ANNUAL SUMMARY 1980

**ISSUED FEBRUARY 1983** 

# CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL FOODBORNE DISEASE





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES • Public Health Service

#### PREFACE

This report summarizes information received from state and local health departments, the Food and Drug Administration, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and private physicians. The information is preliminary and is intended primarily for use by those with responsibility for disease control activities. Anyone desiring to quote this report should contact the Enteric Diseases Branch for confirmation and further interpretation.

Contributions to the report are most welcome. Please address them to:

Enteric Diseases Branch Division of Bacterial Diseases Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control: Foodborne Disease Outbreaks Annual Summary 1980 Issued February 1983

\* Through June 1982 \*\* Through August 1981

		Page
I.	SUMMARY	1
11.	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. History	1
	B. Objectives	1
III.	FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS	2
	A. Definition of Outbreak	2
	B. Source of Data	2
	C. Interpretation of data	2
	D. Analysis of Data	3
	E. Comments	5
	F. Guidelines for Confirmation of Foodborne Outbreaks	13
	G. Investigation of a Foodborne Outbreak (Sample Form)	17
	H. Line Listing of Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, 1979	19
	I. Selected Foodborne Outbreak Articles, 1979, Taken From Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report	23
	J. Bibliography	28

b i i



#### I. SUMMARY

In 1980 there were 612 outbreaks (13,791 cases) of foodborne disease reported to the Centers for Disease Control. In 36% of the outbreaks, the etiology was confirmed. Bacterial pathogens accounted for 136 outbreaks (6,891 cases). The most frequently isolated bacterial pathogen was <u>Salmonella</u> (39 outbreaks), followed by <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> (24 outbreaks), and <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> (25 outbreaks). Chemical agents were responsible for 61 outbreaks (635 cases), with scombroid poisoning the most common chemical etiology. Food was eaten in a restaurant in 54% of outbreaks, and the most common contributing factor was improper holding temperature (75%).

#### **II. INTRODUCTION**

#### A. History

The reporting of foodborne and waterborne diseases in the United States began over half a century ago when state and territorial health officers, concerned about the high morbidity and mortality caused by typhoid fever and infantile diarrhea, recommended that cases of enteric fever be investigated and reported. The purpose was to obtain information about the role of food, milk, and water in outbreaks of intestinal illness as the basis for sound public health action. Beginning in 1923, the U.S. Public Health Service published summaries of outbreaks of gastrointestinal illness attributed to milk. In 1938, it added summaries of outbreaks caused by all foods. These early surveillance efforts led to the enactment of important public health measures which had a profound influence in decreasing the incidence of enteric diseases, particularly those transmitted by milk and water.

From 1951 through 1960, the National Office of Vital Statistics reviewed reports of outbreaks of foodborne illness and published summaries of them annually in <u>Public</u> <u>Health Reports</u>. In 1961 the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), then the Communicable Disease Center, assumed responsibility for publishing reports on foodborne illness. For the period 1961-66, CDC discontinued publication of annual reviews, but reported pertinent statistics and detailed individual investigations in the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR).

In 1966 the present system of surveillance of foodborne and waterborne diseases began with the incorporation of all reports of enteric disease outbreaks attributed to microbial or chemical contamination of food or water into an annual summary. Since 1966 the quality of investigative reports has improved primarily as a result of more active participation by state and federal agencies in the investigation of foodborne and waterborne disease outbreaks. Due to increasing interest and activity in waterborne disease surveillance, foodborne and waterborne disease outbreaks have been reported in separate annual summaries since 1978. This report summarizes data from foodborne disease outbreaks reported to CDC for 1980.

#### B. Objectives

Foodborne disease surveillance has traditionally served 3 objectives:

1. Disease Prevention and Control: Early identification and removal of contaminated products from the commercial market, correction of faulty food preparation practices in food service establishments and in the home, and identification and appropriate treatment of human carriers of foodborne pathogens are the fundamental prevention and control measures resulting from surveillance of foodborne disease. 2. <u>Knowledge of Disease Causation</u>: The responsible pathogen was not identified in over 60% of foodborne disease outbreaks reported to CDC in each of the last 5 years. In many of these outbreaks pathogens known to cause foodborne illness may not have been identified because of late or incomplete laboratory investigation. In others, the responsible pathogen may have escaped detection even when a thorough laboratory investigation was carried out because the pathogen may not have been appreciated as a cause of foodborne disease or because the pathogen could not be identified by available laboratory techniques. It is probable that when more thorough clinical, epidemiologic, and laboratory investigations are employed, many of these pathogens can be identified, and suitable measures for prevention and control can be instituted.

3. Administrative Guidance: The collection of data from outbreak investigations permits assessment of trends in etiologic agents and food vehicles and focuses on common errors in food handling. By compiling the data in an annual summary, it is hoped that local and state health departments and others involved in the implementation of food protection programs will be kept informed of the factors involved in foodborne disease outbreaks. Comprehensive surveillance would result in a clearer appreciation of priorities in food protection, institution of better training programs, and more effective utilization of available resources.

#### III. FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS

# A. Definition of Outbreak

For the purpose of this report, a foodborne disease outbreak is defined as an incident in which (1) 2 or more persons experience a similar illness, usually gastrointestinal, after ingestion of a common food, and (2) epidemiologic analysis implicates the food as the source of the illness. There are a few exceptions; 1 case of botulism or chemical poisoning constitutes an outbreak.

1. Laboratory confirmed--Outbreaks in which laboratory evidence of a specific etiologic agent is obtained, and specified criteria are met (see Section F).

2. Undetermined etiology--Outbreaks in which epidemiologic evidence implicates a food source, but adequate laboratory confirmation is not obtained. These outbreaks are subdivided into 4 subgroups by incubation period of the illnesses: less than 1 hour (probable chemical poisoning), 1 to 7 hours (probable <u>Staphylococcus</u> food poisoning), 8 to 14 hours (probable <u>C. perfringens</u>), and greater than 14 hours (other infectious or toxic agents).

#### B. Source of Data

Outbreaks are reported to CDC on a standard reporting form (Section B). Reports come most frequently from state and local health departments; reports may also be received from federal agencies such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), the U.S. Armed Forces, and occasionally from private physicians. Forms are reviewed at CDC to determine if a specific etiology for the outbreak can be confirmed and, in some instances, questions about an etiologic agent may be referred back to the reporting agency. Data are otherwise accepted as reported on the forms.

#### C. Interpretation of Data

The limitations on the quantity and quality of data presented here must be appreciated in order to avoid misinterpretation. The number of outbreaks of foodborne disease reported by this surveillance system clearly represents only a small fraction of the total number that occur. The likelihood of an outbreak coming to the attention of health authorities varies considerably depending on consumer and physician awareness, interest, and motivation to report the incident; for example, large outbreaks, interstate outbreaks, restaurant-associated outbreaks, and outbreaks involving serious illness, hospitalizations, or deaths are more likely to come to the attention of health authorities than cases of mild illness following a family cookout.

The quality of the data presented here depends upon the commitment given to foodborne surveillance by the state or local health departments. The department's interest in foodborne disease and its investigative and laboratory capabilities are central determinants of the quality of the investigation. Furthermore, the likelihood that the findings of the investigation will be reported varies from one locality to another. This report then should not be the basis of firm conclusions about the absolute incidence of foodborne disease, and it should not be used to draw conclusions about the relative incidence of foodborne diseases of various etiologies. For example, foodborne diseases characterized by short incubation periods, such as those of chemical etiology or outbreaks caused by staphylococcal enterotoxin, are more likely to be recognized as common-source foodborne disease outbreaks than those diseases with longer incubation periods, such as hepatitis A, wherein the commonsource nature of the cases may be hard to ascertain. Outbreaks involving Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Yersinia enterocolitica, or Campylobacter jejuni are less likely to be confirmed because these organisms are often not considered in clinical, epidemiologic, and laboratory investigations. Pathogens which generally cause mild illness will be under-represented, while those causing serious illness, such as Clostridium botulinum, are more likely to be identified. Similarly, restaurant- or commercial product-associated outbreaks have a higher likelihood of being reported.

#### D. Analysis of Data

In 1980 there were 612 reports of outbreaks (13,791 cases). These reports were received from 45 states, as well as from Guam, Palau, Micronesia, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Marshalls (see Figure 1 for a breakdown by state). New York reported the largest number of outbreaks with 175 (135 were reported from New York City); the next largest number of outbreaks was from Washington (57), followed by Hawaii (39). In 1 outbreak cases were reported from multiple states. The total number of outbreaks and cases over the last decade is shown in Figure 2.



# Fig.1 OUTBREAKS OF FOODBORNE DISEASE REPORTED TO THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL, BY STATE, 1980

Fig. 2 NUMBER OF CASES AND OUTBREAKS OF FOODBORNE DISEASE REPORTED TO THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL, 1970-1980



In 221 outbreaks (7,721 cases) an etiology was confirmed (Table 1). Bacterial pathogens accounted for 62% of confirmed outbreaks and 89% of cases. As in the past, <u>Salmonella</u> was the pathogen most frequently responsible for outbreaks followed by <u>S. aureus</u>. <u>C.</u> <u>perfringens</u> is being recognized more frequently as a pathogen, and in 1980 was responsible for 1,463 cases in 25 outbreaks.

Chemical etiologies accounted for 30% of the total confirmed outbreaks, but only 8% of the cases. Although ciguatera (fish poisoning) in the past 2 years has been the most common etiology, this year scombrotoxin was more common, accounting for 29 outbreaks and 153 cases. Of the parasitic pathogens reported, <u>Trichnella spiralis</u> accounted for 5 outbreaks and 41 cases. An outbreak of diphyliobothriasis was attributed to ingestion of inadequately cooked salmon in California. Viral pathogens were implicated in 12 out-

breaks and 140 cases; 116 of these cases were proven to be hepatitis A. The breakdown of outbreaks by etiologic category for the period 1976-1980 is shown in Table 2.

There were 24 deaths associated with foodborne diseases in 1980: C. botulinum (3), <u>Salmonella</u> (5), <u>S. aureus</u> (1), <u>Campylobacter</u> (1), paralytic shellfish poisoning (1), other chemical etiology (1), trichinosis (1), and undetermined etiology (11).

No pathogen was identified in 391 outbreaks (6,070 cases) reported in 1980. The extent of the investigation in these outbreaks varied from instances when only minimal laboratory work was performed to other instances in which extensive investigation failed to reveal a pathogen. Incubation periods, however, are known for illnesses in 345 of these outbreaks. In 14 outbreaks the incubation period was reported as <1 hour; in 172 outbreaks the incubation period ranged from 1 to 7 hours; in 86 outbreaks the incubation period was 8 to 14 hours; while in 73 outbreaks the incubation period was  $\geq$ 15 hours. Eleven deaths were reported in association with outbreaks of unknown etiology.

A number of different vehicles were implicated in the 1980 outbreaks (Table 3). The most common vehicle was turkey, accounting for 18 outbreaks; the most common pathogen associated with turkey was Salmonella (7 outbreaks). Outbreaks involving beef were most commonly associated with C. perfringens (9 of 17 outbreaks), while outbreaks involving pork products were most commonly caused by S. aureus (4 of 13 outbreaks). Most outbreaks associated with fish were due to either ciguatera or scombroid, and those associated with shellfish were due to either paralytic shell-fish poisoning (4) or Vibrio parahaemolyticus (1). Amberjack accounted for 1 of the 13 ciguatera outbreaks, while mahi-mahi (dolphin) was the most common vehicle in scombroid poisoning. No vehicle was identified in 28 of the 221 outbreaks of known etiology; 10 of these outbreaks involved Salmonella (26% of all Salmonella outbreaks). As might be expected, in 343 of the 391 outbreaks of unknown etiology, no vehicle of transmission was identified.

Three hundred thirty-two outbreaks were restaurant-associated, compared with 116 outbreaks associated with foods eaten at home (Table 4). Both <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Staphylococcus</u> outbreaks were more commonly restaurant-associated (16 of 39 for Salmonella and 10 of 27 for Staphylococcus) than outbreaks caused by other organisms.

All <u>C</u>. botulinum outbreaks were associated with home-prepared foods. As in the past, outbreaks attributed to scombroid tended to occur in restaurants, while outbreaks attributed to ciguatera tended to occur at home. Generally outbreaks of foodborne illness occurred most frequently in the spring and summer (Table 5); <u>Salmonella</u>-associated outbreaks occurred more frequently in the summer (18 of 39). In 206 outbreaks the reporting agency specified a factor or factors which they felt contributed to the outbreak (Table 6). The most common factor in bacterial outbreaks was improper holding temperature, which was cited in 184 (75%) of 247 outbreaks.

#### E. Comments

There are limitations in the quantity and quality of the data presented in this report. The variability in reporting can be seen by looking at the distribution of outbreaks by state. A few states, such as New York, Washington, and Hawaii, reported a disproportionately large number of outbreaks. For example, New York state has a population of 17 million, compared with 22 million in California; nonetheless, New York reported 175 outbreaks in 1980 compared with 29 from California. Similarly, the state of Washington reported 57 outbreaks while the entire group of midwestern states reported 77 outbreaks, and Alabama (with a population virtually identical to that of the State of Washington) reported only 3. While it is possible that states such as New York and Washington have an increased rate of foodborne disease, it is more likely that these differences simply represent differences in reporting. The same variability in reporting can be seen when looking at outbreaks by pathogen. The data for 1980, for example, included 10 hepatitis and 14 botulism outbreaks.

The number of outbreaks of foodborne disease reported to CDC each year over the last decade has risen slightly, probably because of increased reporting by state and local health departments. The distribution of cases by etiology has remained relatively constant. Etiologies typically have been confirmed in 40% or less of outbreaks. In the 1980 outbreaks when the etiologies were confirmed, bacterial pathogens, as in the past, accounted for approximately two-thirds of outbreaks, with chemical etiologies accounting for an additional 25%-30%.

Many factors contribute to foodborne disease. In the United States the 5 most common factors, in order of frequency of occurrence, include: 1) inadequate cooling of food, 2) lapse of a day or more between preparing and serving, 3) infected persons handling foods which are not subsequently heat-processed, 4) inadequate time and/or temperature during heating of foods, and 5) insufficiently high temperature during storage of hot foods. In outbreaks of botulism or trichinosis, the food is usually inadequately cooked. In most of the outbreaks with a bacterial etiology other than botulism and in outbreaks of scombroid (in which bacterial growth is responsible for toxin production), the food is usually stored at improper holding temperatures. In outbreaks of ciguatera, paralytic shellfish poisoning, and mushroom poisoning, the food is unsafe, and illness is not in any sense related to improper handling or preparation.

Pathogens such as <u>Campylobacter</u> jejuni, V. <u>parahaemolyticus</u>, non-O1 <u>V. cholerae</u>, and other <u>Vibrio</u> species cause foodborne illness, but are rarely reported. The large number of outbreaks in which no pathogen is identified serve as a challenge to improve investigative skills so that known pathogens can be identified more frequently and so that new and as yet unidentified pathogens may be recognized.

Table 1 Confirmed Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, Cases, and Deaths, by Etiology, United States, 1980

ł

-----

Etiology	Outbreaks No. %	Cases No. %	Deaths <u>No.</u>
BACTERIAL			
B. cereus Brucella C. botulinum C. perfringens Campylobacter E. coli Salmonella Shigella Staphylococcus aureus V. parahaemolyticus Other Total	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	- 3 1 - 5 - 1 - 10
CHEMICAL			
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Scombrotoxin Paralytic Other Total	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 5 & (0.1) \\ 52 & (0.7) \\ 153 & (2.0) \\ 116 & (1.5) \\ \underline{309} & (4.0) \\ 635 & (8.2) \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
PARASITIC			
<u>T. spiralis</u> Other Total	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 5 & (2.3) \\ \underline{2} & (0.9) \\ \overline{7} & (3.2) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{ccc} 41 & (0.5) \\ \underline{14} & (0.2) \\ 55 & (0.7) \end{array}$	1 1
VIRAL			
Hepatitis A Other Total	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & (4.5) \\ \underline{2} & (0.9) \\ 12 & (5.4) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 116 & (1.5) \\ \underline{24} & (0.3) \\ 140 & (1.8) \end{array} $	
CONFIRMED TOTAL	221 (100.0)	7,721 (100.0)	13

		Table	2		
Confirmed	Foodborne	Disease	Outbreaks,	by	Etiology,
	United	States,	1976 <b>-</b> 1980		

	1	976	1	977	1	978	1	979	1	980
Etiology	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)
BACTERIAL										
à 1-41 4 4			1	(0.6)						
A. <u>ninshawii</u>	2	(1.5)	1	(0.6)	-	(3.0)	_			(4 1)
Brucella	2 	(1.5)	_		0	(3+9)	2	(1, 3)	9	(4.1)
Campylobacter je juni			_		_		-	(1.5)	5	(2,3)
C. botulinum	23	(17.6)	20	(12.7)	12	(7.8)	7	(4.0)	14	(6.3)
C. perfringens	6	(4.6)	6	(3.8)	9	(5.9)	20	(11.6)	25	(11.3)
E. cloacae	-		-		-		1	(0.6)	· _	. ,
E. coli	-		-		1	(0.7)	-		1	(0.5)
Salmonella	28	(21.4)	41	(26.1)	. 45	(29.3)	44	(25.5)	39	(17.7)
Shigella	6	(4.6)	5	(3.2)	4	(2.6)	7	(4.0)	11	(5.0)
Staphylococcus aureus	26	(19.8)	25	(15.9)	23	(14.9)	34	(19.7)	27	(12.2)
Streptococcus Group D	_		_		1	(0,7)	- 1	(0.6)	—, 	
V. cholerae 01	_		-		1	(0.7)	1	(0.0)	_	
$\overline{V}$ , cholerae (pon-01)	-		1	(0.6)	± 	(0.7)	1	(0, 6)	_	
V. parahaemolyticus	_		2	(1.3)	2	(1.3)	2	(1,3)	4	(1.8)
Y. enterocolitica	1	(0.8)	-		-		-	(	_	()
Other			-		1	(0.7)	-		1	(0.5)
Total	92	(70.2)	101	(64.2)	105	(68.5)	119	(69.2)	136	(61.7)
CHEMICAL										
Heavy metals	6	(4.6)	8	(5,1)	1	(0,7)	1	(0.6)	1	(0.5)
Ciguatoxin	6	(4.6)	3	(1,9)	19	(12.3)	18	(10.4)	.15	(6.8)
Paralytic shellfish	4	(3.1)	-		4	(2.6)	_	(,	5	(2.2)
Scombrotoxin	2	(1.5)	13	(8.3)	7	(4.5)	12	(7.0)	29	(13.0)
Monosodium glutamate	2	(1.5)	2	(1.3)	-		-		-	
Mushroom poisoning	1	(0.8)	5	(3.2)	1	(0.6)	1	(0.6)	-	
Other	7	(5.3)		(3.8)	5	(3.2)	4	(2.3)	16	(7.2)
Total	28	(21.4)	37	(23.6)	37	(23.9)	36	(20.9)	66	(29,7)
PARASITIC										
Anisakidae	-		1	(0.6)	_		-		_	
T. spiralis	8	(6.1)	14	(8.9)	7	(4.5)	11	(6.4)	5	(2,3)
Other	-		-		-		_		2	(0,9)
Total	8	(6.1)	15	(9.5)	7	(4.5)	11	(6.4)	7	(3.2)
VIRAL										
	0			(A = )	-	10	_			
Hepatitis A	2	(1.5)	4	(2.5)	5	(3.2)	5	(2.9)	10	(4.5)
Ecno, type 4 Othor	1 _	(0.8)	-		_		- 1	(0.4)		(0.0)
VLHEL Total		(2,3)		(2.5)		(3.2)		$\frac{(0.6)}{(3.5)}$	$\frac{2}{12}$	$\frac{(0.9)}{(5.4)}$
10041	5	(2+5)	т	(2.5)	J	(4+4)	U	(3+5)	12	(3.4)
CONFIRMED TOTAL	131	(100.0)	157	(100.0)	154	(100.0)	172	(100.0)	221	(100.0)

3.

# <u>Table 3</u> Foodborne Outbreaks by Specific Etiology and Vehicle of Transmission, <u>United States, 1980</u>

N,

<u>Etiology</u>	Beef	Veal	Lamb	Ham	Pork	Sau- sage	Chick- en	- Tur- key	Other Meat	Shell Fish	Tuna	Amber Jack	Mahi- Mahi	Other Fish	Eggs
BACTERIAL															
B. cereus Brucella Campylobacter jejun C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella Staphylococcus aure V. parahaemolyticus Other Tota	1 - - 9 - 4 - - - 1 1 14		- - - 1 -	- - 1 - 3 - - 5	- - 1 - 1 - 1 - - - 3		- - - 2 - 2	$\frac{1}{1}$	- 1 2 - 1 2 - - 8	- - - - 4 1 5	- - - 1 - 1				
CHEMICAL															
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Scombrotoxin Paralytic Other Tota	- - - 1 -					- - 1 1				- - 5 - 5	- 3 - - 3	- - - - 1	21 - - 21	$\frac{13}{5}$ $\frac{2}{20}$	
<u>PARASITIC</u> <u>T. spiralis</u> Other Tota	- - 1 -		-	- - -	2 - 2	2	-		1 1			1 I 1	-	2	-
VIRAL															
Hepatitis A Other Tota	- 1 -					-			- - -	$\frac{1}{-1}$	$\frac{1}{-1}$		-	- - -	-  -
CONFIRMED TOTAL	14	-	1	5	5	4	2	15	9	11	5	1	21	24	1
UNKNOWN	3	1	_	3	-	1	3	3	1	6	_	-	-	2	-
TOTAL	17	1	1	8	5	5	5	18	10	17	5	1	21	26	1

## Table 3 (Cont'd) Foodborne Disease Outbreaks by Specific Etiology and Vehicle of Transmission, United States, 1980

<u>Mi 1k</u>	Cheese	Egg Nog	Ice Cream	Other Dairy	Baked Foods	Fruits & Veg- etable	Potato Salad	Poultry, Fish,Egg Salad	Other Salad	Chi- nese Food	Mex- ican Food	Non- Dairy Bev	Multi- ple Foods	Other Foods	Un- known	<u>Total</u>
	-		2	_	-		-		-	-	2	-		-	1	5
1		-	-	-	1	_	-	-	-	~	-	-	-		1	5
-	_	_	-	_	-	9 1	-	_	_	_	- 3	_	1	2	- 2	14
-	-	-	-		_	_	-	-	_	-	1	-	-		-	1
1	_	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	3	10	39
_	_		-	_	3	-	3	1	-	_	_	-	5	_	4	11 27
-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	4
-2	<u> </u>	$\frac{1}{1}$		$\frac{-}{1}$		$\frac{-}{11}$					-7	<u> </u>			$\frac{-}{20}$	$\frac{1}{132}$
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	1	-	-	-	1
_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	1	15 29
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
			$\frac{1}{1}$		1	$\frac{2}{2}$	_			-		$\frac{1}{2}$	_1	4	_1	$\frac{14}{64}$
			+		1	-						2	L	4	Z	64
_	_	-	_	-	_	-	~	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	5
-		÷				-			<u> </u>		_		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		$\frac{2}{7}$
				·				-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	,
-	1				-	-	-	-			_	_	2	_	5	10
	$\frac{1}{1}$			_ <u>_</u>		$\frac{1}{1}$	<u> </u>	-			-				$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{12}$
2	1	1	2	1	7	14	8	2	1	1	7	2	10	10	2.8	215
-	2	-	-	-	2	1	5	-	3	2	3	-	4	2	343	390
2	3	1	2	1	9	15	13	2	4	3	10	2	14	12	371	605
	-															

9

.

3. 3. Table 4

# Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, by Specific Etiology and Place Where Food Was Eaten, United States, 1980

Etiology		Home	Restaurant	School	<u>Picnic</u>	Church	Camp	Other or Unknown	Total
BACTERIAL									
B. cereus Campylobacter C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella Staphylococcus V. parahaemoly Other	je juni aureus ticus Total	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \\       2 \\       14 \\       - \\       5 \\       1 \\       3 \\       - \\       30 \\       \overline{} \\       30 \\       \overline{} \\       \phantom{3$	$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ - \\ 13 \\ 1 \\ 16 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 1 \\ - \\ 50 \\ \end{array} $	- 2 - 4 - 2 - - 8	- - - 2 1 - 5	- 2 - 3 - 2 - - 7	- 1 - 2 1 - - 5	2 2 5 - 9 4 9 - 31	9 5 14 25 1 39 11 27 4 <u>1</u> 136
CHEMICAL	·								
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Scombrotoxin Paralytic Other	Total	14 4 2 4 24	- 18 1 -5 -24			$\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{2}}$	- - - 1 1	$ \begin{array}{r}     1 \\     7 \\     2 \\     5 \\     \overline{15} \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \\       15 \\       29 \\       5 \\       16 \\       66 \\     \end{array} $
PARASITIC									
T. spiralis Other	Total	$\frac{3}{-\frac{1}{4}}$	-			- 		$\frac{2}{-\frac{1}{3}}$	5  7
VIRAL	÷								
Hepatitis A Other	Total	$\frac{1}{2}$	6					$\frac{3}{-\frac{1}{4}}$	$\begin{array}{r}10\\\underline{2}\\12\end{array}$
CONFIRMED TOTAL		60	80	8	5	9	6	53	221
UNKNOWN		56	252	15	4	7	4	53	391
TOTAL		116	332	23	9	16	10	106	612

# Table 5 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks by Specific Etiology and Month of Occurrence, United States, 1980

Etiology	<u>Jan</u>	Feb	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	Dec	Unknown	Total
BACTERIAL														
B. cereus Brucella Campylobacter jejuni C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella Staphylococcus aureu V. parahaemolyticus Other Total	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - 1 1 - - - 4	2 - 1 3 - - - 10	- - 4 - 4 1 - - 11	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2 1 5 1 - 6 1 3 - 19	$   \begin{array}{c}     2 \\     - \\     1 \\     2 \\     - \\     3 \\     0 \\     4 \\     1 \\     - \\     13   \end{array} $	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$ 1 6 4 2 - 15	$\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{12}$	1 - - 4 - 1 - - 1 7	$\frac{1}{1}$ 2 2 3 1 1 10	- - - - - 1	$9 \\ -5 \\ 14 \\ 25 \\ 1 \\ 39 \\ 11 \\ 27 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 136$
CHEMICAL														
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Paralytic shellfish Scombrotoxin Other Total	1 - - 1 2	- 2 1 3	- 2 - 5 - 7	$ \frac{3}{5} \frac{2}{10} $	- 1 - 2 - 5	1  2 _1 _4	- 1 2 4 2 9	- 3 2 4 <u>2</u> 11	$\frac{-}{3}$ $\frac{-}{1}$ $\frac{2}{6}$	$\begin{array}{c} -\\ 1\\ 1\\ \underline{1}\\ 3\end{array}$	1 - 2 - 1 - 4	- - 1 1 2		1     15     5     29     16     66
PARASITIC														
<u>T. spiralis</u> Other Total	1 1	$\frac{1}{-1}$		$\frac{1}{-1}$			$\frac{1}{-}$		$\frac{-2}{2}$		- - -	$\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{1}}$		5 <u>2</u> 7
VIRAL														
Hepatitis A Other Total	$\frac{2}{-\frac{2}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{1}}$	2 		$\frac{1}{1}$	$\frac{1}{-1}$	1 		$\frac{1}{-1}$		2 2	-	$\frac{1}{1}$	$\begin{array}{r}10\\-2\\12\end{array}$
CONFIRMED TOTAL	6	9	19	22	16	24	24	34	24	15	13	13	2	221
UNKNOWN	35	18	37	45	48	37	29	42	18	26	28	28	-	391
TOTAL	41	27	56	67	64	61	53	76	42	41	41	41	2	612

Table 6 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks by Etiology and Contributing Factors, United States, 1980

Etiology	Number of Reported Outbreaks	Number of Outbreaks In Which Factors Reported	Improper Holding Tempera- tures	Inade- quate Cooking	Contami- nated Equipment	Food From Unsafe Source	Poor Per- sonal Hygiene	<u>Other</u>
BACTERIAL								
B. cereus Brucella Campylobacter jejuni C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella Staphylococcus aureus V. parahaemolyticus Other Total	$9 \\ -5 \\ 14 \\ 25 \\ 1 \\ 39 \\ 11 \\ 27 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ 136$	7 1 1 21 - 27 9 20 3 - - 89	7 1 19 - 20 5 19 1 - 72	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2 1 - 1 - 9 - - - - 16		- 1 3 - 10 6 8 - - 28	
CHEMICAL Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Paralytic shellfish Scombrotoxin Other Total	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \\       15 \\       5 \\       29 \\       \underline{16} \\       66 \\       66     \end{array} $	- 1 14 - <u>7</u> 22	- 13 <u>1</u> 14	- - - 1 1		$\frac{1}{-\frac{3}{4}}$		- 1 1 3 -5
<u>PARASITIC</u> <u>T. spiralis</u> Other Total	5 2 7	2 2	1 1			1 		
VIRAL								
Hepatitis A Other Total	$\frac{10}{\frac{2}{12}}$	$\frac{2}{-\frac{2}{2}}$	- 	-  		1 1	$\frac{\frac{1}{-1}}{1}$	
CONFIRMED TOTAL	221	115	87	27	16	10	29	13
UNKNOWN	391	132	97	14	31	13	51	7
TOTAL	612	247	184	41	47	23	80	20

# .F. Guidelines for Confirmation of Foodborne Disease Outbreak

÷

	Clinic	al Syndrome	Laboratory, clinical, and/or epidemiologic criteria for confirmation
BACTERIAL			
l. <u>Bacillus ce</u>	reus Vomiting toxi a) incubation b) vomiting, diarrhea	n: period 1-6 hrs. some cases with	<ul> <li>a) isolation of ≥10<sup>5</sup> organisms per gram in epidemiologically incriminated food</li> <li>OR</li> <li>b) isolation of organism from stools</li> </ul>
	Diarrheal tox a) incubation b) diarrhea, some cases	in: period 6-24 hrs. abdominal cramps, with vomiting	of ill persons and not in stools of controls
2. Brucella	a) incubation days to sever	period several al months	a) 4-fold increase in titer OR
	b) clinical s ble with bruc	yndrome compati- ellosis	b) positive blood culture
3. <u>Campylobact</u> jejuni	er a) incubation usually 4-7	period 2-10 days,	Isolation of organisms from stool/ blood of ill individuals
	b) gastrointe abdominal pai bloody diarrh	stinal syndrome n, often severe; ea common	
4. <u>Clostridium</u> botulinum	a) incubation usually 12-48	2 hours-8 days, hours	a) detection of botulinal toxin in human sera, feces, or food OR
	b) clinical s ble with botu Botulism Manu	yndrome compati− lism (see CDC al)	<ul> <li>b) isolation of <u>C. botulinum</u> organism from stools <u>OR</u></li> <li>c) clinical syndrome in persons known to have consumed same food</li> </ul>
			as other individuals with laboratory-proven cases
5. <u>Clostridium</u> perfringen	a) incubation	period 9-15 hrs.	a) organisms of same serotype in epidemiologically incriminated food
	b) lower inte majority of c but little vo	stinal syndrome ases with diarrhea witing or fever	and stool of ill individuals. OR b) isolation of organisms with same
-		mitting of fore.	serotype in stool of most ill indi- viduals and not in stool of controls
			c) $\geq 10^5$ organisms per gram in epidemiologically incriminated food provided specimen properly handled
6. <u>Escherichia</u> coli	a) incubation	period 6-36 hrs.	a) demonstration of organisms of same serotype in epidemiologically
	b) gastrointe majority of c	stinal syndrome ases with diarrhea	incriminated food and stool of ill individuals and not in stool of controls OR
	in The second se		b) isolation from stool of most ill individuals, organisms of the same serotype which have been shown to be enterotoxigenic or invasive by laboratory techniques

		Clinical Syndrome	Laboratory, clinical, and/or epidemiologic criteria for confirmation
7.	Salmonella	a) incubation period 6-48 hrs. b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea	<ul> <li>a) isolation of <u>Salmonella</u> organism from epidemiologically implicated food</li> <li><u>OR</u></li> <li>b) isolation of <u>Salmonella</u> organism from stools of <u>ill</u> individuals</li> </ul>
8.	Shigella	a) incubation period 12-50 hours b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea	<ul> <li>a) isolation of <u>Shigella</u> organism from epidemiologically implicated food</li> <li><u>OR</u></li> <li>b) isolation of <u>Shigella</u> organism from stools of ill individuals</li> </ul>
9.	Staphylococcus aureus	<ul> <li>a) incubation period 30 min</li> <li>8 hours (usually 2-4 hrs.)</li> <li>b) gastrointestinal syndrome</li> <li>majority of cases with vomiting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) detection of enterotoxin in epidemiologically implicated food <ul> <li>OR</li> <li>b) organisms with same phage type in stools or vomitus of ill individ-uals; isolation from epidemiologically implicated food and/or skin or nose of food handler is supportive evidence</li> <li>OR</li> <li>c) isolation of ≥10<sup>5</sup> organisms per gram in epidemiologically implicated food</li> </ul></li></ul>
10.	<u>Streptococcus</u> Group A	a) incubation period 1-4 days b) febrile URI snydrome	<ul> <li>a) isolation of organisms with same M and T type from implicated food OR</li> <li>b) isolation of organisms with same M and T type from throats of ill individuals</li> </ul>
11.	Vibrio cholerae Ol	a) incubation period 1-5 days b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea and without fever	<ul> <li>a) isolation of toxigenic V. cholerae Ol from epidemiologically incriminated food OR</li> <li>b) isolation of organisms from stools or vomitus of ill individuals OR</li> <li>c) significant rise in vibriocidal, bacterial agglutinating or anti- toxin antibodies in acute and early convalescent sera, or significant fall in vibriocidal antibodies in early and late convalescent sera in persons not recently immunized</li> </ul>
	<u>Vibrio cholerae</u> Non-Ol	<ul> <li>a) incubation period up to 3 days</li> <li>b) gastrointestinal syndrome</li></ul>	a) isolation of non-Ol <u>V</u> . cholerae of same serotype from stools of ill persons; isolation from epidemio- logically implicated food is sup- portive evidence
12.	<u>Vibrio</u> parahaemolyticus	<ul> <li>a) incubation period 4-30 hrs.</li> <li>b) gastrointestinal syndrome</li></ul>	a) isolation of $\geq 10^5$ organisms from epidemiologically implicated food (usually seafood) <u>OR</u>

	Clinical Syndrome	epidemiologic criteria for confirmation
		b) isolation of Kanagawa-positive organisms from stool of ill individuals
13. Others	clinical data appraised in individual circumstances	laboratory data appraised in indi- vidual circumstances
CHEMICAL		·
l. Heavy metals	a) incubation period 5 min. to 8 hrs. (usually less than 1 hr)	demonstration of high concentration of metallic ion in epidemiologically
Antimony Cadmium Copper Iron Tin	b) clinical syndrome compatible with heavy metal poisoning usually gastrointestinal syndrome and often metallic taste	incriminated food or beverage
2. Ichthyosarcotoxin		
Ciguatoxin	a) incubation period 1-48 hrs. (usually 2-8 hrs.)	a) demonstration of ciguatoxin in epidemiologically incriminated fish
	b) usually gastrointestinal symptoms followed by neurologic manifestations, including pares- thesia of lips, tongue, throat or extremities, and reversal of hot and cold sensation	b) clinical syndrome in person(s) who have eaten a type of fish pre- viously associated with ciguatera fish poisoning (e.g., snapper, grouper)
Puffer fish (tetrodotoxin)	a) incubation period 10 min. to 3 hrs. (usually 10-45 min.)	a) demonstration of tetrodotoxin in fish OR
	<ul> <li>b) paresthesia of lips, tongue,</li> <li>face or extremities often follow-</li> <li>ed by numbness, loss of proprio-</li> <li>ception or a "floating" sensation</li> </ul>	b) puffer fish epidemiologically incriminated
Scombrotoxin	a) incubation period 1 min. to 3 hours (usually less than 1 hour)	a) demonstration of elevated hista- mine levels in epidemiologically incriminated fish
	<ul> <li>b) flushing, headache,</li> <li>dizziness, burning of mouth and</li> <li>throat, upper and lower gastro-</li> <li>intestinal symptoms, urticaria</li> <li>and generalized pruritus</li> </ul>	b) clinical syndrome in person(s) known to have eaten a fish of order Scombrodei or type of fish previous- ly associated with scombroid poison- ing (e.g., mahi-mahi)
3. Monosodium glutamate	a) incubation period 3 min. to 2 hours (usually less than 1 hour)	history of large amounts (usually >1.5 grams) of MSG having been added to epidemiologically incriminated
	b) burning sensations in chest, neck, abdomen or extremities, sensations of lightness and pressure over face, or a heavy feeling in the chest	food
4. Mushroom poison Group containing ibotenic acid and muscimol	a) incubation period 1-12 hrs. (usually less than 4 hrs.)	a) demonstration of toxic chemical in epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms OR
		—

.

Laboratory, clinical, and/or

	Clinical Syndrome	Laboratory, clinical, and/or epidemiologic criteria for confirmation
	<ul> <li>b) clinical syndrome compatible</li> <li>w/mushroom poisoning by this</li> <li>groupoften including confusion,</li> <li>delirium, visual disturbances</li> </ul>	b) epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms identified as a toxic type
Group containing amanitotoxins and phallotoxins, or gyromitrin	<ul> <li>a) incubation period 5-18 hrs.</li> <li>b) characteristic clinical syn- drome compatible with mushroom poisoning by this groupupper and lower gastrointestinal symp- toms followed by hepatic and/or renal failure</li> </ul>	a) demonstration of toxic chemical in epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms <u>OR</u> b) epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms identified as a toxic type
Groups containing muscarine, psilo- cybin and psilocin, gastrointestinal irritants, disul- firam-like compounds	<ul> <li>a) characteristic incubation period</li> <li>b) clinical syndrome compatible with mushroom poisoning by these groups</li> </ul>	a) demonstration of toxic chemical in epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms <u>OR</u> b) epidemiologically incriminated mushroom identified as toxic type
5. Paralytic or neurotoxic shellfish poison	<ul> <li>a) incubation period 30 min.</li> <li>to 3 hours</li> <li>b) paresthesias of lips, mouth or face, and extremities; weak-ness, including respiratory difficulty in most severe cases; upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms in some cases</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) detection of toxin in epidemiologically incriminated mollusks <ul> <li>OR</li> <li>b) detection of large numbers of shellfish poisoning-associated species of dinoflagellates in water from which epidemiologically incriminated mollusks gathered</li> </ul></li></ul>
6. Other chemical	clinical data appraised in individual circumstances	laboratory data appraised in indi- vidual circumstances
PARASITIC AND VIRAL		
l. <u>Trichinella</u> <u>spiralis</u>	a) incubation period 3-30 days b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with trichinosisoften including fever, high eosino- phil count, orbital edema, myalgia	a) muscle biopsy from ill individual b) serological tests <u>OR</u> c) demonstration of larvae in incriminated food
2. Hepatitis A	a) incubation period 10-45 days b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with hepatitisusually including jaundice, GI symp- toms, dark urine	liver function tests compatible with hepatitis in affected persons who consumed the epidemiologically incriminated food
3. Others	clinical evidence appraised in individual circumstances	laboratory evidence appraised in individual circumstances

This report is authorized by law (Public Health Service Act, 42 USC 241). While your response is voluntary, your cooperation is necessary for the understanding and control of the disease.

FORM APPROVED OMB NO, 0920--0004

# G. INVESTIGATION OF A FOODBORNE OUTBREAK

1. Where did the outbreak occur? State(1.2) City or 1	ໂວຟາ					2. Date of c	outbreak: (D	ate of ons	et 1st case) (3-8)		
3. Indicate actual (a) or estimated (e) numbers: 4 Persons exposed(9-11) Persons ill(12-14) Hospitalized(15-16) Fatal cases(17)	ated (e) numbers:       4. History of Exposed Persons :         (9-11)       No. histories obtained         (12-14)       No. persons with symptoms         (12-14)       Nausee         (15-16)       (30-32)         (17)       (39)							5. Incubation period (hours): 20) Shortest(40-42) Longest 23) Approx. for majority 15) 18) 6. Duration of Illness (hours): 23. Shortest(49-51) Longest Approx. for majority			
7. Food-specific attack rates: (58)	****			· · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Food Items Served		N	umber of pe speci	rsons who . fied food	ATE	N	umber who specifi	did NOT e ied food	at		
		E11	Not III	Total	Percent III	314	Not HE	Total	Percent III		
· · · · ·											
								· 			
							_	<u> </u>	. 		
	· .										
8. Vehicle responsible (food item incriminated by	oloimebice	nical evide	nce); (59	60)							
9. Manner in which incriminated food was marketed: (Check all applicable)         (a) Food Industry       (61)       (c) Not wrapped       1       1         (a) Food Industry       (61)       (c) Not wrapped       1       1       1         (a) Food Industry       (61)       (c) Not wrapped       1<			ble) 1 (63) 2 3 4 5 1 (64) 2 3 4 4 4	0. Place of Contarr Resta. Delica Cafete Private Catere Institu Schor Chur Cam Other, s	Preparation inated Item tessen a Home tr tr tr ton: ch specify	1 of       11. Place where eaten: (66)         : (65)			ten: (66) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333

Specing of the field of the set of	Specify by "X"	i examir	ned: (67	)	13. Environment	al specimens	examined: (68)				
Contracts       Deteck or personal in similar insumer but not involved in contracts       Contracts         Imm       Orig       Do       Contracts         Exempts: bert       X       C. perfringens, House you to 2x10 <sup>4</sup> (origon)         House you to 2x10 <sup>4</sup> (origon)       C. perfringens, House you to 2x10 <sup>4</sup> (origon)         House you to 2x10 <sup>4</sup> (origon)       Findinge         House you to 2x		whethe	er food e	amined was original leaten at tin	ne of Example: meet of	Example: meet crinder C. perfriggens Hobbs Type 10					
Lem         Onesh         Endinger           Exemple: Leef         X         C. perforgers, Hobts type 10         2X10 <sup>4</sup> (or)           Image: Leef         X         C. perforgers, Hobts type 10         2X10 <sup>4</sup> (or)           Image: Leef         X         C. perforgers, Hobts type 10         2X10 <sup>4</sup> (or)           Image: Leef         X         C. perforgers, Hobts type 10         14. Specimens from points transited istably vanitis, etc.): (09)           Image: Leef         X         C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         14. Specimens from points transited istably vanitis, etc.): (09)           Image: Leef         C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         16. Factor contributing to outlinest (thetc all application): Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10           Image: Leef         C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10           Image: Leef         C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10           Image: Leef         C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10           Image: Leef         C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. perforgers, Hobts Type 10         Version C. Perforgers, Hobts Type 10           Image: Leef         C. perforger	outbreak) or chi outbreak)	<u>sck-up</u>	(prepare	d in similar manner but not involv	ed in						
Example: beef       X       C. perfringens, Hobis type 10       2X10 <sup>4</sup> (yn)         14. Specimens from parietis examined (stool, vomitus, etc.): (69)       14. Specimens from parietis examined (stool, vomitus, etc.): (69)         15. Specimens from food hundler, (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factor confibuting to extinate (tchest all applicable)         15. Specimens from food hundler, (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factor confibuting to extinate (tchest all applicable)         17. Estinger: 17. 78)       Yes         18. Remarks: Bridly decribe append of the investigation not covered store, dush as unusual app or sin distribution, unusual circumstances leading to extende the dust estimates is easible upon request by the State Health Orgent ment to the Canter for Disease Control, Attents, Georgia 30333         Nome of reporting agency: (50)       Exemple: is on all species and a cover of this report to: Enter of Disease Control         18. Remarks: Bridly decribe append to the investigation not covered store. But as unusual app or sin distribution, unusual circumstances leading to exemple: is on all paper in an exemption of a longber or uthrak is waitable upon request by the State Health Orgent ment to the Canter for Disease Control, Attents, Georgia 30333         18. Remarks: Bridly decribe append of this report to: Enteric Diseases Branch Bacteria Diseases Enterin Bacteria Diseases	Item	Orig.	Check up	Findings Qualitative Quantitative							
14. Specimens from patients examined istool, vomitas, etc.): (691         14. Specimens from patients examined istool, vomitas, etc.): (691         16. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (701         16. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (701         16. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (701         17. Estology: (77, 78)         Pathogen         Change lesion         17. Estology: (77, 78)         Pathogen         Change lesion of food water: epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page of six distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contemported up of food, water: epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)	Example: beef	×		C. perfringens, Hobbs type 10 2X10 <sup>6</sup> /gm							
14. Spectners from patients exercised (stod), contius, etc.): (69)         15. Spectners from food handlers (stod), lesion, etc.): (70)         16. Spectners from food handlers (stod), lesion, etc.): (70)         17. Example: lesion         18. Spectners from food handlers (stod), lesion, etc.): (70)         19. Spectners from food handlers (stod), lesion, etc.): (70)         11. C. perfringers, Hobbs Type 10         12. Contaminated explores torage or holding temperature         19. Spectners from food handlers (stod), lesion, etc.): (70)         11. C. perfringers, Hobbs Type 10         12. Inderest cooking         17. Extenge: Instant         17. Extenge: Instant         17. Extenge: Instant         18. Remark: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation on a coveraid above, such as unusual age or stol distribution, unusual circumstances leading to contaminate explores         11. C. perfringers, Hobbs Type 10         12. Food betage from (store)         11. C. perfringers, Hobbs Type 10         13. Remark: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation on a coveraid above, such as unusual age or stol distribution, unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water: epilemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Norte: Engloyne and Laboratory Assistence for the investigation of a hostborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Carter for Disaase Control, Attauts, Georgia 3033.         To improve nation			<u>+</u>								
14. Specimens from patients examined Istool, vomitur, etc.): (69)         14. Specimens from patients examined Istool, vomitur, etc.): (69)         15. Specimens from patients examined Istool, vomitur, etc.): (70)         16. Factors contributing to outbrack tables Type 10         17. Example: Istool         18. Specimens from under stool, tesion, etc.): (70)         19. The main stool women istool istool woment istool women istool women istool women											
Item       No.       Findings         Example: stod       1       C. partringent, Hobbs Type 10         Example: stod       1       C. partringent, Hobbs Type 10         Item       Findings       Item         Item       Coertringents, Hobbs type 10       Item         Item       Findings       Item         Item       Coertringents, Hobbs type 10       Item         Item       Coertringents, Hobbs type 10       Item         Item       Contranstetequiption of socking unaces       It					14. Specimens fro	om patients e	examined (stool, vomitus, etc.): (69)				
Example: stool       1       C. perfringers, Hobbs Type 10         Example: stool       1       C. perfringers, Hobbs Type 10         15. Specimers from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): [70]       16. Factors contributing to outbrask (check all applicable):					ltem	No.	Findings				
Name of reporting Agency: (80)         Name of reporting Agency: (80)         Name of reporting Agency: (80)         The construction of food, wats: epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Norestigating official:         Norestigating official:         Norestigating official:         Norestigating official:         Discretional surveillance, please send a copy of this report to:         Exercise Should include as much information as possible, but the completion of a long 3333.					Example: stool	Persons 11	C. perfringens, Hobbs Type 10				
15. Specimens from food handlers fatool, lesions, etc.):       1701         16. Specimens from food handlers fatool, lesions, etc.):       1701         17. Exators contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):       Yes         18. Specimens from food handlers fatool, lesions, etc.):       1701         19. Terming the speciment or working surface											
Name of reporting spency: (800         Its. Provide a finite field describe appendix of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or six distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water: epidemic curve, stc. (Attach editional page if mecesary)         Name of reporting spency: (800         Investigating official:         Note: Ending and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Enteric Diseases Entrich Disease Entric			1								
15. Specimens from food handlers fistion, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):         15. Specimens from food handlers fistion, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):         16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):       Yes         17. Existing of the control outbreak (check all applicable):       1 2 17         17. Etiology: (77, 78)       1 2 17         Pathogen       Suspected       1 2 17         18. Remarks:       Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sun distribution; unusual Circumstances leading to contaminated equipment or working surfaces       1 1 (79)         Other       Unknown       3         18. Remarks:       Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sun distribution; unusual Circumstances leading to contamination of food, water, epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necesary)         Name of reporting agency: (80)       Investigating official:       Date of investigation:         NOTE:       Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborns outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To Improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to:       Enterior (Disease Stanch)         Center for Infectious Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.       Submitted cop			<u>+</u> +			1					
15. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):         15. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       10. Improper storage or holding temperature       1       2 (7)         16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):       1       2 (7)       2       1       1 (2 (7)         17. Extingle: lesion       C. perfringms, Hobbs type 10       2. Insteaute cooking       1 (2 (7)       2       1 (7)         18. Prod oblained from unsite source       1 (2 (7)       2       1 (7)       2       1 (7)         17. Etiology: (17, 78)       Pathogen       Suspected       1 (2 (7)       1 (2 (7)         19. Other, specify       1 (79)       Chemice       1 (79)       1 (79)         Chere       Unknown       3       3         18. Remark:: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or set distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)       Date of investigation:         Not::: Epidemic and Laborstory Asistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.       Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.			!			+					
15. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicible):         11. Improper storage or holding temperature       1         12. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicible):         13. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicible):         14. Improper storage or holding temperature       1       2 (7         2       Contaminated equipament or working surfaces       1       2 (7         2       Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicible):       1       2 (7         2       Improper storage or holding temperature       1       2 (7         2       Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicible):       1       2 (7         2       Factors control       1       2 (7       2         3       The equipament or working surfaces       1       2 (7       3         18. Remarks: Briefly describe appects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or site distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Investigating officie:       Date of investigation:       1         Nort:: Enidemic and Laborstory Asistance for the investigation of a foodborre outbreak is						<u> </u>					
15. Spacinens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): [70]       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):         16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):       Yet         17. Example: lesion       C. perfringens, Hobbs type 10         2. Contaminated equiptement or working surfaces       1         17. Etiology: (77, 78)       Pathogen         18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water, epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water, epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Investigating official:       Date of investigation:         NOTE: Endomic and Laboratory Asistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Enteric Diseases Division Center in Interior Diseases Strike Divisions Centrol, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.											
15. Spacimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): [70]       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):						1	······				
15. Specimens from food handlers (stool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable):         Item       Findings         10. Improper storage or holding temperature			<u>├</u> †			1					
15. Specimens from food handlers istool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak tcheck all applicable):         14.       Findings         15. Specimens from food handlers istool, lesions, etc.): (70)       16. Factors contributing to outbreak tcheck all applicable):         15. Specimens from food handlers istool, lesions, Hobbs type 10       10. Improper storage or holding temperature istores         16. Factors contributing to outbreak tcheck all applicable):       12. (7         2. Inadequate cooking on unsite source       1         2. Food obtained from unsite source       1         2. Other, specify       1         2. Food obtained from unsite source       1         2. Other, specify       1         2. Other, specify       1         2. Other       2         9 athogen       Confirmed         0 contaminated equipment or working surfaces       1         17. Etiology: (77, 78)       Suspected         9 athogen       0         0 contamination of food, water; epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disaase Control, Atlanta, Gaorgia 30333.         NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Heal			<u>                                      </u>								
Item       Finding       1. Improper storage or holding temperature       1       2       7         Example:       Ission       C. perfringent, Hobbs type 10       3. Containinated splipment or working surfaces       1       2       7         3. Containinated splipment or working surfaces       1       2       7       3. Containinated splipment or working surfaces       1       2       7         4. Food basined from unsafe source       1       2       7       5. Poor personal hygiene of food hardler       1       2       7         7. Etiology: (77, 78)       Pathogen       Confirmed       2       1       2       7         7. Etiology: (77, 78)       Pathogen       Confirmed       2       1       2       7         9       Pathogen       Confirmed       2       1       2       7         10. Other, specify       Unknown       3       3       3         11. Benderical       Other       2       1	15. Specimens from	food h	andlers (s	tool, lesions, etc.): (70)	16. Factors contr	ibuting to ou	Itbreak (check all applicable):				
Item       Finding       Improper storage of holding temperature       Improper storage of holding temperature         Example:       Ision       C. perfringens, Hobbs type 10       C. Indequate cooking       Improve storage of holding temperature											
Name of reporting spency: (80)         Investigating official:         Normet of reporting spency: (80)         Investigating official:         Normet of reporting spency: (80)         Investigating official:         Date of investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	Example: Jesion		C. ne	rfringens, Hobbs type 10	1. Improper stor     2. Inadequate or	nage or holdi noking	ng temperature				
A. Food obtained from unsafe source       1       2 (7         S. Food obtained from unsafe source       1       2 (7         S. Other, specify       1       2 (7         6. Other, specify       1       2 (7         7. Etiology: (77, 78)       1       1       2 (7         8. Other, specify       1       2 (7         17. Etiology: (77, 78)       1       1       2 (7         6. Other, specify       1       2 (7         7. Other       Confirmed       2 (7         8. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution: unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Name of reporting agency: (60)       Investigating official:         NortE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to:       Enteric Diseases Eratich Bacterial Diseases Division Center for Disease Centrol Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	Example: Teston				3. Containinated	equipment	or working surfaces 1 2 (73				
5. Poor personal hydre of food handler       1       2       7         6. Other, specify       1       2       7         7. Etiology: (77, 78)					4. Food obtaine	d from unsa	fe source				
17. Etiology: (77, 78)         Pathogen         Chemical         Other         Unknown         18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Nume of reporting agency: (80)         Investigating official:         NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Enteric Diseases Branch Eacterial Diseases Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.					5. Poor persona 6. Other specif	I hygiene of	food handler 1 [] 2 (75				
17. Etiology: (17, 78)						y					
Patiogen       1 (79)         Chemical       Confirmed         Other       3         18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Name of reporting agency: (80)         Investigating official:         NoTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To Improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Entaric Diseases Branch Eactory of The Interious Diseases Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	17. Etiology: (77.7	/8)	i								
Chemical       Confirmed       2         Other       Unknown       3         18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve, etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Name of reporting agency: (80)         Investigating official:       Date of investigation:         NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To Improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to:       Enteric Diseases Division Centrol Diseases Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.       State and the completion of every item is not required.	Pathogen				Suspected		[] 1 (79)				
Other	Chemicel				Confirmed						
18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)         Name of reporting agency: (80)         Investigating official:         Note: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to:       Enteric Diseases Econtrol Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.       Date of investigation	Other				Onknown						
Name of reporting agency: (80)         Investigating official:       Date of investigation:         NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.         To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to:       Enteric Diseases Branch Bacterial Diseases Division Center for Diseases Division Center for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	18. Remarks: Briefl to contamination	ly descr n of foo	ibe aspec od, water	ts of the investigation not covered; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add	) above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary)	or sex distrit	oution; unusual circumstances leading				
Investigating official:       Date of investigation:         NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.       To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Enteric Diseases Branch Bacterial Diseases Division Center for Infectious Diseases Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333         Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	18. Remarks: Briefl to contaminatio.	ly descr n of foc	ibe aspec	ts of the investigation not coverec ; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add	i above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary)	or sə× distrit	oution; unusual circumstances leading				
<ul> <li>NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.</li> <li>To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Enteric Diseases Branch Bacterial Diseases Division Center for Infectious Diseases Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333.</li> <li>Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Remarks: Briefl to contaminatio</li> <li>Name of reporting ag</li> </ol>	ly descr n of foc	ibe aspec od, water 80)	ts of the investigation not coverec ; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add	i above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary)	or sox distrib	bution; unusual circumstances leading				
To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Enteric Diseases Branch Bacterial Diseases Division Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333 Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	<ol> <li>Remarks: Briefl to contaminatio</li> <li>Name of reporting ag Investigating official:</li> </ol>	ly descr n of foc lency: (	ibe aspec od, water 80)	ts of the investigation not coverec ; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add	i above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary)	or sox distrib	oution; unusual circumstances leading				
Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.	<ul> <li>18. Remarks: Briefl to contaminatio</li> <li>Name of reporting ag investigating official:</li> <li>NOTE: Epidemic and ment to the 0</li> </ul>	ency: ( Labor Center f	ibe aspec od, water 80) Fatory As for Disea	ts of the investigation not covered ; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add sistance for the investigation of a se Control, Atlanta, Georgia 3033	i above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary) foodborne outbreak is availa 13.	Date of able upon rec	oution; unusual circumstances leading of investigation: quest by the State Health Depart-				
	<ul> <li>18. Remarks: Briefl to contaminatio</li> <li>Name of reporting ag invastigating official:</li> <li>NOTE: Epidemic and ment to the O</li> <li>To improve national</li> </ul>	y descr n of foc lency: ( : d Labor Denter f surveill:	ibe aspec od, water (80) for Disea ance, ple	ts of the investigation not covered ; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add sistance for the investigation of a se Control, Atlanta, Georgia 3033 ase send a copy of this report to:	a above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary) foodborne outbreak is avail 3. Enteric Diseases Branch Bacterial Diseases Divisi Center for Infectious D Centers for Disease Cor otiante Coordina 2020	or sex distrib Date d able upon red on iseases itroi	oution; unusual circumstances leading of investigation: quest by the State Health Depart-				
	<ul> <li>18. Remarks: Briefl to contaminatio</li> <li>Name of reporting ag investigating official:</li> <li>NOTE: Epidemic and ment to the Q</li> <li>To improve national</li> <li>Submitted copies shot</li> </ul>	y descr n of foc lency: ( : d Labor Center f surveill: ould inc	ibe aspec od, water (30) (80) (atory As for Disea ance, ple clude as n	ts of the investigation not covered ; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach add sistance for the investigation of a se Control, Atlanta, Georgia 3033 ase send a copy of this report to: nuch information as possible, but	a above, such as unusual age ditional page if necessary) foodborne outbreak is avail 3. Enteric Diseases Branch Bacterial Diseases Divisi Center for Infectious D Centers for Disease Corr Atlanta, Georgia 3033 the completion of every iter	or sex distrib Date of able upon rea on iseases itroi 3 m is not requ	bution; unusual circumstances leading of investigation: quest by the State Health Depart-				

#### -----...

H. LINE LISTING OF FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS, 1980

		Number	Date	Lab Data				Location Wher	
Etiology	State	Cases	of Onset	Patient	<u>Vehicle</u>	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandle and Faten	
BACTERIAL									
BACILLUS CEREUS									
	Michigan	7	7/29		+		Fried rice	Restaurant	
	New York	118	8/30	+	+		Beef	Restaurant	
	New York Bornowlycenia	4	11/11	+	+		Unknown	Other	
	Tennessee	31	3/21	+	* +		Mexican food	Restaurant	
	Washington	4	6/11	+	+		Fried rice	Deper	
	Washington	5	6/20	•	+		Fried rice	Home	
	Wyoming	2	7/29		+		Fried rice	Delicatessen	
CAMPYLOBACTER									
	California	11	9/99	+			Turkey	Home	
	California	10	99/99	÷			Other/Not spec meat	Other	
	Connecticut	41	6/27	+		÷	Other/Not spec hake	Camp	
	Minnesota	9	9/99	+			Unknown	Other	
	vregon	91	12/22	÷			Milk	Fome	
CLOSTRIDIUM BOTU	LINUM								
	Alaska	1	6/99	+			Other not specified	Fome	
	Alaska Californi	1	8/99	+	+-		Other fish	Home	
	California	1	2/99		+		Other/Not spec meat	Pome	
	California	2	12/00				Other vegetables	Home	
	Colorado	1	7/99	+			Peppers	Роте Ното	
	Kentucky	1	10/99	,	+		Other vegetables	Home	
	Michigan	2	6/99		+		Soups	Ноше	
	North Carolina	1	2/99	+	+		Other vegetables	Ноте	
	Oregon	1	6/99		+		Other vegetables	Home	
	Washington	1	6/99		+		Other vegetables	Pome	
	Washington	1	12/09	+	+		Other vegetables	Ноте	
	New York City	2	8/99 3/99		++		Other/not spec meat Other vegetables	Home Home	
CLOSTRIDIUM PERFI	RINGENS								
	Artzono	63	10/01						
	California	ده ۸	10/01 5/10		+ -		Uther, not specified	Church	
	California	2	7/16	+	7		eer Unknown	Other	
	California	6	8/99	1°	+		Unknown	Restaurant	
	Connecticut	36	9/24	+		+	Beef	Other	
	Connecticut	43	10/23	+	+		Ham	School	
-	Connecticut	30	11/27	+	+	+	Turkey	Restaurant	
	Florida	69	12/17		+	+	Turkey	Church	
	Georgia	55	3/08	÷			Other/not spec meat	Pienie	
	Hawall Montana	4	11/02		+		Beef	Home	
	New York	102	5/15		-+		Multiple vehicles	Restaurant	
	New York	500	10/18	+	+		Other/not spec meat	School Other	
	New York	7	11/28	, +	+		Turkey	Restaurant	
	South Carolina	208	4/19		+		Beef	Camp	
	South Carolina	160	4/99		+	•	Beef	Other	
	Tennessee	62	4/99				Pork	Restaurant	
	Virginia	22	5/11	+	+		Beef	Restaurant	
	Washington	2	3/17	+			Mexican food	Restaurant	
	Washington	4	0/22 7/20	,	+		Beef Beef	Restaurant	
	Washington	ງ 5	10/20	+ -	4		reet Mowiese Ecci	Restaurant	
	Washington	2	$\frac{10}{21}$		Ŧ		Mexican Food	Restaurant	
	Wisconsin	49	4/13	,. +			Inknown	Restaurant	
	New York City	3	3/19		+		Beef	Pestaurant	
ENTEROBACTER									
. coli	Wisconsin	500	3/26	+			Mexican food	Restaurant	
			-, -,	,			Instructure 1000	reseaurant	

		Number	Number Date Lab Data				Location Where	
Etiology	State	of Cases	of <u>Onset</u>	Patient	Vehicle	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandled and Faten
SALMONELLA								
S. enteritidis	Colorado	50	8/99	+		+	Multi vehicles	Other
S. typhimurium	Colorado	36	9/99			•	Unknown	Other
S. thomasville	Connecticut	29	8/03	+	+		Turkey	Other
S. typhimurium	Connecticut	10	9/27	4		+	Unknown	School
S. montevideo	Connecticut	85	10/06	+	+	+	Unknown	Other
S. bredeney	Georgia	55	12/19	÷	+		Нат	Fome
S. agona	Hawaii	31	12/07	+			Chinese food	Pestaurant
S. enteritidis	Illinois	6	9/02	+			Non-specified salads, sauce	Restaurant
S. infantis	Iowa	21	6/12	+			Ice cream	Church
S. typhimurium	Iowa	10	9/02	+			Potato salad	Ноте
<u>S. enteritidis</u>	Massachusetts	165	8/10	+		+	Other, not specified	Restaurant
<u>S. enteritidis</u>	Massachusetts	27	8/24	+		+	Other, not specified	Cafeteria
<u>S</u> . <u>enteritídis</u>	Massachusetts	58	8/27	+		+	Eggs	Restaurant
S. agona	Massachusetts	38	8/30	+	+	+	Beef	Restaurant
<u>S. javiana</u>	Michigan	52	8/02	+	+		Turkey	Other
S. glastrup	Minnesota	30	6/29	+			Unknown	Church
S. typhimurlum	Montana	60	6/25	+	+		Other or not speci- fied dairy	Unknown
S. enteritidis	New Hampshire	46	7/14	+	+	.+	Unknown	Other
S. enteritidis	New Hampshire New York	11 60	7/31 6/19	+ +	+		Egg nog Other or not speci-	Сатр Ноте
	N N 1		- 10-				fied bake	
S. sp	New York	26	//0/	-#-		+	Pork	Restaurant
S. enteritidis	UKLahoma	29	8/08		+		Chicken salad	Church
S. enteritidis	Pennsylvania	9	2/24	+			Uther, not specified	Other
S. Cyphillurium	Pennsylvania	10	3/22	+	+		Питкеу	restaurant
S. newport	South Carolina	285	9/1/	+			Turkey	SC0001
<u>5</u> , sp	Tennessee	200	4/12	+			веел	Other Cabaal
S, enteritiuis	Termessee	203 1	4/99	Ŧ	+	+	Reef	Bostowest
S. sp C. twohi	Towne	16	9/05	-	+ +	-	Other weretables	Comp
S. heidelberg	Tovac	104	12/06	- -	Ŧ	+ +	Unknown	Postaurant
S. derby	Vermont	104	6/24	+	+	Т	MIL	Home
S. typhimurium	Virginia	137	5/01	+	•	4	Unknown	School
S. hadar	Virginia	76	11/27	+	+	, +	Turkey	Restaurant
S. enteritidis	Washington	3	5/24	- <b>-</b>	•	•	Mexican food	Rostaurant
S. enteritidis	Washington	46	9/07	+	+	+	Turkey	Restaurant
S. enteritidis	Washington	135	10/07	+	+	+	Turkey	Restaurant
S. montevideo	Wisconsin	25	1/01	4	•	+	Unknown	Restaurant
S. montevideo	Wisconsin	11	3/14	+		+	Unknown	Restaurant
S. oranienburg	Wisconsin	11	6/01	+			Unknown	Pome
SHIGELLA								
<u>S. flexneri</u>	Alabama	15	9/28	+		+	Other or not speci-	Home
S connei	Arkansas	39	8/07	+			Trina	Other
S connei	Arkaneae	164	0/07	, 		<b>ـ</b>	Potato galad	Camp
S flavort /A	Massachusette	800	0/22	- -		- -	Potato salad	Pionio
S floveri	Minneents	2/	5/00	. , 		ł	Pototo galad	Athor
S connet	New York	24	5/05				Unknorm	Dollantesson
S. sonnei	Pennsylvania	20	2/22	- -		+	Potato galad	Athor
S. sonnei	Pennsylvania	47	8/09	- -		, +	Unknown	Other
S. sonnei	Virginia	38	0/02	, .+		+	Unknown	Rectaurant
S. somei	Washington	20	6/01	+		+	Other figh	Restaurant
S. flexneri	Multiple states	26	5/06	+		Į.	Unknown	Picnic
STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREL	IS							
	Georgia	5	0/10	т	4		Multi-mobiolog	Other
	Hawaii	ر د	10/00	т	+ +		Cuptard decorts	Home
	Kansag	155	10/09			Ŧ	Turkey	School
	Kentucky	رر <sub>1</sub> 7	10/01			Ŧ	Intrey	Delicatesee
	Kentucky	35	+/∠0 7/∩4		- -		Lamb	Picnic
	Massachusetts	20	7/10	+	، ۲		Potato salad	Other
	Mississippi	94	7/9/		+		Multi-vehicles	Other
	Nebraska	15	8/10		, +		Turkey	Restaurant

		Number	Date	Lab Data			Location Where	
Etiology	State	of Cases	of <u>Onset</u>	Patient	Vehicle	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandled and Faten
STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREU	q							
(Cont'd)	New Jersey	11	6/06		+		Other or not speci- fied meat	Home
	New York	18	4/05		+	+	Potato salad	Cafeteria
	New York	3	6/09		+		Other or not speci- fied bake	Pestaurant
	New York	142	8/17		+		Other or not speci- fied meat	Other
	North Carolina	60	8/10	÷	+		Multi vehicles	School
	Pennsylvania	11	6/07	+			Unknown	Other
	Pennsylvania	2	8/20	+	+		Chicken	Restaurant
	Pennsylvania	54	10/15		+		Custard desserts	Other
	Pennsylvania	2	12/08		+		Chicken salad	Restaurant
	Tennessee	27	8/28	+	+	+	Pork	Restaurant
	Tennessee	1/	9/26	+	+		Multi vehicles	Home
	Vinginto	0	0/14		+		Sausage	Restaurant
	Virginia	21	0/10		+	Ŧ	nam Tueleee	Other
	Virginia	21	6/16	Ŧ	+		lurkey U-m	Church
	Washington	י ג	4/10	т Т	т	Ŧ	nam Chiakan	Restaurant
	Weet Virginia	17	5/20	т 1	+	-	Detete seled	Other
	Wisconsin	17	5/03	, +	+	C.	Hom	Churah
	New York City	89	8/12	1	+	÷	Multi vebicles	Other
VIBRING PARAHAFMOLVI	TCUS		0, 11				Mill venicies	
TIDATOD TRAMINATIONT	And		10/0/					
	Arizona Florida	4	10/24	+			Shellfish	Home
	Cuam	2.	7/00	.I.	Ŧ		SnellIisn Challfish	Kestaurant
	Guam	3	8/16				Shallfish	Ноте
Other Lestends	Neeth Courties		11/00					nome
other bacterial	North Carolina	40	11/22	÷	+		Shellfish	Picnic
PARASITIC								
TRICHINELLA SPIRALIS	e .							
	Alaska	8	12/20		+		Other/Not spec meat	Home
	1111nois	3	1/25	+			Sausage	Home
	Louisiana	9	2/99	+			Pork	Other
		10	4/99	+			Sausage	Other
Other paragitic	California	0	0/11	т Т	7		POFK Other/Fish	Pome Other
Other parasitic	Californía	10	9/11	т +			Other/Fish	Nome
ounce parabitit	olifionit	10	57.02	·			other/rish	Polle
VIRAL (HEPATITIS)								
	California	10	7/04	Ŧ		<b>L</b> .	Unimorm	Postsument
	Colorado	12	0/00	т 1		+ +	Tune	Restaurant
	Tllinois	3	11/19	т +		Ŧ	Shollfleh	Postauraat
	Massachusette	งกั	1/02	r +		+	Multi vehicles	Cafotoria
	North Carolina	20	6/16	۲. بلد		+	Unknown	Pectaurast
	Oklahoma	8	1/10	+		+	Chaasa	Other
	Virginia	12	2/14			, +	Unknown	Other
	Virginia	10	3/99	+		+	Multi vehicles	Other
	Washington	9	11/18	+			Unknown	Restaurant
	Wisconsin	6	3/18	+		· · +	Unknown	Restaurant
Other viral	Arizona	19	5/10	+		+	Other vegetables	Other
Other viral	Florida	5	99/99	+			Unknown	Home
CHEMICAL								
Paralytic shellfish	Alaska	2	8/25		+		Shellfish	Home
Paralytic shellfish	California	58	7/18				Shellfish	Other
Paralytic shellfish	California	36	7/19				Shellfish	Other
Paralytic shellfish	Massachusetts	15	8/30		+		Shellfish	Home .
Paralytic shellfish	Tennessee	5	10/19				Sbellfish	Restaurant

		Number	Date		Lab Data			Location Where	
		of	of	•		Food-		Food Mishandled	
Etiology	State	Cases	Onset	Patient	<u>Vehicle</u>	Handler	Vehicle	and Eaten	
Scombrotoxin	California	1	3/30				MahimMahi	Other	
Scombrotoxin	California	2	5/15	+	÷		Mahi-Mahi	Postouront	
Scombrotoxin	California	2	6/28		+		Mahi-Mahi	Pootaurant	
Scombrotoxin	California	8	7/03		Т		Othor fish	Other	
Scombrotoxin	Florida	20	12/12		-		Tupe	Other	
Scombrotovin	Howaii	20	2/13		Τ"		Iuna Obliga Glab	Uther Massa	
Scombrotowin	Wawaii	2	2/12				Viner fish	Home	
Scombrotowin	Uowaii	5	2/15		+		Mani-Mani	Pome	
Scombrotowin	Hawatt	1	2/20		+		Man1-Man1	Restaurant	
Scombrotowin	nawali Ugrafi	3	3/2/		+		Mahi-Mahi	Other	
Scombrotoxin	nawari Urawadd	L	4/19				Mahi-Mahi	Other	
Scomprotoxin	Hawaii	1	5/15				Tuna	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin		1	6/23				Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scomprotoxin	Hawaii	1	7/09		+		Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Hawall	2	7/10				Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	5	7/25				Mahi-Mahi	Other	
Scombrotoxin	Hawali	1	8/05				Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	1	8/26		+		Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	2	10/05		+		Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	19	11/14				Mahi-Mahi	Cafeteria	
Scombrotoxin	Illinois	30	3/19		+		Mahi-Mahi	Other	
Scombrotoxin	Illinois	3	4/02				Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Illinois	2	4/03				Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Michigan	2	3/24		+		Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Michigan	21	4/02		+		Mahi-Mahi	Other	
Scombrotoxin	Michigan	2	4/03		+		Mahi-Mahi	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	New Jersey	3	8/08		+		Other Fish	Home	
Scombrotoxin	New Jersey	2	11/11		+		Tuna	Home	
Scombrotoxin	New York	10	9/25		+		Other fish	Restaurant	
Scombrotoxin	Pennsylvania	2	8/26				Other fish	Restaurant	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	4	3/02		+		Other fish	Ноте	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	í	3/25		+		Other figh	Home	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	4/20		+		Other fish	Ното	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	4/27		+		Unknown	Ното	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	4/00		-+-		Other fich	Home	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	5	5/18		- -		Other fish	Ноте	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	4	6/25		-		Other 1180 Other fish	Homo	
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	7/29		F		Other fish	Fome	
Ciguatoxin	Hawail	2	8/06		÷.		Other fish	Ното	
Ciguatorin	Hawai 1	3	0/17		۰۲ ملہ		Other fish	лоше	
( ustovin	Hawatt	נ נו	0/1/		т 		Ambania at	Pome	
Ciguatowin	Hawart	13	0/24		+		Amberjack	Uther	
Ciguatoxin	Havali	ļ	9/01		+		Other fish	Home	
Ciguatoxin	nawali	4	9/10		+		Other fish	Home	
	Hawall	3	9/30		+		Other fish	Home	
	Hawall	2	11/16		+		Other fish	Home	
metal	Washington	5	1/31		+		Non-dairy heverages	Church	
Other chemical	Connecticut	16	1/23				Other fish	Restaurant	
Other chemical	Connecticut	2	10/07		+		Other fish	Restaurant	
Other chemical	Florida	17	4/30		+		Non-dairy beverages	Restaurant	
Other chemical	Illinois	18	12/17		+		Other/not specified	Other	
Other chemical	Minnesota	3	2/15		+			Restaurant	
Other chemical	Minnesota	19	6/06	+	+		Source Cream	Vomo	
Other chemical	Minnesota	160	8/20				Multi webielee	Other	
Other chemical	Minnesota	105 C	0/29				ruiti venicies Carbonatod detel	Destaur	
Other chemical	Now Jorcow	1.6	7/13				Carponated Orink	restaurant Oburrh	
Other chemical	New Jersey	10	7/11		+		utner, not specified	Cource	
Othor about of	New Jersey	25	//11		+		Uther vegetables	.amp	
Other chemical	New Jersey	6	9/20				Other vegetables	Home	
Other chemical	Onith Complete	2	5/23	+	+		Uther, not specified	Home	
ocher chémical	South Carolina	9	11/20		+		Soups	Other	
other chemical	Washington	2	4/30				Unknown	Other	
Other chemical	Washington	2	5/02		+		Other, not specified	Home	
Other chemical	New York City	1	8/03		+		Carbonated drink	0ther	

# UNKNOWN

-

A line listing of outbreaks of unknown etiology may be obtained by writing to the Fnteric Diseases Branch, Racterial Diseases Division, Center for Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

# I. <u>Selected Foodborne Outbreak Articles</u>, <u>1980</u>, <u>Taken From Morbidity and Mortality</u> Weekly Report

Scombroid Poisoning - New Jersey (MMWR 1980;29(9):106-107)

On October 4 and 5, 1979, 35 cases of scrombroid fish poisoning occurred at 2 Catholic monasteries in New Jersey among nuns who shared tuna fish from a common, non-commercial source.

Illness was first reported on October 4. Following a dinner of broiled tuna fish, 2 sisters from 1 of the monasteries were hospitalized for explosive vomiting and diarrhea. All 23 nuns who ate the fish became ill, while 4 who did not eat the fish experienced no symptoms (p=.0006. Fisher's exact test 2-tailed). An unusual bitter or peppery taste was noted immediately by 7 (30%). Onset of symptoms occurred a mean of 39 minutes after eating the fish (range of 5 minutes to 2 hours). Symptoms included facial flushing (82.6%), diarrhea (73.9%), headache (69.6%), erythema other than facial (56.5%), palpitations (43.5%), nausea (43.5%), dizziness (43.5%), prostration (43.5%), chills (39.1%), unusual thirst (30.4%), itching (30.4%), blurred vision (26.1%), cramps (21.7%), and vomiting (17.4%). Conjunctival injection, reported as a common acute occurrence, could not be quantitated. Duration of the major symptom complex was under 6 hours, although weakness and fatigue persisted for 24 hours. The 2 hospitalized patients, ages 65 and 66, were treated with antihistamines, fluid, and electrolyte replacement over a 24-hour observation period and then released.

The incriminated fish was a gift from a second monastery, which had received a total of 9 "fresh", yellow-fin tuna from a non-commercial fisherman on August 28. Nuns at this monastery had donated 1 fish to their sister convent and had dined on the remaining 8 tuna 5 times. Twice they had experienced the typical scrombroid symptom complex, but they attributed it to improper cooking. Just before the New Jersey State Department of Health investigators arrived on October 5, the nuns had prepared frozen tuna steaks. They had boiled them for 1 hour in an attempt to eliminate the cause of the illness. Mild nausea, flushing or dizziness occurred within minutes in 12 of 20 (60%) nuns who ate the fish. All recovered within 3 hours. Analysis of the tuna fish for free base histamine was conducted by the Food and Drug Administration's Brooklyn Laboratory by the fluorimetric method and yielded results of 370 mb/100 g.

On August 27, 6 amateur sportsmen caught 28 yellow-fin tuna, weighing 45-105 pounds apiece, off the New Jersey coast. Because of the unusually large catch, only some of the uncleaned fish could be chilled in ice boxes. The rest were left covered on the deck and periodically hosed with seawater. On shore the catch was divided, and 6 of the uncleaned fish were subsequently refrigerated, but not frozen, at the home of the brother of 1 of the nuns. The next day, 5 of these fish plus 4 others were transported without refrigeration to the monastery. The sixth uncleaned fish subsequently spoiled and was discarded. All of the other fish were eaten by persons who did not become ill.

Editorial Note: Scombroid fish poisoning is a continuing problem in the United States: 32 outbreaks involving 207 individuals were reported to CDC between 1975 and 1979. The disease takes its name from the family Scombridae (tuna and related species) because of the frequent association of fish in this family with illness. The fish most commonly implicated as the cause of illness for the last 5 years, however, has been mahi mahi (dolphin), which has accounted for 13 (40%) of fishassociated outbreaks reported.

Scombroid poisoning results from the ingestion of heat-stable toxins produced by bacterial action on dark meat fish (1,2). High levels of histamine in the fish correlate with occurrence of illness; disease usually results when concentrations

exceed 20 mg/100 g. The disease is preventable if the fish is properly handled, particularly if it is refrigerated early and adequately.

#### References

1. Arnold SH, Brown WD. Histamine toxicity from fish products. Adv in Food Res 1978;24:113-54.

2. Halstead BW. Class osteichthyes: poisonous scombrotoxic fishes. In: Halstead BW. Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton: Darwin Press, Inc. 1978:417-35.

# Trichinosis - Louisiana (MMWR 1980;29(26):309-10)

Louisiana recently reported an outbreak of trichinosis involving 15 persons. One patient died. This is the first reported death from trichinosis in Louisiana in at least 40 years.

The index patient was a 50-year old female from Evangeline Parish who had onset of intermittent diarrhea and nausea in late April 1980. On May 13, headache, photophobia, myalgias, and periorbital edema developed. Her condition worsened, and she was admitted to a local hospital on May 19 with a temperature of 103.2 F (39.5 C). Her white blood cell (WBC) count varied between 12,800/mm<sup>3</sup> and 30,300/mm<sup>3</sup>, with 10%-16% eosinophils. She was treated with dexamethasone for 10 days for a possible allergic reaction and improved. On June 2, she began having seizures and labored respiration, and she was airlifted to New Orleans for emergency treatment. The next day, after she had been medically stabilized, a quadriceps-muscle biopsy was performed; the specimen was found to be positive for <u>Trichinella spiralis</u>. Computerassisted tomography (CAT) scans of the head on June 6 and 9 revealed enlarged areas of hemorrhage in the right parietal region.

The patient was placed on steroids. She initially showed some improvement, but then her condition worsened and, on June 12, she died. At autopsy, massive cerebral edema was found secondary to bilateral cortical vein and dural sinus thromboses. The hemorrhage discovered on the CAT scan was also confirmed. A bentonite-flocculation test for <u>Trichinella</u> performed on June 2 was subsequently reported as positive at a dilution of 1:20. Interviews with family members indicated that the patient prepared and ate pork sausage frequently, but there was no definite history of ingestion of raw sausage.

An investigation begun by the Louisiana State Department of Health and Human Resources on June 2 revealed that 15 persons in Evangeline and Jefferson Davis Parishes had had an illness that fit the clinical syndrome of trichinosis. Ten of the 15 patients gave a definite history of eating raw smoked sausage. These patients included 6 males and 9 females, who ranged in age from 19 to 50 years (mean, 35.3 years). The dates of onset ranged from late April to May 22; incubation periods varied from 4 to 20 days. Ten of the 15 patients were hospitalized. One other patient had a muscle biopsy that was positive for T. spiralis.

Editorial note: This is the third outbreak of trichinosis in southwestern Louisiana in the last 16 months. In the period February-March 1979, there was an outbreak involving 20 cases in Allen and Calcasieu parishes, and in February and March 1980, 9 cases occurred in Acadia Parish. All the outbreaks were related to the consumption of raw or partially cooked pork products.

<u>Trichinella</u> larvae are killed by heating pork to at least 137 F (58.3 C). Because the smoking process often does not heat meat to this temperature, all smoked pork products should be cooked before they are eaten. In making sausage at home, tasting even small bits of the raw sausage to assure an adequate mixture of spices can be a risk. Since there are only approximately 100 cases of trichinosis reported each year in the United States, these 3 outbreaks represent a significant percentage of the entire number of cases reported over the last 16 months. The popularity of pork sausage in Louisiana, especially in the southwestern section, may account for the concentration of cases in that area. Physicians should be alert to the diagnosis of trichinosis in any patient who has muscle aches and pain, with fever, weakness, periorbital edema, or increased eosinophil count.

A definitive diagnosis can be made from a muscle biopsy, but acute and convalescent sera should be submitted to the local health department for serodiagnostic testing.

## Plant Poisonings - New Jersey (MMWR 1980;30(6):65-67)

In 2 separate episodes, within a 2 1/2-month period in 1980, 27 New Jersey residents were poisoned by eating wild plants. The poisonings were serious enough that 21 persons sought medical care; 4 were hospitalized.

<u>Pokeweed poisoning - Passaic County</u>: On July 11, an outbreak of gastrointestinal illness related to eating pokeweed leaves affected campers in a large day camp. Initial reports indicated that the outbreak was limited to a "nature group" whose members had sampled a salad made with this wild plant.

The group, comprising 52 campers and counselors, had been offered pokeweed salad prepared from young leaves picked, boiled, drained and reboiled that morning, a method that reputedly ensured the plant's edibility. Sixteen (31%) of the 51 interviewed met the case definition (vomiting accompanied by any 3 of the following on the day they ate the salad: nausea, diarrhea, stomach cramps, dizziness, and headache). Nine others who were not part of the nature group also had tasted the salad; 5 (56%) of these became ill.

Of the 21 ill campers, 18 (86%) experienced nausea, 18 (86%) stomach cramps, 17 (81%) vomiting, 11 (52%) headache, 10 (48%) dizziness, 8 (38%) burning in the stomach or mouth; and 6 (29%) diarrhea. Persons became ill 1/2 to 5 1/2 hours (mean 3 hours) after eating the pokeweed. Symptoms lasted 1 to 48 hours, with a mean of 24 hours. Eighteen persons were seen in local emergency rooms or physicians' offices. Four of these were hospitalized for 24 to 48 hours for protracted vomiting and dehydration.

Food-history analysis was done for all 60 persons. Salad was the only food item significantly associated with illness. Twenty (43%) of the 46 persons who ate poke-weed became ill compared with 1 (7%) of 14 who did not eat it (p=.01). Moreover, for those who ate the salad, illness was associated with eating at least 1 teaspoon-ful compared with less than 1 teaspoonful (p=.02). Vomitus analyzed for 7 persons was negative for Staphylococcus aureus.

Jimsonweed toxicosis - Mercer County: Six New Jersey teenagers became ill on September 20, shortly after consuming a combination of jimsonweed seeds and alcohol. The number of seed pods eaten ranged from 1/2 to 2. In addition, each teenager drank up to 1 quart of beer and approximately 1-2 oz of whiskey.

While symptoms, time of onset, and duration of illness were difficult to determine precisely because of the teenagers' disorientation, illness was characterized by hallucinations (all 6), dry mouth (6), thirst (5), blurred vision (5), flushed skin (4), inability to urinate (4), and slurred speech (4). The illness began approximately 1/2 to 1 3/4 hours after ingestion of the seeds and lasted 18 hours to 9 days. Blurred vision was the longest lasting symptom.

Three teenagers sought medical attention in a local emergency room between 8 and 18 hours after eating the seeds. In each case the diagnosis was "drug ingestion," and all 3 were sent home untreated to be observed by family members.

Editorial Note: Both pokeweed (Phytolacca americana) and jimsonweed (Datura stramonium) are ubiquitous, growing in cultivated fields, near roadsides, and in other undeveloped areas. Pokeweed may be found in any size up to 3 meters tall. Small, white, round flowers on long green, red, or purple stalks mature to distinctive purple-black, juicy berries (inkberries). There is disagreement about edible parts, season of edibility, and methods of preparation of pokeweed, also whether the plant should be eaten at all. Indeed, the camp counselor in the Passaic outbreak had been preparing and serving pokeweed salad for many years without apparent ill effects. There is general agreement that the root is the most toxic part and that toxin levels throughout the rest of the plant increase as the plant matures. The main toxic agent of pokeweed is phytolaccine, which has strong emetic properties (1-3).

Jimsonweed--also known as Jamestown weed, loco weed, and thorn apple, among other names--is a tall, multibranched, annual herb that grows to 1 1/2 meters in height. The leaves are broadly ovate, dark green above, and lighter beneath. The fruit is a prickly 4-celled capsule, containing large, pitted, dark-brown or black seeds. Both the leaves and the seeds are poisonous if ingested. During the autumn, when the pods open and seeds are abundant, reports of atropine-like poisoning in adolescents who have eaten these seeds are not uncommon (4). The poisonous substances contained in the entire plant, but concentrated in the seeds, are alkaloids: hyoscyamine, atropine, and hyoscine (scopolamine). The toxin is a stimulant and mydriatic with parasympathetic actions. It blocks motor, secretory, and inhibitory effects of acetylcholine on smooth muscle tissue and can also be a convulsant (1).

Many people who use herbs for tea, medicine, or food may be unaware of the possible toxic effect of the herbs they consume. Reports show that even some herbs purchased in retail stores have been toxic to consumers (5).

Because of a lack of scientific data on the safety of herbs the Food and Drug Administration is receiving increasing numbers of requests from consumers and physicians for information. Information such as botanical identity, amount and part of the plant that is edible, and maturity of the plant are often missing from published reports of human herbal poisonings. In addition, because of the difficulty in eliciting histories from intoxicated patients, symptoms resulting from ingestion of the herb may be inaccurately recorded. Furthermore, the pharmacologically active compounds of some herbs are unknown, and methods are currently unavailable for analyzing these compounds. Since the scientific literature on many wild herbs is limited, consumers need to be aware that there are risks involved in eating wild plants of undocumented safety.

#### References.

1. Centers for Disease Control. Diseases transmitted by foods. Atlanta: CDC, 1978 (HEW publication no. (CDC) 78-8237).

2. Hardin JW, Arena JM. Human poisoning from native and cultivated plants, 2nd ed. Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1974;50-4.

3. Fernald ML, Kinsey AC, Collins RC. Edible wild plants of eastern North America. New York: Harper & Row, 1958;185-7.

4. Shervette RE, Schydlower M, Lampe RM, Fearnow RG. Jimson "loco" weed abuse in adolescents. Pediatrics 1979;63:520-3.

5. Anonymous. Toxic reactions to plant products sold in health-food stores. The Medical Letter on Drugs and Therapeutics 1979;21 (no. 7):29-31.

Salmonellosis Associated with Raw Milk - Montana (MMWR 1980;30(18):211-12)

In the period June 25-August 3, 1980, an outbreak of enteritis caused by multiresistant Salmonella typhimurium occurred in 105 persons who drank raw milk

from a local dairy in Montana. Isolates from 77 patients were confirmed as <u>Salmonella</u> group B; 22 were serotyped as <u>S. typhimurium</u>. All of these isolates were resistant to tetracycline, ampicillin, kanamycin, streptomycin, sulfonamides, and cephalothin.

The median age for persons with confirmed cases was 14 years (range 3 weeks - 71 years). The following symptoms were noted: diarrhea (96%), fever (92%), abdominal pain (86%), headache (66%), chills (50%), nausea (49%), and vomiting (32%).

Raw milk was ingested in the 3 days before onset of illness by 59 of the 77 persons with confirmed cases. A matched-pair case-control study of 36 ill persons and neighborhood controls matched for age and sex showed a significant association (p<0.001, McNemar test) between drinking raw milk and being ill. A group of 19 children and 4 adults visited the dairy on July 2; each drank 2 oz. of raw milk. One child became ill with diarrhea 72 hours later. Two weeks after the visit, 6 of 13 members of this group (including the symptomatic child) were found to be excreting Salmonella.

The dairy produces about 3,000 gallons of raw milk each week. It is the least expensive milk on sale in the area and is sold only at the dairy. Multiresistant <u>S</u>. <u>typhimurium</u> was isolated from 2 of 6 unopened milk samples obtained in the period July 8-19. Extensive environmental culturing did not show how the milk had been contaminated. No salmonellae were isolated from fecal specimens from dairy cattle, from water and feed samples, from fecal specimens from dairy employees, or from swabs from milking machinery. The cattle feed did not contain antimicrobials, and no signs of mastitis among the milk cows were reported.

Editorial Note: The milk at this dairy caused a large outbreak of salmonellosis, although there were no obvious breaches in proper milking technique or dairy husbandry practice. Raw milk, even when strictly controlled or certified, may be contaminated with <u>Salmonella</u> (1). In Scotland, where 10% of the milk consumed is unpasteurized, 29 raw-milk-associated <u>Salmonella</u> outbreaks involving 2,428 persons were recognized in the period 1970-1979 (2). <u>S. typhimurium</u> was isolated in 19 (66%) of these milkborne outbreaks.

In the United States, <u>S. typhimurium</u> is the species most frequently isolated from cattle (43% of isolates), but unlike <u>S. dublin</u>, which is host specific to cattle, <u>S. typhimurium</u> has been isolated just as frequently from other domestic animals (3). In a random sample of <u>S. typhimurium</u> strains isolated in 19 states in the period 1979-1980, CDC investigators found that 52 of 308 (17%) were resistant to 1 or more antimicrobial agents. Another study showed that <u>S. typhimurium</u> was the species most frequently resistant to antimicrobials; it also showed that the most common antibiotic-resistance pattern for multiresistant salmonellae (20.4% of the isolates) was that seen in the outbreak reported here (4).

# J. Bibliography

# GENERAL

1. Bryan FL. Emerging foodborne diseases. I. Their surveillance and epidemiology. II. Factors that contribute to outbreaks and their control. J Milk Food Technol 1972;35:618-25, 632-8.

2. Bryan FA. Factors that contribute to outbreaks of foodborne disease. J of Food Protection 1978;41:816-27.

3. Bryan FL. Foodborne diseases in the United States associated with meat and poultry. J of Food Protection 1980;43:140-50.

4. Food Research Institute. Annual report 1979, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

5. Horwitz MA. Specific diagnosis of foodborne disease. Gastroent 1977;73:375-81.

6. Riemann H, ed. Foodborne infections and intoxications. New York: Academic Press, 1969.

7. Sours HE, Smith DG. Outbreaks of foodborne disease in the United States, 1972-1978. J Infect Dis 1980;142:122-5.

# BACTERIAL

#### Bacillus cereus

1. Giannella RA, Brasile L. A hospital foodborne outbreak of diarrhea caused by <u>Bacillus cereus</u>: Clinical, epidemiologic, and microbiologic studies. J Infect Dis 1979;139:366-70.

2. Mortimer PR, McCann G. Food poisoning episodes associated with <u>Bacillus</u> cereus in fried rice. Lancet 1974;1:1043-5.

3. Terranova W, Blake PA. <u>Bacillus cereus</u> food poisoning. N Engl J Med 1978;298:143-4.

4. Turnbull PCB, Kramer JM, Torgensen K, Gilbert RJ, Melling J. Properties and production characteristics of vomiting, diarrheal, and necrotizing toxins of Bacillus cereus. Am J Clin Nutr 1979;32:219-28.

#### Brucella

1. Buchanan TM, et al. Brucellosis in the United States 1960-1972. Med 1974;53:403-39.

2. Fox MD, Kaufman AF. Brucellosis in the United States, 1965-1974. J Infect Dis 1977;136:312-6.

Spink WW. The nature of brucellosis. Minneapolis, Lund Press, Inc., 1956.
 4. Young EJ, Suvannaparrat U. Brucellosis outbreak attributed to ingestion of unpasteurized goat cheese. Arch Intern Med 1975;135:240-3.

#### Campylobacter

1. Blaser MJ, et al. Campylobacter enteritis. N Engl J Med 1981;305:1444-52.

#### Clostridium botulinum

1. Center for Disease Control. Botulism in the United States, 1899-1977. Handbook for Epidemiologists, Clinicians, and Laboratory Workers, CDC, Atlanta, May 1979.

2. Cherington M. Botulism. Ten-year experience. Arch Neurol 1974;30:432-7.

3. Dowell VR Jr, McCroskey LM, Hatheway CL, et al. Coproexamination for botulinal toxin and <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> - A new procedure for laboratory diagnosis of botulism. JAMA 1977;238:1829-32. 4. Koenig MG, Spichard A, Cardella MA, et al. Clinical and laboratory observations of type E botulism in man. Med 1964;43:517-45.

5. Koenig MG, Drutz DJ, Mushlin AI, et al. Type B botulism in man. Am J Med 1967;42:208-19.

6. Morris JG, Hatheway CL. Botulism in the United States, 1979. J Infect Dis 1980;142:302-5.

#### Clostridium perfringens

1. Bryan FL. What the sanitarian should know about <u>Clostridium</u> foodborne illness. J Milk Food Technol 1969;32:381-9.

2. Lowenstein MS. Epidemiology of <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> food poisoning. N Engl J Med 1972;286(19):1026-7.

3. Stringer MF, Turnbull PCB, Gilbert RJ. Application of serological typing to the investigation of outbreaks of <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> food poisoning, 1970-1978. J Hyg (Camb) 1980;84:443-56.

#### Escherichia coli

1. Marier R, Wells JG, Swanson RC, Callahan W, Mehlman IJ. An outbreak of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli foodborne disease traced to imported French cheese. Lancet 1973;2:1376-8.

2. Sack RB. Human diarrheal disease caused by enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. Ann Rev Microbiol 1975;29:333-53.

#### Salmonella

1. Aserkoff B, Schroeder SA, Brachman PS. Salmonellosis in the United States--A five-year review. Am J Epidemiol 1970;92:13-24.

2. Bryan FL. What the sanitarian should know about salmonellae and staphylococci in non-dairy foods. II. Salmonellae. J Milk Food Technol 1968;31:131-40.

3. Cohen ML, Blake PA. Trends in foodborne salmonellosis outbreaks: 1963-1975. J Food Protection 1977;40:798-800.

#### Shigella

 Black RE, Craun GF, Blake PA. Epidemiology of comon-source outbreaks of shigellosis in the United States, 1961-1975. Am J Epidemiol 1978;108:47-52.
 2. Donadio JA, Gangarosa EJ. Foodborne shigellosis. J Infect Dis 1969;119:666-8.

#### Staphylococcus

1. Bryan FL. What the sanitarian should know about salmonellae and staphylococci in non-dairy foods. I. Staphylococci. J Milk Food Technol 1968;31:110-16.

2. Merson MH. The epidemiology of staphylococcal foodborne disease. Proceedings of Staphylococci in Foods Conference, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, 1973, pp 20-37.

3. Minor TE, Marth EH. <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> and staphylococcal food poisoning. J Milk Food Technol 1972;34:21-39, 77-83, 227-241, 1973;35:447-76.

#### Group A Streptococcus

1. Hill HR, Zimmerman RA, Reid GVK, Wilson E, Kitton RM. Foodborne epidemic of streptococcal pharyngitis at the United States Air Force Academy. N Engl J Med 1969;280:917-21.

2. McCormick JB, Kay D, Hayes M, Feldman RA. Epidemic streptococcal sore throat following a community picnic. JAMA 1976;236:1039-41.

#### Vibrio cholerae Ol

1. Blake PA, Allegra DT, Snyder JD, Barrett TJ, McFarland L, Caraway CT, Feeley JC, Craig JP, Lee JV, Puhr ND, Feldman RA. Cholera--A possible endemic focus in the United States. N Engl J Med 1980;302:305-309.

2. Gangarosa EJ, Mosley WH. Epidemiology and surveillance of cholera. Cholera, edited by Barua D, Burrows W. Philadelphia, London, Toronto, WB Saunders Co., 1974, p 381.

#### Vibrio cholerae Non-Ol

1. Hughes JM, Hollis DG, Gangarosa EJ, Weaver RE. Non-cholera vibrio infections in the United States--Clinical, epidemiologic, and laboratory features. Ann Intern Med 1978;88:602-6.

2. Blake PA, Weaver RE, Hollis DG. Diseases of humans (other than cholera) caused by Vibrios. Ann Rev Microbiol 1980;34:341-67.

3. Morris JG, Wilson R, Davis BR, Wachsmuth IK, Conradine FR, Wathen HG, Pollard RA, Blake PA. Non-O Group 1 Vibrio cholerae gastroenteritis in the United States. Ann Intern Med 1981;94:656-8.

#### Vibrio parahaemolyticus

1. Barker WH. <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u> outbreaks in the United States. Lancet 1974;1:551-4.

2. Lawrence DN, Blake PA, et al. <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus gastroenteritis</u> outbreaks aboard two cruise ships. Am J Epidemiol 1979;10:71-80.

3. Blake PA, Weaver RE, Hollis DG. Diseases of humans (other than cholera) caused by Vibrios. Ann Rev Microbiol 1980;34:341-67.

#### CHEMI CAL

Heavy Metal

Cadmium

1. Baker TD, Hafnew WG. Cadmium poisoning from a refrigerator shelf used as an improvised barbecue grill. Public Health Rep 1961;76:543-4.

Copper

1. Hopper SH, Adams HS. Copper poisoning from vending machines. Public Health Rep 1958;73:910-4.

2. Semple AB, Parry WH, Phillips DE. Acute copper poisoning: An outbreak traced to contaminated water from a corroded geyser. Lancet 1960;2:700-1.

Tin

1. Barker WH, Runte V. Tomato juice-associated gastroenteritis. Washington and Oregon, 1969. Am J Epidemiol 1972;96:219-26.

Zinc

1. Brown MA, Thom JV, Orth GL, et al. Food poisoning involving zinc contamination. Arch Environ Health 1964;8:657-60.

#### Ciguatoxin

1. Bagnis R, Chanteau S, Chungue E, Huntel JM, Yasumoto T, Inoue A. Origins of ciguatera fish poisoning: A new dinoflagellate, <u>Gambierdiscus toxicus</u> Adachi and Fukuyo, definitely involved as a causal agent. Toxicon 1980;18:199-208.

2. Halstead BW. Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1978, pp 325-402.

3. Hughes JM, Merson MH. Fish and shellfish poisoning. N Engl J Med 1976;295:1117-20.

4. Lawrence DN, Enriquez MB, Lumish RM, Maceo A. Ciguatera fish poisoning in Miami. JAMA 1980;244:254-8.

5. Morris JG, Lewin P, Smith CW, Blake PA, Schneider R. Ciguatera fish poisoning: Epidemiology of the disease on St. Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1982;31:574-8.

6. Morris JG, Lewin P, Hargrett NT, Smith CW, Blake PA, Schneider R. Clinical features of ciguatera fish poisoning: A study of the disease in the US Virgin Islands. Arch Intern Med 1982;142:1090-2.

# Puffer Fish (tetrodotoxin)

1. Halstead BW. Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1978, pp 437-548.

2. Torda TA, Sinclair E, Ulyatt DB. Puffer fish (tetrodotoxin) poisoning: Clinical record and suggested management. Med J Aust 1973;1:599-602.

#### Scombrotoxin

1. Arnold SH, Brown WD. Histamine toxicity from fish products. Advances in Food Research 1978;24:113-54.

2. Gilbert RJ, Hobbs G, Murray CK, Cruickshank JG, Young SEJ. Scombrotoxic fish poisoning: Features of the first 50 incidents to be reported in Britain (1976-1979). British Med J 1980;281:71-2.

3. Halstead BW. Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1978, pp 417-35.

4. Hughes JM, Merson MH. Fish and shellfish poisoning. N Engl J Med 1976;295:1117-20.

5. Merson MH, Baine WB, Gangarosa EJ, Swanson RC. Scombroid fish poisoning: Outbreak traced to commercially canned tuna fish. JAMA 1974;228:1268-9.

#### Monosodium Glutamate

1. Schaumburg HH, Byck R, Gerstl R, Mashman JH. Monosodium L-glutamate; its pharmacology and role in the Chinese restaurant syndrome. Science 1969;163:826-8.

#### Mushroom Poison

1. Becker CE, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of Amanita phalloides type mushroom poisoning - use of thiotic acid. West J Med 1976;125:100-9.

2. Benedict RG. Mushroom toxins other than Amanita, Kadis S, Ciegler A, Ajl SJ: Microbial toxins, Vol 8 Fungal toxins, New York and London, Academic Press, 1972, pp 281-320.

3. Mitchel DH. Amanita mushroom poisoning. Ann Rev Med 1980;31:51-7.

4. Tyler VE. Poisonous mushrooms: Progress in chemical toxicology. Vol 1, edited by Stolman A, New York Academic Press, 1963, pp 339-84.

#### Paralytic and Neurotoxic Shellfish Poison

1. Halstead BW. Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. The Darwin Press, Princeton, 1978, pp 43-78.

2. Hughes JM, Merson MH. Fish and shellfish poisoning. N Engl J Med 1976;295:1117-20.

3. Music SI, Howell JT, Brumback CL. Red tide: its public health implications. J Fla Med Assoc 1973;60:27-9.

#### PARASITIC

#### Anisakidae

 Chitwood MD. Nematodes of medical significance found in market fish. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1970;19:599-602.

#### Trichinella spiralis

1. Gould SE. Trichinosis in man and animals. Springfield, Ill, Charles C. Thomas, 1970.

2. Zimmerman WJ, Steele JH, Kagan IG. Trichinosis in the U.S. population 1966-1970--Prevalence and epidemiologic factors. Health Services Rep 1973;88:606-23.

#### Toxoplasma gondii

1. Kean BH, Kimball AC, Christensen WN. An epidemic of acute toxoplasmosis. JAMA 1969;208:1002-4.

## VIRAL

#### Hepatitis A

1. Cliver DO. Implications of foodborne infectious hepatitis. Public Health Rep 1966;81:159-65.

2. Gravelle CR, Hornbeck CL, Maynard JE, et al. Hepatitis A: Report of a common-source outbreak with recovery of a possible etiologic agent. II. Laboratory studies. J Infect Dis 1975;131:167-71.

3. Leger RT, Boyer KM, Pattison CP, et al. Hepatitis A: Report of a common-source outbreak with recovery of a possible etiologic agent. I. Epidemiologic studies. J Infect Dis 1975;131:163.



STATE AND TERRITORIAL EPIDEMIOLOGISTS AND STATE LABORATORY DIRECTORS The State and Territorial Epidemiologists are the key to all disease surveillance activities, and their contributions to this report are gratefully acknowledged. In addition, valuable contributions are made by State Laboratory Directors.

State

Alabama Alaska Arízona Arkansas Californía Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Guam Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Loya Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Marvland Massachusetts Michigan Micronesia\* Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York State New York City North Carolina North Dakota Northern Mariana Islands\* Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Palau\* Pennsylvania Puerto Rico Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Virgin Islands Washington West-Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming

te Laboratory Directors. State Epidemiologist

Wallace E. Birch, DVM John P. Middaugh, MD Richard L. Coppedge, MD, Acting John Paul Lofgren, MD James Chin, MD Richard S. Hopkins, MD Vernon D. Loverde, MD Donald R. Cowan, DDS Martín E. Levy, MD Jeffrey J. Sacks, MD, Acting R. Keith Sikes, DVM Robert L. Haddock, DVM Mona Bomgaars, MD, Acting Charles D. Brokopp, DrPH Byron J. Francis, MD Charles L. Barrett, MD Laverne A. Wintermeyer, MD Donald E. Wilcox, MD M. Ward Hinds, MD Charles T. Caraway, DVM Kathleen F. Gensheimer, MD, Acting Ebenezer Israel, MD Nicholas J. Fiumara, MD Kenneth R. Wilcox, Jr., MD Eliuel K. Pretrick, MO Andrew G. Dean, MD Wm. E. Riecken, Jr., MD H. Denny Donnell, Jr., MD John S. Anderson, MD, Acting Paul A. Stoesz, MD John H. Carr, MD, Acting John M. Horan, MD William E. Parkin, DVM Jonathan M. Mann, MD Richard Rothenberg, MD Stephen M. Friedman, MD Martin P. Hines, DVM Kenneth Mosser Jose T. Villagomez, MO Thomas J. Halpin, MD Gregory R. Istre, MD, Acting John A. Googins, MD Anthony H. Polloi, MO, Acting Charles W. Hays, MD Antonio Hernandez, MD Jason Weisfeld, MD, Acting Richard L. Parker, DVM Kenneth A. Senger Robert H. Hutcheson, Jr., MD Charles R. Webb, Jr., MD Richard E. Johns, Jr., MD Richard L. Vogt, MD Grayson B. Miller, Jr., MD John N. Lewis, MD John M. Kobayashi, MD Loretta E. Haddy, MS Jeffrey P. Davis, MD Harry C. Crawford, MD

State Laboratory Director

James L. Holston, Jr., DrPH Harry J. Colvin, PhD Jon M. Counts, DrPH Robert L. Horn John M. Heslep, PhD C. D. McGuire, PhD Jesse Tucker, PhD Mahadeo P. Verma, PhD James B. Thomas, PhD, Acting Eldert C. Hartwig, Jr., Sch Frank M. Rumph, MD Luis P. Flores Albert I. Oda D. W. Brock, DrPH Harry C. Bostick T. L. Eddleman W. J. Hausler, Jr., PhD Roger H. Carlson, PhD B. F. Brown, MD Henry Bradford, PhD Philip W. Haines, DrPH J. Mehsen Joseph, PhD George F. Grady, MD George R. Anderson, DVM Vacant C. Dwayne Morse, DrPH R. H. Andrews, MS Elmer Spurrier, DrPH Douglas Abbott, PhD John Blosser George Reynolds, MD George Reynolds, mo Robert A. Miliner, DrPH Bernard F. Taylor, PhD Loris Hughes, PhD David O. Carpenter, MD Bernard Davidow, PhD Mildred A. Kerbaugh Robert A. Miliner, DrP4 A. A. Gustafson Vacant Gary D. Davidson, DrPH Garry McKee, PhD Vacant Vacant Vern Pidcoe, DrPH Jose L. Villamil Raymond G. Lundgren, PhD Arthur F. DiSalvo, MD A. Richard Melton, DrPH Michael W. Kimberly, DrPH Charles E. Sweet, DrPH Francis M. Urry, PhD Dymitry Pomar, DVM Frank W. Lambert, Jr., DrPH Norbert Mantor, PhD Jack Allard, PhD John W. Brough, DrPH Ronald Laessig, PhD Donald T. Lee, PhD

\*Formerly Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HHS HHS 396

HHS Publication No. (CDC) 83-8185