PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 34

MARCH 7, 1919

NO. 10

STANDARDS FOR MEASURING THE EFFICIENCY OF EXHAUST SYSTEMS IN POLISHING SHOPS.

By C. E. A. Winslow, Consultant in Industrial Hygiene, and L. Greenburg and H. C. Angermyer, Scientific Assistants, United States Public Health Service.

Standards of Air Dustiness.

From the standpoint of the sanitarian and public health official, the influence of dusty trades on health is largely dependent on the character of the dust. The industrial dusts with which the present study is concerned are those which are injurious by virtue of their physical properties and their mechanical irritant action rather than because of chemical toxicity or bacterial content.

The protection of workers against such dusts can sometimes be attained by the substitution of wet for dry processes and sometimes by accomplishing sorting or abrading operations by the use of mechanical devices in inclosed spaces. In certain extreme cases, as in the sand-blasting of large castings, the wearing of special respirators or helmets is the only practicable safeguard. In most dust-generating processes, however, and notably in the operation of grinding and buffing wheels, the removal of the dust at its point of production by a powerful system of local exhaust ventilation is the most effective safeguard.

Many State laws deal with this problem, but until recently the provisions they contain have been very general in their nature. Seven years ago Hoffman (1911) reviewed the state of existing legislation as follows:

"Ten States make special mention of dusts from emery wheels or other metallic substances. Except in five States (Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, and Wisconsin) the laws require merely that injurious dusts are to be removed 'as far as practicable' or 'as far as the nature of the business permits', or 'when inhaled to an injurious extent'; and the entire decision as to the kind of protective device necessary is left to the discretion of the inspection officials. In the five States mentioned the laws are more specific in that they carefully

106315°-13--1

define the character of the appliances to be installed and place the duty of installation directly upon the employer. In Michigan and in Illinois under the 1905 act, which was not repealed by the law of 1909, however, the inspector may not enforce the provisions of the law unless complaint has been made by some person, and in Illinois the complaint must be accompanied by \$1. Twenty-eight States and the District of Columbia have no specific legal enactment for the protection of workers from injurious dusts, although several of these have general provisions with reference to ventilation."

Since 1911 several States have adopted, usually through industrial commissions or industrial boards, more specific and definite standards for dust removal. So far as we are aware those regulations all depend on the establishment of a minimum static suction head in the exhaust ducts connected with grinding, polishing, and buffing wheels.

Thus, according to the Wisconsin Code—

"On all grinding, buffing, and polishing wheels, the suction in the connection to the hood must be sufficient to displace a column of water in a U tube, 5 inches.

"The test for suction with the U tube must be a static test and must be made in the following manner: A hole $\frac{1}{8}$ inch in diameter must be made in the suction pipe approximately 12 inches from the connection to the hood. The rubber hose attached to the U tube must be placed over the $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch hole and the test made under these conditions. When the water in the U tube stands at 0, the 5-inch displacement is secured when one column of water rises $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches above 0 and the other column of water falls $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches below 0."

The New Jersey code requires that-

"Sufficient suction head shall be maintained in each branch pipe within 15 inches of the hood to displace 2 inches of water in a U-shaped tube. Pressure to be taken by pressing tube attachment over small opening through pipe, commonly called static method. Tests to be made with all branches open and unobstructed."

The New York code reads:

"Sufficient static suction shall be maintained in every branch pipe within 1 foot of the hood to produce a difference of level of at least 2 inches of water between the two sides of a U-shaped tube. Test shall be made placing one end of a rubber tube over a small hole made in pipe, the other end of tube being connected to one side of U-shaped water gauge. Such test shall be made with all branch pipes open and unobstructed."

The head, as thus measured, includes both velocity and frictional components, and it is obvious that it bears no necessary relation to the velocity of the exhaust at the face of the wheel itself. The State codes, to which reference has been made, do, it is true, specify the

size of the exhaust piping to be installed for a wheel of any given size, but the form of the hood and its arrangement in relation to the grinding process will materially affect the results obtained, while any obstruction to air flow between the wheel and the point where the suction head is measured will reduce the actual efficiency obtained with a given suction head. For example, in a certain polishing shop studied by us the exhaust was effected through a 10 by 6 inch opening in the machine table directly below the wheel. In these openings were placed screens of wire mesh, some with nine-sixteenths inch meshes and others with one-fourth inch meshes. The fine mesh screens were many of them badly clogged with lint and other forms of dirt. the same suction head in the exhaust pipe, the velocity through the 10 by 6 inch opening without any screen was 900 feet per minute: with a fairly clean coarse screen (A, fig. 1) it was 860 feet; with a badly clogged fine screen (B, fig. 1) it was only 500 feet.

For such reasons the standard which depends only on suction head in the exhaust pipe seems to us very inadequate as a measure of the actual protection afforded to the worker, and the difference between the New York and New Jersey standards of 2 inches and the Wisconsin standard of 5 inches indicates that the evidence upon which even this imperfect standard has been based must be somewhat inconclusive.

A more valuable sort of standard from the sanitary standpoint would be one based on actual velocity of exhaust at the point of dust production, instead of suction head in the duct below. Such a standard was suggested by the British departmental committee on the lead hazard in the pottery industry (Great Britain, 1910) in the form of the very mild recommendation that a speed of 100 linear feet per minute should be maintained at the point of dust production.

The only standard that can be altogether satisfactory to the sanitarian, however, is one that deals directly with the actual condition of the air inhaled by the worker. It is well that certain definite suction heads and air velocities should be maintained, but what we really want to know is whether the dust has actually been removed. Mechanical standards are convenient and easy of application, but whenever special conditions interfere with such correlation it is the actual state of the atmosphere that is of primary importance. What we must ultimately rely upon in the future is a standard that rests upon the number or weight of dust particles actually contained in the air breathed by the worker.

So far as we are aware only two efforts have so far been made to set definite standards of this kind for industrial dusts, both of them in connection with the air of mines.

The earliest of these attempts was made by the miners' phthisis prevention committee of South Africa (1916), and reference to their

report (p. 21) shows that they were fully cognizant of the difficulty and the novelty of the problem. To quote:

"69. The committee, being desirous of taking immediate steps to cope with the disease, was confronted with the difficulty that no standard of purity with regard to dust existed to which to work. In order to assist in arriving at such a standard, tests of the amount and the character of the dust in the street air of Johannesburg were taken as affording some basis of comparison. At the same time it was soon discovered by experiment that it was practically impossible to remove by dust-allaying devices all the dust from either underground or surface air. The question arose as to what weight per cubic meter could be considered permissible, and as this was more or less a matter for conjecture the committee decided, for the time being, to adopt the tentative standard of 5 milligrams of dust to the cubic meter of air. This figure was at the time supposed to represent the average amount of silicious dust under 70 microns diameter present in the air of a Johannesburg street, but on account of the great difference in character and the proportion of 'injurious' dust between mine and street dust, the direct comparison of weights may be misleading.

"The amount of 'injurious' dust which air can carry without being dangerous has not yet been determined, and, indeed, can only be ascertained by experience."

The only other attempt to set a standard for the dust content of air was made by Higgins, Lanza, Laney, and Rice (1917) in their very complete study of the mines in the Joplin district (Missouri). They say:

"The most reasonable standard then appears to be one based on the quantity of dust that will remain in suspension after the best known methods have been put into use for its abatement.

"It has been demonstrated in the sheet ground mines of the Joplin district that by the proper use of water and the regulation of certain details of mining the quantity of dust in the mine air can be kept below 1 milligram per 100 liters of air; so it seems reasonable to use 1 milligram as a standard at least for the Joplin district."

No similar studies have, so far as we are aware, been made in connection with the dust produced in grinding or polishing industries.

Methods Adopted for Studying Suction Head in Exhaust Pipes and Dust Content of Air.

Before attempting to fix standards of air dustiness we desired to study the relation in actual practice between suction head in exhaust pipes and dust content of the air of the workroom. Our method of procedure was to vary the exhaust pressure at the grinding wheel, measure this pressure in terms of inches of water in a U tube, and then

find the corresponding dust content of the air in the near vicinity of the machine. It is quite evident that under given conditions there must exist a more or less definite exhaust pressure, above which it is impossible to obtain further marked reductions in the dust content of the atmosphere. This quantity of dust may for the want of a better name be called the residual dust content of the air. This dust count (and weight) would probably be a fair standard and would agree closely with the ideas of Lanza and Higgins when they say:

"The most reasonable standard, then, appears to be one based on the quantity of dust that will remain in suspension after the best known methods have been put into use for its abatement."

At the very outset of our work it was agreed that the count of the number of dust particles in each cubic foot of air would not by itself give a complete record of the harmfulness of the dust. It was decided that the following information was essential: Number of particles, distribution by size, weight of dust per cubic foot of air, and the proportion of the dust that was organic or inorganic in nature.

The choice of a sampling method was greatly facilitated by the final report of "The Committee on Standard Methods for the Examination of Air" (1917).

Referring to the Palmer "water spray method," the committee concludes: "This method is a new one and may no doubt be altered and improved in the future. In its present form, however, it has given entirely satisfactory results as tested in the laboratory of three members of the committee. We believe it to be the most promising method now available and recommend that it be used in ordinary sanitary investigations."

In an exhaustive report by Palmer, Coleman, and Ward (1916) we find (p. 1063) under the summary of results with the three sampling methods studies (Graham-Rogers plate, sugar filter, and water-spray methods):

The water-spray apparatus is superior to the sugar filter in-

- (a) Making possible the collection of larger air samples in the same period of time, with the attendant greater accuracy in the count.
- (b) Providing a dust sample whose content can be estimated by turbidity and weight as well as by counting.
 - (c) Being more portable.
- (d) Simplifying and reducing the errors of technique in the substitution of distilled water as the filtering medium for solid soluble material, such as sugar or resorcin, whose dust content is a more variable factor.

And, lastly, Ward (1916), speaking of this same method, says (p. 171):

"It is firmly believed that the new method not only furnishes the simplest and most practical means of determining the dust content in

the air in industrial establishments but that it also gives promise of the most constant results by which the required legal standards may be obtained as a prerequisite to the enforcement of desirable conditions of wholesome air purity in factories, workshops, and mines."

In view of this experience and these recommendations the Palmer water-spray method was chosen by us as the best method to employ.

The technique in the collection and counting of the dust particles followed closely that formulated by the committee on standard methods for the examination of air. In general it was as follows:

The Palmer apparatus was placed in position, 40 cubic centimeters of distilled water was added to the bulb of the machine, and the machine was started. It has been shown by Palmer et al. (1916) (p. 1061) that in a given atmosphere the dust count varies to some degree inversely with the size of the sample, as a result of errors in small samples due to the presence of dust in the water or on the slide. this reason our samples were practically all of either 250 or 300 cubic feet of air. After each three or four minutes of operation water was added to the bulb to make up that lost by evaporation. At the end of the sampling time the water from the Palmer bulb was drained into an Erlenmeyer flask graduated to 100 cubic centimeters. bulb was then rinsed with small additional portions of water and these were added to the flask. The total sample was then made up to 100 cubic centimeters. Suitable controls were made with the distilled water used in sampling, which controls received the same laboratory treatment as the dust samples.

When the sample arrived at the laboratory it was thoroughly agitated and two 1-cubic centimeter portions were removed to Sedgwick-Rafter counting cells. These cells were scrupulously cleaned and in most cases were examined empty under the microscope to detect the presence of any adventitious dust. After allowing the cell contents to settle, five counts were made on each cell, one at each corner and one in the center. The lens combination used in the microscope was No. 3 objective, a No. 3 eyepiece with an inserted eyepiece micrometer and a microscope tube length of 166 millimeters. With this magnification, which was approximately 84 diameters, the smallest square ruled on the eyepiece micrometer was found to be 0.02 millimeter on a side, which is the dimension of a "standard unit." In recording the dust count, the particles were grouped by sizes and recorded in counts of the number of particles in each of the following classes.

- 1. Large masses about 100 standard units (0.04 square millimeter.)
- 2. About 25 standard units (0.01 square millimeter).
- 3. About 1 standard unit (0.0004 square millimeter).
- 4. About 4 standard unit (0.0001 square millimeter).
- 5. Dust too fine to count. Presence indicated by a plus (+) sign.

Gravimetric determinations were then made on the remainder of the original sample. The method here consisted in filtering the sample through an ignited and weighed Gooch crucible. The crucible was dried in an oven at 100°-110° for one hour and again weighed. The corrected difference in weight was taken to represent the total weight of the sampled dust. The crucible was then ignited over the bunsen flame and reweighed, the loss in weight being taken as the amount of organic matter present in the original sample.

In all cases a correction was applied for the control analysis. In the microscopic examination this consisted in deducting from the results of the sample analysis the number of particles in each group as found in the control. In the gravimetric analysis the weight of total solids as found in the control was deducted from the solids as found in the sample and any loss in weight on ignition of the control was deducted from that of the sample.

To convert the corrected dust count into the number of particles per cubic foot of air we must first multiply the average count per \(\frac{1}{2} \) field by 4 in order to give the average count per total field \(-1.0 \) millimeter \times 1.0 millimeter. Since our cell is 1 millimeter deep this count gives the number of particles in a cubic millimeter of our sample. This value must now be multiplied by 1,000 to give the count per cubic centimeter, and again by 100, since the total amount of dust was suspended in 100 cubic centimeters of water. (In a few cases the sample did not contain 100 cubic centimeters and in these the proper figure was used.) It now remains to divide this figure by the total number of cubic feet of air in the sample. Summarizing, we have:

Particles per cubic foot of air = Count per one-fourth field × 400.000 ÷ cubic feet of air in sample.

The U tube used for determining the exhaust pressure was an ordinary piece of glass tubing, bent in the form of a U, attached to one end of which was a piece of rubber tubing. The U tube was filled with water, so colored as to facilitate reading.

The method of determining the U-tube reading was very simple. It consisted in applying to a hole $\frac{1}{6}$ inch in diameter, drilled in the straight portion of the branch exhaust pipe between the machine and the main lateral, the loose end of the rubber tube to which the U tube was fastened. The difference in water level on both sides of the U was then read from a scale fastened to the tube.

Velocity measurements were also made at each machine with an anemometer, so as to determine if possible any correlation between U-tube reading and velocity of air flow.

The exhaust in the principal workroom where these studies were conducted was through a rectangular opening below the wheel. The branch exhaust pipe had a bend just below this opening, and in order to secure a fairly even distribution of velocity over the area where the

measurement was made, we removed the wheel and placed over the exhaust opening a rectangular box the size of the opening and 2 feet high, with top and bottom open, and made our anemometer measurements at the top of this box.

Relation between Suction Head in Exhaust Ducts, Velocity of Flow at Point of Exhaust, and Dust Content of Workroom Air.

Our first studies were carried out in the "Cornering and Light Polishing Shop" of a large small-arms plant, which we shall call "Factory A"; and we desire to express our warm appreciation of the courteous cooperation on the part of the management which made these studies possible.

The "Cornering and Light Polishing Shop" in question is rectangular in shape, 142 feet long by 52 feet wide and 12 feet from floor to ceiling. Ventilation is secured by means of 14 Fenestra windows, 10 by 18 feet. These windows are completely unobstructed by buildings on either side. Natural light in this room is ample, artificial light being used only on dark days.


The operation carried on is that of polishing gun parts and magazine tubes. This is accomplished by holding the piece in close contact with the abrasive wheel and so moving the piece that a smooth polished surface is obtained.

The equipment in this shop consists of five rows of polishing machines mounting approximately 29 wooden wheels, 20 small leather wheels, and 6 belts. Four types of exhaust piping are in use. For polishing magazine tubes a 2 by 6 inch overhead exhaust is used. For polishing large rifle parts a standard down-draft exhaust hood is provided having a 10 by 6 inch throat opening. For the small leather wheel equipment no hoods are used, the exhaust pipe terminating in a 3-inch opening beneath the wheel. For the emery belts a special form of adjustable hood and pipe is employed.

The emery wheels are made by gluing the emery powder (No. 90 is generally used) to the wheel by means of a thin application of glue. When an emery wheel is to be used for the first time, after being freshly coated with emery, it is necessary that all of the loose and large or coarse particles of emery be removed. This is accomplished by means of a piece of metal, or in some cases by the use of a paddle-shaped piece of wood coated with carborundum dust, held firmly against the wheel. This is called truing the wheel. During the polishing process oil must frequently be applied by holding firmly against the wheel an oil-soaked roll of cloth. In the process of polishing gun parts as here performed there are, therefore, in the main three sources of dust:

- 1. Truing the wheel.
- 2. Oiling the wheel.
- 3. Polishing the gun part.

Public Health Reports, Vol. 34, No. 10, March 7, 1919.






Fig. 1.

435

According to nature and origin the dust may be grouped as follows:

Organic:
Wood.
Cloth.
Glue.
Leather or felt.

Inorganic:
Emery.
Metal.
Carborundum.

Two rows of machines were selected as being best suited to the purposes of our experiments, one row along the center aisle at the east end of the room and the other row along the north window side of the east end. The operation performed on the window row of machines was that of polishing the magazine tubes, and on the center row that of polishing the trigger guards of the rifles. These two particular rows were selected because they presented the most continuous flow of work and also the most continuous of the grinding operations. The Palmer apparatus was placed midway between two adjoining polishing machines, a position as near a machine as could be obtained without interfering with the work of the operator. The standardization of experimental conditions was exceedingly difficult to obtain, owing to the fact that the work in this shop was of an emergency war nature, and interference with the operators was not to be considered.

Table 1 shows in detail the results of our experimental studies in this shop. (See also fig. 2.)

A second series of similar observations was made in another grinding room of factory A, designated as the heavy polishing shop. This room is rectangular in shape, 249 feet long by 39 feet wide, and 13 feet from floor to ceiling. Ventilation is secured by windows only, of which there are 100.


In this shop the larger parts of the rifles are polished, the method of polishing being exactly the same as that employed, and the abrasive wheels being duplicates of those used, on the two rows of machines studied in the cornering and light polishing shop.

Two rows of machines were selected in this room, four sampling positions being chosen on one row and three on the other. At these seven stations samples were taken coincident with high and low U-tube readings.

In Table 2 are given the results of the experimental observations and analyses in the heavy polishing shop. (See fig. 3.)


It will be noted that the observations were made in pairs. Each pair includes, first, one observation under the normal conditions of operation of the exhaust system, and, second, an observation at the same point after a brief interval (5 to 10 minutes), during which the suction head had been reduced by opening doors in the main exhaust duct between the sampling point and the exhaust fan.

In the miners phthisis prevention committee's report it is stated that "Dr. McCrae found that the dust extracted from the lungs of deceased miners, by a process of acid oxidation, consisted of extremely minute particles. On measurement, it was ascertained that none of the particles were larger than 12 microns in diameter, and



that the great majority of them were less than 1 or 2 microns, or very much smaller in size than a red blood corpuscle.

"These investigations were sufficient to indicate that it is the very fine particles which are the most important factor in the causation of the dis-



ease. It is, therefore, very probable that particles over 12 microns in diameter are relatively unimportant, and that only those lying under the limit of 12 microns need be taken into account."

In the light of these conclusions it is evident that the particles which in our study are of greatest interest as disease-producing ones are those classified as 1 of a standard unit and less in area. One-fourth of a standard unit is equal to 0.0001 square millimeters, or 0.01×0.01 mil-These limeters. particles are, therefore, 10 microns and under in diameter down to, perhaps, 1 or 2 microns, a size which is just countable. It is with these particles that our conclusions will mainly deal.

In Table 3 have been grouped the dust counts of ½ standard unit particles and the weight of the inorganic dust per cubic foot of air sampled, with the corresponding Utube readings.

Table 1.—Dust analyses—Cornering and light polishing shop, factory A.

		Samoling		Exhaust	Average	number of part	Average number of particles per cubic foot of air.	Total num- ber particles	Standard units per	Milligram	Miligrams of solids per cubic foot of air.	per cubic	Per cent
sample No.	Date.	position.	reading (inches).	relocity (fi/min.).	25 stand- ard units.	1 stand- ard unit.	} standard unit.	per cubic foot.	cubic foot of air.	Total.	Organic.	Inor- ganic.	material.
6210. June 21 6211. June 21	June 2	2	3.75	1,470	133 800	8,940 9,310	215,000 535,000	224, 000 545, 000	66, 400 163, 000	0.0295	0.0119	0.0176	59.6 65.0
6240 Juno 24 6241 6242 6243 6245 6244 6244	June 24, 1918	e -	3.50 2.25 1.25	1,697 1,705 1,173	133 133 133	3, 460 12, 500 2, 130 5, 320	22, 400 1, 773,000 45, 200 894,000	26,000 1,790,000 47,500 899,500	10,500 460,000 15,000 227,000	. 0105 . 0605 . 0098 . 0275	.0054 .0145 .0034 .0058	00 ⁷ 1 0460 0064 0217	48.7 78.0 78.0 8.3 8.3
6257 June 25,1918 6251 6252 6255 6254 6254 6255 6255 6255 6255	June 25, 1918	ro 4	4. 63 3. 56 50	4, 903 1, 300 4, 430 1, 340	145	2, 420 3, 270 4, 8, 840 4, 830	22, 200 48, 300 241, 000 745, 000	24, 600 51, 500 245, 000 750, 000	8,000 15,400 195,000 195,000	00% 0156 0104 0193	0033 0030 0052 0045	.0052 .0126 .0052 .0148	61.2 80.7 50.0 75.6
6210 6210 6210 6210 6210 6210	June 20, 1910	m 60	91.2 82.2 82.2 82.2	1,705 1,174 1,682 461	440 145	3,580 6,250 3,270 5,550	720,000 1,000,000 44,500 654,000	1,006,000 48,000 660,000	183,000 256,000 176,000	.0193 .0422 .0148 .0293	. 0052 0134 0078 . 0122	.0141 .0288 .0070 .0171	73.1 68.2 47.3 58.4
62.71 62.71 62.72	June 27,1815	63	3.00 3.00	1,626	3, 700	5,960 25,400	252,000 790,000	258,000 820,000	72, 700 318, 000	.0422	0000	. 3620	87.7 100.0
Rate of sampling, 4.5 cubic feet per	oic feet per minute.	١.	me of sam	ole, betwee	n 275 and	Volume of sample, between 275 and 301 cubic feet	et.						

TABLE 2.—Dust analyses—Heavy polishing shop.

Per cent	material.	6.88.88.88.88.88.88.88.88.88.88.88.88.88
per cubic	Inor- ganic.	0.0607 0.021 0.023 0.0113 0.0115 0.0115 0.077 0.073 0.073 0.073 0.073
Milligrams of solids per cubic foot of air.	Organio.	0.0065 0.0001 0.0001 0.0012 0.0012 0.0015 0.0017 0.0017 0.0012 0.0002 0.0002
Milligram 1	Total.	0.0672 0.153 0.153 0.153 0.212 0.212 0.0553 0.102 0.102 0.103 0.003 0.00
Standard units per	cubic foot of afr.	227, 600 18,3,400 11,115, 150 11,115, 150 11,115, 150 10,400 10,400 11,800 11,900
Total num- ber of parti-	cles per cubic foot.	865, 210 1, 454, 000 141, 880 3, 036, 720 3, 036, 720 188, 920 188, 920 170, 600 170, 600 170
рег	standard unit.	864,000 1,415,000 42,800 2,880,000 2,881,000 181,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000 165,000
Average number of particles cubic foot of air.	1 standard unit.	11, 650 14, 600 19, 330 19, 530 19, 600 31, 780 3, 640 11, 600 11, 600
Average	25 stand- 1 ard units.	160 160 480 800
U-tube	reseang (inches).	**************************************
Sampling	position.	1 2 8 4 9 5
	Date.	July 16,1918 July 17,1918 July 18,1918 July 19,1918
	Sample No.	7160. 7161. 7163. 7164. 7166. 7166. 7170. 7177. 7177. 7182. 7182. 7182. 7182. 7182. 7182. 7183. 7183. 7183. 7183. 7183.

Rate of sampling, 4.5 cubie feet per minute. Volume of all samples, 260 cubie feet.

Table 3.—Comparative	tabulation	of number of	small dust	particles and	l weight of dust
. •	in air with	high and low	suction hea	ids.	, ,

Sample No.	U-tube reading (inches).	Number of ‡ standard unit par- ticles per cubic foot of air.	Milli- grams of solids percubic foot.	Sample No.	U-tube reading (inches).	Number of \$ standard unit par- ticles per cubic foot of air.	Milli- grams of solids per cubic foot.
6211	3. 50 2. 75 4. 63 3. 56 2. 62 3. 25 3. 00 3. 50 3. 40 2. 88 2. 63	215,000 22,400 45,200 22,200 241,000 720,000 44,500 252,000 854,000 70,000 56,800 87,000	0. 0295 .0105 .0098 .0085 .0104 .0193 .0148 .0422 .0672 .0153 .0130	6212. 6242. 6244. 62521. 6254. 6256. 6262. 0264. 6272. 7162. 7164. 7166.	0, 50 25 1, 25 25 25 1, 25 25 1, 50 63 63	535,000 1,773,000 894,000 48,300 745,000 1,000,000 654,000 790,000 1,418,000 42,900 2,980,000	0. 0292 . 0605 . 0275 . 0156 . 0193 . 0422 . 0293 . 3620 . 0382 . 0106 . 2120
7181 7191 7193	3, 25 3, 50 2, 38	130, 700 180, 500 52, 200	.0099 .0240 .0254	7182 7192 7194	.13	165,000 1,075,000 638,000	.0270 .0748 .0802

¹ Operator worked only 10 minutes.

Figure 4 is a graphical representation of the various dust counts and U-tube readings taken from Table 3.

An examination of Table 3 and figure 4 shows that in general, and other conditions being equal, a reduction in suction head is quickly followed by an increase in air dustiness.

The dust counts with low exhaust pressure are relatively high, varying, with two exceptions, from 165,000 to 2,980,000 particles per cubic foot of air. These two exceptions, samples 6252 and 7164, may be justly eliminated. The dust count on these, being less than 50,000 particles at low exhaust pressure, indicates that, relatively speaking, little dust was being created in the operation. It will be noted that while sampling 6252 the operator only worked 10 minutes of the sampling time, and in the case of sample 7164 the operation was very light. The average of all the dust counts is 853,000 particles, and with the two samples 6252 and 7164 omitted, the average becomes 990,000 or practically 1,000,000 particles per cubic foot of air.


With the application of the normal, higher exhaust pressure the dust content is considerably lower, an average of all the samples being 200,000 particles. In this case there are again two samples, 6261 and 7161, which greatly increase the value of the average. Disregarding these two values the general average becomes 120,000 particles per cubic foot.

The total weight of total solids per cubic foot of air is 0.069 milligrams with the low exhaust pressure (averaging 0.56 inch) and 0.023 milligrams with the high exhaust pressure (averaging 3.21 inches).

An examination of the corresponding observations in the two halves of Table 3 (the normal conditions being on the left, the reduced exhaust velocities on the right) shows more clearly than the general averages just what was happening. In one case (samples 7163)

² Very light operation.

and 7164), where only a very light operation was going on, the reduction of the suction head from 3 to 0.63 inches had no effect on the dust content. In every other instance the dust count went up when the suction was reduced, sometimes only a little—from 720,000 to 1,000,000 (6261-2), or from 854,000 to 1,418,000 (7161-2) or



from 130,700 to 165,000 (7181-2), but usually very markedly. In five instances the count of dust particles increased more than tenfold—from 22,400 to 1,773,000 (6241-2), from 45,200 to 894,000 (6243-4), from 44,500 to 654,000 (6263-4), from 56,800 to 2,980,000 (7165-6), and from 52,200 to 638,000 (7193-4)

It is evident that with the type of wheels used in the two rooms studied, and with the processes carried on there, and with the design of hoods and exhaust ducts in use, a general relation between suction velocity and air dustiness can be deduced which is represented by the heavy line in figure 4. According to this curve we may expect on the average to find over 700,000 small dust particles per cubic foot in the air of such a shop with an exhaust suction head of an inch or less, some 500,000 with a 2-inch head, and some 300,000 with a 3-inch head. Obviously, however, variations in the process or in the construction of the exhaust system will make it quite impossible to extend our deductions as to such a relation beyond the conditions specified.

Conclusions as to Reasonable Standards for Special Ventilation to Control Air Dustiness in a Polishing Shop.

The Massachusetts State Board of Health some years ago laid down the principle that in fixing standards of industrial hygiene it was reasonable to require that conditions should be maintained in any industry approximately equal to those already found in the best plants of that industry in actual operation. On such a basis we may perhaps fairly take the normal conditions in the two admirable polishing shops of factory A as a standard for establishments of a similar type.

It will be noted that in both the cornering and light polishing shop and the heavy polishing shop the suction head normally maintained in the exhaust pipes varied between 2.38 and 4.63 inches and averaged 3.21 inches. Only 5 out of 15 observations fell below 3 inches and only one below 2.5 inches. A fall to 1.25 or 1.50 inches (when the exhaust was reduced for experimental purposes) was at once followed by a marked increase in air dustiness. It would appear from these observations that the 5-inch suction head called for by the Wisconsin Code is unnecessarily severe, while the 2-inch head specified in the New York and New Jersey Codes is a trifle lenient. For an absolute lower limit it is perhaps scarcely possible to go much beyond this figure; but we would suggest that a fairer measure of actual performance would be obtained by specifying that the suction velocity in the exhaust pipes of a polishing shop should at no point fall below 2 inches, and should average 3 inches when measured at a number of different points.

The next point of interest is the linear velocity of suction maintained at the throat of the exhaust duct. This velocity, measured as described on page 433, varied for normal conditions in the cornering and light polishing shop from 1,470 to 4,905 feet per minute and averaged 2,409 feet per minute, while with the lowered exhausts the velocity varied from 418 to 1,340 feet per minute and averaged 845 feet. It would appear, then, that good conditions were main-

tained in this shop when the exhaust velocity at the opening of the exhaust pipe averaged about 2,500 feet per minute, with a minimum of 1,500 feet.


It can not be too strongly emphasized that the relation between suction head in the exhaust pipe and velocity at the wheel—and still more the relation between suction head and air dustiness—will vary widely with other conditions which obtain between the point where the suction head is measured and the wheel itself. We have cited a case in which a clogged screen reduced the linear velocity by nearly one-half; and the whole design of the exhaust system, and particularly of the hood over the wheel, will materially affect the results.

There are five main types of exhaust hoods in the shops which we have studied, as follows:

- (1) The underneath type of exhaust hood, which has a 10 by 6 inch opening in the machine table directly under the polishing wheel, which tapers into a 5-inch round pipe connecting with the duct. This hood seems highly efficient, as on account of location and construction it catches practically all of the dust that it is possible to remove.
- (2) The overhead type of exhaust, which is a square cast-iron pipe, 2 by 6 inches, with the 6-inch side parallel to the face of the wheel and adjustable. This type of hood would be more efficient if the opening were flared to about 4 by 7 inches, as with the narrow 2-inch opening a quantity of dust escapes into the air, especially if the operator is careless as to the position in which he holds work on the wheel. When this overhead type of exhaust hood is used, there is also a malleable-iron water pan under the wheel to catch dust that is carried past the exhaust hood. In the cornering and light polishing shop the pans are kept filled with water and catch a large amount of dust, but in the heavy polishing shop they are not used, and the majority of the men did not know what they were for.
- (3) The bayonet-shop hoods.—This hood is used behind wheels on which bayonet blades are polished and sharpened and is a curved hood with flared sides, made of galvanized steel, adjustable to a close position to the wheel or to a distance of 18 inches from the wheel, as the operation may require. The exhaust enters through three narrow vertical openings, running from top to bottom of the hood, the top opening being 2 inches wide at its upper end, the three slits tapering to a width of one-half inch at the bottom of the lower opening. These hoods are probably as good as possible for this type of operation. Their chief drawback is that they offer an increased resistance on account of curved design, with a corresponding drop in the velocity, the velocity at the openings, with a U-tube reading of 3 inches being only 1,200 feet per minute.

- (4) The hoods used on the belt type of polishing machine.—These are the ordinary type of galvanized steel hood, one over each pulley at each end of the belt, the hoods being tapered to a 5-inch round pipe. These hoods seem satisfactory.
- (5) The common type of galvanized steel hood, as installed by plant workmen without expert supervision.—It is this type of hood which causes much trouble. In many cases the hoods are practically worthless, due to the fact that the branch pipe connection to the hood is not placed in the proper position to catch dust as it is thrown from the wheel.

It is clear that the efficiency of any of the above hoods depends, not only on the velocity of the exhaust, but also, in large measure, on the proper location of the pipe connection to the hood. The dust from the polishing or grinding operation is thrown from the wheel at a tangent from the point of contact of the piece of work on the



wheel. If the exhaust hood or pipe connection to the hood is not located so that this stream of dust will enter directly into the mouth of the branch pipe, or in the case of the overhead hood, directly into the mouth of the hood, the speed with which this dust is thrown

from the wheel will carry it past the exhaust hood or pipe, even though the velocity of exhaust is very high.

Other defects of exhaust systems which we have noticed are (1) the incorrect tapering of main ducts; (2) the use of too many elbows and of elbows of too square an angle; (3) the entering of branch pipes into the main duct at too square an angle.

Such conditions as those cited make it clear that the suction head maintained in the exhaust pipe, and even the linear velocity at its opening, below or above the wheel, can give only an imperfect idea of the actual condition of the shop air as regards dustiness. From the data presented in Tables 1 and 2 it seems possible to

establish tentative standards in regard to the really important condition, the actual dustiness of the air.

First of all, it may be noted that the particles present in the air of the two polishing shops studied were chiefly small particles of the ‡ standard unit size (2-10 microns). The average size of all dust particles in 30 samples of air was 0.28 standard unit or 0.00011 square millimeter. In other words, the average particle was close to 5.6 microns in diameter. The average weight of all dust particles in the same 30 samples was a little less than 0.000000086 milligram. The proportion of inorganic matter in the dust of the cornering and light polishing shop averaged 63 per cent; in the dust of the heavy polishing shop, 80 per cent.

The particles as they appeared under the microscope included both steel and emery, the former being in the majority. Particles of both types were almost all sharp and angular and the steel particles were generally of an elongated and jagged form. There were also

obvious particles of lint present in the samples.

Turning now (from the standpoint of possible analytical standards) to the figures for the 15 samples taken with normal exhaust conditions, we find the average weight of dust in the air to be only 0.0237 milligram per cubic foot. Of the 15 weights, 3 were under 0.01 milligram, 6 between 0.01 and 0.02 milligram, 3 between 0.02 and 0.04 milligram, 2 between 0.04 and 0.06 milligram, and 1 over 0.06 milligram. We might fairly conclude from these data that the weight of dust in the air of a polishing shop can, with an efficient exhaust system, be kept constantly below 0.06 milligram per cubic foot and should not average over 0.03 milligram. These figures are lower than the standards of 0.14 milligram per cubic foot and 0.28 milligram per cubic foot set, respectively, by the South African commission and by Higgins and Lanza for mine air; but it is obvious that the air of a polishing shop can, and therefore should, be kept freer from dangerous dust than that of a metal mine.

It has been pointed out above that it is the small dust particles which are of chief importance, so that a standard based on the number of ½ standard unit (2–10 microns) particles in the air should prove even more valuable than one based on weight. The average count of the 15 samples collected under normal conditions as previously noted was 200,000 of such small particles per cubic foot. Four samples were under 50,000, 4 between 50,000 and 100,000, 5 between 100,000 and 300,000, and 2 over 300,000. It appears then that the dust content of a polishing shop can be kept generally under 300,000 small ½ standard unit dust particles per cubic foot and should not average over 200,000.

For comparison with the two well-ventilated shops which have been discussed, it may be interesting to cite results of our studies of another small grinding shop in factory A where conditions were much less satisfactory. This shop is a single basement room, approxi-

mately 90 feet long, 36 feet wide, and 9 feet from floor to ceiling. The only means of securing general ventilation is by the door (8 by 8 feet) which opens on the north side of the building. There are six small windows in the room, but these are rarely opened.

The process carried on in this shop is the removal from the forged gun parts and bayonets of the fin which is generally to be found around their perimeter. This is accomplished by holding the forging in close contact with the abrasive wheel and moving it along the surface so that the whole fin is evenly removed. The composition of the metal is in general nickel steel and that portion removed by the abrasive wheel is mainly composed of the oxides of this steel.

The equipment consists of 18 solid grinding wheels mounted in pairs. Two of the wheels have no exhaust hoods, but are equipped with cast-iron guards only. These are wheels of fine texture and used only for very light work. Four of the wheels have hoods but no connection to the exhaust system. The 12 remaining wheels have hoods with a pipe connection at the rear. The suction pressure in the main line of piping, however, varies only from 0 to 1 inch static water pressure, largely as a result of the defective design of the exhaust system. The main duct from the fan to the machines is incorrectly tapered and too many elbows are used between the fan and the dust collector. The last section of the main duct from fan to machines is in this case a 6-inch pipe, and it has four 5-inch pipes with a combined area of 78 square inches connected into it. As the area of the 6-inch duct is only 28 square inches, the velocity of the last branch is zero, and conditions will never be satisfactory until a correctly designed system is installed.

Because of the fact that many different types of parts have to be handled, and also because the size of the fin which is to be removed varies greatly, the amount of dust generated will vary between wide limits. On some classes of work the amount of heavy dust generated is very considerable, but this in many cases does not show in the results of the analyses because such dust rapidly drops to the floor of the workroom.

TABLE 4.—Dust determinations—Rough forge grinding shop, factory A.

Average number of particles per cubic foot of air, by sizes.	Total number of	Standard	1

Sample.	Sampling	cubic	umber of pa foot of air, by	articles per y sizes.	Total number of particles	Standard units per	Solids per cubic loot of air
Nô.	position.	25 standard units.	1 standard unit.	a standard unit.	non orthic Cubic ic	cubic foot of air.	(mgs./cu. ft.).
6101	1 2 2 1 2 3		5,800 11,000 19,000 21,000 30,300 36,000	104, 200 193, 000 455, 000 327, 000 529, 000	110,000 204,000 474,000 348,000 560,000 540,000	31, 100 59,000 132,000 102,000 181,000 170,000	0.024 .048 .245 .286 .505 .341

Rate of sampling, 4.5 and 4 cubic feet per minute, respectively. Volume of air samples, 112-160 and 301.5 cubic feet.

The results of the experimental observations are shown in Table 4. It will be noted that the ½ standard unit particles which are of greatest interest range in number from 100,000 to 500,000, the average being 35°,000. With regard to the weight of the dust per cubic foot of air, the values range from 0.024 to 0.505 per cubic foot, the average being 241 milligrams per cubic foot. Only two samples were analyzed for the nature of the dust, 6201 and 6208. These showed that over 99 per cent of the dust was of inorganic nature.

The failure to comply with the standards suggested on page 445 is evidently due in this instance to obvious defects in the exhaust system, and it is clear that such a system as that at present installed should be radically reconstructed.

Observations in Regard to Air Dustiness in the Sand-blasting Room of Factory A.

It is obvious that different standards of air dustiness must be worked out for various industrial processes by a study of conditions actually maintained in well-operated shops. It may be of interest, however, to present here certain data obtained in a section of a workshop in factory A used for sand blasting.

The equipment consists of five sand-blasting cabinets, in which the operation is performed by passing the arms through open portholes in the cabinet and holding the object to be cleaned in the path of the sand blast, the operator guiding his movements by looking through a window in the face of the cabinet.

The dust in the air of this shop may arise from-

- 1. The agitation of the heaps of sand on the floor of the room.
- 2. The escape of sand through the porthole around the arm of the operator.

Table 5 shows the results of the analysis of samples of air in front of the sand-blasting cabinets. From this table it may easily be seen that the dust counts of 1 standard unit particles are very large, varying from 400,000 to 3,000,000, the average being 1,510,000, the weights of dust per cubic foot of air varying from 0.31 to 0.93 milligrams per cubic foot, and it will be observed that the dust is 97 per cent inorganic material.

In such a workshop as this we believe that if the floor were kept free from sand piles (the sand being stored in a covered bin), if the floor adjacent to the cabinets were kept sprinkled with water, and above all if the portholes opening into the cabinets were protected by tight sleeves through which the arm of the operator were passed, the air could be kept reasonably free from dust, probably well within the limits suggested for polishing shops.

Table 5.—Dust determinations—Sand blasting shop, Factory A.

Per cent	ganic matter.	97.4 97.5 97.2 97.1
ot of air	Inor- ganic.	0.309 .592 .9100
Solids per cubic foot of air (mgs./cu. ft.).	Organic.	0.0008 .0015 .0024
Solids pe	Total.	0.3175 .6070 .9360
	units per cubic foot of air.	161,000 262,000 744,000 437,000
Total num-	per particles per cubic foot of air.	478, 050 794, 767 3, 356, 000 1, 429, 500
Average number of particles per cubic foot of air, by sizes.	i standard unit.	431, 500 715, 000 3, 220, 000 1, 427, 000
number of p	standard 1 standard 2 standard unit.	46,300 79,500 136,000 82,500
Average	25 standard units.	287
	Sampling position.	Control Machines 1 and 2 Machines 2 and 4 Control Machines 3 and 4 Control Machines 1 and 2
	Date.	June 14, 1918 July 6, 1918 July 10, 1918
-	Sample No.	6140 June 14, 1918 6141 6142 760 July 6, 1918 7700 July 10, 1918 7121

Rate of sampling 4.5 cubic feet per minute. Volume of samples, 250 and 300 cubic feet.

References.

American Labor Legislation Review, Vol. I, No. 2, June, 1911.

Final Report of the Committee on Standard Methods for the Examination of Air, American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 7, 54.

Higgins, E., Lanza, A. J., Laney, F. B., and Rice, G. S., 1917. Siliceous Dust in Relation to Pulmonary Diseases among Miners in the Joplin District Missouri. Bull. 132, Bureau of Mines.

Hoffman, F. L. Bulletin of the Bureau of Labor, No. 79, p. 643.

Hoffman, F. L. Mortality from Respiratory Diseases in Dusty Trades, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bull, 231.

Klotz, O., 1914. Pulmonary Anthracosis; a Community Disease. American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 4, 887.

Miners Phthisis Prevention Committee, General Report. Johannesburg, 1916.

New York State Factory Investigating Commission, 2d Report, 1913, Vol. 1.

Oliver, T. Dangerous Trades, 1902, p. 267.

Palmer, G. T., Coleman, L. V., and Ward, H. C., 1916. Methods for Determining Air Dustiness. American Journal of Public Health, Vol. 6, 1049.

Report of the Departmental Committee Appointed to Inquire into the Dangers Attendant on the Use of Lead and the Danger of Injury to Health Arising from Dust and Other Causes in the Manufacture of Earthenware and China. London, 1910.

Ward, H. C. Determination of Standards for the Atmospheric Dust Content in Factories and Workshops. Bull. of Am. Museum of Safety, July-August, 1916.

A PRACTICAL TRAINING COURSE IN PUBLIC HEALTH ADMINISTRATION.

Preliminary announcement is made by the public health committee of the New York Academy of Medicine and the New York Bureau of Municipal Research of a practical training course in public health administration to be conducted jointly by these two agencies in New York City during the coming spring. The contemplated course will cover a period of six weeks beginning April 30, 1919. The first three weeks of the course will be devoted to lecture-conferences conducted by public health experts of national reputation, and the last three weeks to field study and observation of health work and institutions in and about New York City. No persons will be enrolled for the course who can not give assurance of attendance for at least the first three weeks of the course. The last three weeks of practical field study is, however, optional. During the first three weeks, a day or the major part of a day will be given to a lecture-conference on each topic of the program, sessions of the course being held daily except Sunday from 10 a. m. to 12 m. and from 2 to 4 p. m. A fee of \$25 will be required of all students enrolling for the course.

The aim of the sponsors for this training course is to make it possible for the busy health executive to come in contact with the leaders of public health thought and action in the United States, and through such contact to acquire, for use in his own work, new ideas

and new enthusiasm. It is not intended that this course shall compete in any way with the already established courses in public health offered by special schools, but rather that it shall bridge the gulf between the educational opportunity afforded health executives by the annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, and the long courses of training offered by the special schools. The administrative side of public health work will be stressed, technical medical knowledge being taken for granted.

Among the public health leaders who have already accepted the responsibility of conducting lecture-conferences are—

Lee K. Frankel, President of the American Public Health Association.

William T. Sedgwick, Professor of Biology and Public Health, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Hibbert W. Hill, M. D., Executive recretary of the Minnesota Public Health Association.

Charles V. Chapin, M. D., Superint indent of Health, Providence, R. I.

George W. Goler, M. D., Health Off er, Rochester, N. Y.

Leland E. Cofer, M. D., Health Officer, Port of New York.

A. J. McLaughlin, M. D., Assistant Surgeon General, United States Public Health Service.

L. L. Lumsden, M. D., Assistant Surgeon General, United States Public Health Service.

Alice Hamilton, M. D., Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor.

James Alexander Miller, M. D., Director, Tuberculosis Service, Bellevue Hospital, New York City.

Ella Phillips Crandall, R. N., Executive Secretary, National Organization for Public Health Nursing.

Charles F. Bolduan, M. D., Consultant, United States Public Health Service.

Henry B. Hemenway, M. D., Chief of Division of Public Health Instruction, Illinois State Health Department.

Augustus B. Wadsworth, M. D., Director of Laboratories, New York State Health Department.

A. E. Shipley, M. D., Major, Medical Corps, United States Army.

A brief tentative outline of the course follows:

Part I.—Introductory.

- 1. The public health movement, with special reference to the work of the American Public Health Association.
 - 2. The economics of health.
 - 3. State and municipal health departments—scope, functions, and relations.
 - 4. The United States Public Health Service—origin, history, and functions.
 - 5. Private health agencies—scope, functions, and relation to public agencies.

Part II.—Public Health Administration—Organization, Legal and Financial Aspects.

- 1. The principles of business efficiency.
- 2. The health budget; its relation to administrative efficiency.
- 3. Sanitary codes and laws; legal aspects of public health administration.
- 4. The organization and administration of a health department—functions, divisions of work, personnel.

Part III.—Administration of Special Health Functions.

- 1. Vital statistics.
- 2. Communicable diseases.
- 3. Contagious disease hospitals.
- 4. The health laboratory.
- 5. Tuberculosis.
- 6. Venereal diseases.
- 7. Industrial hygiene.
- 8. Mental hygiene.
- 9. The child welfare program.
- 10. Medical inspection of schools.
- 11. Public health nursing.
- 12. Hospitals and dispensaries.
- 13. General sanitation.
- 14. Food and drugs.
- 15. Rural hygiene.
- 16. Public health education.
- 17. Maritime quarantine.

Applications for enrollment in the course may be sent to Dr. Carl E. McCombs, Bureau of Municipal Research, 261 Broadway, New York City. It is intended, if possible, to limit enrollments to 50, and to give first consideration to those actually engaged in public health administration. If the number of applicants is sufficient to warrant extending the opportunity to more than 50 public health executives and to other health workers outside the field of public administration, such arrangement will be made if it is otherwise practicable.

DEATHS DURING WEEK ENDED FEBRUARY 22, 1919. IN CITIES.

The following table shows the registered deaths from all causes, and from pneumonia (all forms) and influenza combined, in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended February 22, 1919. The annual death rates per 1,000 population for the week and for the corresponding week of previous years are also shown.

The data are taken from the "Weekly Health Index," February 25, 1919, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce. The populations used in computing the rates are estimated by the Bureau of the Census as of July 1, 1918.

Registered deaths and annual death rates per 1,000 population in certain large cities of the United States, week ended Feb. 22, 1919—Deaths from all causes, and from pneumonia (all forms) and influenza combined.

a	Population July 1.	Total deaths.	Annual	Death rate for		nza and nonia (all
City.	1918, estimated.	all causes.	death rate per 1,000.	preceding years.1	Number of deaths.	Annual deathrate per 1,000.
Albany, N. Y. Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md	112,565 201,732 669,981	48 76 335	22. 2 19. 6 26. 1	C 18.5 C 17.3 A 19.8	10	4.6
Birmingham, Ala	197, 670 473, 229 111, 432	70 154 32	18.5 17.0 15.0	A 18.4 C 15.0 A 15.8	34 6	3.7 2.8
Chicago, Ill	2,596,681 418,022 819,306 225,296	848 188 243 90	17. 0 23. 5 15. 6 20. 8	A 17.2 C 18.1 C 10.7 C 20.1	233 78 20	4.7 9.7 4.6
Dayton, Ohio	130, 655 128, 392	39 92 64	15. 6 26. 0	C 14.4	îĭ	4.4
Indianapolis, Ind	289, 577 313, 785 568, 495 242, 707	117 133 134 113	21. 1 22. 1 12. 3 24. 3	C 13. 9 C 14. 5 A 13. 8 C 21. 3	46 8 37	7.6 .7 8.0
Lowell, Mass	109, 081 154, 759 453, 481	34 100 101	16.3 33.7 11.6	A 21.5 C 17.5 A 14.3 C 10.1	4 20	1.9 6.7
Minneapolís, Minn Nashville, Tenn Newark, N. J New Haven. Conn	383,442 119,215 428,684 154,865	124 70 137 45	16.9 30.6 16.7 15.2	C 17.9 C 16.5 C 15.5	23 46	10. 1 5. 6
New Orleans, La	382, 273 5, 215, 879 214, 206	167 2, 129 29	22.8 21.3 7.1 21.3	A 23.7 C 15.8 A 12.7 3 18.8	44 788	6.0 7.9 6.8
Philadel hia. Pa	1,761,371 593,303	720 279 70 91	21.3 24.5 18.0	C 15. 2	137 12 14	12.0 2.8
St. Louis, Mo	779, 951 257, 699 478, 530	231 52 157	15. 4 10. 5 17. 1	C 14.7 C 11.5 C 15.6	18 12	2.0
Seattle, Wash	161, 404 262, 234	45 26 48 81	15. 5 16. 1	C 16.5 A 15.1	21	4. 2
Washington, D. C	401, 681 173, 650	141 68	18.3 20.4	A 19.4 C 15.3	28	3.6

 ^{1&}quot;A" indicates that the rate given is the average annual death rate per 1,000 population for the corresponding week of the years 1913 to 1917, inclusive. "C" indicates that the rate is the annual death rate per 1,000 population for the corresponding week of 1918.
 2 Population estimated as of July 1, 1919.
 2 Rate is based on statistics of 1915, 1916, and 1917.

EPIDEMIC INFLUENZA.

PREVALENCE IN THE UNITED STATES.

Telegraphic reports for the week ended March 1, 1919, received by the Public Health Service show little change in the prevalence of influenza throughout the country. These reports are sent by State health officers and by officers of the Public Health Service in charge of health work in civil zones around Army camps.

Decreases in the number of reported cases of influenza as compared with the preceding week were shown in Alabama, Arkansas,

California, Connecticut, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Vermont. Slight increases were noted in Illinois, Oregon, and Virginia. (See p. 460.)

Most of the zones around military camps show decreases in the number of cases reported, but some increase is noted in Camp Polk zone, N. C., and a decided increase in the zone around Camp Zachary Taylor, which includes the city of Louisville, Ky. (See p. 464.)

PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

UNITED STATES.

EXTRA-CANTONMENT ZONES—CASES REPORTED WEEK ENDED MAR. 1.

CHARLESTON SANITARY DISTRICT, S. C.		GAS AND FLAME SCHOOL ZONE, GA. AND ALA	
Charleston: Ca	ses.	Chancroid: Ca	ises.
Influenza	16	Columbus	
Measles	2	Gonorrhea	_
Tuberculosis	1	Columbus	10
Typhoid fever		Girard	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Muscoge County	3
CAMP DEVENS ZONE, MASS.	,	Influenca:	•
		Bibb City	2
Lancaster:		Columbu;	3
Measles	1	Phenix City	6
Mumps		Measles:	
Scarlet over	2	Columbus	1
Whooping cough	5	Pellagra:	
Lunenburg:		Columbus	1
Measles	6	Pneumonia:	_
		Columbus	4
FAYETTEVILLE SANITARY DISTRICT, N. C.		Girard	1
		Smallpox:	
Influenza	3	Muscogee County	4
Measles	11	Syphilis:	-
Meningitis	1	Columbus	5
Pneumonia	2	Girard	1
Smallpox	3	Tuberculosis:	•
Tuberculosis, pulmonary	2	Columbus	2
•			-
CAMP FUNSTON ZONE, KANS.		CAMP EBERTS ZONE, ARK.	
Junction City:		Chicken pox:	
Diphtheria	1	Ward	2
Influenza	5	Influenza:	
Mumps	1	Austin, R. F. D	1
Scarlet fever	4	Cabot	6
Manhattan:		Measles:	
Diphtheria carriers	3	England	1
Gonorrhea	4	Mumps:	
Influenza	19	Lonoke, R. F. D	3
Mumps	4	Pneumonia:	
Pneumonia	1	England	1
Scarlet iever	8	Ward	2
	(45	4)	

GERSTNER FIELD ZONE, LA.	ses.	GULFPORT HEALTH DISTRICT, MISS.—continue	ed.
Influenza	າຕ. 9	Typhoid fever: Ca	ses.
Smallpox	5	Biloxi	. 1
Syphilis	1	Gulfport	2
Typhoid fever	ī	Handsboro	1
-	_	Pass Christian	1
CAMP GORDON ZONE, GA.		Scattered	1
Atlanta:		Whooping cough:	
Cerebrospinal meningitis	1	Biloxi	5
Chicken pox	3	Debuys	1
Diphtheria	2	Moss Point	1
Gonorrhea	13		
Influenza	53	CAMP JACKSON ZONE, S. C.	
Measles	3	Columbia:	
Mumps	2	Influenza	5
Pneumonia	1	Measles	2
Scarlet fever	5	Mumps	9
Smallpox	53	Pneumonia	1
Syphilis	18	Typhoid fever	1
Typhoid fever	1	Whooping cough	1
COLUMN TO LEGIS TO THE TOTAL OF THE STATE OF		Government clinic:	_
GULFPORT HEALTH DISTRICT, MISS.		Gonorrhea	5
Chicken pox:		Syphilis	10
Pascagoula	1	CAMP LEE ZONF, VA.	
Dysentery:	_		
- Moss Point	1	Petersburg:	_
Gonorrhea:		Gonorrhea	7
Pascagoula	1	Scarlet fever	1
Pearlington	1	Syphilis	5
Influenza:		Prince George County:	0
Biloxi	2	Influenza	2
Escatawpa	3	CAMP LEWIS ZONF, WASH.	
Ford	1	Measles:	
Gulfport	8	Steilacoom	3
I izana	1	Mumps:	
Long Beach	6	American I ake	11
Mississippi City	1	Tuberculosis:	
Moss Point	2	Dupont	1
Orange Grove	4		
Pascagoula	1	CAMP M'CLELLAN ZONF, ALA.	
Malaria:	1	Gonorrhea:	
Biloxi	1		10
Gulfport	3	2	
Moss Point	3	CAMP MERRITT ZONF, N. J.	
Vidalia	1	Cresskill:	
Waveland	1	Influenza	2
Measles:		Pneumonia	2
Gulfport	1	Englewood:	
Lyman	7	Chicken pox	1
Mumps:	.	Influenza	25
Biloxi	1	Mumps	1
Gulfport	1 3	Pncumonia	5
Mississippi City	4		
Moss Point	- 1	MUSCLE SHOALS SANITARY DISTRICT, ALA.	
Pascagoula	3	Colbert County:	
Bay St. Louis	1	Diphtheria	1
Fenton	1	Mcasics	3
Gulfport	3	Smallpox	1
Logtown	1	Lauderdale County:	
Orange Grove	i		2
Pass Christian	i		3
Pneumonia, broncho:	-		1
Mississippi City	2		4
Ocean Springs	1	Syphilis	

PICRIC ACID PLANT ZONE, GA.		CAMP POLE ZONE, N. C.—continued.	
Brunswick: Ca	ses.	Smallpox: Case	20
Gonorrhea.	2	Raleigh	1
Influenza	2	Wake County	1
Measles	6	Syphilis:	_
Smallpox	1	Durham	2
Syphilis	2	Tuberculosis:	
		Durham	2
CAMP PIKE ZONE, ARK.		Raleigh	1
Chancroid:			
Little Rock	1	FORTSMOUTH AND NORPOLK COUNTY HEALTH D	IS-
Chicken pox:	_	TRUET, VA.	
Little Rock	8	Chicken pox:	_
Gonorrhea:	••	Norfolk	1
Little Rock		Diphtheria: Norfolk	
North Little Rock	6 1	Gonorrhea:	1
Influenza:	•	Portsmouth	6
Little Rock	4	Influenza:	v
North Little Rock	3	1	50
Malaria:	Ť	Measles:	•
Little Rock	1	Norfolk	3
North Little Rock	1	Norfolk County	8
Measles:		Scarlet fever:	
Little Rock	3	Norfolk	5
Mumps:		Norfolk County	1
Little Rock	10	Smallpox:	
North Little Rock	1	Norfolk County	1
Pneumonia:		Portsmouth	i
Little Rock	4	Syphilis:	
North Little Rock	3	Portsmouth	1
Scott	1	Tuberculosis:	_
Scarlet fever:		Norfolk County	1
Little Rock	4	CAMP SEVIER ZONE, S. C.	
Syphilis: Little Rock	5	·	
North Little Rock	1	Tuberculosis:	
Tuberculosis:	•	Greenville	1
Kerr	1	CAMP SHERIDAN ZONE, ALA.	
Little Rock	2	Government clinic:	
Scott	1	_	8
		Syphilis 1	
CAMP POLK ZONE, N. C.		Montgomery:	
Chieken pox:			1
Durham	11		2
Raleigh	1	Typhoid fever	2
Diphtheria:		CAMP SHERMAN ZONE, OHIO,	
Durham County	1	CAME SHEAMAN ZUNE, UHU.	
Raleigh	1	Gonorrhea:	
Gonorrhea:			3
Durham County	1	Influen-a:	
Influenza:		Chillicothe	-
DurhamRaleigh.*	1	Ross County	ı
Wake County			1
Measles:	13	Tuberculosis:	•
Durham	1		1
Wake County	4		_
Mumps:	•	SOUTHER HELD ZONE, GA.	
Durham	6	Americus:	
Pneumonia:		Gonorrhea	1
Durham	1	Sumter County:	_
Scarlet fever:	- 1	Gonorrhea1	1
Durham County	1	Influenza. 24	_
Septic sore throat:		Tuberculosis	-
Raleigh	1	Whooping cough 1	1

CAMP ZACHARY TAYLOR ZONE, KY. AND IN	D.	TIDEWATER HEALTH DISTRICT, VA continued	١.
Chancroid: Ca	ses.	Mumps: Case	es.
Government clinic	2	Newport News	2
Chicken pox:		Phoebus.	ī
Louisville	3	Pneumonia:	•
Diphtheria:		Newport News	2
Jefferson County	1	Scarlet fever:	-
Jeffersonville	2	Hampton.	1
Louisville	6	Newport News	1
Gonorrhea:		Smallpox:	1
County jail clinic	9	Foxhill	5
Government clinic	42	Hampton.	1
Influenza:			
Clark County	15	Newport News	2
Jefferson County		Syphilis:	
Jeffersonville		Newport News	4
Louisville		Venereal (other than gonorrhea and syphilis):	
New Albany		Newport News.	3
Measles:		Whooping cough:	_
Jefferson County	2	Newport News	2
Louisville.	2	Phoebus	1
Mumps:	-		
Jefferson County	1	CAMP UPTON ZONE, N. Y.	
Pneumonia:	•		
Louisville	16	Brook Haven:	
Scarlet fever:	10	l ·	1
Jefferson County	2	M	1
Louisville	5		
New Albany	1		
Smallpox:		CAMP WHEELER ZONE, GA.	
Louisville	2	Macon:	
	2	canonical positive	2
Syphilis:	37	Gottiment into action in the contract of the c	1
	31	Influenza	4
Tuberculosis, pulmonary:		15001001001001000	1
I.ouisville	1	Smallpox	1
TIDEWATER HEALTH DISTRICT, VA.			
Cerebrospinal meningitis:		WILMINGTON SANITARY DISTRICT, N. C.	
Newport News	1	,	
Chicken pox:	•	Sunset Park:	
Phoebus	1		1
	1	Wilmington:	•
Riverview		Diphtheria	1
Diphtheria:	. 1		1
Newport News	1	O Direction Co.	8
Gonorrhea:		Meningitis	-
	35		
Influenza:		504.201010101010101010101010101010101010101	-
Newport News	1	1 4 501 C 4 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1	_
deasles:		Typhoid fever	_
Newport News	2	Whooping cough	1
Phoebus	2	Winter Park:	_
Riverview	1 !	Malaria	1

DISEASE CONDITIONS AMONG TROOPS IN THE UNITED STATES.

The following data are taken from telegraphic reports received in the office of the Surgeon General of the United States Army for the week ended February 21, 1919. Reports from the American Expeditionary Forces are delayed in transmission, and the "current week" for troops in the American Expeditionary Forces is not the same period as "current week" for troops in the United States.

	Current week.	Last week.
Annual admission rate per 1.00) (all causes)	1, 202, 50	1,142.9
All troops in United States	1,442.95	1,360.14
American Expeditionary Force:	1 118.00	1,064.66
Annual admission rate per 1.000 (disease only)	977.99	920. 5
All troops in United States	1, 213, 62	1, 132, 3
American Expeditionary Forces	895.17	845.02
Noneffective rate per 1.000 on day of report	52.92	49. 2
All troops in United States'	63, 73	60. 1
A marican K'yna iitionary Korca:	40 12 1	45. 41
Annual death rate per 1.000 (all Causes)	15.95 (14.3
All troops in Unite 1 States	10, 47 1	10. 92
		15. 59
Annual death rate per 1 000 (disease only).	12.91	9. 78
All troops in United State; 1.	9.85	10.09
All troops in Unite 1 States 1 American Expeditionary Forces	13.99	9.6

¹ Sick and death rates among troops in the United States will continue to be relatively high, as the numerical strength of troops in the United States continues to decline from week to week as a result of demobilization. Well men only are eligible for discharge, while the sick and otherwise disabled are retained in service for further treatment. The continued in lux of sick and wounded (properly chargeable to commands overseas) is another factor teading to increase rates in the United States and to diminish correspondingly similar rates overseas.

Cases of special diseases reported during the week ended Feb. 21, 1919.

		D			ereal ases.				~	Annual admis-	Nonef- fective rate
Camp. Pneum.	m·)-	Dys- en- tery.	Ma- laria.	Total.	New in- fec- tions.	In- flu- enza.	Measies.	Men- ingi- tis.	Scar- let fever.	sion rate per 1,000 (disease on y).	per 1,000 on day of report.
Beauregard				18	l					1, 709. 22	59, 20
Bowie	11			16	12	7	31			1,743,11	105. 50
Fremont				3					,	596, 93	228. 31
Greene	4		1	-7	1	4	1			1,407.42	85. 50
Hancock	3			24	4	6			2	850.60	58. 27
Kearny				30	3					895. 67	46.38
Logan	5		ļ <u>.</u> -	24		8	.1.	-,-,		₹03. €3	41. 13
MacArthur McClellan	1 3	• • • • •	1	5 28		1		• • • • • •	• • • • • •	1, 174. 81	47. 03
Eevier	3	• • • • • •		28		3		•••••		688. 43	102.60
Shelby	3	• • • • • •		7	3	2	·····i		• • • • • •	813. 27 1, 724. 48	36. 25
Sheridan		• • • • • •		7	4		1		•••••	1, 180, 63	70. 73
Wadsworth	ı	• • • • • •		21	18	• • • • • • •			•••••	2, 051. 59	62. 87 68. 06
Wheeler	2		· · · · · ·	16		3	3			1,012.38	59. 73
Custer	ء		1	iŏ	9		i		4	1, 144. 38	81. 13
Devens	ĭ			19	3	2			i l	1, 827, 97	93. 25
Dix	7			14	3	ī	4		īl	1,051.77	80. 46
Dodge	3			12	6	5	1		9	1,548.22	113. 67
Eustis	2			2		2				871. 88	37. 20
Funston	3			9		6			11	804. 08	54.94
Gordon	1			16		18	1			1,625.35	84. 68
Grant	6			5		5	14			1, 213. 33	75. 97
Humphreys	3			8	2	2	3			£33. 25	27. 45
Jackson	10			27	• • • • • •	18	5	1	• • • • • •	796. 23	65. 01
Henry Knox	2	•••••	ا بین	;-	• • • • • •				•••••	1,965.40	60. 21
Las Casas			8	1	;;.·	1		• • • • • •	ا-ي	948.41	44. 29
Loe	4	•••••	1	23	13	4		• • • • • •	3	1, 133. 08	81. 04
Meade	13			13 17	2 5	2	1	•••••	2	1,204.21	69. 26
meage	. 5'	• • • • • • • '	• • • • • •	17.1	9 1	21	'	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7 1	3,612.21	108. 05

Cases of special diseases reported during the week ended Feb. 21, 1919—Continued.

Non-the-state of the state of t	Pneu-	Dys-			erea!	In-		Men-	Scar-	Annual admis-	Nonef- fective rate
Camp.	mo- nia.	en- tery.	Ma- laria.	Tota!	New in fec- tions	flu- enza.	Measles.		let lever	nor 1 000	per 1,000 on day of report.
Pike. Sherman Taylor. Travis. Upton. Northeastern Depart-	6 2 28 5 22	1	1	26 13 14 31 14	8 2 4	21 82 3 36	1 11 1	2	2 4 4 1	1, 648, 05 1, 375, 04 1, 535, 12 2, 011, 60 1, 551, 49	110, 51 117, 84 110, 85 82, 78 89, 30
ment	1 4			5 20	· 4	22	1		1	683, 94 847, 02	30, 56 25, 12
ment. Central Department Southern Department Western Department Aviation camps Port of embarkation:	1 5 1 2			10 3 74 12 21	12 1	11 4 76 1 14	2		3	1, 165. 71 1, 127. 46 976. 51 647. 67 835. 68	35, 50 31, 47 54, 93 20, 96 39, 07
Hoboken Newport/News Aleatraz Disciplinary	25 22			í1 111	·····	85 41	1 3	2	1 14	1,393.10 4,051.48	122. \$8 129. 69
Barracks Leavenworth Disciplinary Barracks	5			7	•••••	••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••		684, 21 1, 355, 12	16, 44 43, 35
Columbus Barracks Jefferson Barracks Fort Logan Fort McDowell	2 7			2 6 1	1 2 1	7				\$93.69 2,202.77 \$51.63 (22.95	40. 73 87. 49 34. 68 38. 74
Fort Sill. Fort Slocum. Fort Thomas West Point.				10 2	10	14				653. 37 411. 47 2, 356. 94 519. 69	41. 26 40. 55 67. 98 14. 10
Arsenals. Miscellaneous small stations.	5 2			11 16	3	7 14	2 2			\$10. 53 735. 51	35. 41 38. 72
Total	245	1	15	804	155	550	96	5	7 0	1, 213. 62	€3, 73

Number of deaths and annual rates per 1,000 at large camps in United States, week ended Fe5. 21, 1919.

	Strongt'a.	Dea	iths.	Deaths, annual rata per 1,00).		
Camp.		All causes.	Disease only.	All causes.	L'iseasa only.	
Beauregard. Bowie	5,668	1	1	10. 95	10, 95	
Fremont Greene Hancock Kearny	4,175 7,825	1 1	1 1	12. 45 6. 64	12, 45 6, 64	
Logan MacArthur McClellan	4,400 5,400 4,532					
Sevier Shelby Sheridan Wadsworth	4,220 4,312 4,608 4,613	2	1	24. 64	12, 32	
Wheeler Custer Devens	2,230 8,997 8,278	2 1	2 1	11.55 6.28	11. 55 6. 28	
Dix. Dodge Eustis	2), 321 12, 363 3, 17	1 3	1 3	2, 55 12, 62	2. 55 12. 62	
Funston. Gorden. Grant	12,735 9,246 17,298	2 2 3	2 2 3	8. 16 11. 24 8. 96	8, 13 11, 24 8, 95	

Number of deaths and annual rates per 1,000 at large camps in United States, week ended Feb. 21, 1919-—Continued.

Camp.	3 4	Dea	ths.	Deaths, annual rate per 1,000.		
	Strength.	All causes.	Disease only.	All causes.	Disease only.	
Humphreys Jackson J. E. Johnston Henry Knox Las Casas Lee Lewis Meade Pike Sherman Taylor Travis Upton Northeastern Department Eastern Department Central Department Southeastern Department Southern Department Southern Department Southern Department Western Department Western Department Aviation camps	11,686 3,122 3,122 1,919 16,203 11,794 9,655 12,891 17,140 10,345 14,684 5,170 30,883 5,576 5,719 40,633 11,159	24 43 33 33 13 22 12 26 6	2 4 3 3 3 3 1 3 2 12 2 6 6	10. 77 17. 79 49. 96 49. 96 9. 62 4. 41 16. 25 8. 06 8. 6. 40 10. 05 21. 25 18. 65 9. 09 5. 11	10. 77 17. 79 49. 96 49. 96 9. 62 4. 41 16. 25 8. 66 63 10. 05 21. 25 9. 33 9. 09 5. 11 3. 17	
Ports of embarkation: Hoboken Newport News. All others	26,391	8 4 38	8 4 36	15. 76 6. 84 17. 50	15. 76 6. 84 16. 58	
Total	590, 917	119	112	10. 47	9. 85	

Annual admission rate per 1,000 for certain discases.

	Troops in Star		American Expedi- tionary Forces.	
Disease.	Current week.	Last week.	Current week.	Last week.
Pneumonia Dysentery Malaria Cencreal	.08	17. 09 . 16 1. 33 79. 49	39. 89 1. 05 . 21 44. 10	31. 1 . 8 . 1 38. 9
Paratyphoid yphoid feasles feningitis carlet fever	.17 8.44	1. 16 6. 75 . 66 6. 83 53. 13	2.87 1.30 1.70 1.79	3.9 3.0 2.7 1.0

CURRENT STATE SUMMARIES.

Telegraphic Reports for Week Ended March 1, 1919.

Alabama.—State totals: Typhoid fever 4, malaria 3, smallpox 22, measles 27, scarlet fever 11, diphtheria 5, cerebrospinal meningitis 7, influenza 212.

Arkansas.—State totals: Influenza 24, smallpox 14, measles 18, malaria 8, chicken pox 5, typhoid fever 3, scarlet fever 1.

California.—Influenza cases reported 147. Smallpox: Chico 9, Ontario 1, Sacramento city 2, Los Angeles city 2, Humboldt County 2, Oakland 2, Marysville 9, Santa Ana 1, Yuba County 5. Typhoid

fever: Los Angeles 3, Oakland 1, Sacramento County 2, Riverside County 7, Riverside city 2.

Connecticut.—No outbreak nor unusual prevalence. Influenza total for State, 224 cases.

Florida.—One case of poliomyelitis in Marion County. No unusual prevalence.

Illinois.—Diphtheria 148; of which in Chicago 118, Peoria 5. Scarlet fever 144; of which in Chicago 69, Hickory Township (Coles County) 9, Suez Township (Mercer County) 7, Quincy 6, Rogers Township (Ford County) 5. Smallpox 68; of which in Salem 22, Pekin 10, America Township (Pulaski County) 9, Springfield 5, Bloomington 4. Meningitis; Chicago 2, Normal Township (McLean County) 1. Poliomyelitis; Crete 1. Gonorrhea 109, syphilis 108, influenza 1,707 (of which in Chicago 480). Recrudescence of influenza noted in following Illinois communities: Versailles 62, Spring Forest 35, Dupage County (Winfield Township) 19, Hamilton County (Mayberry Township) 36, Jackson County (Desoto Township) 40, Jefferson County (Casner Township) 17, Havana 22, Joppa 79, Industry 61, Menard County (Petersburg precinct) 19, St. John 12, Auburn 13, Saline County (Long Branch Township) 18, Washington 14.

Indiana.—Scarlet fever: Marshall County, epidemic Greenfield. Smallpox: Elkhart, Bedford, Coxville, Huntington, epidemic at Ovid and in Addison County. Typhoid fever: Shelby County 1, Jefferson County 2. Diphtheria: Randolph County 1, Lake 1, Vigo 1, Fountain 2, epidemic Fort Wayne. Syphilis: Cases reported 32. Gonorrhea: Cases reported 51.

Iowa.—Cerebrospinal meningitis: Liscomb 1. Chancroid: Council Bluffs 2. Diphtheria: Afton 1, Atlantic 1, Des Moines 8, Dubuque 1, Mason City 1, Postville 2. Gonorrhea: Correctionville 2, Council Bluffs 6, Davenport 6, Des Moines 2, Dubuque 1, Iowa City 1, Lake City 1, Marshalltown 2. Measles: Bellevue 3. Scarlet fever: Ackley 2, Albion 1, Bellevue 1, Burlington 4, Des Moines 8, Dubuque 1, Goodell 1, Ottosen 1, Seymour 1. Smallpox: Cedar Rapids 4, Davenport 3, Des Moines 1, Mason City 5, Ottumwa 5, Vinton 2. Syphilis: Council Bluffs 2, Davenport 13, Des Moines 4, Perry 1. In rural districts of following counties. Diphtheria: Chickasaw 1. Scarlet fever: Chickasaw 3, Dickinson 6, Grundy 1, Humboldt 2, Mills 1, Monona 1. Smallpox: Harrison 1, Story 1. Influenza cases reported in State 224.

Kansas.—Meningitis: Kansas City 1, Newton 1, Wichita 1. State totals: Smallpox 59, diphtheria 23, scarlet fever 53, influenza 2,212. Louisiana.—State totals: Influenza 180, meningitis 2, smallpox 64, typhoid fever 8, diphtheria 5.

Maine.—Diphtheria: Friendship 1, Portland 1. Gonorrhea: Augusta 1, Bath 4, Calais 1, Carmel 1, Lewiston 1, Montville 1, Portland,

6, Rumford 1. Mumps: Milo 1, Portland 1. Scarlet fever: Bath 1, Portland 4, Bangor 1. Syphilis: Auburn 1, Bangor 4, Jackman 1, Portland 1, Rumford 1. Tuberculosis: Four cases. Typhoid fever: Milo 3, Medford 1, Dover 1, Foxcroft 1. Influenza: Twenty-three cases.

Massachusetts.—No unusual prevalence.

Minnesota.—Smallpox (new foci): Dodge County, Wasioja Township 1; Kanabec County, Place Township 1; McLeod County, Hutchinson city 1; Stearns County, St. Paul city 1; Todd County, Staples city 1; Polk County, Crookston village 5; Sherburne County, Haven Township 2; Wilkin County, Breckenridge city 2. State totals: Syphilis 62 cases, gonorrhea 103 cases reported, chancroid 4 cases reported.

New Jersey.—State totals: Influenza 1,134 cases reported, pneumonia 335 cases reported. No unusual prevalence of other communicable diseases.

New York.—Outside of New York City. State totals: Typhoid fever 13, measles 209, scarlet fever 193, whooping cough 25, diphtheria 152 (Erie County 50). Smallpox: Batavia 1. Cerebrospinal meningitis: Lackawanna 2, Amsterdam 1, Wawayanda 1, Berlin 1. Cases of pneumonia reported 169. Voluntary reports: Syphilis 151, gonorrhea 34.

North Carolina.—State totals: Whooping cough 99, measles 207, diphtheria 15, scar et fever 10, septic sore throat 2, smal pox 60, chicken pox 27, typhoid fever 9, epidemic meningitis 2, bronchopneumonia 49, lobar pneumonia 30, ophthalmia neonatorum 1, amebic dysentery 1, syphilis 9, gonorrhea 20, chancroid 1. Influenza cases reported: Alamance County 56, Cleveland County 75, Cumberland County 13, Davidson County 31, Gaston County 2, city of Charlotte 46.

Ohio.—Smallpox: Jefferson Township (Coshocton County) 16; continues epidemic Dennison, Tuscarawas County, and Montgomery County. Influenza: Material increase, mild character.

Oregon.—Influenza: Portland 61 cases (2 deaths), Benton 3, Clackamas 9, Hood River 1, Linn 2, Marion 7, Union 4, Washington 3.

Vermont.—Sixteen towns reported 94 cases of influenza. No other unusual prevalence.

Virginia.—Influenza cases reported 480; 2 cases of smallpox Alexandria County, 3 cases at Norfolk.

ANTHRAX.

City Report for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

Three deaths from anthrax were reported at Fremont, Nebr., during the week ended February 15, 1919.

CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cas	es.	Cas	es.
Camp Gordon zone, Ga	1	Tidewater health district, Va	1

State Reports for January, 1919.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
Florida: DeSoto County	1	New York (exclusive of New York City)—Continued.	
Gadsden County	2	Oneida County— Paris (town)	,
Total	3	Onondaga County— Syracuse	_
Indiana:		Rensselaer County—	_
Randolph County	1	Troy	1
Steuben County	1	m	
St. Joseph County	1		9
Switzerland County	1	North Carolina:	
Total	4	Catawba County	1
10000		Forsyth County	î
Iowa:		Harnett County	î
Butler County	1 :	Jackson County	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Mecklenburg County	2
Maine:	1	Northampton County	ī
Cumberland County—	. !	Randolph County	1
Standish (town)	1	Transylvama County	1
Mississippi:		Total.	9
Chickasaw County	2		
Coahoma County	ī!	Ohio:	
Lafavette County	1	Athens County	1
Sunflower County	1	Clinton County	1
		Hamilton County	$\frac{2}{1}$
Total	5	Pickaway County	1 3
Nove Tongove		i i	.,
New Jersey: Bergen County	1 !	Total	8
Camden County	î		
Essex County	71	Pennsylvania:	
Hudson County	1	Cambria County	2
Mercer County	1	Centre County	1
Middlesex County	1	Cumberland County	1
Passaic County	5 1	Delaware County	1
Union County		Indiana County	1
Total	18	Northumberland County	î
		Philadelphia County	â
New York (exclusive of New York	li	Schuylkill County	1
City): Erie County—	11	Total	12
Buffalo	4	South Carolina:	1-
Lackawanna	i	Spartanburg	1
Nassau County— Hempstead (town)	1		_

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Alton, Ill Atlanta, Ga. Austin, Tex Baltimore, Md. Bellaire, Ohio. Pirmingham, Ala. Boston, Mass Chleago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohio. Columbia, S. C. Council Bluffs, Iowa. Dallas, Tex El Paso, Tex Fall River, Mass Highland Park, Mich Kansas City, Mo.	1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1	Lawrence, Mass Memphis, Tenn Milwaukee, Wis Nashville, Tenn Newark, N. J. New Orleans, La New York, N. Y. Philadelphia, Pa Pontiac, Mich Portland, Oreg. Providence, B. I. Roanoke, Va St. Louis, Mc. San Antonic, Tex San Francisco, Cal.	1 2 1 6 1 1 1 1	1

CHANCROID.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cas	es.	Cas Cas	es.
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	1	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	2
Camp Pike zone, Ark	1		

DIPHTHERIA.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cases.	Cases
Camp Funston zone, Kans 1	Camp Polk zone, N. C
	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind 9
Muscle Shoals sanitary district, Ala	Tidewater health district, Va
Portsmouth and Norfolk County health dis-	Wilmington sanitary district, N. C
trict, Va 1	

See also Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 476.

GONORRHEA.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cas	ses.	Ca	
Camp Funston zone, Kans	4	Camp Polk zone, N. C	1
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	16	Portsmouth and Norfolk County health dis-	
Camp Gordon zone, Ga	13	trict, Va	6
Gulfport health district, Miss	2	Camp Sheridan zone, Ala	8
Camp Jackson zone, S. C.	5	Camp Sherman zone, Ohio	3
Camp Lee zone, Va	7	Souther Field zone, Ga	2
Camp McClellan zone, Ala	10	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	51
Picric Acid Plant zone, Ga	2	Tidewater health district, Va	35
Camp Pike zone, Ark	17	Wilmington sanitary district, N. C	1

INFLUENZA.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cases	s.	Cases.
Charleston sanitary district, S. C 1	16	Picric Acid Plant zone, Ga 2
Camp Eberts zone, Ark	7	Camp Pike zone, Ark 7
Fayetteville sanitary district, N. C	3	Camp Polk zone, N. C 202
Camp Funston zone, Kans 2	23	Portsmouth and Norfolk County health dis-
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala 1	11	trict, Va 50
Gerstner Field zone, La	9	Camp Sherman zone, Ohio
Camp Gordon zone, Ga 5	53	Souther Field zone, Ga 24
Gulfport health district, Miss 2	29	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind 784
Camp Jackson zone, S. C	5	Tidewater health district, Va 1
	2	Camp Wheeler zone, Ga 4
Camp Merritt zone, N. J	27	Wilmington sanitary district, N. C 8

MALARIA.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cases.	. 1	Cases	s.
Gulfport health district, Miss. 9 Camp Pike zone, Ark. 2			2

State Reports for January, 1919.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
Florida:		Mississippi—Continued.	
Alachua County	3	Leake County	18
Bradford County	1	Lee County	44
Citrus County	4	Leflore County	107
Miami City	1	Lincoln County	33
De Soto County	1	Lowndes County	12
Duval County	2	Madison County	21
Pensacola (ity	12	Marshall County	7
Hillsborough County	4	Monroe County	30
Tampa	4	Montgomery County	15
Levy County	1	Neshoba County	57
Marion County	3	Newton County	.4
Polk County	1	No vubee County.	11
St. Johns County	1	Oktibbeha County	10
Taylor County	1	Panola County.	31
Motal	39	Pearl River County	16
Total	389	Perry County	24 48
dississippi:		Pike County	48 30
Adams County	10	Pontotoe County	
Alcorn County	6	Prentiss County	39 144
Amite County	21	Quitman County	144
Attala County	12	Rankin County	3
Benton County	4	Sharkey County	36
Bolivar County.	206	Simpson County	50
Calhoun County	19	Smith County	. 6
Carroll County	28	Stene County	18
Chickasaw County	íi	Sunflower County	284
Choctaw County	9	Tallahatchie County	65
Claiborne County.	34	Tate County	75
Clarke County	25	Tiprah County	23
Clay County	8	Tunica County	s ŝ
Coahoma County	139	Unica County	6
Copiah County.	12	Walthall County	ž
Covington County	33	Warren County	60
De Soto County.	10	Washington County.	37
Forrest County	23	Wayne County	15
Franklin County.	23	Wilkinson County	4
George County	4	Winsten County.	18
Greene County.	2	Yalobusha County	7
Grenada County	12	Yazoo County	112
Hancock County	25	· ·	
Harrisen County	12	Total	2,842
Hinds County	160		
Holmes County	49	New Jersey:	
Humphreys County	46	Bergen County	1
Issaquena County	20	Hudsen County	1
Itawami a County	, 9	· ·	
Jackson County	17	Total	3
Jasrer County	105		
Jefferson County	28	South Carol na:	
Jones County	31	Chester County	3
Kemper County	4	Chesterfeld County	4
Lafayette County	12	Marion County	6
Lamar County	26	1	
Lawrence County	46	Total	13

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

During the week ended February 15, 1919, there were two cases of malaria reported at Joplin, Mo., three cases at Little Rock, Ark., and two cases at Long Branch, N. J.

MEASLES.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Case	es.	Cas	ses.
Charleston sanitary district, S.C	2	Muscle Shoals sanitary district, Ala	6
Camp Devens zone, Mass	7	Picric Acid Plant zone, Ga	6
Camp Eberts zone, Ark	1	Camp Pike zone, Ark	3
.Fayetteville sanitary district, N.C	11	Camp Polk zone, N. C.	5
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	1	Portsmouth and Norfolk County health dis-	
Camp Gordon zone, Ga	3	trict, Va	11
Gulfport health district, Miss	8	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	4
Camp Jackson zone, S.C	2	Tidewater health district, Va	5
Camp Lewis zone, Wash	3		

See also Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 476.

PELLAGRA. Mississippi Report for January, 1919.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
Mississippi: Alcorn County Bolivar County Chickasaw County Cliborne County Clay County Coahoma County Copiah County Covington County De Soto County Franklin County Franklin County Hinds County Holmes County Humphreys County Itawamba County Itawamba County Jackson County Jasper County Janes County Lamar County	3 2 2 11 4 1 1 1 3 2 2 9 4 6 1 3 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4	Mississippi—Continued. Lee County Lincoln County Madison County Marshall County Monroe County Montgomery County Neshoba County Newton County Pearl River County Pike County Prentiss County Sharkey County Stone County Stone County Sunflower County Tunica County Tunica County Washington County Washington County Wayne County Yazoo County Yazoo County	10 5 15 3 7 1 7 3 5 2 2 2 1 1 9 10 8 1 1 9 3

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Birmingham, Ala	•••••	2 1 1	Minneapolis, Minn Raleigh, N. C. Winston-Salem, N. C.	1	, 1 1 1

PNEUMONIA.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cas	es.	Cas	es.
Camp Eberts zone, Ark	3	Camp Merritt zone, N. J	7
Fayetteville sanitary district, N.C	2	Camp Pike zone, Ark	c
Camp Funston zone, Kans	1	Camp Polk zone, N.C	1
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	5	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	16
Camp Gordon zone, Ga	1	Tidewater health district, Va	2
Gulfport health district, Miss	8	Camp Upton zone, N.Y	1
Camp Jackson zone, S. C.	1		

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

	L	bar.	All	forms.
Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Akron, Ohio	3			
Ann Arbor Mich	1			
Anniston, Ala	1 1			
Asheville, N. C	2	19		28
Atlantic City, N. J	1	1		1
Attleboro, Mass	1	1	-	
Auburn, N. Y.	3 41	42		
Baltimore, MdBaton Rouge, La	12	1		
Rayonne N. I	3			
Bellevillé, N. J			1	
Binghamton, N. Y	1 1			
Boston Mass	58 2	26 1		
Bristol, Conn	2	1		••••••
Bruns wick. Ga	2	2		
Bruns vick, Ga	11	9		
Cambridge, Mass	1			
Camden, N. J.	19 2	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Charleston, W. Va	5	3		••••••
Chicago III			402	135
Cleveland, Ohio	54	33		
Coffeyville, Kans	1	[]		
Columbia, S. C.	2 2			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Cumberland, Md		3	5	
Dayton, Ohio	5	5		
Dedham Mass	1	1		•••••••••
Octroit, Mich Duluth, Minn	16	39	24	61
Duluth, Minn.	1 3	3	••••••	•••••••
Easthampton, Mass	3	•••••	10	••••••
East Orange, N. J. Elizabeth, N. J.	5	2		
Elmira, N. Y	4.	2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Englewood, N. J	2	1	<u></u> .	
Everett, Máss	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		17	4
Fall River, Mass Findlay, Ohio Fort Worth, Tex	8	2		••••••
Fort Worth Tex	5	5		
Frederick, Md	ĭ			
Fresno, Cal			7	1
Gloversville, N. Y.	1	1 .		• • • • • • • •
Grand Rapids, Mich	5 1	$\frac{2}{1}$	••••••	••••••
Green Bay, Wis	i	- 1		
Hackensock N I	2	4 1.		
Hommond Ind	. 1			
Haverhill, Mass Highland Park, Mich	4		-	••••••
Highland Park, Mich	6	1 1		••••••
ronwood. Mich	ĩi	i l		
ronwood, Mich. thaca, N. Y			1	2
ersev (Stv. N. J.			12 .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
oplin. Mo	1		••••••	••••••
Kalamazoo, Mich	4	2 -	19	
Kansas City, Mo.	19	21 .		
Kansas Citý, Mo. Kearny, N. J	2	2 .		
ackawanna, N. Y	9 i	2 _		

PNEUMONIA—Continued.

	Lol	oar.	All forms.		
Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths	
akewood, Ohio	3	3			
akewood, Ohio awrence, Mass	2				
incoln, Nebr	1			¦	
ittle Rock, Ark	3	$\frac{2}{3}$	ļ		
os Angeles, Cal	7				
owell, Mass.	1	4			
ynn, Mass	1	**		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
farich, Ohio				• • • • • • • •	
farguette, Mich					
feriden, Conn				· · · · · · · · ·	
Iontelair, N. J.		-			
fornstown, N. J. fount Vernon, N. Y.			1		
atick, Mass.	2				
Sewark, N. J	56	95			
ew Bedford, Wass					
lew Britain, Conn.				•••••	
ewburgh, N. Y.	2				
ewburyport, Mass.	ĩ l			· · · · · · · ·	
	5	3		••••••	
ew York, N. Y		295		4	
orfolk, Va	1	2	020	•	
orth Tonawanda, N. Y.	2 !	-			
akland, Cal	ĩ	8			
ek-Park, Ill	$\hat{2}$				
lelehomo Cite. Olele	ō	ĩ			
scining N V	3				
assaite, N. J	g l				
eoria, III.			33		
kiladelphia, Pa	193 (93			
hillipsburg, N. J.	1	1.1			
iova, Ohio	$\bar{2}$	1			
Painfield, N. J.	1				
outiae. Mich	1	3			
ontiac, Mich ort Chester, N. Y	1	2		.	
huinev. III	2	3	!		
tiney, Mass	1	'	!		
iverside, Cal.			2		
ochester, N. Y.	9 †	ā	!		
aginaw, Mich	1 ;		!		
alem, Mass	- 3			.	
an Antonio, Tex	14		!		
indusky, Ohio	1 !				
an Francisco, Cal	7	7			
nnta Barbara, Cal.			2		
aratoga Springs, N. Y					
ault Šte. Marie, Mich			!		
chenectady, N. Y	2				
omerville, Mass pringfield, Ill	2	1	<u>-</u>	. 	
pringueld, III	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · ·	
pringfield, Mass	17	4		• • • • • • •	
iffin, Ohio	1	1		• • • • • • •	
opeka, Kans.	1				
roy, N. Y.	$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$				
roy, N. 1	1				
tica, N. Y.	2	3		• • • • • • •	
Vinston-Salem, N. C.	5	2		• • • • • • •	
Inston-Sarin, N. C	91	2		•••••	
onkers, N. Y	٠ ا ٠		2	• • • • • • •	
			4		
oungstown, Ohio.	2	2 1			

POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

State Reports for January, 1919.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New case, reported.
Maine: Androscoggin County— Turner (town) Mississippi: Lafavette County Marshall County Monroe County - Pearl River County Total	3 10 3	New York (exclusive of New York City) —Continued. Monroe County— Rochester. Saratoga County — Saratoga Springs. Total. North Carolina: Ashe County.	3
New Jersey: Essex County Hudson County Total New York (exclusive of New York City): Columbia County— Germantown (town)	2 1 3 	Carteret County Total Ohio: Butler County Cuyahoga County Summit County Total	2

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Chicago, III	1 1 1	i	Ludingtor, Mich	2 2	1

RABIES IN ANIMALS.

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

During the week ended Feb. 15, 1919, there were two cases of rabies in animals reported at Akron, Ohio, and one case at New York, N. Y.

SCARLET FEVER.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Ca	ses.	Cases	١.
Camp Devens zone, Mass	2	Camp Sheridan zone, Ala	1
Camp Funston zone, Kans	12	Camp Sherman zone, Ohio	1
Camp Gordon zone, Ga	5	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	S
Camp Lee zone, Va		Tidewater health district, Va	
Camp Pike zone, Ark	4	Camp Wheeler zone, Ga	1
		Wilmington sanitary district, N.C	
Portsmouth and Norfolk County health dis-			
trict, Va	6		

See also Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 476.

SMALLPOX.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cas	es.	· Cas	es.
Fayetteville sanitary district, N. C	3	Camp Polk zone, N. C.	2
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	4	Portsmouth and Norfolk County health district,	
Gerstner Field zone, La	5	Va	2
Camp Gordon zone, Ga	53	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	2
Muscle Shoals sanitary district, Ala	5	Tidewater health district, Va	8
Pieric Acid Plant zone, Ga	1	Camp Wheeler zone, Ga	1

State Reports for January, 1919-Vaccination Histories.

		l	7	accination h	istory of case	es.
Place.	New cases reported.	Deaths.	Number vaccinated within 7 years pre- ceding attack.	Number last vacci- nated more than 7 years preceding attack.	Number never suc- cessfully vaccinated.	Vaccination history not obtained o uncertain.
New Jersey: Burlington CountyCape May CountyCumberland County.	6 1 1			2	4	
Total	8			2	4	
New York (exclusive of New York City): Eric County— Buffalo West Seneca (town) Oswego County— Oswego New Haven (town)	5 1 6 2				1	ļ
Total	14				2	1:
Ohio: Belmont County Butler County Clark County Clink County	1129 1 1		2	3	(a)	6 <u>-</u> 1
Coshocton County Crawford County Cuyahoga County Fayette County	18 2 48 16				1 1 8	15 47 8
Franklin County	2 11 5 12 13		1		2 10 1 6 9	(
Jefferson County Licking County Lorain County Lucas County	4 4 21 14			1	6	1; 1.
Mahoning County Marion County Miami County Montgomery County	8 4 2 37				1 29	\$ \$ }
Muskingum County. Richland County. Ross County. Sanday County.	3 3 1 1				2	
Scioto County. Seneca County Stark County Summit County	5 4 4 7				1 2 1	
Trumbull County Warren County Williams County Wood County	7 1 6 11			1	7 1 3 11	
Wyandot County	11					

SMALLPOX—Continued.

State Reports for January, 1919.

The state of the s					
Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Piace.	Cases.	Deaths.
					_
Indiana:	1 .	1	Mississippi—Continued.	1 .	.1
Adams County	1 22		Lowndes County Marshall County		
Dearborn County			Neshoba County	. 10	
Decatur County			Newton County	. 4	
Delaware County			Pearl River County	.1 3	
Elkhart County	62		Pike County	. 6	
Fayette County. Fountain County. Hamilton County.	1		Prentiss County	.) 5	
Fountain County	6		Prentiss County	. 1	
Hamilton County	3		Smith County	. 4	
Hancock County	1		Sunflower County	. 13	
Huntington County			Tallahatchie County	. 8	
Kosciusko County	1		Tippah County	. 1	
Lake County	3		Union County	. 2	
Laporte County	33 3		Washington County	1	
Lawrence County	3		Humphreys County	1	
Madison County			II		
Marion County	4		Total	167	ļ
Montgomery County	1		North Constitution		
Orange County	1 6		North Carolina:		1
Parke County	14		Bertie County.	4	
Porter County	1 1		Cabarrus County	13	
Steuben County	5 3		Chatham County	í	
St. Joseph County	3		Chowan County	i	
Sullivan County	10		Durham County	5	
Union County	5		Forsyth County	32	
Vanderburg County	6		Gaston County		
Viga County	6		Guilford County.		
Vigo County	i		Harnett County		
White County	13		Hertford County		
Whitley County			Lee County		
			Lee County. Perquimans County	6	
Total:	255	1	Pitt County	3	
			Randolph County		
Iowa: Buchanan County	4	ł	Rockingham County	9	
Cerro Gordo County	29		Rutherford County	4	
Cherokee County	1		ii Sampson County	1	
Des Moines County	i		Stanly County	3	
Dubuque County	8	•••••	Surry County	2	
Franklin County	ž		Surry County Wake County	2	
Harrison County	ī		Wilkes County	1	
Iann County	15		Total		
Lucas County	3 2		Total	132	
Mills County					
Monona County	4		North Dakota:	٥	
Page County	. 1		Cass County Grand Forks County	8	
Page County	14	 -	Bemsey County		
Pottawattamie County	38		Ramsey County	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Scott County	3		Lotal	11	
Story County	2		Total		
Wapello County	1	• • • • • • • • • •	Oregon:		
5 . 4. 1			Jackson County	5	
Total	129	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Linn County.	ĭ	
Maine:			Multnomah County	5	
Aroostook County-			Portland	20	
Van Buren (town)	1				
Madawaska (town)			Total	31	
Eagle Lake (town)	8 !		li.		
Penobscot County—	_		Pennsylvania:	10	
Bangor Piscataquis County—	1	• • • • • • • • •	Allegheny County	3	•••••
Piscata quis County—			Indiana County	ĭ	
Brownvalle (town)	1		Indiana County Mercer County	î l	
Total	01		Mercer county		
Total	21		Total	15	
Miceiscippi					
Mississippi: Adams County			South Carolina:	9	
Alcorn County	1 2		Chesterfield County	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bolivar County	33	•••••	Greenville County		• • • • • • • • •
Carroll County	33		Sparianburg County	1	
Chickasaw County	il		Tutol T	25	
Choctaw County	3		Total		
Clarke County.	3 30		Wyoming:		
Clay County	4		Carbon County	1 .	
Coahoma County	í l		Albany County	8 .	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Copiah County	2		Sweetwater ('ounty	12	
Hinds County	1		Laramie County	15	•••••
Holmes County	4		Natrona County		
Jones County	2		Sheri in a County		
Lauderdale County	6		Total	10	
Leflore County	14	J	Total		

SI. !ALLPOX—Continued.

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Adrian, Mich	1		Ludington, Mich	1	
thiance, Ohio	5		Madison, Wis	î	
tchison, Kans	4		Marinette, Wis	3	
Atlanta, Ga	14		Memphis, Tenn	2	
	14		Middletown, Ohio	3	
Pattle Creek, Mich	1		Milwarkee, Wis	5	
Bedford, Ind	1		Minneapolis, Minn.		
Singhamton, N. Y				í	
Prunswick, Ga	1		Mobile, Ala	1	
Burlington, Iowa	1		Muscatine, Iowa	-	
outler, Pa	1		Muskogee, Okla	1	
atte, Mont	2		New Orleans, La	2	
edar Rapids, lowa	5		Norfolk, Va	.1	
hanute, Kens	4		North Yakima, Wash	17	
Biarleston, W. Va	3	1	Oklahoma City, Okla	26	
harlotte, N. C	1		Omaha, Nebr	20	
hicago, Ill	1		Pekin, Ill	10	
incinnati, Ohia	3		Peoria, Ill	6	
leveland, Ohio	4		Pittsburgh, Pa	3	
ouncil Bluffs, Iowa	8		Portland, Oreg.	5	
umberland, Md	1		Portsmouth, Va	1	
Dallas, Tex.	1		Roanoke, Va.	2	
Davenport, Iowa	$\bar{3}$		Rockford, Ill	7	
enver, Colo	13		St. Joseph, Mo.	3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
es Moines, lowa	5		St. Louis, Mo.	5	
Detroit; Mich	ä		St. Paul, Minn	16	
et Paso, Tex.	2		Salt Lake City, Utah	4	
argo, N. Dak	ī		San Antonio, Tex	.3	· · · · · · · · •
ort Dodge, Iowa	9		San Francisco, Cal	4	
ort Worth Tex	1		Scattle, Wash	9	
alveston, Tex	î		Sioux City, Iowa	3	
	2			2	· · · · · · · • •
rand Forks, N. Dak		• • • • • • • • •	Sioux Falls, S. Dak	3	· · · · · · · · •
reat Falls, Ment	1		Spartanburg, S. C		
reen Bay, Wis	2		Spokane, Wash	1	
ndianapolis, Ind	1		Springfield, Ill	1	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
ronwood, Mich	2		Steubenville, Ohio	3	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
anesville, Wis	1		Superior, Wis	4	
Cansas City, Kons	2		Tacoma, Wash	11	
Cansas City, Mo	1		Toledo, Ohio	3	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
eavenworth, Kans	1		Washington, D. C	3	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
incoin, Nebr	20		Winston-Salem. N. C	6	
ogansport, In l	2		Youngstown, Ohio	11	
orain, Ohio	1		Zanesville, Ohio	1	
os Angeles, Cal.	2		,	- 1	

SYPHILIS.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cases	s. [Car	ses.
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	6	Camp Pike zone, Ark	6
Gerstner Field zone, La	1	Camp Polk zone, N. C.	2
Camp Gordon zone, Ga 1	18	Portsmouth and Norfolk County health district,	
Camp Jackson zone, S. C	ic	Va	1
Camp Lee zone, Va	5	Camp Sheridan zone, Ala	12
Muscle Shoals sanitary district, Ala	1	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	37
Pierie Acid Plant zone, Ga	2	Tidewater health district, Va	4

TETANUS.

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

During the week ended February 15, 1919, tetanus was reported as follows: Baltimore, Md., 1 death; Chicago, Ill., 1 case and 1 death; Los Angeles, Cal., 1 case and 1 death.

TUBERCULOSIS.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cas	es.	(Ca	ses.
Charleston sanitary district, S. C	1	Camp Sevier zone, S. C	1
Fayetteville sanitary district, N. C	2	Camp Sheridan zone, Ala	2
Gas and Flame School zone, Ga. and Ala	2	Camp Sherman zone, Ohio	1
Camp Lewis zone, Wash	1	Souther Field zone, Ga	1
Camp Pike zone, Ark	4	Camp Zachary Taylor zone, Ky. and Ind	1
Camp Polk zone, N. C	3	Camp Upton zone, N. Y	1
Portsmouth and Norfolk County health district,		Wilmington sanitary district, N. C	1
Va	1		

See also Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 476.

TYPHOID FEVER.

Cases Reported in Extra-Cantonment Zones, Week Ended Mar. 1, 1919.

Cases.	Cases.
Charleston sanitary district, S. C 1	Camp Jackson zone, S. C
Gerstner Field zone, La 1	Camp Sheridan zone, Ala 2
Camp Gordon zone, Ga 1	Wilmington sanitary district, N. C 1
Gulfport health district, Miss 6	

State Reports for January, 1919.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
Florida:	1	Mississippi-Continued.	
Dade County—	ł	Carroll County	1 3
Miami	2	Chickasaw County	l ï
De Soto County	2 5 2	Clay County	1 2
Duval County	2	Coahoma County	1 i
Jacksonville	7	Copiah County	l i
Escambia County—		De Soto County	1 2
Pensacola	2	Holmes County	ī
Hillsborough County-	_	Issaguena County	
Tampa	2	Jefferson County	
Leon County	2	Kemper County	î
Mouroe County	ĩ	Lafayotte County	l i
Polk County	î	Lawrence County	1 4
Putnam County	î	Leake County	. i
Volusia County		Lincoln County	3
Offisia Country		Lowndes County	
Total	29	Neshoba County	
1 0131	20	Newton County	
		Pike County	5
Indiana:		Scott County	1
Allen County	2	Smith County	
Clark County	7	Sunflower County	
Delaware County	2		
Elkhart County	ī	Tallahatchie County	3
Hamilton County	i	Tate County	
Jackson County	î	Tunica County	1
Lake County	8	Union County	
Marion County	ĭl	Walthall County	1
Newton County	î l	Warren County	3
Shelby County	2	Washington Councy	1
Tipton County.	ĩ	Wayne County	
Wabash County	3	Winston County Yalobusha County Yazoo County	3
wasan county		Yalobusha County	2
Total	30	Yazoo County	5
10tai			
<u>.</u> .		Total	90
Kaine: Aroostook County—	İ	New Jersey:	
Ashland (town)		Bergen County	1
Ashiand (town)	1	Burlington County	í
Cumberland County—	. !	Essex County	6
Standish (town)	1	Hudson County	ï
Piscataquis County—			3
Dover (town)	1	Morcer County	2
~			2
Total	3	Morris County	í
I I		Somerset County	2
Aississippi:	. 1	Sussex County	$\hat{2}$
Adams County	4	Union County	3
Amite County	2	Warren County	
Attala County	2	T	24
Bolivar County Calhoun County	9	Total	24

TYPHOID FEVER—Continued.

State Reports for January, 1919-Continued.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
New York (exclusive o New York City):		North Carolina:	
Albany County—		Asia County Asia County Beut ort County Culdwell County Carteret County Catawba County Cheokes County Cheokes County	2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 3 3 4 1 1 3 3 6 6 3 3 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1
Albany Waterviet	2	Beau ort County	2
Watervilet	1	Burke County	1 1
Broome County-		Calawell County	2
Sanford (town)	3	Carteret County	1 1
Chautauqua Coun y— Sao man (town)	1	Charles County	1 5
Chenango County—	•	Clay County	í
Pitcher (town)	1	Cloveland County	1 3
Clinton County—	•	Columbus County	ĭ
Beekmantown (town)	1	Clay County Cloveland County Columbus County Davidson County Durham County	ī
Delaware (ounty-		Durham County	3
Walton	2		2
Dutchess County—	_	Guilford County	1
Fishkiil.	1	Guillord County Jackson County Johnston County	1 1
Eric County—		Johnston County	3
Buffalo.	13	Jones County	0
Tonawanda (town)	1	New Hanover County	ì
Catskill	1	Corlow County Robeson County	1 1
Herkimer County-	1 *.	Rockingham County	2
Little Falls	2	Rowan County	l ī
Frankiort	Ī	Staniy County	4
llion	1	Stanly County Union County Wake County	1 4 1 2 2
Herkimer (town)	1	Wake County	2
Herkimer	4	Washington County	
Jefferson County—		Wilkes County.	1
Theresa (town)	1	Wilson County	5
Carthage Lewis County—	.1	West 1	50
Harrisville	2	Total	- 56
Turin	Î	North Dakota:	
Monroe County—	-	Burleigh County	2
Rochester	2	McHenry County	1
Magara County—		McHenry County Stutsman County	1
Lockport	2	Williams County	1
Onema Comity —		l,	
New Hartford	1	Total	5
Ontario County—		Ohio:	
Canandaigua Orleans County—	1	Ashlan I County	1
Albion	3	Ashtabula County	i
Honey	ĭ	Belment County	ī
OSWEZO CONIIL. —	- 1	Rrown County	2
	2	Butler County	4
Rensselaer County— Try St. Lawrence County— Norwood	i	Clerment County	4
C. T. y	1	Clinion County Columbiana County	1
Norwood		Crawford County	9
NorwoodPotsdam	1 1	Cuyahoga County	Ã
Saratoga County—	•	Darke County	4 1 3 2 4
Mechanicsville	18	Fairfield County	1
nammoon (town)	1	Franklin County Greene County	3 6
Schuyler County-	- ·	Greene County	6
Watkins	1	Hamilton County Harrison County	1
Selleca (Olilli)—		Harrison County	. 5
waterioo	1	Highland County	$\begin{smallmatrix}2\\2\\1\end{smallmatrix}$
Steuben Country—		Jefferson County	2
Painted Post	1	Knox County Lawrence County	10
Suffolk County— Central Islip State Hospital	, ,	Linking County	12
Sullivan County—	1	Logan County	2
Liberty (town)	1	Lucas County.	2
Ulster County—	- 1	Licking County Liogan County Lucas County Meigs County Meigs County	12 2 2 2 3 1 1 3
Kingston.	4		ī
Washington County—		Morgan County	1
Whitehall	2	Morgan County Muskingum County Noble County	3
Wyoming County—	_ [Noble County	3 7
Castile	3	Portage County Sciolo County Seneca County	6
		DUDGO COURTY	0
Total	89	Sanges County	1

TYPHOID FEVER—Continued.

State Reports for January, 1919-Continued.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
Ohio—Continued. Summit County. Trumbull County. Tuscarawas County. Wood County. Wyandot County. Total Oregon: Hood River County. Pennsylvania: Adams County.	2 2 3 1 99 ————————————————————————————————	Pennsylvania—Continued. Fayette County. Greene County. Huntingdon County. Lancaster County. Lycoming County. Lycoming County. Mifflin County. Montour County. Montour County. Yhiladelphia County. Washington County. Westmoreland County. York County.	47 3 1 1 4 3 1 20 5
Allegheny CountyArmstrong County	20	Total	218
Berks County Bucks County Butler County Cambria County Carbon County Chester County Cumberland County Dauphin County Elk County Erie County	5 2 69 2 1 2 2 2	South Carolina: Chesterfield County. Marion County. Newberry County Total Wyoming: Albany County	1 6

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Akron, Ohio Atlantic City, N. J Baltimore, Md Beloit, Wis Birmingham, Ala Boston, Mass Butler, Pa Charleston, W. Va Cleveland, Ohio Columbia, S. C Concord, N. H. Covington, Ky Elizabeth, N. J. Englewood, N Fall River, Mass Galveston, Tex Harrisburg, Pa Houston, Tex Houston, Tex Independence, Mo Ironton, Ohio Joplin, Mo Kalamazoo, Mich Kansas City, Kans Lackawanna, N Lackawanna, Lackawanna, N Lackawanna, N Lackawanna, Lackawanna, N Lackawanna, N Lackawanna, Lackawanna, N Lackawanna, Lackawa	1 1 1 7 7 1 1 1 5 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Lynn, Mass Milwaukee, Wis. Moline, Ill. Newark, N. J. New Castle, Pa. New Haven, Conn. New Orleans, I.a. New York, N. Y. Northampton, Mass. North Tonawanda, N. Y. Omaha, Nel)r Perth Amboy, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Portland, Me. Portland, Me. Portland, Oreg. Pottstown, Pa. Richmond Va. Rockford, Ill. St. Louis, Mo. South Bend, Ind. Syracuse, N. Y. Tacoma, Wash Toledo, Ohio. Washington, D. C.	3 1 1 1 1 1 5 3 1 4 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	22
Little Rock, ArkLowell, Mass	1		Wilmington, N. C	1	·····i

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS. State Reports for January, 1919.

	Ca	ses reporte	d.
State.	Diphthe- ria.	Measles.	Scarlet fever.
Florida. Indiana. Iowa Maine Mississippi. New Jersey. New York (exclusive of New York City) North Carolina North Dakota. Pennsylvanis	53 15 44 654 893 83 21	237 230 3 390 186 555 228 2 1,438	10 270 111 60 43 342 586 67 20
Ohio Oregon South Carolina Wyoming	416 33	543 18 62 8	419 27 14 7

City Reports for Week Ended Feb. 15, 1919.

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1917	tion as of Total		Diphtheria.		Measles.		Scarlet fever.		ber- osis
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Aberdeen, S. Dak	15,926	1								
Adams, Mass	14,406	5	1	1 !			'	'	2	
Adrian, Mich	11,570	1								
Akron, Ohio	93,604	30]	10		5		1	
Alameda, Cal	28, 433	3								
Allentown, Pa	65,109		. 1		• • • • • •			• • • • •		
Alliance, Ohio	19,581	6	,	···						
Alton, Ill	23, 783	13]	• • • • •		• • • • • •	• • • • •		1
Altoona, Pa	59,712		1		• • • • • •					
Anderson, Ind	24,230 $15,041$	7 10			• • • • • •		;-			
Ann Arbor, Mich	16,954	7	1,		• • • • • •	• • • • • •	1		1	
Appleton, Wis:	18,005	5			• • • • • • •		1			
Arlington, Mass	13,073	3	• • • • • •		•••••		i		• • • • • •	
Asheville, N. C.	25,656	24	• • • • • •		2		- 1		3	13
Ashtabula, Ohio	22,003	-4								1
Atchison, Kans	16,785				1					
Atlanta Ga	196, 144	72	3	1	2		3		5	5
Atlantic City, N. J.	59,515	12					9		1	
Attleboro, Mass	19,776	7				!]		
Auburn, N. Y	37,823	9					1		• • • • •	
Austin, Tex	35,612	3	1							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Baltimore, Md	594,637	313	27	1	14		123	1]	48	27
Barre, Vt	12,401	2	• • • • • •		• • • • • •		• • • • • • •			
Baton Rouge, La	17,544	4	7				····i		• • • • • • •	'
Battle Creek, Mich Bayonne, N. J	30, 159 72, 204		4				1			
Beacon, N. Y	11,674	5	'		- 4				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Beatrice, Nebr	10, 437	10	• • • • • • •							i
Beaumont, Tex	28,851	9								
Bedford, Ind.	10,613	5								
Bellaire, Ohio	14,575	4					1			1
Belleville, Ill	31,154		1]	2		1	• • • • •
Beloit, Wis	18,547	3			1		1			•••••
Berkeley, Cal	60, 427	11					1	• • • • •	• • • • •	2
Berlin, N. H	13,892	2	• • • • • •							
Beverly, Mass	22,128	4	• • • • • •				2			i
Biddeford, Me	17,760 15,123	9	····i				4		5	,
Billings, Mont	54,864	18	1				i		6	1
Binghamton, N. YBirmingham, Ala	189, 716	84	2		8		5		10	13
Bloomfield, N. J.	19,013	0.3					ĭ			
Bloomington, Ind	11,661	2								1
Boise, Idaho	35,951	3					1 53			
			52	6 1				1 1	48	20

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1917	Total deaths	1 -	htheria	. Me	asles.		arlet ver.		ber- osis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Braddock, Pa	22,060		. 2		.		. 1	ļ	2	
Brazil, IndBridgeport, Conn	22,060 10,472 124,724	52	1 12		1 19		. 2	· ·····	3	·····;
Detatal Comm	16,318	2			.			.		
Brockton, Mass. Brookline, Mass. Brunswick, Ga. Buffalo, N. Y.	16,318 69,152 33,523 10,984	18 10			·				2	1
Brunswick, Ga	10,984	8	ļ		4		1		l i	
Buffalo, N. Y	4/5, /81	187	41	8	13		16	1	13	15
Bullialo, N. Y Burlington, Iowa. Burlington, Vt. Butler, Pa Butte, Mont Cairo, Ill Cambridge, Mass. Camden, N. J Canton, Ohio. Carbondale, Pa	25, 144 21, 802	9 12			7		2			i
Butler, Pa	28,677		4							
Butte, Mont	44,057 15,995	8	3		·		7			
Cambridge, Mass	114 293	28					7		5	4
Camden, N. J.	108, 117	18	3		1 3		1		7	
Carbondale, Pa	108, 117 62, 566 19, 597	10			3		li		•••••	4
Carlisle, Pa	10, 795				1					
Centralia III	38, 033 11, 838	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 1	1			1			-
Champaign, Ill	15,052	3	ļ <u>.</u>							· · · · · · ·
Charleston S. C.	12,968 61,041	4 36	·····	.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				···i	•••••
Charleston, W. Va	31,000	15	3			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i		1	î
Charlotte, N. C.	40, 759 1	18	<u>:</u> -	.	7				1	····· ₂
Chester Pa	48, 405 41, 857	23	3 1						3	
Cheyenne, Wyo	11,320	• • • • • • • • •			2		1			••••••
Chicago, Ill	11, 320 2, 547, 201 29, 950 15, 625 414, 248	903 11	152 1	16	129	•••••	65	2	289	78 1
Chillicothe, Ohio.	15, 625	4	2				2			
Carlisle, Pa. Cedar Rapids, Iowa. Centralia, Ill. Champaign, Ill. Champaign, Ill. Chanute, Kans. Charleston, S. C. Charleston, W. Va. Charlotte, N. C. Chelsea, Mass. Chester, Pa. Cheyenne, Wyo. Chicago, Ill. Chicopee, Mass. Chillicothe, Ohio. Cincinnati, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio.	414, 248	162	4	2	5		6		20	18
Clinton Iowa	692, 259 27, 678	249	43 3	5	4	•••••	6 1	•••••	18	26
Cilinton, Iowa. Cohoes, N. Y. Colorado Springs, Colo Columbia, S. C. Columbus, Ohio.	25, 292 38, 965	5	ĭ				5		i	• • • • • •
Colorado Springs, Colo	38, 965 35, 165	13	• • • • • •		·····2		3		3 1	3
Columbus, Ohio	220, 135	83	····i		î		3		4	7
Concord, N. H.	22,858	11								1
Council Bluffs, Iowa	10,789 31,838	5 9	2		2		4			
Countries, onto. Concord, N. H. Corpus Christi, Tex. Council Bluffs, Iowa. Covincton, Ky. Cranston, R. I.	59, 623	27	4 2				1		2	i
Cumberland Md	23,773 26,683	13	2 1	·····	31		1			•••••
Cumberland, Md. Dallas, Tex. Danbury, Conn. Danvers, Mass.	129, 738 22, 931 10, 037	34	2	i			i		7	2
Danbury, Conn	22,931	4		···		•••••		-	•••••	•••••
	32,969	14	4							•••••
Danville, Va	20 183	11				•••••				4
Dayton Ohio	49,618 128,939 41,483	40	•••••		····i		1		4	3
Decatur, Ill	41,483	10							i .	•••••
Dedham, Mass	10,618 268,439	101	8	····i	• • • • •		6			19
Dedham, Mass. Denver, Colo. Des Moines, Iowa. Detroit, Mich. Dover, N. H. Du Rois Pa	104.052						9 .		i .	
Detroit, Mich	619, 648 13, 276	276	77	6	5	1	38	3	31	25
Du Bois, Pa	13,276	6	i		i		···i			· · · · · ·
Dubuque, Iowa	40,096].		5				1 .	-		3
Du Bois, Pa Dubuque, Iowa Duluth, Minn Durham, N. C East Chicago, Ind.	97, 077 23, 160	27	7	1	1 -		2		3	3
East Chicago, Ind.	30, 286	12								i
Easthampion, mass	10,656	12			-		1 -		-	••••
East Liverpool, Ohio	22, 941 30, 854	12			2					
East Orange, N. J.	43, 761	15	3						1	1
East Providence, R. I Eau Claire, Wis	18, 485 . 18, 887 .				8		2 .		:::: <u> </u> :	• • • • •
Elgin, Ill.	28, 562 88, 830	ii	3		ĭ.		1 .			1
Elgin, Ill. Elizabeth, N. J. El Paso, Tex.	88, 830 . 69, 149	60	2		2	•••••	2 .		7 -	····iż
Englewood, N. J.	12,633	6	3	·····]						••••

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1917	Total deaths	Dipht	heria.	Mea	sles.		rlet ver.		ber- osis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Erie, Pa.	76, 592 15, 854	2	ļ		1		2		4	
Escanaba, MichEureka, Cal	15, 142	4								
Evanston, Ill.	29, 304	Î	i							
Evansville, Ind	29,304 76,981	15	2		1			1	2	i
Everett, Mass	40, 160	12	5						1	
Everett, Wash	37, 205	<u>-</u>		····		<u>-</u> -	2			
Everett, Wash Fall River, Mass Fargo, N. Dak Farrell, Pa. Findlay, Ohio Fond du Lac, Wis.	129, 828 17, 872 1 10, 190	41 6	6	1	21	1	2	2	10	1
Fargo, N. Dak	1 10 190		i				11	2		
Findley Ohio	1 14, 858	7			5	, I				
Fond du Lac. Wis	21.486	Ż			l		5			
Fort Dodge, Iowa	21,039						2			
Fort Dodge, Iowa	10,564	1							ļ	
Fort Worth, Tex	109, 597 10, 959	28	1		1		1		4	4
rostoria, Unio	10,959	1 13	1			i			j • •	
Proport III	10 84.1	5				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Fremont, Nebr	10,080	3								
Fresno, Cal	36,314	9							2	1
Talesburg, Ill	24, 629 42, 650	9			3				·	
lalveston, Tex	42,650	12					2		ļ	3
Freeport, III. Fremont, Nebr. Fresno, Cal. Galesburg, III. Galesburg, III. Glaveston, Tex. Geneva, N. Y. Gloversville, N. Y. Grand Forks, N. Dak. Grand Rapids, Mich. Great Falls, Mont.	13, 915	4			1				····;·	
Crand Forks N. I	22,314	3						• • • • • •	1	
Grand Ranide Mich	16,342 132,861	38			5		3		5	
Great Falls, Mont	1 13, 948	10	1		3		ı		ĭ	
Great Falls, Mont. Green Bay, Wis. Greenfield, Mass.	132, 861 1 13, 948 30, 017	13					l		2	2
Greenfield, Mass	12,251	4	2				1		1	
Greensboro, N. C.	20, 171	9							 .	2
Greensburgh, Pa. Greensburgh, Pa. Greensburgh, Conn. Greensder, Conn. Greensder, N. J. Greensder, M. J. Greensder, M. J. Greensder, M. J. Greensder, M. J.	15, 881	• • • • • • • •	3						¦	····:
Greenwich, Collii	19, 594	•••••	1				3	• • • • • •	····i	1
Hammond Ind	17,412 27,016	14 8	i			• • • • • •			•	1 *
Harrisburg, Pa	777 776		4		1		3			
Harrison, N. J	17, 345		i							
Hartford, Conn	112 551	38	6		37		9		5	-
Haverhill, Mass	49, 180 28, 981 17, 550	24	5						6	
Hazieton, F2	20, 901 17, 550		2	• • • • • •		• • • • •	1	• • • • •	- -	• • • • • •
Bigbland Park Mich	33, 859	9	4			• • • • • •	i		2	
High Point, N. C	13, 439		**						ī	
Hoboken, N. J	78, 324	23	12	2	2				2	2
Holyoke, Mass	66, 503	15	3		1		6		3	3
Houston, Tex	116, 878	38	1		6	• • • • •			1	3
Harrisburg, Pa. Harrison, N. J. Harrison, N. J. Harrisond, Conn. Haverhill, Mass. Hibling, Minn. Highland Park, Mich. High Point, N. C. Holoken, N. J. Holyoke, Mass. Houston, Tex. Hudson, N. Y. Hudson, N. Y. Hudson, N. Y.	12, 898 21, 461	4	·····i		4		• • • • •	• • • • • • • •		
Independence, Mo	11,964	4	1		2	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Hutchinson, Kans. Independence, Mo Indianapolis, Ind Iowa City, Iowa Ironton, Ohio	283,622	98	16	3	6		10		7	8
Iowa City, Iowa	283, 622 11, 626						2			
Ironton, Ohio	14,079	1								•••••
Ironwood, Mich	15,095	4			1	• • • • •	6		····i	1
Ironwood, Mich. Ithaca, N. Y. Jamestown, N. Y. Janestowille, Wis. Jersey City, N. J. Johnstown, N. Y. Johnstown, P. A.	16,017 37,431	7 15	3				3		1	2
Innesville, Wis	14, 411	3	0							
Jersev City, N. J	14, 411 312, 557		25				6		13	
Johnstown, N. Y	10,678	3	2				2			-
Johnstown, Pa	70, 473		3		1				4	-
Joplin, Mo	33, 400	4					•••••		2	•••••
Jonlin, Mo	50, 408 102, 696	19	3						2 2	
Kansas City, Mans	205, 816	134	9		30	1	7	i	4	10
Kearny, N. J.	24, 325	12	ĭ						ï	
Kcene, N. H.	24, 325 10, 725	5							ا٠٠٠-١	
Kenosha, Wis	32,833	7	7 1		13		3	• • • • • • •	2	••••••
Kalamazoo, Mich Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Mo Kearny, N. J Kene, N. H Kenosha, Wis Kokomo, Ind	21,929 16,219	3 9	$\frac{1}{2}$		4		1 2		···i	
Lackawanna, N. Y La Crosse, Wis	31, 833	18	-	i	*					····i
La Fayette, Ind	21, 481	6	1				i			.
	23, 813	18							41	

¹ Population April 15, 1910.

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1917	Total deaths	1 -	itheria.	Mea	sles.		arlet ver.	T cu	uber- losis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	onsus causes.		Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Lancaster, Ohio	16,086	8		.	3	ļ	1		.	. 1
Lancaster, Pa	51, 437 13, 477 102, 923	5			50		1	ļ	· ····	i
Lawrence, Mass	102, 923	30	1				i		. 3	3
Leavenworth, Kans	1 19, 363	4	6				3			· ····;
Lima, Unio	37, 145 46, 957	12 16	3	i	····i		5 7	i	-	. 4
Lawrence, Mass. Leavenworth, Kans. Lima, Ohio Lincoln, Nebr Lincoln, R. I Little Rock, Ark. Logansport, Ind	10, 473			ļ . .			i	J		
Little Rock, Ark	10, 473 58, 716 21, 338	15			1		4		. 3	2 1 2 1
Logansport, Ind	21, 338	5 12			1 1	• • • • • •		·····	i	1 5
Long Beach, Cal. Long Branch, N. J. Lorain, Ohio. Los Angeles, Cal. Louisville, Ky. Lowell, Mass.	15, 733	2							1	. i
Lorain, Ohio	38, 266	8	2	····ː	<u>-</u> -		2		1	
Los Angeles, Cal	535, 485	160 85	9 2	2	5 4	• • • • • •	4 7		38 9	25 7 2
Lowell, Mass.	240, 808 114, 366	38	3		3		7	ł	6	2
Ludington, Mich	10,566				1		3			3
Lowell, Mass. Ludington, Mich. Lynchburg, Va. Lynn, Mass. McKeesport, Pa. Madison, Wis. Malten, Mass. Manchester, Conn	33, 4 <i>9</i> 7 104, 534	10 28	8	2	····i		4		2	3 2
McKeesport, Pa.	48, 299 (2		1		i		l î	
Madison, Wis	31, 315 52, 243	11			18		3		l	i
Malden, Mass	52, 243 15, 859	16 2	1		3	····i	3		2	
Manchester, N. H.	79, 607	27	4	i					4	i
Marinette, Wis	1 14 610 1	7			6					2
Marion, Ind	19,923	7 2			3	•••••	23	• • • • • •		1
Manchester, Conn Manchester, N. H. Marinette, Wis. Marion, Ind. Marquette, Mich Martinsburg, W. Va.	19, 923 12, 555 12, 984 10, 135	Z		i i	1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Martins Ferry, Ohio	10, 135	4	4				1			2
Mason City, Iowa Medford, Mass Melfore, Mass Melrose, Mass Memphis, Tenn Meruden, Conn	14, 938	7	₂ .				•••••		2	_i
Melrose Mass	26, 681 17, 724	10 3	2			• • • • • •	1	• • • • • •		1
Memphis, Tenn	17, 724 151, 877 29, 431 14, 320	56	4		12		2		7	8
Meriden, Conn	29, 431	7	1				3			
Mertden, Conin Methuen, Mass Middletown, Ohio Milford, Mass Milwaukee, Wis Minneapolis, Minn Miscoulis Mont	16, 384	7			5		• • • • • • •			• • • • • •
Milford, Mass	14, 280	11								
Milwaukee, Wis	445.008	110	11	2	3 .		30		21 13	.8
	373, 448 19, 075 59, 201	95 7	7		2		13	···i	. 13	15
Mobile, Ala	59, 201	25	2		3]			2
Moline, Ill.	27, 976 1	3	3 2	1						-
Montelair, N. J.	23,070 27,087	2	1				···i		····i	
Montgomery, Ala	44,039	5			.		2			
Morgantown, W. Va	14,444	1	:-		1 .		····;·¦		2	-
Moi-ile, Ala Moline, Ill. Monessen, Pa. Montelair, N. J. Montgomery, Ala. Morgantown, W. Va. Morristown, N. J. Mount Vernon, N. Y.	13, 419 37, 991	5 11	- 1				1		·····2	· · · · · ·
Muskozee, Okla	47, 173						2			
Nanticoae, Pa	23, 811 . 27, 541				5 .		1 6			·····ż
Nashville, Tenn.	118, 136	61	····i		29 .		6		3	ĩ
Natick, Mass	10 140	2	1		.		1			
Newark, N. J	418, 789	142	55	4	3 .		22		34	20 1
New Bedford, Mass	30, 317 121, 622	40	2				3		7	3
New Britain, Conn	55, 385	13	1		22 .		5		2	2
Mount Vernon, N. Y. Muskogce, Okla Nanticoke, Pa Nashua, N. H. Nashville, Tenn Natick, Mass. Newark, N. J. Newark, Ohio. New Bedford, Mass. New Britain, Conn New Brunswick, N. J. Newburgh, N. Y. Newburgh, N. Y. Newburyport, Mass. New Castle, Pa New Haven, Conn New Haven, Conn	25, 855 . 29, 893	16	•••••	•••••	12 .		1	•••••	1	·····ż
Newburyport, Mass	15, 291	4	i			::::: .				.
New Castle, Pa	41, 915 . 152, 275				: -		1		ا-ي	
New London Conn	152, 275 21, 199	52 9	3 2 3	•••••	17	1	3		5	3
New Orleans, La	377, 010	176	11	i.			3		36	26
Newport, Ky	32, 133 .		···;· ·	-	-	-	•••• •		2	2
New London, Conn New Orleans, La. Newport, Ky Newport, R. I Newton, Mass. New York, N. Y Niggars Falls N V	32, 133 30, 585 44, 345	5 11	1						6	ī
New York, N. Y	5, 737, 492	2,090	340	38	32	i i	123	5	312	177
Niagara Falls, N. Y Noriol'z, Va	38, 468	14	3 .	.	····	-	···i	•••••	2	3
ANDEROLA, V.B	91, 149 .	' -	1-			J	1 1.		٠,	•

Population April 15, 1913.

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1917	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Measles.			arlet ver.		iber- losis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Norristown, Pa	31, 969 1 22, 019 20, 006	<u>.</u> .	1		2			ļ	1	
North Adams, Mass Northampton, Mass	20,006	7 7					4		4	
North Tonowanda, N. Y North Yakima, Wash	14,060	3					1			
North Yakima, Wash	22, 058 27, 332	• • • • • • •	1		1		5	·····	[····-;
Oakland, Cal	206, 405	42	5		i		4		3	2 2
Norwalk, Conn. Oakland, Cal. Oak Park, III Ogdensburg, N. Y. Oil City, Pa.	206, 405 27, 816 16, 845	10 6	1	 	3		1			
Old City, Pa	20, 162		l····i·							ļ
Oklahoma City, Okla	97,588	20	ļ		2		1			2
Olean, N. Y. Omaha, Nebr Orange, Conn Orange, N. Y. Ossining, N. Y. Parkersburg, W. Va. Pasadena, Cal Passale, N. J. Peekskill, N. Y. Pekin, Ill	16,927 177,777	7 47	6	····	10		2	• • • • • •		
Orange, Conn	14,393 33,636	2	ľ							2 1 1
Orange, N. J	33,636	12	3						1	1
Parkershurg, W. Va	14,064 21,059	21 11			····i		1		2	3
Pasadena, Cal	49,620	10								1 1
Passaic, N. J	74,478	20 4	4				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2	1
Passale, N. J. Peekskill, N. Y. Peekskill, N. Y. Pekin, Ill. Peorta, Ill. Peorta, Ill. Perth Amboy, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa. Phillipsburg, N. J. Phoenixville, Pa. Pine Bluff, Ark. Piqua, Ohio. Pittsburgh Pa.	19,034 10,973		3							
Peoria, Ill	72,184	27	5				2	1		3
Perth Amboy, N.J	42,646 1,735,514	12 728	3 86	8	14		69	····i	3 82	1 76
Phillipsburg, N. J.	10.879	6					ĭ		3	
Phoenixville, Pa	11,871 17,777	• • • • • • • •			6			•••••		
Pigna. Ohio	14.275	3	• • • • •				1	• • • • • •		
Pittsburgh, Pa	586, 196		24		3		19		25	
Pittsfield, Mass	39,678	12						• • • • • •		• • • • •
Pigna, Ohio. Pittsburgh, Pa. Pittsfield, Mass. Pittston, Pa. Plainfield, N. J. Plattsburg, N. Y. Plymouth, Mass. Plymouth, Mass.	18,975 24,330	11	1 1				····i	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Plattsburg, N. Y	13, 111	8	3							1
Plymouth, Mass	14,001 19,439	5	•	• • • • • •	15		····i	• • • • • •		
Pontisc, Mich.	18,006	14	6		13					
Pontiac, Mich. Port Chester, N. Y. Portland, Me. Portland, Oreg. Portsmouth, Va.	16, 727 64, 720	6	2				1	• • • • • •		1
Portland, Oteg	308, 399	16 61	1 8	····i	2		5 3		···ii	5
Portsmouth, Va	40,693)				2
Pottstown, Pa	16,987		$\frac{6}{2}$		43		····i		····i	
Poughkeepsie, N. Y.	22,717 30,788	12	ا ئــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		9		1		i l	i
Providence, R. I	209,000	103	26		1		8			12
Juincy, III	36,832 39,022	10 10	2	• • • • •	1		9	• • • • • • •		1 1 3
Racine, Wis	47, 465	16	2				î]	2	3
Rahway, N.J	47, 465 10, 361 20, 274	6	;-						1	1 3
Portsmouth, Va Pottstown, Pa Pottsville, Pa Poughkeepsie, N. Y Providence, R. I Quincy, Ili Quincy, Ili Quincy, Mass Racine, Wis Rahway, N. J Raleigh, N. C Reading, Pa Redlands, Cal Richmond, Va	111.607	21	$\frac{1}{2}$		$\begin{array}{c c} 3 & \\ 152 & \end{array}$::::::	
Redlands, Cal	14, 573 158, 702	2					2		ا-يا	6
Redlands, Cal Richmond, Va Riverside, Cal Roanoke, Va Rochester, N. Y Rockford, Ill Rock Valend	20.496	61 7	3		2		2		3 2	4
Roanoke, Va	46,282 264,714	14	4		7		1			4 1 3 1
Rochester, N. Y	264,714 56,739	68 20	9 2	2	2 4	1	16	•••••	14	3
Rock Island, Ill	29, 452	8 [*.					<u>.</u>
Rocky Mount, N.C	12,673	2								• • • • • •
Rock Island, III. Rocky Mount, N.C. Rome, Ga. Rutland, Vt. Saginaw, Mich. St. Losenb. Mo	15,607 15,038	6		•••••					1	•••••
Saginaw, Mich	56, 469	17	2				2		i	<u>i</u>
Signay Mo St. Joseph, Mo St. Louis, Mo St. Paul, Minn Salem, Mass Salem, Oreg	86, 498 768, 630	45 239	2 8 38	;-	4		7	1	36	5 17 7 2
t. Paul. Minn	252.465	62	19	2	13		18	i	21	7
alem, Mass	252, 465 49, 346	22	3	ī			3		1	
4010TT 1 1700	21, 274	6 34	5	···i	····i					1 6 15
lalt Lake City. Utah										
Salt Lake City, Utah	121, 623 1 10, 321 128, 215 17, 616	8 26			3				···i8	.6

¹ Population Apr. 15, 1910.

San Diego, Cal	July 1, 1917 (estimated by U. S. Census Bureau). 56, 412 20, 226 11, 217 471, 023 15, 360	deaths from all causes.		Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	S.	g
San Francisco, Cal	471,023 15,360				-		రో	Dea	Cases.	Deaths.
San Francisco, Cal	471,023 15,360]		3	4
San Francisco, Cal	471,023 15,360						1			
Dan Fran 1800, Cat	15,360	150	6	·			2	····i	26	20
Santa Barbara, Cal		130								120
Santa Cruz, Cal Saratoga Springs, N. Y Saugus, Mass	15, 150 l	4 2								
Saratoga Springs, N. Y	13, 839 10, 210	7			1					····•
Sangus, Mass. Saulte Ste. Marie, Mich. Schenectady, N. Y	10,210	3				• • • • • •			• • • • • •	1
Schenectady, N. Y.	14, 130 103, 774	13	3						6	2
Schenectady, N. Y Scranton, Pa Seattle, Wash Shamokin, Pa Sharon, Pa Sharon, Pa Shenandoah, Pa Sioux City, Iowa Sioux Falls, S. Dak Somerville, Mass South Bend Ind	149, 541 1		14				9		13	
Seattle, Wash	366, 445 21, 274		7 5	[15		11 5		• • • • • •	-
Sharon, Pa	19, 156	•••••	i	ļ	i	• • • • • • •	3			
Shenandoah, Pa	19, 156 29, 753 58, 568		2 2		9		1		1	
Sioux City, Iowa	58,568	<u>.</u> .	2				1			
Somerville Mass	16, 887 88, 618	7 24	6		6		9		3	•••••
South Bend, Ind.	70 067	25	ı		_28	····i	2			2
Southbridge, Mass	14, 465	1							1	
South Bend, Ind. Southbridge, Mass. Spartanburg, S. C. Spokane, Wash. Springfield, Ill.	14, 465 21, 985 157, 656 62, 623 108, 668	5			7					• • • • • •
Springfield III	62 623	17	i		1		····i			•••••
Springfield, Mass	108,668	67	î		i		î		2	• 3
Springfield, Mo		12							ا-ي	2
Springfield, Ohio	52,296	17			25				5	2
Steubenville Obio	52, 296 15, 759 28, 259 110, 198	6	2 2		••••					•••••
Stillwater, Minn	1 10, 198		3							
Stockton, Cal.	36, 209	10								1
Springfield, Mass Springfield, Mo Springfield, Ohio Steulton, Pa Steubenville, Ohio Stillwater, Minn Stockton, Cal Streator, Iii Superior, Wis Syracuse, N. Y. Tacoma, Wash Taunton, Mass Terre Haute, Ind	14,313 47,167	4 10					1 4	1		•••••
Syracuse, N. Y	158, 559	57	2 5	i			13		4	·····ż
Tacoma, Wash	158, 559 117, 446 36, 610 67, 361		ĭ		6		15		1	
Taunton, Mass.	36,610	22			6		1		1	1
Tiffin, Ohio	12,962	28 7	····i			••••• •	-		···i'l	
Toledo, Ohio. Topeka, Kans. Trenton, N. J. Troy, N. Y. Utica, N. Y. Walla Walla, Wash.	202,010	70	10		i		13		13	8 1 7 5
Topeka, Kans	202, 010 49, 538 113, 974	27 58 33	1						1	1
Trenton, N.J	113, 974 78, 094	58	1 8 3	2	2 .		6		4 7	5
Utiea, N. Y.	89, 272	21	3		2 2 3		٠.٠٠		4	ž
Walla Walla, Wash	26 067						1 .			
Waltham, Mass	31, 011 369, 282 89, 201	7			٠٠٠٠ـــــا٠		3 .	-	38	17
Washington, D. C	80 201	149	31	1	2 .		9 .	•••••	30	'n
Waltham, Mass. Washington, D. C. Waterbury, Conn. Watertown, Mass. Watertown, N. Y. Wassey, Wie	15, 188	3							i .	
Watertown, N. Y.	30 404 1			1 .			1 .			••••
Wausau, Wis. Westfiold, Mass. West Hoboken, N. J. Wheeling, W. Va. White Plains, N. Y.	19,666	6		···i	1].		i .	-	-	••••
West Hoboken, N. J.	18,769 44,386	8	2	1	••••••		2 .		2 1	···i
Wheeling, W. Va.	44,386 43,657								1	2 1 2
White Plains, N. Y.	23,331	7		••••• •	٠٠٠٠٠		1.		···i	1
Witchita, Kans Wilkes-Barre, Pa Wilkinsburg, Pa Williamsport, Pa Wilmington, Del.	73,597 78,334	19	4		3 .		2 .		6.	
Wilkinsburg, Pa	23, 899						i i.		i .	••••
Williamsport, Pa	34, 123 . 95, 369		1 .						-	••••
Wilmington, Del	95, 369 30, 400	37 19	8	1	1 .	•••• •	i	•••• ••	···i'	2 2
Winchester Mass	10 812	6					4	2	1.	.
Winona, Minn	1 18, 583	12								•••••
Winona, Minn	1 18,583 33,136 13,105	18	1 .				•		4	2
Winthfop, Mass	13, 105 . 16, 076	3		···i			1			• • • • •
worcester, mass	166 106	87	5	il.	9		''i		5	7
Yonkers, N. Y	103,066	34	6 .				3			4
Youngstown, OhioZanesville, Ohio	103, 066 112, 282 31, 320	64 11	2	1	13 .	···i	5	•••• ••		Z
Zanosyme, Omo	31 320	*			'	- 1				• • • • •

FOREIGN.

BRAZIL.

Influenza-Para.

During the month of December, 1918, 7,100 cases of influenza were reported at Para. The number of fatalities from influenza reported during this period was 103; from pneumonia 40 fatalities were reported. (Population of Para, officially estimated, 186,000.)

CANADA.

Influenza-Province of Ontario.

During the months of October, November, and December, 1918, fatal cases of influenza were reported in the Province of Ontario as follows: October, 3,015; November, 2,608; December, 1,568. During the month of January, 1919, there were reported 1,514 fatal cases, of which about 400 occurred during the three preceding months, but were not reported during those months. Of the fatalities reported for the month of January, including late returns, 279 were reported for Toronto, 72 for Hamilton, 96 for London, and 44 for Ottawa. Influenza was reported present with fatal cases in 47 localities in the Province of Ontario. (Population of the Province, about 2,523,000.)

Influenza-Vancouver.

During the two weeks ended January 18, 1919, 850 cases of influenza with 103 fatalities were reported at Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

CHINA.

Examination of Rats-Hongkong.

During the period from November 3 to December 28, 1918, 13,959 rats were examined at Hongkong. No plague infection was found.

CUBA.

Communicable Diseases-Habana.

Communicable diseases have been notified at Habana as follows:

	Jan. 21-	Jan. 21-31, 1919.		
Diseases.	New cases.	Deaths.	ing under treatment Jan. 31, 1919.	
DiphtheriaLeprosy	4		3 17	
Malarië. Paratyphoid fever. Typhoid fever.	29 3 10	1 3	1 40 4 32	

¹ From the interior, 35,

Influenza—Habana—Regla.

During the period from January 21 to 31, 1919, 224 cases of influenza were reported at Habana and 8 cases at Regla.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC.

Further Relative to Influenza. 1

During the four weeks ended January 25, 1919, 1,101 cases of influenza with 92 fatalities were reported in the Dominican Republic.

GREECE.

Epidemic Cerebrospinal Meningitis-Athens.

Epidemic cerebrospinal meningitis was reported present at Athens, Greece, February 25, 1919.

FIJI ISLANDS.

Influenza.

Influenza was reported present December 3, 1918, in the Fiji Islands, Pacific Ocean, with heavy death rate.

ITALY.

Influenza-Leghorn.

Influenza was reported present at Leghorn, Italy, in October, 1918, with the greatest prevalence occurring among troops. During the period from November 4 to December 8, 1918, 997 cases with 163 fatalities were reported. During the week ended January 5, 1919, 244 cases with 25 fatalities were reported, and during the week ended January 19, 1919, 118 cases with 13 fatalities. (Population, officially estimated, 106,000.)

¹ Public Health Reports, Dec. 27, 1918, p. 2354, and Jan. 24, 1919, p. 150

MEXICO.

Influenza-October, 1918-January, 1919.

Epidemic influenza has been stated to have entered Mexico during the first week in October, 1918, and to have spread rapidly throughout the country. At the end of January, 1919, a general decline in epidemic prevalence of influenza was reported. The total number of fatalities from the disease has been variously estimated, some estimates running as high as half a million. In the State of Chiapas one-tenth of the population is estimated to have died from the results of influenza; in the city of Tapachula, 3,000 deaths were reported in a population of 30,000. A statement published at Mexico City under date of January 2, 1919, for 25 States and the Federal district, shows the greatest reported mortality from influenza to have occurred in the State of Michoacan, viz. 48,000 (population, 991,600). and the lowest in the State of Colima, viz, 900 (population, 77,700). No estimates have been received for Campeche, Morelos, Yucatan, Lower California, or the Territory of Quintana Roo. On January 2. 1919, the epidemic was reported to be seriously prevalent in the States of Chiapas and Tabasco.

NETHERLANDS.

Typhus Fever-Rotterdam.

From January 30, 1919, to February 27, 1919, 462 cases of typhus fever with 46 fatalities were reported at Rotterdam, Netherlands.

RUSSIA.

Typhus Fever-Petrograd.

Under date of February 2, 1919, typhus fever was reported present at Petrograd with approximately 225 cases reported from date of outbreak and a daily occurrence of about 20 cases.

TONGAN ISLANDS.

Influenza.

Influenza was reported present December 3, 1918, in the Tongan Islands, Pacific Ocean, with heavy death rate.

Reports Received During Week Ended Mar. 7, 1919. CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India:				
Bombay	Dec. 8-28	1,325	1,016	
Calcutta Do	Dec. 15-21		89	
Do	Dec. 29-Jan. 4 Dec. 15-21		27 17	
MadrasRangoon	do	50 6	6	
Java:		ľ	,	**
West Java				Nov. 28-Dec. 11, 1918: Cases, 16;
Batavia	Nov. 28-Dec. 11	11 6	6 3	deaths, 11.
Philippine Islands: Manila	Jan. 5-11	0	3	Jan. 5-11, 1919: Cases, 135; deaths,
Provinces				85.
Rataan	Jan. 5-11	2	2	
Batangas	do	5	1	
BulacanCapiz	do	8 7	3 3	
Cavite	do	4	3	News and the second of the sec
Cavite	do	16	Š	
Iloilo	do	9	1	prince the second of the second
Laguna	do	18 8	11	4
L/81130		8	4	
Misamis Oriental Negros	00	14 5	10	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Pempanga	do	4	3	
Pampanga Pangasinan	.do	23	20	
Tavabas	do	ĭ	i	
Zamboanga Manila	do	18	15	
Manila	Jan. 12-18	4	1	
Provinces	T 10 10		7	Jan. 12-18, 1919: Cases, 121,
Batangas Bohol	Jan. 12-18do	5 8	4	deaths, 97.
			i	
Capiz	do	18	10	
Cavite	do	2	2	
Cebu	do	13	12	
Iloros Sur	do	3	2	
Ilollo	do	13 12	13 13	A Committee of the Comm
Micamic	do	9	137	
Nueva Ecija	do	ı š	2 7	
Pampanga	do	9		
Bulacan. Capiz. Cavite. Cceu Ilo°os Sur Iloilo Laguna Misamis. Nueva Ecija. Pampanga Pan'asinan Tayabas.	do	19	16	
Tayabas	do	2	1	
Zamboanga	do	1		
The second secon	PLA	GUE.		
			·	
Ecuador:				
Guayaquil	Jan. 1–15	7	2	D 0.00 1010: G 4701.
India	Dec. 8-28	3	2	Dec. 8-28, 1918: Cases, 4,731; deaths, 3,320.
Bombay	Dec. 22-28	3	í	deaths, 5,520.
Calcutta Karachi	Dec. 23-31	i	l i	
Madras	Dec. 23-31 Dec. 15-21	20	12	
Do	Dec. 29-Jan. 4	123	52	
Madras Presidency	Dec. 15-21	172	115	
Do	Dec. 29-Jan. 4 Dec. 15-21	92 8	48 5	
Rangoon	Dec. 15-21	·	3	
On vessel: S. S. Japan	Jan. 14	1	1	At Suez quarantine station from Bombay.
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	SMAI	LPOX.		
	<u> </u>		l .	
Canada:		l	1	ļ
New Brunswick—	Jan. 12-18	,	f	
Campbellton St. John	Feb. 16-22	li		
Nova Scotia—			1	
Hali!ax	Feb. 9-15	30	[1
Sydney	do	1		1
Quebec-	!	١.	1	
Quebec	ren. 8-13	1	1]

¹ From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

Reports Received During Week Ended Mar. 7, 1919—Continued.

SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
China:				
Amoy	Dec. 8-28			Present.
Foochow	Dec. 22-28			Do.•
Nanking	Dec. 29-Jan. 4			Do.
Chosen (Korea):	J		Ι.	1
ChemulpoIndia:	Dec. 1-31		4	
BombayCalcutta	Dec. 8-28 Dec. 15-28	15	6	
_ Do	Dec. 29-Jan. 4		6	1
Karachi	Dec. 15-21	8	1	j .
Madras	do	5	4	
_ Do	Dec. 29-Jan. 4	22	6	
Rangoon	Dec. 15-21	9	- 2	
Italy:			I	
Genoa	Jan. 9-15	1		
Java:	1	į	1	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
West Java		····	<u>-</u> -	Nov. 28-Dec. 11, 1918: Cases, 161
Batavia	Nov. 28-Dec. 11	11	7	deaths, 42.
Mesopotamia:		l _	١ .	
Bagdad	Dec. 7-13	7	6	
Newfound!and:	18-b 0 14	i .		
St. Johns	Feb. 9-14	1		
Outports— Little Paradise		1	1	
	dodo	li		
Mercers Cove	ao	1		
Philippine Islands:	T 5 44	1	ļ	37-2-1-1-1-1
Manila	Jan. 5-11	1 1		Varioloid, 1.
Spain: Barcelona	Jan. 9-15		2	
Cadiz	Dec. 1-31		15	
Valencia.	Dec. 29-Jan. 11	33	13	
V Alencia	Dec. 25-3all. 11	33	•	
	TYPHUS	5 FEVE	R.	
Egypt:	1			
Alexandria	Jan. 1-14	12	7	
Great Pritain:	1			
Glasgow	Jan. 5-Feb. 1	8	1	
Japan:				
Nagasaki	Jan. 13-19	1	1	
Netherlands:				
Rotterdam				Jan. 39, 1919-Feb. 7, 1919: Cases
				462; deaths, 46.
	YELLOW	FEVER	.	
	,			
Ecuador:				
	Jan. 1-15	1		
Choho		i	1	
Chobo				
Chobo Daule	do			
Chobo Daule	do	52	30	
Chobo	dododododododododododo	52 1	30 1	
Chobo Daule	dodododododo	52	30	

keports Received from Dec. 28, 1918, to Feb. 28, 1919. CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Germany:	Nov. 17-30 To Oct. 5 Oct. 13-19		5 11	On a barge. 1 case in October, 1918, on a barge in canal.

Reports Received from Dec. 28, 1918, to Feb. 28. 1919—Continued.

CHOLERA-Continued.

Surnbaya (district)	•
Madras.	
Madras	019 miceir
Rangoon	ere, missii
Indo-China:	
Anam. Ang. 1-31 5 5 7 Cambodia. do 98 71 Cochin-China do 110 89 71 Tochin Ang. 1-31 1 10 89 71 Tochin Ang. 1-31 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Cambodia	
Cochin-China.	
Tonkin	
Surabaya (district)	
Case	
Simple S	Cases, 10
Mid-Java. Sept. 25-Oct. 16. 120 111 11	•
Mesopotamia: Bagadad Det. 2-Nov. 22. 280 142 deans, 227.	1918: Case
Batavia Oct. 12-Nov. 27 280 142 deans, 227.	•
Mesopotamia: Bagadad Det. 2-Nov. 22. 280 142 deans, 227.	: Cases, 39
Bagdad Oct.	
Philippine Islands: Manils Do	
Manila Sept. 22-Dec. 28	
Provinces	•
Provinces	
Albay. Dec. 15-21. 1 1 2 147. Nov. 17-Dec. 28 38 32 2 2 2 2 1918-Jan. 4, 1919: Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 28 79 65 141 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 28 79 65 Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	511; death
Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 28. 79 65 Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. 3 2 2 3 17 Do. Nov. 2-9. 19 17 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 21. 12 5 6 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 28. 44 30 30 20 30 30 30 30 30	. 28, 191
Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 28. 79 65 Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. 3 2 2 3 17 Do. Nov. 2-9. 19 17 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 21. 12 5 6 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 28. 44 30 30 20 30 30 30 30 30	, S58. De
Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4 3 7 17 17 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 21 12 5 5 6 17 17 17 Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 21 12 5 5 6 17 17 17 17 17 18 19 17 18 19 17 18 19 18 19 19 19 19 19	9: Cases, 8
Bohol	
Do. Nov. 17-Dec. 21 12 5 6	
Do.	
Do.	
Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. 12	
Capiz Dec. 22-28 7 5 Cavite Oct. 27-Nov. 2 38 28 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 21 163 75 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 10 10 Cebu Dec. 15-21 41 20 Bo Dec. 29-Jan. 4 11 10 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 11 10 Bo Nov. 17-Dec. 21 70 51 Laguna Oct. 27-Nov. 2 9 6 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 21 70 51 Laguna Oct. 27-Dec. 28 18 11 Do Nov. 21-30 4 5 Misamis Oct. 27-Nov. 2 6 5 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 75 48 Oriental Negros Nov. 2-9 20 8 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 75 48 Oriental Negros Nov. 2-9 20 8 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 75 48 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 42 313 Do Nov. 2-9	
Do.	
Doc. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
Cebn	
Dec. 8-28.	
Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
Laguna	
Laguna	
Laguna	
Mindoro. Nov. 21-30. 4 5 5 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Oriental Negros Nov. 2-9 20 8 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 7 6 6 6 Pampanga Nov. 24-Dec. 14 4 4 Pangasinan Nov. 2-9 236 192 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 428 313 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 45 37 Rizal Oct. 27-Nov. 2 3 1 Do Nov. 24-30 16 5 Samar Dec. 15-21 8 1 Sorsogon Nov. 17-23 8 4 Tayabas Nov. 2-9 7 4 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 54 25 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 1 1 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Union Nov. 17-Dec. 28 54 25 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 1 1 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Zamboanga Dec. 8-28 27 19 Poland: Warsaw Sept. 20 Oct. 5 2 Russia: Petrograd To July 16 3,388 1,455 Do July 17-Sept. 11 3,455 In civil and military In military hospitals Aug. 21, 1918: Cas deaths, 783.	
Oriental Negros Nov. 2-9 20 8 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 7 6 6 6 Pampanga Nov. 24-Dec. 14 4 4 Pangasinan Nov. 2-9 236 192 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 428 313 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 45 37 Rizal Oct. 27-Nov. 2 3 1 Do Nov. 24-30 16 5 Samar Dec. 15-21 8 1 Sorsogon Nov. 17-23 8 4 Tayabas Nov. 2-9 7 4 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 54 25 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 1 1 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Union Nov. 17-Dec. 28 54 25 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 1 1 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Zamboanga Dec. 8-28 27 19 Poland: Warsaw Sept. 20 Oct. 5 2 Russia: Petrograd To July 16 3,388 1,455 Do July 17-Sept. 11 3,455 In civil and military In military hospitals Aug. 21, 1918: Cas deaths, 783.	
Oriental Negros Nov. 2-9 20 8 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 7 6 6 6 Pampanga Nov. 24-Dec. 14 4 4 Pangasinan Nov. 2-9 236 192 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 428 313 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 45 37 Rizal Oct. 27-Nov. 2 3 1 Do Nov. 24-30 16 5 Samar Dec. 15-21 8 1 Sorsogon Nov. 17-23 8 4 Tayabas Nov. 2-9 7 4 Do Nov. 17-Dec. 28 54 25 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 1 1 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Union Nov. 17-Dec. 28 54 25 Do Dec. 29-Jan. 4 1 1 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Union Nov. 2-Dec. 28 18 14 Zamboanga Dec. 8-28 27 19 Poland: Warsaw Sept. 20 Oct. 5 2 Russia: Petrograd To July 16 3,388 1,455 Do July 17-Sept. 11 3,455 In civil and military In military hospitals Aug. 21, 1918: Cas deaths, 783.	
Do	
Sanar	
Samar	
Samar	
Samer	
Samer	
Sanaar Dec. 15-21 8 1	
Tayanas	
Tay:1038	
Do. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. 1 14 Zumboanga Dec. 8-28. 27 19 Zumboanga Dec. 8-28. 27 19 Zumboanga Z	
Union	
Zamboanga Bec. 8-28. 27 19 19 19 27 19 27 28 27 28 29	
Foland: Warsaw Sept. 2º Oct. 5. 2	
Warsaw	
russia: Petrograd	
Petrograd. To July 16 3, 388 1, 054 Do. July 17-Sept. 11 3, 479 1, 455 In civil and military lospitals Aug. 21, 1918: Cas deaths, 783.	
in military hospitals Aug. 21, 1918: Cas deaths, 783.	hosnitals
Aug. 21, 1918: Cas deaths, 783.	
deaths, 783.	ascs, 884
	•
Ukrania—	
Electoring love Sout 1-90 7 6	oe or
Odessa do 25 Sept. 1-20, 1918: 11 cases	10 VII 3. S

Reports Received from Dec. 28, 1918, to Feb. 28, 1919—Continued. PLAGUE.

			·	
China:				_
Amov		.		Present.
Chungking	Dec. 1-7 Oct. 26-Dec. 8			Do.
Hongkonz	Oct. 26-Dec. 8	1 1	1 2	
Do Nanking	Nov. '-Dec. 28 Nov. 2-9.	1 1	2	Always prevalent.
Ceylon:			1	111 way's prevalent.
Colombo	Oct. 27-Nov. 2	. 1	1	
Ecuador:		ł	1	
Guayaquil	Nov. 1-Dec. 31	15	3	
Taura	Dec 15-31	. 1	1	
Egypt				Jan. 1-Nov. 21, 1918: Cases, 357;
India	1	1	ł	Sept. 23-Dec. 7, 1918; Cases.
Bombay	Aug. 18-Dec. 7	38	27	deaths, 153. Sept. 23-Dec. 7, 1918: Cases, 19,548; deaths, 15,049.
Karachi	Oct. 19-26	16	16	10,51.7, 200,110, 10,010.
Madras	Dec. '-14	.1 3	1	
Madras Presidency	Oct. 13-Dec. 14	910	624	
Rangoon	Oct. 5-Dec. 14	76	76	
Indo-China	A 1 21	15	10	
AnamCam.bodia	Aug. 1-31dodo	10	23	
Cochin-China	do	14	ii	
Saigon	Oct. 7-Nov. 24	5	î	
Java:		i		
East !ava				Oct. 7-Nov. 18, 1918: Cases, 78;
Surabaya (district)	Oct. 7-Nov. 18	61	61	deaths. 78. Sept. 25-Oct. 16, 1918: Cases, 14;
Mid-JavaSamarang	Sept. 25-Oct. 16	6		Sept. 25-Oct. 16, 1918: Cases, 14;
Mesopotamia:	Sept. 25-Oct. 16	0	6	deaths, 14.
Bagdad	Nov. 16-29	5	2	
Siam:	l	1	_	
Bangkok	Sept. 21-28	4	3	
Do	Oct. 5-12	2	2	
Venezuela:	D 20	١.		
Caracas	Dec. 30	1		
	·	'	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	SMAI	LPOX.		
		1	1	
Algeria:				
Algiers	Oct. 1-Dec. 31	2	1	
Canada: New Brunswick—		Į.	l i	
		1		
Campbeliton	Dec. 22-28	1		
Campbeliton	Dec. 22-28 Jan. 5-11	1 1		
Campbeliton Do St. John	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14	1 3		·
Campbeliton Do St. John	Jan. 5-11	1		·
Campbeliton Do	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8	1 3 5	•••••	Parame
Campbeliton	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8 Dec. 29-Jan. 4	1 3 5		Present.
Campbeliton	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8 Dec. 29-Jan. 4 Jan. 10	1 3 5		Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby	Jan. 5-11	1 3 5		Present. Do. Do.
Campbeliton	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8.	1 3 5 10 67		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear Itiver Bichee Digby Halifax Do Middleton	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8 Dec. 29-Jan. 4 Jan. 10 Dec. 7-28 Jan. 5-Feb. 8 Dec. 29-Jan. 4	1 3 5 10 67		Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby Halifax Do Middleton Sydney	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8.	1 3 5 10 67		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario—	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-25.	1 3 5 10 67		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear Itiver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-25. Jan. 19-25.	1 3 5 10 67 2		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear kliver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	1 3 5 10 67 2 1 6		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Biebee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto.	Jan. 5-11 Nov. 8-14 Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-25. Jan. 19-25.	1 3 5 10 67 2		Do. Do.
Cambeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-25. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 24-Dec. 21.	1 3 5 10 67 2 1 6 1 2		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear Itiver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do.	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Eeb. 8. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 24-Dec. 21. Jan. 12-25.	1 3 5 10 67 2 1 6 1 2 2 12		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear kliver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebec— Montreal Do. Paspebiac.	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-25. Jan. 12-25. Jan. 12-25.	1 3 5 10 67 2 1 6 1 2 12 2		Do. Do.
Cambeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Biebee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac. Quebee	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 15-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 24-Dec. 21. Jan. 12-25.	10 67 2 16 61 2 13 22 1		Do. Do.
Cambeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac. Quebee	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-25. Jan. 12-25. Jan. 12-25.	1 3 5 10 67 2 1 6 1 2 12 2		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby Halifax Do Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do Paspebiac Quebee Do China:	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	10 67 2 16 1 2 13 2 13 4		Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear kliver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac Quebee: Do. China: Amoy. Canton	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	10 67 2 16 1 2 13 2 13 4		Do. Do. Present. Do.
Cambeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Biebee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac. Quebes Do. China: Amoy. Canton. Cant	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	10 67 2 16 1 2 13 2 13 4		Do. Do. Present. Do. Do.
Cambeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Biebee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac. Quebes Do. China: Amoy. Canton. Cant	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	10 67 2 16 1 2 13 2 13 4		Do. Do. Present. Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear Kliver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do Paspebiac Quebee Do China: Amoy Canton. Chungking Foochow Honkong	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	10 67 2 16 1 2 13 2 13 4		Do. Do. Present. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto Quebee Montreal Do. Paspebiac Quebee: Do. China: Amoy Canton. Chungking Foochow Hongkong Nanking	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 2-Jan. 4. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 24-Dec. 21. Jan. 12-25. do. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 29-Feb. 1. Oct. 13-Dec. 8. Nov. 10-Dec. 28. Nov. 24-Dec. 21. Dec. 15-21.	10 67 2 16 1 2 12 12 12 14	1	Do. Do. Present. Po. Do. Do. Do.
Cambeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear kliver Bichee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebec— Montreal Do. Paspeblac. Quebec: Quebec: Canton China: Amoy Canton Chungking Foochow Hongkong Nanking Do. Do. Do.	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8.	10 67 2 16 1 2 12 12 12 14		Do. Do. Present. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac Quebee: Do. China: Amoy. Canton Chungking. Foochow Hongkong Nanking Do. Chosen:	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-25. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8 Jan. 24-Dec. 21. Jan. 12-25. do. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 29-Feb. 1. Oct. 13-Dec. 8. Nov. 10-Dec. 28. Nov. 24-Dec. 21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-22. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 28- Nov. 24-Dec. 21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 28- Nov. 24-Dec. 21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21.	10 67 2 16 1 2 12 12 12 14	1	Do. Do. Present. Po. Do. Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear kliver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do Paspebiac Quebei Do China: Amoy Canton Chungking Foochow Hongkong Nanking Do Chemulpo Denmark:	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 15-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Peb. 1. Oct. 13-Dec. 21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 1-28. Jan. 5-11. Nov. 1-30.	10 67 2 10 61 12 12 12 14	1	Do. Do. Present. Po. Do. Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do. St. John Do. Nova Scotia— Bear River Bigbee Bigbee Digby Halifax Do. Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto Quebee— Montreal Do. Paspebiac Quebee: Do. China: Amoy Canton. Chungking Foochow Hongkong Nanking Do. Chosen: Chemulpo Denmark: Copenhagen	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 24-Dec. 21. Jan. 12-25. do. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-22.	10 67 2 16 1 2 12 2 12 2 14 4		Do. Do. Present. Po. Do. Do. Do.
Campbeliton Do St. John Do Nova Scotia— Bear kliver Bigbee Digby Halifax Do Middleton Sydney Ontario— North Bay Ottawa Toronto. Quebee— Montreal Do Paspebiac Quebei Do China: Amoy Canton Chungking Foochow Hongkong Nanking Do Chemulpo Denmark:	Jan. 5-11. Nov. 8-14. Jan. 26-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 10. do. Dec. 7-28. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Dec. 29-Jan. 4. Jan. 15-Feb. 8. Jan. 5-Feb. 8. Jan. 19-25. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Feb. 2-8. Jan. 12-Feb. 8. Jan. 12-Peb. 1. Oct. 13-Dec. 21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 15-21. Dec. 1-28. Jan. 5-11. Nov. 1-30.	10 67 2 10 61 12 12 12 14	1	Do. Do. Present. Po. Do. Do. Do.

Reports Received from Dec. 28, 1918, to Feb. 28, 1919—Continued.

SMALLPOX-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Egypt:				
Egypt: AlexandriaIndia:	Dec. 17-23	. 1	1	1
Bombay	Aug. 18-Dec. 6	. 20	4	
Calcutta	Sept. 29-Dec. 14 Sept. 29-Oct. 5		. 11	
Karachi	Oct. 5-Dec. 14	1 47	30	
MadrasRangoon	Oct. 20-Dec. 14	23		
Indo-China:		4		
AnamCambodia	Aug. 1-31do	29 78	8	
Cochin-China	ldo	97	27	
Saigon	Oct. 7-Dec. 22	20	5	
Tonkin	Aug. 1-31	5		•
Japan: Kobe	Oct. 26-Dec. 28	186	46	1_
Do	Dec. 29-Jan. 4	37	3	
Java:			1	Out 7 Nov. 97 1016, Come 91
East Java Surabaya (district)	Oct. 7-Nov. 18	15		Oct. 7-Nov. 27, 1918: Cases, 21.
Mid-Java				Sept. 25-Nov. 27, 1918; Cases, 164, Oct. 2-Nov. 27, 1918; Cases, 648;
West Java	()-4 0 N 07			Oct. 2-Nov. 27. 1918: Cases, 648;
Ba(avia	Oct. 2-Nov. 27	174	144	deaths, 221.
Bagdad	Oct. 11-Nov. 29	281	75	•
Mexico:	32.00	١.		
Ciudad Juarez Mexico City	Nov. 24-30 Sont 22-Dec 28	23	J	
Do	Nov. 24-30 Sept. 22-Dec. 28 Dec. 29-Jan. 25	8		
Newfoundland:				
St. John's Do	Dec. 6-20 Dec. 28-Feb. 7	4 5	·····	
Outports—	1500. 20-1 (6). 1	J		
Avondale	do	4		
Blaine Harbor	Dec. 14-20 Jan. 11-17	2 6		
Bay of Islands Bay Roberts	Dec. 21-27	ĭ		
Bonavista	Jan. 26-31	1		
Bryants Cove	Dec. 7-13do.	3		
Burin Coleys Point	Dec. 14-20	ī		÷
Curling	Jan. 26-31	3		
Frenchmans Cove Kings Cove	Feb. 1-7	1		
McIvers	Feb. 1-7.	15		•
Merasheen	do			Present.
Middle Arm Musgrave Harbor	do Dec. 7-13	40		Bay of Islands.
Do	Jan. 11-17	6		Feb. 7, 1919: Present.
Paradise	Dec. 7-13	€0		Placentia Bay.
St. Georges St. Jacques	Feb. 1-7	$\frac{11}{2}$		
Panama.	Jan. 10-47			Aug Dec. 31, 1918: Cases, 133,
Colon	Dec. 15-31	1		Aug Dec. 31, 1918: Cases, 133, occurring at Colon, Panama, and points in the rintefor.
Do	D∞. 29-Jan. 25	7		Jan. 1-25, 1919: cases. 28.
Philippine Islands:				Juli, 1 25, 2010. Callott 214
Manila	Nov. 2-9	2	2	371.1.13.1
Do Portugal:	Dec. 29-Jan. 4	1	1	Varioloid, 1.
Lisbon	Nov. 16-Dec. 28	843		
Lisbon Portuguese East Africa:				Tol- 1 Oct 01 1010, 47 fetal cones
Lourenco Marques	•••••		••••••	July 1-Oct. 31, 1918: 45 fatal cases.
Vladivostok	Nov. 1-3.	4		
Spain:		1		
Cadiz	Oct. 1-31 Sept. 1-Oct. 31		3 153	
Seville	Nov. 1-30		2	
Valencia	Nov. 10-Dec. 21	40	9	
Straits Settlements:	Oct. C-12	1		
Penang	. (-		
Cape Town	Aug. 1-30	1 12		Now 1 20 1016: Coses '
Johannesburg	Aug. 1-Oct. 31	12	••••••	Nov. 1-30, 1918: Cases, '.
	<u>il</u>			

Reports Received from Dec. 28, 1918, to Feb. 28, 1919—Continued.

TYPHUS FEVER.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Algeria: Algiers Austria-Hungary: Hungary Brazil: Ceara China: Antung Do. Columbia: Barranquilla Do. Egypt: Alexandria Germany: Breslau Königsberg Mostolten Great Britain; Glasgow Greece: Saloniki Do. Japan: Nagasaki Do. Japan: East Java Surabaya Mid-Java West Java Batavia Bagdad Mexico: Guadalajara Mexico Guadalajara Mexico City Do. Serbia: Belgrade Siberia: Vladivostok.	Nov. 1-30	1 2 1 85 12 3 7 5 5 12 434 128 62 23	Deaths.	District of Allenstein. Oct. 7-21, 1918: Cases, 5. Sept. 25-Oct. 16, 1918: Cases, 8. Oct. 2-23: Cases, 31; deaths, 6.
Spain: Huelva	Oct. 1-31	•••••	2 1	Present among natives in several interior towns.
	YELLOW	FEVER	l. 	
Brazil: Pernambuco Ecuador: Babahoyo. Duran Guayaquil Milagro. Punta de Piedra Salvador: San Salvador On vessel: S. S. Jamaica	Oct. 1-Nov. 30 Nov. 1-30 Nov. 1-Dec. 31 do Nov. 1-15 Nov. 1-30 Jan. 9 Jan. 30	2 1 3 163 1 1 1	1 2 87	At quarantine station, Canal Zone, Panama.