Home Health Services in New Hampshire

FRANK A. HALE, PhD. and ARTHUR R. JACOBS, MD. MPH

THE FUNCTIONS of home health agencies—home care, disease prevention, and health education—have long been widely acknowledged, but these agencies remain an underused component of the medical care system. Recently, a combination of factors has given new impetus to the integration and expansion of these community health services. These factors include:

- An increase in the number and proportion of elderly people who need the psychological, rehabilitative, and educational benefits of home care. (1,2).
- Recognition that long-term chronic illnesses—such as heart disease, cancer, and cerebrovascular disorders—prevailing among the aged require appropriate management in the patient's home.
- A growing concern, as expressed by utilization review of inpatient facilities, that patients have access to quality care at the level most appropriate to their illnesses. For example, home health services, through early discharge programs, can reduce the number of days a patient spends in a hospital bed or may even prevent institutionalization (3-5).
- Public response to health education efforts promoting the benefits of screening programs and early detection of disease.

Although demand for community health services is on the increase, the development of home health agencies has been hampered by a general lack of information among providers and consumers as to their actual and potential capabilities for service. Another impediment to

□ Dr. Hale is assistant professor, Department of Community Medicine, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, N.H. Dr. Jacobs is director, Division of Health Care Studies, New England Medical Center Hospital, and associate professor, Tufts University School of Medicine. Dr. Jacobs was assistant professor, Department of Community Medicine, Dartmouth Medical School, when the research was performed.

The research described in this paper was supported by grant No. PHS IR27 MB00002-02 from the Office of Special Programs, Bureau of Health Manpower Education, National Institutes of Health, in cooperation with the Functional Task Analysis Cooperative Study Group.

Tearsheet requests to Arthur R. Jacobs, MD, MPH, Division of Health Care Studies, Department of Community Health and Ambulatory Care, New England Medical Center Hospital, Box 798, 171 Harrison Ave., Boston, Mass. 02111.

their development is the organizational isolation of the home health agency from hospitals and physicians in the health care system. In addition, the financial base for these services is inadequate because most of the third-party financing available (both government and private sources) is for short-term intensive care after hospitalization of the beneficiary.

New Hampshire's population is feeling the impact of the trends just mentioned—trends that point to the desirability of expanding the quantity and scope of home health services. Furthermore, because of the State's rural nature, the outreach functions of the visiting nurses take on particular significance in increasing accessibility to health care. Among the questions that health care planners and providers will have to address are the following: Should home health agencies be regional or local? Should they be hospital based, clinic based, or free standing? How may optimum staffing patterns for home health agencies be determined? In this paper we report on the scope of services and activities among a group of home health agencies in New Hampshire in 1973 and relate the findings to issues that will arise as the home health movement gains momentum.

Methodology

New Hampshire has 41 certified home health agencies located in the areas where 89 percent of its population of 737,681 lived in 1970. In the summer of 1973 a sample of eight agencies was selected to participate in a study to document their activities. The sample was devised to include rural and urban, public and voluntary agencies.

Personnel from each participating agency completed a patient contact record (figs. 1 and 2) for all patients seen over 4 consecutive weeks. The development of the record and the demonstration of the utility and reliability of the patient contact record method of studying ambulatory services were achieved by the National Functional Task Analysis Cooperative Study (6); the authors were members of the study group. Instructions for completing the forms and explanations of all data items were given both verbally and in writing to each agency. The cooperation of the agencies' staffs was excellent, and an average of only 0.41 item per record was missing from all the agencies' forms. A total of 3,819 patient contacts were recorded. Each agency received a report and a brief analysis of the data gathered from that site.

FTA PATIENT CONTACT RECORD

FORM 11 PROJ.

OMB #68-S73033 APPROVAL EXP. 7-31-73

PATIENT IDENTIFICATION					ZIP CODE				SITE
				MONTH	BIRTH DATE	YEAR	MONTH	TODAY'S DATE	YEAR
	TREATMENT &	SERVICES	•			RACE		SEX	
NSTRUCTIONS FOR TREATMENT AND SERVICES SECTION:	Skill Provider Code Number	Skill Provider Code Number	Skill Provider Code Number	Skill Code	Provider Number	1—American 2—Black/Neg 3—Oriental 4—Spanish su 5—White	ro	1-Male 2-Female	
Enter your skill code and provider number. 2. Carefully appropriate treat-	TIME (MIN)	 TIME (MIN)	 TIME (MIN)		 E (MIN)	9—Other/Unk	nown	TYPE	
ment and service you performed. Enter total time you spent with patient;	(min)		(min)			OF VISIT 1—Office/OPI 2—Emergency		OF VISIT 1—Walk-in rout 2—Walk-in urge	nt
IISTORICAL DATA 1—Registration/record prep. 2—Administrative procedure	11 12	11 12	11 12		11 12	3—Hospital 4—Patient's I 5—Nursing ho 9—Other	nome ome	3—Appointment 4—Appointment 5—Emergency 9—Other	
(appts., forms, etc.) XAMINATION O—Partial history 2—Complete history	20 22	20 22	20 22		20 22	10—Acute pro 11—Acute pro 12—Chronic p	oblem (1-14 da oblem follow-u oroblem, routi	ip ne	VISIT
6—Measurements - Ht., Wt., BP, Temp., etc. 1—Partial physical exam	26 21	26 21	26 21		26 21	13—Chronic p 14—Trea†men 15—Checkup 16—Well chil	t or lab. only or physical (a d visit	dult)	
3—Complete physical exam 4—Pelvic exam 5—PAP 9—Other examination	23 24 25 29	23 24 25 29	23 24 25 29		23 24 25 29	17—Prenatal/ 18—Health ec 20—Family pl 21—Post oper 99—Other	lucation an or counsel		
IIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURES O-Bacteriology 1-Blood chemistry	30 31 32	30 31 32	30 31 32		30 31 32		(For which se to 3 codes fro	OR DIAGNOSIS rvices were provide om opposite side of	
2EKG 3Hematology 4Hearing test 5Immunology studies/skin test	33 34	33 34 35	33 34 35		33 34 35	1	in order SIS WRITE-IN	of importance	
6—Psch. test/develop screening 7—Pulmonary function 8—Urinalysis 10—Vision test/tonometry	35 36 37 38 40	36 37 38 40	36 37 38 40		36 37 38 40	3		2	
1—X-ray 9—Other diagnostic procedures REATMENT	41 49	41 49	41 49		41 49	PATIENT DIS	Enter 1 cod	POSITION le for each section	2
1—Cast/fracture 2—Cardiopulmonary resuscitation 3—Catheterization 5—Dressing/change	51 52 53 55	51 52 53 55	51 52 53 55		51 52 53 55	1—Home 2—Admit 3—Transfer to 4—DOA 5—Died on si	o institution/1	acility	* :-
6—Perscribe medication 7—Discuss/instruct medication 8—Admin. med(not injections) 9—Inject medication - IV	56 57 58 59 60	56 57 58 59	56 57 58 59		56 57 58 59	9—Other FOLLOW-UP 1—No planner 2—Return if 1 3—Return at 1	necessary		
O—Inject medIM, SQ, etc. 1—Ear irrigation 2—Immunization 6—I.U.D.	60 61 62 66	60 61 62 66	60 61 62 66		60 61 62 66	4—Patient to 5—Physician 6—Physician 9—Other REFERRAL	phone to phone	nds	
7—I.V. fluid B—Minor surgery D—Oxygen I—Physical therapy	67 68 70 71	67 68 70 71	67 68 70 71		67 68 70 71	1—None 2—Own physi 3—Other phys 4—Other phys	sician-(within sician-(outside	e site)	*
2—Place/remove sutures 9—Other treatment OUNSELING/EDUCATION	72 79	72 79	72 79		71 72 79	7—Other agei	icy	vider-(within site) vider-(outside site)	
—Birth control —Diet 2—Health education 3—Patient education	80 81 82 83	80 81 82 83	80 81 82 83		80 81 82 83	10—Medicaid 11—Medicare 13—Welfare/ 14—Workman	Title XIX Public Assista 's Compensat	ion	E Primar
4—Psychological/behavioral 9—Other counseling	84 89	84 89	84 89		84 89	15—Blue Cro	ss/Blue Shield vate Insuranc in	1	SECONDA
7—Registered nurse 23—Rece	es aide/ asst. pt./Sec.	WHO COMPLET 1—Site personne 2—Site personne 3—FTA project p 4—Combination o	-clinic only -mix of clinical ersonnel	& clerical		21—None 99—Other		DOLG THIS RIS	
1—LPŇ/LVN 29—Phari 3—X-Ray tech. 97—Other Functional Task Analysis Study Group 225 Observatory Dr., Madison, Wi., 53706	macist r	<u> </u>					IUIAL CHA	RGES THIS VISI	

Figure 2. Problems or diagnosis. Reverse side of patient contact record form

GENERAL	Otitis	Rheumatic fever, acute	297	Etiology unknown	407	Other musculoskeletal
No abnormality	Externa	Septal defect Valvular disease	298	DysuriaFrequency	408	problems50 NERVOUS SYSTEM
Abnormal Lab	Otosclerosis204	Other cardiac problems	309	Glycosuria	124	Cerebrovascular
Congenital abnormality104	Otosclerosis	PERIPHERAL VASCULAR		Hematuria	409	insufficiency51
ancer, primary unknown105 orug reaction/toxicity106	Nose Epistaxis206	Arteriosclerotic peripheral	210	Polyuria Proteinuria	411	Transient ischeme
xogenous obesity107	Polyp 207 Rhinitis, allergic 208	vascular disease Edema, peripheral	284	Pyuria	412	CVA (stroke) 51 Transient ischeme attack (TIA) 51
atique or weakness.	Rhinitis, allergic208	Peripheral arterial		PyuriaOther GU problemsGENITAL TRACT—FEMALE	419	Concussion 51 Demyelinating disease 51 Developmental defect/
etiology unknown	Sinusitis209 Throat	occlusion, acute	311			Developmental defect/
nternal injurychest.	Epiglottitis210	Raynaud's disease Stasis dermatitis	146	Cervix	420	retardation51
abdomen, pelvis110	Hypertrophic T&A 211	Stasis ulcer	313	Ovary	421	Drug abuse51 Headache
Malnutrition, failure to thrive 111 Poisoning, accidental112	Hoarseness	Thrombophlebitis	314	OtherInfections	422	Migraine51
enility113		Varicose veins Other peripheral vascular	313	Bartholin's cyst	423	Tension51
Veight loss, etiology unknown 119	streptococcal214	problems	319	Cervicitis	424	Labyrinthitis
SYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS	viral215 Stomatitis	ABDOMEN-G.I.		Pelvic inflammatory disease	425	Mass lesion or tumor52 Meniere's disease52
conomic620	fungal216	Appendicitis	320	Gonorrhea Pruritis vulvae	405	Meniere's disease
lousing 621 lealth and medical 622	herpetic217	Bleeding Upper GI	321	Pruritis vulvae Syphilis	426	Neuralgia/neuritis52
ducationb23	Tonsillitis acute218	Lower Gi	322			Neuropathy52 Parkinson's disease52
amily 624 ersonal 625	peritonsillar infection219	Unknown source Cancer	323	Vaginitis Monilial Trichomonal Nonspecific	427	Seizure disorder (epilepsy)
mploymentb2b	Neck Mass220	Esophagus/stomach	324	Trichomonal	428	Primary
egal627	Torticollis221	Colon/small bowel	325	Menstrual disorders	423	Secondary52 Senile and presenile
ther psychological problems629	IIRI (unner resniratory	Colitis Spastic (functional)	326	Menstrual disorders Amenorrhea	430	dementia52
drenal abnormality120	infection—Coryza)	Ulcerative	327	Dysmenorrhea Problems of menarche	431	Etiology unknown Ataxia52
lishatae mallitus	Other ENT and neck problems 229	Constipation	328	Menorrhagia	433	Coma and stupor53
Adult onset 121 Juvenile 122	DENTAL	Diverticulosis/itis Esophagitis	329 330	Menopausal syndrome	434	Convulsions53
lectrolyte/hydration	Abscess	Esophagitis	331	Ovulation pain Premenstrual tension	435 436	Delerium53 Diplopia53
abnormality123	Cellulitis, oral232	Gastritis	332	Sterility	437	Headache53
lycosuria124	Fractured tooth	Gastroenteritis Bacterial	333	Pregnancy		Insomnia53
hyroid abnormality Hypothyroidism125	Gingivitis	Viral	334	Normal Complicated	438 430	Intra-cranial injury (excluding fracture of
Hyperthyroidism	Poor oral hygiene 236	Toxic or nonspecific	335	Abortion spontaneous	440	skull)53
Other endocrine/metabolic problems, signs and	Poor oral hygiene 236 Other dental problems 239 RESPIRATORY	Heartburn (pyrosis) Hemorrhoids	336	Abortion induced	441	Paraesthesiae 53
symptoms139	RESPIRATORY Asthma240	Hernia Inguinal/femoral	338	Frigidity Uterine fibroids	442	Syncope53 Sciatica53
KIN	Bronchiectasis241	Hernia other abdominal	339	Other problems of female		Tinnitus 54
cne140	Bronchitis	Malabsorption Peptic ulcer disease	341	genital tract	449	Tremor
Corns and Callosities141	Acute	Polyp	342	Cancer	450	Vertigo/dizziness54 Other signs, symptoms and
Atopic142	Bronchiolitis244	Pruritis ani	343	Fibrocystic disease	451	problems of the nervous
Contact143	Cancer, lung 245	Regional enteritis Etiology unknown	344	Gyneconmastia	452	system54
Neuro- 144 Seborrheic 145	Croup246 Emphysema and chronic	Abdominal pain/cramps	345	Mass, etiology unknown Mastitis, acute	454	PSÝCHIATRIC Neurotic reactions
Stasis	obstructive lung disease247	Anorexia	346	Nodules, nonspecific	.455	Anxiety55
Growths	Funnel chest248	AscitesColic	348	Other breast problems	459	Anxiety
Cancer	Hay fever and other respiratory allergies249	Diarrhea	349	Arthritis		Depression reactive55
Nevus 149	Influenza syndrome250	Dysphagia	350	Gouty	460	involutional55
Sebaceous cyst (wen)	Pleural effusion251	Excessive gas Hiccough	352	Gouty Infectious Osteoarthritis	461	Personality disorder
marts (verrucae vulgaris)151	Pleurisy Acute252	Indigestion	353	Rheumatoid	463	including sociopathic55
Abscess152	Residual253	Nausea	354	Traumatic	464	Phobia55 Psychosomatic55
Carbuncle/furnucle 153	Pneumoconiosis254	Splenomegaly Vomiting	356	Bursitis Elbow	***	
Cellulitis	Pneumonia Bacterial255	Other GI disorders	359	Hip	466	Depression
Fungal156	Viral 256	LIVER AND BILIARY TREE		Knee	467	Schizophrenia55
Herpes simplex157	Pneumothorax	Cirrhosis	360	Shoulder	468	Suicide attempt/gesture56
Herpes zoster	Pulmonary embolism238	Gall bladder disease Cholecystitis	361	Cervical spondylosis Contusion	168	Other conditions Alcoholic problem56
Paronychia160	Pulmonary fibrosis, nonspecific	Stones	362	Distoration		Behavior problem56
aratneie	Pulmonary TBC Active	Hepatitis	363	Shoulder	470	Delusions and
Seborrheic	Inactive	Hepatomegaly, etiology unknown	364	FingerOther	472	hallucinations56 Developmental defect/
soriasis163	Sarcoidosis262	Jaundice, etiology		Diag diagona		retardation51
ityriasis Rosea164		unknown Liver failure	365	Cervical	473	Drug abuse51
ruritis165 lash, nonspecific166	URI (upper respiratory infection—Coryza)222 Etiology unknown	Pancreatitis	367	Lumbar with sciatica	474	Drug addiction56 Enuresis (bed wetting)38
lash, diaper167	Chest pain263	CancerOther problems of the	368	without sciatica	475	Hyperkinesis56
rauma	Cough264	Other problems of the	270	Epicondylitis, elbow	476	Hyperventilation syndrome56
Abrasion/contusion	Dyspnea	liver and biliary tree GENITOURINARY TRACT	3/9	Flat feetFracture	9//	Learning problem56 Sexual problem/deviation56
Foreign body170	Stridor267	Cancer (except prostate)	380	Neck or skull		Smoking problem56
Frostbite171	Other respiratory problems269	Diabetic nephropathy Enuresis (bed wetting)	381	Upper extremity Lower extremity	479	Speech problem57
Hematoma	CARDIAC Angina pectoris275	Glomerulonephritis	382	Other	480	Other psychiatric problems57 BLOOD AND LYMPH
Laceration 174	Arrhythmiae	Impotence	384	Other	482	Anomia
Irticaria and allergic	Atrial fibrillation	Incontinence	385	Low back syndrome	483	Aplastic
dermatoses	Premature ventricular contractions	Kidney stones and/or renal colic	386	Myopathy, nonspecific Osteoporosis	485	ron deficiency
and symptoms1/9	Other arrhythmias278	Nephrotic syndrome	387	Snrain/etrain		Nutritional: (B-12, folate)58
YE lepharitis180	Arteriosclerotic	Prostate BPH or prostatism	200	Ankle	486	Sickle cell
ataract180	Arteriosclerotic heart disease (ASHD) 279 Congestive heart failure Compensated 280 Uncompensated 281	Cancer	388	FingerLow back	48/ 488	Other/unknown cause58 Bleeding/coagulation
onjunctivitis182	Compensated280	Prostatitis	390	Neck	489	defect58
ontusion (eye/orbit)183 orneal abrasion184	Uncompensated	Retention of urine Structural	391	Wrist	490	defect
xophthalmos185	Cyanosis282	Hydro/Spermatocele	392	Other	492	penia5
oreign body186	Cyanosis 283 Dyspnea 265 Edema 284	Phimosis	393	Synovitis Tenosynovitis	493	penia 55 Leukemia/lymphoma 55 Lymphadenopathy/itis 55
laucoma 187 ordeolum (sty) 188	Edema284	Undescended testicles	394	Tenosynovitis	494	Polycythemia59
ritis188	Endocarditis285	Urethral stricture	395	Toe in, Toe out Torticollis	221	Polycythemia
acrimal apparatus	Hypertension Essential286	Uremia (azotemia) Urinary tract infection	397	Tumor, benign or malignant Whiplash injury (no fracture	61	OTHER INFECTIOUS DISEASES
(including tear duct)	Malignant 287 Renovascular 288 Hypertensive heart disease 289	Asymptomatic bacteriuria	398	malignant	496	Chicken Dox (varicella)bi
problem190 defractive error191	Hypertensive heart disease 200	Cystitis Epidydimitis and Orchitis .	399	wniplash injury (no fracture	407	Infectious mononucleosis60
tetinopathy 192 trabismus (squint) 193	Hypotension, postural290	Pyelonephritis		or disc) Etiology unknown	73/	Measles
trabismus (squint)193	Murmur	Pyelonephritis acute	401	Arthralgia (pain in join)	498	Parasites
ither eve problems, signs	Functional	chronic	402	Low back pain with sciatica		Pinworms60
and symptoms199 AR, NOSE, THROAT, NECK	Myocardial infarction293	Vasectomy	Ana	without sciatica	500	Other
ar .	Myocardiopathy/itis294	Venereal disease Gonorrhea		without sciatica Muscle cramps	501	Rubella60
Ceruminosis (earwax)200 Labyrinthitis201	Pericarditis295 Pulmonary edema, acute296	Gonorrhea	405	Muscle pain Swollen joint	502	Rubella
Lewis succession 201	rainiunary euema, acute296	Syphilis	406	Swotten joint	503	Uther infectious disease61

Table 1. Site characteristics of eight New Hampshire home health agencies

•				Home hea	ith agency			
	1	2	3	14.	5	6	7	18
Population served	40,321	34,618	24,000	15,256	20,850	7,692	5,035	1,112
Median annual family income (dollars)	10,092	8,075	9,461	8,180	9,824	9,276	9,200	9,800
Percent of families below poverty level	5.2	9.2	6.8	8.0	6.1	7.9	6.1	5.9
Percent of population over age 65	7.5	5.5	12.4	8.1	10.3	12.5	11.8	10.6
Miles to nearest hospital	1	0	Q	. 1	0	0	9	10
Number of full-time staff equivalents.	12	14	6	3.5	3.5	2	1	1
Number of home visits per 1,000 population	21	20	21	18	8	25	18	49
Number of visits to patients over age 65 per 1,000 population over age 65	156	261	131	203	33	122	69	483
Number of full-time staff equiva- lents per 1,000 population	0.30	0.35	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.26	0.19	0.90

Public agency. All others are voluntary agencies.

Results

Specific characteristics of the selected agencies are shown in table 1. They varied greatly in size. Agencies 1 and 2 are among the largest in the State both in numbers of full-time equivalent staff and population served; agencies 7 and 8 serve the smallest populations with only one full-time equivalent staff person. Six were incorporated as voluntary agencies, and two were municipal entities. For the eight populations served, variations were great in median family income (range of \$8,075–\$10,092), in families below the poverty level (range of 5.2–9.2 percent), and in the proportion of elderly treated

by the staff (range of 33-483 per 1,000 population over 65).

Certain characteristics of patients' visits are illustrated in table 2. Most patients were cared for in the home. The remaining patient encounters occurred in hospitals, at well-baby, family planning, or similar clinics, or at the agency's site. The range of sites where treatment was given attests to (a) the different physical bases from which these agencies operate (that is, whether there are onsite facilities for seeing patients) and (b) their degree of involvement in screening and outreach programs. These fundamental differences indicate the lack of com-

Table 2. Characteristics of patients' visits for eight New Hampshire home health agencies

Ob a second solution	Home health agency										
Characteristic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
Number of visits during sample period	1,048	1,039	715	401	236	202	100	78			
Length of visit (minutes)	45	42	.40	20	33	37	26	38			
Average charge (dollars)	7.80	4.80	7.60	1.80	11.10	5.90	5.00	1.40			
Location of visit (percentages): Agency's office Hospital	0 0 82 18	14 9 67 10	0 20 71 7	33 0 67 0	26 6 67 1	4 0 95 0	1 0 94 4	0 1 71 27			

monality with which the respective communities finance and direct these agencies and are reflected in much of the variation in age, source of payment, diagnosis, and treatment which emerge upon closer inspection of the data in tables 3–6.

The majority of patients served by each agency during the study period were elderly, female, and had chronic illnesses (tables 3 and 4). The source and amount of expected payments for all patient visits were obtained, and averages were computed for the eight

Table 3. Sex and age of patients served by eight New Hampshire home health agencies, in percentages

		Home health agency											
Characteristic —	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Average				
Age (years):													
0–14	32	12	15	8	21	6	16	38	19				
15–44	14	25	10	6	26	12	26	4	15				
45–64	8	14	20	22	21	18	14	3	15				
65 and over	46	49	55	63	34	64	45	56	52				
Sex:							•						
Male	35	29	31	20	30	27	29	33	29				
Female	65	71	69	80	70	73	71	67	71				

Table 4. Reason for visits of patients served by eight New Hampshire home health agencies, in percentages

	Home health agencies									
Reason	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Average	
Disease control	63	62	82	93	64	94	65	54	72.2	
Acute condition	17	6	28	- 5	7	13	7	33	14.5	
Chronic condition	40	55	30	86	56	72	5 7	21	52.2	
Treatment or laboratory test	5	0	20	0	0	2	1	0	3.5	
Postoperative care	1	1	4	2	1	7	0	0	2.0	
Disease prevention	28	26	17	5	36	6	30	45	24.1	
Adult checkup	3	2	0	0	5	0	6	0	2.0	
Well-child visit	13	10	13	5	18	3	13	36	13.9	
Prenatal or postnatal care	5	1	4	0	4	2	8	1	3.1	
Health education	5	3	0	0	8	0	1	8	3.1	
Family counseling	2	10	0	0	1	1	2	0	2.0	
Other	9	12	1 '	1	0	0	5	0	3.7	

Table 5. Expected source of payment for patients' visits to eight New Hampshire home health agencies, in percentages

	Home health agencies										
Source	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	- Average		
Medicaid and welfare	10	12	11	19	19	17	17	4	14		
Medicare	33	15	37	33	7	42	24	12	25		
Blue Cross and Blue Shield	1	2	5	1	2	5	2	0	2		
Other private insurance	0	0	2	0	1	0	, 0	0	<1		
No charge	44	35	30	9	43	9	39	67	35		
Self-payment	8	25	11	37	15	22	8	18	18		
None or other ¹	5	11	2	0	13	4	9	O	6		

Although a charge was customary, no payment was expected or the payment was expected to be from a source not listed.

agencies (table 5). Payments from Medicaid. welfare. and Medicare sources reimbursed the agencies for services, but more than half of the expected payments were from other sources: the patient (self-payment 18 percent) and community subsidies (no charge, 35 percent and none or other, 6 percent). Private insurance companies contributed little to the support of these agencies (Blue Cross and Blue Shield, 2 percent and other private insurance, less than 1 percent). Substantial community subsidy is necessary for a high percentage of visits, with the patients paying for a little more than 20 percent through self payment and private insurance programs, including Blue Cross and Blue Shield. Table 2 shows that the agencies' average charge (range of \$1.40-\$11.10) is low in comparison to the average length of visit (range of 20-45 minutes).

Communities appear to have established different priorities for disease control and disease prevention, as evidenced by the range of reasons for visits displayed in table 4. Grouped as disease control visits were visits for acute conditions, chronic conditions, treatment, or laboratory tests and postoperative care; grouped as disease prevention visits were visits for an adult checkup, well-child visit, prenatal and postnatal care, health education, and family counseling. The averages for the eight agencies showed that 72.2 percent of all visits were for disease control (range of 54–94 percent), 24.1 percent for disease prevention (range of 5–45 percent), and for other reasons 3.7 percent (range of 0–12 percent).

The diagnoses of patients receiving services (table 6) also indicate a pattern of variation across the agencies

studied. Visits of patients with endocrine disorders were a sizable proportion of the workload of two agencies. Among the eight agencies, patients' diagnoses of musculoskeletal problems (primarily provision of physical therapy) ranged from 1 to 25 percent; problems of the nervous system from 2 to 37 percent; and psychiatric or psychosocial problems from 0 for three agencies to 21 percent for one. Since the prevalence of illness would not be expected to differ substantially across New Hampshire, factors other than prevalence of disease account for the variation in services provided by the home health agencies. These eight agencies obviously varied in their approach to the health problems of people in their service areas.

Discussion

The data gathered from these eight home health agencies in New Hampshire reveal a variety of patients served and of reasons for the care provided. These differences cannot be grouped according to any of the site characteristics listed in table 1, but are seemingly manifestations of a more fundamental discrepancy in financing and priorities.

These discrepancies may partly result from the extent to which community physicians refer their patients to these agencies. For example, agency 8, with the highest number of home visits (49 per 1,000 population) is the closest of the eight agencies to a referral and teaching hospital with a discharge planner on its staff. Physicians in such a hospital may be more inclined than those in other hospitals to refer patients to local home health

Table 6. Diagnoses of patients of eight New Hampshire home health agencies, in percentages

				Hon	ne health ag	jencies			
Diagnostic group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Average
General	27	14	15	8	25	6	3	44	18
Endocrine, metabolic	3	6	18	25	1	6	5	1	9
Skin	5	2	0	0	1	0	5	13	2
Eye, ear, nose, throat, neck	2	2	2	0	1	5	2	1	2
Respiratory	4	10	5	9	8	1	2	3	6
Cardiac, peripheral vascular	13	13	10	24	14	21	20	16	15
Abdomen, gastrointestinal, liver Genitourinary tract; genital tract,	6	5	5	9	5	4	4	12	6
female, breast	5	7	8	2	5	2	18	2	7
Musculoskeletal system	12	8	25	8	9	1	22	1	12
Nervous system	10	7	7	5	17	37	2	5	10
Psychiatric problem, psychosocial problem	7	21	5	0	3	0	8	Õ	9
Blood and lymph disorders	5	3	1	7	8	16	3	Ŏ	5
5 most common individual problems or diagnoses:									
Arteriosclerotic heart disease	3	3	5	1	11	4	5	1	4
Diabetes, adult onset	3	6	18	25	1	6	5	1	9
Cerebrovascular accident	8	3	4	4	4	15	1	0	5
Congestive heart failure	1	3	1	1	0	0	1	4	2
No abnormality	26	13	13	7	25	5	Ó	40	17

agencies and to use the discharge planner. Agency 5, with the fewest home visits (8 per 1,000 population) may be underserving its population since agency 4, with the same number of staff, is making more than double the number of home visits (18 per 1,000 population, table 1). In such a situation, policies can be developed to increase the use of home health agency services through more efficient use of manpower and the education of consumers and health care providers to stimulate referrals.

There is no apparent correlation between the percentage of patients over age 65 seen by the agencies and the percentage of the population over 65 in the agencies' service areas noted in table 1. The two public agencies' (4 and 8) appear to be putting more effort into treating the elderly. They averaged 343 visits to patients over 65 per 1,000 population 65 and and older, compared to an average of 129 visits to such patients per 1,000 population 65 and older for the six voluntary agencies. The activities of all agencies appear to mirror local realities rather than reflect a comprehensive service program based upon community and patients' needs.

If the needs of the public are to be more appropriately served, providers and planners should examine the current activities of each agency to promote optimal use of this vital source of community health care. The patient contact record is useful in describing activities of home health agencies as well as the activities of other ambulatory care providers. Data on population characteristics and disease patterns of each community should be reviewed when the agencies' priorities for manpower and

services are budgeted. Such analyses may be further stimulated by the development of health systems agencies in conformity with Public Law 93–641, the National Health Planning and Resources Development Act of 1974

References

- National Center for Health Statistics: Health characteristics by geographic region, large metropolitan areas, and other places of residence, United States, July 1963-June 1965. Series 10, No. 36, PHS Publication No. 1000, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1967.
- National Center for Health Statistics: Health characteristics by geographic region, large metropolitan areas, and other places of residence, United States, 1969-70. Series 10, No. 86, DHEW Publication No. (HRA) 74-1513, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washingon, D.C., January 1974.
- Scutchfield, F. D., and Freeborn, D. K.: Estimation of need, utilization, and costs of personal care homes and home health services. HSMHA Health Rep 86: 372-376, April 1971.
- Stone, J. R., Patterson, E., and Felson, L.: The effectiveness of home health care for general hospital patients.
 JAMA 205: 95-98, July 15, 1968.
- Roth, M. E., Ehringer, R. F., and Mosher, W. E.: The value of coordinated and comprehensive home care. Am J Public Health 57: 1841-1847, October 1967.
- 6. The utilization of manpower in ambulatory care. Development of a study methodology. Report of a cooperative study. Supported by Special Programs, Bureau of Health Resources Development, Health Resources Administration, Public Health Service. Undated.

SYNOPSIS

HALE, FRANK A. (Dartmouth Medical School), and JACOBS, ARTHUR R.: Home health services in New Hampshire. Public Health Reports, Vol. 91, November-December, 1976, pp. 545-551.

While home health services have traditionally been an underused component of the health care system, current trends suggest the desirability of expanding these services. These trends include an increase in the number of elderly who need the benefits of home care, the recognition that long-term chronic illnesses require appropriate management at home, and concern that patients have access to care at the level most appropriate to their illnesses.

In New Hampshire, 41 certified home health agencies offer services. Little systematic research has been

conducted on the kinds of services they provide and the patients seen by their staffs. Patient encounter data were collected from a sample of eight agencies for a 4-week period. Staff of the agencies used the patient contact record developed by the National Functional Task Analysis Cooperative Study to collect data. The data reflected differences among the agencies in the size of the populations they serve, organizational characteristics, reasons for patients' visits, expected sources of the revenue that supported them, and the diagnoses of the patients they cared for.

The agencies served areas with populations ranging from 1,000 to 40,000. The staffs ranged from 1 to 14 full-time persons. Two were public agencies; the others had voluntary sponsorship. When data on rea-

sons for visits were averaged for the eight agencies, it was shown that 72 percent of the visits were made for disease control activities such as care for a chronic or acute condition or for treatment or a laboratory test. Disease prevention activities such as a checkup for adults, children, prenatal or postnatal care, or health education accounted for only 24 percent of the visits. This result may indicate that, in areas short of physician manpower, the community health nurse is taking on increasing responsibility for medical care as well as health education.

Reimbursement for the visits came from Medicare, 25 percent; Medicaidwelfare, 14 percent; the patients, 18 percent; and health insurance, 3 percent. For 35 percent of the visits there was no charge; they were underwritten by community resources.