

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Foodborne Pathog Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 October 01.

Published in final edited form as: Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2018 October ; 15(10): 612–620. doi:10.1089/fpd.2018.2450.

## Salmonella enterica serotype Newport infections in the United States, 2004–2013: increased incidence investigated through four surveillance systems

Stacy M. Crim<sup>1</sup>, Shua J. Chai<sup>1</sup>, Beth E. Karp<sup>1</sup>, Michael C. Judd<sup>1</sup>, Jared Reynolds<sup>1</sup>, Krista C. Swanson<sup>1</sup>, Amie Nisler<sup>1</sup>, Andre McCullough<sup>2</sup>, and L. Hannah Gould<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch, Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

<sup>2</sup>IHRC Inc., Atlanta, Georgia, USA

## Abstract

Newport is the third most common Salmonella enterica serotype identified among the estimated 1.2 million human salmonellosis infections occurring annually in the United States. Risk factors for infection and food items implicated in outbreaks vary by antimicrobial resistance pattern. We conducted a descriptive analysis of data from four enteric disease surveillance systems capturing information on incidence, demographics, seasonality, geographic distribution, outbreaks, and antimicrobial resistance of Newport infections over a 10-year period from 2004 through 2013. Incidence increased through 2010, then declined to rates similar to those in the early years of the study. Incidence was highest in the South and among children <5 years old. Among isolates submitted for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 88% were susceptible to all antimicrobials tested (pansusceptible) and 8% were resistant to at least seven agents, including ceftriaxone. Rates of pansusceptible isolates were also highest in the South and among young children, particularly in 2010. Pansusceptible strains of Newport have been associated with produce items and environmental sources, such as creek water and sediment. However, the role of environmental transmission of Newport in human illness is unclear. Efforts to reduce produce contamination through targeted legislation and collaborative efforts to identify sources of contamination in agricultural regions are underway.

## INTRODUCTION

In the United States, an estimated 1.2 million people are infected with nontyphoidal *Salmonella* annually (Scallan et al., 2011). *Salmonella enterica* serotype Newport (referred to throughout as "Newport") is the third most common *Salmonella* serotype causing human infections in the United States, representing approximately 8% of infections reported in 2013 (CDC, 2016a).

Address correspondence to: Stacy M. Crim, Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch, Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS C-09, Atlanta, GA 30329-4018, SCrim@CDC.gov, Tel: 404-639-2257, Fax: 404-639-2205. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

No competing financial interests exist.

Risk factors for Newport vary by antimicrobial susceptibility. For infections with susceptible strains, risk factors include young age, black race, having reptiles or amphibians in the home, international travel, and medical history of stomach ulcers (Varma et al., 2006). For multidrug-resistant strains, recent antimicrobial use and consumption of uncooked ground beef or runny eggs have been associated with infection (Varma et al., 2006).

Newport is diverse in terms of foods implicated in outbreak investigations (Jackson et al., 2013). Tomatoes and ground beef are frequent causes of outbreaks; others include sprouts and other produce, unpasteurized cheese, poultry products, and live poultry exposure (CDC, 2008; 2016b; Jackson et al., 2013). Outbreaks of resistant Newport are frequently associated with products of animal origin, whereas produce-associated outbreaks are often due to susceptible strains (Greene et al., 2008a).

We conducted a descriptive analysis of Newport data from four distinct, but complementary, enteric disease surveillance systems over a 10-year period during 2004–2013. We describe recent patterns in Newport epidemiology, including changes in incidence and antimicrobial resistance.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet)

FoodNet is an active, population-based surveillance system conducted in 10 sites, representing approximately 15% of the US population (Scallan, 2007). We used FoodNet data to calculate annual incidence of Newport during 2004–2013, overall and by selected demographics. Incidence per 100,000 population over the 10-year period is presented as the mean of the annual rates, with 95% confidence intervals. We also used FoodNet data to calculate percentages of patients who were hospitalized, died, traveled internationally in the 7 days before illness onset, and were part of a recognized outbreak.

We assessed changes in incidence overall and by age group using the standard FoodNet model, a main-effects negative binomial regression model accounting for changes in population in the surveillance area and site-to-site variation in incidence (Henao et al., 2010). We compared incidence of infections reported to FoodNet each year from 2007 through 2013 with a reference period of 2004–2006.

#### Laboratory-based Enteric Disease Surveillance (LEDS)

LEDS is a national, passive surveillance system capturing data on laboratory-confirmed *Salmonella* infections from public health laboratories in all US states and the District of Columbia (CDC, 2011). We used LEDS data to calculate mean annual incidence by state, and regional incidence by month and US census region (United States Census Bureau, 2017). Because serotype reporting for *Salmonella* in LEDS varied substantially by state and year, we calculated the percentage of fully serotyped isolates by state and year, excluding those states for which the mean percentage serotype across the 2004–2013 study period was <80% (eight states and the District of Columbia).

#### National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS)

NARMS at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is responsible for monitoring prevalence and trends in antimicrobial resistance among enteric bacteria isolated from humans in all US states (CDC, 2015a). We used NARMS data to describe resistance in Newport isolates. Isolate collection and antimicrobial susceptibility testing have been described previously (CDC, 2015a; Medalla et al., 2013). Briefly, for routine surveillance, public health laboratories are asked to submit every twentieth nontyphoidal Salmonella isolate to CDC for susceptibility testing. NARMS additionally conducts enhanced sampling of outbreak isolates (Brown et al., 2017); except where specified, we used only routine surveillance isolates. We limited data to isolates that were either 1) susceptible to all tested antimicrobials (pansusceptible) or 2) resistant to (at minimum) all of the following: ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfisoxazole, tetracycline, amoxicillinclavulanic acid, and ceftriaxone (ACSSuTAuCx), an important resistance pattern that emerged among Newport isolates in the late 1990s (Medalla et al., 2013). Together with pansusceptible isolates, these account for >95% of Newport surveillance isolates tested by NARMS (CDC, 2015a). We stratified by resistance pattern to describe mean age, and calculated percentages and annual rates of susceptible and resistant isolates, by age group (<5 and 5 years old), census region, and month. Rates were calculated as the number of isolates submitted to NARMS per 1,000,000 population and were not adjusted to account for the 1-in-20 sampling scheme.

#### National Outbreak Reporting System (NORS)

NORS is a web-based platform for outbreak reporting by state, local, and territorial health departments (Hall et al., 2013). We used information on Newport outbreaks (2 cases epidemiologically linked to a common exposure) to describe the number of cases, number of affected states, transmission mode (e.g., foodborne, person-to-person, animal contact; collected systematically since 2009), and for foodborne outbreaks, the implicated food. Implicated foods were categorized into one of 24 single-food categories (CDC, 2015b). We examined the number of outbreaks with confirmed etiology reported during 2004–2013 and calculated the percentage of outbreaks by region. We examined antimicrobial resistance for the subset of outbreaks attributed to a single implicated food category with isolates tested by NARMS through enhanced outbreak sampling (Brown et al., 2017).

## RESULTS

#### FoodNet

During 2004–2013, FoodNet recorded 7,630 cases of laboratory-confirmed Newport, a mean annual incidence of 1.6 cases per 100,000 population (Table 1). Incidence ranged from 1.2/100,000 in 2006 to 2.3 in 2010. Incidence was highest among children <5 years old (7.6/100,000, 95% confidence interval (CI) 6.5–8.6). Among 6,414 (84%) cases with complete race information, incidence was highest among whites (1.5/100,000, 95% CI 1.3–1.7) and lowest in multiracial persons (0.5, 95% CI 0.3–0.8) and Asians (0.6, 95% CI 0.5–0.7). Among 7,311 (96%) cases with known hospitalization status and 7,132 (93%) with known vital status, 2,243 (31%) were hospitalized and 22 (<1%) died. Four percent of cases

were associated with a recognized outbreak and 3% traveled internationally in the 7 days before illness onset.

Compared with 2004–2006, incidence was significantly higher in 2007 and 2010–2012; the largest increase was 58% (95% CI 35–85%) in 2010 (Figure 1). Stratified analyses showed that, compared with 2004–2006, incidence in children <5 years old was significantly higher beginning in 2009, with the largest increase in 2010 (70%, 95% CI 45–100%). Among 5–19 year olds, incidence was significantly higher for each year except 2008 and 2013, with increases ranging from 41% (95% CI 7–86%) in 2007 to 89% (95% CI 48–141%) in 2010. Incidence among adults was significantly different only during 2010–2012. Increases ranged from 34–45% in adults 20–49 years old and 48–94% among those 50 years old.

## LEDS

Among the 42 states for which mean annual percentages of *Salmonella* isolates serotyped were 80%, incidence of Newport was highest in states in the South census region. The highest mean incidences were in Arkansas (5.9/100,000 population, 95% CI 5.0–6.8), Mississippi (4.6, 95% CI 3.9–5.4), and Oklahoma (4.0, 95% CI 3.4–4.7, Figure 2).

Incidence was highest in late summer, with the highest incidence in August (Figure 3). From January–April, incidence was similar for all regions. Incidence was higher in the South from May–December, and in August, incidence was 0.6/100,000 population in the South compared with <0.2 in other regions.

#### NARMS

During 2004–2013, 2,395 Newport isolates were tested by NARMS. Of these, 2,103 (88%) were pansusceptible, 197 (8%) resistant to at least ACSSuTAuCx, and 95 (4%) fit neither category and were excluded from further analyses. The mean annual rate (not corrected for sampling; see methods) of pansusceptible isolates was 0.69/1,000,000 (95% CI 0.60–0.77) population and 0.07 (95% CI 0.05–0.08) for ACSSuTAuCx-resistant isolates.

Among the 2,300 isolates included, 2,166 (94%) had recorded patient age. Mean age was 30.6 years old (95% CI 29.3–31.8) for patients with pansusceptible Newport and 36.4 (95% CI 32.8–40.1) for resistant Newport. Stratified by age and resistance, the highest mean annual rate was for pansusceptible isolates in children <5 years old at 3.06/1,000,000 population (95% CI 2.66–3.46); rates ranged from 2.36 in 2005 to 3.86 in 2010 (Figure 4a). The mean annual rate of pansusceptible isolates in persons 5 years old was 0.48/1,000,000 (95% CI 0.41–0.55); annual rates ranged from 0.36 in 2004 to 0.65 in 2010. Rates of ACSSuTAuCx-resistant isolates were low and largely stable for both age groups. Pansusceptible isolates from children <5 accounted for 28% of isolates and pansusceptible isolates from persons 5, ACSSuTAuCx resistance declined from 13% in 2004 to 5% in 2013.

The mean annual rate of pansusceptible isolates was highest in the South, at 1.24/1,000,000 (95%CI 1.05–1.43, Figure 4c). Rates of pansusceptible isolates in other regions were similar to each other (Midwest: 0.38 [95%CI 0.29–0.46], Northeast: 0.39 [95%CI 0.34–0.44], and West: 0.33 [95%CI 0.27–0.39]). Conversely, the mean rate of ACSSuTAuCx-resistant

isolates was lowest in the South (0.02/1,000,000, 95% CI 0.01-0.04); the rate was highest in the West (0.13, 95% CI 0.08-0.17), followed by the Midwest (0.08, 95% CI 0.05-0.11) and Northeast (0.06, 95% CI 0.03-0.09).

Pansusceptible isolates were more common in late summer (52% submitted during July– September) and less common in winter (7% during January–March, Figure 4d). The seasonal pattern for ACSSuTAuCx-resistant isolates was more diffuse, with 30% of isolates submitted during July–September and 19% during January–March.

## NORS

During 2004–2013, 123 outbreaks of Newport were reported (range 4–21 per year, mean 12, Figure 5). Size ranged from 2–209 persons ill (mean 24). Eighteen outbreaks (15%) were multistate; these had a mean of 60 persons ill per outbreak (95%CI 32-88) compared with 18 for single-state outbreaks (95%CI 13-24). Among single-state outbreaks, the total number of outbreaks by region was similar, with the Midwest accounting for 29%, the South for 28%, and the Northeast and the West for 22% each. Most (81%) outbreaks were attributed to contaminated food; three (2%) were person-to-person and 20 (16%) had an undetermined transmission mode. Among 100 foodborne outbreaks, 36 (36%) were attributed to a specific food category, consisting of seeded vegetables (12 outbreaks), beef (6), fruits (5), pork (3), turkey (2), chicken (2), sprouts (2), vegetable row crops (2), dairy (1), and root/underground vegetables (1). Among seeded vegetable-associated outbreaks, 10 of 12 were attributed to tomatoes. Of the subset of outbreaks with resistance information and a single implicated food category, there were 10 pansusceptible outbreaks: four associated with seeded vegetables (tomatoes), two with fruit, and one each with vegetable row crops, pork, beef, and turkey. There were three outbreaks of ACSSuTAuCx-resistant infections: all due to beef and additionally resistant to ceftiofur (Cf) and cefoxitin (Fox). Two additional outbreaks of resistant infections were identified: pattern ACSTAuCxCfFox associated with pasteurized milk and pattern AAuCxCfFox associated with tomatoes.

## DISCUSSION

Our comprehensive analysis of four surveillance systems highlights key regional and demographic features of Newport infections in the United States. These findings provide a broad picture of the epidemiology of this important *Salmonella* serotype and highlight the value of analyzing multiple surveillance systems in concert.

Since the 1980s, there have been three notable periods of increasing and then decreasing incidence of Newport infections (CDC, 2016a), each roughly temporally associated with a different pattern of antimicrobial resistance. The first increase began with a multidrug-resistant Newport outbreak in 1985 traced to dairy farms, which included resistance to chloramphenicol (Spika et al., 1987). This was an uncommon finding at that time and was associated with use of chloramphenicol in dairy cows on those farms. The outbreak strain was subsequently found to be common on California dairies throughout the late 1980s (Berge et al., 2004). The second increase corresponded with emergence of ACSSuTAuCx-resistant Newport hypothesized to be of a different genetic lineage than earlier resistant strains, despite also being found on dairy farms and in dairy cattle (Berge et al., 2004; Gupta

et al., 2003). Human and cattle Newport isolates with this resistance pattern were first seen in NARMS in 1998 (FDA, 2011b). The proportion of NARMS Newport isolates with ACSSuTAuCx resistance increased during 1999–2001, peaking at 25% and remaining above 20% through 2003 (CDC, 2015a). National incidence was also high around this time, with a peak in 2002 and lower incidence in subsequent years (CDC, 2016a).

Our study period encompasses a third wave of increasing and subsequently decreasing incidence, consisting primarily of pansusceptible Newport infections. Incidence in FoodNet peaked in 2010, as did the number outbreaks reported to NORS and the rate of pansusceptible Newport isolates identified in NARMS. The reasons for these increases are unclear and do not reflect a single known outbreak or event.

The highest incidence was in the South census region, and most NARMS isolates submitted from the South were pansusceptible. Pansusceptible Newport has been associated with produce items and environmental sources (Greene et al., 2008a; Patchanee et al., 2010), and pansusceptibility has been theorized to be a marker for environmental and possibly produceassociated Newport strains (Jackson et al., 2013). Seven of 10 pansusceptible outbreaks in NORS were produce-associated; four implicated tomatoes. Recurrent outbreaks associated with a single pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) pattern have been linked with tomatoes and cucumbers grown on the eastern shore of Virginia on the Delmarva Peninsula (Greene et al., 2008a; Angelo et al., 2015; Bell et al., 2015). Newport isolates with this PFGE pattern were found in creek water, sediment, and goose feces in the eastern shore agricultural area over two concurrent growing seasons, suggesting persistent environmental contamination (Bell et al., 2015). Fecal sampling of wildlife in the area found Newport with this PFGE pattern in gull feces (Gruszynski et al., 2014a; Gruszynski et al., 2014b). The presence of reptiles and amphibians in the outdoor environment has also been posited as a driver of serotypes that are largely confined to the South, show sharp summer seasonality, and occur predominantly in young children (Srikantiah et al., 2004). Although Newport is not confined to the South, 60% of NARMS isolates included in this study were pansusceptible isolates from the South. The rate of pansusceptible isolates in the South was three times that of the rest of the country.

In contrast, rates of ACSSuTAuCx-resistant Newport were lowest in the South. ACSSuTAuCx-resistant Newport has frequently been associated with dairy cattle, and their milk and beef products (Gupta et al., 2003; Karon et al., 2007). Likewise, beef was implicated in all three known ACSSuTAuCx-resistant Newport outbreaks in NORS. We found the highest rates of ACSSuTAuCx-resistant Newport in the West and Midwest census regions; these regions contain the country's top two milk-producing states with the highest absolute number of dairy cattle, California and Wisconsin (USDA, 2016). An earlier ecological analysis showed regions with higher dairy cattle density have greater proportions of resistant infections than those with lower density (Greene et al., 2008b). The decline in ACSSuTAuCx resistance since the early 2000s is encouraging. However, as ACSSuTAuCx resistance declined in Newport, it increased in Dublin (FDA, 2016), another cattleassociated *Salmonella* serotype.

Our finding that the highest incidence occurred in children <5 years old is consistent both with high rates of salmonellosis observed in young children in general and with previous studies that found Newport to be especially common among young children (CDC, 2012; Cheng et al., 2013; Schutze et al., 1998). Moreover, our study corroborates the findings by Greene et al. (Greene et al., 2008b) that infections in young children are almost exclusively pansusceptible. Young children are likely to have different exposures than adults and may be at increased risk for salmonellosis acquired through nonfoodborne routes because of their higher frequency of crawling, outdoor play, and animal exposures (Sockett and Rodgers, 2001).

Surveillance for enteric diseases in the United States relies on multiple specialized systems, collecting separate sets of data in overlapping populations. FoodNet's active surveillance platform provides the most complete case ascertainment and its established methodology for estimating changes in incidence is used to monitor trends and burden in foodborne disease in the United States. Although NARMS isolates comprise a 1-in-20 sample of Salmonella, this system is used to track antimicrobial resistance in foodborne and other enteric bacteria nationally. LEDS and NORS provide passively reported nationwide data for which completeness and representativeness are less well understood. However, the national scope of LEDS and ability of NORS to attribute outbreaks to specific foods provide insights not available elsewhere. Because each system answers different questions and has known biases or limitations that limit their use singly, this combined analysis across all four systems provides a more complete picture than any single system could. Although a direct comparison among systems was not done systematically given differences in data collection and populations under surveillance, parallel increases in and around 2010 validate findings across systems. Data inconsistencies between systems were minor and primarily in the form of slightly different year-to-year patterns. As such, we presented results from the most representative system for each attribute.

FoodNet's race, ethnicity, and international travel data should be interpreted cautiously, because of missing data heavily concentrated in the earlier part of our study period. Additionally, several states were excluded from LEDS-based analyses because of low percentages of serotyped *Salmonella* isolates, including the large Southern states of Florida and Texas. How their inclusion might have impacted regional analyses is unclear. Furthermore, the decision to exclude states with fewer than 80% of isolates serotyped was arbitrary. Although most states had either 90% or <50% serotyped, five states (South Carolina, Ohio, Kentucky, Vermont, and the District of Columbia) were between these extremes.

## CONCLUSIONS

The factors driving the increase and subsequent decrease in rates of pansusceptible Newport remain unknown. Despite numerous environmental signals, the exact mechanisms by which persons, especially young children, become infected are not fully understood. Efforts are being made to address produce contamination through the Delmarva Produce Safety Task Force and the recently finalized Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption rule of the Food Safety Modernization Act

(Gensheimer and Mitchell, 2015; FDA, 2011a). Further elucidation of specific sources of produce contamination and other sources of human infection would allow for implementation of control measures to prevent further illness, including targeted interventions to prevent illness in young children.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Daniel Dewey-Mattia (Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA) for his valuable contribution to this manuscript. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

## REFERENCES

- Angelo KM, Chu A, Anand M, Nguyen TA, Bottichio L, Wise M, Williams I, Seelman S, Bell R, Fatica M, Lance S, Baldwin D, Shannon K, Lee H, Trees E, Strain E, and Gieraltowski L. Outbreak of *Salmonella* Newport infections linked to cucumbers--United States, 2014. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2015;64:144–7. [PubMed: 25695319]
- Bell RL, Zheng J, Burrows E, Allard S, Wang CY, Keys CE, Melka DC, Strain E, Luo Y, Allard MW, Rideout S, and Brown EW. Ecological prevalence, genetic diversity, and epidemiological aspects of *Salmonella* isolated from tomato agricultural regions of the Virginia Eastern Shore. Front Microbiol 2015;6:415. [PubMed: 25999938]
- Berge ACB, Adaska JM, and Sischo WM. Use of antibiotic susceptibility patterns and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis to compare historic and contemporary isolates of multi-drug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Newport. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:318–23. [PubMed: 14711658]
- Brown AC, Grass JE, Richardson LC, Nisler AL, Bicknese AS, and Gould LH. Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* that caused foodborne disease outbreaks: United States, 2003-2012. Epidemiol Infect 2017;145:766–774. [PubMed: 27919296]
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant Salmonella enterica serotype Newport infections associated with consumption of unpasteurized Mexican-style aged cheese--Illinois, March 2006-April 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2008;57:432–5. [PubMed: 18437118]
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National *Salmonella* surveillance overview. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2011.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet): FoodNet Surveillance Report for 2011 (Final Report). Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2012.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System for Enteric Bacteria (NARMS): Human isolates final report, 2013. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2015a.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Surveillance for Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, United States, 2013, Annual Report. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2015b.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National enteric disease surveillance: Salmonella annual report, 2013. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2016a.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Reports of selected Salmonella outbreak investigations (http://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/outbreaks.html). Accessed 29 August 2016 2016b.
- Cheng LH, Crim SM, Cole CR, Shane AL, Henao OL, and Mahon BE. Epidemiology of Infant Salmonellosis in the United States, 1996-2008: A Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network Study. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2013;2:232–9. [PubMed: 26619477]
- [FDA] US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Food Safety Modernization Act. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, FDA, 2011a.

- [FDA] US Food and Drug Administration. National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System -Enteric Bacteria (NARMS): 2009 executive report. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, FDA, 2011b.
- [FDA] US Food and Drug Administration. NARMS integrated report: 2014 The National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System: Enteric Bacteria. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, FDA, 2016.
- Gensheimer K and Mitchell C. A collaborative approach to reducing the risk of *Salmonella* Newport linked to produce grown on the Delmarva Peninsula [abstract]. In International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases Atlanta, GA, 2015.
- Greene SK, Daly ER, Talbot EA, Demma LJ, Holzbauer S, Patel NJ, Hill TA, Walderhaug MO, Hoekstra RM, Lynch MF, and Painter JA. Recurrent multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* Newport associated with tomatoes from contaminated fields, 2005. Epidemiol Infect 2008a;136:157–65. [PubMed: 17475091]
- Greene SK, Stuart AM, Medalla FM, Whichard JM, Hoekstra RM, and Chiller TM. Distribution of multidrug-resistant human isolates of MDR-ACSSuT *Salmonella* Typhimurium and MDR-AmpC *Salmonella* Newport in the United States, 2003-2005. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2008b;5:669–80. [PubMed: 18851677]
- Gruszynski K, Pao S, Kim C, Toney D, Wright K, Ross PG, Colon A, and Levine S. Evaluating wildlife as a potential source of *Salmonella* serotype Newport (JJPX01.0061) contamination for tomatoes on the Eastern Shore of Virginia. Zoonoses Public Health 2014a;61:202–7. [PubMed: 23773825]
- Gruszynski K, Pao S, Kim C, Toney DM, Wright K, Colon A, Engelmeyer T, and Levine SJ. Evaluating gulls as potential vehicles of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Newport (JJPX01.0061) contamination of tomatoes grown on the Eastern Shore of Virginia. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014b;80:235–8. [PubMed: 24141129]
- Gupta A, Fontana J, Crowe C, Bolstorff B, Stout A, Van Duyne S, Hoekstra MP, Whichard JM, Barrett TJ, Angulo FJ, and National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System PulseNet Working Group. Emergence of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Newport infections resistant to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins in the United States. J Infect Dis 2003;188:1707– 16. [PubMed: 14639542]
- Hall AJ, Wikswo ME, Manikonda K, Roberts VA, Yoder JS, and Gould LH. Acute gastroenteritis surveillance through the National Outbreak Reporting System, United States. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19:1305–9. [PubMed: 23876187]
- Henao OL, Scallan E, Mahon B, and Hoekstra RM. Methods for monitoring trends in the incidence of foodborne diseases: Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network 1996-2008. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2010;7:1421–6. [PubMed: 20617933]
- Jackson BR, Griffin PM, Cole D, Walsh KA, and Chai SJ. Outbreak-associated Salmonella enterica serotypes and food commodities, United States, 1998-2008. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19:1239–44. [PubMed: 23876503]
- Karon AE, Archer JR, Sotir MJ, Monson TA, and Kazmierczak JJ. Human multidrug-resistant Salmonella Newport infections, Wisconsin, 2003-2005. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:1777–80. [PubMed: 18217570]
- Medalla F, Hoekstra RM, Whichard JM, Barzilay EJ, Chiller TM, Joyce K, Rickert R, Krueger A, Stuart A, and Griffin PM. Increase in resistance to ceftriaxone and nonsusceptibility to ciprofloxacin and decrease in multidrug resistance among *Salmonella* strains, United States, 1996-2009. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2013;10:302–9. [PubMed: 23464603]
- Patchanee P, Molla B, White N, Line DE, and Gebreyes WA. Tracking *Salmonella* contamination in various watersheds and phenotypic and genotypic diversity. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2010;7:1113– 20. [PubMed: 20528467]
- Scallan E. Activities, achievements, and lessons learned during the first 10 years of the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network: 1996-2005. Clin Infect Dis 2007;44:718–25. [PubMed: 17278067]
- Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Tauxe RV, Widdowson MA, Roy SL, Jones JL, and Griffin PM. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States--major pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis 2011;17:7–15. [PubMed: 21192848]

- Schutze GE, Kirby RS, Flick EL, Stefanova R, Eisenach KD, and Cave MD. Epidemiology and molecular identification of *Salmonella* infections in children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1998;152:659–64. [PubMed: 9667537]
- Sockett PN and Rodgers FG. Enteric and foodborne disease in children: A review of the influence of food- and environment-related risk factors. Paediatr Child Health 2001;6:203–9. [PubMed: 20084237]
- Spika JS, Waterman SH, Hoo GW, St Louis ME, Pacer RE, James SM, Bissett ML, Mayer LW, Chiu JY, Hall B, Greene K, Potter ME, Cohen ML, and Blake PA. Chloramphenicol-resistant Salmonella newport traced through hamburger to dairy farms. A major persisting source of human salmonellosis in California. N Engl J Med 1987;316:565–70. [PubMed: 3807951]
- Srikantiah P, Lay JC, Hand S, Crump JA, Campbell J, Van Duyne MS, Bishop R, Middendor R, Currier M, Mead PS, and Molbak K. *Salmonella enterica* serotype Javiana infections associated with amphibian contact, Mississippi, 2001. Epidemiol Infect 2004;132:273–81. [PubMed: 15061502]
- United States Census Bureau. Geographic Terms and Concepts Census Divisions and Census Regions (https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/gtc/gtc\_census\_divreg.html). Accessed 13 December 2017.
- [USDA] US Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service. Milk production, disposition, and income, 2015 summary. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2016.
- Varma JK, Marcus R, Stenzel SA, Hanna SS, Gettner S, Anderson BJ, Hayes T, Shiferaw B, Crume TL, Joyce K, Fullerton KE, Voetsch AC, and Angulo FJ. Highly resistant *Salmonella* Newport-MDRAmpC transmitted through the domestic US food supply: a FoodNet case-control study of sporadic *Salmonella* Newport infections, 2002-2003. J Infect Dis 2006;194:222–30. [PubMed: 16779729]

Crim et al.



#### Figure 1.

Relative rates of *Salmonella* serotype Newport infections compared with 2004–2006, by age group and year, FoodNet, 2004–2013. The relative rate is the incidence rate in a given year relative to the average incidence of the 2004–2006 comparison period. A relative rate above the line at 1 indicates an increase compared with 2004–2006, below the line represents a decrease, and at the line represents no change.

Crim et al.



## Figure 2.

Incidence of *Salmonella* serotype Newport infection by state, LEDS, 2004–2013. \* Reporting criterion – mean annual percentage serotyped of at least 80%.



## Figure 3.

Incidence of *Salmonella* serotype Newport infection by United States census region and month, LEDS, 2004–2013

Crim et al.

Page 14



#### Figure 4.

a. Rate of *Salmonella* serotype Newport isolates by antimicrobial resistance pattern, age, and year, NARMS, 2004–2013

b. Percentage of *Salmonella* serotype Newport isolates per year by antimicrobial resistance pattern and age, NARMS, 2004–2013

c. Rate of *Salmonella* serotype Newport isolates by antimicrobial resistance pattern, United States census region (South vs. other), and year, NARMS, 2004–2013

d. Percentage of *Salmonella* serotype Newport isolates submitted by month and antimicrobial resistance pattern, NARMS, 2004–2013



Figure 5.

Number of *Salmonella* serotype Newport outbreaks, by transmission mode, NORS, 2004–2013

#### Table 1.

Demographic characteristics and annual incidence of *Salmonella* serotype Newport infections, FoodNet, 2004–2013

Characteristics	No. (%) of cases	Incidence per 100,000 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	95% confidence interval for mean incidence
Overall	7,630 (100)	1.64	(1.39–1.89)
Age in years $(n = 7,623)$			
<5	2,278 (30)	7.59	(6.53–8.64)
5–19	1,110 (15)	1.18	(0.97–1.38)
20-49	2,055 (27)	1.05	(0.92–1.19)
50+	2,180 (29)	1.48	(1.18–1.78)
Sex (n = 7,610)			
Female	4,023 (53)	1.70	(1.45–1.95)
Male	3,587 (47)	1.57	(1.31–1.83)
Race (n = 6,414)			
African-American	849 (13)	1.16	(1.01–1.30)
American Indian/Alaska Native	63 (1)	1.16	(0.80–1.51)
Asian/Pacific Islander	138 (2)	0.60	(0.53–0.67)
Multiracial	54 (1)	0.54	(0.33–0.75)
White	5,310 (83)	1.50	(1.26–1.75)
Ethnicity ( $n = 5,599$ )			
Hispanic	597 (11)	1.18	(0.92–1.44)
Non-Hispanic	5,002 (89)	1.20	(0.99–1.42)
Year $(n = 7,630)$			
2004	621 (8)	1.40	
2005	593 (8)	1.32	
2006	563 (7)	1.24	
2007	682 (9)	1.49	
2008	698 (9)	1.51	
2009	781 (10)	1.67	
2010	1,096 (14)	2.32	
2011	966 (13)	2.03	
2012	919 (12)	1.92	
2013	711 (9)	1.47	

 $^{a}$ Mean 2004–2013 incidence used for demographic characteristics and crude incidence used for incidence by year.