Considerations for Pneumococcal 13-valent Conjugate and 23-valent Polysaccharide Vaccine Use among Adults

Tamara Pilishvili, MPH Respiratory Diseases Branch, National Center for Immunizations and Respiratory Diseases

Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices Additional Meeting on Use of Pneumococcal Vaccines in Adults August 13,2014

> National Center for Immunization & Respiratory Diseases Respiratory Diseases Branch



Outline

- Summary of evidence supporting routine PCV13 use among adults <u>>65 years of age and GRADE conclusions</u>
- Expected public health impact and cost-effectiveness
- Sequential use of PCV13 and PPSV23: intervals and rationale
- Indirect effects and long-term utility of routine PCV13 use among adults
- Proposed language

Summary of evidence supporting PCV13 use among adults >65 years of age

Prevents IPD and non-bacteremic pneumonia¹

- 75% reduction in vaccine type IPD
- 45% reduction in vaccine type non-bacteremic pneumonia
- Immune response non-inferior or improved (for some serotypes) for PCV13 (or PCV7) vs. PPSV23^{2,3}
- Safety demonstrated in clinical trials
- GRADE evaluation demonstrated strong quality evidence (type 2)

¹CAPITA, June 2014 ACIP ²Phase III trials, Pfizer, ACIP 2011, 2012 ²DeRoux et al. CID 2008, Goldblatt et al 2009

Summary of evidence supporting PCV13 use among adults <u>>65 years of age</u>

- Vaccine preventable disease burden remaining among adults <u>>65 years</u>
 - Estimated 2,600 PCV13 type IPD cases in 2013¹
 - Over 50,000 PCV13-type inpatient CAP²
- In the short-term, PCV13 likely provides adequate coverage of disease causing serotypes
 - 20-25% IPD due to PCV13 types¹
 - ~10% of all CAP due to PCV13 types²

¹Active Bacterial Core Surveillance, 2013

² Estimate based on studies using serotype-specific urine antigen test, Pfizer

Expected public health impact and costeffectiveness

Various strategies considered and evaluated for expected public health impact and cost-effectiveness

- Vaccination at ages 50, 60, and 65 years
- PCV13 instead of PPSV23
- PCV13 in sequence with PPSV23

Adding PCV13 at age 65 years to existing PPSV23 recommendations likely the optimal strategy

- Health benefits for all outcomes
- Cost-effectiveness comparable to other adult interventions accepted as cost-effective (base case)

Expected public health impact of adding PCV13 at age 65 years to existing PPSV23 recommendations (base case)

Health Outcomes	Change in outcome compared to existing PPSV23 recommendation
IPD	-226
Inpatient NBP	-4,961
Outpatient NBP	-7,252
Deaths (IPD)	-33
Deaths (NBP)	-332
QALYs	3,053
Life-years	4,627

Cost-effectiveness of adding PCV13 at age 65 years to existing PPSV23 recommendations (base case)

Outcomes	Change in outcome compared to existing PPSV23 recommendation
Total Cost (Millions)	\$189
Medical (Millions)	-\$132
Vaccine total cost (Millions)	\$321
Cost/QALY gained	\$62,065
Cost/Life-year gained	\$40,949

Stoecker, ACIP June 2014

Suggestions for sensitivity analysis

- Shorter duration of protection for PCV13 against IPD and non-bacteremic pneumonia
- PPSV23 efficacy against non-bacteremic pneumonia
- CMSprice for vaccine doses

Sensitivity analysis: waning of PCV13 protection

PCV13 waning of protection against IPD and NBP:

- No change during 5 years post-vaccination (CAPiTA)
- Linear decline to 0% efficacy over 20 years



Sensitivity analysis: waning of PCV13 protection

Strategy: Adding PCV13 to the current schedule at age 65 vs. current strategy	June 2014 (base case)	PCV13 waning to VE0% over 20 years	
Change in Health Outcomes			
IPD	-226	-173	
Hospitalized non-bacteremic pneumonia	-4,961	-4,687	
Non-hospitalized non-bacteremic pneumonia	-7,252	-6,850	
Deaths due to IPD	-33	-25	
Deaths due to non-bacteremic pneumonia	-332	-314	
Discounted QALYs	3,053	3,014	
Discounted Life-years	4,627	4,526	
Total Cost (Millions)	\$189	\$198	
Medical	-\$132	-\$123	
Vaccine total cost	\$321	\$321	
Cost Ratios			
Cost/QALY gained	62,065	65,681	
Cost/Life-year gained	40,949	43,736	

Sensitivity analysis: PPSV23 VEvs. NBP

PPSV23 VE against non-bacteremic pneumonia

- Efficacy of 73% in meta-analysis based on studies using previous formulation of PPSV¹; the only PPSV23 study showed no effect²
- No efficacy in another meta-analysis³
- Recent studies reported VE 64% in RCT among long-term care residents⁴ and VE 48% in a large cohort study⁵
 - Limited generalizability
 - Methodological concerns (e.g. % bacteremic, analytic methods)
- Evaluated 45% VE for PPSV23 vs NBP in sensitivity analysis

⁴Muruyama et al 2010

Sensitivity analysis: PPSV23 VEvs. NBP

Strategy: Adding PCV13 to the current schedule at age 65 vs. current strategy	June 2014 (base case)	PPSV23 VE against NBP = 45%
Change in Health Outcomes		
IPD	-226	-226
Hospitalized non-bacteremic pneumonia	-4,961	-1,547
Non-hospitalized non-bacteremic pneumonia	-7,252	-2,261
Deaths due to IPD	-33	-33
Deaths due to non-bacteremic pneumonia	-332	-104
Discounted QALYs	3,053	892
Discounted Life-years	4,627	1,449
Total Cost (Millions)	\$189	\$276
Medical	-\$132	-\$46
Vaccine total cost	\$321	\$321
Cost Ratios		
Cost/QALY gained	62,065	309,211
Cost/Life-year gained	40,949	190,286

Sensitivity analysis: vaccine costs

CMS prices instead of CDC contract price

Vaccine	CDC Cost/ Dose	CMSprice (95%AWP)**
PPSV23	\$44.50	\$78.00
PCV13	\$89.75	\$154.00

- Analysis done from societal perspective
- Costs intended to reflect value of resources used to produce intervention
 - CMS figure represents reimbursements, not likely resource value
- Applied CMS price in sensitivity analysis only

	CDC Cost/ Dose (base case)	CMSprice (95%AWP)** (sensitivity analysis)
Cost/QALY	62,065	110,284
Cost/Life-year saved	40,949	72,763

*PRIVATE SECTOR PRICES ARE THOSE REPORTED BY VACCINE MANUFACTURERS ANNUALLY TO CDC

**95% OF AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE

Summary of evidence: Sequence and intervals for PCV13 and PPSV23 use

- Immune response improved when PCV13 given as the first dose ^{1,2}
- No studies designed to evaluate the length for an optimal interval between PCV13 and PPSV23
- □ Intervals of 2, 6, or 12 months, and 3-4 years^{1,2,3,4}
 - Improved immune response compared to baseline for all
 - Non-inferior response post-PCV/PPSV23 vs. post-PCV alone
 - Comparisons across studies difficult to make
- One study with direct comparison of intervals⁴
 - Immune response equivalent between 2 months vs. 6 months
 - Increased reactogenicity with a 2 month interval

Considerations for selection of intervals

- Immune response and safety
- Risk window for PPSV23-only serotypes
- Practical aspects (timing for the next visit)
- Programmatic (quality measures, immunization systems)

WG Conclusions: Sequence and intervals for PCV13 and PPSV23 use

PCV13 should be given first when possible Interval between PCV13 followed by PPSV23: 6-12 months Interval for PCV13 when given post-PPSV23: \geq 1 year Include flexibility in the guidance if doses cannot be administered within the recommended window: If a second dose cannot be given during this time

window, a dose can be given later during the next visit

Indirect Effects and Long-term Utility of PCV13

- Indirect effects of PCV7 introduction on PCV7-type IPD and pneumonia among adults of all age groups
- Indirect effects of PCV13 program have further reduced the proportion of adult IPD caused by PCV13 types and pneumonia disease burden
 - Additional reductions likely in the next 3-5 years (if similar to post-PCV7 experience)
 - The largest impact may have already been observed due to rapid PCV13 uptake

Key point: The expected benefits of PCV13 use among adults will likely decline over time

Estimating cases potentially <u>preventable</u> annually among adults 65 years or older

Outcome (PCV13 type)	2015 20% reduction due to herd effects* PCV13 direct effects** Coverage 10% (5%-30%)	 2019 86% reduction due to herd effects* PCV13 direct effects** Coverage 30% (20%-60%)
IPD	160 (80-480)	80 (50-170)
Inpatient CAP	2,030 (1,020-6,090)	1,070 (700 -2,130)
Outpatient CAP	2,970 (1,480-8,900)	1,560 (1,040 – 3,120)
Total CAP	5,000 (2,500-14,990)	2,630 (1,740 - 5,250)

*Based on post-PCV7 reductions observed between 2003 and 2009 **Assume PCV13 VE=75% (IPD) and 45% (CAP)

PCV13 for adults <u>>65 years of age:</u> Work Group Conclusions

- In the short-term, a recommendation for universal PCV13 use is warranted
- In the long-term, continued herd effects may limit the utility of a universal recommendation
 - The magnitude of indirect effects unknown
 - Uncertainty around the burden of vaccine preventable non-bacteremic pneumonia
- Opportunity to prevent disease during the 2014-2015 respiratory season

ACIP WG Proposal for Pneumococcal Vaccine Use among Adults



National Center for Immunization & Respiratory Diseases Respiratory Diseases Branch

Categories of adults >65 years old to consider



Adults \geq 65 years of age with no previous pneumococcal vaccine (PCV13 or PPSV23)

Proposed language:

Adults 65 years of age or older who have not previously received pneumococcal vaccine or whose previous vaccination history is unknown should receive a dose of PCV13 <u>first</u>, followed by a dose of PPSV23 Adults \geq 65 years of age with no previous pneumococcal vaccine (PCV13 or PPSV23)

Proposed guidance on intervals for sequential use: A dose of PPSV23 should be given 6 to 12 months following a dose of PCV13. If PPSV23 can not be given during this time window, a dose of PPSV23 should be given during the next visit. The two vaccines should not be co-administered.

PCV13 (@65 years or later) + PPSV23 6-12 months

PCV13-naïve adults \geq 65 years of age previously vaccinated with PPSV23

Proposed language:

Adults 65 years of age or older who have not previously received PCV13 and who have previously received one or more doses of PPSV23 should receive a dose of PCV13.

PCV13-naïve adults \geq 65 years of age previously vaccinated with PPSV23

Proposed guidance on intervals for sequential use : A dose of PCV13 should be given at least 1 year after the receipt of the most recent PPSV23 dose. For those for whom an additional dose of PPSV23 is indicated, such dose should be given 6 to 12 months after PCV13 and at least 5 years since the most recent dose of PPSV23



Proposed language:

The recommendations for routine PCV13 use among adults <a>>65 years old* should be re-evaluated in 2018 and revised as needed

*if approved by ACIP and CDC Director

- Monitoring of the impact of the new recommendation in the target population of adults <u>>65 years old</u>
 - Vaccine uptake of PCV13 and PPSV23
 - PCV13-type IPD burden and serotype distribution for IPD cases
 - PCV13-type and all CAP burden
- Continued monitoring of disease trends among PCV13-naïve adults is needed to evaluate the impact of herd effects and the long-term utility of routine PCV13 use among adults
 - PCV13-type IPD burden and serotype distribution for IPD cases among unvaccinated
 - Changes in PCV13-type CAP burden among individuals younger than 65 years

*if approved by ACIP and CDC Director

- ACIP should be routinely updated on the changes in vaccinepreventable disease burden among adults due to PCV13 direct and indirect effects during the next 3 years
- These data should inform revisions as needed to the proposed adult PCV13 recommendations in 2018
 - Declining burden of PCV13-type disease among adults <65 years old due to indirect effect of vaccinating children may signal that PCV13 is no longer needed
 - Revised cost effectiveness evaluation incorporating changes in disease burden, uptake, and the cost of the vaccines will help align this recommendation with other adult vaccines in use

ACIP vote

Adults \geq 65 years of age with no previous pneumococcal vaccine (PCV13 or PPSV23)

Proposed language:

Adults 65 years of age or older who have not previously received pneumococcal vaccine or whose previous vaccination history is unknown should receive a dose of PCV13 <u>first</u>, followed by a dose of PPSV23

PCV13-naïve adults \geq 65 years of age previously vaccinated with PPSV23

Proposed language:

Adults 65 years of age or older who have not previously received PCV13 and who have previously received one or more doses of PPSV23 should receive a dose of PCV13.

Proposed language:

The recommendations for routine PCV13 use among adults <a>>65 years old* should be re-evaluated in 2018 and revised as needed

*if approved by ACIP and CDC Director