PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS

VOL. 32

JANUARY 26, 1917.

No. 4

THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

There was formed in Cincinnati on October 23, 1916, an organization to be known as the American Academy of Public Health. The objects of the academy as specified in its constitution are as follows:

- 1. To increase the efficiency of its members through the discussion of public health problems.
 - 2. To promote the efficiency of public health administration.
 - 3. To raise the standards of public health practice.
 - 4. To stimulate original work in public health science.

Membership is limited to persons actively engaged in public health work. It is not proposed that at the meetings of the organization scientific papers shall be read. It is intended to devote the sessions of the annual meetings to the free discussion of reports made by special committees of the academy as a result of their work or investigation.

CONTROL OF POLLUTION OF STREAMS.

THE INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION AND THE POLLUTION OF BOUNDARY WATERS.

By Earle B. Phelps, Professor of Chemistry, United States Public Health Service, and Consulting Sanitary Engineer, International Joint Commission.

At a period in our national development when the principle of conservation of natural resources has passed the stage of propaganda and become a definite working program, the natural resources of our waterways, both coastal and inland, have received their due share of attention. Not the least important of the many serious problems connected with waterway development and utilization is that of stream pollution. It would be difficult indeed to discover a situation in which the conflicting interests are more definitely opposed or more completely incompatible, nor in the whole field of constructive conservation is there likely to arise a case calling for more delicate adjustment of the balance. On the one hand the ideal of streams of pristine purity has long since been abandoned of necessity. It is recognized that even without willful and purposeful

13 (167)

pollution, in fact, after the application of all reasonable protective measures, streams draining populous areas will still be too seriously polluted to permit their use for domestic water supply without purification. This fact has put a practical working limit to the views of the exponents of pure streams, and has even permitted an extension of that limit beyond its minimum value, on the ground that water filters capable of handling minimum pollution may without serious additional cost or responsibility protect against an appreciably greater pollution.

On the other hand it is equally well recognized that uncontrolled stream pollution may so overburden the stream as to result in a definite economic loss to the community as a whole. The supposedly utilitarian argument for the unlimited use of streams to carry off all drainage and waste has therefore fallen by its own reasoning. Such use is not, in the long run, utilitarian or economic, but in reality permits the destruction of a resource which is the property of the whole community, or State, for the comparatively small benefit of a comparatively few favored individuals. These two conflicting views, the ideal and the crudely practical, have, therefore, gradually become resolved into a general guiding principle which must meet with the approval of all thoughtful students of the subject, and which may be stated in these terms:

Conservation of natural resources demands that the greatest possible advantageous utilization be made of the various valuable properties of a stream. This may include such uses as navigation, drainage and irrigation, power development, domestic water supply, fishing as an industry, pleasurable and health-giving enjoyment by the people in such forms as boating, bathing, and fishing, the disposal of sewage and waste, the enjoyment of scenic beauty, and various minor uses. These may all be indulged without further restriction than that the less important shall not interfere with or curtail the more important, the importance of any usage being measured in broad economic terms of public welfare.

While such a principle will readily meet with common approval it falls short of furnishing a satisfactory general solution to the problem, because there is no general problem. There are rather a large number of specific problems, differing among themselves in the various possible uses of the stream and each one requiring special study in the application of the general principle of conservation. In the case of the Niagara River, for example, the enjoyment of scenic beauty exceeds all commercial interests and will be protected even at great sacrifice in possible utilization of power. In Pennsylvania, on the other hand, the courts find that the drainage of coal mines is of paramount interest to the State and is a legitimate use of streams, even though other valuable uses be destroyed thereby.

Furthermore, the general principle does not directly meet the special needs of the individual case, in that the factors of the local problem are constantly shifting. Growing populations; the variable but generally increasing value put upon public health, comfort, and pleasure; the decreasing cost of sewage and waste disposal; the possibility of alternative but more costly water supplies, or of new and simpler processes of water purification; all tend to modify the terms of that which we have denoted the greatest possible advantageous utilization of the various valuable properties of a stream. For example, a new and greatly superior process of sewage disposal—and this example is chosen because of the likelihood of its practical realization in the near future—may make sewage disposal economically desirable under conditions that to-day leave it undesirable.

Again, the application of any such general principle of maximum utilization of a resource is often hampered by State legislation, enacted, in the first instance, to prevent growth of serious streampollution practices. The evil being so great, it has not infrequently been thought sufficient to apply extreme preventive measures which, literally and strictly enforced, would work unnecessary hardship. Nor has the reasonableness of these measures been at all times capable of demonstration to the courts. Finally the courts themselves, in their interpretation and gradual building up of that which is called the common law, are not at all clear or unanimous as to the exact point at which the principle of maximum good may properly apply against that foundation stone of liberty, personal rights.

There have resulted from this condition many divergent, unrelated, and even conflicting laws and court decisions and a variety of practices in the various States, ranging from the most stringent laws and control to a complete disregard of the whole subject. Were single State jurisdictions coextensive with the principal river systems of the country, each State would doubtless work out its problems to its own best interests. Unfortunately quite the reverse is true and all of the more important river systems, of the castern half of the country at least, are either interstate or flow from one State into another. Furthermore our greatest of inland water systems, the Great Lakes and their connecting rivers, constitute our international boundary on the north. This situation of divided jurisdiction has been by far the most potent factor in our disregard of the scientific aspects of stream sanitation, leading to the present unsatisfactory conditions in many of our most valuable streams.

Side by side with that natural civic inertia which delays but never prevents needed public improvements there has grown up the feeling that, in the case of interstate streams, local effort is of no avail. There being no medium of communication and agreement between States in such matters, a do-nothing policy has in most cases prevailed. The interstate character of the larger streams has in certain cases even been made the excuse for exempting such rivers from the workings of otherwise excellent systems of stream control. Upon the plea that these rivers enter the State somewhat polluted, these States permit unlimited and harmful pollution within their borders to the detriment of their own people. In other cases the presence of great interstate rivers has either discouraged legislation altogether or induced a tacit understanding that these rivers are exempted.

The question of our international waters might appear to be even more complicated, but fortunately in this case the treaty-making powers of the Governments have provided a possible remedy and one which is not without significance. Act IV of the waterways treaty of January 11, 1909, between Great Britain and the United States, provides that neither country shall pollute the international boundary waters to the injury of health or property in the other. This same treaty makes provision for an international joint commission, composed of three members from each country, with administrative powers to investigate and report upon questions submitted to it and, in certain cases, with judicial powers to settle disputes arising over matters connected with the boundary waters.

Under date of August 1, 1912, there was referred to this commission for examination and report with such conclusions as might be appropriate, the following questions:

- 1. To what extent and by what causes and in what localities have the boundary waters between the United States and Canada been polluted so as to be injurious to the public health and unfit for domestic or other uses?
- 2. In what way or manner, whether by the construction and operation of suitable drainage canals or plants at convenient points or otherwise, is it possible and advisable to remedy or prevent the pollution of these waters, and by what means or arrangement can the proper construction or operation of remedial or preventative works, or a system or method of rendering these waters sanitary and suitable for domestic or other uses, be best secured and maintained in order to insure the adequate protection and development of all interests involved on both sides of the boundary and to fulfill the obligations undertaken in Article IV of the waterways treaty of January 11, 1909, between the United States and Great Britain, in which it is agreed that the waters therein defined as boundary waters and waters flowing across the boundary shall not be polluted on either side to the injury of health or property on the other.

A progress report issued by the commission January 16, 1914, answered, in no uncertain way, the first of these questions. Under the direction of Dr. Allan J. McLaughlin, United States Public Health Service, over 19,000 bacteriological examinations were made during the course of about seven months. For this purpose 17 laboratories were equipped and used. The examinations covered a stretch of water of almost 2,000 miles, extending from the Lake of the Woods

¹ International Joint Commission, Progress Report in re Pollution of Boundary Waters.

to the St. Lawrence River. For the most part the waters of the Great Lakes themselves remain in a condition of pristine purity, but in certain portions of these lakes and all the connecting waterways dangerous pollution was found. Upon the completion of this work and the publication of the results the commission immediately took up the second branch of the reference dealing with remedies.

The commission called before it an advisory board composed of eminent sanitary engineers from both countries to assist in the formulation of a policy of control which would reflect the best engineering thought of the day. It also held extensive public hearings at various points along the boundary and gave ample opportunity for the expression of views upon the part of all parties interested. At these hearings, and at other times, engineering and public health representatives of both Federal Governments and of the Provinces, States, and cities most interested were freely consulted.

It was also deemed essential to investigate the practicability and cost of remedial measures as a preliminary to making definite recommendations. For this purpose the St. Clair, Detroit, and Niagara Rivers were selected. These are not only the most seriously polluted of the boundary waters but involve the most difficult problems in the collection and treatment of sewage to be found upon any of these waters. It was assumed, therefore, that any feasible remedial policy that might be worked out upon these streams would of necessity be applicable and practicable upon the other waters.

District offices were established at Detroit and at Buffalo early in 1915 and a comprehensive study was made of the general drainage and treatment problems of all sewered communities upon both sides of these rivers. These studies were not carried to the point of complete engineering design and the plans submitted will not obviate the necessity for further detailed engineering studies in each local situation. They are, however, sufficiently comprehensive and detailed to justify estimates of upper cost limits with reasonable assurance and furnish a satisfactory basis for a proper consideration of the advisability of remedial policies.

It remains for the commission to determine what remedies are, in the terms of the reference, "possible and advisable" to prevent injury to health or property. The opportunity thus presented for the application of the principles of conservation outlined at the outset of this discussion is unique. The facts in the case have been ascertained with great thoroughness and care. The authority of the two Governments, each within its own boundaries, to enforce the treaty obligations is unquestioned. The recommendations which the consulting sanitary engineer has made to the commission as a basis for its final report to the Governments have been based upon a careful study of these facts, including the opinions and testimony taken at

the various hearings. These recommendations have been developed at some length in a report 1 submitted to the commission and are summed up in the following:

GUIDING PRINCIPLES IN CONTROL OF POLLUTION.

The following general principles should guide in the formulation of regulations for the control of pollution in the boundary waters in its international aspects:

- 1. The boundary waters shall not be polluted on either side to the injury of health or property upon the other.
- 2. In the case of the boundary rivers the interests of the two countries are so closely bound together as to be mutual and the quality of the streams as a whole shall be considered in determining upon limits of permissible pollution.
- 3. The limit of permissible bacterial pollution shall be deemed to have been exceeded when the effective dilution as hereinafter defined shall be less than 4 cubic feet per second per capita of contributing population, based upon mean river stages during the season May to September, inclusive.
- 4. The effective dilution shall be taken as the quotient of the actual physical dilution divided by the residual fraction of the total bacteria remaining after treatment, provided that in the case of the St. Lawrence and other rivers where the time element is such as to permit some degree of self-purification between points of successive pollution, this factor shall be considered as an element of treatment entering the determination of effective dilution at the lower point.
- 5. In all cases where the actual stream flow below any one point of pollution is less than 4 cubic feet per second per capita of contributing population, or where the net effect of successive pollution with proper allowance for self-purification in the intermediate stretches exceeds the equivalent of one contributing person per 4 cubic feet per second of stream flow, sewage treatment shall be employed to reduce the net bacterial pollution to a basis of an effective dilution of 4 second feet per capita, as defined.
- 6. Sewage treatment, while based primarily upon bacterial pollution, shall also include the removal of suspended solids capable of settling to approximately the same degree as is called for in the case of bacteria; provided that this requirement shall not be extended to an unreasonable degree in the light of good engineering practice; and provided further, that in the case of combined sewer systems, ordinary mineral detritus shall be excluded in computing the degree of removal.
- 7. In all cases where sewage treatment to a specified degree is demanded, the entire contributing population shall be dealt with upon the same basis of relative improvement required, so that the net residual pollution from each community shall be proportional to its population; provided, however, that where the factor of self-purification is an element in the degree of pollution at any point the population above shall be reduced to equivalent population at that point by the self-purification factor, and the burden of responsibility shall be apportioned in terms of these equivalent populations.
- 8. Steamboats which pass by waterworks intakes shall be regarded as being capable of discharging sewage in the near vicinity of those intakes without appreciable dilution. The application of the rule leads in this case to a complete bacterial purification or sterilization before discharge. Equivalent removal of solids capable of settling will not be required in the case of steamboats.
- 9. No garbage, city waste, offal, or other like material capable of polluting or rendering offensive the waters shall be deposited in the boundary rivers, or in such places as will permit their reaching these rivers.

¹ International Joint Commission, Pollution of Boundary Waters. Report of the Consulting Sanitary Engineer upon Remedial Measures, Mar. 8, 1916.

The most interesting feature of these recommendations is the fixing, for the present, of a standard of permissible pollution in the international waters. This standard, although expressed in somewhat different terms, is based upon the views of a board of advisory engineers composed of Messrs. George W. Fuller, George C. Whipple, and the writer for the United States, and Messrs. F. A. Dallyn, W. S. Lea, and T. J. Lafreniere for Canada. These gentlemen expressed the opinion that the limit of safe loading for a water plant treating the waters of the boundary rivers is exceeded if the annual average number of B. coli in the water delivered to the plant is higher than about 500 per 100 cubic centimeters.

Such a standard is difficult of interpretation and of little practical value for administrative purposes. It was possible, however, to utilize the extensive bacterial data that had been accumulated by the commission in its progress report for the purpose of establishing this standard upon an engineering basis. A statistical study of these data indicated a hitherto unsuspected seasonal variation of considerable magnitude and unusual direction. Where self-purification is a factor in the bacterial content of a polluted stream the evidences of pollution, other things being equal, are greatest in the wintertime. In these boundary rivers, on the contrary, the maximum pollution occurs in August, with a monthly average range during the months of May to September of from 0.26 to 2.31 times the average.

In view of this wholly unusual and unexpected situation the average bacterial content during the period May-September was substituted for the annual average recommended by the advisory engineers. It was furthermore found, after applying proper seasonal correction, that the pollution, measured in terms of B. coli, could be readily expressed in per capita and dilution terms from which there was derived the lower limit of effective dilution of four second feet per capita. The engineering studies indicated the entire feasibility of supplementing the existing physical dilution in the Detroit and Niagara Rivers with artificial treatment to bring about the required net effective dilution. In the case of the Detroit River there is required for the near future population of 750,000 a removal of bacteria and settleable solids amounting to about 93.5 per cent and increasing to 95 per cent with the increase of population to 1,000,000. For Buffalo, the present requirements upon a similar basis are about 90 per cent.

The broad terms of the reference submitted to the commission, therefore, as to what remedies are "possible and advisable" have been satisfactorily answered. It is believed that the recommendations, if finally adopted by the commission, will permit the maximum utilization of the value of these streams for the two opposing uses of waste disposal and water supply.

January 26, 1917 174

The result represents an economic balance which may at any time be upset by new discoveries. Developments in sewage treatment will tend to raise the standards while improvements in water purification will tend to lower them. Hence the necessity for a continuing board of control, with power to modify the present standards upon any satisfactory basis of new evidence. The nature of this economic balance is well illustrated in the treatment of the steamboat problem. Pollution from boats is small in amount as compared with the city pollution. On the other hand boats constitute moving sewers not capable of proper administrative control. At small cost a degree of treatment is possible in this case which would be entirely out of the question for the cities. The removal of a minor danger is considered well worth the cost and recommendations have been made that steamboat sewage be efficiently disinfected before discharge.

Finally, the procedure of the International Joint Commission may be not without significance and value to those entrusted with stream control within the United States. The logical steps in applying the general principle of conservation to any special problem of this kind are, a full determination and analysis of all the facts; a careful judicial consideration of the equities of all interests involved, giving preponderant influence to questions affecting the public health; a decision which of necessity is of specific, not general application; and authority to enforce the decision against the political and commercial opposition which is sure to arise. Only by entrusting the necessary powers to a joint commission has it been possible to deal with this question in such a broad way upon the international boundary.

The problem of the interstate rivers presents less real difficulties but will likewise require for its satisfactory treatment, Federal jurisdiction, or its equivalent. It is significant of what may be done by suitable methods, that the international situation bids fair to be definitely settled upon a scientific and lasting basis in the very near future while the interstate situation presents, under present conditions, seemingly insurmountable obstacles to any solution whatever.

PREVALENCE OF DISEASE.

No health department, State or local, can effectively prevent or control disease without knowledge of when, where, and under what conditions cases are occurring.

UNITED STATES.

RECIPROCAL NOTIFICATION.

Minnesota.

Cases of communicable diseases referred during December, 1916, to other State health departments by Department of Health of the State of Minnesota.

Disease and locality of notification.	Referred to health authority of-	Why referred.
Smallpox: Minneapolis Health Department, Hennepin County. Tuberculosis:	18 miles from Williston, Williams County, N. Dak.	Came to Minnesota from North Dakota broken out with smallpox.
Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Olmsted County.	Ouray, Ouray County, Colo.; Lenox, Taylor County, Iowa; Iron River, Iron County, Mich.; McCarron, Chippewa County, Mich.; McCarron, Chippewa County, Mich.; Trenton, Grundy County, Mo.; Morehouse, New Madrid County, Mo.; Milan, Sullivan County, Mo.; Great Falls, Cascade County, Mont. (2 cases); Lisbon, Ransom County, N. Dak.; Okreek, Todd County, S. Dak.; Bovina, Parmer County, Tex.; Montello, Marquette County, Wis.; Wausau, Marathon County, Wis.; Revelstoke, British Columbia, Canada; Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Lafleche, Saskatchewan, Canada	1 incipient, 1 apparently arrested, 1 active, 8 advanced, and 8 moderately advanced cases left Mayo Clinic for homes.
Pokegama Sanatorium, Pine County.	Bismarck, Burleigh County, N. Dak.	Open case left sanatorium for home.
St. Paul Burcau of Health, Ram- sey County. Typhoid fever:	New Rockford, Eddy County, N. Dak.	Open case left Minnesota for North Dakota.
Duluth, St. Louis County	U. S. Public Health Service, Washington, D. C. (2 cases).	2 cases employed on Lake Su- perior steamships 3 weeks previous to first symptoms.
Eden Valley, Mecker County	Scratch Gravel Gold Mine, Helena, Lewis and Clark County, Mont.	Employed as cook in board- ing house where there had been 8 other typhoid cases in Montana 3 weeks before taken sick in Minnesota.
St. Paul Bureau of Health, Ram- sey County.	Havre, Hill County, Mont	Employed on ranch at Havre, Mont., 3 weeks previous to first symptoms.
Brainerd, Crow Wing County	Bismarck, Burleigh County, N. Dak.	Employed 3 weeks previous to first symptoms as freight checker in North Dakota-

ANTHRAX.

State Reports for December, 1916.

During the month of December, 1916, one case of anthrax was reported in Kansas, and two cases were reported in Camden, N. J.

CEREBROSPINAL MENINGITIS.

State Reports for December, 1916.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
District of Columbia Kansas: Crawford County Miami County Sedgwick County— Wichita Wyandotte County— Kansas City Total	1	Minnesota: Chippewa County— Granite Falls Township. Sparta Township. Nicollet County— Lake Prairie Townshp Ramsey County— St. Paul. St. Louis County— Virginia. Wright County— Silver Creek Township. Total.	1

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Baltimore, Md. Coffeyville, Kans. Duluth, Minn Fort Worth, Tex. Hartford, Conn. Kansas City, Mo. Milwankee, Wis Nashville, Tenn. New Bedford, Mass.	1 2 1 1 2 1	1 1 1 1	New Britain, Conn New York, N. Y Norristown, Pa. Northampton, Mass Pawtucket, R. I. Philadelphia, Pa Pittsburgh, Pa Providence, R. I. St. Louis, Mo.	3 1 1 1 1	2 2 1 1

DIPHTHERIA.

See Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 186.

ERYS PELAS.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Ann Arbor, Mich Atlantic City, N. J. Baltimore, Md. Berkeley, Cal. Boston, Mass Bridgeport, Conn. Brockton, Mass. Buffalo, N. Y. Chicago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio. Covington, Ky Denver, Colo. Detroit, Mich. Duluth, Minn Erie, Pa. Fall River, Mass. Flint, Mich. Harrisburg, Pa. Jackson, Mich.	1 1 1 2 34 2 8 1 4 8 1	3	New York, N. Y. Niagara Falls, N. Y. Passaic, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Portland, Orez. Sacramento, Cal. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. San Francisco, Cal. Williamsport, Pa.	2 4 1 7 1 1 11 14 2 8 8 2	3

MALARIA.

New Jersey Report for December, 1916.

During the month of December, 1916, one case of malaria was reported in Essex County, N. J.

MEASLES.

Alaska-Ketchikan.

Acting Asst. Surg. Story reported that during the week ended January 13, 1917, 12 cases of measles were notified in Ketchikan, Alaska, making a total of 53 cases reported since the beginning of the present outbreak, about December 15, 1916.

See also Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 186.

PELLAGRA.

State Reports for December, 1916.

During the month of December, 1916, one case of pellagra was reported in the District of Columbia, and one case in Coffeyville, Montgomery County, Kans.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Birmingham, Ala	1	i	Lynchburg, Va. New York, N. Y		1

PNEUMONIA.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Binghamton, N. Y. Braddock, Pa Butler, Pa. Canton, Ohio. Chicago, Ill. Cleveland, Ohio. Coffeyville, Kans Detroit, Mich. Dubuque, Iowa. Flint, Mich. Galesburg, Ill. Grand Rapids, Mich. Hoboken, N. J. Jackson, Mich. Kalamazoo, Mich. Kansas City, Mo. Lancaster, Pa. Lexington, Ky	337 52 14 4 9 1 9 4 6 5 5 12 5	148 25 41 4 3 1 9	Los Angeles, Cal. Manchester, N. H. Newark, N. J. New Castle, Pa. Newort, Ky. Norristown, Pa. Pasadena, Cal Pawtucket, R. I. Philadelphia, I'a Pittsburgh, I'a Reading, I'a. Sacramento, Cal Sandusky, Ohio Schenectady, N. Y. Steelton, Pa. Stockton, Cal Toledo, Ohio York, Pa.	1 2 2 4 179 90 3 5 1	7 8 22 22 1 1 2 1 1 6 5 1 4 3 3 1 1 2 3 3

POLIOMYELITIS (INFANTILE PARALYSIS).

West Virginia-Winter Outbreak.

Passed Asst. Surg. Leake reported in relation to poliomyelitis in West Virginia, as follows: During the seven days ended January 22, 1917, no new case was reported at Elkins. At Grafton 3 new cases were reported, making a total of 26 cases notified at that place. Four new cases occurred at Fairmont, making a total of 7 cases reported there. One case was notified at Morgantown.

State Reports for December, 1916.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
Kansas: Allen County. Gray County. Marion County. Montgomery County. Coffeyville Nemaha County. Saline County. Total. Michigan: Barry County— Hastings. Calhoun County— Albion. Hillsdale County— Jefferson Township. Litchfield Township. Kent County— Grand Rapids. Macomb County— Chesterfield Township. Saginaw County— Frankenmuth Township. Richland Township. Wayne County— Highland Park. St. Clair Heights. Detroit.	1 1 1 7 7 1 1 1 1 1	Minnesota: Clay County— Keene Township. Le Sueur County— Elysian McLeod County— Glencoe Stearns County— Oak Township. Todd County— Eagle Valley Township Total. New Jersey: Bergen County Burlington County Cumberland County Essex County Somerset County Vinion County Total.	1 1 2 6

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Boston, Mass Chicago, Ill Lawrence, Mass Newark, N. J New York, N. Y	2	1 1	Norristown, Pa. Philadelphia. Pa. San Francisco, Cal. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Ill.	2 1	1 1 1

RABIES IN ANIMALS.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

During the week ended January 6, 1917, one case of rabies in animals was reported in Detroit, Mich., and two cases were reported in Niagara Falls, N. Y.

SCARLET FEVER.

See Diphtheria, messles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 186.

SMALLPOX.

Connecticut.

Collaborating Epidemiologist Black reported that during the week ended January 20, 1917, 12 new cases of smallpox were notified in Connecticut as follows: 9 cases at Waterbury; 1 case each at Fairfield, Naugatuck, and Thomaston.

Minnesota.

Collaborating Epidemiologist Bracken reported that during the week ended January 20, 1917, five new foci of smallpox infection were reported in Minnesota, cases of the disease having been notified as follows: Hubbard County, Akeley 4; Ottertail County, Trondhjen Township 1; Redwood County, Johnsonville Township, 1; Todd County, Willard Township, 1; Yellow Medicine County, Wood Lake, 1.

Tennessee-Memphis.

Senior Surg. White reported January 23, 1917, that 21 cases of smallpox were notified at Memphis, Tenn, from January 2 to 21.

Texas-Waco-Virulent Smallpox.

Assistant Surg. Witte reported that during the week ended January 13, 1917, 7 cases of smallpox, with 3 deaths, were notified at Waco, Tex., making a total of 107 cases, with 21 deaths, reported at Waco since April 1, 1916.

State Reports for December, 1916.

			Vaccination history of cases.				
Place.	Place. New cases reported.	Deaths.	Number vaccinated within 7 years pre- ceding attack.	Number last vacci- nated more than 7 years preceding attack.	Number never suc- cessfully vaccinated.	Vaccination history not obtained or uncertain.	
Kansas: Atchison County— Atchison. Butler County. Chase County. Crawford County. Doniphan County. Elk County. Elk County. Geary County. Geary County. Jefferson County. Labette County. Labette County.	1 2 11 4 2 26 5 2 3 1 21					1 2 3 1 3 1 2 2	
Parsons Marion County Marshall County	2 46 30		i	4	30	2 11 30	

SMALLPOX—Continued.

State Reports for December, 1916-Continued.

			Vaccination history of cases.				
	New cases reported.	Deaths.	Number vaccinated within 7 years pre- ceding attack.	Number last vacci- nated more than 7 years preceding attack.	Number never suc- cessfully vaccinated.	Vaccination history not obtained or uncertain.	
Kansas—Continued. Nemaha County Phillips County Reno County—	6 1				. 2		
Hutchinson Shawnee County	1				. 1		
Topeka Sumner County Thomas County	5 8 1			i	6		
Wabaunsee County Washington County Wyandotte County	1 1 1 2				1		
Total	183		1	9	84	8	
Michigan: Alcona County— Gustin Township. Alger County—	6				. 6		
Au Train Town- ship Allegan County—	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 1		
Allegan Township Alpena County— Alpena	1 14	······'			. 14		
Barry County— Hastings	2				. 2		
Cheboygan County— Nunda Township. Clinton County—	1				. 1		
Victor Township	1 2				1 2		
St. Johns Genesee County— Atlas Township	1				1		
Davison Township Genesee Township Mundy Township	1 1				1	<u></u>	
Flint	15				15		
Blair Township Green Lake Town-	1				1		
ship Ingham County Delhi Township	1 2				1 2		
Lansing	1				1		
BeldingIosco County	1 .			1		'	
East Tawas Kalamazoo County— Kalamazoo	1 .			1	1		
Kent County— Grand Rapids	2 .			······································	2		
Lapeer County— Lapeer Township. Leelanau County— Solon Township.	1 .				1		
Solon Township Macomb County— Lenox Township	3 .		1		2		
Richmond	3 .			1	2		
Marquette Mecosta County— Big Rapids	10 .				10 1		
Midland County— Edenville Town-	2					2	
ship Monroe County— Petersburg	1				1		

SMALLPOX—Continued.

State Reports for December, 1916-Continued.

			Vaccination history of cases.				
	New cases reported.	Deaths.	Number vaccinated within 7 years pre- ceding attack.	Number last vaccinated more than 7 years preceding attack.	Number never suc- cessfully vaccinated.	Vaccination history not obtained or uncertain.	
lichigan—Continued.							
Muskegon County— Muskegon Oakland County—	2				2		
Oakland County— Bloomfield Town-			l	1			
ship Pontiac	$\frac{1}{2}$				1 2		
Shiawassee County— Bennington Town-							
ship	4				4		
Hazelton Town- ship.	3				3		
Venice Township. Woodhull Town-	1				1		
ship Laingsburg	1 3	•••••			3		
Owosso	12				12		
Tuscola County— Millington	2				2		
Washtenaw County— Augusta Township	3			<i>:</i>	3		
Saline Township York Township	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1		
Saline	1 9	•••••			1 9		
Ann Arbor Ypsilanti	3				3		
Wayne County— Highland Park	. 10				10	<u></u>	
Northville	6		. 2	1			
River Rouge	2 4				1 4		
Total	153		3	5	138		
innesota:							
Anoka County— Anoka	13				13		
Becker County— Frazee	2				2		
Benton County—				,	-		
Sauk Rapids Blue Earth County—	1			1			
Mankato Cass County—	1 .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1		
Walker	1 .				1		
Chippewa County— Milan	1 .				1		
Granite Falls Township	1 .				1		
Crow Wing County— Brainerd	12			2	10		
Hennepin County-	1			5	43		
Minneapolis St. Louis Park	48 .				1		
Hubbard County—	3 .				3		
Akeley Township.	ĭ [.						
Isanti County— Maple Ridge	1						
Township Kandiyohi County—	6				5	• 1	
Willmar	1 .				1	• • •	
Lyon County— Westerheim		İ					
westernerm;				1	3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Township	4 .		1	ı	f		
Township Millelacs County— Milo Township	4 .				4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Township Millelacs County— Milo Township Morrison County— Little Falls	4 . 166 .				4 166	······································	
Township Millelacs County— Milo Township Morrison County—	4 .					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

SMALLPOX—Continued.

State Reports for December, 1916—Continued.

			:	Vaccination h	nistory of cases	•
	New cases reported.		Number vaccinated within 7 years pre- ceding attack.	Number last vaccinated more than 7 years preceding attack.	Number never suc- cessfully vaccinated.	Vaccination history not obtained or uncertain.
Minnesota—Continued.						
Nobles County— Adrian	1				1	
Olmsted County— Rochester	1	.		<u> </u>	1	
Ottertail County— Orwell Township.	1				1	
Ramsey County— St. Paul	7				7	
Rice County— Faribault	2				2	
Swift County— Torning Township	6				6	
Todd County— Long Prairie	1				1	
Wabasha County— Plainview	5				5	•
Gilford Township. Wadena County—	ï			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i	
Orton Township Wilkin County—	3				3	
Breckenridge	2		2		2	
McCauleyville Township.	1		2	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Nordick Township	3		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		3	· · · · · · · · · · · · •
Roberts Township	i i				1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Winona County—	-				•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
WinonaYellow Medicine	1				. 1	-
County—. Hazel Run	4				4	
Total	315		2	10	297	6

Miscellaneous State Report.

During the month of December, 1916, one case of smallpox was reported in Essex County, N. J.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Ann Arbor, Mich Braddock, Pa Butte, Mont. Chicago, Ill. Cleveland, Ohio Danville, Ill Detroit, Mich Flint, Mich Grand Rapids, Mich Indianapolis, Ind. Kalamazoo, Mich Little Rock, Ark	1 2 7 6 5 6 2 1		Minneapolis, Minn New Orleans, La Omaha, Nebr Pittsburgh, Pa Portland, Oreg Rockford, Ill St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn Toledo, Ohio	4 10 3 1 7 1 2	

TETANUS.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cuses.	Deaths.
Cleveland. Ohio	1 1	1	New York, N. Y. Philadelphia, Pa	1	1

TUBERCULOSIS.

See Diphtheria, measles, scarlet fever, and tuberculosis, page 186.

TYPHOID FEVER:

State Reports for December, 1916.

Place.	New cases reported.	Place.	New cases reported.
District of Columbia	10	Michigan:	
_		Alpena County—	l
Kansas:	3	Alpena	14
Allen CountyBarton County	î	Bay County—	
Bourbon County	i	Bay CityBenzie County—	-
Butler County	3 !	Benzonia Township	2
Chautaugua Count v	3	Frankfort	1
Cherokee County	1	Berrien County—	_
Cheyenne County	1 1	Benton Township	1
Coffey County	1 3	Calhoun County—	2
Comanche County	3	Bedford Township Marengo Township	i
Cowley County Crawford County	1 2 7 1	Chippewa County—	
Dickinson County	7	Sault Ste Marie	4
Doniphan County	i	Eaton County-	_
Douglas County	5	Grand Ledge	1
Elk County	5 2 4	Genesee County-	
Ellis County		flint	9
Franklin County	5 2	Gladwin County—	1
Greenwood County	4	Grout Township Gogebic County—	
Hamilton County Harper County	1	Ervin Township	1
Harvey County	6	Ironwood	3
Jackson County	ĭ	Gratiot County—	_
Jefferson County	ī	Lafayette Township	2
Jewell County	1	Alma	1
Johnson Count y	3	Hillsdale County—	
Kearny County	7	Hillse' le	1
Kingman County	1	Houghton County — Stanton Township	1
Kiowa County Labette County	1	Ingham County—	•
Leavenworth County	2	Dansville	1
Linn County.	3	Lansing	3
Lyon County.	1	Iosco County— .	_
Montgomery County	3	East Tawas	3
Coffeyville	2	Isabella County-	1
Morris County	1 2	Denver Township Kalamazoo County—	
Neosho County	1	Portage Township	1
Pawnee County	1	Kent County—	-
Riley County	6	Grand Rapids	5
Russell County	2	Lapeer County—	
Saline County	1	Elba Township	1
Sedgwick County-	. ii	Leelanau County—	1
Wichita	4	Leland Township	
Shawnee County	13	Livingston County— Howell	1
TopekaSmith County	3	Mackinae County—	-
Stafford County	ĭ	St. Ignace	1
Sumner County	3	Macomb County-	
Wabaunsee County	1	Chesterfeld Township	1
Wallace County	1	Mount Clemens	1
Washington County	1	Manistee County—	•
Wyandotte County—	. !!	Bear Lake Township	1
Kansas City	4	Onekama Township	1
Total	130	Marguette County—	•
Total	100	Marquette	•

TYPHOID FEVER—Continued.

State Reports for December, 1916—Continued.

Place.	New cases reported.		New cases reported.
lichigan—Continued.		Minnesota—Continued.	
Mecosta County—		Lyon County—	1
Hinton Township	3	Tracy	1
Missaukee County—	1	Mahnomen County—	
Lake City	2	Waubon	3
Monroe County—	i	Marshall County—	
Monroe	1	Oslo	1
Montcalm County-	ļ ·	Warren	1
Lakeview	1	Meeker County-	1
Osceola County-		Eden Valley	1
Reed City	1	Ottertail County-	1
Saginaw County—		Fergus Falls. Maine Township.	2
Albee Township	1	Maine Township	2
Saginaw Township	2	Pennington County—	
Saginaw	1	Thief River Falls	1
St. Clair County		Polk County—	
Cottrellville Township	6	Queen Township	1
Marine City	1	Ramsey County—	
St. Clair	1	St. Paul	2
St. Joseph County-		New Canada Township	3
Burr Oak Township Tuscola County—	1	St. Louis County—	
Tuscola County-		Aurora	2
Guilford Townshin	2	Buhl	1
Washtenaw County—	_	Duluth	1 8 2 2
Ann Arbor	1	Ely	2
Wavne County—		Hibbing	$\bar{2}$
Wyandotee	2	Virginia	ī
		Faval Township	. 1
Total.	107	Wadena County— Verndale	_
		Verndale	4
innesota:		Washington County—	_
Anoka County-		Forest Lake	1
Anoka	1	Watenwan County-	_
Beltrami County—		Butterfield Township	. 1
Bemidji	1	Winona County—	_
Bigstone County—		Winona	- 1
Almond Township	1	i • !	
Blue Earth County—	_	Total	64
Vernon Center	1		=====
Chisago County—	- 1	New Jersey:	
Nessel Township	1	Atlantic County	7
Clay County—	1	Bergen County	3
Moorehead	1	Camden County	10
Crow Wing County-	f	Cumberland County	1
Brainerd	1	Essex County	5
Faribault County—		Gloucester County	1
Minnesota Lake	1	Hudson County.	1 7
Goodhue County—	- 1	Hunterdon County	2
Red Wing	1	Mercer County	2 4
Hennepin County—	- 1	Middlesex County	$\bar{2}$
Minneapolis	7	Monmouth County	ī
Wayzata	i li	Morris County	2 1 1 3 4 2
Isanti County-	- 11	Ocean County.	3
Braham	1	Passaic County	Ä
Spencer Brook Township	î l	Salem County.	9
Itasca County—	- 11	Union County	4
Rovey	1	Warren County	ī
Trout Lake Township	î ll		
	- 11	· - [
Lake County—	13	Total.	58

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

Place.	Cases.	Deaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Ann Arbor, Mich. Baltimore, Md Rayonne, N. J. Beaver Falls, Pa. Boston, Mass. Buffalo, N. Y. Charlest on, S. C. Chicago, Ill. Cleveland, Ohio.	5 1 1 3 2	2	Coffevville, Kans. Cumberland, Md Detroit, Mich Evansville, Ind Fall River, Mass. Galesburg, Ill. Grand Rapids, Mich Harrison, N. J. Hartlord, Conn	3 · 3 1 3	

TYPHOID FEVER-Continued.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917—Continued.

Place.	Cases.	Peaths.	Place.	Cases.	Deaths.
Haverhill, Mass. Indianapolis, Ind. Kansas City, Mo. Long Beach, Cal. Los Angeles, Cal. Lynn, Mass. Medlord, Mass. Medlord, Mass. Minneapolis, Minn. New Bedford, Mass. New Britain, Conn New Castle, Pa New Haven, Conn New Ordens, Lia. Newton, Mass. New York, N New York, N Norristown, Pa North Adams, Mass	1 3 1 5 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 5 1 2 1 2 1	1	Pittsburgh, Pa Portland, Me Portland, Oreg Reading, Pa	74 10 11 12 53 12 11 12 25	1

TYPHUS FEVER.

California-Clovis.

The secretary of the State Board of Health of California reported by telegraph January 16, 1917, that a case of typhus fever had occurred at Clovis, Fresno County, Cal.

Colorado-La Junta and Trinidad.

Asst. Surg. Galloway reported January 13, 1917, that during the period from June 3 to December 17, 1916, 10 cases of typhus fever had been notified in the State of Colorado. Eight of the cases occurred at La Junta and 2 at Trinidad.

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

During the week ended January 6, 1917, two cases of typhus fever were reported in El Paso, Tex., and one case in New York, N. Y.

PREVENTABLE DISEASES.

Massachusetts Report for Week Ended Jan. 13, 1917.

	Cases reported.		Cases reporte l.
Cerebrospinal meningitis Chicken pox Diphtheria. German measles Measles. Mumps Ophthalmia neonatorum Poliomyelitis (infantile paralysis)	- 428 - 97	Searlet fever. Septic sore throat. Trachoma. Tuberculosis (pulmonery). Tuberculosis (other forms). Typhoid fever. Whooping cough.	4 2 191 26 17

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS. State Reports for December, 1916.

	C	ases report	ed.		ed.		
State.	Diph- theria.	Measles.	Scarlet fever.	State.	Diph- theria.	Measles.	Scarlet fever.
District of Columbia	60 180	13 351	. 63 206	Michigan	656 183 458	391 202	827 416 319

City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917.

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1916	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	sles.		rlet er.		ber- osis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
Over 500,000 inhabitants: Baltimore, Md	589, 621 756, 476 2, 497, 722 674, 073 571, 784 503, 812 5, 602, 841 1, 702, 518 579, 090 757, 300	206 317 878 148 296 1,895 780 246 307	30 61 267 34 92 5 226 44 26 108	2 6 30 4 4 24 5 4 7	3 43 206 41 10 23 152 8 73 53	5	12 25 318 10 84 8 112 26 9 48	14 4	34 37 194 26 28 43 351 92 31 38	28 30 73 11 16 19 219 77 21 19
itants: Buffalo, N. Y Cincinnati, Ohio Jersey City, N. J Milwaukee, Wis Minneapolis, Minn Newark, N. J New Orleans, La San Francisco, Cal. Washington, D. C From 200,000 to 300,000 inhabitants:	468, 558 410, 476 306, 345 436, 535 363, 454 408, 894 371, 747 463, 516 363, 980	93 141 105	22 21 3 27 31 19 8 29 16	3 1 1 1	9 3 6 8 5 816 65 2	1	6 12 8 67 24 14 3 24 10	1	13 28 22 15 39 32	5 14 6 19 26 18 11
Columbus, Ohio. Denver, Colo. Indianapolis, Ind. Kansas City, Mo. Portland, Org. Providence, R. I. St. Paul, Minn. From 100,000 to 20,000 inhab-	214, \$78 260, 800 271, 708 297, 847 295, 463 254, 960 247, 232	73 86 105 54 97 80	8 5 29 8 4 18	2	52 38 6 7 73 4	1	3 9 27 10 12 13	1	9 8 8 7	9 3 7 7
itants: Birmingham. Ala Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Camden, N. J. Fall River, Mass. Fort Worth. Tex. Grand Rapids, Mich Hartford, Conn. Lawrence. Mass. Lowell, Mass. Lynn. Mass. Nashville. Tenn. New Bedford. Mass. New Haven. Conn. Omaha, Nebr. Reading. Pa. Richmond. Va. Springfield. Mass. Syracuse. N. Y. Toledo, Ohio. Trenton, N. J. Worcester, Mass.	181, 762 121, 579 112, 881 100, 233 128, 366 104, 582 128, 291 110, 900 100, 560 113, 245 102, 425 117, 057 118, 158 149, 685 165, 470 109, 381 156, 687 105, 942 191, 554 111, 593 163, 314	44 78 46 41 25 46 76 22 23 19 34 33 35 68 34	8 15 5 1 2 4 1 6 5 3 5 1 5 1 5 1	1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1	2 16 6 	1 2 2 1 1	12 12 12 14 15 11 151 11 13	2	15 3 12 4 2 7 2 4 2 7 2 4 2 7 2 4 7 2 4 7	5

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Contd. City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917—Continued.

04-	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1916	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Ме	asles.		rlet er.	Tu	iber- losis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Census Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
From 50,000 to 100,000 inhab-								İ		
itants: Atlantic City, N. J. Bayonne, N. J. Berkeley, Cal Binghamton, N. Y. Brockton, Mass. Canton, Ohio. Charleston, S. C. Covington, Ky. Duluth, Minn. El Paso, Tex.	57,660	14	2	ļ	7		1	ļ	3	2
Bayonne, N. J Berkelev, Cal	69,893 57,653	13	2		3		····i		4	2
Binghamton, N. Y	53,973	17	10		14		6		1	1 2
Canton, Ohio	67, 449 60, 852	15 13	3	2			1 6			1
Charleston, S. C.	60, 734	23 24	3				3 2		····i	1 2
Duluth, Minn	57, 144 94, 495				2		4		3	1
El Paso, Tex	63, 705 75, 195	51	3 1	1	13		$\frac{\dots}{3}$		7	12
Evansville, Ind	76,078	23 23	3		2				3	2
Harrisburg, Pa	54,772 72,015	23 14	3 5	2	····i		5		4	38 2 2 2
Erie, Pa. Evansville, Ind Flint, Mich Harrisburg, Pa. Hoboken, N. J. Lancaster, Pa. Little Rock, Ark. Malden, Mass	77, 214	29	5		<u>2</u>	l	1		8	
Little Rock, Ark.	50,853 57,343	9			2		1			
Monohocton N II	51, 155	19	3	•••••	4 2		2		4	
New Britain, Conn.	78, 283 53, 794	22 8 2	i				1			2
Norfolk, Va	89,612 92,943	2 14	•••••		2 27	1	3	• • • • • •		1
New Britain, Conn. Norfolk, Va. Oklahoma City, Okla. Passaic, N. J. Pawtucket, R. I. Portland, Me. Rockford, III	71.744	26 22	4		i		2		6	1
Pawtucket, R. I	59,411 63,867	22 34	8				····;		1	1
Rockford, Ill.	55, 185	7	2		2				2	·····3
Saginaw, Mich	66,895 55,642	19 23	8				8		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
San Diego, Cal	53, 330	23 37 26	3 2	1	27	1			17	2
Portland, Me. Rockford, Ill	99, 519 87, 039	19	4	i	5		2 ·		2	i
South Bend, Ind	68, 948 61, 120	12 19	8		1 6		10 ! 2			$\frac{1}{3}$
Troy, N. Y.	77, 916 !		1		30		5		3	3
Wilkes Barre, Pa Wilmington, Del	76, 776 94, 265 51, 656	18 46	2 2		· · · · · · ;		4 1		3	2
York, Pa	51,656		4		2				1	• • • • •
From 25,000 to 50,000 inhabitants:		İ								
Alameda, Cal Brookline, Mass.	27, 732 32, 730 27, 632	8 12	···i		·····iˈ		4		1	····i
Butler, Pa	27, 632	5	ī .		4		3			2
Chelsea, Mass	43, 420 1.	12	···i		1	:::::: <u> </u>	:::::i.	5		i
Butter, Pa Butter, Mont Chelsea, Mass Chicopee, Mass Cumberland, Md Danville, III	46, 192 29, 319	10 15	1		·····i'		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		2	1
Danville, III	26, 074 32, 261	10	i	1	î				2	2
Dubuque Iowa	48,811 39,873				ii '	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 .			
East Orange, N. J. Elgin, Ill Everett, Mass Everett, Wash	42, 458 28, 203 39, 233 35, 486	6	2 .				1 .		2	· • • • •
Everett, Mass	28, 203 39, 233	6 4	1 3		4		2 !. 5 !.		2	2
Everett, Wash	35, 486	3 .		;	27		2 -		2	·····i
Galveston, Tex.	41, 781 41, 863	12 10	3 .						'	
Haverhill, Mass	48,477 35,363	19 14	2 .		2 15		·····		8	3 1
Kalamazoo, Mich	48, 886	19 .					9 .			· · · · · · · ·
Kenosha, Wis	31,576 26,771	5 11	4 .			•••••	1 .			
Everett, wash Fitchburg, Mass. Galveston, Tex. Haverhill, Mass Jackson, Mich. Kalamazoo, Mich. Kenosha, Wis. Kingston, N. Y. La Crosse, Wis. Lexington, Ky.	31,677	4	1 .						;.	
Lexington, KyLima, Ohio.	41,097 35,384	25 10	2 .	····· .	1		2 3	::::: <u> </u>	3	1
Lincoln, Nebr.	46,515	14	4	i	3 .		2 .		2	· · • •
Lorain, Ohio.	27,587 36,964	6 .	1	:::::	''i'.		4		.	<u>.</u>
Lynchburg, Va.	32, 940	10 .			23 .		7		2	2
Medford, Mass	30, 699 . 26, 234	8	2		20 .		i :			. • • • •
Lexington, Ky. Lima, Ohio. Lincoln, Nebr. Long Beach, Cal Lorain, Ohio Lynchburg, Va. Madison, Wis. Mediord, Mass. Montclair, N. J. New Castle, Pa.	26, 234 26, 318 29, 603	5 12	2 .		1 .		1		1 .	
New Castle, Pa	41, 133				4):	••••

DIPHTHERIA, MEASLES, SCARLET FEVER, AND TUBERCULOSIS—Contd. City Reports for Week Ended Jan. 6, 1917—Continued.

	Popula- tion as of July 1, 1916	Total deaths	Diph	theria.	Mea	sles.		rlet rer.		ber- osis.
City.	(estimated by U. S. Čensus Bureau).	from all causes.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.	Cases.	Deaths.
From 25,000 to 50,000 inhabit- ants—Continued. Newport, Ky Newport, Ky Newport, R. 1. Newton, Mass. Niagara Falls, N. Y. Norristown, Pa. Ogden, Utah Orange, N. J. Pasadena, Cal. Perth Amboy, N. J. Pittsfield, Mass Portsmouth, Va. Quincy, Ill. Quincy, Ill. Quincy, Mass Racine, Wis. Roanoke, Va. San Jose, Cal. Steubenville, Ohio. Stockton, Cal. Steubenville, Ohio. Stockton, Cal. Superior, Wis. Taunton, Mass. West Hoboken, N. J. Wheeling, W. Va. Williamsport, Pa. Zanesville, Ohio. From 10,000 to 25,000 inhabit- ants: Ann Arbor, Mich.	31, 927 30, 108 43, 715 37, 353 31, 401 33, 080 46, 450 41, 185 38, 629 39, 651 36, 798 38, 136 46, 486 43, 284 46, 283 36, 485 38, 590 48, 100 48, 10	7 9 10 10 15 13 13 15 15 16 13 17 17 17 17 17 17 19 12 22 26 6 19 18 9 13 13 15 14	2 2 2 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 2 2	1	12 20 360 1 1 1 5 30 1 1 1 2 1	2	1 1 5 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2	2	1 1 4 4 3 3	11 12 22 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
Baver Falls, Pa Braddock, Pa Cairo, III Clinton, Mass Coffeyville, Kans. Concord, N. H Galesburg, III Harrison, N. J Kearny, N. J Kokomo, Ind Long Branch, N. J Marinette, Wis. Morristown, N. J Muscatine, Iowa Nanticoke, Pa Newburyport, Mass New London, Conn North Adams, Mass Northampton, Mass Northa	13, 532 21, 685 113, 075 113, 075 117, 548 22, 669 24, 276 16, 950 20, 930 15, 395 11, 4610 13, 284 17, 500 23, 128 15, 243 15, 243 15, 243 15, 243 16, 250 11, 666 11, 686 11, 686 11, 686 11, 686 11, 686 11, 686 11, 686 12, 193 15, 548 15, 548 15, 548 15, 548 15, 548 15, 548	14 2 12 2 4 11 5 5 4 4 4 5 8 8 11 11 6 6	1 7 1 3 3 3 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1	2	3 2 26	.	1		1 4 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 1 1

¹ Population Apr. 15, 1910; no estimate made.

FOREIGN.

CHINA.

Examination of Rats-Shanghai.

During the two weeks ended December 9, 1916, 620 rats were examined at Shanghai. No plague infection was found. The last plague-infected rat at Shanghai was reported found during the week ended May 6, 1916.

Plague-Infected Rats-Hongkong.

Plague-infected rats have been reported found at Hongkong as follows: During the week ended November 18, 1916, out of 2,250 rats examined, 4 found plague infected, and during the week ended December 2, 1916, out of 2,361 rats examined, 4 found plague infected.

CUBA.

Communicable Diseases-Habana.

Communicable diseases have been notified at Habana as follows:

	Dec. 21-	Remain- ing under	
Disease.	New cases.	Deaths.	treatmen Dec. 31, 1916.
Diphtheria	8		25
falaria	54 13		1
aratyphoid fever	3 15	i	3
aricella.	3		

¹ From Europe.

Smallpox from Vessels.

Habana newspapers reported the occurrence of four cases of smallpox during the period from January 9 to 12, 1917, in passengers landed at Habana from vessels arrived from ports in Spain. Of these cases, one developed at Casa Blanca, Habana Bay, in a passenger from the steamship Alfonso XII from Santander, Spain, which arrived at Habana December 1, 1916. The case was reported January 12, 1917. Three cases occurred in passengers from the steamship Montevideo

from Barcelona, Spain, via Las Palmas, Canary Islands, and Porto Rico, one case occurring at Guanabacoa, vicinity of Habana, reported January 9, and two cases reported January 10, one occurring at Encrucijada, Santa Clara Province, and one at Mariel quarantine station, Habana.

On January 20, 1917, the occurrence of a second case of smallpox at Mariel quarantine station was reported. The case occurred in a passenger from the *Montevideo*, making a total of five cases in persons arriving by the steamships Alfonso XII and Montevideo.

The Montevideo gave a history of a case of smallpox left at San Juan, Porto Rico. The vessel left San Juan January 2, and arrived at Habana January 6, 1917.

JAPAN.

Taiwan Island (Formosa) and Korea Free from Cholera.

The island of Taiwan (Formosa) was reported free from cholera, January 20, 1917.

Korea was reported free from cholera January 19, 1917. The outbreak began August 1, 1916.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER.

Reports Received During the Week Ended Jan. 26, 1917.1

CHOLERA.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India: BombayCalcutta	Nov. 23-Dec. 2 Nov. 19-25	3	1 10	`
Japan: Fukuoka Osaka Philippine Islands: Manila	Jan. 6-16	33 9 30	9	Not previously reported: Cases,
ProvincesAlbayBataan.Bohol.	Nov. 20-Dec. 2 dodo	20 5	13 14 3	1; deaths, 1. Nov. 26-Dec. 2, 1916: Cases, 273 deaths, 175.
Bulacan	do	8 7 12 20 24	8 4 11 10 14	
Leyte	dodododo.	49 16 77	37 7 46	·
SorsogonZambales	do	8	8	

From medical officers of the Public Health Service, American consuls, and other sources.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW, FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received During the Week Ended Jan. 26, 1917—Continued. PLAGUE.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Ceylon:				And the second of the second o
Colombo	Nov. 19-25	6	5	
Amoy, vicinity	Nov. 19-Dec. 2	ļ		Present.
Ecuador		!		Sept. 1-Nov. 30, 1916; Cases, 156; deaths, 57.
DuranGuayaquil	Oct. 1-31	21	7	deaths, 57.
Do	Oct. 1-31	43	13	
Do Milagro	Nov. 1-30do	88	35	
Nobol	Oct. 1-31	ī	1	
Santa Rosa Egypt	Sept. 1-30	1	1	Jan. 1-Dec. 14, 1916; Cases, 1,700;
Port Said	Dec. 11	1		deaths, 825.
India	Nov. 26-Dec. 2	8	6	Nov. 19-25, 1916: Cases, 10,330; deaths, 7,579.
Bombay Madras Presidency	do	671	451	deaths, 1,510.
Rangoon Japan:	Nov. 19- 25	2	2	
Yokkaichi	Nov. 12-Dec. 9	9	4	
	SMAL	LPOX.		
			I	
Austria-Hungary: Austria—				
Vienna	Nov. 19-Dec. 9	7	1	
Hungary— Budapest	do.	41	1	
China:				
AmoyChungking	do		5	Descent
Foochow	Nov. 12-18!			Present. Do.
Hongkong	Nov. 26-Dec. 2	27	19	Received out of date.
Cuba: Casa Blanca	Jan. 12	1		Vicinity of Habana. Case land-
				ed Jan. 1, 1917, from s. s. Al-
				fonso XII, from Santander, Spain.
Encrucijada	Jan. 10	1		In Santa Clara Province. Case
				landed from s. s. Montevideo from Barcelona, via Las Palmas,
				Canary Islands, and Porto Rico;
Guanabacoa	Jan. 9	1		arrived at Habana Jan. 6, 1917. Vicinity of Habana. Case land-
	į.			ed from s. s. Montevideo.
Habana	Jan. 10-20	2		At Mariel quarantine station, From s. s. Montevideo.
_ Guayaquil	Nov. 1-30	10	. 1	Tiom of or broad video.
Egypt:	July 16-Aug. 12	25	5	
India:	, ,		1	
Madras	Nov. 26-Dec. 2 Nov. 19-25	3 5	2	
Rangoon Mexico:		1		
Mexico City	Dec. 17-23	10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Portuguese East Africa: I ourenco Marques	Sept. 1-30		1	
Russia:	Oct. 16-Dec. 18	43	12	
Moscow	Nov. 5-11	15	3	
Spain: Valencia	Dec. 17-23	1		
Tunisia:		- 1		
Tunis	Dec. 9-15	14	12	
Turkey in Asia: Trebizond	Nov. 11-13	1		

Milagro.....

Do......

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received During the Week Ended Jan. 26, 1917—Continued. TYPHUS FEVER.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Austria Hungary:				
Austria—		_		
Vienna	Nov. 26-Dec. 9	3	1	
Egypt:		٠.,		•
Alexandria	do	10	3	
Cairo	July 16-Aug. 12	90	48	
Port Said	do	1	3	
Germany: Berlin	N			
Berlin	Nov. 26-Dec. 2		2	
Königsberg	Dec. 2-9	1		
Mexico: Aguascalientes	- -		ł	l
Aguascanontes	Dec. 22			Epidemic.
Durango Mexico City	Dec. 12			Present.
_ Mexico City	Dec. 17-23	202		,
Russia:				
Moscow	Oct. 16-Nov. 18	43	1	
Petrograd	Nov. 5-11	44	22	
Turkey in Asia: Haifa				
Haifa	Oct. 16-22	1		
<u> </u>	YELLOW	FEVE	R.	
Ecuador:				
	No. 1 20			
BabahoyoChobo	Nov. 1-30do	11	1	
Duran		,1	••••••	
Guayaquil	Sept. 1-30	17	.5	
. Do	Oct. 1-31	15	12	

Reports Received from Dec. 30, 1916, to Jan. 19, 1917.1 CHOLERA.

6

Sept. 1-30. Oct. 1-31. Nov. 1-30. (Sept. 1-30. Oct. 1-31.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
India:	Y			
Bombay	Nov. 5-25	6	7 33	
Madras			33	
Indo-China		-		June 1-July 31, 1916: Cases, 3,578;
Provinces-				deaths, 2,578.
Anam	June 1-July 31	904	691	ucaths, 2,010.
Cambodia	do	8	6	
Cochin-China	do	231	144	İ
Kouang-Tcheou-Wan.	. July 1-31	83	62	
Laos	June 1-July 31	433	417	
Tonkin	. June 1-30	1,276	775	i
Japan:	1			
Nagasaki			4	
Osaka	. Nov. 16-Dec. 5	8	11	Aug. 13-Dec. 5, 1916: Cases, 966;
Taiwan Island—	1	_ 1		deaths, 625.
Keelung			4	
Taihoku		13	3	
Yokohama		5	3	
Districts	do	1	1	
Philippine Islands: Manila	. Oct. 29-Nov. 25			37.4
Manna	Oct. 29-Nov. 23	14	4	Not previously reported: Cases,
Provinces	1			
Albay		189	112	Oct.29-Nov, 18, 1916: Cases, 2,158; deaths, 1,366.
Antique	Nov 18-25	193	712	ucams, 1,000.
Bataan	Oct 29-Nov 25		58	·
Batangas		ű	1	
Bohol	do	40	14	

¹ For reports received from July 1 to Dec. 29, 1916, see Public Health Reports for Dec. 29, 1916. The tables of epidemic diseases are terminated semiannually and new tables begun.

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 30, 1916, to Jan. 19, 1917—Continued.

CHOLERA—Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Philippine Islands—Continued.				
Provinces—Continued.				1
Bulacan	Oct. 29-Nov. 25	28	21	
Camarines	Oct. 29-Nov. 18		28	
Capiz	Oct. 29-Nov. 25	28	22	
Cavite	do	120	91	
Iloilo	do	186	113	
Laguna	Nov. 5-25	12	. 10	
Leyte	Oct. 29-Nov. 25	31	22	
Misamis	do	110	72	
Negros Occidental	do	709	422	
Rizal	do	24	14	
Samar	Nov. 5-18	13	10	
	Oct. 29-Nov. 25	123	63	
SorsogonTayabas	Nov. 5-18	1	i	
Zambales	Oct. 29-Nov. 18	6	8	
Straits Settlements:	200. 20 2.00. 2000.	- 1		
Singapore	Oct. 22-28	2	2	
Turkey in . sia	Sept. 22-Nov. 3	189	81	
Turkey in Europe:	Sept. 22 1.01.0	100	٠-	
Constantinople	Oct. 1-29.	.6	1	
comeaninoble	UUt. 1-27	.0]	•	

PLAGUE.

	, 			·
Brazil: Bahia	Nov. 5-25	11	7	Jan. 1-Nov. 11, 1916: Cases, 14; deaths, 7. Nov. 5-11: Cases, 4; deaths, 2.
Joazeiro		ļ	ļ	June 1-Nov. 6, 1916: Cases, 67;
Ceylon: Colombo	Oct. 28-Nov. 18	4	2	deaths, 51. July 23-29, 1916: Cases, 9; deaths, 8.
China: Kansu Province— Taochow	Oot 1 21		20	Pneumonic, Reported present
Egypt		ł		in other localities in Province. Jan. 1-Nov. 23, 1916: Cases, 1,698;
Alexandria	Nov. 12-22	2	1	deaths, 825. 1 case on s. s. Proton, arrived Nov. 16, 1916, from Sidi Barand and Sollum.
India Bassein Bombay	Oct. 22-28 Nov. 5-25	29	1 21	Oct. 15-Nov. 18, 1916: Cases, 29,479; deaths, 22,682. Oct. 8-14, 1916: Cases, 13; deaths,
Karachi	Oct. 29-Nov. 25 Nov. 19-25	$\frac{2}{1}$	1	7. Reserved out of date. Original report lost on s. s. Arabia. Oct. 8-14, 1916: Cases, 1; deaths,
Madras Presidency Mandalay Prome Rangoon	Nov. 5-25 Oct. 28-Nov. 18 Oct. 22-Nov. 18		1,202 2 43	1. Oct. 8-14, 1916: Cases, 534; deaths, 353. Sept. 17-23, 1916: Cases, 429; deaths, 280. Oct. 1-7, 1916: Cases, 9; deaths, 9.
Toungoo. Indo-China. Provinces—	Oct. 22-Nov. 18		¹⁰	June 1-July 31, 1916: Cases, 168, deaths, 104.
Anam	do	35	29 33 36 6	deaths, 104.
Java: East Java—			1	
Kediri Residency Pasoeroean Residency Surabaya Residency	do	12 2 3	10 2 3	
Siam: Bangkok Straits Settlements:		4	3	
Singapore	Oct. 22-Nov. 11	4	5	

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 30, 1916, to Jan. 19, 1917—Continued. SMALLPOX.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Austria-Hungary:				
Austria— Vienna	Nov. 12-18	1		4
Hungary— Budapest	Nov. 5-18	28		
Brazil:				
Bahia Rio de Janeiro	Nov. 12-18 Nov. 12-Dec. 9	32	6	
China:	Oct. 31-Nov. 20			Present.
AmoyChungking	Oct. 28-Nov. 11			Present.
Dairen	Nov. 5-18 Oct. 29-Nov. 4	26	3	Present.
Harbin	Nov. 6-12	1		1 researc.
Hongkong Nanking	Oct. 28-Dec. 9 Nov. 12-25	78	62	Present.
Egypt:				1100000
Cairo	June 11-July 15 June 11-17	65 1	28 1	
France:	Oct. 1-31	_	5	-
MarseilleIndia:		,		
BombayCalcutta	Oct. 8-14	3	3 1	Received out of date. Original report lost on s. s. Arabia.
Madras	Nov. 5-11 Nov. 5-25	9	3	report fost our s. s. masta.
Moulmein Rangoon	Oct. 28-Nov. 4 Oct. 28-Nov. 18	3	4	
Indo-China				June 1-July 31, 1916: Cases, 111,
Provinces— Anam	June 1-July 31	14	6	deaths, 35.
Cambodia	do	21	.7	
Cochin-China Tonkin	do	48 28	16 6	
Saigon	Nov. 6-19	11	4	
Kobe	Dec. 4-10	1	. 1	
fava: East Java	Sept. 16-29	17	1	
Mid-Java	do	26	3	
West Java Batavia	Sept. 29-Oct. 12	135 10	28 1	
Mexico: Mexico City	Dec. 10-16	2		
Nuevo Laredo	do	ĩ		
Portugal: Lisbon	Nov. 19-Dec. 2	6		
Russia:	Non of Dee 6	5		
ArchangelPetrograd	Nov. 25-Dec. 8 Oct. 8-Nov. 4	48	····ii	
Spain: Seville	Nov. 1-30		22	
Valencia	Nov. 19-Dec. 2	4	1	
Straits Settlements:	Oct. 28-Nov. 11	2		
'unisia:	1	_	,.	
Tunis	Nov. 25-Dec. 8	37	15	

TYPHUS FEVER.

Austria-Hungary:			
Austria— Vienna	Nov. 5-18	8	
Hungary— Budapest			
Budapest Belgium:	do	-	
Ghent	Oct. 29-Nov. 4		1
Liege China:	do	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
Antung	Nov. 27-Dec. 10	6	
Hankow Tientsin	Nov. 12-18 Oct. 29-Nov. 4	1	
Cuba:			
Santiago	Dec. 7-13	1	11

CHOLERA, PLAGUE, SMALLPOX, TYPHUS FEVER, AND YELLOW FEVER—Continued.

Reports Received from Dec. 30, 1916, to Jan. 19, 1917—Continued.

TYPHUS FEVER-Continued.

Place.	Date.	Cases.	Deaths.	Remarks.
Egypt:				
Alexandria	Nov. 12-18	1		
Cairo	June 11-July 15	391	201	1
Port Said	June 11-July 8	23	12	, ·
Germany:	1	l		
Berlin	Oct. 15-Nov. 18	!	2	
Bremen	Oct. 22-Nov. 18	. 1	2	\$
Frankfort-on-Main	Nov. 12-18		1	İ
Königsberg	Nov. 12-Dec. 2	3	4	ŀ
Nuremberg	Oct. 29-Nov. 11	3		
Great Britain:		_		İ
Glasgow	Dec. 3-9	3		1
Croose.				İ
Saloniki	Nov. 7-13		7	
Java:	1101.1-10	i • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
East Java	Sept. 16-22	2	1	i e
Mid-Java	Sept. 16-29	11	2	
West Java	Sept. 29-Oct. 12	24	ĺ	i
Batavia	do	21	1 1	ľ
Mexico:		21		
Mexico. Mexico City	Dec. 3-16	456		
Nuevo Laredo	Dec. 10-16.	4.50		July 1-Dec. 16, 1916: Cases, 28.
Netherlands:	Dec. 10-10	*		July 1-17ec. 16, 1916. Cases, 28.
Rotterdam	Nov. 26-Dec. 2	6		
	Nov. 20-Dec. 2	b	• • • • • • • • •	
Russia:	N 97 D 0			
Archangel	Nov. 25-Dec. 8	10	4	
Petrograd	Oct. 8-Nov. 4	21	1	
Sweden:	ا باعداد ا	_		
Stockholm	Nov. 28-Dec. 4	1		
Switzerland:		_		
Zurich	Dec. 3-9	. 1		