.	Deaths.			Cases.	Deaths.
<b>Illinois:</b>				<b>Michigan:</b>			
Chicago.....	1	1		Detroit.....	0	1	
East St. Louis.....	0	1		<b>New York:</b>			
<b>Indiana:</b>				New York.....	0	2	3
Fort Wayne.....	0	1					

**RABIES IN ANIMALS.**

City.	Cases.
<b>Missouri:</b>	
Kansas City.....	2
<b>New Jersey:</b>	
Plainfield.....	1

**SCARLET FEVER.**

See p. 777; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 763, and Monthly summaries by States, p. 767.

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

## SMALLPOX.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.		City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
		Cases.	Deaths.			Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:				Missouri:			
Mobile.....	1	7		Kansas City.....	5	6	3
Tuscaloosa.....	0	2		St. Joseph.....	12	1	
Arizona:				St. Louis.....	6	1	
Tucson.....	0		2	Montana:			
California:				Great Falls.....	4	6	
Bakersfield.....	1	4		Nevada:			
Long Beach.....	0	1		Reno.....	3	1	
Oakland.....	1	1		New York:			
Sacramento.....	1	1		Niagara Falls.....	0	1	
San Diego.....	0	2		North Carolina:			
San Francisco.....	16	3		Durham.....	0	6	
Colorado:				Winston-Salem.....	0	3	
Denver.....	11	8	5	Ohio:			
Bridgeport.....	0	9		Bucyrus.....	0	1	
Connecticut:				Dayton.....	0	4	
Fairfield.....		1		Fremont.....	0	3	
Milford.....		6		New Philadelphia.....	0	2	
District of Columbia:				Springfield.....	0	17	
Washington.....	0	4		Toledo.....	7	9	
Florida:				Oklahoma:			
Tampa.....	0	1		Oklahoma.....	10	7	
Georgia:				Oregon:			
Atlanta.....	7	1		Portland.....	7	20	
Brunswick.....	1	1		Rhode Island:			
Savannah.....	0	2		Cranston.....	0	3	
Valdosta.....	3	2		South Dakota:			
Illinois:				Sioux Falls.....	1	5	
Chicago.....	2	4	3	Tennessee:			
Pekin.....	0	2		Nashville.....	0	2	
Peoria.....	1	12		Texas:			
Springfield.....	1	1		Dallas.....	14	1	
Indiana:				Galveston.....	1	1	
Fort Wayne.....	1	1		Houston.....	1	2	
Indianapolis.....	18	1		Waco.....	2	3	
Iowa:				Utah:			
Des Moines.....	4	1		Salt Lake City.....	6	5	
Mason City.....	2	1		Virginia:			
Waterloo.....		1		Roanoke.....	1	1	
Kansas:				Washington:			
Hutchinson.....	1	2		Bellingham.....	2	4	
Kansas City.....	6	2		Seattle.....	5	2	
Wichita.....	10	5		Spokane.....	14	11	
Kentucky:				Tacoma.....	2	17	
Louisville.....	1	1		Walla Walla.....	0	2	
Michigan:				Yakima.....	7	1	
Alpena.....	0	1		Wisconsin:			
Ann Arbor.....	0	1		Madison.....	0	1	
Detroit.....	5	4		Manitowoc.....	0	1	
Flint.....	1	2		Milwaukee.....	4	4	
Muskegon.....	2		1	Oshkosh.....	1	1	
Saginaw.....	0	5		Superior.....	1	11	
Minnesota:				Wausau.....	0	1	
Hibbing.....	0	4					
Minneapolis.....	38	10	1				
St. Paul.....	8	14					
Winona.....	0	1					

**CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.**

**TETANUS.**

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Connecticut:			Pennsylvania:		
Danbury.....		1	Philadelphia.....		1
Greenwich.....	1		Tennessee:		
Georgia:			Chattanooga.....	3	
Rome.....	1				
New Jersey:					
Newark.....	1				
Trenton.....		1			

**TUBERCULOSIS.**

See p. 777: also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 763.

**TYPHOID FEVER.**

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1921, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full seven years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.		City.	Median for previous years.	Week ended Mar. 11, 1922.	
		Cases.	Deaths.			Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:				Nebraska:			
Birmingham.....	0	1	1	Omaha.....	0	1	
California:				New Jersey:			
Los Angeles.....	2	2		Orange.....	0	2	
San Francisco.....	0		1	Trenton.....	0	1	
Stockton.....	0		1	New York:			
Colorado:				Albany.....	1	1	
Pueblo.....	0	2	1	Buffalo.....	2	1	
Connecticut:				Lackawanna.....	0	2	
Manchester.....	0	1		New York.....	10	6	
District of Columbia:				Niagara Falls.....	0	1	
Washington.....	1	1		Troy.....	0	1	1
Florida:				Ohio:			
Tampa.....	2	1		Ashtabula.....	0		1
Georgia:				Cleveland.....	1	1	
Atlanta.....	0	1		Fremont.....	0	1	
Illinois:				Hamilton.....	0		1
Aurora.....	0	1		Lorain.....	0	1	
Chicago.....	3	7		Pennsylvania:			
Pekin.....	0	1		Philadelphia.....	4	1	
Indiana:				Pittsburgh.....	1	1	
Fort Wayne.....	0	1		Pottstown.....	0	1	
Iowa:				Texas:			
Waterloo.....		1		Galveston.....	1	1	
Louisiana:				Houston.....	0		1
New Orleans.....	2	2		Washington:			
Maryland:				Seattle.....	0	2	
Baltimore.....	3	1		Tacoma.....	0	1	
Massachusetts:				West Virginia:			
Boston.....	2	2		Charleston.....	0	1	
Fall River.....	1	2		Clarksburg.....	1		1
Michigan:				Wisconsin:			
Ann Arbor.....	0	1		Eau Claire.....	0	2	
Detroit.....	6	2	1	Kenosha.....	0	1	1
Saginaw.....	0	2		Marquette.....	0	4	
Minnesota:				Milwaukee.....	1	2	
Minneapolis.....	0	1		Superior.....	0		1
Missouri:							
Independence.....	1	1					
St. Joseph.....	0	1					
St. Louis.....	1	2	1				

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

## DIPHThERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS.

City.	Popula- tion Janu- ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	Total deaths from all causes.	Diphtheria.		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
			Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
<b>Alabama:</b>										
Birmingham.....	178,270	44	6		2				7	6
Mobile.....	60,151	13	1							4
Montgomery.....	43,464	13	1				1		1	
<b>Arizona:</b>										
Tucson.....	20,292	21								7
<b>Arkansas:</b>										
Fort Smith.....	28,811	8	4		1					1
Hot Springs.....	11,685	4								
Little Rock.....	64,997						5		2	
North Little Rock.....	14,048								2	
<b>California:</b>										
Alameda.....	28,306	14					1			1
Bakersfield.....	18,638	13					1			
Eureka.....	12,923	9					2		1	
Long Beach.....	55,593	19	4				2			1
Los Angeles.....	576,673	261	33	2	4		27		57	31
Oakland.....	216,361	74	11	2	3		5		4	2
Pasadena.....	45,354	23							3	1
Richmond.....	16,843	4								
Riverside.....	19,341	11								1
Sacramento.....	65,857	34	1				3		3	2
San Bernardino.....	18,721	9							2	2
San Diego.....	74,683	44	8						10	3
San Francisco.....	508,410	203	37	2	10		11		38	15
Santa Ana.....	15,485	5					1			1
Santa Barbara.....	19,441	12								
Santa Cruz.....	10,917	6					1			
Stockton.....	40,296	26	1				3		2	2
Vallejo.....	21,107	2	1							
<b>Colorado:</b>										
Denver.....	256,369	134	9		4		8			18
Pueblo.....	42,908	22	3				2			3
<b>Connecticut:</b>										
Bridgeport.....	143,538	39	8		5		11			4
Bristol.....	20,620						2			
Danbury (town).....	22,325	8	1							
Derby.....	11,238	5	1	1						
Fairfield (town).....	11,475	1	1							
Greenwich (town).....	22,123				1				1	
Hartford.....	138,036	53	12		67		5	1	3	2
Manchester (town).....	18,370	5	3							
Meriden (city).....	29,842		5				1		1	6
Milford (town).....	10,193	1	3							
New Haven.....	162,519	84	2		28	1	10		10	3
New London.....	25,688	8	1		5					1
Norwalk.....	27,700	7								1
Stonington (town).....	10,236	3	1		1		1			
Waterbury.....	91,410	31	1		1		16			
<b>Delaware:</b>										
Wilmington.....	110,168	43	1					3		4
<b>District of Columbia:</b>										
Washington.....	437,571	149	7		3		6		39	12
<b>Florida:</b>										
Tampa.....	51,252	15	3							1
<b>Georgia:</b>										
Atlanta.....	200,616	82	1	1			5		2	6
Brunswick.....	14,413	6								1
Macon.....	52,995				5					
Rome.....	13,252						4			
Savannah.....	83,252	32					2			1
Valdosta.....	10,783	2							1	
<b>Idaho:</b>										
Boise.....	21,393	4					5			
Pocatello.....	15,001	2								
<b>Illinois:</b>										
Alton.....	24,682	6	5				1			
Aurora.....	36,397	18	9		13				5	
Bloomington.....	28,725	12								
Chicago.....	2,701,705	855	157	18	294	6	120	3	269	45
Chicago Heights.....	19,653	9								
Cicero.....	44,995	14	1		1		1			
Danville.....	33,750	10	1				1		2	
East St. Louis.....	66,740	23	1	1					4	

CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

City.	Popula- tion Janu- ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	Total deaths from all causes.	Diphtheria.		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
			Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Illinois—Continued.										
Elgin	27,454	9					1			
Franston	37,215	10					4			
Forest Park	10,768				8		1			
Galesburg	23,934	18	1				1			
Jacksonville	15,713	14	2							3
Kewanee	16,023	6			1		1		1	
La Salle	13,050	3	2							
Mattoon	13,552	2					1			
Oak Park	39,830	9			7		4			
Pekin	12,066		2							
Peoria	76,121	30	1		1		2			1
Quincy	35,978	7					4		2	1
Rockford	65,651	16	4				7			1
Rock Island	35,177	10					3		1	1
Springfield	59,183	33						3	3	1
Indiana:										
Anderson	29,767	8	4	1			1			
Bloomington	11,595	4								
Clinton	10,962	6	2							
Crawfordsville	10,139	3							1	1
East Chicago	33,967	15	1						1	1
Fort Wayne	36,549	17	1		12		3		1	2
Frankfort	11,585	6			3		1			
Hammond	36,004	7							1	
Huntington	14,000	8	1				2			
Indianapolis	314,194	98	10	1	31		10		5	8
Kokomo	30,057	7					4			1
La Fayette	22,436	6								2
Logansport	21,626	9	1				1		1	2
Mishawaka	15,195	2					1			
Muncie	36,624	11	1		1					
South Bend	70,983	12							5	
Terre Haute	66,083	13					6			1
Iowa:										
Council Bluffs	36,162	16	1							2
Des Moines	126,468		3				8			
Iowa City	11,267		1							
Marshalltown	15,731						3			
Mason City	20,065	4								
Muscatine	16,068	7								
Ottumwa	23,003		1				5			
Waterloo	26,230		1				4			
Kansas:										
Atchison	12,630		2				1			
Coffeyville	13,452	2	2				1			
Fort Scott	10,693	4	4							
Hutchinson	23,293		4							
Kansas City	101,177		1				1			
Lawrence	12,456	7			1				2	
Leavenworth	16,912		2				1			1
Parsons	16,028		1				1			
Salina	15,085	6								
Topeka	50,022	4							4	
Wichita	72,128	24	5	2			3		4	1
Kentucky:										
Covington	57,121	22	1		10		1		1	
Lexington	41,534	19			10		1			4
Louisville	234,891	74	4	1	56	1	3		16	5
Paducah	24,735						8		1	
Louisiana:										
Baton Rouge	21,782	3	1				1			
New Orleans	387,219	180	17				2		30	24
Maine:										
Auburn	16,965	4								
Bath	14,731	4					1			
Biddeford	18,008	7	1							
Lewiston	31,791	17	2	1						
Portland	69,272	54	7				19	3		
Sanford	10,691	5			1					
Waterville	13,351		2							
Maryland:										
Baltimore	733,826	289	33	6	173		44		19	26
Cumberland	29,837	8	2						1	2



CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

City.	Popula- tion Janu- ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	Total deaths from all causes.	Diphtheria.		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
			Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Minnesota—Continued.										
Hibbing.....	15,089		2						1	
Mankato.....	12,469					9				
Minneapolis.....	380,582	105	23	1	41	46		14	3	
Rochester.....	13,722	11						1		
St. Cloud.....	15,873							1		
St. Paul.....	234,595	72	7	1	2	31		14	2	
Virginia.....	14,022							1		
Winona.....	19,143	2	1			1				
Missouri:										
Independence.....	11,686	0								
Kansas City.....	324,410	128	5	1		8		9	9	
St. Joseph.....	77,939	53	1	1		2			1	
St. Louis.....	772,897	300	45	1	2	12		31	14	
Springfield.....	39,631	25							3	
Montana:										
Anaconda.....	11,668	5							1	
Billings.....	15,100	4			1	2	1	1		
Butte.....	41,611	31							2	
Great Falls.....	24,121	12	4							
Missoula.....	12,668	11				2				
Nebraska:										
Lincoln.....	54,934	17			16	2		1	1	
Omaha.....	191,601	55	2	1	27				3	
Nevada:										
Reno.....	12,016	10								
New Hampshire:										
Berlin.....	16,104	2					1			
Concord.....	22,167	12								
Dover.....	13,029	5							1	
Keene.....	11,210	3								
Nashua.....	23,379	10	1					6		
New Jersey:										
Asbury Park.....	12,400	3				2		1		
Atlantic City.....	50,682	9			1	3		2	1	
Bayonne.....	76,754		2		6	1		2		
Belleville.....	15,660		1			2				
Bloomfield.....	22,019	5			16	1		1		
Clifton.....	26,470	2	1		1	1		2		
East Orange.....	50,710		1		5	8				
Elizabeth.....	95,682		11	1	2	11	1	6	1	
Englewood.....	11,627	2			2	1				
Garfield.....	19,381	4	2			2		2		
Hackensack.....	17,667	10	1		1	2		1		
Harrison.....	15,721				3	2		1		
Hoboken.....	68,166	20	2		15	3	2	1	1	
Jersey City.....	297,864		18		77	27		18		
Kearny.....	26,724	7				1		1		
Montclair.....	28,810	7	2			2				
Morristown.....	12,548	5				4		1		
Newark.....	414,216	135	16	1	99	67	1	33	16	
Orange.....	32,268	12			1	4		3		
Passaic.....	63,824	15	9	2	2	6		1		
Paterson.....	135,866		6		38	5		10		
Phillipsburg.....	16,923	7								
Plainfield.....	27,700	17			5				1	
Rahway.....	11,042	2	1			2				
Summit.....	10,174	4							1	
Trenton.....	119,289	37	7	1	4	6		14	1	
Union.....	20,651				10	6				
West Hoboken.....	40,068	3	2		9	1		2	1	
West New York.....	29,926	4	4		3	6				
West Orange.....	15,573	3	1			5		1		
New Mexico:										
Albuquerque.....	15,157	42	1			11	2	23	12	
New York:										
Albany.....	113,344		5		7	2		6		
Auburn.....	36,192	9	2			2		2		
Binghamton.....	66,800	22	2			3				
Buffalo.....	506,775	182	11	1	3	34	1	23	13	
Cohoes.....	22,987	6							2	
Fulton.....	13,043	5								
Geneva.....	14,648	2								
Glens Falls.....	16,638	9								



## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

## DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

City.	Popula- tion Janu- ary 1, 1921, subject to carrction.	Total deaths from all causes.	Diphtheria		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
			Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
New York—Continued.										
Hornell	15,025	1			1					
Hudson	11,745	14	3		2		2			
Ithaca	17,004	8							1	
Jamestown	28,917	17	4		18		1		1	2
Lackawanna	17,918	4					2			
Lockport	21,308	8					6		2	
Middletown	18,420						1			
Mount Vernon	42,736	10	2		9		10		3	1
Newburgh	30,366	7			2		1		3	
New York	5,621,151	1,650	230	16	1,665	20	453	7	287	1,103
Niagara Falls	50,760	14	5		2		20		1	1
North Tonawanda	15,482	2	2				1			1
Ogdensburg	14,600	4		1						1
Peekskill	15,868	10	1		5					1
Port Chester	16,573	6					1			
Poughkeepsie	35,009	13			53				2	2
Rochester	295,750	83	5	1	1		3	1	14	3
Rome	26,341	15	2		12					1
Saratoga Springs	13,181	6					1			
Schenectady	88,723	20	2				3		1	
Syracuse	171,717	43	14		2		17	1	5	2
Troy	72,613	39	7						5	2
Watertown	31,285	12					5			
Watervliet	16,073	1								
White Plains	21,031	6			32		3		1	1
Yonkers	190,226	26	4		8		15	2		2
North Carolina:										
Durham	21,719	6							1	
Greensboro	19,861	4								
Raleigh	24,418	8								1
Rocky Mount	12,742	5								
Salisbury	11,884	14								1
Wilmington	33,372	11	1						3	1
Winston-Salem	48,395	14					1		1	1
North Dakota:										
Fargo	21,961	0								
Ohio:										
Akron	208,435	37	4		35		13			
Ashtabula	22,082	10								
Barberton	18,911	11					1			
Bucyrus	10,425	1					1			
Cambridge	13,104	10			5		1			
Canton	87,091	16	4		16		1			1
Chillicothe	15,831	7	1							1
Cleveland	796,826	232	22	4	119		51	2	57	19
Columbus	237,031	83	6		4		6		5	7
Dayton	152,559	61	1				4		1	
East Cleveland	27,232	10			2		1		1	
East Youngstown	11,237	5								
Findlay	17,021	4	2							
Fremont	12,458	5								
Hamilton	39,675	15			5					2
Ironton	14,007	7							2	2
Kenmore	12,693		2						2	
Lancaster	14,706	5	1	1						
Lima	41,306	20	2				4			1
Lorain	37,295						3			
Mansfield	27,824	13	2				3		1	
Marion	27,891		1				1		3	
Martins Ferry	11,634	4								
Middletown	23,594	5					1		1	1
Newark	26,718	12	4		1		1			
New Philadelphia	10,718		1							
Niles	13,080	0	3							
Norwood	24,966	3					2		1	
Piqua	15,044	4								
Salem	10,305	3					3			
Springfield	60,840	14					2			1
Steubenville	28,506	14								
Toledo	243,109	69	0	2	9		6		2	6
Youngstown	132,356	41	1		11		6			2
Zanesville	29,569	18	1				4		2	

‡ Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued,

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

City.	Popula- tion Janu- ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	Total deaths from all causes.	Diphtheria.		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
			Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Oklahoma:										
Oklahoma.....	91,258	26	4				2		3	2
Tulsa.....	72,075		3		9		2			
Oregon:										
Portland.....	258,288	89	6		1		5		4	2
Pennsylvania:										
Allentown.....	73,502		2		1		4			
Altoona.....	60,331		1				3			
Ambridge.....	12,730		1							
Berwick.....	12,181									
Bethlehem.....	50,358		6		44		2			
Braddock.....	20,879				2				1	
Butler.....	23,778		1				1			
Canonsburg.....	10,632								1	
Carbondale.....	18,640						1			
Carlisle.....	10,916		1		1					
Carnegie.....	11,516						1			
Carrick.....	10,504						1			
Chester.....	58,030						6			
Columbia.....	10,836			2			4			
Connellsville.....	13,804		2				1			
Dubois.....	13,681		1							
Dunmore.....	20,250		1				1			
Easton.....	33,813		2				1		1	
Erie.....	93,372		2			1	3			
Farrell.....	15,586					1	1		1	
Harrisburg.....	75,917		8			3	1			
Hazleton.....	32,277		1		40		2			
Homestead.....	20,452		1							
Jeannette.....	10,627		2							
Johnstown.....	67,327		6		4		4			
Lancaster.....	53,150		8		5		10			
Lebanon.....	24,643		1				1		1	
McKeesport.....	45,975				3				1	
McKees Rocks.....	16,713								1	
Mahanoy City.....	15,599				2		1			
Monessen.....	18,179		1		1				1	
Mount Carmel.....	17,469		1				1			
Nanticoke.....	22,614		2							
New Castle.....	44,938		1		8		1			
New Kensington.....	11,987				1					
Oil City.....	21,274						1			
Philadelphia.....	1,823,158	651	71	13	25	2	121	1	87	47
Phoenixville.....	10,484						1			
Pittsburgh.....	588,193		25		39		30		21	
Plymouth.....	16,500		1		3					
Pottstown.....	17,431						1			
Pottsville.....	21,876		1		14		1			
Reading.....	107,784		8				2			
Scranton.....	137,783		6		6		4			
Shamokin.....	21,204				1					
Sharon.....	21,747						1			
Shenandoah.....	24,726		1		1		1			
Stealtown.....	13,428						1			
Sunbury.....	15,721		1		19					
Tamaqua.....	12,363		2		7					
Uniontown.....	15,692		2		2		2			
Warren.....	14,256		1							
Washington.....	21,480		3		5					
Wilkes-Barre.....	73,833		4		3		1			
Wilkinsburg.....	24,403						1		1	
Williamsport.....	38,198		2		2		2		2	
York.....	47,512		4				1		2	
Rhode Island:										
Cranston.....	29,407	7								1
Cumberland (town).....	10,077						1			
Fawtucket.....	64,248	26	5							1
Providence.....	237,595	83		2	1		7			3
South Carolina:										
Charleston.....	67,957	23								2
Columbia.....	37,524				2					
Greenville.....	23,127	5								
South Dakota:										
Sioux Falls.....	25,176	6	5		1		2			

## CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED MAR. 11, 1922—Continued.

## DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Continued.

City.	Popula- tion Janu- ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	Total deaths from all causes.	Diphtheria.		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
			Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
<b>Tennessee:</b>										
Chattanooga.....	57, 895						3			
Knoxville.....	77, 818		1		27				1	1
Memphis.....	162, 351	47	7				5		7	3
Nashville.....	118, 342	51	1				2		3	3
<b>Texas:</b>										
Corpus Christi.....	10, 522	5	1							2
Dallas.....	158, 976	57	3		102		7		5	3
El Paso.....	77, 543	25								6
Fort Worth.....	106, 452	53					1		2	2
Galveston.....	44, 255	16	1							
Houston.....	138, 076	43	1				1			
Waco.....	38, 500	15	1						2	2
<b>Utah:</b>										
Salt Lake City.....	118, 110	40	4		1		8	1	1	3
<b>Vermont:</b>										
Barre.....	10, 008						1			
Burlington.....	22, 779	6	1				4		1	1
Rutland.....	14, 954	6								1
<b>Virginia:</b>										
Alexandria.....	18, 060	6	1	1					1	2
Danville.....	21, 539	8			1					1
Lynchburg.....	29, 956		1						1	
Norfolk.....	113, 777		3				2		5	2
Petersburg.....	31, 002	11							1	1
Portsmouth.....	54, 387	12					2			1
Richmond.....	171, 667	49	3		15		2		7	1
Roanoke.....	50, 942	16	5						1	
<b>Washington:</b>										
Bellingham.....	25, 570						1			
Everett.....	27, 644						1			
Seattle.....	315, 652		5		1		5		59	
Spokane.....	104, 437		4				5			
Tacoma.....	96, 965		1		2		1			
Walla Walla.....	15, 503						2			
Yakima.....	18, 539						1			
<b>West Virginia:</b>										
Bluefield.....	15, 282	11								
Charleston.....	39, 608	19	2	1	2					4
Clarksburg.....	27, 869	9	1		2		1			1
Fairmont.....	17, 851		1		1		1			
Huntington.....	50, 177	12								
Martinsburg.....	12, 515				36				1	
Morgantown.....	12, 127		2							
Moundsville.....	10, 669	6			6		4	1		
Parkersburg.....	20, 050	10								
Wheeling.....	54, 322	38								1
<b>Wisconsin:</b>										
Beloit.....	21, 284	4					5		1	1
Eau Claire.....	20, 880						1		1	
Fond du Lac.....	23, 427	7	1						1	
Janesville.....	18, 293	12	4				1			1
Kenosha.....	40, 472	15	4	1	2		4			1
La Crosse.....	30, 363	1	1				1			
Madison.....	38, 378				3		1			
Maitowoc.....	17, 563		1		1		1			
Marinette.....	13, 610									
Milwaukee.....	457, 147		14		2		10		15	
Oshkosh.....	33, 162	10					2			
Racine.....	58, 598	12					8		4	1
Sheboygan.....	30, 955		3						1	
Stevens Point.....	11, 371						3			
Superior.....	39, 624	9	1				4		4	
Waukesha.....	12, 558						3		1	
Wausau.....	18, 661								1	
West Allis.....	13, 765						1		1	
<b>Wyoming:</b>										
Casper.....	11, 447	10							1	1
Cheyenne.....	13, 829	4					2		1	1

## FOREIGN AND INSULAR.

### INFLUENZA ON VESSELS.

#### Steamships At Ports In Union of South Africa.

Influenza has been reported on vessels arriving at ports in the Union of South Africa as follows:

The *Balmoral Castle*, at Cape Town, from England, January 23, 1922, with history of 20 cases, mostly mild, en route. Three further cases occurred among the crew during the voyage around the coast.

The *Banda* at Durban, January 25, 1921, from Java, with 781 Asiatic immigrants for the West Indies. An outbreak was stated to have occurred during the voyage, and 8 pneumonic cases were landed at Sabang, P. I., for treatment. Subsequently, 35 cases of mild type occurred.

The *Borda*, at Cape Town, from England for Australia, January 14, 1922, with history of 43 cases of influenza of very mild type during the voyage, the last case occurring January 2, 1922.

The *Cawdor Castle*, at Cape Town, from England, January 17, 1922, with history of 9 cases during the voyage, the last case occurring December 30, 1921.

### PLAGUE-INFECTED RODENTS ON VESSEL.

#### Steamship "Warwickshire"—At Liverpool, England.

Information dated February 21, 1922, shows the finding of 27 plague-infected rats and 1 plague-infected mouse on board the steamship *Warwickshire* at Liverpool, England, from Rangoon, India.

The *Warwickshire* left Rangoon January 5, 1922, calling at ports as follows: Colombo, Ceylon, January 9; Suez and Port Said, Egypt, January 23; Marseille, France, January 29; London, February 6; and Liverpool, February 12, 1922.

### CANADA.

#### Communicable Diseases—Ontario—January-February, 1922.

The following table shows the number of cases of communicable diseases occurring in the Province of Ontario, Canada, during the months of January and February, 1922, as compared with the number reported for the corresponding months of the year 1921. The number of deaths from these diseases is also shown. Population, estimated, 2,523,200.

## Communicable diseases, Ontario, Canada.

Disease.	January, 1922.		January, 1921.		February, 1922.		February, 1921.	
	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	7	6	2	2	6	6	12	12
Diphtheria.....	496	54	876	63	403	38	565	59
Influenza.....	34	31	34	18	.....	41	.....	17
Measles.....	205	3	595	9	554	1	395	8
Pneumonia.....	.....	288	.....	271	.....	289	.....	374
Pollomyelitis.....	2	.....	3	3	.....	.....	.....	.....
Scarlet fever.....	518	14	613	17	610	17	622	15
Smallpox.....	170	.....	902	3	185	.....	867	5
Tuberculosis.....	160	151	166	114	171	117	194	147
Typhoid fever.....	28	5	43	13	31	5	37	10
Whooping cough.....	89	5	396	9	158	4	257	17

## Smallpox, February, 1922—Distribution.

The 185 cases of smallpox reported in February, 1922, were distributed in 20 counties and 36 localities. The greatest prevalence was reported at Owen Sound, viz, 39 cases; at Niagara Falls, 20 cases were reported; at Toronto, 17 cases; at Leamington, 15 cases; and at Ottawa, 14 cases. No fatalities from the disease were reported. The total number of cases reported for the corresponding month of the year 1921 was 867, with 5 deaths.

## Venereal Diseases—February, 1922.

During the month of February, 1922, 3 cases of chancroid, 138 cases of gonorrhoea, and 181 cases of syphilis were reported in Ontario, as compared with 12 cases of chancroid, 245 cases of gonorrhoea, and 195 cases of syphilis reported during February, 1921.

## CHILE.

## Smallpox—February, 1922.

Under date of February 15, 1922, smallpox was reported prevalent throughout the southern Provinces of Chile. At Osorno, from the beginning of the epidemic, 87 cases have been reported; at Temuco, 80 cases have been reported.

Smallpox Prevalence in 1921.<sup>1</sup>

During the period January to September, 1921, approximately 5,500 cases of smallpox, with 2,500 deaths, were reported for all Chile. In November, 1921, smallpox was reported as diffused in the southern Provinces of Chile, but not in epidemic form.

## FINLAND.

Influenza.<sup>2</sup>

During the period February 1-15, 1922, 4,547 cases of influenza were reported in Finland. (Population, officially estimated, 3,325,814.)

<sup>1</sup> Public Health Reports, Dec. 23, 1921, p. 3166, and Jan. 23, 1922, p. 145.

<sup>2</sup> Public Health Reports, Mar. 10, 1922, p. 600.

**GREAT BRITAIN.****Examination of Rats—Liverpool.**

Report of examination at Liverpool, England, of rats collected in the city and port of Liverpool, the latter area including quays, ships, and warehouses, shows, for the period December 25, 1921, to February 18, 1922, a total of 1,532 rats examined. No plague infection was found except in 27 rats and one mouse taken from the steamship *Warwickshire*, from Rangoon, which arrived at Liverpool February 12, 1922.<sup>1</sup>

**INDIA.****School of Tropical Medicine—Calcutta.**

The Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine was formally opened in Calcutta, February 4, 1922. The school is stated to have a staff of 10 professors, six full-time research workers, and a number of assistants for instruction and investigation in tropical diseases, to be associated with an institute of hygiene and a hospital for tropical diseases.

**ITALY.****Increased Influenza Prevalence—Genoa.**

Under date of February 18, 1922, an increase in influenza prevalence was reported at Genoa, Italy. The number of cases was not reported. For the 10-day period ended January 31, 1922, the increase in the total number of deaths was stated to be approximately 150.

**MAURITIUS.****Further Relative to Plague—Port Louis.<sup>2</sup>**

Plague has been further reported at Port Louis, Island of Mauritius, as follows: December 1 to 30, 1921, 82 cases with 41 deaths; December 31, 1921, to January 11, 1922, 7 cases with 2 deaths. During the first period under report, 155 dead rats and 4 dead cats were reported found; during the second period, the finding of 17 dead rats was reported.

**MEXICO.****Plague-Infected Rodent—Tampico.**

During the period March 12–18, 1922; one plague-infected rodent was reported found at Tampico, Mexico, making a total of 12 infected rodents found at that place from January 1 to March 18, 1922.

**PERU.****Plague—February 1–15, 1922.**

During the period February 1 to 15, 1922, 42 cases of plague with 12 deaths were reported in Peru. The occurrence was distributed in 15 localities. During the same period plague was reported present at Trujillo.

<sup>1</sup> See p. 784.<sup>2</sup> Public Health Reports, Mar. 10, 1922, p. 601.

## POLAND.

Communicable Diseases—December 4–31, 1921.<sup>1</sup>

Communicable diseases have been reported in Poland, exclusive of the districts of Brest-Litovsk, Minsk, and Wilno, as follows:

*December 4–31, 1921.*

Disease.	Cases.	Deaths.	Locality of highest proportional mortality.
Cerebrospinal meningitis.....	22	24	Lodz.
Diphtheria.....	467	142	Lwow.
Measles.....	2, 288	101	Nowogrodek.
Scarlet fever.....	2, 376	381	Lwow.
Smallpox.....	164	34	Kielce.
Tuberculosis.....	267	641	Lwow.
Typhoid fever.....	2, 586	247	Do.
Typhus fever.....	3, 600	313	Polesia.

## UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA.

Anthrax.<sup>2</sup>

Anthrax was reported present in the Union of South Africa during the week ended January 21, 1922.

According to information received under date of February 2, 1922, importation of shaving brushes of Japanese origin into the Union of South Africa was prohibited by proclamation of May, 1920, but in view of assurances given by the Japanese Government as to the effective disinfection of all material of such brushes before manufacture, the proclamation was rescinded. The efficacy of this system, it was stated, will be checked by bacteriological examination of samples from consignments of shaving brushes landed at Union ports before release.

Epidemic Influenza.<sup>3</sup>

Epidemic influenza was reported, February 2, 1922, in the Point Area, Durban; also at Mpfana, State of Natal, with spread to the neighboring district of Greytown. Many of the cases were stated to be of gastro-intestinal type with tendency to dysenteric complications, and with considerable mortality among natives.

Under date of February 6, 1922, spread of influenza from Mpfana along the Tugela Valley was reported.

Some prevalence of influenza was also reported from Northern Rhodesia, at Broken Hill and Chinsali.

<sup>1</sup> Public Health Reports, Mar. 10, 1922, p. 601.

<sup>2</sup> Public Health Reports, Jan. 20, 1922, p. 144.

<sup>3</sup> The occurrence of influenza on vessels at ports in the Union of South Africa is reported on p. 784.

**Plague—Mortality Among Rodents—Orange Free State.**

Three cases of plague, occurring among natives, were reported found January 25, 1922, on Boschrand farm, 10 miles from Kroonstad, Orange Free State. The cases terminated fatally.

Mortality suspected of being due to plague was stated to have been observed among rodents on farms in this locality, near Holfontein Station, and in stacks of grain on the railway premises at Bothaville. Special importance attaches to these conditions, as they occur at localities on the railroad.

**Smallpox—Typhus Fever—December, 1921.**

During the month of December, 1921, smallpox and typhus fever were reported in the Union of South Africa as follows:

*Smallpox.*—Among the colored population, 80 cases with 1 death. Of this number, 39 cases with 1 death occurred in Natal; 25 cases in the Cape Province; 1 case in the Orange Free State, and 15 cases in the Transvaal. Two cases of smallpox were reported in the white population.

*Typhus fever.*—Among the colored population 795 cases of typhus fever were reported with 126 deaths. Of this number 568 cases with 86 deaths occurred in the Cape Province, 80 cases with 18 deaths in Natal, 117 cases with 20 deaths in the Orange Free State, and 30 cases with 2 deaths in the Transvaal. There were reported 13 cases of typhus with 3 deaths among the white population, of which 12 cases with 2 deaths occurred in the Cape Province and 1 case with 1 death in the Transvaal.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER.**

Reports Received During Week Ended Mar. 31, 1922.<sup>1</sup>

**CHOLERA.**

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India:				
Calcutta.....	Feb. 5-11.....	16	15	
Rangoon.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	16	15	
Philippine Islands:				
Manila.....	Feb. 5-11.....	10	.....	

**PLAGUE.**

Brazil:				
Bahia.....	Jan. 22-28.....	6	4	
Ceylon:				
Colombo.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	2	3	One rodent plague.
China:				
Hongkong.....	do.....	4	1	
India:				
Calcutta.....	Feb. 5-11.....	1	1	
Karachi.....	do.....	19	13	
Madras Presidency.....	do.....	496	375	
Rangoon.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	43	37	

<sup>1</sup> From officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.



# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

## Reports Received During Week Ended Mar. 31, 1922—Continued.

### PLAGUE—Continued

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
<b>Mauritius:</b>				
Port Louis.....	Dec. 1-30.....	82	41	Dead rats found, 155; dead cats, 4.
Do.....	Dec. 31-Jan. 11.....	7	2	Dead rats found, 17.
<b>Mexico:</b>				
Tampico.....				Mar. 12-18, 1922: One plague-infected rodent found.
<b>Peru</b>				Feb. 1-15, 1922: Cases, 42; deaths, 12.
<b>Locality—</b>				
Callao.....	Feb. 1-15.....	4	2	
Casma.....	do.....	5		
Chiclayo.....	do.....	10	8	
Chilca.....	do.....	8		
Huscho.....	do.....	1		
Lambayeque.....	do.....	2	1	
Lima (city).....	do.....	1	1	
Lima (country).....	do.....	2		
Mollendo.....	do.....	1		
Pacasmayo.....	do.....	1		
Payta.....	do.....	1		
Piura.....	do.....	1		
Sullana.....	do.....	1		
Trujillo.....	do.....			Present.
Tumbes.....	do.....	4		
<b>Siam:</b>				
Bangkok.....	Jan. 8-21.....	7	4	
<b>Straits Settlements:</b>				
Singapore.....	Jan. 22-Feb. 4.....	4	4	
<b>Union of South Africa:</b>				
Orange Free State.....	Jan. 25.....	3	3	On Boschrand Farm, 10 miles from Kroonstad.
<b>On vessel:</b>				
S. S. Warwickshire.....	Feb. 12.....			At Liverpool, England, from Rangoon and ports. Plague-infected rats, 27; one plague mouse.

### SMALLPOX.

<b>Canada—</b>				
<b>New Brunswick—</b>				
Westmoreland County.....	Mar. 5-11.....	7		
<b>Ontario.....</b>				Jan. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 170. Feb. 1-28, 1922: Cases, 185.
Ottawa.....	Mar. 5-18.....	6		
Toronto.....	Mar. 5-11.....	2		
<b>Saskatchewan—</b>				
Regina.....	do.....	1		
<b>Ceylon:</b>				
Colombo.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	1		
<b>Chile.....</b>				Jan.-Sept., 1921: Cases, 5,500 (approximately); deaths, 2,500 (approximately).
Osorno.....				From beginning of outbreak to Feb. 15, 1922: Cases, 87.
Talcahuano.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 11.....	3		
Temuco.....				From beginning of outbreak to Feb. 15, 1922: 80 cases reported.
<b>China:</b>				
Foochow.....	Jan. 15-Feb. 11.....			Present.
Hongkong.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	5	4	
Mukden.....	do.....			Do.
Shanghai.....	Feb. 6-19.....	3	30	Cases, foreign; deaths, native.
Tsingtau.....	Jan. 23-Feb. 12.....	9	6	
<b>Dominican Republic:</b>				
San Pedro de Macoris.....	Feb. 12-25.....			About 66 cases present in surrounding country.
<b>Finland.....</b>				Feb. 1-13, 1922: Cases, 19.
<b>India:</b>				
Calcutta.....	Feb. 5-11.....	22	20	
Karachi.....	do.....	7	2	
Madras.....	do.....	73	32	
Rangoon.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	7		

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.**

**Reports Received During Week Ended Mar. 31, 1922—Continued.**

**SMALLPOX—Continued.**

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Mexico:				
San Luis Potosi.....	Mar. 5-11.....		1	
Palestine:				
Jerusalem.....	Feb. 14-20.....	1		
Poland.....				Dec. 4-31, 1921: Cases, 164; deaths, 34. Exclusive of districts of Brest-Litovsk, Minsk and Wilno.
Spain:				
Huelva.....	Dec. 1-31.....		1	
Seville.....	Feb. 19-25.....		5	
Straits Settlements:				
Singapore.....	Jan. 22-Feb. 4.....	26	7	
Switzerland:				
Lucerne.....	Feb. 1-28.....	12		
Union of South Africa:				
Cape Providence.....	Dec. 1-31.....	25		Dec. 1-31, 1921: (Colored) cases, 80; deaths, 1. (White) cases 2.
Natal.....	do.....	39	1	
Orange Free State.....	do.....	1		
Transvaal.....	do.....	15		
Yugoslavia:				
Belgrade.....	Dec. 11-17.....	4		
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 18.....	6		

**TYPHUS FEVER.**

Algeria:				
Oran.....	Feb. 21-28.....		1	
Bulgaria:				
Sofia.....	Feb. 12-18.....	1		
Chile:				
Talcahuano.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 5.....	2		
China:				
Antung.....	Feb. 6-12.....	1		
Egypt:				
Alexandria.....	Feb. 12-18.....	4	1	
Greece:				
Saloniki.....	Jan. 23-29.....	1		
Palestine:				
Jerusalem.....	Feb. 14-20.....	3		
Poland.....				Dec. 4-31, 1921: Cases, 3,600; deaths, 313. Exclusive of districts of Brest-Litovsk, Minsk, and Wilno.
Portugal:				
Oporto.....	Feb. 19-Mar. 4.....	9		
Siberia:				
Vladivostok.....	Dec. 25-31.....	5	1	
Tunis:				
Tunis.....	Feb. 5-18.....	1	2	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 11.....	11		
Union of South Africa:				
Cape Province.....	Dec. 1-31.....	580	88	Dec. 1-31, 1921: (Colored) cases, 795; deaths, 126. (White) cases, 13; deaths, 3.
Natal.....	do.....	80	18	White, 12 cases, 2 deaths.
Orange Free State.....	do.....	117	20	
Transvaal.....	do.....	31	3	White, 1 case, 1 death.

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922.

## CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India.....				Oct. 2-Dec. 10, 1921: Deaths, 34,199.
Bombay.....	Oct. 30-Nov. 5.....	1		
Calcutta.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 31.....	71	60	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4.....	79	69	
Karachi.....	Nov. 6-12.....	1	1	
Madras.....	Dec. 11-31.....	4	1	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4.....	10	7	
Rangoon.....	Oct. 1-Dec. 31.....	30	24	
Do.....	Jan. 1-14.....	5	3	
Indo-China:				
Saigon.....	Nov. 6-12.....	1	1	
Java:				
West Java—				
Batavia.....	Nov. 1-7.....	2	2	At Lebak.
Philippine Islands:				
Manila.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 31.....	49	18	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4.....	64	23	
Provinces—				
Bulacan.....	Dec. 25-31.....	1		
Pampanga.....	do.....	1		
Zambales.....	Dec. 11-31.....	31	18	
Poland.....				Aug. 14-Sept. 10, 1921. Cases, 4; deaths, 1.
Russia:				Present.
Kharkoff.....	Jan. 28.....			
Kieff.....	Dec. 15-Jan. 11.....	259		
Letvia—				
Riga.....				At quarantine station in October, 1921: One case.
Odessa.....	Jan. 28.....			Present.
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 24.....	8	4	

## PLAGUE.

Asia Minor:				
Smyrna.....	Nov. 27-Dec. 3.....	1	1	
Australia:				
New South Wales—				
Sydney.....	do.....	2	1	Dec. 7-13: 4 plague rats. Jan. 15-21, 1922: One plague rat.
Do.....	Jan. 29—Mar. 18.....	6		
Queensland—				
Brisbane.....	Oct. 30-Dec. 31.....	27	20	Total, Aug. 22-Dec. 31, 1921: Cases, 41; deaths, 27. Total infected rats, 54. Total cases, Jan. 1-Mar. 18, 1922: 9. Total infected rats, 10.
Do.....	Jan. 1—Mar. 18.....	10		
Bundaberg.....	Mar. 5-11.....	1		
Cairns.....	Oct. 30-Dec. 31.....	6	3	Plague rats: 9.
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....	1	1	
Cooktown.....	Oct. 30-Nov. 5.....	1	1	Pestis minor.
Ingham.....				Nov. 6-Dec. 24, 1921: Plague rats, 14. Jan. 1-14, 1922: 2 plague rats.
Inisfail.....				Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 1921: 1 plague rat.
Ipswich.....	Dec. 11-17.....	1	1	
Port Douglas.....	Nov. 13-19.....	1	1	
Townsville.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 3.....	2	2	Total cases, 27; deaths, 18.
Do.....	Jan. 1-14.....	2	2	To Jan. 14, 1922: Cases, 32; deaths, 21.
Azores:				
Islands—				
Fayal.....	Jan. 16-22.....	9	2	Nov. 27-Dec. 31, 1921: Cases, 23; deaths, 9. Jan. 1-21, 1922: Cases, 13; deaths, 8.
St. Michael.....				3 miles from port.
Arrifes.....	Dec. 25-31.....	1	1	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....	1		
Fernæs d'Ajuda.....	Nov. 27-Dec. 3.....			Present. 6 miles from port.
Do.....	Jan. 15-21.....	3	2	
Ribeira Grandó.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 10.....	19	8	9 miles from port.
Do.....	Jan. 8-14.....	9	6	
Livramento.....	Dec. 4-10.....	2		
Ponta Delgada.....	do.....	1		Vicinity of Ponta Delgada.

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

## PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
<b>Brazil:</b>				
Bahia.....	Oct. 30-Dec. 31....	13	12	
Do.....	Jan. 1-31.....	6	5	
Para.....	Feb. 6-12.....	1	1	
Rio de Janeiro.....	Jan. 22-28.....	1	1	
<b>British East Africa:</b>				
Uganda.....	Aug. 1-Nov. 29....	160	140	Aug. 1-Oct. 31, 1921: Reports of inspectors, deaths, 343; reports of chiefs, deaths, 651.
<b>Ceylon:</b>				
Colombo.....	Oct. 30-Dec. 31....	13	10	Oct. 30-Dec. 24, 1921: Rodent plague, 6.
Do.....	Jan. 1-Jan. 28....	12	12	Infected rats, 9.
<b>China:</b>				
Hongkong.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 17....	6	7	
Do.....	Jan. 1-28.....	12	7	
<b>Ecuador:</b>				
Guayaquil.....	Nov. 16-Dec. 31....	18	6	Rats examined, 2,958; found infected, 90. Total, July-Dec. 15, 1921: Cases, 28. Jan. 1-31, 1922: Rats examined, 6,200; found infected, 153.
Do.....	Jan. 1-31.....	20	9	
<b>Egypt.....</b>				
<b>City—</b>				
Alexandria.....	Dec. 5-30.....	7	2	
Do.....	Jan. 17-Feb. 7....	4	2	
Port Said.....	Dec. 20.....	1	1	
Suez.....	Nov. 22-Dec. 31....	16	9	
Do.....	Jan. 2-29.....	4	2	
<b>Province—</b>				
Girgeh.....	Jan. 12.....	1	1	Septicemic.
Kenah.....	Dec. 1.....	1	1	Do.
Do.....	Jan. 21-Feb. 8....	3	2	1 case septicemic.
<b>Greece:</b>				
Preveza.....	Feb. 8.....			Outbreak. Port on the Ionian Sea.
<b>India.....</b>				
<b>Bombay.....</b>				
Bombay.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 24....	7	6	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....	1	1	
Calcutta.....	Jan. 28-Feb. 4....	1	1	
Karachi.....	Nov. 6-Dec. 31....	5	5	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4....	17	12	
Madras.....	Dec. 11-17.....	1	1	
Madras Presidency.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 31....	2,047	1,438	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4....	1,469	1,044	
Rangoon.....	Oct. 1-Dec. 31....	139	129	
Do.....	Jan. 1-28.....	132	121	
<b>Indo-China:</b>				
Saigon.....				Nov. 6-Dec. 24, 1921: Rodent plague, 10. Jan. 8-14, 1922: Rodent plague, 1.
<b>Italy:</b>				
Catania.....	Nov. 27.....	1	1	Total, Oct. 16-Nov. 27, 1921: Cases, 8 (of which 1 doubtful); deaths, 5.
<b>Naples (Province)—</b>				
Torre Annunziata.....	Oct. 22-Dec. 27....	2	1	17 miles from city of Naples.
Venice.....	Oct. 27.....	1	1	
<b>Java.....</b>				
<b>Islands of Java and Madoera,</b>				
				Nov. 1-Dec. 31, 1921; deaths, 1,781.
<b>East Java—</b>				
Soerabaya.....	Oct. 30-Dec. 10....	11	12	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....	2	2	
<b>Madagascar:</b>				
Tananarive.....	Mar. 2.....	38	1	Among natives. Entire city reported infected Feb. 4: Present.
<b>Mauritius (Island):</b>				
Port Louis.....	Oct. 29-Nov. 30....	159	101	Plague-infected rats, 176; plague-infected cats, 36. (Corrected report.)

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

## PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Mesopotamia:				
Bagdad.....	Oct. 1-31.....	1	1	
Mexico:				
Tampico.....				Dec. 18-31, 1921: Infected rodents found, 5; total, Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 1921, infected rodents, 322; Jan. 1-Mar. 11, 1922, 11 plague-infected rodents.
Vera Cruz.....				One infected rodent caught Dec. 5, 1921.
Peru.....				Nov. 17-Dec. 31, 1921: Cases, 94; deaths, 35. Occurring in Callao, Huacho, Huaras, Lima, Magdalena Vieja, Paita, Salaverry, and Sechura. Jan. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 65; deaths, 29. (Corrected report.)
Localities—				
Bambamarca.....	Jan. 1-15.....			Present. Rural.
Barranco.....	Jan. 16-31.....	1		
Callao.....	Jan. 1-15.....	2		Rural. Year, 1921: Deaths, 30.
Chilca.....	Jan. 16-31.....	3	2	
Chiclavo.....	do.....	5	2	
Cutervo.....	Jan. 1-15.....	1		Rural.
Guadalupe.....	Jan. 1-31.....	7	2	
Huacho.....	do.....	2		
Hualgayoc.....	Jan. 16-31.....			Province. Present.
Huaral.....	Jan. 1-15.....	2		
Jayanca.....	do.....			Present.
Lambayeque.....	Jan. 16-31.....	1		
Lima.....	Jan. 1-31.....	3		In district, 11 cases; 3 deaths.
Payta.....	do.....	25	19	
Salaverry.....	Jan. 16-31.....	1		
San Pedro.....	Jan. 1-15.....	1		
Sullana.....	do.....		1	
Portugal:				
Lisbon.....	Dec. 15.....	1	1	
Portuguese West Africa:				
Angola—				
Loanda.....	Oct. 9-Nov. 5.....		2	
Rhodes (Island) (Aegean Sea).....	Oct. 13.....	3	1	
Senegal—				
Dakar.....				Jan. 1-31, 1922: 1 rodent plague.
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 31.....	7	6	
Straits Settlements:				
Singapore.....	Nov. 6-Dec. 31.....	3	3	
Do.....	Jan. 15-21.....	1		
Syria:				
Beirut.....	Oct. 9-Nov. 20.....	10	4	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	Jan. 1-7.....	1		
Union of South Africa:				
Orange Free State—				
Bothaville.....	Nov. 19.....			Plague-infected mouse found.
Hoopstad.....	Dec. 4-10.....	1		In native herd boy.
On vessel:				
S. S. Polycarp.....	Feb. 3.....	1		At Para, Brazil, from Ceara, via Manaus, Maranham, and Para for New York.
S. S. Tango Maru.....	Dec. 31.....	1		At Thursday Island Quarantine, Australia, from Kobe, via Nagasaki, Hongkong, Manila, and Zamboanga.

## SMALLPOX.

Arabia:				
Aden.....	Dec. 25-31.....		1	
Do.....	Jan. 8-14.....		1	
Asia Minor:				
Smyrna.....	Jan. 15-21.....	1		In district.
Algeria:				
Algiers.....				Jan. 1-31, 1922: 1 case.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.**

**Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.**

**SMALLPOX—Continued.**

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
<b>Bolivia:</b>				
La Paz.....	Aug. 1-Dec. 31.....	60	41	
Do.....	Jan. 1-31.....	15	9	
<b>Brazil:</b>				
Bahia.....	Nov. 6-Dec. 17.....	4		
Do.....	Jan. 8-14.....	1		
Rio de Janeiro.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 31.....	13	2	
Do.....	Jan. 1-28.....	16	4	
Sao Paulo.....	Oct. 31-Dec. 25.....	11		
Do.....	Dec. 26-Jan. 1.....	1		
<b>British East Africa:</b>				
Uganda.....	Aug. 1-Nov. 30.....	22	3	Reports of inspectors: cases, 4.
<b>Canada:</b>				
<b>British Columbia—</b>				
Vancouver.....	Dec. 25-31.....	3		
Do.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	1		
<b>Manitoba.....</b>				Year 1921: Cases, 71.
Winnipeg.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 3.....	2		
<b>New Brunswick—</b>				
Charlotte County.....				Dec. 17, 1921: 31 cases previously reported, occurring at Andersonville and Blacks Harbor. Dec. 18-24, 1921: Cases, 3. Dec. 25-31, 1921: Cases, 2. Feb. 19-20, 1922: Cases, 2.
St. Stephen.....	Dec. 11-17.....	2		Dec. 11-31, 1921: Cases, 3. Feb. 12-25, 1922: Cases, 4.
Restigouche County.....				20 miles from Campbellton.
Charlo.....	Feb. 19-25.....	2		
York County.....	Dec. 11-17.....	1		
Do.....	Jan. 29-Feb. 4.....	1		
<b>Ontario.....</b>				Dec. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 128.
Fort William and Port Arthur.....	Jan. 1-21.....	3		
Hamilton.....	Jan. 22-28.....	3		
Kingston.....	Jan. 17-Feb. 11.....	5		Jan. 16-20, 1922: Two cases reported.
Niagara Falls.....	Dec. 11-24.....	2		
Do.....	Jan. 15-Mar. 4.....	25		
North Bay.....	Feb. 12-18.....	1		
Ottawa.....	Dec. 11-24.....	17		
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 25.....	26		
Sault Ste. Marie.....	Jan. 15-21.....	1		
Toronto.....	Dec. 11-24.....	4		
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 25.....	45		
Windsor.....	Jan. 8-Mar. 4.....	3		
<b>Quebec—</b>				
Montreal.....	Dec. 11-24.....	1		
<b>Saskatchewan—</b>				
Regina.....	Jan. 1-21.....	3		
Saskatoon.....	Dec. 1-18.....	6		
Do.....	Feb. 5-18.....	3		
<b>Canal Zone:</b>				
Ancon.....				Admitted to hospital by transfer from Panama, Nov. 30, 1921, 1 case. Arrived on sailing vessel from a village on south coast.
<b>Ceylon:</b>				
Colombo.....	Nov. 27-Dec. 3.....	1		Port case.
<b>Chile.....</b>				Nov. 15-21, 1921: Diffused in southern provinces; not epidemic.
Concepcion.....	Nov. 23-Dec. 26.....		25	Nov. 15-21, 1921: Present. In vicinity, at Hualqui, cases, 32; deaths, 5. Dec. 4-17, 1921: Present.
Do.....	Dec. 27-Jan. 30.....		21	Present.
Coronel Curanilahue.....	Nov. 15-Dec. 17.....			Present.
Lota.....	Nov. 15-21.....	4		
Talcahuano.....	Nov. 15-Dec. 24.....	6		Oct. 28, 1921-Jan. 31, 1922: Cases, 879; deaths, 338.
Do.....	Jan. 8-28.....			Do.
Temuco.....	Nov. 15-21.....	9		
Valparaiso.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 31.....		94	
Do.....	Jan. 1-21.....		39	
<b>China:</b>				
Amoy.....	Nov. 16-Dec. 31.....		7	Nov. 23-29, 1921: Present. Jan. 22-28, 1922: Present.
Do.....	Jan. 1-21.....		4	
Antung.....	Nov. 28-Dec. 18.....	4	1	

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

## SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
<b>China—Continued.</b>				
Canton.....	Dec. 1-31.....			Present.
Changsha.....	Jan. 16-22.....	1		
Chungking.....	Nov. 6-Dec. 31.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-21.....			Do.
Foochow.....	Nov. 6-Dec. 31.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-14.....			Do.
Hankow.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 31.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-21.....	2		
Harbin.....	Nov. 14-Dec. 11.....	5		
Do.....	Dec. 26-Jan. 1.....	2		
Hongkong.....	Dec. 3-31.....	5		
Do.....	Jan. 1-28.....	6	3	
Mukden.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 31.....			Do
Do.....	Jan. 15-21.....			Do.
Nanking.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 17.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 15-Feb. 4.....			Do.
Shanghai.....	Oct. 31-Dec. 31.....	67	194	Cases, foreign; deaths, Chinese and foreign. Jan. 14, 1922: Conditions serious. Populations: Native, 790,000; foreign, 24,000.
Do.....	Jan. 2-Feb. 5.....	28	151	Cases, foreign; deaths native. Jan. 14, 1922: Seriously prevalent.
Tientsin.....	Dec. 11-17.....	2		In Mission Hospital.
Tsingtau.....	Jan. 1-15.....	5	4	
<b>Chosen (Korea):</b>				
Fusan.....	Dec. 1-31.....	3	1	
Do.....	Jan. 1-31.....	21	4	
Seoul.....	do.....	1	1	
<b>Colombia:</b>				
Cartagena.....	Nov. 22-28.....		1	
Santa Marta.....	Feb. 19-25.....			Present.
<b>Cuba.</b>				
Antilla.....	Dec. 12-31.....	3		Dec. 4-31, 1921: Cases, 361. Jan. 1-31, 1922: Cases, 257.
Do.....	Jan. 8-Feb. 4.....	13	1	At Preston.
Cienfuegos.....	Jan. 22-Mar. 4.....	5	1	Two cases from outside city limits.
Santiago.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 28.....	8	1	
<b>Czechoslovakia:</b>				
Prague.....	Dec. 18-24.....		42	
<b>Dominican Republic.</b>				
Puerta Plata.....	Jan. 13.....	100	5	Oct. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 653; deaths, 54. Jan. 2-Feb. 4, 1922: Cases, 6,922; deaths, 185. In district, widely diffused with 1,000 estimated cases with 100 deaths.
San Pedro de Macoris.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 31.....	31	1	Estimate of about 500 cases of smallpox in the district of Macoris; of this amount 50 within the city limits.
Do.....	Jan. 14-Feb. 4.....			In district. 401 cases estimated.
Santo Domingo.....	Nov. 15-Dec. 5.....	122		Dec. 17-24, 1921: Present in vicinity. Jan. 9-16, 1922: In surrounding country, 1,745 cases (estimated).
<b>Ecuador:</b>				
Guayaquil.....	Nov. 16-Dec. 31.....	7		And vicinity.
Do.....	Jan. 1-15.....	1		
<b>Egypt:</b>				
Alexandria.....	Nov. 26-Dec. 2.....	1	1	
Cairo.....	do.....	2		
Port Said.....	Dec. 20-26.....	1		Dec. 16-23, 1922: 1 case.
Do.....	Jan. 22-28.....	1		
<b>Finland.</b>				
Fiume.....				Nov. 16-30, 1921: 1 case. Dec. 27, 1921-Jan. 2, 1922: Cases, 2.
<b>Great Britain:</b>				
Manchester.....	Jan. 1-7.....	4		
Nottingham.....	Dec. 4-31.....	18		
Do.....	Jan. 8-28.....	3		
Swansea.....	Jan. 17-23.....	2		Imported on vessel from Persian Gulf.
<b>Haiti.</b>				
Cape Haitien.....	Dec. 11-24.....	5		Jan. 22-28, 1922: A few cases.
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 18.....	21	1	

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.**

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

**SMALLPOX—Continued.**

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Haiti—Continued.				
Port au Prince	Dec. 11-31			Present.
Do.	Jan. 15-21	2		
India.				
Bombay	Oct. 23-Dec. 31	3	2	
Do.	Jan. 1-14	13		
Calcutta	Nov. 13-Dec. 31	37	28	
Do.	Jan. 1-Feb. 4	60	55	
Karachi	Nov. 11-Dec. 31	28	9	
Do.	Jan. 1-Feb. 4	28	12	
Madras	Nov. 13-Dec. 31	183	50	
Do.	Jan. 1-Feb. 4	235	96	
Rangoon	Oct. 1-Dec. 31	6		
Do.	Jan. 15-23	12		
Indo-China:				
Saigon	Dec. 18-24	1	1	City and district.
Do.	Jan. 8-14	1	1	Do.
Italy:				
Genoa	Nov. 10-20	1		
Messina				
Messina	Nov. 28-Dec. 4	1		
Pettineo	Nov. 14-Dec. 4	2		
Venice	Jan. 30-Feb. 5	2		
Japan:				
Kobe	Jan. 23-29	3	1	
Taiwan Island	Dec. 1-31	2	1	
Yokohama	Jan. 9-21	3		
Do.	Jan. 1-10	2		
Java:				
East Java—				
Soerabaya	Jan. 1-7	4		
West Java—				
Bandoeng	Nov. 18-Dec. 8	2		
Batavia	Nov. 18-Dec. 22	11	9	City and Province.
Do.	Dec. 30-Jan. 26	3	3	In Province: Cases, 23; deaths, 4,
Buitenzorg	Nov. 25-Dec. 8	7	1	13 cases, with 3 deaths, not locally
Krawang	Nov. 18-24	1		stated.
Lebak	Nov. 18-Dec. 8	7	4	
Pandeglang	Nov. 25-Dec. 1		1	
Tangerang	Nov. 18-Dec. 8	5	1	
Liberia:				
Grand Bassa County	Nov. 30			Present at Lower Buchanan.
Mesopotamia:				
Bagdad	Oct. 1-Nov. 30	117	50	Epidemic with high mortality in
				November, 1921.
Mexico:				
Chihuahua	Dec. 5-11		1	
Do.	Jan. 23-Feb. 19		2	
Guadalajara	Nov. 1-Dec. 31	6		
Do.	Jan. 1-31	11	2	
Mexico City	Nov. 20-Dec. 31	64		Including municipalities in Fed-
Do.	Jan. 1-21	57		eral District.
Do.	Jan. 29-Feb. 4		1	From San Salvador, Zacatecas.
San Luis Potosi	Dec. 18-24		2	
Do.	Jan. 8-Feb. 25		9	
Torreón	Dec. 1-31	134		
Do.	Jan. 1-Feb. 28		82	
Newfoundland:				
St. Johns	Feb. 4-10	1		
Palestine:				
Jerusalem	Jan. 10-Feb. 6	24		
Panama:				
Bocas del Toro Province—				
Sursuba	Jan. 18-Feb. 8	11		Village 24 miles from Almirante.
Chiriqui Province	Dec. 22			Present.
Do.	Jan. 26			Present with center of prevalence
				at Bosquete Bajo.
Panama	Dec. 14	1		On Dec. 21, 1921: 1 additional
				case from country district of
				Sabanas, admitted to hospital.
				Total admissions, Jan. 1-Dec.
				21, 1921, 207.
Peru:				
Lima	Nov. 1-Dec. 31		3	



# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

## SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Poland.....				Aug. 14-Dec. 3, 1921: Cases, 494; deaths, 112. Exclusive of Brest-Litovsk, Minsk, and Wilno districts.
Portugal:				
Lisbon.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 31...	48	12	
Do.....	Jan. 1-28.....	46	1	
Portuguese East Africa:				
Lourenco Marques.....	Oct. 1-Nov. 5.....	2	4	
Portuguese West Africa:				
Angola—				
Loanda.....	Oct. 9-Dec. 31.....		7	
Rumania:				
Bucharest.....	Nov. 1-30.....		33	
Russia:				
Estonia.....	Oct. 1-Dec. 31.....	38		
Latvia.....	do.....	75		
Senegal:				
Dakar.....	Jan. 1-31.....	2		
Serbia:				
Belgrade.....	Oct. 2-Nov. 26.....	16	4	
Siam:				
Bangkok.....	Oct. 23-Nov. 5.....	1		
Spain:				
Barcelona.....	Jan. 8-14.....		1	
Huelva.....	Oct. 1-Nov. 30.....		2	
Malaga.....	Nov. 1-Dec. 31.....		60	
Seville.....	Nov. 16-Dec. 31.....		7	
Do.....	Jan. 8-Feb. 11.....		13	
Valencia.....	Jan. 22-28.....	1		
Straits Settlements:				
Singapore.....	Nov. 6-Dec. 24.....	49	13	
Do.....	Jan. 1-21.....	23	11	
Switzerland:				
Glarus, Canton.....	Dec. 10.....			Epidemic.
Zurich.....	do.....	2		In vicinity.
Syria:				
Adana.....	Dec. 18-24.....			Present.
Do.....	Jan. 1-14.....			Do.
Aleppo.....	Dec. 18-24.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 18.....			Do.
Alexandretta.....	do.....			Do.
Beirut.....	Oct. 9-Nov. 13.....	5	2	
Do.....	Jan. 8-28.....	8		Dec. 29, 1921-Jan. 4, 1922: Cases, 14; deaths, 2.
Cilicia.....	Jan. 8-Feb. 4.....			Present.
Diarbekir.....	Dec. 18-24.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4.....			Do.
Mersina.....	Dec. 18-24.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....			Do.
Ur'a.....	Dec. 18-24.....			Do.
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4.....			Do.
Tunis:				
Tunis.....	Nov. 26-Dec. 23.....	17	15	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 4.....	4	5	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	Nov. 27-Dec. 24.....	20	4	
Do.....	Jan. 15-28.....	16	5	
Union of South Africa.....				Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 216; deaths, 5 (colored). White, 8 cases.
Cape Province.....	Nov. 5-Dec. 31.....			Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 17; deaths, 1 (colored).
Do.....	Jan. 8-14.....			Outbreaks.
Natal.....	do.....			Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 170; deaths, 4 (colored).
Orange Free State.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 24.....			Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 7 (colored).
Southern Rhodesia.....	Dec. 29-Jan. 18.....	16		
Transvaal.....	Oct. 23-Dec. 31.....			Outbreaks.
Do.....	Jan. 1-14.....			Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 22 (colored). Among white population, 8 cases, State not designated.
Johannesburg District.....	Dec. 1-31.....	1		
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....			Outbreaks.

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.**

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

**SMALLPOX—Continued.**

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Yugoslavia.....				July 3-30, 1921: Cases, 37.
Bosnia Herzegovina.....	July 3-9.....	2.....		
Croatia Slavonia.....	do.....	1.....		
Dalmatia.....	do.....	1.....		
Serbia.....	do.....	3.....		
Slavonia.....	do.....	1.....		
Voivodina.....	do.....	8.....		
On vessel:				
S. S. West O'Rowa.....	Jan. 5-8.....	3.....	1.....	At Kobe, Japan, from Shanghai, China.
S. S.....	Jan. 17-23.....	2.....		At Swansea, Wales, from Persian Gulf.

**TYPHUS FEVER.**

Algeria:				
Algiers.....	Nov. 1-Dec. 31.....	3.....		
Do.....	Jan. 11-Feb. 10.....	2.....		
Oran.....	Dec. 21-31.....	1.....		
Do.....	Jan. 1-10.....		1.....	
Asia Minor:				
Brousa.....	Jan. 15-21.....	1.....		
Austria:				
Vienna.....	Dec. 4-31.....	10.....		
Do.....	Jan. 1-28.....	9.....	1.....	
Bolivia:				
La Paz.....	Aug. 1-Dec. 31.....	121.....	98.....	
Do.....	Jan. 1-31.....	15.....	12.....	
Bulgaria:				
Sofia.....	Dec. 18-24.....	1.....		
Chile:				
Concepcion.....	Nov. 22-Dec. 26.....		3.....	
Do.....	Jan. 3-30.....		3.....	
Valparaiso.....	Oct. 23-Nov. 26.....		6.....	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7.....		1.....	
China:				
Antung.....	Dec. 26-Jan. 1.....	1.....		
Harbin.....	Nov. 7-Dec. 25.....	12.....		Jan. 23, 1922: Reported extending from Soviet Russia, along railway line to maritime provinces.
Do.....	Dec. 26-Jan. 29.....	17.....		In district, at Zoppot. In merchant from Warsaw.
Danzig (free city).....	Feb. 23.....	1.....		
Egypt:				
Alexandria.....	Nov. 19-Dec. 31.....	3.....	1.....	
Do.....	Jan. 15-Feb. 11.....	11.....	2.....	
Cairo.....	Oct. 1-Dec. 31.....	18.....	14.....	
Port Said.....	Jan. 22-Feb. 11.....	2.....		
Germany:				
Breslau.....	Dec. 25-31.....	2.....	1.....	
Do.....	Jan. 1-Feb. 5.....	55.....	8.....	In persons returning from Russia.
Frankfort-on-Oder.....	Feb. 16.....	26.....		
Hamburg.....	Dec. 11-17.....	4.....		
Great Britain:				
Glasgow.....	Dec. 25-31.....	1.....		
Italy:				
Palermo.....	Jan. 15-28.....	3.....	1.....	
Mesopotamia:				
Bagdad.....	Oct. 1-Dec. 31.....	3.....	9.....	
Mexico:				
Mexico City.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 31.....	242.....		Including municipalities in Federal District.
Do.....	Jan. 1-21.....	123.....		Do.
San Luis Potosi.....	Dec. 18-24.....		1.....	Dec. 25-31, 1921: Present.
Do.....	Jan. 8-Feb. 25.....			Present. One death.
Palestine:				
Jerusalem.....	Dec. 27-Jan. 16.....	5.....		

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar. 24, 1922—Continued.

## TYPHUS FEVER—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Poland.....				Aug. 14 - Nov. 5, 1921: Cases, 2,399; deaths, 173. Nov. 6-Dec. 3, 1921: Cases, 1,512; deaths, 105. Exclusive of Brest - Litovsk, Minsk, and Wilno districts. Nov. 20-Dec. 10, 1921: Cases, 1,162; deaths, 89. Jan. 1-7, 1922: Cases, 1,322.
District—				
Bialystok.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	116	3	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	253		
Gallicia—				
Lemberg.....	Jan. 3	229		Jan. 1-7, 1922: Cases, 61.
Kielce.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	31	8	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	28		
Krakow.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	45	6	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	53		
Lodz.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	67		
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	41		
Lublin.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	59		
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	147		
Lwow.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	121	16	
Nowograd.....	do.	249	15	
Polesia.....	do.	83	5	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	450		
Posen.....	do.	1		
Stanislawow.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	88	8	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	54		
Tarnopol.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	86	17	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	28		
Volhynia.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	89	4	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	107		
Warsaw.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	81	2	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	32		
Warsaw City.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 10	47	5	
Do.....	Jan. 1-7	67		
Portugal:				
Oporto.....	Jan. 8-Feb. 11	6	2	
Rumania:				
Bucharest.....	Nov. 1-30	3		
Chisinau.....	do.	7		
Russia.....				Nov. 28-Dec. 10, 1921: In Soviet Russia, cases, 7,681.
Esthonia.....	Oct. 1-Dec. 31	53		(Corrected report) Oct. 1-Nov. 30, 1921: Cases, 127.
Latvia.....	do.	341		Oct. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 839; Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 2,380.
Libau.....	Jan. 15-Feb. 1	4		Sept. 1-Dec. 31, 1921: Cases, 1,987; mortality, about 10 per cent; hospital cases.
Perm.....	Nov. 23-Dec. 10	1,408		Jan. 23, 1922: Present in western districts.
Saratov District—				Epidemic.
Markstadt.....				
Serbia:				
Belgrade.....	Oct. 2-Nov. 26	3	2	
Siberia.....				
Chita.....	Dec. 26			
Spain:				
Madrid.....	Dec. 1-31		1	
Do.....	Jan. 1-31		2	
Turkey:				
Constantinople.....	Nov. 20-Dec. 31	19		
Do.....	Jan. 1-28	30		
Union of South Africa.....				Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 573; deaths, 79 (colored). White, 7 cases: 1 death.
Cape Province.....				Oct. 23-Dec. 24, 1921: Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 473; deaths, 70 (colored). Among white population, 7 cases, 1 death.
Do.....				Jan. 1-14, 1922: Outbreaks.
East London.....	Oct. 30-Dec. 24	3		One death in European at Jenseville, Dec. 6, 1921.
Natal.....	Nov. 5-Dec. 17			Outbreaks. Stated to be prevalent only in Newcastle District. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 55; deaths, 7 (colored).
Orange Free State.....	Nov. 13-Dec. 31			Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 41; deaths, 1 (colored).
Do.....	Jan. 1-14			Outbreaks.
Transvaal.....	Jan. 8-14			Outbreaks. Nov. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 4; deaths, 1 (colored).
Johannesburg District.....	Jan. 12-18	26	4	

**CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.**

**Reports Received from Dec. 31, 1921, to Mar 24, 1922—Continued.**

**TYPHUS FEVER—Continued.**

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Venezuela:				
Maracaibo.....	Dec. 20-26.....		1	
Yugoslavia.....				July 3-30, 1921: Cases, 13.
Bosnia Herzegovina.....	July 3-9.....	1		
Croatia—				
Zagreb.....	Jan. 1-14.....	2		
Montenegro.....	July 3-9.....	2		

**YELLOW FEVER.**

Mexico.....				Year 1921: Cases, 115; deaths, 53.
Colima (State).....				Year 1921: Cases, 7; deaths, 4.
Colima.....	Oct. 27.....	4	3	
Manzanillo.....	Aug. 21.....	3	1	
Jalisco (State).....				Year 1921: Cases, 13; deaths, 7.
Guadalajara.....	Nov. 1-30.....	1	1	Imported.
Puerta Vallarta (Las Penas).....	Oct. 5-Dec. 17.....	13	5	
Tonila.....	Aug. 31.....	1	1	
Quintana Roo (Territory)—				
Payo Obispo.....	Aug. 8.....	1	1	
Sinaloa (State).....				Year 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 9.
Cullacan.....	Sept. 17.....	4	1	
Guamuchil.....	Oct. 10.....	1		
Mazatlan.....	Aug. 21.....	1	1	Imported.
Palmar de los Leales.....	Sept. 30.....	12	7	
Tamaulipas (State).....				Year 1921: Cases, 1; deaths, 1.
Tampico.....	Jan. 11.....	1	1	
Vera Cruz (State).....				Year 1921: Cases, 75; deaths, 31.
Alamo.....	June 21.....	4	1	Oil camp.
Alvarado.....	July 3.....	1	1	
Barra de Penn.....	July 18.....	1	1	
Cordoba.....	Sept. 22.....	5	3	
Cosamalcoapam.....	July 18.....	14	6	
Nogales.....	Oct. 28.....	1	1	
Orizaba.....	do.....	1		
Papanla.....	Jan. 14.....	6	3	
Providencia.....	Oct. 28.....	3		
Purga.....	Feb. 7.....	1	1	
Rancho de Santa Rosa.....	Oct. 8.....	2		
Rancho "El Jaguey".....	Sept. 14.....	2	2	
San Pablo (Papanla).....	Sept. 12.....	1		
San Ildefonso.....	Oct. 17.....	2		
Tierra Blanca.....	Sept. 24-Nov. 12.....	4	3	
Tlascotalpan.....	Sept. 14.....	1	1	
Tuxpan.....	Jan. 3.....	8	2	
Vera Cruz.....	Jan. 15.....	18	7	Two of these cases imported. Dec. 20-26, 1921: Cases, 1; deaths, 1. Imported.