PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 36

JANUARY 14, 1921

No. 2

HOW TO MEASURE THE EFFICIENCY OF LOCAL HEALTH OFFICERS.¹

By ROBERT OLESEN, Surgeon and Epidemiologic Aide, United States Public Health Service, with the Wisconsin State Board of Health.

The value of a person to society is largely measured by his ability to discharge efficiently his professional obligations. For instance, the chief of a police department is evaluated by his ability not only to bring lawbreakers to the bar of justice but also to prevent crime. Some of the most successful guardians of the law seldom make an arrest, but gain their ends through educational efforts which serve to prevent wrongdoing. Somewhat similarly the worth of a fire chief is judged by his ability to prevent conflagrations as well as to extinguish as speedily as possible those which do occur.

The local health officer is likewise judged by the results attained, not alone in combating promptly, courageously, and effectively the disease epidemics which arise, but more particularly in preventing such outbreaks. In measuring the effects of health administration difficulty is encountered; for it appears to be much simpler to tally the cases of sickness and death than to determine the number of persons who were spared such affliction. This difficulty is particularly obvious in the campaign against venereal diseases. Actual reported cases of venereal diseases are tangible. However, there is no way at present of knowing how many persons are deterred through educational effort from acquiring venereal diseases. Until a practical system of measuring these efforts can be devised, the full effect of this work will neither be adequately appreciated nor whole-heartedly supported.

What has been said of one vital branch of public health administration, namely, venereal disease control, applies with equal emphasis to the general problem. However, if genuine progress is to be made in public health work, some method, even though productive of only approximately satisfactory results, must be devised for gauging the results achieved by local health officers. Such a system of appraisement is as necessary to an efficient civic administration as a system of financial accounting. The community employing the health officer has a definite right to know whether he is accomplishing results. Even more important, a system of self-examination enables the health officer himself to ascertain what beneficial results to the com-

24541°-21--1

¹ Read before the Fifth Biennial Conference of Local Health Officers, Madison, Wis., Sept. 29, 1920.

munity accompany his efforts. A consideration of the local health officer problem in Wisconsin affords ample justification for suggesting a system of efficiency evaluation.

There are at present in the State of Wisconsin 1,740 cities, villages, and townships for which local health officers are legally, required. One hundred and forty-three, or 8.2 per cent, of the aggregate are cities; 320, or 18.4 per cent, are villages; and 1,277, or 73.4 per cent, are townships. It will be noted that nearly three-fourths of the localities having health officers are essentially rural in character, whereas the remaining one-fourth are urban in character. This analysis clearly indicates the especial desirability of improving and strengtnening health administration in rural districts.

A further examination of the health officer situation in Wisconsin shows that 1,695, or 97.4 per cent, of the 1,740 health jurisdictions have organized boards of health and designated health officers, leaving only 45, or 2.6 per cent, of the entire number without legal health machinery. As steady pressure continues to be exerted upon the delinquent localities it is believed that a 100 per cent State health organization will soon be a reality. In presenting these figures it is realized that many local health organizations exist on paper only, and that in so far as activities are concerned, a veil should be charitably drawn. However, even so inadequate a condition marks a distinct advance.

A still further analysis of the personnel occupying the 1,695 local health officerships shows that 892, or 52.6 per cent, are physicians, while 803, or 47.4 per cent, are laymen. Four of the physicians and three of the lay health officers are women. Any system of education in health administration or appraisal of health effort in Wisconsin must therefore take into consideration the fact that approximately one-half of the officials are laymen. Further allowance must be made for the fact that but 9, or only 0.5 per cent, are whole-time officials, whereas 99.5 per cent, or 1,686, serve only part of the time.

Briefly and broadly stated, it is the business of the health officer to administer the affairs of his office so that the human beings in his jurisdiction may live comfortably and happily, harassed as little as possible by unnecessary sickness and suffering, and likewise spared premature death. That only an approximate degree of perfection in this respect can be obtained, is obvious. Nevertheless, it should constitute the ideal which every health officer with a conscience should strive to attain. When a health officer does nothing, the people in the community erroneously jump to the conclusion that there is nothing to be done. Consequently, nothing is done. In the presence of such an attitude, unnecessary sickness and death are inevitable.

It is unfortunate that health officers are invariably underpaid. This glaring inadequacy is one which must soon be studied intensively, and efforts must be put forth for the correction of the existing evils. However, mere inadequacy of salary can not be offered as an excuse to condone the failure to afford reasonable health protection. The conservation of human life, especially child life, is a trust too sacred to be subordinated to quibbling over salary matters. Once a person has accepted the position of local health officer or taken the oath of office, he is in honor bound to give the best service of which he is capable. If he considers himself worth \$500 or \$5,000 a year, but receives but \$50 a year, he can not either in justice to the people or in common decency afford to compromise on \$50 worth of service.

How, then, can we estimate the value of local health officers and at the same time provide a mirror in which these officials may see themselves and, viewing themselves, judge of the adequacy of their efforts? Most simple of measuring devices is the score card, familiar to everyone through its application to the determination of sanitary conditions in dairies, dairy farms, restaurants, and the like. It is believed that the ordinary score card, with some modifications, will prove particularly useful as a means of measuring the efficiency of local health officers. Moreover, it is believed that the scoring of these officials in the manner indicated will result in an awakening which will immediately be reflected in an improvement of the public health.

The standard scoring form comsists of two headings, (1) Methods, and (2) Equipment, beneath which are considered the various factors entering into the appraisal of the subject under consideration. Adjoining columns are provided for perfect and allowed scores. Attention is directed to the fact that in the score card for the health officer the activities are arranged in order of importance; those judged to be most essential are allowed the highest scores, while the lowest are considered least important and therefore are allotted the smallest scores. No comment will be made as to the relative merits of the items listed, for these are generally appreciated and understood.² Incidentally, however, the bearing of several of the listed health activities upon the general administrative plan will be amplified in an attempt to justify their inclusion.

² EDITORIAL NOTE.—The relative weights given in the accompanying forms are the author's own figures. They will, no doubt, allow of some revision, but they furnish a point of departure at least.

SCORE CARD—FOR SCORING THE EFFICIENCY OF LOCAL HEALTH OFFICERS. 1. ACTIVITIES.

Change of californ	Score is	n points.
Character of activity.	Perfect.	Allowed.
Communicable diseases: Suppression and prevention Laboratory diagnosis: Collection and transmission of	18	
specimens for diagnosis and investigation. Distribution of antitoxins and serums. 3. Education of public: Exhibits, lectures, circulars, news-	10	
paper articles, etc. 4. Vital statistics. 5. Coordination of extraneous health agencies.	8 7 6	
6. Concurrent disinfection. 7. Infant and maternal welfare work. 8. Public health nursing. 9. Control of water supplies.	6 6 5	
Control of water supplies Inspection and control of milk supplies and dairies Coupational disease: Prevention and control	4	
defects. 13. Mental hygicne. 14. Control of such preventable diseases as heart and kidney	4 3	
15. Clerical work: Correspondence, records, and reports 16. Sewage disposal	3 3 3	
Attendance at conferences, board of health meetings, etc. Food and meat inspections and control of slaughter houses, butcher shops, and greery stores.	3	
Inspection of public buildings. Terminal fumigation. Investigation and abatement of nuisances.	1 1 1 2	
Total	100	

SCORE CARD FOR SCORING THE EFFICIENCY OF LOCAL HEALTH OFFICERS, 2. EQUIPMENT.

	Score in points:			
Nature of equipment.	Perfect.	Allowed.		
1. Telephone	20			
2. Transportation	17	¥. :		
3. Clerk	12			
4. Office	10			
5. Report cards: a. From physicians. b. To State board of health.	5 5			
6. Quarantine placards	8			
7. Vaccine and antitoxins or facilities for obtaining same	7			
8. Record books or filing cases	6			
9. Literature for self-education and reference	5			
10. Literature for distribution	4			
11. Fumigants or facilities for obtaining same	1			
Total	100			

Many health officers, particularly the part-time officials and those without medical training, may view this score card with apprehension. There may be a feeling that such a system of efficiency meas-

urement is intended solely for large, whole time, well organized, and properly financed departments. Yet, brief consideration of the matter will suggest possibilities for even the smallest town health department. Much of the success in public health administration results from the ability of the health officer properly to coordinate existing extraneous agencies and so direct their energies that a harmonious result is obtained.

A recent census has shown that there are 130 national organizations directing their attention to branches of public health work. In the Federal Government alone there are 33 departments performing public health work of some character or another. States and even cities are likewise fields for numerous organizations which operate independently. One of the health officer's most important functions is the coordination of all scattered activities in his locality, together with the centralization of the authority. If this practice were more generally followed, more successful work could be performed by fewer workers and at greatly decreased cost to the people who pay the taxes or make the contributions. It appears not to be generally understood that governmental and voluntary agencies covering every conceivable field of public health endeavor are willing and even anxious to assist health officers in solving local problems. Needless to say, governmental agencies, be they Federal, State, or municipal, are logically the ones to assume the leadership in health matters.

The truly successful health officer is one who has sufficient intelligence and foresight to call for assistance when it is needed, and, having obtained such assistance, capitalizes the results to his own credit. Therefore, even a town health officer can obtain a reasonably high score if he will devote some study to the needs of his community and freely use the numerous health agencies at his command.

The suggested score card accomplishes two important functions: (1) It stimulates the health officer to increased activity, points out the relative importance of the various branches of public health administration, and provides a means of self-measurement; (2) it provides the State board of health and the local community with a means of estimating the values of the official. Despite these obvious advantages, there is a serious defect in the score card, namely, the failure to provide a means for measuring accurately the results of the health officers' activities. While it is reasonable to suppose that energetic public health endeavor will prevent much unnecessary sickness and premature death, actual measurements must be employed in order to prove the contention.

While considerable skill is required in arriving at accurate statistical conclusions, nevertheless in small communities helpful calculations can be made by comparing present day disease conditions with those experienced in preceding years, making reasonable allowances

for changes of population. However, no local health officer should be satisfied merely to excel previous conditions in his own jurisdiction; he should not be content until he has surpassed the best records in his State and even in the country. The constant aim should be to prevent disease, thereby lessening human suffering and preventing death. By examining the frequent reports of the division of vital statistics of the State board of health, standards for statistical study will be constantly available. Certain it is that health work can not be carried on intelligently without a careful, regular, and intelligent checking up. Whether this is accomplished by simple comparison or by lengthy mathematical calculation, it nevertheless should be done.

Definite results usually follow definite action. When they do not, one of two things is possible: Either the efforts are misdirected and inadequate or peculiar circumstances exist, necessitating a change of tactics. In any event the proof of any health officer's efficiency is his ability to keep the morbidity and mortality rates perceptibly lower in his locality than they are elsewhere.

This can be done most successfully by obtaining a proper public health perspective and concentrating upon the phases of the problem which experience has shown to be necessary in securing the maximum protection against disease.

INTERNATIONAL SANITARY CONVENTION.

PROCES VERBAL OF THE DEPOSIT OF THE RATIFICATIONS BY THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER GOVERNMENTS, OCTOBER 7, 1920, OF THE INTERNATIONAL SANITARY CONVENTION SIGNED AT PARIS JANUARY 17, 1912,

International conferences, having for their purpose the formulation of international sanitary regulations, were held in 1892, 1893, and 1894; the Convention of Venice was signed in 1897, and Conventions of Paris were signed in 1903 and 1912.

The general provisions of the International Sanitary Convention of Paris of 1903 prescribed the action to be taken by the countries signing the convention on the appearance of plague or cholera in their territory. The convention contained the following provisions: The notification to the other Governments by each signatory power, of the first appearance of recognized cases of plague or cholera in its territory; the publication by each country of the measures prescribed; the disinfection of merchandise; and measures to be enforced at ports and land frontiers.

The last International Sanitary Convention was signed in Paris on January 17, 1912. This convention made such modifications in the provisions of the Paris Convention of 1903 as were warranted by the new data of prophylactic science and experience; enacted new international regulations in regard to yellow fever; and extended as far as possible the field of application of the principles underlying the

international sanitary regulations. It also provided, in general, rules to be observed by the signatory powers as soon as plague, cholera, or yellow fever should appear in their territory, and measures of defense against contaminated territories. Special measures, applicable to Oriental and Far Eastern countries, were also provided.

The convention was signed by the plenipotentiaries of the following powers: Germany, the United States of America, the Argentine Republic, Austria-Hungary, Belgium, Bolivia, Brazil, Bulgaria, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Denmark, Ecuador, Spain, France, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, Greece, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Italy, Luxemburg, Mexico, Montenegro, Norway, Panama, the Netherlands, Persia, Portugal, Rumania, Russia, Salvador, Serbia, Siam, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Egypt, and Uruguay.

The last article (160) of the convention set forth that "The present convention shall be ratified and the ratification thereof deposited at Paris as soon as possible." The following is a translation of the procès verbal which the plenipotentiaries of the United States, Belgium, Denmark, Ecuador, Spain, France, Great Britain, Italy, Norway, Panama, the Netherlands, Persia, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland, and Egypt signed at the time of depositing the ratifications of their respective Governments on October 7, 1920. The convention was proclaimed by the President of the United States on December 11, 1920.

The representative of the British Government declared that:

"The stipulations of that convention should not apply to any one of the colonies, possessions, or protectorates of His Britannic Majesty, the Empire of India included. However, the British Government reserves for each of its colonies, possessions, and protectorates, including the Empire of India, the right to adhere to the convention as soon as any one of those governments should have manifested a desire so to do, and also the power to give a separate notice of termination without being bound by the decision of the British Covernment relative to the United Kingdom. Whenever any one of the British colonies, possessions, or protectorates shall adhere to or denounce the convention, a notice to that effect shall be given by the representative of His Britannic Majesty at Paris to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the French Republic in behalf of the aforesaid colony, possession, or protectorate.

"It is understood by the British Covernment that the right to

"It is understood by the British Covernment that the right to denounce the present convention, as well as that of the powers to devise modifications in the texts of the convention, subsists in accordance with the provisions of the Convention of Venice of 1897

and of that of Paris of 1903."

The representative of the Covernment of the United States of America declared that his Covernment ratified, subject to the reservation that nothing in article 9 of the convention shall be considered as prohibiting the United States from taking such specific quarantine methods against the contamination of its ports as may be required by unwonted sanitary conditions. In making this reservation the United States Government does not intend to infringe in any way the fundamental regulations of the convention.

The representative of the Spanish Government declared that his Government reserves to itself the right of interpreting in the broadest sense possible and in accordance with the scientific principles of modern hygiene, paragraph 2 of article 9, in order to avoid, so far as possible, the importation into Spanish ports of the plague and yellow fever, but declares that it is not in mind to refuse its adhesion to anything affecting the fundamental points of the convention.

The representative of the Government of Panama declared that his Covernment ratified, subject to the reservation that the provisions of article 9 would not prevent the Covernment of Panama, or that of the United States, in accordance with the treaty signed between the two countries under date of November 18, 1903, from ordering in the ports of the Canal Zone and in those under the jurisdiction of the Republic of Panama such quarantine measures as circumstances may require.

The undersigned made a formal acknowledgment of the reservations hereinabove stated and declared that their respective countries reserved to themselves the right to claim the benefit thereof with respect to arrivals from the United States of America, Spain, and Panama.

The instruments of ratification produced on this date having been found upon examination to be in due form are intrusted to the French Republic to be deposited in the archives of the department of foreign affairs.

With regard to the ratifications of the powers signatory to the convention which were not in position to deposit on this date, the French Republic will receive them later and so notify all the contracting powers.

In witness whereof the present procès-verbal, of which a certified copy will be sent by the Government of the French Republic to each one of the powers signatory to the sanitary convention of January 17, 1912, was drawn up.

Done at Paris, October 7, 1920, at 16 o'clock.

¹ARTICLE 9. In order that an area may be considered as being no longer contaminated, it must be offi-

^{1.} That there has neither been a death nor a new case, as regards the plague or cholera, for 5 days and as regards the yellow fever for 18 days, either since the isolation or since the death or cure of the last nationt.

^{2.} That all measures of disinfection have been applied. Besides, if it is a ease of plague, that the measures against rats have been executed, and, in case of yellow fever, that the precautions against mosquitoes have been taken.

ORDINANCE REQUIRING VACCINATION AGAINST SMALLPOX UPHELD.

An ordinance of San Antonio, Tex., which provides that the city board of health may require the vaccination against smallpox of pupils, teachers, and school employees, has been held valid by the Court of Civil Appeals of Texas.¹ The court decided that vaccination could be required even though no epidemic of smallpox existed. The following is quoted from the opinion:

We hold that the ordinance is valid; not unreasonable on the claimed ground that it operates without reference to the actual existence of a smallpox epidemic in the city; that there is no unlawful discrimination against persons attending schools, and it is not unreasonable and arbitrary, in view of the conditions in the Mexican quarter of the city and the crowding together of people in street cars, jitneys, theaters, churches, passenger depots, factories, laundries, parks, etc. Nor does it deny appellant, or any pupil, rights and privileges without due course of the law of the land. The [That?] other pupils not vaccinated are permitted to attend school under similar circumstances, if true, would only show the officers were not performing a public duty, but can not affect the validity of the law.

ORDINANCE RESTRICTING RUNNING AT LARGE CF DOGS HELD VALID.²

In a civil action for damages the Court of Civil Appeals of Texas had occasion to pass upon the validity of an ordinance containing the following provision:

Provided, That it shall be unlawful for any dog to be at large upon the streets, alleys, or public grounds of said city unless the same shall be at all times under the control of its master or its master's servant or agent, by means of a chain, rope, or cord of sufficient strength to control the actions of said dog, or such other personal presence and attention as will reasonably control its actions and conduct.

It was stated in the opinion that "there can be no doubt that an ordinance entirely prohibiting letting dogs run at large would be valid. The question therefore is whether that part of the ordinance wherein it is attempted to state in what manner the owner can escape the effect of the general prohibition is so uncertain and vague that it renders the ordinance invalid." The court held the ordinance to be valid.

PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING ABSTRACTS.

Subsurface sewage disposal.—W. A. Hardenbergh. Public Works, vol. 49, No. 24, December 11, 1920, p. 553.

In the disposal of effluent from septic tanks subsurface irrigation is usually the solution where contact beds, sprinkling filters, or sand beds do not give satisfactory results. A great many local and other

¹ Zucht v. King et al., 225 S. W., 267.

² Pettus v. Weyel, 225 S. W., 191.

considerations are important in determining what is the proper or improper installation of subsurface drains. Recommendations of the State boards of health of California, Florida, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Maine, New York, North Carolina, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, the United States Public Health Service, and the Department of Agriculture as to the amount, size, and laying of tiling for the disposal of effluent are given. The following table gives the length of tiling recommended per person in various States:

a. 4		Feet o	f tiling.					
State.	Persons.	Light soil.	Heavy soil.	Remarks.				
CaliforniaFlorida	1	100		40 to 100 feet of tiling. Proposes 1,250 persons per acre.				
Illinois	1	200	1 300	Feet of tiling per family. Soil conditions vary greatly.				
Maryland	6 8 10	140 210 280 350	300 450 600 750					
Maine New York North Carolina.	1	35 10-60	80-90	Soil conditions vary greatly.				
VirginiaWashington	5	250	500-750	160 feet of tiling per family.				
Wisconsin U. S. Public Health Service	1			20 to 100 feet of tiling. Recommends 40 lineal feet per person, (Frank and Rhynus.)				

¹ Or more.

In general it is economy in the end to put in plenty of tiling. In tight soils it may be cheaper to use the filter trench.

More and better sanitary laws, ordinances, rules, and supervision essential to-day.—Arthur Bateman. Domestic Engineering, vol 93, No. 12, December 18, 1920, p. 554.

Lack of houses in this country has caused great increase in unsanitary conditions. At present, of 22,500,000 houses in the United States owned by occupants, only 5,000,000 have plumbing. Of 48,360 drug stores, less than 2 per cent have satisfactory plumbing. Over 36,000 confectioneries are more than 85 per cent inadequately plumbed. Similar conditions exist in factories, workshops, schools, etc.

Great sanitary improvements are necessary among farmers in the production of milk and in the construction of privies.

Public comfort stations in municipalities, which are a necessity, are lacking to a very large extent.

A POSTGRADUATE COURSE IN CHILD HYGIENE.

A postgraduate course in child hygiene is being given for child hygiene nurses by the State normal school at Trenton, N. J., in cooperation with the bureau of child hygiene of the New Jersey State Department of Health. Entrance examinations, in the form of intelligence tests, must be passed by all who take the work. A certificate is to be given to those who satisfactorily complete the course.

A course in sanitation problems and housing laws will be presented, and instruction will be given concerning prevention measures that can be taken by the child hygiene nurse toward the control of contagious diseases, including tuberculosis and venereal diseases. Other subjects on the program include prenatal care, school hygiene, home economics, oral hygiene, mental hygiene, baby keep-well stations and home visiting, pediatrics and child hygiene, and vital statistics and records. The lectures and conference will be supplemented by 15 sessions of field work which will include visits of inspection to important centers of sanitary administration, to the State laboratory, and to specialized clinics and welfare centers.

The course was begun November 12, 1920, and is to continue on successive Fridays for 16 weeks from that date. Instruction is given by the chiefs of the different divisions of the State department of health, members of the faculty of the State normal school, and specialists in certain fields.

DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED JAN. 1, 1921.

[From the "Weekly Health Index," Jan. 4, 1921, issued by the Burcau of the Census, Department of Commerce.]

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Jan. 1, 1921, infant mortality (per cent), annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of preceding years.

	Popula- tion		ided Jan. 921.	Average annual	Per cent of deaths under 1 year.			
City.	Jan. 1, 1920, sub- ject to revision.	Total deaths.	rate.1	death rate per 1,000.2	Week ended Jan. 1, 1921.	Previous year or years.2		
Akron, Ohio. Albany, N. Y. Albany, N. Y. Albany, N. Y. Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Birmingham, Ala. Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Buffalo, N. Y. Cambridge, Mass. Chicago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Colvmbus, Ohio. Dallas, Tex. Dayton, Ohio. Denver, Colo. Petroit, Mich. Fall River, Mass. Grand Rapids, Mich. Houston, Tex. Indianapolis, Ind. Jersey City, N. J. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Los Angeles, Calif. Louisville, Ky. Lowell, Mass. Milwaukee, Wis. Minneapolis, Minn Nashville, Tenn New Tenn New Haven, Conn New Orleans, La. New York, N. New Bedford, Mass. New Hore, Conn New Orleans, La. New York, N. Voorfolk, Va. Dakland, Calif. Domaha, Nebr. Philadelphia, Pa. Pritsburgh, Pa. Portland, Oreg. Providence, R. I. Rochester, N. Y. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn alt Lake City, Utah an Francisco, Califeeattle, Wash. Pokane, Wash. Pokane, Wash. Pokane, Wash. Pokane, Wash. Pokane, Wash. Pringfield, Mass. Vorgester, Mass. Vorgester, Mass. Vorgester, Mass. Vorgester, Mass. Vorgester, Mass.	. 733, 828 178, 270 744, 923 143, 152 506, 775 401, 247 796, 327 153, 830 256, 491 193, 739 120, 485 137, 634 138, 276 314, 194 298, 079 101, 177 324, 410 576, 673 234, 891 112, 479 457, 147 380, 582 118, 342 414, 216 121, 217 162, 519 387, 219	37 28 80 221 57 57 579 103 190 67 35 42 213 44 48 34 47 83 28 78 191 46 37 102 21 1,386 102 21 1,386 102 45 151 1,386 197 62 42 47 47 48 48 197 198 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199	9.3 12.9 20.8 15.7 16.0 13.8 12.9 11.2 4 14.5 14.4 12.8 14.5 14.4 12.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 17.2 12.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 17.0 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6 18.6	C 12.8 C 13.1 A 15.9 C 11.3 A 14.6 A 14.1 C 11.2 C 13.0 C 12.2 C 13.0 C 13.1 C 13.0 C	18. 9 14. 3 16. 3 21. 1 17. 0 21. 1 14. 5 3. 7 16. 9 10. 9 10. 4 5. 7 23. 8 10. 9 11. 1 27. 0 21. 7 27. 0 21. 7 27. 0 21. 7 28. 7 29. 6 11. 1 13. 4 25. 0 11. 1 15. 6 16. 7 18. 8 17. 7 18. 8 18. 7 17. 7 18. 8 19. 0 11. 1 11. 3 12. 5 14. 5 14. 5 14. 5 15. 6 16. 6 16. 6 17. 7 18. 8 19. 6 19	2 10.1 C 16.2 C 7.0 A 11.8 A 11.5 A 15.7 C 14.5 C 18.5 C 3.4 C 17.6 C 28.1 C 27.2 C 5.7 C 11.8 C 17.6 C 19.1 A 6.8 C 17.6 C 12.2 C 12.5 C 14.5 C 14.5 C 14.5 C 17.6 C 19.1 C 11.8 C 11.8		

Annual rates per 1,000 population.
 "A" indicates data for the corresponding week of the years 1913 to 1917, inclusive. "C" indicates data for the corresponding week of the year 1919.
 Data are based on statistics of 1915, 1916, and 1917.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended Jan. 1, 1921.

Policies in force	45, 622, 891
Number of death claims	
Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate	9. 9

SUMMARY OF DEATHS IN 43 CITIES, 1920.

Deaths from all causes, death rate, and infant mortality in 43 large cities of the United States for 1920, and comparison with 1919.

[Furnished by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.]

	City.1 Population.2 Total deaths. Death	Total	Dooth	Deaths	Provis- ional infant	Infant mortal-				
City.1		under 1 year.		ity rate	Total deaths.	Death rate.	Deaths under 1 year.			
Total	23,381,432	327, 213	13.8	47,894			317,814	13.8	44,476	
Albany, N. Y	113,920	1,814	15.7	175	74	81	1,853	16.4	171	
Atlanta, Ga	202,902	3,573	17.4	480			3,136	15.8	379	
Baltimore, Md	740,172	11,521	15.4	1,992	106	98	11,432	15.7	1,712	
Birmingham, Ala Boston, Mass	180,685 751,108	3,020 11,778	16.5 15.5	1,996	102	97	2,945 11,683	16.7 15.7	372	
Buffalo, N. Y.	510,106	7,355	14.2	1,388	101	110	7,498	14.9	1,820 1,398	
Cambridge, Mass	109,694	1.662	14.9	265	90	70	1,466	13.4	1,336	
Chicago, Ill	2,727,504	35, 276	12.8	5,743			33, 493	12.5	5,741	
Cincinnati, Ohio	401,878	6,158	15.1	659	82	88	6,366	15.9	609	
Cleveland, Ohio	808,268	10, 124	12.4	1,629	83	95	9,903	12.6	1,746	
Columbus, Ohio	239,807	3,584	14.7	416	91	94	3,308	14.1	402	
Dayton, Ohio	155,749 258,583	1,900 4,528	12.0 17.3	283 462	88	89	1,759 3,741	11.6 14.7	279 364	
Fall River, Mass	120,546	1,789	14.6	474	134	119	1,729	14.4	409	
Grand Rapids, Mich	138, 822	1,851	13.2	317	102	85	1,563	11.5	240	
Indianapolis, Ind	317,868	4.722	14.7	623	92	80	4,158	13.4	468	
Jersey City, N. J.	299,413	4,262	14.0	. 759			4,365	14.7	685	
Kansas City, Mo	328, 326	5, 364	16.1	759			4,914	15.3	592	
Los Angeles, Calif	587, 073	8,460	14.2	843	75	67	7,685	13.6	612	
Louisville, Ky	235, 289	3,463	14.5	352	77	96	4,068	17.3	387	
Lowell, Mass	112,798 460,894	1,786 5,462	15.6 11.7	432 988	131 91	124 101	1,708 5.008	15.2 11.0	379 1,028	
Minneapolis, Minn.	384.571	4.756	12.2	590	64	65	4,396	11.7	525	
Nashville, Tenn	118,740	2,230	18.5	322	0.1		2,185	18.5	260	
Newark, N. J.	417,654	5,607	13.2	996			5,315	12.9	856	
New Haven, Conn	163, 872	2,387	14.4	365	82	73	2,095	13.0	319	
New Orleans, La	389, 897	6,969	17.6	793			7,283	18.9	731	
New York, N. Y	5,665,148	74,260	12.9	11,464	85	81	74, 131	13.3	10,593	
Oakland, Ćalif Philadelphia, Pa	219,770 1,837,270	2,594 26,960	11.6 14.5	291 3,919	70 89	61 91	2,670 25,920	12.5 14.3	233 3,797	
Pittsburgh, Pa	590, 876	9,774	16.3	1,607	108	114	9,410	16.1	1.643	
Portland, Oreg	260, 478	3, 205	12.1	289	55	69	3,366	13.1	336	
Providence, R. I	238, 279	3,746	15.5	577			3,537	14.9	514	
Rochester N. Y	299,015	3,648	12.0	565	83	74	3,646	12.5	473	
St. Louis, Mo	777,320	11,106	14.1	1,133			10,254	13.3	. 996	
St. Paul, Minn	235,617	2,917	12.2 14.3	325 516	62	68	2,776	11.9	331 515	
San Francisco, Calif Seattle, Wash	513, 122 319, 659	7,420 3,411	10.5	322	57 51	62 55	8,014 3,440	15.9 11.0	329	
Spokane, Wash	104, 194	1,494	14.1	155	64	55	1,190	11.4	119	
Syracuse, N. Y	173,393	2,652	15.1	429	98	91	2,194	12.9	364	
Toledo, Ohio	246,617	3,462	13.8	486	93	90	3,202	13.4	432	
Washington, D. C	443,036	6,561	14.6	810	89	85	6,372	14.7	698	
Worcester, Mass	181,479	2,612	14.2	412	84	92	2,637	14.8	425	
	. 1				1	1		. ;		

Cities appearing in the summary are those shown for the 53 weeks in the Weekly Health Index.
 Populations estimated as of July 1, 1920, based upon the Federal Censuses of 1910 and 1920.
 Allowance has been made for the 5 extra days which must be deducted from the 53 weeks to give a period of 366 days.
 Infant mortality rate is based upon deaths under 1 year as returned each week and estimated births, 1920.
 Cities left blank are not in the registration area for births.

PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

UNITED STATES.

CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Jan. 8, 1921.

These reports are preliminary, and the figures are subject to change when later returns are received by the State health officers.

		•	
ALABAMA.	_ 1	CONNECTICUT—centinued.	ases.
	21	Diphtheria:	ases,
canozea pomicioni	20	Bridgeport	. 11
	27	Hartferd.	
Mumps.	8	New Britain.	
Ophthalmia neonatorum	1	New Haven	
Scarlet fever	5	Scattering	
	57	Impetigo contagicso	
Tuberculosis	8	Influenza	
Typhoid fever	7	Lethargic encephalitis	
1 y photo tevet	'	Measles:	
ABKANSAS.		Canton	. 17
	33	Hartford.	
Diphtheria	35	Lebanon	
Influenza	63	New Britain	
Malaria	59	Scattering	
Measles.	12	Mumps	
Ophthalmia neonatcrum	4	Ophthalmia neonatcrum	
Pellagra	6	Pneumonia (lobar)	
Poliomyelitis	1	Ecarlet fever:	
Scarlet fever 3	31	Bridgeport	. 16
Smallpox	9	Hartford	
Trachoma1	15	Meriden (city)	-
Typhoid fever 1	ŀ5	New Haven	
Tuberculosis 1	18	Waterbury	
Whooping cough 1	16	Scattering	
	- 1	Tetanus	. 2
CALIFORNIA.	- 1	Trachoma	. 1
Cerebrospinal meningitis	2	Trichinesis	
	22	Tuberculosis (all forms)	47
l epresy—San Francisco	1	Typhoid fever	. 5
Poliomyelitis—Paso Robles	2	Whooping ccugh	107
Smallpox:	- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Monterey 1	6	DELAWARE.	
Sacramento 1	2	Chicken pox	
Scattering 13	7	Diphtheria	-
Typhoid fever	3	Measles	-
CONTROPORTURE			-
Chicken now	,	Ophthalmia neonatcrum	
Chicken pox		Pneumonia.	
Conjunctivitis (infecticus)	1 l	CCBFICT LEVET	11

Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Jan. 8, 1921—Continued.

DELAWARE—continued.		ILLINOIS—continued.	
•	ses.	Smallpox—Continued. Case	_
Wilmington		Polo	34
Scattering		Rockford.	'n
Whooping cough	13		11
w nooping cough	10		
FLORIDA.			1
		1	1
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	•	8
Diphtheria	12	Typhoid fever	12
Influenza	6	INDIANA.	
Malaria	9	Diphtheria. 11	18
Ophthalmia neonatorum	2	Rabies in animals.	1
Pneumonia	3	Scarlet fever	19
Scarlet fever	7	Smallpox. 41	
Smallpox	23	Typhoid fever	
Typhoid fever	12		•
GEORGIA.		IOWA.	
	32	Cerebrospinal meningitis-Poweshiek County .	1
Chicken pox	32		ıš
Diphtheria	2	Poliomyclitis—Poweshiek County	1
Dysentery (amebic)	8	Scarlet fever. 12	2
Hookworm	30	Smallpox:	_
Influenza		Dubuque6	:1
Malaria	17	Oxford Junction 1	
Measles	62	Scattering	
Mumps	10	· -	٠
Paratyphoid fever	1	KANSAS.	
Pneumonia	22	Cerebrospinal meningitis	1
Poliom elitis	1	Chicken pox 6	9
Scarlet fever	21	Diphtheria12	6
Smallpox	63	German measles	2
Tuberculosis (all forms)	20	Influenza1	3
Typhoid fever	6	Measles	1
Whooping cough	4	Mumps	9
ILLINOIS.		Pneumonia 5	4
		Poliomyelitis	1
Cerebrospinal meningitis—Chicago	1	Scarlet fever	9
Diphtheria:		Smallpox9	9
Chicago	281	-	1
Decatur	12	Tuberculosis	1
Mount Olive	10		9
	99	Whooping cough6	4
Influenza	42	LOUISIANA.	
Lethargic encephalitis—Chicago	1	Diphtheria	6
Pneumonia	305	Influenza	
Poliomyelitis:		Scarlet fever	7
Charleston	1	Smallpox91	
East St. Louis	1	Typhoid fever	
Lake County—Libertyville Township	1	MAINE.	
Scarlet fever:		Chicken pox	3
Bloomington	8	Diphtheria	
Chicago2	41	German measles	
	11	Influenza	
	10	Lethargic encephalitis 1	ĺ
2000	38	Measles	ì
Scattering 1		Mumps. 2	
	~	Pneumonia	
Smallpox: Bloomington	9	Scarlet fever	
	11	Smallpox	
Cultiviani	26	Trachoma	
	- 1	Tuberculosis 18	
Murphysboro	9	Tuberculosis	
Ogle County-Woosing Township	9	Typuord lever	
Oiney	# : }	Whooping cough	

Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Jan. 8, 1921—Continued.

1 clographic hopotación w		Janua Valli O, 1921 Confilmata.	
MARYLAND, 1	a3es.	NEBRASKA—continued.	ses.
Cerebrospinal meningitis		Scarlet fever:	Ses.
Chicken pox		York	16
Diphtheria		1 94.	38
Influenza		Smallpox:	
Measles.		Lexington	9
Meningitis		Sargent	15
Mumps		Scattering	
Ophthalmia neonatorum		Tuberculosis	
Pneumonia (all forms)		Typhoid fever.	4
Scarlet fever		Whooping cough	5
Septic sore throat	. 3	NEW JERSEY.	
Trachoma	. 2	Comphessival maninaitia	
Tuberculosis	. 56	Cerebrospinal meningitis	
Typhoid fever	. 7	Diphtheria.	
Whooping cough	. 78	Influenza	
MASSACHUSETTS.		Measles.	
	_	Pneumonia	
Cerebrospinal meningitis		Scarlet fever	
Chicken pox		Typhoid fever	7
Conjunctivitis (suppurative)		Whooping cough	236
German measles.		NEW MEXICO.	
Influenza.		1	
Measles		Chicken pox	16
Mumps		Diphtheria Measles 1	25
Ophthalmia neonatorum		Mumps	19
Pneumonia (lobar)		Pneumonia.	7
Poliomyelitis	3	Scarlet fever.	13
Scarlet fever	311	Smallpox	2
Septic sore throat	7	Tuberculosis	22
Smallpox		Typhoid fever	4
Trachoma			12
Tuberculosis (all forms)	232	NEW YORK,	
Typhoid fever	17	(Exclusive of New York City.)	
Whooping cough	102		
MINNESOTA.			101
Smallpox:		InfluenzaLethargic encephalitis	.96
Duluth	8	Measles	4
Sherburn	8	_	123 117
WinonaScattering	8	Poliomyelitis—North Tonawanda	1
beattering	76		20
Mississippi.		Smallpox	12
Diphtheria	11	Typhoid fever	36
Searlet fever	9		57
Smallpox	21	NORTH CAROLINA.	
Typhoid fever	3	Cerebrospinal meningitis	
MONTANA.	- 1	Chicken pox	2
Carebraspinel maningities Creek Falls	.	Diphtheria	
Cerebrospinal meningitis—Great Falls Diphtheria	1 9	Measles. 2	
Scarlet fever	ایما	Onhthalmia neonatorum	1
Smallpox	45	Scarlet fever.	36
	~	Septic sore throat	7
NEBRASKA.		Smallpox	53
Cerebrospinal meningitis:		Typhoid fever	14
Omaha	1	Whooping cough 24	44
Broadwater	4	SOUTH DAKOTA.	,
Chicken pox	28	Chicken pox	13
Diphtheria	21		22
Influenza	3		2
Measles	2.	Measles	50
¹ Week e	nded	Friday.	

Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended Jan. 8, 1921—Continued.

SOUTH DAKOTA—continued.		WASHINGTON-continued.	
	S2S.		ises.
Pneumonia		Tuberculosis	
Scarlet fever		Typhoid fever	
Smallpox		Whooping cough	14
Tuberculosis	8	WEST VIRGINIA.	
Typhoid fever	1		
		Diphtheria	29
TEXAS.		Measles:	_
Chicken pox	33	Bluefield	
Diphtheria	102	Charleston	
Influenza	39	Fairmont	1
Measles	18	Wheeling	9
Scarlet fever	18	Scarlet fever	14
Smallpox		Smallpox:	
Typhoid fever		Clarksburg	8
Typhus fever-Waco	-	Scattering	
1 y mus tevet — waco	•	Typhoid fever	
VERMONT.			••
Chicken pox	77	WISCONSIN. Milwaukee:	
Diphtherla	3		
Influenza	5	Chicken pox	
Measles	44	Diphtheria	
Mumps	8	Measles	
Pneumonia.	2	Scarlet fever	
	19	Smallpox	17
Scarlet fever		Tul erculosis	7
Smallpox	5	Whooping cough	9
Typhoid fever	3	Scattering:	
Whooping cough	63	Cerebrospinal meningitis	. 2
VIRGINIA.		Chicken pox.	
TROINE.		Diphtheria	
Smallpox - Tazewell County	1	Influenza	
		Measles.	
WASHINGTON.			
Chicken pox		Poliomye'itis	
Diphtheria		Scarlet fever	
Measles		Smallpox	
Mumps	1	Trachoma	_
Pneumonia	1	Tul erculosis	
Scarlet fever	44	Typhoid fever	5
Smallpox	143	Whooping cough	92
•			
Reports for We	ek l	Ended Jan. 1, 1921.	
CONNECTICUT.	ses.	CONNECTICUT—continued.	ses.
	1	Scarlet fever-Continued.	oco.
Chicken pox.	ر نـ	Meriden (town)	8
Diphtheria:		Meriden (city)	
Greenwich	-8	New Haven	
Hart ford	10	Scattering.	
New Britain	8		
New Haven	21	Tuberculosis (all forms)	
Scattering	67	Typhoid fever	8
German measles	2	Whooping cough	47
Influenza	5	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.	
Lethargic encephalitis	1	Chicken pox	41
Measles:		Diphtheria	
New Britain	31	Influenza	6
Scattering.		Measles	8
Mumps.	29	Poliomyelitis	1
		Scarlet fever.	
Pneumonia (lobar)	01		
Scarlet fever:	اہ	Tuberculosis	22
Bridgeport	8	Typhoid fever	3
Hartford	13	Whooping cough	19

Reports for Week Ended Jan. 1, 1921—Continued.

KENTUCKY.		KENTUCKY—continued	a
Cas		1	Cases.
Chicken pox	23	Tuberculosis	8
Diphtheria:		Typhoid fever	24
Jefferson County	18	Whooping cough	13
Scattering	35		
German measles	1	MISSOURI.	
Influenza	17	Chicken pox	
Measles:		Diphtheria	
Boyd County	20	Epidemic sore throat	
Harlan County	15	Influenza	
Knox County	12	Measles	
Scattering	7	Scarlet fever	143
Mumps.	5	Smallpox	96
	1	Tuberculosis	46
Paratyphoid fever		Typhoid fever	ii
Pneumonia	48	Whooping cough	
Scarlet fever:			
Jefferson County		WASHINGTON.	
Scattering	31	Chicken pox	
Septic sore throat	3	Diphtheria	
Smallpox:		Measles	22
Daviess County	16	Mumps	8
Muhlenberg County	15	Pneumonia	3
Scattering	46	Scarlet fever	32
Tetanus	1	Smallpox	94
Tonsillitis	3	Typhoid fever	. 2
Trachoma	4	Whooping cough	

SUMMARY OF CASES REPORTED MONTHLY, BY STATES.

The following summary of monthly State reports is published weekly and covers only those States from which reports are received during the current week:

State-	Cerebrospinal meningitis.	Diphtheria.	Influenza.	Malaria.	Measles.	Pellagra.	Poliomyclitis.	Scarlet fever.	Smallpox.	Typhoid fever.
1920, Colorado (November)	1 20 7	157 19 10 643 1,688 29 885	1 28 67 19	1 246	546 2 34 216 364 94 527	ii	2 2 7	74 44 3 253 1,558 89 352	318 2 82 921 45 78	24 9 11 94 519 13 149

ANTHRAX.

New York, N. Y.-Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

During the week ended December 25, 1920, one fatal case of anthrax was reported at New York, N. Y.

CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

The column headed "Average cases" gives the average number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1919, inclusive. In instances in which the information is not available for the full five years, the average includes from one to four years.

Di	Average	yerage 1920		701	Average	1923	
Place.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	cases.		Deaths.
California: Los Angeles. San Francisco. Illinois: Chicago Iowa: Burlington. Lonistana: New Orleans Massachusetts: Boston. Cambridge. New Bedford. Michigan: Detroit. Minnesota: Hibbing. Minneapolis.	1 0 (1) 1 (1) 0 (1)	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	Missouri: Cape Girardeau Montana: Butte New Jersey: Newark New York: New York: Ohio: Ashtabula. Cleveland Lancaster Rhode Island: Providence West Virginia: Huntington	4 0 (¹) 0	1 1 5	3 1

¹ Average less than 1.

DIPHTHERIA.

See Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 44; Monthly summaries by States, p. 48; and Weekly reports from cities, p. 55.

INFLUENZA.
City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:			Michigan:		
Mobile		1	Detroit	2	l
'alifornia:	_		Missouri:		1
Long Beach	1		Kansas City	4	1
Los Ange es	7 3		St. Louis	5	
San Francisco	3	1	New Jersey: Kearny		
Colorado:			Kearny	3	
Denver		1	Newark	12	
Connecticut:	_	i	Trenton	3	
Bridgeport	1		New York:		1
Hartiord	. 1		Loekport	2	
Meriden	1		New York	29	1
District of Columbia:		1	Niagara Folis	1	l
Washington	2	2	Ulean		
Georgia:			Saratoga Sp. ings	2	1
Atlanta	4	1	Ohio:		
Rome	1		Cincinnati		
Ilinois:			Cleve and	4	
Chicago	15	3	Columbus	2	1
East St. Louis	2		Pennsylvania:		l
Evanston	1		Philadelphia	107	6
Rock Island	2	1	Rhode Island		· -
Kansas:			Pawtucket		
Coffeyville	1		Texas:		i
Kentucky:			Dallas	2	
Louisville	1	1	El Paso	3	
Paducah	2		Fort Worth		
Maine:		1	San Angelo		
Portland	2		Utah:		
faryland:			Salt Lake City	1	
Baltimore	13	2	Virginia:	_	
			Richmond		
lassachusetts: Boston	4		Roanoke	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Greenfield	1		Wisconsin:		
Haverhill	. 1		Milwaukee	4	l
Lynn	1				
Methuen	ī		i i		l

LEPROSY.

Sacramento, Calif.-Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

During the week ended December 25, 1920, one case of leprosy was reported at Sacramento, Calif.

MALARIA.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
California: Sacramento	2		Louisiana: Alexandria. Texas: Dallas	24 2	••••••

MEASLES.

See Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 44; Monthly summaries by States, p. 48; and Weekly reports from cities, p. 55.

PELLAGRA. City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama: Anniston Missouri: Springfield	1	1	South Carolina: Charleston. Texas: Galveston.		1

PLAGUE.¹ Human Cases of Plague Reported.

Place.	Period covered.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Florida: Pensacola Louisiana: New Orleans Texas: Beaumont	do	0	0	
Galveston	do	0	ő	

Plague-Infected Rodents.

Place.	Period covered.	Rodents found plague infected.
Florida:	1921. Jan, 1 to 8.	
Louisiana: New Orleans	Jan. 1 to 10	0
Texas: Beaumont	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0

¹ A summary of the reports received of the occurrence of plague and the finding of plague-infected rodents in the United States during 1920 was published in Public Health Reports, Jan. 7, 1921, p. 15.

PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS).

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Deaths. Place.		Deaths.
Alabama:			Maine:		
Birmingham Mobile Montgomery		. 5	Auburn		
Mobile		3		. 1	1
Arizona:	•	1 -	Baltimore	37	10
Tucson		. 6	Cumberland	3	1
Tueson	6		Massachusetts:	1	
California:	1	2	Amesbury	·	1
Berkeley	·····i	í	Attlebore	i	. 1
Long Beach Los Angeles Oakland Pasadena	38	13	Attleboro. Beverly. Boston	2	
Oakland	5	1	Boston	30	2
Pasadena	2	2	Brookline	1	
Sacramento San Bernardino	5	5	Cambridge	3 1	•
San Diego	2	3 2	Clinton	1 1	
San Diego San Francisco	15	6	Everett	1 3	2 1 5 1 1 1
		i	Fall River. Framingham. Haverbill. Lawreace.	6	
Colorado: Colorado Springs Denver Pueblo	1		Framingham		! !
Denver	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21 6	Haverhill	1 2	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	۰			
Bridgeport	4	3	Lynp		' i
Bristol	2		Melrose.	1	1
BridgeportBristolGreenwich	2	ļ <u>.</u>	Lynn		1
Hartford Meriden	2 3	2	New Bedford		. 6
Meriden	3	7	New Bedford Newton North Adams North Ampton Peabody Pittsfield Plymouth Salem Sources	2	1
New Haven New London	i	i	Northampton	í	l
Norwich	1	î	Peabody	l	1
Waterbury	3		Pittsfield		1
Delaware:			Plymouth		1
Wilmington	• • • • • • • • • •	4	Saiem Saugus Somerville Springfield Taunton	1	4
District of Columbia: Washington		15	Somerville	i	
			Springfield	$\bar{3}$	3
Atlanta	1	14	Taunton		2
Brunswick	. 1		O MICHIPANI		_
Atlanta Brunswick Savannah		10	Ann ArborBenton Harbor	•••••••	2 1
Illinois: Bloomington		1	Detroit	45	20
Chicago	175	50	Flint	ĭ	4
Chicago	1		Flint	1	1
Freeport	3	1	Highland Park	4	
Jacksonville		1 1	Kalamazoo Marquette	4	
To Solla	•••••	4	Port Huron	4	
Oak Park	i		Port Huron	ź	
Peoria		5	Minnesota:	1	1.0
Jacksonville. Kewanee La Salle Oak Park Peoria Quincy Rockford Springfield		1 3	Duluth		2 1
Rockford	2	3	Mankato. Minneapolis.	••••••	10
Springfield		1			10
ndiana:			Kansas City	7	5
East Chicago Elkhart Frankfort		2	St. Joseph		3
Elkhart	:-	1 1 3	Montana: Butte	i	1
Franklort	11	3	Great Falls	·····i	3
Frankfort Hammond Indianapolis Kokomo Logansport Marion Mishawaka Muncie Richmond South Bend Terre Haute		14	Great Falls		ï
Kokomo		14 2 1 1 1 3 1			_
Logansport		1	Neoraska: Omaha		8
Marion	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	New Hampshire: Berlin	İ	9
Misnawaka		3	Manchester		1
Richmond		ĭ	Portsmouth	1	.
South Bend		ī	New Jersev:	- 1	
Terre Haute		3	Atlantic City	2	1
		į	Bayonne	2	2
Fort Scott	1 2		East Orange. Elizabeth Englewood Hackensack	1	1
Topeka		1	Englewood	2	ī
Kansas City Topeka Wichita	1		Hackensack	2	2
čentucky:	- 1	į	Hoboken	1	5
Covington		3	Jrvington	1 .	••••••
Lexington	3	1 12	Jersey City	6	••••
Paducah	3 1	12	Montelair		
ouisiana:	• •		Montelair. Newark	77	18
AlexandriaNew Orleans	1 .	<i>.</i>	Passaic	1	3
		18	5	3 .	

PNEUMONIA (ALL FORMS)—Continued.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920-Continued.

. Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
New Jersey—Continued. Plainfield		1	Ohio—Continued.	2	
Rahway Trenton West Hoboken	1	1 3	Mansfield Newark	2	2 1
West Hoboken	•••••	1	Springfield Tiffin		4
New Mexico:		-	Toledo	1	1 1
Albuquerque		1	Zanesville		Ž
New York:	7	1	Oklahoma:		_
AlbanyAuburn	3	i	Oklahoma City Oregon:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
Binghamton	16	i ā	Portland		5
Buffalo	44	12	Pennsylvania:		
Cortland	2 2	1	Philadelphia	107	62
ElmiraGlens Falls	z	í	Newport		1
Ithaca			Pawtucket		î
Middletown	2		Providence		6
Mount Vernon	- 285		South Carolina: Charleston		
New York Niagara Falls		142	Tennessee:		. 5
North Tonawanda		i	Memphis		4
Peekskill	1		Texas:		_
Port Chester	2	•••••	Austin		. 1
RochesterRome	4 2	. 2	DallasEl Paso	3	3 8
Syracuse	8	7	Fort Worth		4
Trov	4	2	Fort Worth		2
White Plains	3		Utah:	ı	_
Yonkers North Carolina:	3	6	Salt Lake CityVirginia:	•••••	6
Durham		1	Lynchburg		2
Greensboro		$\hat{\mathbf{z}}$	Norfolk	2	
Winston-Salem		4	Richmond		4
Ohio: Akron			Roanoke	3	1
Ashtabula.	+ 1		Charleston	4	•
Barberton		2	Huntington		2
Canton		1	Parkersburg	1	1
Chillicothe	2	2 5	Wheeling	1	1
Cincinnati	4 21	24	Wisconsin: La Crosse	}	
Columbus	21	10	Milwaukee	9	9
Dayton	2		Wausau.		2
Lancaster	ī				

POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

The column headed "Average cases" gives the average number of cases reported during the correspondmg weeks of the years 1915 to 1919, inclusive. In instances in which the information is not available for the full five years, the average includes from one to four years.

Place. Averag	Average	1920		Place.	Average	1920	
Tiace.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.	riace.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.
Illinois: Chicago Missouri: St. Louis	(¹) 0	1	1	New York: New York Ohio: Dayton	(¹) 0	1	1

¹ Average less than 1.

RABIES IN ANIMALS.

Fall River, Mass.-Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

During the week ended December 25, 1920, one case of rabies in animals was reported at Fall River, Mass.

SCARLET FEVER.

See Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 44; Monthly summaries by States, p. 48; and Weekly reports from cities, p. 55.

SMALLPOX.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

The column headed "Average cases" gives the average number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1919, inclusive. In instances in which the information is not available for the full five years, the average includes from one to four years.

Place.	Average	1	92)	Place.	Average	19	929
Tace.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.	Trace.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama:				Montana:			
Mobile	0	5		Billings		. 1	
Montgomery	2	1		Butte	5	1	
California:	2	4	1 .	Missoula	0	3	
Los Angeles Oakland	ő	4		Nebraska: Beatrice	. 0	1	1
Sacramento	(1)	6		Lincoln		4	
San Francisco	(1)	32		Omaha	13	6	
Colorado:				New York:	1		
Denver	16	2		Buffalo	(1)	1	-
Greeley	0	1		North Carolina:	1		
Pueblo	0	1		Durham	0	2	
Georgia:	-	5	1	Winston- Salem	(1)	1	
AtlantaIdaho:	5	9		Ohio:		5	
	3	2		Akron Ashtabula	5 0		
Boise	,	4		Canton			
Bloomington	0	3	1	Cincinnati	2	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Chicago	2	3		Cleveland	5	4	
Chicago East St. Louis.	0	9		Columbus	(1)	3	
Granite City	0	1		Hamilton	` 10	2	
Oak Park	0	5		Lorain	(1)	21	
Rockford	(1)	15		Marion	5	1	
Rock Island	(1) 4	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Middletown	U	2	
Springfield Indiana:	4	1		New Philadel-		_	1
Crawfordsville .	- 1	3		phia		1	
Elkhart		4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Springfleld	0	5	! -
Fort Wayne	5	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Tiffin Toledo	2	1	
Frankfort		3		Oklahoma:	-	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Indianapolis	14	š		Oklahoma City	3	2	
Kokomô	6	2		Tulsa		ī	
Lafayette	2	3		Oregon:	l l		
Marion	0	1	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Portland	17	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mishawaka	1	18	• • • • • • • •	South Carolina:			
Muncie	4 2	1		Charleston	(1)	6	· · · · · · · • •
South Bend lowa:	2	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Columbia	(i)	1	· · · · · · · •
Council Bluffs .	4	2		South Dakota: Sioux Falls	(1)	1	
Davenport	11	2		Texas:	(9)	1	· · · · · · · · •
Des Moines	7	ā		Beaumont	2	1	
Dubuque	1	40		Waco	ī	î	
Marshalltown	6	5		Utah: -	- 1	_	
Sioux City	4	21		Salt Lake City	3	25	· · · · · · · · •
Kansas:				Vermont:		_	
Kansas City	(1) 13	4		Rutiand	0	6	· · · · · · · · · •
Leavenworth Wichita	8 1	$\frac{1}{2}$		Virginia:	715	1	
Louisiana:	(5)	- 1		Roanoke Washington:	(1)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
New Orleans	3	25	1	Bellingham	0	4	
Maine:	-		- 1	Seattle	7	11	
Waterville		6		Spokane	103	26	
Massachusetts:	1		1	Tacoma	2	2	
Methuen		2	1	Walla Walla	4	1	
Michigan:				West Virginia:	. 1		
Battle Creek	0	17		Bluefield	1	2	· · · · · · · · •
Detroit	16	24		Huntington	3	1	• • • • • • • • •
Flint	4	1		Wisconsin: Appleton	0	1	
Port Huron				Beloit	(1)	2	• • • • • • • •
Sault Ste. Marie	0	1		Eau Claire	() 0	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Minnesota:	1	į		Green Bay	2	4 1	· · · · · · · · •
Duluth	(1)	7		Janesville	3	i	
Mankato	0			La Crosse	3	5	
Minneapolis	14		· · · · · · · · · · ·	Madison	(1)	4	• • • • • • • • • •
St. Cloud	5	1		Marinette	1	4	••••••
Winona	0	7		Milwaukee	7	12	
Missouri:	30	_	l	Racine	(1)	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		7 1	1	Sheboygan	1	14	
Kansas City St. Louis	3	6		Superior	(1)	i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

¹ Average less than 1.

TETANUS. City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Illinois: Chicago	1	1	Ohio: Cleveland	1	1

TUBERCULOSIS.

See Telegraphic weekly reports from States, p. 44, and Weekly reports from cities, p. 55.

TYPHOID FEVER.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

The column headed "Average cases" gives the average number of cases reported during the corresponding weeks of the years 1915 to 1919, inclusive. In instances in which the information is not available for the full five years, the average includes from one to four years.

Place.	Average	1	920	Place.	Average	1	920
race.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.	race.	cases.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alabama: Anniston Mobile California:	(¹)	-1	i	Michigan—Contd. Highland Park. Kalamazoo Minnesota:	(ı) 0	1 1	
Los Angeles Oakland Redlands	(i) (i)	2 1	1	Duluth Minneapolis Missouri:	(1)	1	
Sacramento Colorado: Greeley	(1)	1		St. Charles Montana: Missoula	0	3	1
Pueblo Connecticut: Hartford	(!) (!)		1	Nebraska: Omaha New Jersey:	0	2	
New Haven District of Columbia:		3	•••••	Kearny Newark Paterson Trenton	0 2 0	2 1 1	1
Washington Georgia: Savannah Illinois:	(¹)	. 3	•••••	New York: Albany Buffalo	(¹) 2 3	1	•••••••
Chicago Freeport Indiana:	6 0	7 1		Elmira Lockport New York	0 0 14	1 1 9	2
Fort Wayne Kokomo Iowa:	(ı) 0	2	1	North Tona- wanda Ohio:	0		1
Keokuk Kansas: Kansas City	0	1		Ashtabula Clevcland Dayton	0. 3 1	1 6 3	••••••
Kentucky: Lexington Louisville Louisiana:	(1)	i	1	Hamilton Middletown Steubenville Oklahoma:	0 0 1	1 1 1	
New Orleans Maine: Portland	(1)	1 1		Oklahoma City Tulsa Pennsylvania:	0	1 1	••••••
Maryland: Baltimore Cumberland	(1)	3 1		Philadelphia Rhode Island: Lincoln	6 0	3 1	1
Massachusetts: Adams Arlington	0	1	i	Pawtucket South Carolina: Charleston	(¹)	1	1
Boston Fall River Lawrence	2 3 1			Texas: Corpus Christi. Dallas Virginia:	(1)	1	1
Medford Methuen Taunton	()	1 1	i	Norfolk Richmond Roanoke	(;)	1 1 1	
Michigan: Ann Arbor Detroit	0 3	1	i	Wisconsin: Milwaukee	1	1	

¹ Average less than 1.

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS. City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920.

Place.	tion Jan. 1,	Total death	s	htheria		easles.	f	ever.	cu	uber- ilosis.
	1920, subject to correction.	from ali causes.	۱ .	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alab ama: Birmingham	178, 270	51						,		.
Mobile	60.151	19							1	. 7
Montgomery Tuscaloosa	43,464	٤		·		•-	. 1	٠	. 8	
Arizona:	11,996		- 1	٠		•• ••••		-	-	
Tucson	20, 292	18	٠	-						. 5
Arkansas: Fort Smith	28,811	·	. 2	.	1		-		. 1	1
Hot Springs	11,695	4					. · · · i		:	
Little Rock North Little Rock	64,997	4	1 1		. 36				-	
California:	14,048	"	'	1 *	1 '	٠٠٠٠٠	. 3		-	•
Alameda	28, 806 5, 886	5			.		. 2		. 2	1
Berkeley	12, 923	8		1			. 4		-	·····i
Long Beach	55, 593	19		1	. ····i					
Los AngelesOakland	576,673	161			78	3	. 6		. 81	20
Pasadena	216, 361 45, 354	42 11				,· ·····	. 6		1 2	1
Riverside	19, 341	-8								. 2
Sacramento	65,857	20			. !		. 1		. 9	2
San Bernardino San Diego	18, 721 74, 683	9 30	5 7					•	8	6
San Francisco	50%, 410	121	30	i			. 13			14
Santa Barbara	19, 441	7		.	.		-	.	.	
Santa CruzStockton	10, 917 40, 286	6 10	2			-				····i
Vallejo	21, 107	19					. 2			
olorado:		-0	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Colorado Springs Denver	30, 105 256, 369	18 88	3 15	2	17		<u>s</u>		30	7 14
Crooler	10,883	5	1	l	2		3			14
Pueblo	42, 908				2		1			3
Trinidadonnecticut:	10,906	• • • • • •			10				 -	
Bridgeport (town)1	143,538	29	16	1		.	10	l	1	3
Bristol (town)1	20,620	6	5		9		1			
Greenwich (town)	22, 123 138, 036	2 18	7		····i	•			3	1
Manchester (town)	18, 370 29, 842	2					l			
Meriden (city)	29,842	<u></u> .	6				15		2	
New Haven (town) 1 New London (town) 1	162, 519 25, 688	35 2	16				21 3		5	· · · · · ·
Norwalk (town) 1	27, 700	6	2	1						
Norwich (city)	22, 304	5	2				1			
Waterbury (town)1elaware:	91,410	14	6		•••••		3		3	· · •
Wilmington	110, 168	27	1		2		6			2
istrict of Columbia:	497 571	110								
Washington	437, 571	112	17	3	5		23	• • • • • •	19	8
eorgia: Atlanta	200, 616	55	4	2	8		8		20	3
Brunswick	14, 413	. 4	;-							1
Savannah	14, 413 13, 252 83, 252	29	1 4		• • • • •					i
aho:			-							•
Boiselinois:	21, 393	5	3				2		1	
Alton	24, 682	3	3				3	1	1	
Aurora	36, 397	6								
Bloomington	28, 725	6 2	•••••		· · · · · ·		3		•••••	• • • • •
Chicago	12, 491 2, 701, 705	578	213	20	100	3	150	4	166	49
East St. Louis	66, 740	11	5			<u>.</u> .	3		· 102	1
Elgin	27, 454 37, 215	5	1 6	•••••			1			1
Freeport	19.669	4	0				5 3		••••	
Granite City	14,757				1					
Jackson ville Kewanee	27, 401, 405 66, 740 27, 454 37, 215 19, 669 14, 757 15, 713 16, 026	5 6	2	;-	3		انوز		•••••	1
La Salle	13,050	. 8	2	1	3		10			••••

¹ Coextensive with city of same name.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920—Continued.

Population Jan. 1, 1929, sub- feet to correction 1929, sub- 192	iber- losis.
Oak Park	Deaths.
Oak Park 39,830 14 2 1 20 Peoris 76,121 22 5 3 14 2 1 30 Peoris 76,121 22 5 3 1 3 Residence 35,978 6 5 3 3 Residence 35,177 5 3 3 3 3 8 1 3 4 4 4 2 2 4 4 4 2 2 4 4 4 4 1 1 2 2 4 4 4 1 1 2<	
Quine 35, 973 6 5 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
Rock Island	i
ROCK ISBAID Sp. 13	2
Indiana:	
Bloomington	•••••
Crawfordsville	l
Eliword	
Elwood	
Fort Wayne	1 2
Gary	
Hammond	• • • • • •
La Fayette	
La Fayette	
La Fayctic 22, 486	6
Logansport 22,628 2	1
Mishawaka	•••••
Muncie	•••••
Newcastle	····i
South Bend 70,983 9 2 1 1 4	
Terre Haute 66,083 11	•••••
Data	•••••
Council Bluffs	•
Daycomport 56,727 3	•••••
Dubuque 39,141 5 2 2	•••••
Dibuque	· • • • • • •
Marshalitown	•••••
Maiscatine	•••••
Kansas:	•••••
Atchison	
Coffeyville	
Fort Scott	•••••
Assas City	••••
Lawrence	••••
16,912 2 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•••••
Topekar 50,022 12 3 71	••••
Wichita 72,128 15 12 6 1 Kentucky: 57,121 20 3 Covington 41,534 17 Louisville 234,891 75 15 1 1 9 2 Paducah 21,735 1 1 1 1 Alexandria 17,510 5 2	····i
Covington 57,121 20 3 Lexington 41,534 17 Louisville 234,891 75 15 1 1 9 2 Paducah 24,735 1 1 1 1 Louisiana: 17,510 5 2	· · · · · ·
Lexington	
Louisville	1
Louisiana: Alexandria	$\bar{\mathbf{s}}$
Alexandria 17,510 5 2	••••
New Orleans	14
Maine: Auburn	
Bangor. 25,978 2 2 5 1	••••
Biddeford	••••
Portland	1
Sanford	••••
Baltimore	19
Cumberland	1
Amesbury	••••
Arlington 18, 665 7 1 1 1	
Arlington 18,665 7 1 1 1 Arlington 19,731 5 3 1 Beverly 22,561 7	

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920-Continued.

	Popula- tion Jan. 1,	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Med	asles.		arlet ver.		iber- losis.
Place.	1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Death3.	Савез.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Massachusetts—Continued.										
Boston	748,060	129	56	1	36		30	1	41	16
Brookline Cambridge	37,748 109,694	22	1 3		14		1 3]	3 4	i
Chelsea	43, 184	10	5		5		4		2	î
Clinton	12,979	8	<u>-</u> -		27					1
Danvers	11,108 10,792		2				2			
Easthampton	11,261	4	2							
Everett	40, 120	6	2		1		2		1	
Fall River	120, 485	28	5	1	24		6		5	1
FraminghamGardner	17,033 16,971	5 7	•••••		4		1		1	····i
Greenfield.	15, 462	i					7			1
Haverhill	53,884	14	7		4		2		4	1
Lawrence	91.270	34	7		3		5	1	7	2
Leominster	19,744 112,479	7 34	2 18	1	73		4		1 6	
Lynn	99,148	21	13	1	4		2		2	2
Lynn Medford	39,038	5	2		i				ļ <u>.</u>	ļ <u>-</u>
Melrose	18, 204	4	2				5			
Methuen	15, 139	3	6		2		3 2		7	3
New Bedford	121, 217 15, 618	33 4	0	1	····i		î			ì
Newburyport Newton.	46,054	9	1	1	12		2			
North Adams	22, 282	7			3		<u>.</u> .			
Northampton	21, 951	8 8		•••••	3		2			
PeabodyPittsfield	19,552 41,751	8	• • • • • •		51		2			9
Plymouth	13,045	4								
Quincy	47,876	4	1		1		1		2	
Salem	42,529	12			• • • • • •		2			
SaugusSomerville	10, 874 93, 091	15	4	····i	2	• • • • • •	4		6	····i
Southbridge	14,245	3			ت.				ĭ	
Springfield	129,563	28	7		1		18		5	1
Taunton	37,137	11	4		16		9		3	2
Wakefield Waltham	13,025 30,915	5 3	····i	• • • • • •	1				1	1.
Watertown	21, 457	ő	i	•••••	i		1			
Westfield	18,601	. 2	ī							
Woburn	16,574 179,754	3		• • • • • •	;.					
Worcester	179,754	39	5		1	• • • • • • •	23		5	3
Michigan: Ann Arber	19,516	12		1						
Battle Creek	36, 164		7				3			
Benton Harbor	12,233	5					1		1	
Detroit	993,739	167	128	18	5		89 22	4	35	11
FlintGrand Rapids	91,599 137,634	16 30	16 10	1	2		5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11	····i
Highland Park	46, 499	6	2		ī		2			
Ironwood	15,739	1			12					1
Ishpeming	10,500 (8,838	3 10	2		3		9		1 5	1
Marquette	12.718	1			3		9			
Pontiac	31, 273	5					7	1		
Port Huron	25,941	5	2		1		1			
Sault Ste. Marie	12,096	3	• • • • • •		1		1			•••••
Minnesota: Duluth	98,917	11	2				5		3	
Hibbing.	15,089	2	ī		3					•••••
Mankato	12, 469	3					5		:	•••••
MinneapolisVirginia	380, 582 14, 022	76	11 2	1	2	••••••	57	1	42	8
Winona	19,143		2		····i		4		::::	• • • • •
Missouri:					-		- 1			
Cape Girardeau	10, 252	7	1					1	l	1
Independence	11,686	4	2	•••••			1	•••••		•••••
Jefferson City	14,490 29,855	2	4	• • • • • • •		• • • • • •	2			• • • • •
Kansas City	324, 410	75	23	4	6		19		4	<u>.</u>
	ee 1000	25	3	- 1					1	-
St. Joseph	11,939			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •
St. Joseph	324,410 77,939 772,897 39,631	186	139	12 1	3	i	31	i	18	22 2

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920-Continued.

	Popula- tion Jan. 1,	Total deaths	Dipl	ntheria	Mea	asles.		arlet ver.	Tu	ıber- losis.
Place.	1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Сазев.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Montana:										
Anaconda	11,668	4	ļ	.	.		7	ļ	ļ	
Billings	15,100	5			· ···;;·				2	
ButteGreat Falls	41,611 24,121	16 11		1	10 32		i		2	1
Great Falls	12,668	5]	3		l . .		ļ	
Nebraska:		١.	١ ـ	1	I			1	1	1
LincolnOmaha	54,934 191,601	9 40	3 5	l'''i	'''i'		37			
Nevada:	101,001		1 "	1 *			٠ ١			•
Reno	12,016	5		.	.		1			
New Hampshire: Berlin	16 101	٠,		1	1					١.
Dover	16, 104 13, 0 29	6. 5			4		• • • • • •	• • • • • •		1
Mauchester	78,384	15	41	1			8			l
New Jersey: Asbury Park	40.400	_	l	1	١.		_			İ
Asbury Park	12,400 50,682	3 10	6		1		. 3		••••	1
Bavonne.	76.754		3	1			3 2 3		2	
BavonneBellevilleBloomfied	15,660		. 1						1	
East Orange	15,660 22,019 50,710	2 6	1 19				3		- 1	1
Elizabeth	95,682	0	4	i			3	:		····i
Englewood	11.627	2		l						.
Garfield	19,381 12,162	•••••	1	ļ						
Gloucester City	17,667	5	3	1			····i		1	····i
Harrison	15,721		î	1	2					
Hoboken	08,100	21		2	<u>-</u>		3		2	1
Irvington Jerseý City	25,480 297,864	•••••	1		····;··		3 6		•••;;••	•••••
Kearny	26,724	4	21 5]		3		11	•••••
Montclair	28, 810	3	1				3 2			
Morristown	12,548	1	1							<u>.</u>
New Brunswick	12,548 32,779 414,216	109	4 32	3	20	••••	62	••••2	17	ii
NewarkOrange	33, 268	6		3	.20		3	2		3
Passaic	33,268 63,824	15	2		12		4	2		•••••
Paterson	135,866 16,923	4	8		•••••		1		3	•••••
Phillipsburg Plainfield Rahway	27,700	6	9				- 5			
Rahway	11.042	4					ĭ			•••••
Trenton	119,289 40,068	38	5 2 2	• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •]		2	3 2 1
West New York	29,926	7	2			•••••	• • • • • • •		····i	1
West Orange	15,573	7	2				i			.
New Mexico:	1				4	- 1	- 1	- 1	اء	
Albuquerque	15, 157	8	1		11		•••••		2	5
Albany	113,344		4		16		3		3	. .
Auburn	113,344 36,192 66,800 506,775	11		1						1
BinghamptonBuffalo	506,800	26	84	10	158 31	2 .	25	···i	15	2 7
Cortland Elmira	13.294	138	!		i					
Elmira	45,305	12 [2		1 .		1 .	.		• • • • •
Geneva Glens Falls	14,648 16,638	3 5	;-		···i			••••• •	•••••	•••••
Hudson	11.745	4	1		i					i
Ithaca	17,004 38,917 21,308	4 7 8 2			.		1			ī
JamestownLockport	38,917	8	2		3 .		2 .		1	•••••
Middletown	18,420 .		i		46 .	::::1	1 .	::::]	1	····i
Mount Vernon	49 796 1	9	3	1	1 .					1
New York Niagara Falls	5,621,131 50,760	1,208 16	329	23	48	1	255 21	8 2	218	2 9;
North Tonawanda	15,482	4	3	*	٠ ا ٥		61		٠. ا	
Ogdensburg	14,609	2.								
Olean	20,506	3 6 0	•••••		···· <u>·</u> - ·	-	-	-	-	• • • • •
PeekskillPlattsburg	15,868 10,909	81.			8 .				•••••	•••••
Port Chester	16,573 35,000	3 .	5						``i .	
Poughkeepsie									31.	

² Pulmonary tuberculosis only.

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920-Continued.

	Popula- tion July 1,	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	ısles.		arlet ver.		ıber- losis.
Place.	1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Сазов.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cuses.	Deaths.
New York-Continued.										
Rochester	295,750 26,341 13,181 171,717	70	56	2	3 5		7	2	7	6
Saratoga Springs	13, 181	3	l							
SyracuseTroy	171,717 72.013	49 19	22	2	36 80	····i	26		6 2	3
White Plains	21,031	8	7			ļ <u>.</u>				i
Yonkers Nerth Carolina:	100, 226	20	16		2		2	1		2
Charlotte	46,338	8	1		36		ļ	ļ	. 3	3
Durham	21,719 19,861	.2				••••			2	
GreensboroRocky Mount	19, 501	11					1:::::		1	
Wilmington	33,372	9	1		1		1			
Winston-Salem	48,395	12	3		3	:			1	
Akron	208, 435	29	7		4		2]	5	
Ashtabula	208, 435 22, 082 18, 811 87, 091	6	2				1	ļ	·	-
BarbertonCanton.	87.091	6 16	2	i			6		2	. 2
Chillicothe	10,831	7	<u>;-</u> -		2		5	····		1
Cincinnati	401, 247 796, 836	92	17 48	3 6	20		18 65	1	15 8	10
Columbus	237.031	74	19				10		5	6
Dayton East Cleveland	152,559 27,292	31	10 1				4 3		1	-
Findlay	17,021	4	. .						î	
FindlayFremont	12,408	4				• • • • • •	12 12			· · · · · ·
Hamilton	39,675 14,706	8	3				12			
Lorain	37.295		2				3		2	
Mansfield	27,824 27,891	. 5	· · · · ·			•••••	1	•••••	1	• • • • •
Martins Ferry	11,634	3	ı				2		1	
Middletown	23,594	1 10	1			•••••	2	•••••	2 2	·····i
Newark New Philadelphia	26, 718 10, 718						4			
Norwood	24,966	1	1		1	• • • • • •	4	•••••		
PiquaSandusky	15,044 22,897	3 2	• • • • • •							
Springfield	60,840	13			2		2		2	
SteubenvilleTiffin	28, 508	14 5	• • • • • •			• • • • •	2	• • • • • •		• • • • •
Toledo	14,875 243,109	55	35	3			7			6
Youngstown	243, 109 132, 358		3	1	5		10	• • • • •		1
Zanesville	29, 569	11	•••••				•••••	••••		•••••
Muskogee	30, 277 91, 258		2				. 1	• • • • • •	1	• • • • • •
Oklahoma CityTulsa	91,258 72,075	20	2			•••••	5	• • • • •	11	4
Oregon: Portland			-				- 1			
Portland	258, 288	48	5		28	•••••	3	•••••	5	3
Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Rhode Island:	1,823,158	498	87	8	20		156	4	62	53
Cranston	29, 407	1	3							• • • • •
East Providence (town)	21,793		···· ₂	····i	2	•••••	••••			
NewportPawtucket	21, 793 30, 255 64, 248 237, 595	8 17	3				3			i
Providence	237, 595	65	17		12		11			3
South Carolina: Charleston	67, 957	26	3	1						1
Columbia	37, 524		ĭ		3					
South Dakota: Sioux Falls	25, 176	2	1		2		2	1		
DIVUA FAID	ا 10, 110	- 1	- 1		-		- 1	- 1		
Tennessee: Knoxville	77,818	1	3	1	- 1		ı	1	3	3

City Reports for Week Ended Dec. 25, 1920—Continued.

	Popula- tion July 1,	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	sles.		rlet ver.		ber- osis.
. Place.	1920, subject to correction.	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Гехаs:										
Austin	34,876	9	5							į
Beaumont	40, 422	6	1							l
Corpus Christi	10, 522	6		•••••	<u>-</u> -				<u>-</u> -	
Dallas	158, 976	33	10		1		3	• • • • • •	5	ł
El Paso	77, 543 106, 482	37 22			1		6			
Galveston.	44.255	19	3		• • • • • •	• • • • • •	····i	• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	
Waco.	38,500	16			• • • • • •		•		• • • • • •	
itah:	00,000				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i
Salt Lake City	118, 110	41	1	:	380		9		2	
ermont:				1 1						
Rutland	14,954	6	• • •							
Tirginia:	40.000	_								
Alexandria	18,060	5	1 3	1				• • • • • •		
Lynchburg Norfolk	29, 953 115, 777	7	2		14				•••••	•••••
Petersburg.	31,002	7	2		1		····i		3 2	
Richmond	171,667	44	15		î		4		-	
Roanoke	50,842	8	iŏ		5		3	•••••		
Vashington:	51,512						٠,			••••
Bellingham	25, 570				1		2			
Everett	27,644						!		2	
Seattle	315, 652		9		5		6			
Spokane	104, 437	• • • • • • •	2 1		3		5		• • • • • • •	
Tacoma. Walla Walla	96, 965 15, 503		1		2		3		••••;•	
West Virginia:	10,000		•••••						1	• • • • •
Bluefield	15, 282		4		10		10	1	1	
Charleston	39,608	7	: <u>.</u>		20		2			• • • • • •
Fairmort	17, 851		1							
Huntington	50, 177	17	1]]	1]		• • • • • •
Martinsburg	12,515						7			• • • • •
Morgantown	12, 127	4		• • • • • •	3		• • • • • •]			• • • • •
Parkersburg	10, 669 20, 050	1 6	4	i		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	····i	· · · · · · i		• • • • • •
Wheeling.	54,322	6	9	•••••	5		11	• • • • • • •		1
L'icoonsin.	01,022	۰	•		٠,		- 1	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	4
Appleton	19, 561				1		2			1
Beloit	21, 284		1							
Eau Claira	20,880						3			
Fond du Lac	23; 427	3	4				1 .			
Green Bay	31,017	5	2		1].		5 .		.	
Janesville	18, 293	5			• • • • • •] •	-] -	.		1
Kenosha La Crosse	40,472 30,363	5 7 3		••••••]		• • • • • •	····¿·}·		ا بي	1
Madison	38,378	4	····i	i	•••••		5 .		3	1
Milwaukee	457, 147	95	66	3	9	2	41	···i·	7	7
Oshkosh	33, 162	4				- 1	7i		•	•
Racine.	58,593	20	20	4			7	2		• • • • •
Superior	39, 624	8	3				11.		3	i
Wausau	18,661	9 !	1	1	1		2		ĭl.	-

FOREIGN AND INSULAR.

CANADA.

Typhoid Fever-Regina, Saskatchewan,

An outbreak of typhoid fever, with 34 cases and 5 fatalities, was reported December 6, 1920, at Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada. The outbreak occurred in a college and was stated to have originated in an ambulant case on a farm supplying milk.

CUBA.

Communicable Diseases-Habana.

Communicable diseases have been notified at Habana as follows:

	ing unde		Remain- ing under		Dec. 11-	20, 1920.1	Remain-	
Disease.	New cases.	Deaths.	treatment Dec. 20, 1920.	Discase.	New cases.	Deaths.	treatment Dec. 20, 1920.	
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1 2		9	Malaria	127 18 1	2	² 145 27	
Diphtheria Leprosy	2	2	12	Scarlet fever	30	7	3 61	

Report for period Dec. 1-10, 1920, not received.
 From the interior, 52; from abroad, 4.
 From the interior, 34.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC.

Smallpox.

An outbreak of smallpox has been reported in the Dominican Republic, the first case having been notified November 15, 1920. To December 7, 1920, 6 cases occurring in four localities were re-Two additional cases were reported in a quarantine camp. The cases are stated to have occurred in persons lately arrived from Haiti. One death (the first case) occurred November 19.

GREAT BRITAIN.

Relapsing Fever-Liverpool-In Emigrants.

During the week ended December 9, 1920, two cases of relapsing fever were notified at Liverpool, England, among emigrants from Roumania en route to Canada.

HAITI.

Epidemic Smallpox Present.

A case of smallpox was reported found at Port au Prince, Haiti. September 22, 1920. On November 23 the disease was recognized as present in epidemic form. To December 2, 1920, 486 cases with two fatalities were reported at Port au Prince and at eight interior

towns a total of 20 cases with one death was reported. The occurrence of 18 cases has been reported at one locality and in the country district surrounding Port au Prince cases have been stated to be numerous.

JAMAICA.

Infectious Disease (Alastrim or Kaffir Pox).

During the three weeks ended December 25, 1920, alastrim or Kaffir pox was reported in the island of Jamaica as follows: Week ended December 11, 1920, 340 new cases; week ended December 18, 217 new cases; week ended December 25, 220 new cases.

POLAND.

Cholera-Typhus Fever-Warsaw.

Information dated December 16, 1920, shows the presence of five cases of cholera and eight cases of typhus fever at Warsaw, Poland.

UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA.

Influenza-Transvaal.

During the month of October, 1920, an outbreak of influenza, with 55 reported fatalities occurring among native laborers, was reported at the Witwatersrand Mines, Transvaal. Slight outbreaks of the disease were also reported during the same period in the vicinity of Johannesburg.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER. Reports Received During Week Ended Jan. 14, 1921.1

CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China: Changsha	Nov. 29			Present with weekly average of two or three cases.
Chungking	do			Present. Sept. 26-Oct. 9, 1920; Deaths,
Calcutta				2,672. Oct. 3-9, 1920: Cases, 18; deaths,
Japan: Taiwan Island (Formosa) Java: West Java		32	18	17. Oct. 29-Nov. 11, 1920: Cases, 2;
Bandoeng	Oct. 29-Nov. 11	2	1	deaths, 1.
Philippine Islands: Manila Provinces—	Nov. 21-27	2		
CagayanSamar	Oct. 3-9 Aug. 1-7	5 1	3	•
Poland: Warsaw	Dec. 16.	5		
Siam: Bangkok	Oct. 9-Nov. 13	4		

¹ From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

Reports Received During Week Ended Jan. 14, 1921—Continued.

PLAGUE.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Algeria:				
Algiers	Nov. 1-30	1		
Brazil:		_	_	
Pernambuco	Oct. 18-Nov. 11	9	1	
Porto Alegre	Nov. 28-Dec. 11		2	
British East Africa:	0.4.04.37			D
Kisumu				Present.
Mombasa	do	1	1	
Nairobi	Oct. 31-Nov. 13	6	2	D. H. Destestant
Uganda	May 1-June 30	111	103	Entire Protectorate.
Do	July 1-Aug. 31	91	63	Do.
Ceylon:				
Colombo	Nov. 7-20	10	12	
Chile:	M. at Day	ا م	_	
Antofagasta	Nov. 24-Dec. 5	6	. 2	
China:	37 = aa'	3	٠.	
Hongkong	Nov. 7-20	3	. 3	·
Ecuador:	37 40 00	••	7	
Guayaquil	Nov. 16-30	18	7	
Great Britain:			.•	1 case reported Dec. 15, 1920
Dublin			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	date of occurrence not reported
Greece:				_
Kavala	Oct. 25-Nov. 7	2		
India:				
Madras Presidency	Nov. 14-27	976	618	
Rangoon	Oct. 31-Nov. 20	10	9	
		' i		
Mesopotamia: Bagdad	Oct. 1-31	25	7	
Maxian:				
Vera Cruz		!		Oct. 24-30, 1920. Cases, 1: pneu
1				monic.
Straits Settlements:				
Singapore	Oct. 31-Nov. 6	1	1	

SMALLPOX.

	1	1		1
Brazi¹:	0.4 10 27 14	77	1	
Pernambuco	Oct. 18-Nov. 14	. "	1	· ·
British East Africa:	35	272		·
Uganda	May 1-June 30	2,2		ł
Canada:	1	l	l	
Alberta—	l	1 _	!	
Calgary	Dec. 12-18	2		
British Columbia—		1 -		
Vancouver	Dec. 5-11	1		
Ontario—			l	*
Hamilton	Dec. 26-31	5		
North Bay	Dec. 12-25			
Ottawa	Dec. 19-25	39		
Toronto	Dec. 19-25	8		
Do	Dec. 26-Jan. 1	10		
Saskatchewan-				
Moose Jaw	Dec. 19-25	1		
Regina	Dec. 12-25	11		
Saskatoon	Dec. 16-22	20		
China:		ì		
Amov	Nov. 7-20	!	2	
Foochow	Nov. 7-20 Nov. 7-27			Present.
	Nov. 21-27			Do.
Tsinanfu	Oct. 31-Nov. 12			Statistics of Shantung Christian
1 01:1311.(1				University Hospital.
Colombia:				, cast cast, and pro-
Santa Marta	Dec. 12-25			Present.
Cuba:	200.12 20			1.050-211
Antilla	Dec. 14-27	8		For Preston.
Santiago				101110300
Danziz				
Dominican Republic		•		Nov. 15-Dec. 7, 1920. Cases, 8,
Dominican Republic			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Occurring in 4 localities.
Ecuador:				Occurring in 1 localities.
Ecuador: Guayaquil	Nov. 16-30	7	1	•
Ouayaquii	1101. 10-00	• •	- 1	1

Reports Received During Week Ended Jan. 14, 1921-Continued.

SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
			 	
France:		١.	١.	
Paris Great Britain:	Nov. 1-10	1	1	ł
Glasgow	Dec. 5-11	4	1	
Haiti:	Sant SO Dec 0	400	١ .	Y- 8 ! A 00
Port au Prince	Sept. 22-Dec. 2	486	2	In 8 interior towns, 20 cases, death. In one locality, 18 cases
		!	l	In country district, vicinity
•			1	Port au Prince, cases numer ous.
India				Sept. 26-Oct. 9, 1920. Deaths, 25
Bombay	Nov. 7-13	1	1 1	
Jugo-Slavia	Nov. 14-20 July 25-Aug. 28	1 128	1 42	Feb. 7-13, 1920, Cases, 12
	0 a.y 20 11ag. 2011.		-	deaths, 27.
Madeira: Funchal	D&c. 5-11		1	
Mexico:	Dec. 5-11			i e
Chihuahua	Dec. 20-26	7	3	
Portugal: Lisbon	Nov. 28-Dec. 4		1	
Portuguese East Africa:			1	
Lourenco Marques	Oct. 31-Nov. 13	6		Also present in interior.
Spain: Barcelona	Nov. 26-Dec. 1		3	-
Corunna	Nov. 26-Dec. 1 Dec. 12-18		i	
Valencia	Dec. 5-18	2		
Syria: Aleppo	Nov. 21-Dec. 4			Present.
Tunis:				
Tunis Union of South Africa:	Nov. 30-Dec. 13	10	5	
Johannesburg	Oet. 1-31	1		
			<u> </u>	
	TYPHUS	PEVE	₹.	
Chile:				
Concepcion	Nov. 1-22		17	
Valparaiso	Oct. 25-Nov. 27	• • • • • • • •	13	
Egypt: Alexandria	Nov. 26-Dec. 2	1	2	
Cairo	Oct. 8-14	6	4	
Great Britain: Belfast	Dec. 5-11	3		
Dublin	Nov. 28-Dec. 18	. 4	3	
Grecce:	i	6		•
SalonikiSerres	Oct. 25-Nov. 7 Nov. 8-14	1	3	
ugo-Slavia	July 25-Aug. 28	27	5	Feb. 7-13, 1920; Cases, 84; deaths,
Mexico: San Luis Potosi	Dec. 12-25			2. Present.
Poland:	i	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		I lesent.
	Dec. 16	8	[
Warsaw	Doc. 10			
Warsaw		167		
Warsaw Russia: Reval Turkey:	Sept. 1-30	167		
Warsaw Russia: Reval		167 6		
Warsaw Russia: Reval Turkey:	Sept. 1-30	6	L.	
Warsaw Russia: Reval Turkey:	Sept. 1-30 Nov. 28-Dec. 4	6	L.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Warsaw Russia: Reval Turkey:	Sept. 1-30 Nov. 28-Dec. 4	6	L.	

Reports Received from Jan. 1 to 7, 1921.1

CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	. Remarks.
Chosen (Korea)				Nov. 19-25, 1920: Deaths, 22.
ndia: Ca'cutta	Oct. 31-Nov. 6	50	42	
apan: Taiwan Island	Nov. 11-20	45	_	ĺ ·
hilippine Islands:	1	i -	1	ł
Mani'aProvinces	Nov. 7-13	1		Jan. 10-Oct. 30, 1920: Cases, 80 deaths, 51.
	PLA	GUE.	·	
Brazil:	1		1	1
Porto Alegre	Nov. 14-20		. 1	T
gyptCities—				Jan. 1-Nov. 25, 1920: Cases, 456 deaths, 264.
Suez	Nov. 18-24	6	3	,
Provinces— Assiout	Nov. 24	3	2	
idia				Oct. 24-30, 1920: Cases, 2,852 deaths, 2,097.
Carbonera	Dec. 5-18	2		State of San Luis Potosi.
(erritosurkey:	Dec. 5-12	4	3	Do.
Constantinople	Nov. 21-27	1	2	
	SMAL	LPOX.		
razil:	0.1.01.00			•
Rio de Janeiro	Oct. 24-30	66	13	
Sofia	Nov. 7-13	2		
anada: New_Brunswick—		_		
Restigouche County Ontario—	Dec. 12-18	1	••••••	
Hamilton	Dec. 19-25 Dec. 12-18	4 36	i	
Ottawa Toronto	do	7		
hina: Chungking	Nov. 7-13			Present.
Nanking	Nov. 7-13 Nov. 14-20			Do.
Tientsinolombia:	Nov. 14-20	2	•••••	
Santa Martauba:	Dec. 5-11	•••••	•••••	Do.
Antilla	Dec. 7-13	2		For port of Preston.
Nuevitas	Dec. 6-12 Nov. 20-30	1 25	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	From Lugareno, a small station on railway, 16 miles distant.
gypt:		·1		on run way, to mines distant.
Cairorance:	Oct. 1-7	_	•••••	
Rouen	Nov. 21-27	2	1	
Chihuahuaortuguese East Africa:	Dec. 6-12	4		
ortuguese East Africa: Lourenco Marques	Oct. 24-30	3 !		
Quelimane	do	3		
ussia: Reval	Oct. 1-31	3		
Riga	Nov. 1-7	5		
pain: Barcelona	Nov. 18-24		2	
yria:	Nov. 14-20	l		Present in orphanage and French
Aleppo	11-4U	••••••	••••••	camps.
urkey: Constantinople	Nov. 21-27	1		

¹ From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources. For reports received from June 26 to Dec. 31, 1920, see Public Health Reports for Dec. 31, 1920. The tables of epidemic diseases are terminated semiannually and new tables begun.

Reports Received from Jan. 1 to 7, 1921—Continued

TYPHUS FEVER.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Egypt: Alexandria	Nov. 19-25 Oct. 1-7 Nov. 15-21	2 6 2	1 2	Prozent.
Portugal: Oporto. Russia: Reval Riga Turkey: Constantinople.	Nov. 23-Dec. 4 Oct. 1-31 Nov. 1-7 Nov. 21-27	1 19 17 7		
YELLOW FEVER.				
Papautla	Dec. 12-18	2 8 1 9 7	1 2 1 4 3 1	Also called Gutierrez. State of Veta Cruz.