# PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 36 OCTOBER 7, 1921

No. 40

## DIPHTHERIA IMMUNIZATION.

In recent years there has come into use a practical method for testing immunity to diphtheria known as the Schick test, similar to the test with vaccine virus for immunity to smallpox, and also a method for conferring on children permanent immunity to diphtheria by the injection of diphtheria toxin-antitoxin mixture. The introduction of these methods into America, and a more extensive application than has hitherto been practiced in any country, are due to the workers of the laboratory of the New York City department of health, of which Dr. W. H. Park is the head.

### The Schick Test for Immunity to Diphtheria.

The Schick test consists in the intracutaneous (not subcutaneous) injection of a small amount of diluted diphtheria toxin, a positive reaction being shown by a red papule and indicating that the subject tested has not enough antitoxin in his blood to ward off an attack of diphtheria. In this test, therefore, a reaction indicates nonimmunity. In healthy young adults somewhat less than half may be expected to give positive reactions; in children there is a larger proportion of susceptibles.

Purpose.—The test is useful (a) in determining the susceptibles (those with positive Schick reactions) to be immunized with a toxinantitoxin mixture, in case more permanent protection is desired, or with antitoxin instead, if there is immediate danger of infection; and (b) in indicating that carriers of the diphtheria bacillus who happen to have slight throat symptoms, but who have given a negative Schick reaction, are not suffering from diphtheria.

Toxin.—The classical dose for the Schick test is one-tenth cubic centimeter of a toxin diluted so that this amount contains one-fiftieth of a minimal lethal dose for guinea pigs. Zingher, in New York, has recently used two-tenths cubic centimeter of a weaker dilution which contains one-fortieth of a minimal lethal dose in this double volume. In practice it is safer to add some such excess (25 per cent) on account of the deterioration which diphtheria toxin undergoes and to avoid interpreting a weak positive reaction as a negative. Minimal lethal doses are not readily determined and delivered with much greater accuracy than that represented by 25 per cent differences. Although only those toxins should be used which are sufficiently aged to be relatively stable, it is well to remember that diphtheria toxin is subject to loss in toxicity, especially when diluted, that the dilution

67202°--21----1

should not be made more than 12 hours before use, and that the toxin should at all times be kept in the coldest part of the ice box.

Control.—Since pseudoreactions may be caused by the proteins in the toxin broth and not by the true toxin, each test should be controlled by the injection, at a corresponding site on the other arm, of the same amount of similarly diluted toxin which has been heated to 75° C. for 10 minutes in order to destroy its specific toxic properties. It is advised that two test injections and two control injections be made, four in all; more definite readings are thus obtained. Pseudoreactions appear earlier and do not last as long as true reactions.

Instruments.—Two tuberculin syringes are needed so graduated that the dose of one-tenth cubic centimeter may be easily and accurately measured; one of these should be plainly marked and used for the unheated toxin, the other for the control. The needles, being boiled between injections, may be used interchangeably on either syringe. The needles should be of fine caliber (about 26-gauge) and kept very sharp. They are most easily manipulated if fairly short (one-fourth to one-half inch) and with a bevel not too tapering.

Technique.—With the usual sterile precautions, one-tenth cubic centimeter (or one-fifth cubic centimeter if the New York dilution is used) of the unheated toxin dilution is introduced intracutaneously (not subcutaneously) on the flexor surface of the right forearm, and the same amount of the control dilution on the flexor surface of the left forearm. The needle should be inserted parallel with the skin surface, far enough to avoid leakage backward along the needle track, and deep enough so that the oval opening of the needle is just visible through the epidermis. If the injection is at the proper depth it will form a white elevation in which the depressions of the hair follicles are prominent.

Reading.—A red area at least one-fourth inch in diameter on the right arm, distinctly more marked in 96 hours than the area on the left arm, may be taken as a positive reaction. If two injections are made on each arm, the variation in reaction caused by injecting one of the dilutions deeper than the other is balanced. The amount of discoloration where the heated toxin was injected indicates the degree of pseudoreaction.

#### Diphtheria Toxin-Antitoxin Mixture.

Active immunization of young children against diphtheria is useful in institutions, and in localities where prompt diagnosis and treatment of diphtheria are not assured. The most favorable age for active immunization is from six months to six years. In older children and in adults a considerable proportion of pseudoreactions to the Schick test, which offer difficulty in interpretation, may be expected, with correspondingly greater local and constitutional reactions fol-

lowing the injection of toxin-antitoxin mixture. Active immunization can not be used instead of passive immunization with antitoxin in the presence of actual exposure to diphtheria, since the active immunity is slow in developing; neither should a combination of the two methods be used simultaneously, since the 1,000 units of antitoxin used for passive immunization tends to prevent the development of immunity from the toxin-antitoxin mixture, if both are injected at about the same time.

The toxin-antitoxin mixture used in active immunization is not quite neutralized, large doses injected into guinea pigs producing some reaction; this remaining toxicity is necessary in order to stimulate the production of immunity. Only such reactions occur as are observed after typhoid immunization. In older persons who give pseudoreactions to the Schick test, a certain amount of local and constitutional disturbance may be expected. In general, young children bear the injection without any marked disturbance.

By the Schick test for the presence, or rather absence, of natural antitoxin in the patient it is found that only a certain proportion of persons are susceptible to diphtheria, therefore before active immunization a Schick test should be performed in the case of older children, and only those giving positive reactions should receive the toxinantitoxin mixture. Children under two years of age are so generally susceptible to diphtheria that the preliminary Schick test may be omitted in their case.

As for typhoid immunization, three doses should be injected subcutaneously at about weekly to two-weekly intervals. These doses are usually 1 cubic centimeter in volume.

Six months after the first series of injections another Schick test should be performed and the few who have not developed by that time an immunity which is shown by a negative Schick reaction should receive a second series of injections with the toxin-antitoxin mixture.

#### References.

Anderson.

1906. Maternal transmission of immunity to diphtheria toxine. Bulletin No. 30 Hygienic Laboratory, U. S. Public Health and Marine Hospital Service, pp. 7-17.

Behring.

1913. Semaine médicale, May 7.

Busson & Löwenstein.

1915. Experimental studies on immunizing with diphtheria toxin-antitoxin mixture. Ztschr. f. exper. Path. u. Therapie, vol. 17, p. 229.

Groër & Kassowitz.

1914. Studies on normal diphtheria immunity in man. Ztschr. f. Immunitätsforschung, vol. 22, p. 404; vol. 23, p. 108.

Kolmer & Moshage.

1915. The Schick reaction for immunity in diphtheria. Am. J. Dis. of Children, vol. 9, p. 180.

Moody.

1915. Schick reaction. J. Missouri State Medical Assn., vol. 12, p. 83.

Otto.

1914. Diphtheria antitoxin in the blood of adult recovered cases and carriers of diphtheria. Deutsche med. Wchschr., No. 11, p. 342.

Park, Zingher & Serota.

1914. Schick reaction. Arch. of Pediatrics.

Park, Zingher & Serota.

1914. Active immunization in diphtheria. J. Am. Med. Assn., vol. 63, p. 859. Schick.

1913. Diphtheria toxin skin reaction. München med. Wchnschr., vol. 60, p. 2608. Schick, Kassowitz & Busacchi.

1914. Experimental diphtheria therapy. Ztschr. f. d. gesammte exper. Med., vol. 4, p. 83.

Smith, Theobald.

1907. The degree and duration of passive immunity to diphtheria toxin transmitted by immunized female guinea pigs to their immediate offspring. J. Med. Res., vol. 16, p. 359.

Weaver & Rapport.

1916. Further observations on the Schick test. J. Am. Med. Assn., vol. 66, p. 1448.

Zingher.

1915. Outfit for distribution of Schick test. J. Am. Med. Assn., vol. 65, p. 329.

1916. Schick test. Am. J. Dis. of Children, vol. 11, p. 269.

1917. Preparation and use of diphtheria toxin-antitoxin mixture. J. Inf. Dis., vol. 21, p. 493.

1918. Active immunization of infants against diphtheria. Am. J. Dis. of Children, vol. 16, p. 83.

1920. Practical applications and uses of the Schick test. J. Lab. & Clin. Med., vol. 6, p. 117.

1921. Diphtheria prevention work in the public schools of New York City. J. Am. Med. Assn., vol. 77, p. 835.

# A REPORT ON THE SECOND ENGLISH-SPEAKING CONFERENCE ON INFANT WELFARE.

By TALIAFERRO CLARK, Surgeon, United States Public Health Service.

The Second English-Speaking Conference on Infant Welfare was held in London, England, July 5-7, 1921, under the auspices of the National League for Health, Maternity, and Child Welfare, and was attended by approximately 600 delegates representing 26 English-speaking countries. The United States Public Health Service, the American Public Health Association, and the American Child Hygiene Association were represented by the writer.

The conference was held during the celebration of the National Baby Week, 1921, in connection with which an interesting display of the latest exhibits and posters relating to the welfare of mothers and babies had been prepared. This exhibit and also daily demonstrations on the care of the baby and free consultations and advice on the health of mothers and young children were available to visiting delegates. In addition, throughout the period of the con-

ference, a large number of infant-welfare centers, resident institutions for mothers and babies, day nurseries, and nursery schools were open for inspection by the visiting delegates under special arrangement.

The conference was formally opened by Lord Vincent Astor, the president, who in an address outlined some of the most pressing problems of maternal and infant care for consideration by the conference.

The morning and afternoon sessions of the first day were given over to the consideration of residential provision for mothers and babies. The following papers were presented:

(a) Maternity Homes, by Dr. Janet Campbell, senior medical officer, ministry of health.

(b) Provision for Blind Babies, by Miss E. Walker Finlay, repre-

senting the National Institute for the Blind.

(c) Provision for Ailing Children, by Dr. C. J. McAlister, honorary physician to the Liverpool Royal Southern Hospital and to the Royal Liverpool County Hospital for Children.

(d) The Value of Wards for Ailing Infants, by Dr. H. B. Gladstone, medical officer to the Sydenham Babies' Milk Depot, Clinics, and

 ${f Hostel}.$ 

(e) Provision for Unmarried Mothers and Their Babies, by Mrs. Cyril Smithett, representing the National Council for the Unmarried Mother and her Child.

(f) Accommodation for Mothers and Infants under the Poor Law, by Miss M. E. Broadbent, manager of the Metropolitan Asylums Board and member of the St. Marylebone Board of Guardians.

(g) Some Economic and Administrative Aspects of the Problem of Residential Provision for Mothers and Babies, by Miss J. Halford, secretary National League for Health, Maternity, and Child Welfare.

In the paper on maternity homes, Dr. Janet Campbell referred to residential provision that may be made with the sanction of the ministry of health for the various conditions affecting the health and welfare of expectant and nursing mothers and children under 5 years of age, under the maternity and child welfare act, 1918, but limited her discussion to the one type of institution, namely, "maternity homes."

To date the ministry has recognized between 60 and 70 maternity homes in England and Wales with about 700 beds and some 20 proposals for new homes under consideration.

The great reduction in infant mortality rate which has taken place during the last 20 years has not been accompanied by a like lowering of the maternal mortality rate, and this in the opinion of the author emphasizes the need for maternity homes. In 1900 the infant mortality rate was 154 and the maternity mortality rate 4.8, while in 1920 the infant mortality rate was 80 and the maternity rate was 4.2. Furthermore, the death rate from septic infection in 1919 was 0.76, but in 1920 it was 1.87, the same rate which obtained in 1905.

In other words, according to the author, in spite of the knowledge of the causes and prevention of infection and the successful application of this knowledge, and of the training given to medical students and nurses in surgical technic, midwifery is almost as in the beginning of the century before the midwifery act was passed. Most maternal deaths at childbirth are preventable if proper facilities and reasonable skill are available, and deaths from septic infection should rarely be met with.

"It is a matter of common knowledge that a large proportion of the patients in gynecological out-patient departments seek advice because of the effects of bad midwifery, and that much of the chronic ill health from which so many working-class mothers suffer may be traced to the same cause." Such facts are a grave commentary upon the practice of midwifery.

To combat this loss of life and health, Dr. Campbell advised that action be directed to improving midwifery service in the homes; to increasing the accommodations in maternity homes and hospitals; to the study of the physiology of normal pregnancies, labor, and lactation, a knowledge of which is far from complete. Maternity beds are needed, said Dr. Campbell—

(a) For the relatively small number of confinements in which a serious difficulty is anticipated.

(b) For the much larger number where some abnormality is probable and where watchfulness is necessary to prevent possible mishap.

(c) For unforseen emergencies.

(d) For the prematernity treatment of conditions likely to affect the health of mother and infant.

(e) For those women who are physically normal but who can not be confined safely and suitably in their own unsatisfactory homes.

(f) For women, especially primiparæ, who would prefer to be confined in a home or hospital.

Dr. Campbell cited the advantages of the small homes and stated that the ministry of health encourages the establishment of maternity homes of 10 to 20 beds for normal and slightly abnormal cases.

In speaking of the general requirements of maternity homes, she is of the opinion that they should contain wards for lying-in patients (some of which may suitably be one, two, or three bed wards), one, or preferably two, labor rooms, a prematernity ward, a duty room, a receiving room with bathroom, properly fitted sink room, a nursery, a laundry, and separation or isolation rooms, in addition to staff quarters. Not less than 15 to 20 beds should be provided when possible, as smaller homes are more costly to maintain efficiently in proportion to the work done.

The ministry requires immediate notification of all maternal deaths which occur and an annual return showing the results of the work as a whole. A summary of returns from 50 unselected homes

for the year 1920, reported by Dr. Campbell, shows that among 9,108 women admitted, 28 cases of puerperal sepsis occurred, and there were 57 maternal deaths, including 10 from puerperal infection. In this connection, it must be remembered that a maternity home, just as a maternity hospital, may be obliged to admit moribund patients for whom little can be done, and that more claims are made upon some homes than others to admit cases which should rather be sent to a hospital if such were available.

Miss Finlay opened her paper on Residential Provision for the Care of the Blind Baby by referring to a statement by Mr. Bishop Harman that "blindness in children when traced to the original cause of the loss of sight will be found to differ in its proportion according to the age of the children under review." She gave the statistical results of the examination of infants submitted for entry into an institution for blind children founded by the National Institute for the Blind. and also results of examination of school children that were collected from the blind schools. From these statistics it is seen that of preventable causes ophthalmia neonatorum is the most frequent cause of blindness in infants under review, namely, 49.2 per cent. However, at later age, other diseases reduced the proportion of blindness due to this cause, such as the later onset of inflammatory infections, particularly those due to syphilis in the parent, so that the percentage of blindness due to ophthalmia neonatorum found in the schools for the blind was but 19.79 per cent as compared with inflammation within the eyes due to syphilis, 31.43 per cent.

Miss Finlay stated that the Government authorizes the establishment of special schools for blind children after they reach the age of 5 years. However, the period of greatest difficulty for a blind child is the first year of life, the period in which the foundation of the habits and mannerisms of man is laid down.

Until quite recently there was no school or institution that would receive a blind child until it reached the age of 5 years, when the National Institute for the Blind, under the presidency of Sir Arthur Pearson, founded and equipped a home known as Sunshine House, where such helpless ones could be cared for and the initial stages of their education begun.

According to the author Sunshine House has been an unqualified success, and the health supervision and practical education, kindergarten training, and dental training given in this institution are described in detail.

Advocating residential provision for mothers and babies, Mrs. Cyril Smithett discussed the problem of the unmarried mother and her child, and stated that in the aftermath of reconstruction there has been a decided augmentation in the numbers of what in the country villages is known as the "love child." Among the reasons

advanced for this condition she believes it is mainly due to the sudden relaxation of discipline which for the war years exercised a certain restraint on women, the craving in every girl at the present day for pleasure, and the extraordinary lack of influence on the part of the modern parent, who is apt to shirk all responsibility beyond clothing and feeding the girl.

Mrs. Smithett is of the opinion that there are not nearly enough homes to accommodate the unmarried mother and baby. She outlined the work undertaken by the National Council for the Unmarried Mother and Her Child, and described in detail the system in the homes themselves. Among other things a charge is allowed great latitude, is not hampered by petty rules and regulations, is permitted to wear clothes of her own selection, and is required to keep no regulations except those necessary in any house where girls are gathered together. In other words, the girl is treated as an ordinary human being. When she is ready to go out again into the world work is found for her when necessary and, if possible, permission to keep her child. When this is not practicable the baby is placed with a foster mother and employment is secured for the mother at sufficient wage to pay for her child's maintenance. In conclusion. Mrs. Smithett stated her objection to adoption, which, in her opinion, was bad for the mother although in some ways better for the child.

In her paper on Accommodation for Mothers and Infants under the Poor Law, Miss Broadbent stated that one of the duties of a poor-law authority is to make provision for the care of women in childbirth, and that some of the workhouses where pregnant women coming within the purview of this law are sent, especially in London and larger towns, are as good as any to be found in volunteer hospitals. However, owing to conditions surrounding the admission to these institutions under the poor law, they are unpopular. A charge is made under the law if the family is able to pay, and this necessitates a distasteful inquiry. This inquiry, coupled with the less serious drawback of the company with which she finds herself, prevents a larger number of women coming into poor-law institutions despite their excellence. Nevertheless, by reason of the interpretation of destitution under the poor law, especially in case of illness, to cover in a broad sense the lack of accommodation or care, many married women whose husbands are able and willing to pay something for them have been coming into the poor-law institutions for their confinement.

Special care is given in these institutions to women found suffering from venereal disease. They are transferred to the infirmary and treated so that in many cases, when treatment is given before child-birth, there is born apparently a healthy child.

Miss Broadbent discussed at some length the question of "settlements" in their relation to borough rates and the necessary inquiries relating thereto, all of which operate to deter many women, especially the unmarried, from applying for admission to these very excellent institutions.

Miss Halford's paper, Some Economic and Administrative Aspects of the Problem of Residential Provision for Mothers and Babies, gave evidence of careful study. In England, residential provision for mothers takes the form of lying-in homes, convalescent homes, and rest homes, both ante and post natal. The highest cost was £7 per week (in this case including initial expenditure) and the lowest £1 7s., the average being approximately £4. In an interesting comparison in the cost of municipal maternity homes and maternity homes of the volunteer agencies, she pointed out that in the former, which provide for an average of less than a dozen patients at a time. the nursing staff ranged from three to seven, and the patients remained in the institution on an average of 191 days, the highest cost being £7 and the lowest £3 15s. 3d. In the latter the nursing staff, with one exception, was more numerous than in the municipal homes, and in three instances the staff, including two pupils, exceeded the number of patients, though the domestic staff was smaller in proportion. The stay in the home ranged from two weeks to four weeks. and the average cost per head per week ranged from £1 7s. to £6 1s. 2d.

Referring to homes for babies, Miss Halford said that by reason of the requirement for individual care of babies the large increase in the staff and consequently in cost is noticeable in homes of this character. However, it is now becoming recognized that at least one adult for every two babies is needed for their care in the homes. The average cost of maintaining homes for ailing babies is about

£2 16s. weekly per capita.

The homes for well children are far less expensive to maintain than those for ailing babies, averaging £1 14s. 7d. weekly, per capita.

Miss Halford's conclusions, after an exhaustive study of the cost of residential care for children, are to the effect that it costs more to keep a baby in a Home than it does in a private home, and that with the right kind of foster mother available, adequate supervision and regular medical inspection at infant welfare centers, the placing of children in homes is by far more preferable to institutional care for well babies.

On Wednesday the conference considered "The Supply of Milk: Its Physiological and Economic Aspects." The following papers were read and excited lively discussion:

(a) The Milk Supply, by Mr. Nathan Straus, founder of the infant milk depots of the United States.

(b) Milk in Its Economic Aspects, by Dr. Stenhouse Williams,

director of the National Institute for Research in Dairying.

(c) The Production of Clean Milk from a Producer's Point of View, by Mr. F. Arnold Lejeune, manager of grade A (certified) dairy, Lord Raleigh's dairy farms.

(d) Supply of Milk to Expectant Mothers, Nursing Mothers, and

Infants, by Dr. E. W. Hope, M. O. H. for Liverpool.

(e) Sources of Milk for Babies—Maternal Milk and Gcats' Milk, by Dr. A. Dingwall Fordyce, physician, Royal Liverpool County Hospital for Children.

(f) The Physiological Aspect of the Milk Supply, by Dr. J. C.

Drummond, lecturer in physiology, University College, London.
(g) Some Biological Aspects of Milk Feeding, by Dr. Harold Waller,

medical officer to the Royal College of St. Katharine.

Mr. Straus's paper, The Milk Supply, was read by proxy. Mr. Straus prefaced the description of his own work by reference to the findings of the British Royal Commission which reported as early as 1907 on the presence of bovine tubercle bacilli in cow's milk. He also made copious references to findings of other experts, notably "Schroeder and Moler, of the United States Department of Agriculture; Dr. Mazijck P. Ravenel, formerly of the University of Wisconsin; and others, relating to the presence of tubercle bacilli in cow's milk.

"Why is there a milk problem?" asked Mr. Straus. The answer is that milk is the only animal food taken in its raw state, therefore the necessity of pasteurization to make it safe, since present conditions make it almost impossible for persons of average means to obtain safe raw milk. In order to show the efficacy of pasteurization in reducing the infant mortality rate, he quoted statistics showing the gradual reduction in infant mortality rate in New York City over a number of years, which he attributed largely to measures adopted to safeguard the milk supply. As bearing on the point, he also cited an experience on Randalls Island. In 1897 he presented this institution with a pasteurization plant and, to quote: "Without any other changes in the regimen or diet except the milk was pasteurized instead of being used raw, the death rate dropped from a previous average of 41.81 to 21.75 in the next seven years." Mr. Straus said the most striking of all was his experience during the epidemic of infantile paralysis which occurred in New York City during the summer of 1916. "Of 2,100 children who were entirely fed on the pasteurized milk prepared at my laboratory, not a single case of the disease developed." His pioneer work has been followed up by the establishment of milk depots in a number of cities, namely, in New York, 100; Philadelphia, 25; Chicago, 20; St. Louis, 12; and in the whole United States 297 are distributed over 36 cities.

In addition to supplying safe milk Mr. Straus, realizing the value of milk as a food, sought to encourage the drinking of milk, and to this end added the dispensing of glass milk to the stations which were located in the parks and on piers in New York City, thus bring-

ing within the reach of children who use these recreation centers milk at 1 cent a glass which was free from infection.

The authors of the paper "Milk in Its Economic Aspects" considered milk from the standpoint of the price to the consumer, prewar conditions, war conditions, and postwar conditions. The price of milk to the consumer must be sufficient to cover the cost of production, wholesale and retail selling, and profit. Before the war there was slight difference in the cost of summer and winter milk production, which slight variation enabled dairies to supply milk at a fixed rate the year round. This relationship was altered during the war, due largely to such factors as cost of labor, grazing, and of home-grown provender. These changes are least likely to return to prewar rates and involve an additional charge of 7d. per gallon for summer milk and still further increase in the cost of winter milk by reason of the necessity for larger quantities of concentrated foodstuffs.

The authors stated that the variation in price between summer and winter milk tended to diminish the consumption of winter milk, which had been produced at greater expense, and created a surplus which could not be used to advantage in butter and cheese making, since this is economical only by the use of milk which has been produced on grass.

The authors touched but lightly on the effect on health of the campaign for the nonconsumption of milk, which was started to lessen the price of milk. "It is very questionable whether the campaign was really in the best interests of the health of the Nation, since milk, even at 1s. a quart, was better value for money than many other foodstuffs which were being offered at that time."

The authors were unable to give an estimate of the permanency of the effect of war conditions. According to them there is but little doubt that the high cost of labor necessary for the production and distribution of milk will remain, and that it is not likely that the price of milk will ever return to the prewar price of approximately 4d. per quart.

Dr. Hope's paper was based on the Liverpool scheme of Supply of Milk to Expectant Mothers, Nursing Mothers, and Infants. He emphasized the difficulty of providing a suitable food substitute for infants whose mothers are unable to suckle them. This difficulty led to the establishment of centers in Liverpool where suitably prepared milk for artificially fed infants could be provided. During the year 1920 over 20,000 persons were receiving milk, of which number approximately 1,000 were expectant mothers, 9,000 were nursing mothers, 6,000 were infants under 12 months of age, and 4,000 children between the ages of 1 and 5 years.

Approximately 325,000 gallons of fresh milk and nearly 17 tons of dried milk were distributed during the year. Approximately £70,000 was expended in the distribution of milk during the

year and the amount realized from the sale of milk was slightly in excess of 35,000 pounds. One-half of the net cost of this work is recovered from the ministry of health.

Dr. Hope's paper was accompanied by a diagram showing the course of infant mortality rate during the last 20 years. The rate fell from approximately 202 in 1895 to 113 in 1920. This result he believed was due in large measure to the distribution of milk carried on in conjunction with infant and maternity welfare clinics.

Dr. Waller's discussion of the Biological Aspects of Milk Feeding was from the standpoint of the natural duration of milk feeding. He stated that artificial feeding is unknown among animals in their natural state, and that the adoption of artificial feeding by man, permitting perpetuation of stock, involves parasitic dependence on the cow. Dr. Waller questions whether this dependence is as necessary as it appears, and suggests that bottle feeding is not always the only remedy for a deficient or declining supply of human milk.

He also called attention to the case of the "unwilling" mother and stressed the need of distinguishing the difference between "unwillingness" and "inability."

In this paper the importance of breast feeding is emphasized. "Breast feeding is not foolproof. It often requires at least as much skill and patience as is required, e. g., to learn to bicycle."

In conclusion, Dr. Waller expressed some interesting views regarding the process of weaning and subsequent diet and of the different customs among the well to do and the poor.

The final day of the conference was given over to the discussion of "Inheritance and Environment as Factors in Racial Health," as follows:

(a) Inheritance and Environment as Factors in Racial Health, by Dr. Helen MacMurchy, chief of the child-welfare division of the department of health of Canada.

(b) The Influence of Weather Conditions on Mortality and Morbidity in Early Infancy, by Dr. Frederick Hoffman, third vice president and statistician to the Prudential Insurance Co. of America.

(c) The Ante-Natal Factors of Life and Death: Genetic, Toxigenetic, Gestational and Obstetric, by Dr. C. W. Saleeby, chairman of the National Birthrate Commission.

(d) Ignorance as a Dominant Factor in Infant Mortality in Poland,

by Miss McConnell.

(e) A Comparison between Working-Class Mothers and those of the Educated Classes, from the Point of View of Difficulty in Labor and Lactation, by Dr. Gordon Ley, gynæcclogist, Hampstead General Hospital, assistant obstetric surgeon, City of London Maternity Höspital.

(f) Syphilis as an Ante-Natal Factor in Racial Health, by Dr. J. H. Sequeira, physician, skin department, London Hospital.

Dr. Hoffman's paper on The Influence of Weather Conditions on Mortality and Morbidity in Early Infancy was read by proxy. This paper was based on the results of an investigation of sickness of infants in York and Homestead, Pa., with particular reference to weather conditions in relation to infant diarrhea. The investigations were made jointly by the State Department of Health of Pennsylvania and the Prudential Insurance Co., in cooperation with the United States Weather Bureau and local health agencies.

Considering the general results of unusual weather conditions of 1,092 children under observation in York, Pa., for the months July to December, 1920, with an average temperature of the months of July and August of 72.7°, Dr. Hoffman stated that the breast-fed children had a sickness rate of 2 per cent during the period of observation, while the artificially fed had a sickness rate of 13.7 per cent, and children both breast and artificially fed, 7.1 per cent.

Of 365 children subject to change in method of feeding, those who were changed from breast to partial artificial methods had a sickness rate of 6.4 per cent and the children who were changed from breast feeding to wholly artificial feeding a sickness rate of 10.4 per cent.

The data for Homestead covered only the period from August to October. The Homestead results are stated as being at variance with those obtained from the York investigation. Here again the breast-fed children showed the lowest morbidity rate, 1.6 per cent, and children changed from breast feeding to artificial feeding the highest rate, 5.1 per cent, while those artificially fed throughout the period of observation had a rate of only 2.7 per cent.

Dr. Hoffman concluded from analysis of data obtained during these investigations that an excessive sickness frequency from diarrheal diseases occurs when the maximum temperatures coincide with high minimum temperatures. "It is a safe inference that when the maximum temperatures are likely to exceed 70°, while the minimum temperatures exceed 60°, the normal sickness rate from diarrheal diseases among infants under one year of age will be easily 10 times the prevailing rate during cool days, when the maximum temperature is from 30° to 40° and the minimum from 20° to 30°." He observed a consistent rise in the rate of sickness incidence from 1.6 per 1,000 infants during lowest maximum temperatures to 10.6 during the highest and from 2.4 per 1,000 during the lowest minimum temperatures to 11 during the highest.

The results for Homestead are less conclusive, but in the main justify the same conclusions as were advanced in the case of York.

Dr. Hoffman suggested that it would be feasible to develop applied meteorology to the point of forecasting weather conditions favorable or unfavorable to an outbreak of infantile diarrhea. "By this means every mother could be promptly informed through the newspapers of impending weather changes likely to prove disastrous to child life, amplified by proper suggestions from the board of health or otherwise as regards the danger of artificial feeding, and, last but

not least, changes in feeding methods during abnormal temperature conditions."

Probably one of the most interesting and practical papers of this session was that by Dr. J. H. Sequeira on the Danger and Treatment of Ante-Natal and Syphilitic Environment. In any consideration of the influence of syphilis on the embryo, according to Dr. Sequeira, it must be remembered, first, that the mother may be suffering from syphilis in an active or in a latent stage when impregnation takes place, and, second, that the mother may be infected with syphilis any time during pregnancy.

For practical purposes the possibility of an embryo being syphilized by the father alone is ignored, since experience points to the mother

being the chief source of congenital syphilis.

Dr. Sequeira gave some interesting statistics of the influence of syphilis as the cause of premature birth, stillbirth, and infant mortality.

Out of 1,722 pregnancies in syphilitic families no fewer than 744, or 23 per cent terminated either in premature death of the infant or in death shortly after birth.

Furthermore, of a series of children coming under the observation of Mr. Bishop Harman, 390 children that survived were diseased, and of 263 survivors reported by Mr. McLeod Yearsley and Dr. Kerr Lowe 85 or 39 per cent, were deaf and blind.

Based on other sources of information the author reported that the incidence of syphilis in large cities varies roughly from 2 per cent to 6 per cent, and that in the Prague Foundling Hospital, Epstein obtained a positive Wassermann in 33 per cent of 296 newborn infants.

Based on his own experience the author claimed that the treatment of the mother by salvarsan and allied drugs while the fœtus is still in utero is remarkably efficient, and that the mortality in utero and in early life and the grave later effects of congenital syphilis can be rendered insignificant if not entirely removed. The machinery for effecting this most desirable end is the maternity clinic, infant welfare center, school inspection, the laboratory where necessary blood examinations can be made, and the venereal clinic where the treatment can be carried out.

The following are some points emphasized by him:

1. It is important above all things to impress upon the public that no person who has contracted syphilis should marry while likely to infect the other partner to the marriage.

2. If a pregnant woman comes to a venereal disease clinic suffering from syphilis, energetic treatment must begin at once no matter what

the stage of pregnancy.

3. Any woman who has had repeated miscarriages should have her blood examined by the Wassermann test. (Notification of miscarriages and stillbirths would be of service, but it is doubtful if it would be practicable.)

4. Should a married man or woman attend a venereal clinic the other partner should be examined and treated if found infected. The children of these parents should also be seen and examined.

5. Finally, if a child is brought to a clinic suffering from congenital

syphilis the parents should be seen and treated if necessary.

In addition to the regular sessions of the conference, a course of lectures was arranged for each evening during the period of the conference.

On Tuesday evening Mrs. Kitson Clark, president Leed's Babies' Welcomes Association, lectured on the Ideal Maternal and Child Welfare Center, and Dr. Eric Pritchard, chairman of the National Baby Week Council, and of the Association of Infant Welfare and Maternity Centers, lectured on Common Infections in Mother and Child.

On Wednesday evening the lecturers were Dr. Ethel Luce, assistant medical officer, L. C. C., and Dr. John Adams, medical officer in charge of Thavies Inn Venereal Disease Center for Pregnant Women, on the Accessory Factors in Infant Feeding, and the Syphilitic Mother and her Infant, respectively.

The final course of lectures on Thursday evening were given by Dr. Flora Shepherd, medical officer to the Hornsey Municipal Infant Welfare Center, and Dr. Geoffrey Marshall, O. B. E., assistant physician, Guy's Hospital, who lectured on the Psychology of the Mother and Her Child, and the Tuberculous Mother and Her Infant, respectively.

A special medical session, organized by the Society of Medical Officers of Maternity and Infant Welfare Centers, was held on Wednesday afternoon. The subject for discussion, "The Uses and Abuses of Dried Milk," was introduced by Dr. Harold Scurfield. The discussions emphasized the relative scarcity of milk in England (less than one-half pint per capita) and developed the fact that an enormous quantity of dried milk was being used with satisfactory results. One of the practical points brought out regarding the use of dried milk in infant and child welfare centers related to the printed directions for preparing dried-milk powder. The manufacturer directs that the milk powder be measured by the teaspoonful. Owing to the very great variation in the size of teaspoons, infants are likely to be supplied with a food not well adapted to their digestive capacities. The discussion was participated in by the representative of the United States Public Health Service, who outlined the studies of dried-milk powder as a food for infants made by the service during the past year in the city of Boston, in cooperation with the Boston Baby Hygiene Association. These studies very clearly demonstrated the value of properly manufactured dried milk as a substitute for fresh cow's milk in cases where breast feeding is not possible.

A meeting of the General Council of the Association of Infant Welfare and Maternity Centers held on Thursday was devoted to the discussion of the question. "What are the Most Vital and Essential Forms of Child Welfare Work?"

The writer brings away from this conference the general impression that the English-speaking people are deeply impressed with the necessity and importance of conserving maternal and infant life. The papers read at this conference showed a keen insight into the problems of maternal and child hygiene. The writer was also impressed by the fact that a number of the papers gave evidence that the British Government is much more liberal with appropriations for child health work than is the case in our own country, and that the coordination of the activities of volunteer associations with those of the official agencies is much closer than is generally the case with us. Finally, it must be noted the conference made clear that, fundamentally, the solution of the problems of child hygiene in other English-speaking countries is the same as in America. The essential principles of child and maternal health conservation are equally well understood by all, but the practical application of this knowledge can never be wholly the same in England as in the United States, due to the necessity of different angles of approach. However, the calling into conference of representatives from far-distant countries for the purpose of exchanging views can not help but be fruitful of good results. The earnest men and women responsible for the organization and conduct of the conference deserve the heartfelt thanks of those who are interested in the welfare of the future men and women of their respective countries.

# COOPERATIVE RURAL HEALTH WORK OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE IN THE FISCAL YEAR 1921.

By L. L. LUMSDEN, Surgeon, United States Public Health Service.

The results of the cooperative rural health work of the Public Health Service in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1921, gave further support to the conclusion 1 presented in the report on this activity for the fiscal year 1920.

The estimate of appropriation approved by the Bureau of the Public Health Service and the Treasury Department and submitted to Congress "for special studies of and demonstration work in rural sanitation" in the fiscal year 1921 was \$500,000. Congress granted \$50,000. In view of (1) the definitely determined 2 need of sanitary improvements in our rural districts, (2) the lack of local health service approaching adequacy in our rural counties and towns generally, (3) the vital importance from local, State, and national standpoints of having promoted the interests of our food-producing rural

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Page 15 of Reprint No. 615 from Public Health Reports, Oct. 1, 1920.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Public Health Bulletin No. 94, pp. 39-44.

sections. (4) the interrelation of rural to urban health, and (5) the demonstrated effectiveness of the plan of rural sanitation work of the Public Health Service to stimulate the development and maintenance of well-balanced, economical local health service, it seemed unfortunate that the appropriation made available was less than 10 per cent of the amount necessary to enable the Federal Government to accept opportunities presenting at the beginning of the fiscal year through offers from State and local authorities to enter into cooperation in due and reasonable proportion to develop demonstration projects in rural health work. Had the amount estimated, viz, \$500,000, been made available, the demonstration work could have been carried out on a sufficient scale to make a definite impression upon the general situation, and the eventual results in the promotion of rural health work, with the saving of lives and the prevention of costly sickness among the people of the United States, would have been more than tenfold those which were obtainable from the small investment made possible by the appropriation granted.

On July 1, 1920, \$997.42 unexpended under previous contracts remained available. This amount, with the \$50,000 appropriated, made \$50,997.42 available for the cooperative rural health work of the Public Health Service in the fiscal year 1921. Of this sum, \$31,460.82 was expended under allotments for cooperative projects in counties, and \$5,874.45 was expended for administration, supervision of projects, and studies of the problem of rural sanitation.<sup>3</sup>

During the fiscal year, cooperative projects were carried out in 38 counties in 15 States. The total expenditures for the support of the local projects was \$292,063.59. Of this sum, \$217,768.39 was provided from municipal, county, and State governmental sources, \$42,834.38 from civic sources, such as local health associations, Red Cross chapters, and the International Health Board, and \$31,460.82 from the rural sanitation funds of the Public Health Service. Thus the investment of Federal funds was covered with odds of over 8 to 1 for the support of the work. The proportion of the expenses met with funds from local sources is significant. It gives some idea of the stimulating effect of the Federal cooperation and suggests what might be accomplished in this vitally important national field if Congress would grant sufficient appropriations to enable the Federal Government to go into the cooperative rural health business on a reasonably adequate scale.

The amounts of money expended from the different sources for the support of the projects and the scope and the results of the work are presented in the accompanying tabular statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The unexpended balance of the total sum available was included in allotments made during the fiscal year for the support of some of the local cooperative projects which, because of various local circumstances, could not be completed by the end of the fiscal year.

Compilation of data, by counties, on cooperative demonstration work in rural sanitation in the fiscal year 1921.

Dubuque, Edge- Sanitary combe, District of N. C. Vermont.	May 1 to 1923, to 1921, to 1921, to 1921, to 1921.	\$50.00 \$992.46 \$2,310.00 1,582.72 3,299.64 1,506.84 1,850.46	2,850.68 5,195.64 5,579.64	799 8,727 1,733 2,975 4,382	616 8,376 25 51 288 182 50 1,060 257	1,177 4,464 464	262 862 168 1,903 664 5,199 836 238 4,383	573 54 81 142 337 20 167 12 200 1,007
Cumber-	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	\$963.29 999.88 6,626.13	9, 589. 41	6,640 10,410	4,012 73 2,161	6,246	1,550 1,272 1,763	1,848 . 75 572 823
Clarke, Ga.	Dec. 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	\$1,258.29 3,375.45 501.99 748.50	5,884.23	5,230 792	4,307 118 3	4,478	1 1,380 1,138	
Cherokee, Kans.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	\$909.81 6,142.16 1,200.00	8,251.97	150 9,918 9,262	1,316 158 530	2,004	633 6,901 4,622 85	78 34 57 17
Chaves, N. Mex.	June 1 to June 30, 1921.	\$150.00 420.46 325.00	895.46	290 68	898	898	7.5	100 700
Cascade, Mont.	Aug. 16, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	\$3,500.39 8,154.44 8,154.44 2,400.00	22,209.27	39 1,422 28,944	93 1 6 565	999	110 1,404 1,082	£242 P
Cape Cod Health District, Mass.	May 1 to June 30, 1921.	\$312. 50 669. 67	982.17	75	80	6	208 287 287	
Arlington, Va.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	\$300.00 1,793.24 10,483.00 928.00	13, 504. 24	26 1,285 6,717	2,420 100 94 414	3,037	212	147
Countles (or districts)	Period of work in fiscal year 1921	Expenditures: (a) Rural sanitation fund (P. H. S.). (b) State. (c) Sourtr. (c) Countr. (d) Mundalvalitles (e) Other agencies.	Total	Number of lectures Attendance at lectures Picese of literature distributed	Sanitary inspections: (1) Private homes (2) Schools (3) Churches (4) Stores, markets, etc.	Total	Special inspections: Food product places. Physical orsanination of school children: (1) Number examinad (2) Number found defective. Number of treatments induced for correction of physical defects in school children.	Public-health nursing: (1) Number of visits to cases of communicable diseases. (2) Number of talks given to groups of persons. (3) Number of visits to give prenatal care. (4) Number of visits to explain and demonstrate infant hygiene.

Laboratory examinations: Positive Negative	312 1,789	100	151		142	45	200	152	131	2,864
Total	2, 101	102	924		233	85	788	455	328	3,130
Immunization: (3) Number of complete autityphold inoculations. (3) Number of complete autityphold inoculations.	8		33		2,189	398	737		2,339	
(3) Number of complete antipneumonia inocula-	£ ,		461			1,618	2,401		2,670	
Antimalaria work Number of persons treated for removal of hookworm	° :	6	ε	æ	€	€	<u>e</u>	©	<b>(</b>	
unfection Venoreal disease prevention:  (1) Number of prophylactic treatments	8						3		2	75
(2) Number of curative treatments	:		215	2		:	1,662	4		
(1) To diagnose suspected cases infectious disease. (2) To impose quarantine measures. Number of cases quarantined	872 872 454	-67	1,758 2,348 2,261	<b>⊕</b> 82	307	884	210 208 208	228	855 855 855 855	186 188
Senitary privies installed: L. R. S.					7 2	8	88	-		-
Concern values  Bucket and box  Pits	719			5	88.8	<u>\$</u>	320	1	25 25 25	1
Total	719			2	874	481	529	1	261	22
Septic tanks installed.  Number of privies repaired so as again to be of sanitary construction	68		155	\$25	998		18	1 4	18	
99.	19		88		888	ଞ୍ଚ	527.6	22.4		
Number of springs improved	17		64		35		34	48	32	22
None,			2 Considerable.	able.			. Little,	ő		

Compilation of data, by counties, on cooperative demonstration work in rural sanitation in the fiscal year 1921—Continued.

Counties (or districts)	Fauquier, Va.	Glynn, Ga.	Greene, Mo.	Hamilton, Tenn.	Harrison, Miss.	Henry, Va.	Jasper, Mo.	Lauderdale, Ala.	Madison, Ala.	Mason, Ky.
Period of work in fiscal year 1921	Oct. 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	Aug. 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1 to Sept. 15, 1920.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	Aug. 1, 1920, to June 15, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.
Expenditures: (a) Rural sanitation fund (P. H. S.). (b) State (c) County (d) Municipalities. (e) Other agencies.	\$225.00 2,237.96 3,461.12 1,564.94	\$275.00 10,419.18 6,348.89	\$909. 81 600. 00 10, 461. 66	\$762.50 5,271.67	\$1, 200. 00 8, 907. 18	\$282.50 4, 034.85 4, 034.85 825.00	\$909. 81 2, 476. 27 8, 302. 60	\$1, 173, 33 575, 00 3, 041, 00 3, 527, 76	\$2,274.17 6,709.55 2,874.96 2,035.02	81, 788, 34 1, 381, 28 2, 002, 75 1, 164, 03
Total	7, 489. 02	17,043.07	11,971.46	6,034.17	11, 185.99	9, 157. 20	11,688.68	8,317.09	13, 893. 70	6, 336, 40
Number of lectures. Attendance at lectures Pieces of literature distributed.	33 2,996 18,086	31 1,470 1,083	401 12, 974 41, 229		14, 649 8, 689	1, 628 6, 001	4, 995 4, 655	3, 170 3, 175 3, 175	3, 910 1, 780	3,316 4,464
Saritary inspections: (1) First homes. (2) Schools. (3) Churches. (4) Stores, markets, etc.	1, 190 81 4 116	7,690 13 1,936	48 191 5 190	3,300 8 6 130	5,496 82 13 1,965	1,069	1,601 65	4,578 104 26 7,249	10, 243 52 80	417 86 14
Total	1,391	9,639	434	3,444	7,556	1,134	2,013	11,957	10, 375	517
Special inspections: Food product places. Product places cannot children: (1) Number examined. (2) Number found defective for correction of physical defects in school children. Publichaelth nursing: (1) Number of visits to cases of communicable.	67 2,723 1,803	558 1,913 972 76	28 3,572 2,881 1,438	10	78 2,831 1,896	2, 283 1, 289	169 5, 396 4, 656 424	283 3, 664 2,711 11	454 2,305 30 30 30	3, 363 1, 212 167
(2) Number of talks given to groups of persons (3) Number of valks to give prenatal care (4) Number of visits to explain and demonstrate infant hygiene.	27 9 9 12	280 14 14 2	262 81 197 1,456		132 11 18	987 204 167	22 23 30 48 20 11 22 14	28 2 8 2 8 2 8	E 8 . 8	토영 <b>요</b> 됐

Laboratory examinations: Positive. Negative.	88	211	218	3	795 1,917	245		179	2,064	310
Total	74	355	399	3	2, 712	301		773	2,671	416
Immunisation: (1) Number of complete antityphoid inoculations. (2) Number of complete antismalipox inocula-	88	128	88 (	pri 1	471	14	109	789	483	34
(3) Number of complete antipneumonia inoculations.  Antimalaria work.  Number of persons treated for removal of hookworm.	(s) 2 4	(*)	462	g (£)	2, 865 (3) 672	(f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f) (f)	(i)	(3) 177 12	(3)	<b>3</b>
Veneral disease prevention: (1) Number of prophylactic treatments (2) Number of curative treatments		719	5,961		230		3,040	3,919	3,698	211
Number of visits by health officer or his assistant: (1) To diagnose suspected cases infectious disease (2) To impose quarantine measures Number of cases quarantined.	45 45	93 51 54	109 408 570	17 12 11	80 29 817	217		157 66 107	412 210 191	152 125 212
Sanitary privies installed: L. R. S.	9	43	18			<b>3</b>	48	88	*	16
Concrete vaults. Bucket and box.	888			13	28	659	36.5	63 144	86 112	-63
Total	1,843	43	18	13	35	707	257	292	234	61
Esptic tanks installed  Number of privies repared so as again to be of sanitary construction  Number of new sewe connections.  Number of new water connections.  Number of new water connections.  Number of springs improved.  Number of springs improved.  Number of public milk supplies radically improved.	1,068 30 11 2 2	140	3 3		1,981 2 10	18 32 4	8 8 8	28 28 24 24 8		g <sub>44</sub>
Number of life extension examinations			*Considerable	able.	23		Little	- o	\$10°	

Compilation of data, by counties, on cooperative demonstration work in rural sanitation in the fiscal year 1921—Continued.

Counties (or districts)	Muscogee, Ga.	Ottawa, Okla.	San Miguel, N. Mex.	Santa Fe, N. Mex.	Talladega, Ala.	Union, N. Mex.	Walker, Ala.	Walker, Ga.	10 Virginia counties.	
Period of work in fiscal year 1921	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	Apr. 1 to June 30, 1921.	June 1 to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	Apr. 1 to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921.	Total.
Expenditures:  (a) Rural sanitation fund (P. H. S.).  (b) State.  (c) County.  (d) Municipalities.  (e) Other agencies.	\$300.00 23, 282.50 23, 282.51	\$909. 81 4, 066. 39 4, 359. 08	\$225.00 2,086.06	\$100.00 595.54 228.40	\$1,990.96 953.80 6,457.00 1,067.58	\$248.2 <b>2</b> 2,556.60	\$818, 33 4, 961. 62 830. 00	\$1,457.50 3,907.88	\$4,904.80 11,131.92 13,275.66	\$31, 460. 82 27, 960. 39 147, 139. 37 42, 868. 63 42, 834. 38
Total	46, 865. 01	9, 335, 28	2,321.06	921.94	10, 468. 34	2, 804. 82	6, 609.95	5, 365. 33	29, 312. 37	292, 063. 59
Numbor of lectures Attendance at lectures Pieces of literature distributed	10 220 5,117	7,800 3,775	64 1,085 550	3, 048 3, 048 960	74 4,879 3,662	31 225 225	4, 560 6, 719	62 5, 148 5, 536	28, 703 33, 368	2,356 136,683 212,599
Santary inspections: (1) Private homes (2) Schools: (3) Churches: (4) Stores, markets, etc.	56,840	2,293 110 741	283 37		669 11 207	191	1,088 67 5 215	3,085 48 17 380	10,888	127,985 2,169 184 22,014
Total	59,630	3,144	416		892	200	1,375	3,530	11,287	152, 352
Special inspections: Food product places Physical examination of school children: (1) Number examined (2) Number examined (3) Number found defective. Number of treatments induced for correction of physical defects in school children.	651 2, 254 1, 203	646 1,842 1,577	75 12 18	. E3	179 2,086 1,488	8 405 4684	2, 639	87 2,711 1,280 11,280	237	7,702 83,311 41,507 6,241
(1) Number of visits to cases of communicable diseases. (2) Number of talks given to groups of persons (3) Number of visits to give prenatal care. (4) Number of visits to explain and demonstrate infant hygiene.	3,010 108 1,573 2,220	620 200 73 299	1 89 89	24 21 22 24 25 25 25	471 28 26	ដូន១ ខ				12,000 2,330 3,161 9,035

Laboratory examinations: Positive. Negative	390	52.84	77.0		247	670	186	245		4, 510 15, 516
Total	1,176	73	83		1,083	723	875	115		20,026
Immunization:  (1) Number of complete antityphoid inculations.  (2) Number of complete antityphoid inculations.	817	1,815		1	1,791		2,890	283		15,937
(3) Number of complete antipneumonia inocula-	1,122	206			228		2, 167	583		20,023
tions Antimalaria work Number of persons treated for removal of hookworm	<b>(</b> e)	υ Ε	ώ	(£)	€	(i)	(3)	(3)	<b>(c)</b>	268
infection Veneroal disease prevention: (2) Number of prophylactic treatments (2) Number of curative treatments	3,810	27 887		21	3, 445		ଛ			907 167 27,850
Number of visits by health officer or his assistant: (1) To diagnose suspected cases infectious disease (2) To impose quarantine measures Number of cases quarantined	504 935 758	84 97 128	146 57 93	123 412 28	407 178 162	75 85 123	118 164 248	144 35 78		6,872 8,334
Sanitary privies installed: L. R. S. Concrete vanits		S		67	120		19	71,	213	048
Bucket and box. Pits.	51	613	₩.	19	480 15	9	861 149	√S.44	631 631 1,650	4, 991 5, 52 <b>9</b>
Total	51	700	4	63	615	9	1,029	127	2, 522	11, 790
Septic tanks installed. Number of pivies repaired so as again to be of sanitary construction. Number of new sewer connections.		122	115	284	8.84 8.83		656 67	81	646	5,726 2,244
Number of new water connections  Number of wells improved.  Number of springs improved.  Number of public milk supplies radically improved.  Number of life extension examinations.	188 88 11	*	es .	9	470 19 20 4 92	1	116 45 21	13.80	90 172 48	2,047 571 150 322 554
i None.			* Considerable.	able.			. Little			

#### Plan of Work.

The plan of work in the fiscal year 1921 was generally the same as that carried out in the fiscal year 1920. This plan has been evolved in the course of field experience. It has stood the test of time under a wide range of local conditions. Its effectiveness, economy, and logic appear now to be definitely demonstrated.

From follow-up observations in the rural counties of which the Public Health Service, in cooperation with State and local health authorities, made complete sanitary surveys in the period 1914–1917, it was found, as a rule, in those in which local whole-time health service was maintained, after the survey, sanitation progressed; whereas in those in which no such service was provided, the sanitary improvements resulting from the educational effects of the survey retrogressed. Such observations indicated the advantage of distributing the rural sanitation demonstration work of the Public Health Service in communities in which it would help toward the establishment of local whole-time health service adequate to continue the sanitary work and so make the demonstrations lasting. This principle of procedure has been applied in most of the projects in which the cooperative work has been conducted during the last three fiscal years.

A whole-time health service is established in the geographical unit—a county or a group of townships or towns—decided upon by the agencies (including the State board of health and the local governmental authorities) to participate in the cooperative project. For the support of such service, the money from the different sources, including that from the rural sanitation funds of the Public Health Service, is pooled so as to make a budget for the year. Under this arrangement the rural sanitation work of the Public Health Service is carried out by a local health force and so made a part of a general program of rural health work indicated in the locality. Thus it is accomplished more economically and with more lasting effects from a demonstration standpoint than it could be if undertaken by a specialized force working a comparatively short time in the locality. The members of the local health forces, consisting of whole-time county or district health officers, whole-time sanitary inspectors, and whole-time health nurses, are appointed by the proper local authorities; but they must be acceptable to each of the cooperating agencies. The only ground upon which the interests of all the cooperating agencies can meet, is that of fitness of the personnel to render efficient services; and, with such expressed understanding, the local authorities, at times, may be relieved of local political embarrassment in making the appointments.

<sup>4</sup> Reprint No. 615 from Public Health Reports, Oct. 1, 1920.

The different branches of health work indicated in the locality are taken up in what appears to be the logical and most advantageous sequence. The local health officer, at the head of the demonstration unit, in determining sequence and methods of work, has, from time to time, the advantage of advice and counsel from broadly experienced representatives of the State board of health and the Public Health Service. Every salient branch of health workincluding safeguarding of water and food supplies, sanitary excreta disposal, fly control, antimalarial measures, acute communicabledisease control, infant and maternity hygiene, school inspection, antituberculosis and antivenereal disease measures, industrial hygiene. etc.—is carried out in the demonstration projects. The economy of having carried out all such related activities under one local administrative direction rather than under multiple direction, as would be the case with numerous separate specialized health forces operating independently along the different lines of health work in the same locality, is readily apparent. Under this plan of unified local health service, overhead expenses and clerical work may be reduced to a minimum, so as to constitute but a small fraction of what they would be under a plan of uncoordinated multiple separate health activities in a community.

The plan of cooperative rural health work by the Public Health Service has been found to be adjustable to the differing governmental and other local conditions in the different States. In the Southern and Western States generally the county government is the unit of rural government with which, as a rule, the Public Health Service and the State board of health negotiate the cooperative arrangements. In the New England States, with the town as the unit of rural government, and with many of such towns having each a population (of less than 2,000) too small to support economically a whole-time town health service, the problem of adjustment appeared more difficult. It was, therefore, with particular interest that, upon the request of the State commissioner of health, negotiations were undertaken in the fiscal year 1921 to develop a cooperative rural health project in Massachusetts.

#### The Cape Cod Project.

In the autumn of 1920 representatives of the Public Health Service and of the State department of health, at a joint meeting of members of the boards of selectmen and the local boards of health of the 14 towns in Cape Cod, Mass., presented for consideration a proposition for the establishment of a system of whole-time health service in that part of the State. The proposition presented was for the towns to go into partnership for whole-time health service by pooling their appropriations for health work and having the same person serve

as health officer for each of the towns entering into the combination. The members of the local boards regarded the proposition favorably and agreed to present it at the next town meetings in their respective towns. At the town meetings held in the spring of 1921, 10 of the towns were authorized by a unanimous vote of the citizens assembled to enter into the combination. Thus these 10 towns were constituted a special sanitary district. A health officer was engaged for whole-time service in the district and was appointed as health officer of each of the towns in the group. As assistants on the district health force, a sanitary inspector and an officer clerk were engaged. A system to coordinate advantageously the work of several health nurses, engaged by civic organizations or by separate towns in the group, with the activities of the district health force was inaugurated. The budget for the support of the district health work for a period of 12 months was \$7,600, of which \$5,100 was appropriated by the 10 towns and \$2,500 was allotted from the rural sanitation funds of the Public Health Service. The appropriations by the towns to obtain this whole-time health service exceeded but little the amounts expended by them in each of the several previous years for part-time, unsystematic, and comparatively ineffectual health work. The active work of the whole-time district health department on Cape Cod was begun in May, 1921, and at the end of the fiscal year was giving promise of highly gratifying success.

### Special Demonstration Work in 10 Virginia Counties.

The special line of demonstration work in rural sanitation which was carried out in 11 counties in Virginia in the fiscal year 1920 was carried out in 10 counties 5 in that State in the fiscal year 1921. This special line of demonstration work has proved highly successful and has a wide range of applicability among counties in which effective health work, if begun at all, must be begun on a low-cost basis. The following excerpt from a report submitted to the Rural Sanitation Office by Surg. W. F. Draper presents the plan of progressive rural health work which is being carried forward in Virginia:

Among the 100 counties in Virginia are many which have never made provision for organized public health work of any kind and in which sentiment for such work is confined to a very few people. To secure from these counties appropriations of several thousands of dollars for the support of adequate, well-balanced health departments is an impossibility at the present time. The only way in which this can be accomplished is by introducing first the simplest and least expensive form of public health work which will be effective, and gradually adding to it as public interest and public sentiment develop.

The demonstrations of rural health work in Virginia are planned so as to enable any county to undertake at the start the one line of work which, for that particular county, will yield the greatest results in lives saved and sickness prevented for the money

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bath, Charlotte, Chesterfield, Greensville, Lunenburg, Northumberland, Orange, Richmond, Roanolee, and Wythe.

which is available. As the work progresses, and as its value becomes apparent to the citizens of the county, appropriations may be increased so as to include the line of work which will yield the next greatest returns, and so in logical sequence, until the public health structure is completed. By this method of development the people are enabled to keep pace with the work, and are ready to approve and accept each additional step because of the merit and worth of those which have gone before. While such a process of development may extend over a period of years, it is permanent when completed.

Almost every stage in the development of county health work was in progress in Virginia at the end of the fiscal year 1921, as is shown by the following:

County sanitary officer.	
Appropriations—	. 1,
United States Public Health Service	\$300
State board of health	700
County	1, 500
Total	2, 500
In this stage may also be included 39 counties in which a public heal employed alone by the county, either with or without State or Red Croassistance.	
Second stage—five counties.	
County sanitary officer.	
Public health nurse.	
Appropriations—	
United States Public Health Service	\$300
State board of health	1, 200
County (including extra governmental agencies)	3, 500
Total	5, 000
Third stage—five counties.6	
County health officer.	

Third stage—five counties.'
County health officer.
Public health nurse.
Sanitary inspector.
Clerical assistant.

First stage—five counties.

 Appropriations—
 •

 United States Public Health Service.
 300

 State board of health
 2,500

 International Health Board
 2,500

 County (including extra governmental agencies)
 5,000

 Total
 9,300

Fourth stage-four counties.

County health officer.

Public health nurse.

Sanitary inspector.

Clerical assistant.

Appropriations-

\$8,000 to \$15,000, all derived from county sources.

<sup>•</sup> The Public Health Service is participating in three of these counties.

In the cooperative county health work in which the Public Health Service has participated during the fiscal year 1921, the appropriations have been derived as follows:

United States Public Health Service	\$5, 696, 91
State board of health	13, 727, 44
Counties (including extra governmental agencies)	
m.4.1	07 500 00

The development of the first stage of health work in counties in which no public health activities were being conducted has constituted the greater part of the work of the Public Health Service in Virginia. At the beginning of the demonstrations in 1919, cooperative work of this character was established in 10 counties, the full number that could be undertaken with the Federal and State appropriations available for the purpose. During the first year the work was conducted on a \$2,000 budget for each county, \$1,000 being derived from the county and the remaining \$1,000 being contributed by the State and the Public Health Service.

At the end of the first year, six of the counties provided for continuation a second year and appropriated \$1,500 each instead of \$1,000 in order that the salaries of the sanitary officers might be more in proportion to the services they had rendered. The State and Public Health Service allotments remained the same, making the county budgets \$2,500 each.

Two of the 10 original counties appropriated \$5,000 each in order that they might enter the third stage of work. The remaining two counties made no provision for continuation.

In 1920 four new counties were secured to fill the places of the counties which had advanced to a higher stage or which had discontinued, the demonstrations being conducted throughout the year in 10 counties as before.

During the second year, 5 of the 10 counties advanced to the second stage by employing a public health nurse in addition to the sanitary officer.

Up to July, 1921, three counties have completed their second year of work, and two of them have provided for continuation a third year upon the same basis as before. It is assured that practically all of the remaining counties will provide for continuation. A new county has been secured to fill the place of the one which discontinued the work, and other counties have signified their intentions of providing for the first stage of work in the event that a vacancy occurs.

One of the original counties which advanced to the third stage after the first year, has returned to the second stage for its third year.

The educational value resulting from the first stage of work and its success in demonstrating the benefits to be derived, are best shown by the action of the counties in providing for continuation from year to year or in advancing to higher stages.

#### General Progress in Rural Health Work.

It is gratifying to be able to report that, notwithstanding the general economic depression, substantial progress was made in the development of whole-time rural health service in the United States during the fiscal year. Ohio went to the head of the list of States for number of counties provided with whole-time health departments. Progress deserving especial mention continued in Virginia, North Carolina, Georgia, and Alabama, and was made in Missouri. Largely as a result of the demonstrations effected by the cooperative rural health work in Greene and Jasper Counties, Mo., the State Legislature of Missouri made an appropriation of \$20,000 for cooperative rural

health work in the biennial period beginning July 1, 1921. Dubuque County, in Iowa, established a precedent for that State by creating a whole-time county health department.

In a number of the counties in which the Public Health Service was participating in rural health work during the year, the industrial depression was so acute as to necessitate radical reductions in county expenditures; but notwithstanding this fact, the appropriations from the county treasuries for the health work were continued—and in most instances on an increased scale. Some of these instances furnished striking evidence of the appreciation by the local citizens of the relative and the absolute value of the cooperative health work.

Though the progress in the development of whole-time local health service in our rural districts generally is slow, it now is being made on a basis of definitely established facts whose convincing logic eventually may be expected to cause an increase in its rate somewhat commensurate with the importance of the work. That something more than is now being done is necessary for the advancement of the work to a reasonable degree is clear. According to data collected by the Rural Sanitation Office from the State health departments, there were in the United States only 154 counties (over 50 per cent rural) which, as of January 1, 1921, were provided with local health service headed by whole-time county health officers. This means that less than 6 per cent of our rural communities are provided with local health service approaching adequacy for the protection of the men, women. and children against readily preventable health demotion, premature death, and economic disaster resulting from costly sick-Such a situation is of grave importance to the individual citizen, to the local community, and to the whole Nation; it surely should be a matter of acute concern with our local, State, and National Governments. In the items for the promotion of our national welfare none appears more important than reasonably adequate procedure for the protection and the promotion of the health of our people.

Rural health work, on account of distances to be covered and other obvious factors, is relatively more expensive than urban health work. Rural health work protects not only the rural but also the urban population. In the United States rural health work has not made, and under existing conditions can not reasonably be expected to make, the progress that urban health work has made. In a critical period of war the defense or the loss of some of our largest cities might be determined by the factor of strength now lost in any one month from incapacity and death resulting from preventable disease in our rural population. Without assistance and stimulation from central agencies such as the State government and the Federal Government, it now seems clearly established that individual citizens and local

communities in our rural districts will not make the progress in the carrying out of health measures which is critically needed. Our National Government as yet has not done what appears to be its proper and proportionate part in assisting the States in the development of local rural health service. If the Federal Government has a right to cooperate with the States in any line of work, the indication is definite for it to do much more than it is and has been doing for the promotion of cooperative rural health work. This last statement appears amply justified by the results—recorded in this and previous reports—obtained with the small annual investments made by Congress within the last several years for the cooperative rural health work of the Public Health Service.

#### Results.

The cooperative projects in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1921, yielded results exceeding in value manyfold the cost in labor and money. Among the results indicated in the tabular statement, to which especial consideration may be given, are:

- 1. Public health lectures presenting the principles and details of sanitation to over 136,000 persons.
- 2. Over 152,000 sanitary inspections of premises, with plain discussion of findings with occupants of the properties.
- 3. Physical examination of over 63,000 school children, with notification of parents of defects found.
- 4. Six thousand two hundred and forty-one recorded treatments, effecting correction of incapacitating physical defects among school children, brought about by written notifications and follow-up visits to homes of the children.
- 5. Twelve thousand visits by health nurses to homes of cases of communicable disease to advise and show the afflicted households how to prevent the spread of the infections.
- 6. Three thousand one hundred and sixty-one visits by health nurses to prenatal cases to advise with and assist expectant mothers in carrying out hygienic and physiological measures making for healthy mothers and healthy babies.
- 7. Nine thousand and thirty-five home visits by health nurses to demonstrate hygienic measures for the protection of the health and lives of infants.
- 8. Fifteen thousand nine hundred and thirty-seven persons inoculated for the prevention of typhoid fever.
- 9. Twenty thousand and twenty-five persons vaccinated against smallpox, a disease which now should be obsolete in civilized communities and which can be made so by thorough vaccination.
- 10. Twenty-eight thousand and seventeen treatments to rid persons of venereal disease infection and prevent the spread of the infection.

- 11. Eight thousand, three hundred and thirty-four cases of dangerous communicable disease quarantined to prevent spread of infection in the local community, the State and throughout the country.
- 12. The installation of 11,790 sanitary privies and of 753 septic tanks with flush water-closets at homes previously provided with grossly insanitary privies or without toilets of any kind.
- 13. Five thousand, seven hundred and twenty-six privies repaired so as again to be of sanitary type and provide sanitary protection, restore confidence in the system, and maintain a demonstration of the important principles involved.
- 14. Two thousand, two hundred and forty-four homes connected for the first time with sanitary sewers.
- 15. Two thousand, seven hundred and sixty-eight homes provided with clean water supplies in place of contaminated water supplies.
- 16. Radical improvement of 322 public milk supplies, distributed to a considerable extent through the channels of interstate commerce, to prevent the spread, through that important and economical food, of such infections as those of typhoid fever, scarlet fever, diphtheria, tuberculosis, septic sore throat, and infant diarrhea.
- 17. Five hundred and fifty-four persons over 40 years of age examined and advised about their need to consult private physicians about methods to conserve their vital capital.

The range and the number of the results obtained indicate the comprehensiveness and the effectiveness of the work. The value of a human life saved can not be measured in dollars and cents; but if consideration be given only to the monetary loss from sickness which was prevented in these demonstration projects, the economy of this business can not be questioned.

Reference was made in the report for the fiscal year 1920 to Madison County, Ala., as an example among the cooperative projects in which a radical reduction in death rate had been effected by the work at a cost of \$66 per life saved. In the fiscal year 1921, the death rate in that county continued low—the total number of deaths reported in the county's population of 50,000 being about 350 less than that reported in each of the several fiscal years before the whole-time county health service was established.

#### Conclusion.

The demonstration rural health work of the Public Health Service has succeeded to such a degree that it now should be put on a cooperative basis so that any rural community in the United States ready to do its proper part might receive from the Federal Government due and logical assistance in the development and maintenance of reasonably adequate local health work.

## DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED SEPT. 24, 1921.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended Sept. 24, 1921, and corresponding week, 1920. (From the Weekly Health Index. Sept. 27, 1921, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

	Week ended Sept. 24, 1921.	Corresponding week, 1920.
Policies in force	47, 083, 403	44,060,477
Number of death claims	7, 482	6, 951
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force	8.3	8.2

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Sept. 24, 1921, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of preceding years. (From the Weekly Health Index, Sept. 27, 1921, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)

			ended 4, 1921.	Average		s under 1 ear.	Infant mor- tality
City.	Estimated population, July 1, 1921.	Total deaths.	Death rate.1	death rate per 1,000.2	Week ended Sept. 24, 1921.	Previous year or years.3	rate, week ended Sept. 24,1921.3
Akron, Ohio. Albany, N. Y. Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Birmingham, Ala. Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Buffalo, N. Y. Cambridge, Mass. Camden, N. J. Chicago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Denver, Colo. Detroit, Mich. Fall River, Mass. Grand Rapids, Mich. Houston, Tex. Indianapolis, Ind. Jersey City, N. J. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Los Angeles, Calif. Louisville, Ky. Lowell, Mass. Memphis, Tenn. Milwaukee, Wis. Minneapolis, Minn. Nashville, Tenn. New Bedford, Mass. New Haven, Conn. New Orleans, La. New York, N. Y. Nowark, N. J. Norfolk, Va. Oakland, Calif. Omaha, Nebr. Paterson, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Portland, Oreg.	207, 473 752, 86, 133 757, 634 149, 957 519, 608 110, 444 110, 444	212 222 57 196 47 191 22 107 22 533 47 125 30 43 45 46 47 122 533 47 125 22 533 46 47 125 22 533 47 125 22 533 47 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125	4.8 10.0 14.3 12.2 13.1 7.6 10.7 11.3 9.5 11.5 8.6 10.0 7 11.5 8.4 9.1 11.5 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 13.5 14.5 15.1 16.5 16.6 16.0 17.8 18.6 18.6 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0 19.0	C 16.5 A 16.1 A 15.5 A 16.1 A 13.0 C 10.5 A 14.1 A 13.3 C 11.3 C 11.3 C 11.3 C 11.3 C 11.3 C 11.6 A 13.0 C 11.6 A 13.0 C 10.5 A 14.1 C 8.1 C 8.1 C 8.1 C 10.5 C 10.5 A 14.1 C 8.1 C 10.5 C 10.5	5 5 6 9 300 12 226 3 3 33 5 5 106 13 3 26 13 3 7 6 6 10 5 5 5 8 8 11 1 1 7 7 16 11 3 6 6 24 9 9 5 10 4 4 4 120 2 4 4 4 5 5 5 7 226 3	C 45 C 8 A 447 A 48 A 6 C 31 A 158 C 150 C 150 C 150 C 16 C 16 C 12 A 10 C 13 C 12 C 13 C 12 C 13 C 13 C 13 C 13 C 13 C 13 C 13 C 13	488 134 289 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 8
Providence, R. I. Richmond, Va. Rochester, N. Y.	239, 645 175, 686 305, 229	57 41 39	12. 4 12. 2 6. 7	C 13.6 C 13.6 C 9.8	3	C 18 C 13 C 13	89 37 62

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Annual rate per 1,000 population.

<sup>2</sup> "A" indicates data for the corresponding week of the years 1913 to 1917, inclusive. "C" indicates data for the corresponding week of the year 1920.

<sup>3</sup> Deaths under 1 year per 1,000 births—an annual rate based on deaths under 1 year for the week and estimated births for 1920. Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

<sup>4</sup> Data based on statistics of 1915, 1916, and 1917.

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Sept. 24. 1921, infant mortality, annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of preceding years. (From the Weekly Health Index, Sept. 27, 1921, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.)—Continued.

	Wati-mate	Sept.	rended 24, 1921.		verage	Death	ear.		Infant mor- tality
City.	Estimated population July 1, 192	a   ·	Death rate.	ra	nnual leath te per ,000.	Week ended Sept. 24, 1921.	ye	evious ear or ears.	rate,
St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn Salt Lake City, Utah San Francisco, Calif. Seattle, Wash Spokane, Wash Springfield, Mass Syracuse, N. Y Toledo, Ohio. Trenton, N. J Washington, D. C Wilmington, Del Worcester, Mass Yonkers, N. Y Youngstown, Ohio	237, 781 121, 595 520, 546 327, 227 104, 442 135, 877 177, 255 223, 696 122, 760 454, 023 113, 408 184, 972 103, 324	49 26 121 50 20 34 47 41 25 92 19	9.8 10.7 11.1 12.1 8.0 10.0 13.8 8.4 10.6 8.7 9.0 8.6 9.7	CCACACCCAAACCAC	10. 7 11. 3 12. 3 11. 4 6. 9 13. 5 10. 3 13. 8 15. 0 22. 0 15. 6 12. 6 12. 6 8. 1	12 3 3 10 7 4 9 0 13 5 5 2	CC CACCCAAA CAC	19 7 12 3 7 6 13 15 12 19	30 46 58 58 87 136 60 91 0 76

<sup>67202°—21——3</sup> 

# PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

## UNITED STATES.

## CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

### Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Oct. 1, 1921.

These reports are preliminary and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

ARKANSAS.		i GEORGIA—continued.	
Ca	ses.		ses.
Cerebrespinal meningitis	1	Pneumonia	2
Chicken pox	2	Poliomyelitis	. 1
Diphtheria	17	Scarlet fever	11
Influenza	2	Septic sore throat	2
Malaria	168	Smallpox	
Measles	2	Tuberculosis (pulmonary)	8
Pellagra	2	Typhoid fever	25
Scarlet fever	4	Whooping cough	3
Tuberculesis	5		
Typhoid fever	24	IDAHO.	
· -		Chicken pox	3
COLORADO.		Diphtheria	3
(Exclusive of Denver.)		Poliomyelitis	4
Chicken pox	3	Scarlet fever.	4
Diphtheria	44	Smallpox	1
Measles.	5	Typhoid fever	8
Mumps.	1		
Pneumonia.	3	ILLINOIS.	
Scarlet fever.	12	Cerebrospinal meningitis:	
Smallpox	1	Aurora	1
Tuberculesis	_	Colehester.	1
Typhoid fever.	34		1
Whocping cough	2	Highland	1
w nooping cough	-	Diphtheria:	
FLORIDA.	12	Aurora	17
Complete animal enteringuities		Chicago	
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	Cicero	17
Diphtheria	22	Decatur	8
Influenza	5	Greene County—Woodville Township	8
Malıriı	23	Joliet	8
Ophthalmia necnatorum	2	Lawrence County—Denison Township	10
Scarlet fever	2	Peoria.	12
Trachoma	11	Streator	9
Typhoid fever	8	Scattering	161
Typhus fever	1	Influenza	1
GEOBGIA.		Pneumonia	90
Georgia.		Poliomyelitis:	
Cerebrospinal meningitis	.1	Alton	1
Diphtheria	31	Beardstown	1
Dysentery (amebic)	1	Belvidere	1
Hookworm disease	1	Carroll County—Fairhaven Township	1
Malaria	42	Champaign	1
Mumps	2	Champaign County—Sadorus Township	1
Paratyphoid fever	3	Chicago	15

(2490)

## CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES—Continued.

## Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Oct. 1, 1921—Continued.

ILLINOIS—continued.

ILLINOIS—continued.	KANSAS—continued.
Poliomyelitis—Continued. Cases.	Cases. Tuberculosis
Christian County-Mount Auburn Town-	Typhoid fever
ship 1	Whooping cough
Clinton County—Sugar Creek Township 2	I
Cumberland County—Sumpter Township. 1	LOUISIANA.
Decatur	Diphtheria 13 Lethargic encephalitis 1
Dekalb County—Dekalb Township 1	Pellagra 6
Fairview 1	Scarlet fever. 10
Greenup 1	Typhoid fever
Henry 1	
Jackson County—Levan Township 1	MAINE.
La Salle County—	Chicken pox
Bruce Township	Diphtheria
Grand Rapids Township	Lethargic encephalitis 1
McLean County—Chenoa Township 1	Measles 7
Macon County—Whitmore Township 1	Mumps
Madison County—Alhambra Township 1	Pneumonia
Mason County—Bath Township 1	Poliomyelitis 2 Scarlet fever 14
Mattoon. 1	1 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mendota	Tuberculosis 30 Typhoid fever 7
Montgomery County—Rountree Township 1	Whocping cough
Mount Carmel 1	1
Naperville 1	MARYLAND.1
Ogle County—Woosung Township 1	Cerebrospinal meningitis 1
Peoria	Chicken pox 7
Rock Island 1	Diphtheria41
South Wilmington	Dysentery 3
Sterling 1	Influenza 5
Streator 1	Lethargic encephalitis 4
Wabash County—Bellmont precinct 1	Malaria 21
West Dundee 1	Measles
White City 1	Mumps
Scarlet fever:	Ophthalmia neonatorum
Chicago	Paratyphoid fever 2
Peoria. 9	Pneumonia (all forms)
Rockford	Poliomyelitis
Smallpox	Scarlet fever
Typhoid fever	Septic sore throat
	Tuberculosis 51
IOWA.	Typhoid fever 67
Diphtheria 85	Whocping cough 43
Poliomyelitis9	
Scarlet fever	MASSACHUSETTS.
Smallpox	Anthrax 2
KANSAS.	Cerebrospinal meningitis
Cerebrospinal meningitis	Chicken pox
	Conjunctivitis (suppurative)
Chicken pox	Diphtheria 164
Influenza1	Dysentery
Lethargic encephalitis	Influenza
Measles8	Lethargic encephalitis
Mumps. 2	Malaria
Pneumonia7	Measles. 48
Poliomyelitis 5	Mumps
Scarlet fever. 133	Ophthalmia neonatorum
Septic sore throat	Pellagra1
Smallpox 5	Pneumonia (lobar)
Tetanus 1	
Tonsillitis	1 Week ended Friday.

## . CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES Continued.

## Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Oct. 1, 1921-Continued.

MASSACHUSETTS—continued.	Cases.	NEW MEXICO—continued.	
Poliomyelitis		. j	ases.
Scarlet fever			
Septic sore throat			
Tetanus		Typhoid fever	
Trachoma			5
Tuberculosis (all forms)	223	* / *	
Typhoid fever	32	NEW YORK.	
Whooping cough	40	(Exclusive of New York City.)	
MISSISSIPPI.		Cerebrospinal meningitis	. 3
Diphtheria		Diphtheria	
Scarlet fever		Influenza	
Typhoid fever.		Lethargic encephalitis	
		Measles	
MONTANA.		Pneumonia	
Diphtheria	10	Scarlet fever.	
Poliomyelitis:	1		
Big SandyGreat Falls		Trachoma	ĩ
Monarch		Typhoid fever	90
Power.		Whooping cough.	
Smallpox			
Typhoid fever		NORTH CAROLINA.	
NEBRASKA.		Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
		Chicken pox	8
Cerebrospinal meningitis—Lincoln	1	Diphtheria	
Diphtheria: Omaha	99	German measles.	
Scattering		Measles	_
Lethargic encephalitis—Omaha		Ophthalmia neonatorum.	. 1
Measles		Scarlet fever	101
Mumps		Septic sore throat	7 9
Poliomyelitis:		Typhoid fever	38
Burt County	1	Whooping cough.	71
Dakota County		SOUTH DAKOTA.	
Holt County		Diphtheria	23
Lincoln		Poliomyelitis	2
Scarlet fever		Scarlet fever.	8
Tuberculosis		Smallpox	72
Whooping cough		Tuberculosis	7
	•• •	Typhoid fever	2
NEW JERSEY.		Whooping cough	3
Cerebrospinal meningitis		TEXAS.	
Chicken pox	11	Diphtheria	15
Diphtheria	145	Scarlet fever	
Influenza		Typhoid fever	5
Malaria		Whooping cough	10
MeaslesPneumonia		·	
Poliomyelitis		VERMONT.	~~
Scarlet fever		Chicken pox Diphtheria	30 10
Tunhoid fever		Measles.	4
Hamilton Township	. 1 22	Mumps.	3
Trenton		Poliomyelitis	1
Scattering	. 62	Scarlet fever.	
Whooping cough	. 48	Typhoid fever	1
NEW MEXICO.	-	Whooping cough	12
Diphtheria	. 40	<u></u>	
Influenza	. 1	WASHINGTON.	
		Cerebrospinal meningitis—Centralia	1
¹ Traced to infected milk.	•	Chicken pox	12

### CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES-Continued.

### Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Oct. 1, 1921—Continued.

west virginia—continued.

WASHINGTON—continued.

			ses.
Diphtheria: Ca	ises.	Scarlet fever	
Spokane	- 10	Smallpox	
Scattering		Typhoid fever	
Measles			
Mumps.		WISCONSIN. Milwaukee:	
Poliomyelitis:			
Aberdeen	. 1	Chicken pox	4
Chehalis.		Diphtheria	
King County		Measles	
Seattle		Pneumonia	
Spokane		Scarlet fever	
	-	Smallpox	
Spokane County		Tuberculosis	17
Tacoma		Whooping cough	16
Wenatchec	. 1	Scattering:	
		Cerebrospinal meningitis	2
Seattle		Chicken pox	1
Spokane		Diphtheria	88
Scattering		Influenza	18
Smallpox		Lethargic encephalitis:	
Tuberculosis		Polk County—St. Croix Falls	1
Typhoid fever		Measles	3
Whooping cough	2	Pneumonia	1
WEST VIRGINIA.		Poliomyelitis	11
Diphtheria.		Scarlet fever	
Charleston	10	Smallpox	5
		Tuberculosis	
Clarksburg		Typhoid fever	16
Wheeling		Whooping cough	30
Scattering	31		-
Poliomyelitis: Clarksburg		i '	
Montgomery	1	1	
Reports for Wes	ek K	inded Sent 24 1921	
Reports for We	ek E	nded Sept. 24, 1921.	
ALABAMA.		KEN7UCKY—continued.	
ALABAMA. Ca	ses.	KENTUCKY—continued.	
ALABAMA. Ca	ses. 58	KENTUCKY—continued. Cas	16
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18	KENTUCKY—continued.  Cas  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.	16 1
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47	KENTUCKY—continued.  Cas  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.  Influenza.	16 1 4
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47	KENTUCKY—continued.  Ca: Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis.	16 1 4 1
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1	KENTUCKY—continued.  Ca: Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria.	16 1 4
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 1	KENTUCKY—continued.  Car  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.  Influenza.  Lothargic encephalitis.  Malaria.  Measles.	16 1 4 1
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 1 19	KENTUCKY—continued.  Ca: Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria.	16 1 4 1 3
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 1 19 3	KENTUCKY—continued.  Car  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.  Influenza.  Lothargic encephalitis.  Malaria.  Measles.	16 1 4 1 3 4
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 1 19	KENTUCKY—continued.  Car  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.  Influenza  Lethargic encephalitis.  Malaria.  Mcasles.  Mumps.	16 1 4 1 3 4
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 1 19 3	KENTUCKY—continued.  Car Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1
ALABAMA. Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52	KENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza.  Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria.  Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis:	16 1 4 1 3 4 1
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria Hookworm disease. Malaria Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5	NENTUCKY—continued.  Car  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.  Influenza.  Lothargic encephalitis.  Malaria.  Mcasles.  Mumps.  Pneumonia.  Poliomyelitis:  Greenup County.  Scarlet fever.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Mcasles. Mumps. Preumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis.  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NENTUCKY—continued.  Car  Dysentery.  Impetigo contagiosa.  Influenza.  Lothargic encephalitis.  Malaria.  Mcasles.  Mumps.  Pneumonia.  Poliomyelitis:  Greenup County.  Scarlet fever.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria.  Measles.	18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5 1 8 2	KENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lothargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 21 7
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria Hookworm disease. Malaria Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 552 5 1 8 2 1	KENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis:	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria.  Measles.	18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5 1 8 2	NENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 7 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria Hookworm disease. Malaria Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 552 5 1 8 2 1	NENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lothargic encephalitis. Malaria. Mcasles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria  Measles.  Poliomyelitis  Scarlet fever.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5 5 1 8 2 1 4	Dysentery. Cas Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jofferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever:	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 7 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Mealaria.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis  Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis  Scarlet fever.  Tuberculosis.	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5 5 1 8 2 1 4 24	Dysentery. Car Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lothargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachorna. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breekinridge County.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 1 11 1 21 7 2 1 1 1 4 9 9
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Mealaria.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough	58 18 47 1 19 3 13 52 5 5 1 4 4 24 8	NENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breckinridge County. Scattering.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2 1 1 1 9 5 5
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria	58 18 47 1 19 3 13 52 5 5 1 4 4 24 8	Dysentery. Car Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lothargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachorna. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breekinridge County.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 1 7 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria Hookworm disease. Mealaria. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuborculosis. Typhoid fever Whooping cough KENTUCKY.	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5 5 1 8 2 1 4 24 8 5	NENTUCKY—continued.  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breckinridge County. Scattering.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2 1 1 1 9 5 5
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria Hookworm disease. Malaria. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  Messles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  KENTUCKY. Diphtheria: Clark County.	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 552 5 1 8 2 2 1 4 4 8 8 5	Dysentery. Car Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lothargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachorna. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breekinridge County. Scattering. Whooping cough.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2 1 1 1 9 5 5
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria.  Hookworm disease.  Mealaria.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever.  Smallpox.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.  Chicken pox.  Diphtheria.  Measles.  Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  Measles.  Poliomyelitis. Scarlet fever.  Tuberculosis.  Typhoid fever.  Whooping cough  KENTUCKY.  Diphtheria:  Clark County.  Davless County.	58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 552 5 1 4 4 24 8 5 9 15	Dysentery. Car Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza Lothargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breckinridge County. Scattering. Whooping cough.  NEW YORK. (Exclusive of New York City.)	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 1 21 7 2 1 1 1 4 9 5 5 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria. Hookworm disease. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Chicken pox. Diphtheria. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  KENTUCKY. Diphtheria: Clark County. Davless County. Jofferson County.	18 47 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dysentery. Cas Dysentery. Cas Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachorna. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breckinridge County. Scattering. Whooping cough.  NEW YORK. (Exclusive of New York City.)	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2 1 1 1 1 9 5 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria Hookworm disease. Malaria. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Chicken pox. Diphtheria Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  Exercise fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  KENTUCKY. Diphtheria: Clark County. Daviess County. Jefferson County. Todd County.	ses. 58 18 47 1 1 19 3 13 52 5 5 1 4 24 8 5 5 15 32 8	Dysentery. Cas  Dysentery. Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza.  Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachoma. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breckinridge County. Scattering. Whooping cough.  NEW YORK. (Exclusive of New York City.)  Diphtheria. Influenza.	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 11 21 7 2 1 1 1 1 9 5 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ALABAMA.  Ca Diphtheria. Hookworm disease. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. Chicken pox. Diphtheria. Measles. Poliomyelitis Scarlet fever. Tuberculosis. Typhoid fever. Whooping cough  KENTUCKY. Diphtheria: Clark County. Davless County. Jofferson County.	18 47 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dysentery. Cas Dysentery. Cas Impetigo contagiosa. Influenza. Lethargic encephalitis. Malaria. Measles. Mumps. Pneumonia. Poliomyelitis: Greenup County. Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Tonsillitis. Trachorna. Tuberculosis: Jefferson County. Scattering. Typhoid fever: Breckinridge County. Scattering. Whooping cough.  NEW YORK. (Exclusive of New York City.)	16 1 4 1 3 4 1 11 1 21 7 2 1 1 1 1 9 54 21

# CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES—Continued. Reports for Week Ended Sept. 24, 1921—Continued.

NEW YORK—continued.		NEW YORK—continued.	
Cas	es.		Cases.
Measles	42	Scarlet fever	130
Pneumonia	57	Smallpox	1
Poliomyelitis:		Tetanus.	
Utica.	10	Typhoid fever	60
		Whooping cough	

#### SUMMARY OF CASES REPORTED MONTHLY BY STATES.

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

									1.0	
State.	Cerebrospinal meningitis.	Diphtheria.	Influenza.	Malaria.	Measles.	Pellagra.	Poliomyelitis.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Typhoid fever.
August, 1921.  California Colorado Hawaii Kansas Montana North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon	19 1 1 5 1 1 10 4	592 188 13 235 16 48 842 52 80	61 1 7 3 1	33 9 5 2 1	51 13 6 7 3 2 52 2 3	1	43 4 11 2 7 75 3	193 31 256 7 44 490 45 16	152 44 34 32 10 83 25 41	173 88 22 271 44 8 978 133 19

### CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED SEPT. 17, 1921.

#### ANTHRAX.

Dolowore	
Delaware: Wilmington	
Louisiana: New Orleans 1	

#### CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1920, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full six years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-	Week ended Sept. 17, 1921.			Median for pre-		ended 17, 1921.
	vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.		vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama: Birmingbam California: Los Angeles.	0	1		Michigan: Detroit Minnesota:	1	1	
San Francisco Illinois: Chicago	ŏ 1	1	1	Nebrasi a: Omaha Nevada:	0	1	1
Indiana: Hammond Kansas: Kansas City	0	1	.j. 21	Reno New Jersey: Elizal eth New York:	,0 ,0	1	1
Kentucky: Covington Massachusetts:	0		2	Elmira	0 4 0	9 1	1 2 1
Boston	1	1	. 2	Washington: Spokane	0	1	· · · · · · •

#### DIPHTHERIA.

See p. 2500; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2490; and Monthly summaries by States, p. 2494.

#### INFLUENZA.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
California: San Diego. San Francisco. Connecticut: Hartford. Hartford. Illinois: Chicago. Elgin. Massachusetts: Somer ville. Michigan: Detroit. Hamtramck.	1 1 1 3	1 1	Minnesota: Minneapolis Missouri: Kansas City New Jersey: East Orange. New York: Albany. New York Ohio: - Hamilton. Pennsylvania: - Philadelphia. Tennessee: Nashville.	1 11	

Michigan: Pontiac Nebraska: Omaha	1	1	Oregon: Portland	1	1	
-----------------------------------	---	---	---------------------	---	---	--

#### MALARIA.

Alabama:			Maryland:		
Anniston	1		Baltimore	2	l
Birmingham	1		Massachusetts:		i
Montgomery	2	1	Boston	1	l
Arkansas:		- I	Dedham		3
Little Rock	4		Michigan:		1 -
California:	_		Faginaw	1	
Sacramento	1		New Jersey:	-	
San Francisco	2		Jersey City	9	i
Florida:	•••		New York	Ê	••••••
Tampa	9		Pennsylvauia:	u	••••••
	U		Philadelphia		
Georgia: Savannah	9			1	
	2	1	South Carolina;		_
Valdosta	1		_ Charleston	• • • • • •	1
Kansas:			Tennessee:		-
Coffey ville	1		Memphis	6	6
Topeka	1		Texas:		
Louisiana:			Texas: Beaumont		3
New Orleans	4		Dallas	6	

#### MEASLES.

See p. 2500; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2490; and Monthly summaries by States, p. 2494.

### PELLAGRA.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama: Birmingham. Arkansas: Hot Springs California: Riverside. Florida: Tampa Georgia: Valdosta.	1 1 1	1	Louisiana: New Orleans North Carolina: Greensboro. Tennessce: Memphis Texas: Dallas	1	2 1 1

# CITY REPORTS FOR WEEK ENDED SEPT. 17, 1921—Continued. PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS).

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:			Michigan—Continued.		
Anniston	. 4		Michigan—Continued.		1
California: Alameda	. 1		Saginaw		1
Berkeley	1 2	i	Minneanolis	l .	
Eureka.			Minneapolis St. Paul		3
T D b		. 3	Missouri:	ı	1
Los Angeles	.j 18	5	Kansas City St. Joseph		5
Long Beach Los Angeles Pasadena San Diego San Francisco Santa Barbara		2	Nebraska:		2
San Francisco	4	i	Lincoln	1	,
Santa Barbara	]	į	LincolnOmaha		. 6
DIUK:AUMI		. 1	II New Jersev:	ı	ł
Colorado:	l		Bloomfield Elizabeth	1	
Denver		6	Glovostor City	·····i	3
		1	Gloucester City	•	·····i
Bridgeport Hartford	2	i	Irvington	i	
Hartford New Haven New London Waterbury Delaware:		] 1	Irvington	<del>.</del> .	1
New London		1	Orange Passaic Perth Amboy Trenton		1
Waterbury Delaware:		1	Passaic	i	
Wilmington			Trenton		2
Dietriot of Columbia		1	I NAW YORK:		_
Washington	<b> </b>	9	Albany. Binghamton	4	
Florida:	i		Binghamton	<u>-</u> -	1
Tampa		1	Buffalo	7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Georgia:	ł	5	Elmira Lackawanna	2 1	•••••••
AtlantaSavannah		4	Lockmost	1	
Illinois:			Mount Vernon		1
Alton	1		Newburgh		1
Aurora	1		New York Niagara Falls.	148	53
BloomingtonBlue Island	2	1	Niagara Faiis	,1	2
Chicago	68	18	Poughkeepsie Rochester Saratoga Springs	•	3
Cicero	ž	ĭ	Saratoga Springs		ĭ
Evanston	1		Schnectady	1	
Galesburg	1		Schnectady Syracuse		2
Indiana:		1	Troy Watertown	·····i	1
East Chicago Fort Wayne Gary Indianapolis South Bend		1	Yonkers	3	
Gary		1	North Carolina:	•	-
Indianapolis		7	Raleigh		1
South Bend		1,	Ohio:	_	
Kansas:		2	Akron. Alliance	.1	•••••••
Topeka		1	Chillicothe		i
Kentucky:		- [	( (incippoti		3
Covington		1	Cleveland	8	
Louisville		3	Columbus		. 1
Louisiana: New Orleans	13	9	Dayton. East Cleveland. Toledo		••••••
Maine:	· •	•	Toledo	• 1	2
Lewiston		1,	YOUNGSLOWN		ī
Maryland:		'	Oregon: Portland Pennsylvania		_
Baltimore	15	10	Portland		1
Cumberland	1	••••••	Pennsylvania: Philadelphia	22	12
Attleboro		1	Rhode Island:		14
Boston		11	Providence		1
Brockton		1	South Carolina:	i	_
BostonBrocktonCambridgeChelsea.		1	Charleston		1
Chelsea Gardner	2	1 1	Tennessee: Memphis Nashville.	• 1	5
Lowell	•••••	2	Nashville.		2
Malden		ī II	Texas:		_
		1	Dallas	1  .	•••••
New Bedford Pfttsfield		1	Virginia:	- 1	
	1		Lynchburg		1
Quincy Springfield	i i		Portsmeuth	i	i
Watertown	î l		Richmond		2
Worcester		, (v) 1	Richmond		ī
lichigan:	- 1		West Virginia:	1	
Ann Arbor	11.	5	Huntington	••••••	1
Dedmeth		5 1	w needing		1
Detroit		- 11			
Detroit	1 .		Wisconsin: Janesville		
Detroit Flint Grand Rapids Hamtramek	1 2	1	Janesville		i
Detroit	1 2	·····i	Wisconsin: Jan:sville Madison Oshkosh		

#### POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1920, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full six years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre- vious		k ended 17, 1921.	City.	Median for pre- vious	e-   Sopa 11, 1	
•	years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.	Cases.	Deaths
California:				Missouri:			
Oakland	0	1	1 1	Kansas City	0	1	1
Eacramento	ŏ	i	•	St. Louis.	ŏ	3	l
Fan Francisco	ň	3	i	New Jersey:	·	i	
Vallejo	ŏ	ĭ	-	Bayonne	0	5	1.
Connecticut:			ļ	Elizabeth	ŏ	ĭ	
Hartford	0	1	1	Jersey City	ŏ	î	
New Haven	ŏ			Kearny	ŏ	î	1
Waterbury	ŏ	í	1	West Hoboken	ŏ	i	
Illinois:	V			New York:	U	•	
Chicago	4	. 7	1 1	Buffalo	0	1	1
Mattoon		í		Hudson	•	-	ļ
Indiana:	• • • • • • • •			New York	2	61	1
Fort Wayne	0	1	1	Watertown	ก็	i	
South Bend	ŏ	2		Ohio:	· ·		
lown:	١٠١	2		Akron	2	2	l
Davenport	0	1		Cleveland	ī	· ī	
Des Moines	ŏ	i		Columbus	ô	î	
Kansas:			·····	Oregon:	١	-	1
Kansas City	ol	2	1 1	Portland	0	1	1
Maryland:	١٠	2	I	Pennsylvania:	١	•	
Baltimore	1	10	3	Lebanon	0	1	
Massachusetts:	- 1	10	"	Philadelphia	ŏΙ	î	
Adams	- 1	1	1 1	Pittsburgh	ĭ	î	
Boston.	· · · i	3		Vermont:	- 1	•	
Everett	٥l	ĭ	l	Burlington	0	1	l
Lawrence	ŏl	î	ł	Virginia:	•	-	1
New Bedford	ŏi	i	l l	Richmond	0		
Quincy	·ŏl	î	l l	Washington:	•	• • • • • • • • •	
Westfield		i	i	Everett	0	1	
lichigan:		•	1 1	Spokane	١	10	
Ann Arbor	0	1	1 1	Tacoma	0	3	
Detroit	ŏ	13	6	West Virginia:	•	•	
Flint	ŏl	1	"	Fairmont	1	1	
Highland Park	·ŏl	i		Martinsburg	0	i	•••••
Kalamazoo	ŏl	i	i i	Wisconsin:	٠		
Pontiac	ŏl	i	. *	Kenosha	0	2	
Farinaw	ŏl	1		Milwaukee	ŏl	2	·
finnesota:	۱	-		Oshkosk	ŏl	ĩ	
Minneapolis	اه	2	1 1	OHEODE	٦'	-	l
Rochester	٠,١	4	1				
St. Paul.	····i'	i	·····i	i l	1		
Dt. 1 Mui	1 [			I I	1		

#### RABIES IN ANIMALS.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Missouri: Kansas City	2	

#### RABIES IN MAN.

	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Arizona:	· •		
Tucson		• • • • • • • • • •	
		, i	i

#### SCARLET FEVER.

See p. 2500; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2490; and Monthly summaries by States, p. 2494.

#### .. SMALLPOX.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1920, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full six years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-		ended 17, 1921.	City.	Median for pre-			
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.	***	vious years.	Cases.	Deaths.	
Alabama:				Missouri:				
Mobile	0	. 1		Kansas City	1		1 2	
California:	1 1		1	Montana:	}		l	
BerkeleyLos Angeles	0	1		Great Falls	. 0	2	l	
Los Angeles	0	4		North Carolina:		_		
Oakland	1 0	1		Winston-Salem	1	1	l	
Sacramento	ĺŌ	1		North Dakota:	_			
San Francisco	2	3	L	Fargo	ol	1	l	
Santa Cruz	4	1	l ·	Qhio:	_	_		
Stockton	l ől	3		Canton	0	1		
Colorado:	,		1	Springfield	ŏ	2		
Denver	1	1		Oregon:	ŭ		1	
Florida:	- 1	_		Portland	4	6		
Tampa		3		South Carolina:	•	•		
Georgia:		•		Columbia	0	1	1	
Atlanta	3	9		Texas:	١٠٠١		l	
Savannah	ŏ	ī		Waco	ol	1	1	
Illinois:	١٠٠١	•		Washington:	· · ·			
Chicago	o	3		Bellingham	1		ŀ	
Evanston	ŏ	ĭ		Everett	ől	•	<b></b>	
Indiana:	١٠٠١	•		Tacoma	Ň			
Gary	2	1	1. 1	Vancouver	Ŏ	- 1		
lowa:	1			Walla Walla	វ	- 1		
Des Moines	اه			West Virginia:	- 1			
Ves Moules Kansas:	יי	2	******	Bluefield	0			
	o	2		Wisconsin:	ויי	1	•••••	
Kansas City	U	Z		Manitowoc	اہ		1	
Michigan: Ironwood		. 1	1		0	1	••••••	
	0	1		Milwaukee	0	1	••••••	
Minnesota:	اما			Shebovgan	1	1		
St. Cloud	0	2	• • • • • • •	Superior	0	3	• • • • • • •	
St. Paul	3	1		į l	- 1		2	

#### TETANUS.

City.	Cases.	Deaths.	City.	Cases.	Deaths.
Arkansas: Hot Springs California: Los Angeles Colorado: Denver Connecticut: Hart ford Illinois: Chicago. Kentucky: Louisville Maryland: Baltimore Massachusetts: Brockton.		1 2 1 . 1 . 1	Minnesota: Minneapolis Missouri: Springfield New York: Buffalo New York: Ohio: Toledo Youngstown Tennessce: Memphis Virginia: Portsmouth	1 1	1 1 1

#### TUBERCULOSIS.

See p. 2500; also Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 2490.

### TYPHOID FEVER.

The column headed "Median for previous years" gives the median number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1920, inclusive. In instances in which data for the full six years are incomplete, the median is that for the number of years for which information is available.

City.	Median for pre-							
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.		years.	Cases.	Deaths	
Alabama:				Massachusetts—Contd.				
Birmingham	11	7	3	Leominster	0	1		
Montgomery	2	1		Lowell	2	1		
California:		1		Melrose	0	1		
Long Beach Los Angeles	0		i	Wakefield	0	1		
Oakland	2	4 2		Worcester	š	2		
Sacramento	0	1	•••••	Michigan:				
San Francisco Colorado:	4	1,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Detroit	12 3	-11 1		
Pueblo	9	2		FlintGrand Rapids	ő.	i	l	
Pueblo Trinidad	Ŏ	1		Kalamazoo	0	2		
Connecticut:				Port Huron	1	1		
Hartford New Haven	4 2	10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Saginaw Minnesota:	1	4		
Norwich	ő	ĩ		Duluth	0	. 2		
Waterbury District of Columbia:	2	. 5	1	Duluth		1		
District of Columbia:		3	2	St. Cloud	0	1		
Washington	12	•	- 4	Missouri:	3	1		
Atlanta	10	12	2	Cape Girardeau	1	1		
Macon	0	1		Joplin	0	.1		
Illinois:	0	2		St. Joseph	1 1	3 1		
Bloomington	2	î		St. Louis	14	5		
Chicago	16	10	····i	Nebraska:		•		
Decatur	0	1.		Lincoln	1		:	
East St. Louis Freeport	. 0	1 1		Omaha New Jersey:	4	3		
Jackson ville	ĭ	2		Elizabeth	1	1		
RockfordSpringfield	1	1		Hackensack	0	1		
Springheldndiana:	2	1		Jersey City	1 2	3 1		
Bloomington	ol	1	l l	Paterson Perth Amboy	ő	i		
Bloomington Fort Wayne Frankfort	2	2		Trenton	0	3		
Frankfort		1		New York:	6	2		
Huntington	4	2 7	····· <sub>2</sub>	Albany Buffalo	6	4		
Indianapolis Kokomo	Ō	3		l k'imira i	1	3 2		
La Fayette Marion	0	1	•••••	Lockport New York	1	70 <sup>-</sup>		
Mishawaka	0	4		Ningara Falls	72	3		
Muncie	ŏ	3		Niagara Falls North Tonawanda	ŏ	1		
Richmond		2		l'ort Chester	0	1	•••••	
owa:	1	1	•••••	Rochester Scheuectady	2	4	j	
Mason City	0	1		Syracuse Troy	2	9		
Kensas:				Yon ers.	4	2	• • • • • • •	
Coffeyville Kansas City	0	3 5		North Carolina:		. 1	•••••	
Lawrence	il	í		Raleigh	1	1	1	
Lawrence	1	1		Raleigh	1	3		
Salina		1 2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Chio: Akron	2	4		
Topeka	4	3	i	Canton	2	i	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Kentucky:			_	Chillicothe Cincinn sti	2	2	• • • • • • •	
Covington	1	1		Cincinnati	5	2 2 2 1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Lexington	9 7	. 3		Columbus	5	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Paducah	o l	ĭ		Cuvahoza Falls		1		
Louisiana:	_			East Cloveland!	0	1		
New (rleans	3	5	1	Findlay	0	·····i	1	
Maryland: Baltimore	33	10	1	Lima	2	i		
Cumberland	2	2		Marion. Middletown	2	1		
Massachusetts:	ام			Middletown	0	1 1	· · · · · • • •	
A meelury	0	1 1		Newark Steubenville	öl	il		
Adams Amesbury Beverly Boston	Ö	1			4	6	i	
Boston	8	3		Zanesville	0	1		
Brockton	0	2		Cklahoma:				

### TYPHOID FEVER—Continued.

City.	Sope. 17, 1821.		fedian Sept. 17, 1921.		Median for pre- vious		ended 17, 1921.
	years.	Cases.	Deaths.	•	years.	Cases.	Deaths
Cregon:				Tennessee Continued.			
Portland	2	2		Memphis	6	1	ļ
Pennsylvania:	l i		ı	Nashville	9	14	
Allentown	2	3		Texas:	1 1		1
Altoons	1 1	2		Corpus Christi	0	1	
Bethlehem	1	4		Dallas	2	4	
Carnegie	0	1		Waco	0	1	
Farrell		2		Utah:		_	1
Greensburg		2		Salt Lake City	2	1	
Harrisburg	. 2	1		Virginia:			
Hazelton		2		Alexandria	1	2	
Johnstown		. 1		Danville	0	1	
Lancaster	1 1	1		Lynchburg	2	2	
New Castle	0	_1		Norfolk	2	2	
Philadelphia	28	21	2	Petersburg	0	2	
Pittsburgh	7	41		Portsmouth	1	. 1	
Pottsville	0	. 1		Roanoke	3	3	, <b></b>
Reading		26		Washington:	1		ŀ
Shamokin	0	1		Eeattle	1	2	
Sharon	0	1		Spokane	0	1	<b>-</b>
Wilkes-Barre	0	1		Walla Walla	1	1	
Woodlawn		1		West Virginia:	i		•
York	. 2	1		Charleston	2	3	
Rhode Island:				Fairmont	1	1	
Pawtucket	0	1		Huntington	0 }	2	
Providence	4	3	1	Martinsburg	0	4	
South Carolina:				Parkersburg	0	2	
Charleston	3	1		Wisconsin:	1		
Columbia	1	1		Ashland	0	2	- · · · · · •
Cennessee:	1			Eau Claire	1	1	
Knoxville	2	3		Shebovgan	1 ]	2	

#### DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS.

-	Popula- tion Jan.	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Measles.		Scarlet fever.			ber- osis.
City.	1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:										
Anniston	17,734		5	1	ı	ł	1	l		i
Birmingham	178, 270	27	12			l	5		10	2
Mobile	60, 151	i 17		1					10	1 1
Montgomery	43, 464	12	i i	1						4 2
Tuscaloosa	11,996		•				i			-
Arizona:	,			1			-			•••••
Tueson	20, 292	11			l					4
Arkansas:						•••••	•••••			
Hot Springs	11,695	5		1 1						
Little Rock	64,997		4	1 -			2		2	•••••
North Little Rock	14,048		i				2 1		- 1	•••••
California:	,		-				-	•••••		•••••
Alameda	28, 806	1					1		1	
Berkeley	55, 886	7					· 1		ī	•••••
Eureka	12,923	2								•••••
Long Beach.	55, 593	23	•••••		1		1			•••••
Los Angeles.	576, 673	135	43	i	3		12		81	19
Oakland	216, 361	46	6	I	1		1		4	5
Pasadena	45,354	: 16			1					ž
Richmond	16, 843	. 4			·					
Riverside	19,341	10 4	1							
Sacramento	65,857	18	2				1		4	i i
San Diego	74, 683	25					7		8	
San Francisco.	508, 410	92	24	2			9		16	5
Santa Barbara	19,441	7		Il						ĭ
Santa Cruz.	10,917	4								<del>-</del>
Stockton	40,296	ē	i		i				i	i
Vallejo	21, 107	2	ī							·

e de la companya de l	Popula- tion Janu-	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	sles.		arlet ver.		iber- losis.
City.	ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases,	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Colorado:				·						
Denver	256, 369	54	7				. 5			12
Grealey	10,883	3	21							
Pueblo Connecticut:	42,908		21				. 2			. 1
Bridgeport	143, 538	22	10	<b> </b>	1.	l	. 1		. 5	3
Bristol	20,620	3								
Fairfield (town)	11,475	0					2		·	·····
Hartford Manchester (town)	138, 036 18, 370	20	4	į			2		3	2
Meriden (town)	18,370 34,739	] <b>.</b>	i	1			1		i	
Milford (town)	10, 193	2							ļ	
New Haven	162, 519	36	4	1			4		5	1
New London Norwalk.	25,688 27,700	9								1
Norwich (town)	29,685	5					i	1	· · · · · ·	•••••
Stonington (town)	10, 236	1								
Waterbury	91,410	22	3		1		2		5	
Delaware: Wilmington	110, 168	18	1				1			
District of Columbia:	220,200	10	•				•			
Washington	437, 571	99	17	ļ	1		4		23	7
Florida:	£1.050			l				1		١ .
TampaGeorgia:	51, 252	11	····							2
Atlanta	200, 616	44	8	3		l	2		1	2
Brunswick	14, 413	3					l		ī	<b>.</b>
Macon	52, 995 83, 252	13	8	1	'		1		ļ <u>.</u> .	
Eavannah Valdosta	83, 252 10, 783	37 1	3 1	• • • • • •	ļ	• • • • • •	2		1	2
Idaho:	10,100		•	•••••			•		•	•••••
Boise	21,393	5		<b></b>	l		2		l	
Illinois:	0.000									
Alton	24,692	5	,1	•••••		• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •		
Bloomington	36, 297 28, 725	8 9	14 5	•••••	····	• • • • • •	•••••			-
Blue !sland	11 424 1	5								
Centralia	12, 491 2, 701, 705	5			!					
Chicago	2,701,705	490	102	7	7	1	76	1	188	42
Decatur	44, 995   43, 818	9 10	10 5	····i	1		1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	1
East St. Louis.	43, 818 66, 740	9	ž				2		i	····i
Elgin	27, 454	10			!		!			• • • • •
Evanston Forest Park	27, 454 37, 215 10, 768	4	1	• • • • • • •			2			• • • • •
Freenort	19, 669	2	····i		1		í			• • • • •
Galesburg. Jacksonville		î								
Jacksonville	23, 834 15, 713	7					1		• • • • • •	• • • • •
I a Salle	13,050	3	4	••••			•••••		1	• • • • •
Oak Park	13, 552   39, 830	13	2		···i			:::::i	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
Pekin	12,086		3				i			
l'eoria	76, 121	20	15				8		3	· · · · · <u>·</u>
QuincyRockford	35, 978 65, 651	11	2	···i	···i	•••••	2 3	• • • • • •	3	1
Rock Island	35, 177	16 6				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4			1
Springfield	59, 183	.8	2		!		ı i l			<del>.</del>
indiana:			- 1	ı	- 1	I	- 1	- 1	- 1	
Bloomington East Chicago	11,595	3 9	•••••	i	;	•••••	•••••	•••••	3	• • • • •
Elkhart.	35, 967 24, 277	2	····i'				i		i	····i
Fort Wayne	30, 549	20	5				ī		ī	2
Frankfort	11, 585	0			¦.		1			• • • • •
Hommond	55,378	9 7	11	• • • • • • • •	· · · · · ·	•••	10	····i	••••2	• • • • •
Hammond	36,004 11.090	2					10			
Indianapolis	314, 194	79	81	i	····i		5		1	8
Kokomo	30,067	10								
La Fayette	22, 446	7					2	4	1	٠٠٠٠٠
Logausport	21, 626 23, 747	2	;:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1		2	2 1
Misha vaka	15, 195	7 5 7 3	2							i
Muncie	36, 624	5 8			1 }-		1			· · · · · · ·
Richmond	26, 765	. 8		•••••	.	•••••	1 .	•••••	1	• • • • •
South Bend	70, 993	11	····;·		····	•••••	1	•••••	4	•••••
Terre Haute	66,083	13 1	7 1	ا			٠١			• • • •

	Popula- tion Janu-	Total deaths	1 -	theria.	Mea	sl <b>es.</b>		rict rer.		ber- osis.
City.	ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	1	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deahts.
Iowa:										
Burlington	24,057	3	2	ļ			ļ		ļ	ļ
Council Bluffs	36, 162 56, 727	8	2 2				<u> </u>			
Des Moines	126,468		. 9							
Mason City	20, 065 16, 068	4					2			
Sioux City	71, 227		. 1				4			
Kansas: Atebison	12,630		2		l		1			
Coneyville	13, 452	1	4				ļī.			
Fort Scott	10,693 101,177	2	2 9			•••••	i		i	•••••
Lawrence	12,456	Ö	i				ļ <del>.</del>		ļ <u>.</u> .	
Leavenworth Parsons	16,912 16,028	4	. 6				·····		·····	·····
galina	15,085	5	4							
TopekaWichita.	50, 022 72, 128	17 21	32 18	····i		•••••	6 11		14	<b>-</b>
Kentucky:	•		100	1 *		•••••		•••		•••••
CovingtonLexington	57, 121 41, 534	17 12	2		····i	•••••	1	• • • • • •	2	2
Louisville	234, 391	46	21		5		ı		13	4
Louisiana: New Orleans	387, 219	140	10	1	1		2		- 91	
Maine:	•	146	10	•	•	•••••	Z	•••••	31	8
Auborn	16,985	8	<u>-</u> -				2	•••••		1
BangorBath.	25, 978 14, 731	3	1.			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	·····i
Lewiston	31, 791	9	4				3		1	
Portland	69, 272 10, <b>6</b> 91	13 1				• • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	•••••	
Maryland:		_						•••••	•••••	
Baltimore. Cumberland	733, 826 29, 837	184	8	2	3	1	3 5	•••••	36	16
Massachusetts:		10	1 .				3	•••••	1	•••••
Amesbury	10,036	1	1 1				• • • • • •	•••••		
Arlington	18,665 19,731	5 7	i.					::::::		1
Remont	10,749	. 1	1							
BeverlyBoston	22,561 748,660	167	20		9	····i'	12		48	15
Brockton	66,138	- 14	2					.,	ĩ	•••••
Brookline Cambridge	37, 748 109, 694	5 19	3				2	• • • • • •	6	·····5
Cheisea	43, 154	12	ĭ				2		2	•••••
Chicopee	36, 214 12, 979	8					•••••	•••••	···i	1
Dedham	10, 792	2					3		î	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Easthampton Everett	11,261 40,120	4	4		•••••		1	•••••		• • • • • •
Fall River	120, 485	34		.,					5	······ż
GardnerGreenfield	16.971 15.462	5	·····2						1	• • • • •
Haverhill	53, 884	; Š					]			
Lawrence	94,270 19,744	16	3				3		2	•••••
Loweli	112,479	7 24			::::::				4	1 2
Lynn Malden	99, 148	18	5 7	1			1		3	Ī
Medford	49, 103 39, 038	i	íil						6	
Melrose	18, 204	3								
Methuen New Bedford	15, 199 121, 217	2 22	2				2	·····	5	•••••
New bury port	15 618	2								
Newton	46,054 22,282 21,951 12,627	7	3				····i	•••••	2 .	•••••
Northampton	21,951	5							i.	
Norwood Peal-ody	J2, 627	0	····i			•••••	···i		····- ·	•••••
Pittsfield	41,751	5	i				- i  :		4	i
PlymouthQuincy	19,552 41,751 13,045 47,876	22 2 7 4 5 0 1 5 9 7	••••2		······································		-		····;·· ·	••••
Saugus	10.874	· · i							2	•••••
Somerville	93, 091	6	. ا ا	. ا ا			.اا		41	

City.   ary   1920,   subject to currection.   currectio		Popula- tion Janu-	Total deaths	1 .	theria.	Me	asles.		arlet ver.		iber- losis.
Massachusetts	City.	ary 1, 1920, subject to	from all		Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Southbridge	Magazahusatta Continued										
Springfield		14.245	2				J		.	1	<b></b>
Wavefield	Springfield	129, 563	17	2				. 3			1
Waltham   20,915   6	Taunton	37, 137			-				· ·····	····;	. 1
Watertown	Waltham	30 915		1						1	
West Springfield	Watertown	21,457		i	`l <u>*</u> .						2
Winthrop	Webster	13, 258	1		.	.					
Winthrop	West Springfield		1								
Worcester	Westneid		2								ļ
Worcester	Woburn	16, 574	5					] <u>.</u> .			
Ann Arbor. 19.516 13 1 1 2 2	Worcester	179, 754	31	9	1			2			1
Battle Creek   36,164   1   2		10 516		١.		1	l		ı	l	l
Benton Harbor   12,233   0	Rettle Creek	36 164	13		1				1		
Detroit.   993,739   161   44   4   4   37   1   53     Filint.   91,599   17   17   7   2   5     Hamtramck.   44,615   16   7   1     Highland Park.   44,499   10   3   1   1     Ironwood.   15,739   2   1   1   1     Maruuette.   12,718   4   3   1   3   3   1     Maruuette.   12,718   4   3   1   3   3   2     Pontisc.   34,773   7   8   5   1   3   2     Eaglis W.   10   25,944   10   3   2   2   3     Eaglis W.   10   25,944   10   3   2   2     Eaglis W.   10   3   2   2   3   3   2     Eaglis Ste. Marie.   12,666   2   3   2   1     Minnestois.   16,118   8   3   3   2     Minnestois.   380,582   71   32   2   2   1     Minnestois.   380,582   71   32   2   2   1     Rochester.   13,722   12   3   3   2   2   3     Richester.   13,722   12   3   3   2   7   1   16     Missouri:   10,252   2   1   1     Gape dirardeau   10,252   2   1     Jophin.   29,855   34   26   3   2   7   1   16     Missouri:   10,252   2   1   1   1     Missouri:   10,252   3   3   2   3   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   28   2   3   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   28   2   3   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   28   2   3   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   3   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   3   3     Montana:   10,401   53   3   3	Benton Harbor	12, 233	0					l		l	
Grand Rapids.   137,634   19   8   2   5   5   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Detroit	993, 739-			4	4			1	53	14
Hamtramek	Flint	91, 599				ļ <u>.</u> .		4			
Highland Park	Grand Rapids			3		2			· · · · · ·		····i
Kalamis.co.   48, 838   18   10   3   1     Marvecon   36, 570   7   3       Port Huron   25, 944   10   3   2     Eagmaw   61, 903   17   2   5   5     Eagmaw   61, 903   17   2   1     Minnespois   12, 696   2   1     Minnespois   15, 689   71   32   28   1     Minnespois   389, 582   71   32   28   1     Minnespois   389, 582   71   32   28   1     Rochester   13, 722   12   3   3   2     St. Cloud   15, 433   4   1   2     St. Cloud   15, 433   4   1   2     Missouri   Cape Girardeau   10, 252   2   1     Joplin   23, 855   34   36   3   2   7   1   16     Missouri   Cape Girardeau   10, 252   2   1     Joplin   23, 855   3   1   6   3     St. Louis   772, 897   161   25   1   9   29     St. Louis   772, 897   161   25   1   9   29     Montana: Hillings   15, 100   5   2   2     Montana: Hillings   15, 668   6     Nebraska: Lincoln   54, 934   9   1   1     Missouls   12, 668   6     New Jersey: Asbury Park   12, 400   4   1   2   2     Reen   11, 210   2   3   3   3   3     Ren   12, 100   10   2   2   2   3     New Jersey: Asbury Park   12, 400   4   1   2   2     Renc   11, 210   2   3   3   3   3     Gloucester City   12, 400   4   1   2   2     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4   4     Minsperson   17, 667   6   4   4     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4	Highland Park									l	
Kalamis.co.   48, 838   18   10   3   1     Marvecon   36, 570   7   3       Port Huron   25, 944   10   3   2     Eagmaw   61, 903   17   2   5   5     Eagmaw   61, 903   17   2   1     Minnespois   12, 696   2   1     Minnespois   15, 689   71   32   28   1     Minnespois   389, 582   71   32   28   1     Minnespois   389, 582   71   32   28   1     Rochester   13, 722   12   3   3   2     St. Cloud   15, 433   4   1   2     St. Cloud   15, 433   4   1   2     Missouri   Cape Girardeau   10, 252   2   1     Joplin   23, 855   34   36   3   2   7   1   16     Missouri   Cape Girardeau   10, 252   2   1     Joplin   23, 855   3   1   6   3     St. Louis   772, 897   161   25   1   9   29     St. Louis   772, 897   161   25   1   9   29     Montana: Hillings   15, 100   5   2   2     Montana: Hillings   15, 668   6     Nebraska: Lincoln   54, 934   9   1   1     Missouls   12, 668   6     New Jersey: Asbury Park   12, 400   4   1   2   2     Reen   11, 210   2   3   3   3   3     Ren   12, 100   10   2   2   2   3     New Jersey: Asbury Park   12, 400   4   1   2   2     Renc   11, 210   2   3   3   3   3     Gloucester City   12, 400   4   1   2   2     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4   4     Minsperson   17, 667   6   4   4     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4     Hackeneack   17, 667   6   4	Ironwood	15, 739	2								
Minsterm	Kalamazoo	48, 858		10				3		1	1
Pontisc	Marquette									· · · · · ·	
Port Huron   29, 941   10   3   3   2   2   2   3   3   2   2   3   3	Pontise	31 273	4					5			
Minnesota:	Port Huron	25, 944						3			1
Minnesota:	Faginaw	61,903	17	2							1
Austin	Eault Ste. Marie	12,096	2					1			· · · · · ·
Duluth		10 118		l			1	ĺ	1	l	
Hibbine	Duluth					2		2		· · · · i	
Rochester	Hibbing							1			
St. Cloud         15, \$\frac{3}{3}\$         3         2         7         1         16           St. Paul         234, 595         34         26         3         2         7         1         16           Missouri:         Cape Girardeau         10, 252         2         1         <	Minneapolis			32				28	1	16	4
St. Paul.   234.595   34   26   3   2   7   1   16   Winona   19,143   4   1   2   2		13, 722	12			••••				•••••	•••••
Winona   19,143   4   1   2   2	St. Paul		34		3	2		7	i	16	2
Cape Girardeau											
Joplin		10.050						١.			
Kansas City   321, 410   93   15   1   8   3		20, 252	Z							• • • • • •	1
St. Joseph	Kansas City	321, 410	93	15	····i					3	5
St. Louis	St. Joseph	77, 939	27	3							.1
Montana:         Billings         15,100         5         2           Billings         24,121         6         1           Missoula         12,668         6           Nebraska:         1         1           Lincoln         54,934         9         1         1           Omaha         191,601         53         28         2         3           Nevada:         12,016         5         8         2         3         8           New Hampshire:         16,104         3         2         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         2         3         8         2         2         3         8         2         3         8         2         3	St. Louis	772,897		25	1	1		9		29	12
Billings		39,631	7				• • • • • •				• • • • • •
Great Falls	Billings	15,100	5					2			
Nebraska:	Great Falls	24, 121	6					1			
New Jersey: Asbury Park   12, 400		12,668	6								1
Omaha.         191,601         53         28         2         3         New Adda:           Reno.         12,016         5		54 024		1	1					- 1	
New Hampshire:		191,601					•••••	3			4
New Hampshire:	Nevada:	,			-						
Berlin	Reno	12, 016	5					•••••		•••••	•••••
Concord   22, 167 3   2   3		18 104		i		.					
New Jersey:   12, 400	Concord	22 167		•••••			•••••	2			
New Jersey:   12, 400	Dover	13,029	3								
New Jersey:   12, 400	Keene	11,210					•••••	3	•••••		• • • • •
Asbury Park 12, 400 4 1 2 2 2 Be leville 15, 660 1 1 2 2 2 Be leville 22, 019 0 2 2 1 1 1 East Orange 50, 710 2 1 1 1 1 East Orange 50, 710 2 1 1 3 3 3 Garfield 19, 381 3 2 1 1 Gloucester City 12, 162 2 1 1 1 Hackensack 17, 667 6 4 4		28, 379	6	1				•••••		•••••	•••••
Beleville	New Jersey:	12 400	4	1		1	- 1			1	, 
Bis leville		76, 754		····i				2			
Bloomfield	Be leville	15,660								1	
Cuiton   25,470   5   2   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Bloomfield	22,019		2		2	•••••	:-		;-	i
State	Ciliton	20,470	5	2			•••••	- 1		1	
Garfield         19, 381         3         2         1         1          1          1           1           1           1           1  <	East Urange	95,710  . 95,682  .	••••••••	9	•••••	····i·l		3		3	····i
Gloucester City	Garfield	19, 381	3	2						ĭ	
Hackensack	Gloucester City	12, 162		2		1				1	•••••
HODOKEN	Hackensack	17,667		•••••			•••••	:-		4	·····ż
**************************************			15	•••••		•••••	•••••	†		2	
Jersey City	Jersey City	297, 864		6				3		12	
Kearny 28,724 4 1 1 1 1 1	Kearny	26, 724	4	ا		il		1 l	1	1	

•	Popula- tion Janu-	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	ısles.		arlet ver.		ber- losis.
City.	ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Casee.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deahts.
	1 3		<del> </del>		-		<u> </u>	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
New Jersey—Continued.		١.		ľ	1		١		١.	
Montelair	28, 810 12, 548	5					1 3		1	
Morristown	32 779	5	2	1	1					
Orange	33, 268	8	l				3			
Passaic	63 324	16	2				1		3	
Paterson	135, 866 41, 707 16, 923	6	11				4		8 2	
Perth Amboy	16, 923	4			1				ĺí	
PhillipsburgPlainfield	27,700 11,042	6							ļ <u>.</u>	
Kahway	11,042	7							ļ	
Summit	10, 174 119, 289	2 19	5		·····				2	
Trenton. West Hoboken.	40,068	3	,						-	
West New York	29, 926	2		i				1		1
West Orange	15, 573	1					1			
lew Mexico:	15 150			l		1	ł	j	١.	l '
Albuquerquelew York:	15, 157	3			ļ				3	
Albany	113.344		6	l		l	2	1	I	l
Amborn	113,344 36,192	5	4		1		2			
Binghamton Buffalo	66, 800 506, 775	10	3				· · · · · · ·			
Buffalo	506,775	97	13 2	1 1	1	• • • • • •	17		25 1	1
Elmira. Geneva	45, 305 14, 648	1 1	-	1 1	•		1	1	1 1	
Glens Falls	16,633	1	l							
Hudson	11,745	6								
Jamestown	38, 917	9	3				2		3	
Lackawanna	17,918	1 7	1	····· <u>·</u>			1		1	
Lockport	21, 308 42, 726	10	····· <u>2</u> ·	2		•••••		·····	3	· · · · · ·
Newburgh.	30, 366	13	1						2	
New York	5, 621, 151	967	111	3	29	1	46		1 302	19
Niagara Falls	50, 760	12	9				3			
North Tonawanda	15, 482 14, 609	13	3	1	•••••	• • • • •			- <i>-</i>	• • • • • •
OgdensburgOlean	20, 506	7	•••••			• • • • • •				
Peekskill.	15,868	4	3							l
Port Chester	16, 573	2 5	1	• • • • • •						
Poughkeepsie	35,000		14	• • • • • •		• • • • • •	<u>2</u> -	•••••	2	•
Rochester Saratoga Springs	295, 750 13, 181	53 8	14	• • • • • •		• • • • • •	2		9	
Schenectady.	88,723	19	3				5			
Syracuse	171.717	33	18	2	1		9		3	1
Troy	72,013 21,031 100,226	12				• • • • • •			4	
White Plains	21,031	3 7				• • • • • •	·····2	•••••	2.	·····i
Yonkers <sup>7</sup> orth Carolina:	100, 220	•	5		······				• • • • • •	
Charlotte	46,338	8		2	ll				1	1
Durham	46,338 21,719	3	2							2
Greensboro	19,861	3	•••••	• • • • • •			• • • • • •		• • • • • •	
Raleigh Rocky Mount	19 719	15 2	5	• • • • • •		•••••		• • • • • •		2
Wilmington	24, 418 12, 742 33, 372	18	5				i			
Winston-Salem	48,395	12	i				ï		2	4
orth Dakota:	•						_			
Fargo	21,961	0	2 2			• • • • • •	5	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •
Grand Forkshio:	14,010	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 1				•••••	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Akron	208, 435	30	19				8			
Alliance	21,663	6								
Barberton	18, 811	.2	3				1			
Canton	87,091	15 5	8		• • • • • •		3			
Chillicothe	15, 831 401, 247	107	13		····i		4		13	12
Cleveland	401, 217 796, 836		29		2		23			 
Columbus	237,031	55	8		1		3		5	6
Coshocton	10,817	ا-یـ	1							• • • • •
Cuyahoga Falls	10,200	23	4		····i	• • • • • • •	4		····i	• • • • • •
Dayton	27 202	0	• • •			•••••	i			•••••
Findlay	152,559 27,292 17,021 39,675	4								
***	39,675	10	7	1			6	1		•••••
HamiltonIronton	14,007	2	1 1							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

	Popula- tion-Janu-	Total deaths	Dipl	theria.	Mea	asles.	Sc fe	Scarlet fever.		Tuber- culosis.	
City.	ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	
Ohio-Continued.										<u> </u>	
Lancaster	14,706	4	ļ <u>.</u>	.	.	.	.		-	.	
LimaLorain	41,306 27,205	7	5 2				5	-	-	. 1	
Marion	27, 891		. 14	1							
Middletown	14,700 41,306 37,295 27,891 23,594 26,718 10,718	5	5	l							
Newark	26,718	7	11			·		·			
New Philadelphia Niles	10,718	3	3				i				
Norwood	13, 080 21, 966	ľ	i	1			i		i		
Piqua	15.044	1 2 5 12	1						1		
Sandusky	22,897	5	1		<u>-</u> -		1		i	i	
SpringfieldSteubenville	60, 840 28, 508	6	13		. 2				li	1 1	
Tiffin	14, 375	4	l					1			
Toledo	14, 375 243, 109 132, 358	43	66				5			5	
Youngstown	132, 358		4	i	2		2		·····	1	
ZanesvilleOklahoma:	29, 569	9	2						1	1	
Tulsa	72, 075		6				1	ļ	6		
Oregon: Portland.	258, 288	-38	33		1	<b></b>	5		4	4	
Pennsylvania: Allentown	73.502		3	1		l .	2	i	ı	l .	
Altoona	73,502. 60,331 12,730		1				3				
Ambridge	12,730		2						1		
Bethlehem	50, 358 23, 778 10, 632	<b>-</b>	1				¦				
ButlerCanonsburg	10 632		1		•••••	• • • • • •	3				
Carlisle	10, 916		3								
Carnegie	11.516		3 2								
Carrick	10,504 14,131	·	1			•••••		ļ			
Donora	14, 131	• • • • • • • •	2 1						1		
Duquesne	13, 681	• • • • • • • •	1			• • • • • •	3				
Easton	19, 011 33, 813					•••••			i		
Erie	93 372		5		2				7		
Farrell	15,586	• • • • • • •			4	,	5				
Greensburg	15,033	•••••	1				1				
Hazleton	75, 917 32, 277	•••••	5 1			•••••	····i		• • • • • •		
Jeannette	10.627						$\hat{2}$				
Johnstown	67,327 53,150		9 2								
Lancaster	53, 150	• • • • • • • •	2								
Lebanon	21,613 45,975	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 2 3				····· <u>·</u>		····· <u>·</u>	• • • • • •	
McKee's Rocks	16,713		3				2		í	• • • • • •	
Monessen	45,975 16,713 18,179		ĭ				ī				
Mount Carmel	17,469								2		
Nanticoke	22,614 44,938	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i				i			• • • • • •	
New Castle. New Kensington. North Braddock	11,987	•••••	····i				- 1		•••••	• • • • • •	
North Braddock	14.928		2				i		i		
Oil CityPhiladelphia	21,274 1,823,158	ا معدد ا	1							•••••	
Phoenixville	1,823,158	366	29	2	•••••	·····j	40	1	35	27	
Pittsburgh.	588, 193	••••••	32		····i	•••••	13		1 13	•••••	
Plymouth	16,500		2						2	• • • • • •	
Pottstown	17.431		2 1								
Pottsville	21,876	•••••	1							•••••	
Punxsutawney	10,311 107,784 137,783	• • • • • • • • • •			•••••		1			•••••	
Scranton	137, 783	••••••	5 8			•••••	- 1			• • • • • •	
Shamokin	21,204 21,747 15,721		ĭ								
Sharon	21,747		1				3				
Sunbury Uniontown	15,721 15,692		1 1 2 9 2				1			•••••	
Warren	14 258	••••••	2			••••••	•••••			• • • • •	
Warren Washington West Chester	21,480		2				····i			• • • • • •	
West Chester	21,480 11,717		ī ļ							•••••	
Wilkes-Barre	73.833 1.		1 6 1	-						• • • • •	
Wilkinsburg Williamsport	24, 403 36, 198	••••••	3		-				····i	• • • • •	
Woodlawn	12, 495		i					•••••	- 1	• • • • •	
York	12,495 47,512		3 1	• • • • • • ; •			···i			•••••	
679099 91 4	, •		•	· ·			•				

:	Popula- tion Janu-	Total deaths	Dipl	theria.	Ме	sles.		ariet ver.	Cu	ıber- losis.
City.	ary 1, 1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Gases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Rhode Island:		,						l		
Cranston.	29, 407	3	2	1	ļ		·[	ļ		
Cumberland (town) East Providence (town)	21 703	2	i				····i		·	
Newport. Pawtucket	30, 255		i				2			
Pawtucket	10,077 21,793 30,255 64,248	14	1				1			
Providence South Carolina:	237, 595	56	. 3				1			. 3
Charleston	67, 957	23	2		1		3	l	1	. 5
Columbia	67, 957 37, 524	ļ	3				3 2			
South Dakota;				1		l	١.		1	1
Sioux Falls Tennessee:	25, 176	5	2		<b>-</b>		1			·
Chattanooga	57, 895		7	I			2	j	l	l
Knoxville	77,818 162,351 118,342		4	1						
Memphis Nashville	162, 351	51 29	11				1			2 2
Texas:		29	6		• • • • • •	••••	3		2	2
Beaumont	40, 422 10, 522 158, 976 77, 543 44, 255 38, 500	11	l	J				l	l	
Corpus Christi	10,522	11 2 37								
DallasEl Paso	158,976	37 22	ļ	i	2	• • • • •	1		2	2 2
Galveston	44, 255	9			• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	1			2
Waco	38,500	6	l							
Utah:			1							_
Salt Lake City	118, 110	31	2				3		4	2
Barre	10,008	1	· ·	1 1	- 1		2		1:-	1
Barre. Burlington.	10,008 22,779 14,954	5	3				4			
Avutanu	14,954	5	1		]					
Virginia: Alexandria	19 060	3		1 1	i					
Danville.	18,060 21,539	5					•••••	• • • • • •		1
Lynchburg	29,956	8	3 3 5							
Nortoux	115,777		5				2		4	1
Petersburg. Portsmouth	29,956 115,777 31,002 54,387	10 9	5 2		1		1		3	4
Richmond	171,667	28	3				3	•••••	4	1 4 1 2 2
Roanoke	50,842	10	13			]	6			2
Washington: Bellingham	05 570			1 1	- 1	- 1				
Everett	25,570 27,644	••••••	• • • • • •		···i		1	•	• • • • • •	•••••
Seattle	315,652		5				5		6	•••••
Spokane	27,644 315,652 104,437 96,965		2		1	]	8 2			•••••
Tacoma	96,965	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • •		•••••	••••••	2			•••••
West Virginia: Bluefield	15, 282		1	l I	- 4.		1	- 1		
Charleston	15, 282 39, 608	8	5				2 .			····i
Fairmont	17 851		4				1			•••••
Huntington Martinsburg	50,177	16	1		••••• •	•••••	1 .		• • • • • • • •	4
Morgantown	12,515 12,127	٠,	2				••••• •	•••••	••••••	• • • • •
Moundsville	10,669	i					3			<b>-</b>
Parkersburg	20,050	2	3			].				••••••
WheelingVisconsin:	54,322	16	4	••••••	•••••		4		1	2
Appleton	19.561		1				2	- 1		
AppletonBeloit	19,561 21,284	1	1				1 ].		···i	•••••
Eau Claire	20,880 23,427						1 .		[	••••••
Fond du Lac	23,427	8	2 3	-			1 .		•••••	1
Green BayJanesville	31,017 18,293	6	° l	-	2				••••••	• • • • •
Kenosha	18, 293 40, 472 38, 378	9	3			]			]	2
Madison	38,378	6	1				1  .			•••••
MarinetteMilwaukee	13.010		1	-	-		1.		1	•••••
Oshkosh	457, 147 33, 162	13	32			1	23 .		28 2	•••••
Racine	58 50R I	6	5		::::: :		15		-	•••••
Sheboygan	30,955		2							•••••
Superior	30, 955 39, 624 18, 661	3 .	اا		-		4 .			•••••
yoming:	10,001		2	•••••	····· ·	-			1 .	•••••
/ volume:										

### FOREIGN AND INSULAR.

#### YELLOW FEVER ON VESSEL.

### Steamship "Monterey"—At Vera Cruz from Progreso, Mexico.

According to information dated September 18, 1921, a case of yellow fever developed in a person arrived at Vera Cruz, Mexico, on the steamship *Monterey*, which sailed from Progreso, Yucatan, Mexico, September 15, 1921.

#### BERMUDA.

### Typhoid Fever.

During the three weeks ended September 17, 1921, seven cases of typhoid fever were reported in Bermuda. (Officially estimated population, 20,801.)

#### BRAZIL.

#### Plague-Pindobassu.

An epidemic of plague has been reported at Pindobassu, a locality 200 miles west of Bahia, Brazil, with 60 deaths from the disease during the first two weeks of August, 1921.

#### BRITISH HONDURAS.

#### Yellow Fever-Belize.

A death from yellow fever was reported October 1, 1921, at Belize, British Honduras.

#### CUBA.

#### Communicable Diseases - Habana - Provinces.

Communicable diseases have been notified in Cuba as follows:

#### Habana.

	Sept. 1-	10, 1921.	Remaining under treatment Sept. 10, 1910.
Disease.	New cases.	Deaths.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	2	2 2
Diphtheria Leprosy Malaria	31	1	11 177
Scarlet fever. Smallpox. Typhoid fever.	1 10	7	2 1 2 1

<sup>1</sup> From the interior, 65.

<sup>2</sup> From the interior, 1.

From the interior, 16; from abroad, 2.

#### Provinces.

		Cases reported, Sept. 1-10, 1921.							
Province.	Cerebro- spinal menin- gitis.	Chicken pox.	Diph- theria.	Malaria.	Measles.	Polio- myelitis (infantile paraly- sis).	Scarlet fever.	Small- pox.	Typhoid iever.
Camaguey	2	1 3	2 9 1 1 6 2	74 31 120 3 12	1 3	1 1 1	1	98 1 52 5	4 19 8 18 7 13
Total	2	6	21	240	4	3	1	156	69

#### ECUADOR.

### Plague-Plague-Infected Rats-Guayaquil.

During the period August 16 to 31, 1921, two cases of plague with one death, were reported at Guayaquil, Ecuador. The finding of plague-infected rodents was reported as follows: August 1 to 15, 18 plague-infected rats out of 372 rats examined; August 16 to 31, 36 rats out of 915 examined.

#### JAMAICA.

#### Infectious Disease (Alastrim or Kaffir Pox).

Alastrim or Kaffir pox has been reported in the Island of Jamaica as follows: Week ended September 3, 1921, 41 new cases; week ended September 10, 1921, 39 new cases.

#### Typhoid Fever-Kingston and Vicinity.

Typhoid fever has been reported in Kingston and the surrounding country as follows: Week ended September 3, 1921, Kingston, 6 cases, vicinity, 34 cases; week ended September 10, 1921, Kingston, 1 case, vicinity, 28 cases.

#### MEXICO.

#### Plague-Infected Rat-Progreso.

The finding of a plague-infected rat was reported at Progreso, Mexico, September 10, 1921. The rat was stated to have been found in the storeroom of a grocery store located in the central business section of the city.

#### Plague-Infected Rodents - Tampico.

During the week ended September 25, 1921, five plague-infected rodents were reported found at Tampico.

#### Yellow Fever-Tierra Blanca.

A case of yellow fever was reported, September 19, 1921, at Tierra Blanca, State of Vera Cruz, Mexico. The case was stated to have arrived at Vera Cruz, Mexico, on the steamship *Monterey*, which sailed from Progreso September 15, 1921. Tierra Blanca is an interior town situated on the line of railway.

### Yellow Fever-Tlacotalpan.

Yellow fever was reported present at Tlacotalpan, State of Vera Cruz, Mexico, September 25, 1921.

#### PORTO RICO.

#### Plague Rat-On Steamship "San Luis."

The finding of a plague-infected rat on the steamship San Luis, in the harbor of San Juan, Porto Rico, was reported September 9, 1921. The San Luis was employed in connection with the dredging of the harbor.

# CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER. Reports Received During Week Ended Oct. 7, 1921. CHOLERA.

Cases.

Deaths.

Remarks.

Date.

Place.

Calcutta	India				July 10-16, 1921; Deaths, 8,185.
Karachi	Bombay	July 24-Aug. 6		14	
Rangoon	Calcutta	Aug. 14-20			
Philippine Islands:   Manila.   July 31-Aug. 20.   7   1   Province—   Batangas.   July 17-23.   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Karachi	do		13	•
Philippine Islands:   Manila.   July 31-Aug. 20.   7   1	Rangoon	Aug. 7-13	1		<del>†</del>
Manila	Philippine Islands:		1	1	
Province	Manila	July 31±Aug. 20	7	1	
Ratangas				l .	
Cavite.   July 10-16.   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1		July 17-23	1		
PLAGUE	Covite'	July 10-16	i	1	
Asia Minor: Smyrna.   Aug. 28-Sept. 3.   1   In district.					i
Asia Minor: Smyrna. Aug. 28-Sept. 3.	Chion	vary 1. 1146. 0	_	-	
Asia Minor: Smyrna. Aug. 28-Sept. 3.			<u>'</u>		<del></del>
Smyrna	• •	PLA	GUE.		
Smyrna	A 1. 26			1	
Azores:   St. Michael Island		Aug 20 Cant 2	,		In district
St. Michael Island—Ribeira Grande		Aug. 20-5ept. 5			In district.
Ribeira Grande	Azores:			l	
Brazil:   Bahia.   July 31-Aug. 6.   1   1	St. Michael Island-	مد			10 miles from nort of Ponts
Brazil:   Bahia	Ribeira Grande	, ao		, . I	Dolando Dolando
Bahia.         July 31-Aug. 6         1         1           Pindobassu.         July 31-Aug. 6         1         1           China:         Ang. 7-27         7           Ecuador:         Aug. 16-31         2         1           Guayaquil         Aug. 16-31         2         1           Egypt         Jan. 1-Sopt. 1, 1921: Cases, 206; deaths, 107.           City—         Aug. 19-30         15         2           Port Said         Aug. 20         1	<b></b>			i	Deigaua.
Decality 200 miles west of Bahia; plague reported epidemic in August, 1921, with 60 deaths.   Aug. 7-27.   7   Reuador: Guayaquil		Tul- 01 Ann 6			
China: Aug. 7-27   7   Plague reported epidemic in August, 1921, with 60 deaths.   7   Plague rats found: Aug. 1-15, 1921, 18; Aug. 16-31, 1921, 36.   1921, 1921; Cases, 206; deaths, 107.   1921; deaths, 206; deaths	Bania	July 31-Aug. 6	1	1 1	Togality 200 miles most of Babias
China:	Pindobassu				
China:         Aug. 7-27         7           Augador:         Aug. 16-31         2         1           Guayaquil         Aug. 16-31         2         1           Egypt         Jan. 1-Sept. 1, 1921; Cases, 206; deaths, 107.           Alexandria         Aug. 19-30         15         2           Port Said         Aug. 20         1					plague reported epidemic in
Amoy. Aug. 7-27. 7  Ecuador: Guayaquil. Aug. 16-31 2 1 Plague rats found: Aug. 1-15, 1921, 18; Aug. 16-31, 1921, 38.  Egypt. Jan. 1-Sopt. 1, 1921: Cases, 206; deaths, 107. Of these, nino cases were clinically verified and officially declared.  Port Said. Aug. 20. 1					August, 1921, with 60 deaths.
Ecuador: Guayaquil				_	
Guayaquil	Amoy	Aug. 7-27		7	
Egypt. Jan. 1-Sept. 1, 1921; 38. Jan. 1-Sept. 1, 1921; Cases, 206; deaths, 107. Of these, nine cases were clinically verified and officially declared.	Ecuador:		_	_	
Egypt. Jan. 1-Sept. 1, 1921; 38. Jan. 1-Sept. 1, 1921; Cases, 206; deaths, 107. Of these, nine cases were clinically verified and officially declared.	Guayaquil	Aug. 16-31	2	1	
City— Alexandria	i i				1921, 18; Aug. 16-31, 1921, 36.
City— Alexandria	Egypt				
Alexandria	City—				deaths, 107.
Port Said Aug. 20 1 clared.	Alexandria	Aug. 19-30	15	2	Of these, nine cases were clin-
Port Said		-			
	1	i			clared.
	Port Said	Aug. 20	1		
Province—	Province-		_		
Gharbieh Sept. 1 1		Cont 1	1	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

# Reports Received During Week Ended Oct. 7, 1921—Continued.

#### PLAGUE-Continued.

	<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
IndiaBombay	July 24-Aug. 6.	9	7	July 24-Aug. 6, 1921: Cases, 674 deaths, 474.
Rangoon Mesopotamia: Bagdad	Aug. 7-13	30	26	
Mexico: Progreso				Plague rat reported found Sept.
Tampico		ļ		10, 1921. Sept. 19–25, 1921: Infected rodents found, 5.
Porto Rico: San Juan				Plague infected rat on steamship San Luis in San Juan Harbor, Sept. 9, 1921.
Syria: Beirut	Aug. 1–7	1		
Turkey: Constantinople On vessel:	Aug. 21–Sept. 3	3	1	
Steamship San Luis	Sept. 9	ļ		At San Juan, P. R., in harbor.
	SMAI	LPOX.		
Australia: Victoria—				
Geelong	July 12-29	2		First reported epidemic in May,
Brazil: Rio de Janeiro Canada: Manitoba—	July 31-Aug. 27	47	8	
Winnipeg New Brunswick—	Aug. 28-Sept. 17	6		
Charlotte County Ontario— Toronto	Sept. 4-10 Sept. 18-24	1 1		
Saskatchewan— Moose Jaw	Sept. 4-10	1		
China: Chungking Foochow Manchuria—	Aug. 7-20 Aug. 7-13			Present. Do.
Mukden Tientsin	Aug. 14–20do	<u>2</u>		Do.
Cuba: Antilla Nuevitas	Sept. 4–10 Sept. 12–18	3 6		Reported found at Redencion
Ecuador: Eloy Alfaro	Aug. 1-15	1		about 15 miles from Nuevitas.
Guayaquil France: Cherbourg	do	3		Varioloid.
ParisGreat Britain:	July 22-31	2	1	
Nottingham Haiti: Cape Haitien	Aug. 21-27	6 43	6	
India Bombay Calcutta	July 24-Aug. 6 Aug. 14-20	11	7	July 10-16, 1921: Deaths, 183.
RangoonJava:	Aug. 7-13	1	1	
West Java— Batavia. Buitenzorg. Garoet	July 22-Aug. 4 dodo.	11 2 3	12 1	
Krawang	do	14	1	•
Guadalajara	June 1-30	3 10 37	3	Including municipalities in Fed-
Vera Cruz	Sept. 5-11		1	eral District.

# Reports Received During Week Ended Oct. 7, 1921—Continued SMALLPOX—Continued

SMALLPUA—Continued,					
Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.	
Panama:	•			•	
Panama Portuguese East Africa:	Sept. 4-19	3		One nonresident from interior.	
Lourenco Marques	July 1-Aug. 5	1	4		
Russia: Esthonia	July 1-31	7		Province.	
Spain: Barcelona	Aug. 10-24		4		
Switzerland: Zurich	Aug. 28-Sept. 3	1			
Tunis:	Aug. 27-Sept. 2	2	1		
Union of South Africa: Transvaal— Johannesburg	July 1-31	2			

#### TYPHUS FEVER.

A . 2 . 262	i	ŀ	l	
Asia Minor: Smyrna	Aug. 28-Sept. 3	1	l	
Canary Islands:		•		
Teneriffe	Aug. 14-20		1	
China:				
Antung	Aug. 15-21	1		_
Jugoslavia:	Ĭ			
Zagreb	Aug. 7-20	22	2	
Mexico:				
Mexico City	Aug. 14-27	34		Including municipalities in Fed
2202200 0103 01010101010101010101010101010101				eral district.
Russia:	` .		1	0.0. 0.00.00
Esthonia	July 1-31	50		Province.
Spain:	,	- 55		
Madrid	do		. 2	
Turkey:				
Constantinople	Aug. 20-Sept. 3	5		
Ouistantinopie	rug. 20 cept. o	•		•

#### YELLOW FEVER.

		•	1	
British Hondnras:	Oct. 1		1	
Mexico: Vera Cruz (State)— Tierra Blanca	Sept. 19	1		Case arrived at Vera Cruz on
Tlacotalpan Vera Cruz	Sept. 25			steamship Monterey from Pro- greso, Mexico. Present
Vera Cruz	Sept. 18	1	1	
On vessel: Steamship Monterey	l -	1		At Vera Cruz from Progreso, Mexico, Sept. 15, 1921. Patient went to Tierra Blanca.
				went to Herra Dianea.

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921.

#### CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China: Amoy	July 3-Aug. 6 Aug. 1-21	24	10 1	18 Chinese, 6 foreign. Mar. 6-June 25, 1921: Death;
BombayCalcutta	May 1-June 18 June 28-July 23 May 8-June 25	11 18 597	10 10 521	75,281. July 3-9, 1921: Deaths, 6,328.
Do	June 26-July 23 July 10-Aug. 13	125 55	105 54	
Madras	May 15-June 25 June 26-Aug. 13	3 11	6	

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

### CHOLERA—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India—Continued,	Apr. 24 Tuno 25	18	. 17	
Do	Apr. 24-June 25 June 26-Aug. 6	1 14	1 8	1
Rangoon	1			Jan. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 80; deaths, 15. May 29-June 12, 1921: Cases, 251; deaths, 202.
City—	Tuno 6 10	5	1 4	15. May 29-June 12, 1921:
Saigon	June 6-12	65	44	Cases, 251; deaths, 202.
City— Cholon Saigon Do.	May 9-June 12 July 4-31	100	91	
Province—	Tom 1 91		l	
AnamCambodia	Jan. 1-31do	42 8	2	In January, 1920: No cases, January, 1920: Cases, 27; deaths, 14.
Cochin-China	1	18	9	January, 1920: Cases, 13; deaths,
Tonkin	do	12	4	January, 1920: No cases.
Philippine Islands: Manila	May 22-June 25	4	1	
Do	July 3-30	19	i	1
Province-	1	1		
Batangas	June 12-18	2	1	· ·
Do	July 3–16	6	3	
Cebu. Laguna	June 26-July 2 June 19-25	1		1
· Do	Inly 3_0	1 1	1	
Mindoro	June 12-18	1. 1	ī	
Pampanga	June 5-11	1	1,	
Mindoro	June 19–25 June 26–July 2	1	1	
Poland:	June 20-July 2	1		
Baranowicze	Aug. 18		l	Present.
Bialystok	July 25			Do.
BialystokPinsk	¦do			Do.
Trussia				Jan. 1-July 13, 1921: Cases, 27,779. Of these, 24,000 reported in June, 1921.
Districts— Kayan Kharkov. Kursk Moscow Orel Rjasan. Saratov. Simbirsk Tambov Voronezh Don Territorv.	Jan. 1-July 13	434		June, 1921.
Kharkov	do	257		
Kursk	do	528		CH . 100
Orel	do	296 140		City, 192 cases. Volga region.
Riasan	do	129		V Olga Togrott.
Saratov	do	7,005		Do
Simbirsk	do	814		Do
Voronesh	do	1,396		<b>D0.</b>
Don Territory Kuban Territory Petrograd	do	2,653 2,356		. •
Kuban Territory	do	1,718		Black Sea region.
Petrograd	July 6	6		Bresset on Onenhaum Machbant
Rostov-on-Don	June 1	747	•••••	Present on Orenburg-Tashkent line, and at Cheljabinsk, Perm, Petropavlosk, Ufa, and in Smownsk and Vitebek dis-
i				Smownsk and Vitebsk dis-
				tricts during period under re-
Siam:		1		port
Bangkok	Apr. 24-June 11	19	4	
Do	June 26-July 23	3		
Straits Settlements:	1	1	1	
Singapore	June 12-18	• 1	- 1	
	PLAC	JUE.	•	y ptt - r
	1	<u></u>		
Algeria: Aumale district Douar Megnine	May 31-July 3 May 31-Aug. 24	71 185	22	Native district about 140 kilo- meters from Algiers.
Asia Minor:	June 19-25	1		In suburbs.
Smyrna	July 3–30	3		III SUVUI VS.
St. Michael Island—	1	- 1		
Capelas	Aug. 6–12 Aug. 8–27	1 19	1 6	
Brazil:	May 15-June 18	3	2	
Bahia	June 28	î	1	

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

#### PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
British East Africa:				
Kenya Colony—		İ	1	
Kisumu Do	Apr. 24-May 21 June 26-Aug. 6	ļ	· ·····	Present.
	Mar. 1-June 30	133	101	Reports of native chiefs show
Uganda Cape Verde Islands:	1		1	2,709 deaths during same period
St. Vincent	Aug. 12-18	6	3	· ·
Ceylon: Colombo	May 8-June 11	2	2	
Do	June 26-July 30	3	3	5 cases rodent plague.
Thina:		l _	1	
Amoy	May 15-June 25	7	31	
Do	July 3-Aug. 6 May 15-21		91	Present.
Foochow	Apr. 24-June 25	81	59	May 1-7, 1921: Plague rat found
Do	June 26-July 25	27	19	
Manchuria—	Way 2_22	46	1	
Harbin Ecuador:	May 3-22	1 20		
	May 1-June 15	10	1	ì
Guayaquil Do	July 16-31	1		l
Egypt			·{	Jan. 1-Aug. 18, 1921: Cases, 248
City— Alexandria	May 21-June 24	10	3	deaths, 105.
Do	July 1-Aug. 16	21	1 5	1
Port_Said	June 16-27	4	2	
Do	July 1–Aug. 4 May 20–June 30	12 9	6 5	1 coco provimento
Suez Do	July 1–18	5	3	1 case pneumonic.
Province-	1 '	1		
Assiout	May 24-June 16	9	7	1 case septicemic.
DoBeni-Souef	July 30	1		
Gharbieh	1 June 2-25	7		
Do	July 9-Aug. 7 July 6-13	8		·
Girgeh	July 6-13	5	4	
Minieh Do	July 13-Aug. 18	2 7	1 3	•••
Greece:	July 10 Aug. 10	•	•	
Piræus	Sept. 23	3		
Hawaii:	7 15. 10	1	1	
Kalepa Paauhau	July 15-19	i	•	
india				May 1-June 25, 1921: Cases, 2,093;
Bombay Do	May 1-June 25	287	204	deaths, 1,624. June 26-July 23,
Do Calcutta	June 26-July 23	26 11	19 11	1921: Cases, 1,115; deaths, 820.
Do	May 8-June 18 July 24-Aug. 6	23	21	
Karachi	May 8-June 25	18	14	** *
Do	June 26-July 30	2	2	
Madras Presidency	May 22-June 25	112 384	72 242	
		162	142	
RangoonDo	June 26-Aug. 6	288	244	
ndo-China				Jan. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 57; deaths,
Saigon	May 23-June 12	4	1	51. May 8-15, 1921: 1 plague rat.
Do		. <b></b> .		July 10-31, 1921: Rodent-Cases,
ava:				8.
East Java—	. July 10-16.	4	2	
Soerabaya	. July 10-10	<b>. </b> .		
Tananarive	. July 11			Present.
fauritius:	1 - 1			De
Port Louis	. Aug. 24		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Do.
Mesopotamia: Bagdad	. Apr. 1-May 31	32	35	
Mexico:		~ <b>_</b>	30	
Ciudad Victoria	. June 7	1		In State of Tamaulipas: Case
TampicoDo.	June 11-30 July 1-Aug. 21	36 21	8	confirmed June 20, 1921. Infected rodents found, July 1-
<i>D</i> V	.   wusy 1-11 ug. 41	-1	0	Sopt. 4, 1921, 141.

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

### PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	. Deaths.	Remarks.
Peru				. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 76; deaths.
		i -		. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 76; deaths, 44. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 43
			1	deaths, 20. June 1-30, 1921. Cases, 14; deaths, 10. July 1- 15, 1921: Cases, 9; deaths, 3. At Mollendo.
Department—	35 1.01	١ .		15, 1921: Cases, 9; deaths, 3.
Arequipa	Mar. 1-31	2 7	1	. At Mollendo. At Callao.
Lambayeque	do	2	1	At Chiclavo.
Libertad	do	12 32		In 5 localities.
Department—     Arequipa     Callao.     Lambayeque.     Libertad     Lima     Piura     Ancachs     Arequipa.     Callao.     Lambayeque.     Libertad     Lima     Piura     Lima     Lima     Libertad     Lima     Piura	do	21	16	
Ancachs	. Apr. 1-30	4	i	At Huarmey.
Arequipa	do	3 8		At Mollendo.
Lambayeque	do	ı		. At Callao. At Chiclayo.
Libertad	do	16	5	In 5 localities
Lima	do	6 5	3 7	In Lima city, 3 cases, 1 death. At Payta, Sullana, and Talara.
Libertad—		, ,	1 '	At Payta, Suliana, and Talara.
Salaverry	June 1–15do	1		
Trujillo Lima—	do	2	3	
Ling—	do	2	3	1
Piura		` .	1	1
Piura Talara	dodo	1 4	3	•
Callao	ł	-	1 °	
Callao	. June 16-30	1	ļ	
Lima—Do	July 1-15	5	1	
Lima	June 16-30	3	1	• •
Do	July 1-15	2	2	
Mollendo Poland	do	2		Department of Arequipa.
	1	• • • • • • • •		In border Province, Aug. 9, 1921: Cases. 8.
Porto RicoCaguas			<u>.</u>	Cases, 8. Total plague-infected rats found from beginning of outbreak to
Caguas	. Aug. 7-20	4	2	
Fajardo	.	· • • • • • • •	l	July 9, 1921: 90. Aug. 28-Sept. 3, 1921: One
Fajardo Manati	July 17-23	1	1	plague rat found.
Martin Pena	July 3-9	1		Suburb coextensive with San-
Portuguese West Africa:				turce.
Angola—	4 04 7 10		1	
Loanda Russia:	. Apr. 24-June 18	16		
Siberia-	ļ .			
Vladivostok	. May 1-31	141	145	
enegal: Dakar	May 1-June 30	54	47	
Do	July 1-31	105	84	
iam:	1 1	_		
BangkokDo	Apr. 24–June 18 July 24–30.	7	6 1	
traits Settlements:	1	_		••••
Singapore	May 8-June 18	5 !	5	
vria:	June 26-July 30	3	.3	and the second second
Alexandretta	July 10-Aug. 6 May 31-June 30	18	4	
BeirutDo	May 31-June 30	2 8		•
hirkev:	1	•	•••••	
Constantinople	July 10-16	1		
Inion of South Africa			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	January-April, 1921: Cases
		1		(native), 13: deaths, 6. Occur-
		1		January-April, 1921: Cases (white). 6; deaths, 4. Cases (native), 13; deaths, 6. Occur- ring in the Orange Free State.
n vessels: Steamship Kishenev	Way 2	1		
Domining Honorev		- 1	••••••	At Chefoo, China. Plague death en route. Vessel sent to quar- antine. Kentucky, Island
		l		antine, Kentucky Island, where to May 6 a total of 16 deaths was reported. (Public Health Burney 1997)
•				deaths was reported (Public
	l l	i		meanur reports, july 1, 1921.
	j l			p. 1534.)

### Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

#### PLAGUE—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
On vessels—Continued.				
Steamship Oreland	•••••			At Genoa, Italy, June 12, 1921; from La Plata, Argentina Two fatal cases plague in crew
Steamship Ralph Moller	June 8	. 4	1	en route. At Chefoo, China, from Vladivostok, Siberia. Three fatal cases en route. One case with fatal termination removed at Vladivostok.
Steamship Tenyo Maru		•••••		En route between Nagasaki and Kobe, Japan, June 28, 1921, 1 fatal case.
	SMAL	LPOX.		•
Algeria:			Ī .	
Algiers	May 1-June 30	3		
Smyrna Do	May 22-28 July 24-30	1 2		On the steamship Nicholas.
Australia: Victoria—				
Geelong	May 5-16 Apr. 9-23	2 4	·····i	Mild. Mild epidemic.
Bolivia: La Paz	Apr. 1-30	5	4	· -
Brazil: Pernambuco	Mar. 28-May 22	28	4	
Rio de Janeiro	May 8-June 18	11	2 5	
Do	June 26-July 30 May 23-June 26	21 7	2	
Sao Paulo Do	June 27-July 31	10	2	
British East Africa: Kenya Colony— Zanzibar	_		_	
ZanzibarBulgaria:	May 8-14	12	4	Origin, India.
Sofia Canada:	May 15-31	6	· · · · • • · · · ·	
Alberta— Calgary	May 26-June 18	3	•••••	
British Columbia— Vancouver	May 28-June 25	8		
Manitoba— Winnipeg	do	6		
New Brunswick—	June 26-Aug. 13			
Charlotte County	July 10-Aug. 27 Aug. 7-13	8 1		
Madawaska County Restigouche County	June 19-25	1		
Westmoreland County.	June 26-July 2	2		
Nova Scotia— Sydney	June 5-18	2		
Do.	June 26-July 2	4		
Ontario— Fort William and Port	Aug. 7-27	2		
Arthur. Hamilton	June 12-18	3		
Do.	July 3-9	ĭ		
Kingston	June 5–11 June 5–25	1		At two localities in vicinity, 2
London	June 5-25	2		cases.
Montreal	June 12–18 July 17–23	1 1		
North Bay	June 11-25	3		
Do	June 26-July 9	2		
Ottawa	June 12-25	21		
Do Toronto.	June 26-Aug. 13 Aug. 28-Sept. 10	35 2		
Chile:	and an ache in	-		
	3/ 10 T 10	228	106	
Antofagasta	May 16-June 19		100 i	
AntofagastaArica	May 31 May 30-June 5	220		Present. Also at interior nitrate

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

#### SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China:			-	. ,
Amov	May 8-June 4	J	. 4	June 5-25: Present.
Amoy Do	June 26–July 2 May 16–June 26		. 1	
Antung	May 16-June 26	. 12	2	
Canton	Apr. 1–30	.	.	. Present.
Chung king	May 1-June 25			. <u>D</u> o.
Do	June 26-Aug. 6		.	. Do.
Foochow	June 26-Aug. 6 May 8-June 25 June 26-Aug. 6			. Do. Do.
Hankow	May 15_91	4	i	. Do.
Do	July 10-16	i		
Hongkong Manchuria—	May 15-21. July 10-16. Apr. 24-June 25	l ·		1
Dairen	May 9-June 26	. 44	5	
Do	May 9-June 26 June 27-Aug. 14	8	3	1 .
Harbin	May 16–June 13	5		.]
Do	June 27-July 10	. 2		
Mukden	May 22-June 11 July-3-Aug. 6 May 8-June 25 June 26-Aug. 13		.	Do.
Do Nanking	May 9 June 25			Do. Do.
Do Do	Tune 26 Aug 12	ļ		Do.
DoShanghai	June 20-26	i		1 20.
Do	July 3-Aug. 6	1 2	i	- '
Tientsin	May 8-June 25	31		Mission hospital.
_ Do	June 26-Aug. 6	7	1	
Tsingtau	May 9-June 12	4	l i	i
ນັດ	May 9–June 12 July 25–31	î	l	
Chosen (Korea): Chemulpo	May 1-June 30	11	.3	
Fusan.	do	12	3	
Gensan	do	5	1 2	
Seoul	do	3		
colombia:				•
Santa Marta	June 5-25			Present.
Do	June 26-Aug. 27			Do.
luba:				
Antilla	June 5-25	7		•
Do	June 26-Aug. 27	69		-
Cienfuegos	June 26-Sept. 3 June 12-18	3		
Matanzas	June 12-18	1	1	
Do	July 3-31	4	2	•
Nuevitas	July 4-Sept. 11	8		'
Santiago Do	June 1-30	28 31	· 2	
Dominican Republic	July 1-Aug. 51	31		In eastern Provinces, Aug. 25,
La Ramona	Aug. 25.			1921, 2,000 cases, estimated. Cases numerous.
San Pedro de Macoris	Aug. 19-25	40	2	On sugar estates in same Prov- ince, about 400 cases.
Ccuador:		.		* .
Guayaquil	May 1-June 30	31		
Do	July 1-31	19	1	
Cairo	3/ 10 4 00		.	
Dort Soid	Mar. 19-Apr. 29	2	1	
Port Said	Apr. 2-May 20	10		
rance:	May 1-15	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	May 22-June 4	18		,
	May 1-29	10		
ermany	200, 1 200000000000000000000000000000000			Apr. 24-May 28, 1921; Cases, 12,
				Apr. 24-May 28, 1921: Cases, 12. Additional, Apr. 17-May 7, 1921: Cases, 57; deaths, 7.
reat Britain:	36		i	
Nottingham Do	May 29–June 4 July 3–Aug. 13	1 45		Stated Aug. 17 to be epidemic and to have begun about two months previous to date; 57
<i>D</i> 0	i		ŀ	cases reported.
		j	1	
Queenstown	July 3-9	1		cases reperveus
QueenstownSouthampton	July 3–9 June 26–July 2	1 1		casos reporteus
Queenstown	June 26-July 2			custo reported.
Queenstown	July 3–9 June 26–July 2 June 6–12		1	casos coporteas
QueenstownSouthamptonreece: Saloniki	June 26-July 2		1 2	

### Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

#### SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Y 11.		1	1	Man 90 Man 91 1001. 75 11
India	Man 1 Toma Of	·····	50	Mar. 20-May 21, 1921: Deaths 3,232. June 5-25, 1921: Deaths
Kom nav	. May 1-June 25	- 84		3,232. June 5-25, 1921: Deaths
Do	. June 26-July 23	. 36		958. July 3-9, 1921: Deaths, 210
Calcutta	. May 8-June 25	.] 8		1
Do	June 26-July 16 May 29-June 25	. 5	5	1
Karachi	. May 29-June 25	25		•
Do	.  June 26-July 30	.] 8		İ
Madras	.   May 8-June 25	.  33	11	1
Do	. June 26-Aug. 13	26		1
Rangoon	. Apr. 24-June 4	. 20		
Do	July 10-Aug. 6	. 3	1	1
ndo-China.		1		Jan. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 102
City—		l	1	deaths, 15.
Saigon	May 9-15	. 2	1	1 '
Province—	1		i	1
Anam	Jan. 1-31	35	1	January, 1920: Cases, 16; deaths, 3.
Cambodia		21	3	January, 1920: Cases, 139; deaths,
Campoula		1	1	54.
Cochin China	ا مه	19	12	January, 1920: Cases, 8; deaths, 1.
Mankin	do	27		January 1000: Cases, 6, ucatilis, 1,
Tonkin	. ao	24		January, 1920: Cases, 224; deaths
	1		ı	43.
taly:	1	1	1	
Catania			.	Province: June 6-20, 1921:
_	1	!	l .	Case: 5.
Do	July 18-Aug. 14		.	In Province: Cases, 7.
Genoa	Apr. 1-May 31	11		j .
Do	July 4-10	2		
Messina	July 4–10	2	1	•
Do	July 11-17	1	l	In Province, July 4-17, 1921;
	1	l	1	Cases, 9.
Palermo	May 18-June 21	7	1	,
Milan	Apr. 1-30	2		i
Do	June 29-July 19	3		
apan:	- uno 20 - uno 3	_		
Kobe	May 24-Tune 26	3	i	
	May 24-June 26 May 23-June 26	6	i	
Nagasaki	July 1-10	ľ	•	
Taiwan Island	July 1-10			
ava:		1	1	
East Java—	T 10 05	2	1	
Soerabaya	June 19-25			
Do	July 10-23	8		
West Java—	Man 07 Tona 0	Ι.		
Bandoeng	May 27-June 3	1 1		
_ Do	July 8-21	1		
Batavia	May 6-June 23	17	15	
Do	July 1-21	6	6	'
Buitenzorg	Apr. 29-June 23	16		
Garoet	May 6-12	1		
Do	July 8-14	1		
Krawang	Apr. 29-June 30	33	5	
Lebak	Apr. 29-May 26	12	2	
Pandeglang	July 8-14. Apr. 29-June 30 Apr. 29-May 26 June 3-30	2	2	
Do	July 8-14	1		
ugoslavia	,			Mar. 14-May 13, 1921: Cases, 334:
ugwa va		••••••		Mar. 14-May 13, 1921: Cases, 334; deaths, 83. June 27-July 10,
	1	ŀ	1	1921: Cases, 111; deaths, 27.
fesopotamia:	1		1	1921. Cases, 111, deaths, 21.
Bagdad	Apr. 1-May 31	3	1	
	Apr. I-may at	•		
lexico:	T1 11 00		1	
Tampico	July 11-20	1		
Chihuahua	May 23-June 27		3	* . 1 . 1/
Mexico City	May 15-June 25	246		Including municipalities in Fed-
	l !			eral District.
Do	June 26-Aug. 13	149	J	Do.
San Luis Potosi	July 17-Aug. 6		2	
Vera Cruz	June 13-19		1	
Do	July 11-Aug. 7		2	
ewfoundland:	, ,			
Tilton	Aug. 20-26	3	l	
anama		-		Jan. 1-July 25, 1921: Cases, 200, of
	Jan. 1-June 10	2		which 33 were nonresidents.
				ATTENDED A OF A LIGHT CONTROLL POP
Canal Zone		111		
Canal ZoneColon	do	111		From the interior
Canal Zone		111 1 55		From the interior.

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

#### SMALLPOX—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Poland				. Mar. 1-Apr. 30, 1921: Cases, 1,117;
District—	35 1 4 00	3	1 .	deaths, 142.
Bialystok Cracovia	. Mar. 1-Apr. 30	56		1
Uracovia	do	180		
KielceLeopolLodz.	do	52	16	
Lode	do	72	· 9	1 ·
Lublin	do	397	30	· '
Lublin Posen	do	26		
SilesiaStanislawowTarnopol	do	10		. In Teschen.
Stanislawow	do	30	5	
Tarnopol	do	156	31	
Warsaw Warsaw City	. do	36	4	1
Warsaw City	. do	90	13	
Portugal:	1	ļ.		i
Lisbon	. May 15-June 25		34	
Do	June 26-Aug. 20	23	2	
Oporto	June 19-25			•
	May 9 29	8	I	
Lourenco Marques	May 8-28 July 10-16	. 4		1
DoRumania:	July 10-10	, ( <del>*</del>		1
District—	i		l	
Hotin	Apr. 1-30	40	9	
Orthei	Mar. 1-31	2	l	
Russia:		-		
Province-	1		l	
Esthonia	Apr. 1-June 30	9	l	
Latvia—	1 -			
Riga	Apr. 1-May 31	41		
Siberia—	1		i	
Vladivostok	June 1-30	1		
Senegal:	125		l .	
Dakar	May 1-31	1	1	
Spain:	35 10 7 00		1	
Barcelona	May 12-June 22 July 7-20	••••••	13	
Do Huelva	July 1-31	•••••	2	
Modeid	June 1-30			j
Madrid Malaga	May 1_Time 30	. 4	57	
_ Do	July 1-31	•••••	33	
Tarragona	May 1-June 30 July 1-31 May 9-15 May 22-28		ĭ	
Valencia	May 22-28.	1		
Do	July 2-Aug. 20	. 9	2	
Straits Settlements:	1 ' '			•
Singapore	June 12-18	1		
Do	July 10-23	2	1	
Switzerland:				
Zurich	May 28-June 11	10		
Do	July 3-16	3		
Syria:	A 0 10 ·			Present.
Aleppo	Apr. 9-16	i	1	Frescut.
Beirut Tunis:	May 10-30	. *	-	,
Tunis.	May 30-June 17	2	3	and the second second second
Do	July 2-Aug. 26	9	8	
Turkey:	l l	. 1	_	
Constantinople	June 12-25	5		
Do	June 23-Aug. 13	8	1	
Union of South Africa				January-April, 1921: Cases
	!	. 1		(white), 18; deaths, 1. Cases
	i i	1		(native), 192; deaths, 5. May
				1-31, 1921: Cases, 65; deaths, 3,
		I		January-April, 1921: Cases (white), 18; deaths, 1. Cases (native), 192; deaths, 5. May 1-31, 1921: Cases, 65; deaths, 3, all natives. June 1-30, 1921:
Como Duomines	Ame Of Time OF	1		Cases, 64, of which 1 white. Outbreaks.
Cape Province	Apr. 24-June 25			Do.
Do	Apr. 24-June 25 June 26-July 31 Apr. 24-June 25	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Do.
Natal	hily 10-23	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Do.
Do Orange Free State	May 29-June 25			Do.
Southern Rhodesia	May 29–June 25 July 14–20 May 22–June 18	27		, =
Transvaal	May 22-June 18			Do.
Do	July 3-31			Do.
On vessel:	,			
Steamship Niagara	June 1	1		At Sydney, Australia, from Van- couver via Fiji and New Zea-
		l l		conver via riji and New Zea-
				land.

# Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued. TYPHUS FEVER.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Algeria:	Mary 1 Tumo 20		05	
Algiers	May 1-June 30	109 19	25 5	
Oran	July 1-31 May 22-June 30 July 1-31	35	28	·
Do	July 1-31	15	12	· ·
Asia Minor:	June 12-18	1	ì	In district.
SmyrnaBolivia:	June 12-10	1 ·		in district.
La Paz	Apr. 1-June 30	50	51	i ·
Do	July 1-31	19	3	1
Brazil:	June 19-25	١,	١.	
Porto Alegra	do	l	1 3	
Bahia	Aug. 7-13		i	
Chile:	A 10 T 00	ļ		
Concepcion	Apr. 12–June 20 July 12–Aug. 8		10	July 25-Aug. 1, 1921: In hospital
DV	1	ı	10	30 cases; in city, estimated 100 cases.
Los Angeles	July 26-Aug. 8 Mar. 27-May 28 June 28-July 2		l	Prevalent.
Valparaiso	Mar. 27-May 28		4	
Do	June 26-July 2		2	
Antung	May 30-June 5	1		
Do	May 30-June 5 June 27-July 31 May 22-June 11	7	l	
Hankow	May 22-June 11	3		
Manchuria— Harbin	Мау 23-29	1		
Do	July 4-10	î		
Chosen (Korea):	· ·	_		
Chemulpo	June 1-30	2		
Fusan	May 1-31 May 1-June 30	14		
Gensan Seoul	May 1-31	i		
Czechoslovakia:	l T	_		
_ Prague	June 5-26	5	2	
Egypt: Alexandria	May 21-June 23	21	8	
Do	June 24-Aug. 26	26	10	•
DoCairoPort Said	Mar. 19-June 24	235	102	Correction.
Port Said	June 24-Aug. 26 Mar. 19-June 24 Apr. 2-May 13 May 1-15	8	2	
Finland	May 1-15	5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	A 04 Turns 4 1001; Coren 7
Germany	May 27-June 4	·····i		Apr. 24-June 4, 1921: Cases, 7.
Great Britain:	-	_		•
Dublin	May 29-June 4	1		
Greece: Saloniki	May 23-June 26	21	6	
Do	June 27-Aug. 14	ĩ	2	
Guatemala:	-	_		
Guatemala City	July 1-31	• • • • • • •	1	T 1 T-1- 12 1001, Come 71
Hungary		••••••		Jan. 1-July 13, 1921: Cases, 71, occurring in 4 counties.
Japan:				000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Nagasaki	May 23-June 5	7	2	
Jugoslavia Belgrade Zagreb	May 1-14	6		Jan. 30-Mar. 26, 1921: Cases, 242; deaths, 36. June 27-July 10, 1921: Cases, 23; deaths, 7.
Zagreh	June 19-25	3		1921: Cases, 23: deaths, 7.
Do	June 19-25 July 10-16	2		2022. 02005, 00, 000000, 00
Mesopotamia:	1			
Bagdad	May 1-31	. 1	3	
Mexico City	May 15-June 25	102		Including municipalities in Fed-
DoSan Luis Potosi	June 26-Aug. 13	105		eral District.
San Luis Potosi	July 31-Aug. 6			Present. Mar. 1-Apr. 30, 1921: Cases:
Poland	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		11,489; deaths, 1,131.
Bialystok	Mar. 1-Apr. 30	853	45	,,, -,
Cracovia	do	603	90	
Kielce Leopold		848 2,508	62 277	
Lodz	do	521	53	
Lublin	do	1,446	83	
Posen	do	77	5	
Silesia	do	26	232	In Teschen.
Leopold Lodz Lublin Posen Silesia Stanislawow Tarnopol Warsaw	do	1,557 1,855	194	•
Warsaw	do	972	61	
Warsaw city	do	223	29	
Portugal:	Tuly 12_4 20	2	l	
Oporto	amy 12-Aug. 20	2 1	•••••••	

### Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

### TYPHUS FEVER—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Rumania:				
District—	1	l		
Hotin	Apr. 1-30	107	10	
Kishinev Do	. Apr. 1-June 30 July 1-31	89 11		District.
Orhei	Mar. 1-May 30	146		District.
Russia:				
Province—	A 1 T 00		1	• •
Esthonia	Apr. 1-June 30	113		
Latvia Siberia—	do	599		
Vladivostok	Mar. 1-June 30	5	3	
Spain:	l			i '
Madrid	May 1-June 30		3	
Syria: Beirut	May 20-June 10	1	1	
Tunis:	May 20-Julie 10	•		
Tun <u>is</u>	June 11-17		. 3	
Do	July 30-Aug. 5		1	· · · · ·
Furkey:	Mars 00 Turns 10		l	
Constantinople	May 22-June 18 June 26-Aug. 20	11 27		
Do Union of South Africa	Julio 20-Aug. 20	21	1	Tannary April 1021. Casa
DIMON OF COURSE INFRAGRETICATION		•••••		January-April, 1921: Case (white), 34; deaths, 2. Case (native), 3,376; deaths, 437 June 1-30, 1921; Cases, 738
	ļ		l	(native), 3,376; deaths, 437
•				June 1-30, 1921; Cases, 738
Como Brandonas			1	deaths, 56. Apr. 24-June 25, 1921. Outbreak May 1-31, 1921: Cases, 542 deaths, 51. June 26-July 31 1921: Outbreaks.
Cape Province		• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	Mov. 1-21 1021. Cases 542
				deaths, 51. June 26-July 31
			ĺ	1921: Outbreaks.
Capetown	May 13–19 May 22–June 18 July 10–23	10	3	At native cantonment in vicin
East London	May 22-June 18	1	1	ity. Outbreaks.
Natal	July 10-23	• • • • • • •		Outbreaks.
Orange Free State	July 10-31	• • • • • • • •		Apr. 24-May 28, 1921: Outbreaks.
Venezuela:	July 20 02	•••••		Outbreaks.
Maracaibo	June 21-27		1	
On vessel:	l 4 10		l	
Steamship Norden	Aug. 18	1		At Marcus Hook Quarantine Pa., from Tampico, Mexico
				via Nuevitas, Cuba.
				*** * * *
	YELLOW	FEVE	R.	
British Honduras:			R.	•
Belize	YELLOW Aug. 22-Sept. 9	FEVE	R. 5	
Belize	Aug. 22-Sept. 9	17		
Belize	Aug. 22-Sept. 9	17 10	5	State of Vera Cruz.
Belize Mexico: Alamo Do	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30 July 19	17 10 4	5	,
Belize	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30 July 19 July 17-23.	17 10 4 1	5 1 1	. Do
Belize Mexico: Alamo Do	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30 July 19	17 10 4	5	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sent. 9, 1921:
Belize. Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30  July 19  July 17-23  do  Sept. 13	17 10 4 1 3	5 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sent. 9 1921
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30  July 19  July 17-23  do  Sept. 13	17 10 4 1 3	5 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sent. 9, 1921:
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30  July 19  July 17-23  do  Sept. 13	17 10 4 1 3	5 1 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas.
Belize. Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo. Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxnam	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19. July 17-23.  Sept. 13  Aug. 23 July 11-17. July 25.	17 10 4 1 3	5 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz.
Belize. Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo. Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19 July 17-23 do Sept. 13  Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31.	17 10 4 1 3 	5 1 1 1 1	Do. Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do.
Belize. Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo. Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30  July 19  July 17-23  do  Sept. 13	17 10 4 1 3	5 1 1 1 1 2	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Belize Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex Casamaloapam Manzanillo Playa Obispo. Tampico Tuxpam Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19 July 17-23 do Sept. 13  Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31.	17 10 4 1 3 	5 1 1 1 2 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do.
Belize.  Mexico:  Alamo.  Do.  Barra de Penn Mex.  Casamaloapam.  Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo.  Tampico.  Tuxpam.  Vera Cruz.  Do.  Zapotal.  Peru.  Department.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19 July 17-23 do Sept. 13  Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31.	17 10 4 1 3 	5 1 1 1 2 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do.
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex Casamaloapam Manzanillo  Playa Obispo. Tampico Tuxpam Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal Peri Department— Lambayeque— Chicayo.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19. July 17-23 do Sept. 13  Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31 July 14  Mar. 1-31	17 10 4 1 3 3	5 1 1 1 1 2 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths, 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106 deaths, 32, in 13 localities Inna 1-30 1921: Cases 25.
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex Casamaloapam Manzanillo  Playa Obispo. Tampico Tuxpam Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal Peri Department— Lambayeque— Chicayo.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19. July 17-23 do Sept. 13  Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31 July 14  Mar. 1-31	17 10 4 1 3 	5 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921. Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106 deaths, 32, in 13 localities Inna 1-30 1921: Cases 25
Belize Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo  Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal. Peru. Lambayeque— Chickayo. Chongollape. Ferrenafe.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19 July 19 do Sept. 13 Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27. June 13-27. July 25-31. July 14  Mar. 1-31 do	17 10 4 1 3 3 1 7 1 20 2	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921. Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106 deaths, 32, in 13 localities Inna 1-30 1921: Cases 25
Belize Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo  Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal. Peru. Lambayeque— Chickayo. Chongollape. Ferrenafe.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9  June 1-30 July 19 July 19 do Sept. 13 Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27. June 13-27. July 25-31. July 14  Mar. 1-31 do	17 10 4 1 3 3 1 7 1 20 2	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921. Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal.  Perul. Lambayeque— Chiclayo. Chongollape. Ferrenale. Lambayeque. Monsefu.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30. July 19 July 17-23 do. Sept. 13 Aug. 23 July 11-17. July 25 June 13-27. July 25-31. July 14  Mar. 1-31 do. do. do.	17 10 4 1 3 3 1 7 7 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths, 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106; deaths, 32, in 13 locality. Luna 1-30, 1921: Cases 25.
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal.  Peru Department— Lambayeque— Chiclayo. Chongollape. Ferrenale. Lambayeque. Mosture.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30 July 19. July 17-23 do. Sept. 13 Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31 July 14  Mar. 1-31 do. do. do. do.	17 10 4 1 3 3 1 7 7 1 20 2 2 15 18 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths, 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106; deaths, 32, in 13 locality. Luna 1-30, 1921: Cases 25.
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal.  Peru Department— Lambayeque— Chiclayo. Chongollape. Ferrenale. Lambayeque. Mosture.	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30 July 19. July 17-23 do. Sept. 13 Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31 July 14  Mar. 1-31 do. do. do. do.	17 10 4 1 3 3 1 7 7 20 2 2 15 18 1 1	1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 5 4 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths, 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106; deaths, 32, in 13 locality. Luna 1-30, 1921: Cases 25.
Belize.  Mexico: Alamo. Do. Barra de Penn Mex. Casamaloapam. Manzanillo.  Playa Obispo. Tampico. Tuxpam. Vera Cruz. Do. Zapotal. Peru. Department— Lambayeque— Chickayo. Chongollape. Ferrenafe. Lambayeque. Mottupe. Pomalca. Villa Eten. Callao—	Aug. 22-Sept. 9 June 1-30 July 19. July 17-23 do. Sept. 13 Aug. 23 July 11-17 July 25 June 13-27 July 25-31 July 14  Mar. 1-31 do. do. do. do.	17 10 4 1 3 3 1 7 7 1 20 2 2 15 18 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Do. Do. Present. June 1 to Sept. 9, 1921: Cases, 18; deaths, 10. Territory of Quintana Roo. State of Tamaulipas. State of Vera Cruz. Do. Do. Do. Mar. 1-31, 1921: Cases, 66; deaths, 25. Apr. 1-30, 1921: Cases, 106; deaths, 32, in 13 locality. Luna 1-30, 1921: Cases 25.

### Reports Received from July 2 to Sept. 30, 1921—Continued.

#### YELLOW FEVER-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Peru-Continued.				
Department-Continued.			i	
Lambayeque-	ŀ	l	ł	1
Chiclayo	Apr. 1-30	23	5	i
Chongollape	do	10	1	
Javanca	do	• 5	2	i
Lambavejue	ao	5	2	
Monsefu	do	8	5	ł
Motupe	do	45	11	i .
Olmos	do	2	4	ļ
Villa Eten	do	2		
Zana	do	1		1
Libertad—				
Guadalupe	do	2		1
Pueblo Nuevo	do	1	1	į
Trujillo	do	1	1	Country.
Lambayeque— Chiclayo				· ·
Chiclayo	June 1-15	4	3	
Monsefu	do	3		
Pacora	do	1		
Libertad—			!	_
Casa Grande	do	1		Farm.
Pacanga	do	1	1 1	
Paijan	do	3	.4	1
Trujillo	do	1	1	
Libertad—			1	
Pacasmayo	July 1-15	1		
Pacanga	June 16-30	1	1	
	do	10	3	•
Do	July 1-15`	1		
On vessel:				1
Steamship Lurline	Aug. 13-27	2	1	At Mazatlan, Mex., from Man- zanillo, Mex. (Public Health
Steamship Washington	Aug. 29	1		Reports, Sept. 16, 1921, p. 2292). At Mazatlan, Mexico.

67202°--21----5