
ANNUAL SUMMARY 1978 (REVISED) REISSUED FEBRUARY 1981

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL FOODBORNE DISEASE

SURVEILLANCE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES . Public Health Service

PREFACE

This report summarizes information received from state and local health departments, the Food and Drug Administration, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and other pertinent sources. The information is preliminary and is intended primarily for use by those with responsibility for disease control activities. Anyone desiring to quote this report should contact the Enteric Diseases Branch for confirmation and further interpretation.

Contributions to the report are most welcome. Please address them to:


Centers for Disease Control ATTN: Bureau of Epidemiology Bacterial Diseases Division Enteric Diseases Branch Atlanta, Georgia 30333

SUGGESTED CITATION	
Centers for Disease Control: Foodborne Disease Outbreaks Annual Summary 1978, Revised Reissued February 1981	
Centers for Disease Control	or,
Center for Infectious Diseases Walter R. Dowdle, Ph.D., Direct	or
Bacterial Diseases Division John V. Bennett, M.D., Direct	or
Enteric Diseases Branch Roger A. Feldman, M.D., Chi	.ef
Foodborne Disease Outbreaks	
Surveillance Activity	D.
Statistical Services Branch	, A .
Epidemiologic Investigations	
Laboratory Branch	.ef
Enteric Diseases Laboratory Section Joy G. Wells, M.S., Chi	.ef
Editorial and Graphic Services Frances H. Porcher, M.A., Chi Charlotte C. Turner, Writer-Edit	
Bureau of Laboratories	or
Bacteriology Division	or
Enterobacteriology Branch V. R. Dowell, Jr., Ph.D., Chi	.ef
Clinical Bacteriology Branch	.ef
Bacterial Immunology Branch	.ef

			Page
I.	INJ	TRODUCTION	1
II.	FOC	DDBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS	1
	A.	Definition of Outbreak	2
	В.	Source of Data	2
	С.	Interpretation of Data	2
	D.	Analysis of Data	4
	E.	Investigation of a Foodborne Outbreak (Sample Form)	17
	F.	Line Listing of Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, 1978	19
	G.	Guidelines for Confirmation of Foodborne Outbreak	42
	H.	Selected Foodborne Outbreak Articles, 1978, Taken From Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report	47
	I.	Bibliography	50

. .

÷.,



, I. INTRODUCTION

The reporting of foodborne and waterborne diseases in the United States began over half a century ago when state and territorial health officers, concerned about the high morbidity and mortality caused by typhoid fever and infantile diarrhea, recommended that cases of enteric fever be investigated and reported. The purpose was to obtain information about the role of food, milk, and water in outbreaks of intestinal illness as the basis for sound public health action. Beginning in 1923, the United States Public Health Service published summaries of outbreaks of gastrointestinal illness attributed to milk. In 1938, it added summaries of outbreaks caused by all foods. These early surveillance efforts led to the enactment of important public health measures which had a profound influence in decreasing the incidence of enteric diseases, particularly those transmitted by milk and water.

From 1951 through 1960, the National Office of Vital Statistics reviewed reports of outbreaks of foodborne illness and published summaries of them annually in <u>Public Health</u> <u>Reports</u>. In 1961 the Center for Disease Control (CDC), then the Communicable Disease Center, assumed responsibility for publishing reports on foodborne illness. For the period 1961-66 CDC discontinued publication of annual reviews, but reported pertinent statistics and detailed individual investigations in the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR).

In 1966 the present system of surveillance of foodborne and waterborne diseases began with the incorporation of all reports of enteric disease outbreaks attributed to microbial or chemical contamination of food or water into an annual summary. Since 1966 the quality of investigative reports has improved primarily as a result of more active participation by state and federal agencies in the investigation of foodborne and waterborne disease outbreaks. Due to increasing interest and activity in waterborne disease surveillance, foodborne and waterborne disease outbreaks were reported in separate annual summaries for the first time in 1978. This report summarizes data from the foodborne disease outbreaks reported to the CDC in 1978.

Foodborne disease surveillance has traditionally served 3 objectives:

1. Disease Prevention and Control: Early identification and removal of contaminated products from the commercial market, correction of faulty food preparation practices in food service establishments and in the home, and identification and appropriate treatment of human carriers of foodborne pathogens are the fundamental prevention and control measures resulting from surveillance of foodborne disease.

2. Knowledge of Disease Causation: The responsible pathogen was not identified in 30% to 60% of foodborne disease outbreaks reported to CDC in each of the last 5 years. In many of these outbreaks, pathogens known to cause foodborne illness may not have been identified because of late or incomplete laboratory investigation. In others, the responsible pathogen may have escaped detection even when a thorough laboratory investigation was carried out because the pathogen is not yet appreciated as a cause of foodborne disease or because it cannot yet be identified by available laboratory techniques. It is probable that these pathogens can be identified and suitable measures to prevent or control diseases caused by them can be instituted if more thorough clinical, epidemiologic, and laboratory investigations are employed. Pathogens suspected of being, but not yet determined to be. etiologic agents in foodborne disease include Group D Streptococcus, Citrobacter, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Pseudomonas, and the presumably viral agents of acute infectious non-bacterial gastroenteritis. Other pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Bacillus cereus, Yersinia enterocolitica, Vibrio parahaemolyticus and Campylobacter fetus subspecies jejuni are known causes of foodborne illness, but the extent and importance of their role have not been adequately assessed as yet.

3. <u>Administrative Guidance</u>: The collection of data from outbreak investigations permits assessment of trends in etiologic agents and food vehicles and focuses on common errors in food handling. By compiling the data in an annual summary, it is hoped that local and state health departments and others involved in the implementation of food protection programs will be kept informed of the factors involved in foodborne disease outbreaks. Comprehensive surveillance would result in a clearer appreciation of priorities in food protection, institution of better training programs, and more rational utilization of available resources.

II. FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS

In 1978, 481 outbreaks of foodborne disease involving 10,639 cases were reported to the Centers for Disease Control.

A. Definition of Outbreak

For the purpose of this report, a foodborne disease outbreak is defined as an incident in which (1) 2 or more persons experience a similar illness, usually gastrointestinal, after ingestion of a common food, and (2) epidemiologic analysis implicates the food as the source of the illness. There are a few exceptions; 1 case of botulism or chemical poisoning constitutes an outbreak.

1. <u>Laboratory confirmed</u>-Outbreaks in which laboratory evidence of a specific etiologic agent is obtained, and specified criteria are met (see Section G).

2. <u>Undetermined etiology</u>-Outbreaks in which epidemiologic evidence implicates a food source, but adequate laboratory confirmation is not obtained. These outbreaks are subdivided into 4 subgroups by incubation period of the illnesses: less than 1 hour (probable chemical poisoning), 1 to 7 hours (probable <u>Staphylococcus</u> food poisoning), 8 to 14 hours (probable Clostridium perfringens), and greater than 14 hours (infectious or toxic agents).

B. Source of Data

The general public and local, state, and federal agencies which have responsibility for public health and food protection participate in foodborne disease surveillance. Consumers, physicians, hospital personnel, and persons involved with food service or processing report complaints of illness to health departments or regulatory agencies. Local health department personnel (including epidemiologists, sanitarians, and public health nurses) carry out most epidemiologic investigations of these reports and make their findings available to state health departments. State agencies concerned with food safety frequently participate in the initial investigation of the outbreak and offer laboratory support. State or other officials eventually summarize the findings of the investigation on the standard CDC reporting form (see Section E) and send these to CDC (Table 1). Occasionally, on special request, CDC participates in an investigation, particularly if the outbreak is large or involves products that move in interstate commerce.

The 2 federal regulatory agencies that have major responsibilities for food protection, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), report episodes of foodborne illness to CDC and to state and local health authorities which, in turn, report to FDA or USDA any foodborne disease outbreaks involving commercial products. The U.S. Armed Forces also report outbreaks directly to CDC.

By special arrangement, Connaught Laboratories of Canada, the only commercial producer of botulinal antitoxin in the Western Hemisphere, immediately report all requests for botulinal antitoxin to CDC. This is sometimes the first communication of a botulism outbreak to public health authorities, although physicians are urged to promptly report all suspected botulism cases. In botulism outbreaks, CDC works closely with physicians, state and local health authorities, and FDA or USDA representatives to provide diagnostic and therapeutic consultation and to rapidly identify the responsible food or foods so that proper corrective action can be taken.

C. Interpretation of Data

The limitations on the quantity and quality of data presented here must be appreciated in order to avoid misinterpretation. The number of outbreaks of foodborne disease reported by this surveillance system clearly represents a small fraction of the total number that occur. The likelihood of an outbreak coming to the attention of health authorities varies considerably depending on consumer and physician awareness, interest, and motivation to report the incident.

Not all cases of foodborne illness have an equal likelihood of being reported. For example, interstate outbreaks, large intrastate outbreaks, and outbreaks of serious illness such as botulism or amanitotoxin (mushroom) poisoning are more likely to come to the attention of health authorities than cases of mild illness following a family cookout.

The quality of the data presented here depends upon the commitment given to foodborne surveillance by the state or local health departments. Not only the department's interest in foodborne disease investigation but its investigative and laboratory capabilities are essential determinants of the quality of the investigation. Similarly, the likelihood that the findings of an investigation will be reported varies from one locale to another.

Just as this report should not be the basis of firm conclusions about the absolute incidence of foodborne disease, it should not be used to draw conclusions about the relative incidence of foodborne disease of various etiologies (Table 2). For example, foodborne diseases characterized by short incubation periods such as most outbreaks of chemical etiology or outbreaks caused by staphylococcal enterotoxin are more likely to be recognized as common-source foodborne disease outbreaks than those diseases with longer incubation periods such as hepatitis A. The relatively small number of outbreaks attributed to parasites and viruses may be due to the long incubation periods of these agents masking the common-source nature of many cases. Similarly, outbreaks involving <u>B. cereus</u>, <u>E. coli</u>, <u>V.</u> <u>parahaemolyticus</u>, <u>Y. enterocolitica</u>, or <u>C. fetus ssp jejuni</u> are probably less likely to be confirmed because these organisms are often not considered in clinical, epidemiologic, and laboratory investigations.

The number of reported outbreaks attributed to some etiologies depends upon the interest of a particular health department or individual. For example, the cholera cases uncovered in Louisiana in 1978 might have been missed if it had not been for the persistence of a laboratory technician who sought aid in identifying an organism which he could not type.

Establishing the true number of deaths caused by foodborne disease outbreaks is difficult because information on deaths in the reports is often incomplete or absent. Further contributing to the under-reporting is the fact that foodborne disease may not be recognized as contributing to the demise of an elderly or debilitated person unable to withstand otherwise minor physical stresses. These limitations on the data must be understood in interpreting Table 3.

In outbreaks of unknown etiology, listed by incubation period (Table 4), the accuracy of reported information is always suspect. In these outbreaks when the epidemiology incriminating a particular food item was very weak, the food was listed as unknown in this report (Table 5). Previously, persons with botulism for which no vehicle could be implicated were included in the foodborne totals. However, in 1978 the definition of foodborne botulism was restricted to cases where a food source was confirmed either by laboratory or epidemiologic evidence. In addition to the 12 foodborne botulism outbreaks, there were 10 botulism outbreaks in 1978 involving 13 persons where no food or wound source could be found.

Information on the place of eating suspect food in foodborne outbreaks was judged to be reliable and was recorded (Table 6). However, information on the place where food was mishandled or improperly cooked or stored in these outbreaks was generally judged unreliable; in many of them the place of mishandling was listed as unknown (Table 7). Only in outbreaks in which a specific etiology was highly suspected, although unconfirmed in the laboratory, and in which the information on mishandling was consistent with the suspected etiology was a known place of mishandling designated.

The implications of a food-processing establishment mishandling food are great both to public health authorities and the establishment concerned. Consequently, the outbreaks attributed to mishandling at these establishments are thoroughly investigated and reported data carefully scrutinized. No foodborne disease outbreaks were linked to mishandling of food at a food processing plant in 1978.

Much is known about contributing factors in foodborne disease. The five most common factors contributing to foodborne disease outbreaks in the United States in order of frequency of occurrence include (1) inadequate cooling of foods, (2) lapse of a day or more between preparing and serving, (3) infected persons handling foods which are not subsequently heat-processed, (4) inadequate time or temperature or both during heat processing foods, and (5) insufficiently high temperature during storage of hot foods (1). For example, in most outbreaks of botulism and trichinosis, the food is usually inadequately heat treated. In most of the outbreaks of bacterial etiology other than botulism and in outbreaks of scrombroid (in which bacterial growth is responsible for toxin production), the food is usually stored at improper holding temperatures. By definition, in outbreaks of ciguatera, puffer fish poisoning, mushroom poisoning, and paralytic and neurotoxic shellfish poisoning, the food itself is unsafe, and illness is not related to improper handling or preparation.

The investigators of foodborne disease outbreaks are usually aware of these contributing factors and consequently seek and find the appropriate answers. Sometimes, however, investigators report factors which are not known to be contributing to outbreaks of the type of etiology confirmed. In such cases the factors are considered in light of the evidence presented; if they are totally unsubstantiated, they are rejected. These considerations must be borne in the mind in interpreting Table 8.

Reference

1. Bryan FA. Factors that contribute to outbreaks of foodborne disease. J Food Protection 1978;41:816-827.

D. Analysis of Data

In 1978, 481 outbreaks of foodborne disease involving 10,639 cases were reported to the CDC Foodborne Disease Surveillance Activity, compared with the 5-year average of 426 outbreaks and 13,709 outbreaks from 1973 to 1977. Outbreaks were reported from 42 states, New York City, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. No outbreaks were reported from 8 states or the District of Columbia.

The large number of outbreaks reported by several states and New York City undoubtedly reflects the interest and effort at control of the respective health departments in foodborne disease surveillance. The Washington State Department of Social and Health Services has continued its record of reporting more outbreaks than any other state (Table 1). California, Pennsylvania, and Hawaii again are among the leading states in reporting outbreaks of foodborne disease. New York City has reported more foodborne outbreaks than any other reporting agency since 1975. Connecticut, Maryland, New Mexico, and Virginia reported a substantial increase in the number of outbreaks in 1978.

As has been seen in each of the 5 preceeding years, bacterial agents were the most common causes (68%) of the foodborne outbreaks of confirmed etiology. Following in frequency were chemical agents (24%), parasitic (5%), and viral (3%). The overwhelming majority of confirmed cases (90%) were of bacterial etiology, nearly matching the 5-year average of 91%. Salmonellae accounted for about one-third of the confirmed outbreaks and nearly 40% of the cases, figures consistent with results for 1973 to 1977. The second most common agent was <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> which was implicated in 15% of the outbreaks and 27% of the total cases.

For the first year since the beginning of foodborne disease reporting, an outbreak of <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> serotype 01 disease was detected in the United States (1). In addition to 1 cluster involving 4 persons, 7 additional individual cases were uncovered, all in Louisiana, with boiled crabs identified as the vehicle.

In 1978, 14 deaths were reported as associated with foodborne outbreaks (Table 3). Four deaths were caused by hepatitis, 3 by botulism, and 7 were caused by diseases of unknown etiology.

The etiologic agent was confirmed in 32% (154/481) of the outbreaks, which is slightly lower than the 5-year average of 40%. Table 4 lists the outbreaks of diseases of undetermined etiology by median incubation periods. If one assumes that most outbreaks in which the median incubation period was less than 1 hour were chemical poisoning, that those in which median incubation period was 1-7 hours were of staphylococcal intoxication, and that those in which the median incubation period was 8-14 hours were caused by <u>C. perfringens</u>, then 60% of the outbreaks of unknown etiology can be accounted for. In addition to these agents, <u>B. cereus</u>, which is rarely considered either from an epidemiologic standpoint or in the laboratory, is associated with 2 separate food poisoning syndromes which closely resemble the more familiar ones caused by <u>S. aureus</u> and <u>C. perfringens</u> (2). Determination of the relative importance of <u>B. cereus</u> infections must await an increased awareness of the potential of the organism to cause foodborne illness.

The vehicle of transmission was identified in 81% of the 154 outbreaks of known etiology. The most common vehicles were meat (39/154) and fish and shellfish (34/154) in outbreaks of bacterial etiology for which a single food item could be identified.

Home canned foods were the most frequently incriminated vehicle in outbreaks of botulism. However, potato salad prepared in commercial food establishments was implicated in 2 outbreaks involving 45 of the 58 cases. <u>Salmonella</u> outbreaks were caused by a variety of vehicles including meat, poultry, dairy products, salads, and Mexican food. Ciguatoxin, the third most frequently reported confirmed agent, caused outbreaks involving mainly coral reef fish. All the outbreaks of paralytic shellfish poisoning were associated with scallops or mussels.

Food eaten in the home (122/481) and restaurants (234/481) accounted for 74% of the 1978 foodborne outbreaks. Of the 105 bacterial outbreaks, 39 were attributed to food eaten in the home and 32 in restaurants. Chemical outbreaks were more likely to occur in the home (21 of 37), and 6 of the parasitic outbreaks occurred in the home.

In 1978 no reports of foodborne outbreaks due to mishandling or improper cooking and storage of food at food processing establishments were reported (Table 7). Mishandling of food at food service establishments accounted for 28% of the outbreaks while mishandling at home was implicated in 8%.

Errors in food handling practices responsible for outbreaks were reported in 75 of the 154 outbreaks of known etiology (Table 8). Improper holding temperatures or inadequate cooking were responsible for most of the outbreaks of bacterial etiology. Poor personal hygiene of a food handler was also frequently reported as a contributing factor, especially

in foodborne shigellosis and in viral hepatitis outbreaks. Inadequate cooking was a factor in all outbreaks due to parasites in which contributing factors were reported.

Since the toxins responsible for ciguatera, mushroom, and paralytic shellfish poisoning are heat stable, thorough cooking of food does not provide protection from these illnesses. Furthermore, there is no practical way to distinguish fish or shellfish containing ciguatoxin or neurotoxin. For these reasons, a place of food mishandling was not specified in outbreaks of ciguatera, mushroom, or shellfish poisoning.


In reviewing the 481 outbreaks, at least 1 contributing factor was implicated in 206 (43%) (Table 8). The data mirrored patterns seen the previous 5 years. In reported outbreaks of botulism, the most frequent error was inadequate cooking of food. Improper holding temperatures most frequently contributed to reported outbreaks of salmonellosis, staphylococcus intoxication, and <u>C. perfringens</u> foodborne illness. Heavy metal poisoning was usually due to storage of acidic beverages in containers or pipes from which metal ions could be leached. In outbreaks of ciguatera, paralytic shellfish, and mushroom poisoning, the foods were unsafe to begin with because they contained toxins.

The date of onset of an outbreak was designated as the date of onset of the first case (Table 9). Certain types of foodborne disease outbreaks showed a definite seasonality (Table 9). For example, outbreaks of paralytic shellfish poisoning occurred in September and October following the peak period of growth of dinoflagellates in the warm summer months.

References

1. Blake PA, Allegra DT, Snyder JD, Barrett TJ, et al. Cholera-a possible endemic focus in the United States. N Engl J Med 1980;302:305-9.

2. Terranova W, Blake PA. Bacillus cereus food poisoning. N Engl J Med 1978; 298;143-4.



REPORTED FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS, 1978 Fig. I

Table 1 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, United States, by Location, 1978

State	Number of Outbreaks	State	Number of Outbreaks
Alabama	1	New Jersey	10
Alaska	1	New Mexico	24
Arizona	1	New York City	118
Arkansas	4	New York State	4
California	38	North Carolina	4
Colorado	б	North Dakota	0
Connecticut	24	Ohio	0
Delaware	0	Oklahoma	3 7
D.C.	0	Oregon	
Florida	13	Pennsylvania	26
Georgia	14	Puerto Rico	2
Hawaii	22	Rhode Island	2
Idaho	4	South Carolina	2
Illinois	4	South Dakota	1
Indiana	1	Tennessee	1
Iowa	0	Texas	4
Kansas	6	Utah	2
Kentucky	1	Vermont	1
Louisiana	3	Virginia	8
Maine	3	Washington	51
Maryland	18	West Virginia	0
Massachusetts	0	Wisconsin	8
Michigan	2	Wyoming	0
Minnesota	13	Virgin Islands	3 4
Mississippi	0	Guam	<u> </u>
Missouri	5	Total	481
Montana	4		
Nebraska	5		
Nevada	1		
New Hampshire	2		

••

Table 2 Confirmed Foodborne Disease Outbreaks and Cases, and Percents of Known Etiology, 1978

Etiology	Number of Outbreaks	76	No.of Cases	<u>%</u>
BACTERIAL				
B. cereus Brucella C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella S. aureus Enterococci Streptococcus Group A V. cholerae O1 V. parahaemolyticus Other bacterial	6 9 1 45 4 23 1 - 1 2 1 2 1 105	3.9 0.0 7.8 5.8 0.6 29.2 2.6 14.9 0.6 0.0 0.6 1.3 0.6 68.2	248 58 617 35 1921 159 1318 5 - 11 86 <u>8</u> 4466	5.0 0.0 1.2 12.4 0.7 38.7 3.2 26.6 0.1 0.0 0.2 1.7 0.2 90.0
CHEMICAL	105	00.2	.4400	90.0
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Neurotoxic shellfish poisoning Paralytic shellfish poisoning Scrombrotoxin Monosodium glutamate Mushroom poisoning Other chemicals Total	1 19 	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.6\\ 12.3\\ 0.0\\ 2.6\\ 4.5\\ 0.0\\ 0.6\\ 3.2\\ 24.0\\ \end{array} $	41 56 - 10 30 - 7 19 163	0.8 1.1 0.0 0.2 0.6 0.0 0.1 <u>0.4</u> 3.3
PARASITIC				
<u>T. spiralis</u> Total	$\frac{-7}{7}$	4.5	<u>35</u> 35	0.7
VIRAL				
Hepatitis A Total	<u>5</u> 5	<u>3.2</u> 3.2	<u>300</u> 300	<u>6.0</u> 6.0
CONFIRMED TOTAL	154	100.0	4964	100.0

Deaths Associated with Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, 1978

Etiology	Number of Deaths
<u>C. botulinum</u> Hepatitis A Unknown	3 4 7
Total	14

Table 4

Foodborne Disease Outbreaks of Unknown Etiology, by Incubation Period, 1978

Incubation Period	Number of Outbreaks
<1 hour	13
1-7 hours	109
8-14 hours	74
>15 hours	62
Unknown	69
Total	327

	Table 5	
Foodborne Disease Outbreaks,	by Vehicle of Transmission,	and Specific Etiology, 1978

Etiology	Beef	Veal	Lamb	Ham	Pork	Sau- sage	Chicken	Turkey	Other Meat	Shell Fish	Crus- tacea	Other Fish	Eggs	Milk
BACTERIAL														
B. <u>cereus</u> Brucella C. <u>botulinum</u> C. <u>perfringens</u> E. <u>coli</u> Salmonella	- - 2 1 3				- - 1 - 7		- - - 1	- - - 3	- 1 - 3			- 1 -		
Shigella S. aureus Enterococci Streptococcus Group A V. cholerae V. parahaemolyticus Other bacterial				10 - - -					-	- - 1 2				
CHEMICAL														
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Neurotoxic shellfish Paralytic shellfish Scrombrotoxin Monosodium gluțamate Mushroom poisoning Other chemicals									-	- - - - - -		19 - 7 -		
PARASITIC <u>T. spiralis</u>	3	_	_	_	1	1	-	-	2	-	_		-	-
VIRAL														
Hepatitis A	-	-	-	-	<i>»</i> _	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONFIRMED TOTAL	9	-	-	10	9	1	1	3	6	7	-	27	-	2
UNKNOWN	5	-	-	2	1	1	3	-	2	9	-	2	-	-
TOTAL	14	_	-	12	10	2	4	3	8	16	-	29	-	2

Continued on page 14

\$

T]	Foodl	borne 1	Diseas	e Outbre	eaks, b;	y Vehi	cle of '	Transm	ission	, and S	pecific l	Etiolog	y, 1978		
	Fad	Ice	Non	Othon	Rokad	Fruits &Vege-	Pototo	Fag	Other	Mush	Chi-	Mexi- can	Car- bonated	Multi-	Other		
Cheese	Nog	Cream	Bev	Dairy	Foods	tables	Salad	Salad	Salads	rooms	Food	Food	Bev	Foods	Foods	Unknown	Total
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	2	6
_	-	_	-	-	_	4	-	-	1	_	_	1	-	- 1	2	_	12
-	_	_	_		-	_	_	-	1	-		2	_	1	-	2	9
-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	1
-	1	1	-	_ 1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	2	-	3	1	15	45
-		-	-	-	-	-	1	- 1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4
-	_	-	-	-	_	-	1	-	5	-	_	- 1	-	4	-	2 -	23 1
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-
-	_	-	_	-	-	-		-		-	-	-		-	-	· _	1
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	_	2
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	1	_	_	_	1
	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
-	_	_	_			_	-	-	_	1	-	_	-	-	-	-	- 1
_	_	_	1	1	1	1	_	_	-	<u> </u>	_	-	_	_	_	1	5
-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	7
	_	_	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_					3	F
-	-		,	-	-	-	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	5
-	1	1	2	2	1	5	5	1	9	1	2	7	1	9	4	28	154
-	-	1	-	1	4	1	-	1	4	-	5	2	-	б	1	276	327
-	1	2	2	3	5	6	5	2	13	1	7	9	1	15	5	304	481

Table 5 (Cont'd)

r

•

Table 6 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, by Place Where Food Was Eaten, and Specific Etiology, 1978

	Home	Restaurant	School	Picnic	Church	Camp	Other or <u>Unknown</u>	Total
BACTERIAL								
B. cereus Brucella C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella S. aureus Enterococci Streptococcus Group A V. cholerae O1 V. parahaemolyticus Other bacteria Total	1 9 3 - 19 1 4 - 1 - 39	3 	1	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 - 1 - 8 2 6 - - 1 1 - 1 9	6
CHEMICAL								
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Neurotoxic shellfish Paralytic shellfish Scrombrotoxin Monosodium glutamate Mushroom poisoning Other chemicals Total	15 - 3 1 - 1 - 21	3 		1			1 1 3 - 2 7	$ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ 19\\ -\\ 4\\ 7\\ -\\ 1\\ -5\\ -37\end{array} $
<u>PARASITIC</u> <u>T. spiralis</u> Total	<u>6</u>					-	<u>1</u>	$-\frac{7}{7}$
<u>VIRAL</u> Hepatitis A Total	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	~~** 		-	<u></u> 	<u>1</u> 1	<u>5</u>
CONFIRMED TOTAL	67	42	8	5	2	1	29	154
UNKNOWN	55	192	10	4	1	6	59	327
TOTAL 1978	122	234	18	9	3	7	88	481

Table 7 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, by Place Where Food Was Mishandled, and Specific Etiology, 1978

ν.	Food Processing <u>Establishments</u>	Food Service Establishments	Homes	Unknown	Not Applicable	<u>Total</u>
BACTERIAL						
B. cereus Brucella C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella S. aureus Enterococci Streptococcus Group A V. cholerae O1 V. parahaemolyticus Other bacterial Total		4 1 7 1 19 - 13 1 - - - - 46	1 62 - 513 - 1 19	1 5 		6 12 9 1 45 4 23 1 - 1 2 1 105
CHEMICAL						
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Neurotoxic shellfish Paralytic shellfish Scrombrotoxin Monosodium glutamate Mushroom poisoning Other chemicals Total	-	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1	- - - 5 - - 5	19 4 - 1 24	1 19 4 7 1 5 37
<u>PARASITIC</u> <u>T. spiralis</u> Total	<u>-</u> '				$-\frac{7}{7}$	- <u>7</u> 7
VIRAL						
Hepatitis A Total		2		<u>3</u>		<u>5</u> 5
CONFIRMED TOTAL	-	54	21	48	31	154
UNKNOWN		82	17	228	-	327
TOTAL 1978	-	136	38	276	31	481

i Bernad

Table 8 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, by Contributing Factors, and Etiology, 1978

	Number of Reported Outbreaks	Factors	Improper Holding Tempera- tures		Contami- nated Equipment	Food From Unsafe Source		<u>Other</u>
BACTERIAL								
B. <u>cereus</u> Brucella C. <u>botulinum</u> C. <u>perfringens</u> E. <u>coli</u> Salmonella Shigella S. <u>aureus</u> Enterococci Streptococcus Group A V. <u>cholerae</u> 01 V. <u>parahaemolyticus</u> Other bacterial Total	6 12 9 1 45 4 23 1 - 1 2 1 105	4 7 8 1 23 1 15 - 1 1 1 1	4 - 8 1 16 1 15 - 1 1 1 - 47	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ - \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ - \\ 2 \\ - \\ 1 \\ - \\ 2 \\ - \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ - \\ 2 \\ - \\ 2 \\ $	1 - 2 1 11 - 3 - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 1 3 - 4 - 2 - 1 1 11
CHEMICAL							·	
Heavy Metal Ciguatoxin Neurotoxic shellfish Paralytic shellfish Scrombrotoxin Monosodium glutamate Mushroom poisoning Other chemicals Total	1 19 4 7 - 1 5 37	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- - - - 1 1	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - 1 1	- - 1 - 1 2
PARASITIC								
<u>T. spiralis</u> Total	$\frac{-7}{7}$	<u>3</u> 3		_ <u>3</u> 3	-	-		-
VIRAL								
Hepatitis A Total	<u>5</u> 5	<u>2</u> 2	 				2	
CONFIRMED TOTAL	154	74	50	31	19	8	20	13
UNKNOWN	327	132	100	22	26	8	43	19
TOTAL 1978	481	206	150	53	45	16	63	32

Table 9 Foodborne Disease Outbreaks, by Month of Occurrence, and Specific Etiology, 1978

	Jan	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	Apr	<u>May</u>	Jun	Jul	Aug	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	Dec	Unknown	Total
BACTERIAL														
B. cereus Brucella C. botulinum C. perfringens E. coli Salmonella Shigella S. aureus Enterococci Streptococcus Group A V. cholerae O1 V. parahaemolyticus Other bacterial Total	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					1 - 1 - 5 - 2 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1	$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{10}$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 2 1 6 2 - - - 1 1	3 2 - 4 6			6 - 12 9 1 45 4 23 1 - 1 2 1 2 1 105
CHEMICAL		-												
Heavy metals Ciguatoxin Neurotoxic shellfish Paralytic shellfish Scrombrotoxin Monosodium glutamate Mushroom poisoning Other chemicals Total	- - - 1 - 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - 1	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - 1 2		1 - - - 1 2	4 - - - - - 4		- 3 1 - 1 8		1 - - - - 1	4 		$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 19 \\ - \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ - \\ 1 \\ - \\ 5 \\ 37 \\ \end{array} $
<u>PARASITIC</u> <u>T. spiralis</u> Total	-	-	-	-	2		<u>1</u>		-1	<u>1</u> 1	1	1	<u>1</u>	<u> </u>
VIRAL					-		·		·	·		·	·	
Hepatitis A Total					2		-	_ <u>1</u> 1			2	-		<u>5</u>
CONFIRMED TOTAL	12	4	9	8	10	13	11	17	17	14	18	16	5	154
UNKNOWN	24	21	26	42	32	33	21	21	21	12	29	27	18	327
TOTAL 1978	36	25	35	50	42	46	<u>3</u> 2	38	38	26	47	43	23	481



This report is authorized by law (Public Health Service Act, 42 USC 241). While your response is voluntary, your cooperation is necessary for the understanding and control of the disease.

INVESTIGATION OF A FOODBORNE OUTBREAK

1. Where did the outbreek occur? State (1,2) City or Town		County	······································		2. Date of ou	itbreak: (C	Date of onse	t 1st case) (3-8)
Persons exposed	y of Exposed stories obtain reans with sy {2 ing(2 s{3	ed mptoms 4-26) Dian 7-29) Feve	rhea	(21·23) (33·35) (36·38)	Approx. fr 6. Duration c Shortest	(40-4 or majority of lilness (F (49-5	(2) Longes /	(43-45) (46-48) (46-48) (52-54) (55-57)
7. Food-specific attack rates: (58)								
Food Items Served	N		arsons who / fied food	ATE	Nu		did NOT e led food	at
·	(11	Not III	Totel	Percent III	18	Not III	Total	Percent III
								<u> </u>
	1						 	
			+		<u> </u>			
					+			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ļ				
8. Vehicle responsible (food item incriminated by epidemi	ological evide	nce); (59	.601	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
S. Manner in which incriminated food was marketed: (Che			10. Place of	Preparation		11. Pla	ce where es	ten: (66)
(a) Food Industry (61) (c) Not wrapped Rsw 1 Ordinary Wrap Processed 2 Canned, Home Produced Canned-Vacue Raw 3 Other (specify Processed 4	pping [2 3 4 5	Restau Delica Cafeta Private Catera Instite Scho	irrant , tesser , e Home tr ution: bol		Del Cat Pri- Pic Ins Se	staurant licatessen . leteria vate Home nic titution: shool hurch	
(b) Vending Machine		$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	Cam	p , , , specify	· · · · 08	C	amp er, specify	···· 🗂 🛚
			÷.,					

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL BUREAU OF EPIDEMIOLOGY ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333

- L

CDC 4.245 1-74

(Over)

Coortify by "Y"	1. m. a.t	- fand	amined was original	lana- and the	13. Environment		Findings
арасну ру х	whethe	r tood ex	amineo was original	testen at time of	Example: meat g		C. perfringens, Hobbs Type 10
outbreak) or <u>chr</u> outbreak)	ck-up	(prep are c	l in similar manner b	ut not involved in	Example: mear g		c. permingens, Hobos rype ro
İtem	Orig.	Check up	Findi Quelitative	ngs Quantitative			
Example: beef	×		C. perfringens, Hobbs type 10	2X10 ⁶ /gm			
					14. Specimens fro	om patients e	examined (stool, vomitus, etc.): (69)
					Item	No. Persons	Findings
					Example: stool	11	C. perfringens, Hobbs Type 10
15. Specimens from	food ha	indiers (s	tool, lesions, etc.): (70)	16. Factors contr	ibuting to ou	itbreak {check all applicable}:
Item Example: lesion		C, pe	Findings rfringens, Hobbs typ	e 10	 Inadequate co Contaminated Food obtaine Poor personal 	ooking tequipment d from unsat hygiene of t	Ves No ng temperature 1 2 (71
17. Etiology: (77, 7 Pathogen Chamical	B)						1 (79) 2

LABORATORY FINDINGS (Include Negative Results)

18. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances leading to contamination of food, water; epidemic curve; etc. (Attach additional page if necessary)

Name of reporting agency: (80)	
Investigating official:	Date of investigation:
NOTE: Epidemic and Laboratory Assistance for the investigation of a foodborne outbreak is avail ment to the Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.	able upon request by the State Health Depart-

To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Center for Disease Control Attn: Enteric Diseases Branch, Bacterial Diseases Division Bureau of Epidemiology Atlanta, Georgia 30333 Submitted copies should include as much information as possible, but the completion of every item is not required.

CDC 4.245 (BACK) 1-74

F. LINE LISTING OF FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS

F. LINE LISTING OF FOODBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS, 1978

	Ľ	Number	Date		Lab Data	E OUIBREAK	5, 1970	Location Where
Etiology	State	of Cases	of Onset	Patient	Vehicle	Food- handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandled* and Eaten
BACTERIAL	<u> </u>			1001010		<u>individual or</u>		
BACILLUS CEREUS								
B. cereus	Alabama	8	11/08		+		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
B. cereus	California	11	11/25				Mexican food	(B) Restaurant
B. cereus	Connecticut	4	7/12		+	+	Chinese food	(B) Office
B. cereus	Connecticut	12	8/15	+	÷		Fried rice	(B) Restaurant
B. cereus	New Mexico	4	6/02		+		Tuna twist	(C) Home
B. cereus	North Carolina	209	11/21	+	+		Unknown	(D) School
CLOSTRIDIUM BOTULINU			<i></i>					
C. botulinum	California	1	6/?		+	-	Home canned lupino beans	(C) Home
C. botulinum	California	2	10/31		+		Olives	(C) Home
C. botulinum	Colorado	1	1/?	+			Pork and beans	(D) Home
C. botulinum	Colorado	8	11/ 13		+		Potato salad	(D) Restaurant
C. botulinum	Georgia	1	5/21				Bar-B-Q beef	(D) Home
<u>C. botulinum</u>	New Mexico	34	4/09		+		3 Bean salad, potato salad	(D) Restaurant
C. botulinum	Pennsylvania	2	4/24				Peppers	(C) Home
<u>C. botulinum</u>	Texas	3	1/14				Soup	(D) Home
C. botulinum	Texas	1	2/06				Mexican food	(C) Home
<u>C. botulinum</u>	Virginia	1	10/23				Pickled beans	(C) Home
<u>C. botulinum</u>	Wisconsin	1	11/09				Spaghetti sauce	(C) Home
C. botulinum	Puerto Rico	3	8/04				Marinated fish	(B) Restaurant
					¥.			
مسيري معالم من من من م	······································					···········		
CLOSTRIDIUM PERFRING	ENS							
C. perfringens	California	18	8/19		+		Unknown	(C) Home
C. perfringens	Georgia ,	2	9/14		+		Beef	(B) Restaurant
C. perfringens	Georgia	2.	12/23	+	+	+	Pork	(B) Home
C. perfringens	North Carolina	16	2/22	+	+		Unknown	(B) Sorority Hall
C. perfringens	Utah	50	3/20	÷	+		Mexican food	(B) Restaurant

20

ESCHERICHIA COLI

<u>C. perfringens</u>

C. perfringens

C. perfringens

<u>C. perfringens</u>

<u>E. coli</u>	Oregon	35	9/17	+	+ .		Beef	(B) Camp
SALMONELLA								
S. typhimurium	Arizona	23	10/?				Milk	(D) Home
S. enteritidis	Arkansas	7	5/14	+	+	+	Mayonnaise	(C) Home
S. cubana	California	5	<i>'</i> 3/06	+	+		Pork	(D) Drive In
S. cubana	California	13	3/12	+	· +	+	Pork	(B) Home
S. heidelberg	California	55	7/02	+		+	Pork	(B) Other
S. enteritidis	California	58	7/19	+	+	+	Unknown	(D) Restaurant
S. schwarzengrund	California	9	8/20	+	+	+	Pork	(B) Home
S. typhimurium	California	34	11/20	+			Turkey & dressing	(B) Club Lodge
S. typhimurium	Colorado	7	1/18	·			Milk	(D) Other
S. typhimurium	Connecticut	11	5/30	+	+		Chicken	(C) Home

Shrimp newberg

Mexican food

Beef and gravy

Beef

(B) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(C) Home

500

5

4

20

Washington

Washington

Washington

Wisconsin

5/14

10/Ò2

12/31

6/18

+

÷

		Number	Date	·	Lab Data			Location Where
Etiology	State	of Cases	of <u>Onset</u>	Patient	Vehicle	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandled* and Eaten
S. montevideo	Georgia	3	4/30	+		+	Mexican food	(D) Restaurant
S. derby	Georgia	7	8/18	+	+		Pork	(B) Home
<u>S. javiana</u>	Georgia	756	11/05	+	+	+	Bar-B-Q pork	(B) School
S. derby	Georgia	7	12/17	+			Unknown	(B) Restaurant
<u>S. javiana</u>	Georgia	2	12/23	+			Pork	(B) Restaurant
S. agona	Kansas	6	8/05	+			Mexican food	(D) Restaurant
S. heidelberg	Minnesota	17	9/24		+		Hamburger casserole	(B) Home
<u>S. agona</u>	Minnesota	34	12/?		+	+	Unknown	(D) Restaurant
S. typhimurium	Nebraska	6	8/09		+		Chicken salad	(D) Restaurant
S. enteritidis	Nevada	3	6/?	+			Unknown	(D) Unknown
S. enteritidis	New Hampshire	4	10/05				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
S. typhimurium	New Jersey	26	10/29	+	+	+	Goat	(C) Home
\underline{S} . (not defined)	New Mexico	3	9/04	+			Instant milk	(B) Home
<u>S</u> . (not defined)	New Mexico	5	?/?	+			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
<u>S</u> . (not defined)	New Mexico	5	?/?				Pork	(D) Home
<u>S</u> . (not defined)	Oklahoma	8	6/25	+			Unknown	(C) Home
<u>S. enteritidis</u>	Oregon	ų	8/25				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
S. typhimurium	Pennsylvania	5	4/06	+	+		Egg nog	(D) Home
S. typhimurium	Pennsylvania	46	6/25	+			Beef	(D) Home
S. typhimurium	Pennsylvania	50	6/26	+			Unknown	(D) Home
S. heidelberg	Pennsylvania	8	7/13	+			Turkey	(D) Restaurant
S. typhimurium	Pennsylvania	13	9/11	+			Unknown	(D) Home
S. enteritidis	Tennessee	200	2/14	+		+	Turkey	(D) Club Lodge
S. typhimurium	Virginia	7	7/30	+	+		Ice cream	(C) Home
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.		• •• •• ••				
S. typhimurium	Virginia	28	8/13	+	+	+	Unknown	(B) Home
<u>S. typhimurium</u>	Virginia	110	11/12	+	· +		Turkey	(B) Natl Gd Armory
S. muenster	Washington	27	10/03	+		+	Turkey sandwiches	(B) Restaurant
S. typhimurium	Wisconsin	108	8/06	+			Potato salad	(B) Picnic
S. reading	Wisconsin	137	11/22	+			Potatoes & gravy	(B) School
S. enteritidis	Wisconsin	11	12/15				Unknown	(B) Home
S. typhimurium	Guam	29	9/?	. +			Unknown	(D) Wedding
<u>S</u> . (not defined)	New York City	12	4/23				Unknown	(D) Home
<u>S. muenster</u>	New York City	6	6/19				Unknown	(B) Restaurant
<u>S. anatum</u>	New York City	4	10/05		+		Beef	(B) Restaurant
S. montevideo	New York City	2	10/23	+	. +	+	Beef	(B) Restaurant

22

SHIGELLA

<u>S. sonnei</u>	Illinois	117	12/15	+			Potato salad	(C)	Banquet hall
<u>S. sonnei</u>	Illinois	36	12/28	+			Unknown	(D)	Banquet hall
<u>S. sonnei</u>	New Mexico	2	?/?				Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
S. sonnei	New Mexico	4	?/?				Chicken salad	(D)	Home
STAPHYLOCOCCUS									
S. aureus	California	28	12/22	+	+		Rice-shrimp-bacon dish	(D)	Pot luck dinner
S. aureus	Connecticut	13	10/03		+	+	Egg salad	(D)	Hospital
S. aureus	Florida	54	11/23		+		Ham	(B)	School
<u>S. aureus</u>	Georgia	50	6/24		+		Ham	(D)	Picnic
S. aureus	Georgia	215	6/27			+	Chicken salad	(B)	School

		Number	Date		Lab Data			Location Where
Etiology	State	of Cases	of <u>Onset</u>	Patient	Vehicle	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandled* and Eaten
S. aureus	Georgia	4	8/10		+		Ham	(B) Home
<u>S. aureus</u>	Georgia	13	9/01		+		Ham	(B) School
S. aureus	Kansas	2	10/16		+	+	Unknown	(D) Restaurant
S. aureus	Kentucky	161	11/27	+		+	Chicken salad	(B) Sr Citizen Ctr
S. aureus	Maryland	21	8/06		+		Ham	(B) Restaurant
S. aureus	Missouri	6	11/12		+		Ham	(C) Home
<u>S. aureus</u>	New York	104	8/29		+ -		Tuna salad, macaroni salad	(B) Jail
S. aureus	New York	116	11/10	-#-	+		Turkey salad	(B) School
S. aureus	North Carolina	257	11/16		÷		Chicken salad	(B) Prison
<u>S. aureus</u>	Oklahoma	118	3/03	· +	+	+	Potato salad, carrot salad	(B) School
S. aureus	Pennsylvania	29	8/25		+		Ham	(D) Church
S. aureus	Pennsylvania	11	8/26		+		Potato salad	(B) Church
S. aureus	Pennsylvania	24	11/11	+			Unkňown	(D) Club lodge
S. aureus	South Carolina	58	7/30	÷	÷	+	Ham	(B) Pienic
S. aureus	Washington	2	3/06		+		Crab salad	(D) Restaurant
S. aureus	Washington	5	8/13	+	+		Ham	(B) Restaurant
S. aureus	Wisconsin	2	3/26		+		Ham	(C) Home
S. aureus	New York City	25	12/?		+		Chicken & rice	(C) Home
STREPTOCOCCUS								
Enterococci	California	5	12/06				Mexican food	(B) Restaurant
ST 14	a and a state of the state of t						an tanaga ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	·· · ·
VIBRIOS								
V. cholerae 01	Louisiana	11	9/?		+		Crabs	(C) Home
V. parahaemolyticus	Louisiana	82	6/21	+	+		Shrimp	(D) Other
V. parahaemolyticus	Guam	4.	4/07				Shrimp	(D) Other
<u> </u>							•	
OTHER BACTERIAL	Utah	8	1/?	. +	+		Unknown	(D) Home
						r.		
CHEMICAL								
Metal	Missouri	41	6/15		+		Peach Koolaid	(C) Pienie
Ciguatoxin	Florida	3	1/05				Grouper	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Florida	3	7/20	+			Barracuda	(E) Restaurant
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	1/09				Amberjack	(E) Home

24

.

Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	5	1/31	· · · ·	Amberjack	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	4/30		Amberjack	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	7/04		Goat fish	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	3	7/16	+	Goat fish	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	4	7/29		Amberjack	(E) Other
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	9/20	+	Mullet	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	1	9/22		Goat fish	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	9/25	+	Gray snapper	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	3	11/20	+	Goat fish	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	5	12/12		Amberjack	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	12/26	+	Porgy	(E) Restaurant
Ciguatoxin	Hawaii	2	12/29		Snapper	(E) Home

*(A)--Food processing establishment; (B)--Food service establishment; (C)--Home; (D)--Unknown; (E)--Not applicable

		Number	Date		Lab Data			Location Where
Etiology	State	of <u>Cases</u>	of <u>Onset</u>	Patient	Vehicle	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Food Mishandled* and Eaten
Ciguatoxin	Virgin Islands	7	1/04				Red snapper	(E) Restaurant
Ciguatoxin	Virgin Islands	1	1/15		+		Grouper	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Virgin Islands	6	2/11		+		Grouper	(E) Home
Ciguatoxin	Puerto Rico	1	12/06		+		Snapper	(E) Home
Paralytic shellfish	Washington	2	9/20				Mussels	(E) Home
Paralytic shellfish	Washington	3	9/23		+		Mussels	(E) Home
Paralytic shellfish	Washington	1	9/26				Mussels	(E) Home
Paralytic shellfish	Washington	4	10/03		+		Scollops	(E) Lab
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	2	1/27				Mahi-Mahi	(B) Restaurant
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	2	3/01		+		Mahi-Mahi	(D) Home
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	13	3/10		+		Mahi-Mahi	(D) Hospital
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	4	3/17				Mahi-Mahi	(D) Hospital
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	1	5/10				Mahi-Mahi	(D) Hotel
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	1	5/16				Mahi-Mahi	(D) Restaurant
Scombrotoxin	Hawaii	7	9/03				Mahi-Mahi	(B) Restaurant
Mushroom poisoning	California	7	1/?	+	+	+	Mushrooms	(E) Home
Other Chemical	California	2	10/04		+ .		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
Other Chemical	Colorado	9	4/27		+		Choc. bundt cake	(C) Office party
Other Chemical	New Jersey	2	9/10		+		Popsicle	(B) Home
Other Chemical	New Mexico	4	6/04		+		Lemonade	(B) Pienic
Other Chemical	New York City	2	1/18				Fruit cup	(B) Office

-

PARASITIC

TRICHINELLA SPIRALIS

	,		
<u>T. spiralis</u>	Alaska	23	?/?
<u>T. spiralis</u>	California	2	9/24
<u>T. spiralis</u>	California	2	10/02
<u>T. spiralis</u>	Connecticut	2	7/18
<u>T. spiralis</u>	New York	2	5/14
<u>T. spiralis</u>	Rhode Island	2	12/23
<u>T. spiralis</u>	New York City	2	5/12

Bear meat	(E) Home	
Pork	(E) Camping trip	
Bar-B-Q spare ribs	(E) Home	
Beef	(E) Home	
Beef	(E) Home	
Sausage	(E) Home	
Beef	(E) Home	

VIRAL

Hepatitis A	Arkansas	82	11/?			+	Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Hepatitis A	California	36	5/?		+		Orange juice	(D) Home
Hepatitis A	Louisiana	33	5/19				Unknown	(B) Restaurant
Hepatitis A	Minnesota	91	8/?	+	+		Potato salad	(B) Country club
Hepatitis A	Texas	58	11/?			+	Unknown	(D) Restaurant
UNKNOWN								
	Arkansas	23	4/27				Bar-B-Q Chicken/Pork	(B) Restaurant
	Arkansas Arkansas	23 88	4/27 8 / 21	+			Bar-B-Q Chicken/Pork Unknown	(B) Restaurant (D) School
				+			-	
	Arkansas	88	8/21	+			Unknown	(D) School
	Arkansas California	88 3	8/21 1/14	+			Unknown Unknown	(D) School (D) Home
	Arkansas California California	88 3 6	8/21 1/14 1/24	+			Unknown Unknown Unknown	(D) School (D) Home (D) Restaurant

*(A)--Food processing establishment; (B)--Food service establishment; (C)--Home; (D)--Unknown; (E)--Not applicable

27

		Number	Date Lab Data			Location Where		
Etiology	State	of Cases	of Onset	Patient	Vehicle	Food- <u>Handler</u>	Vehicle	Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	California	3	4/12				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	California	87	4/19				Unknown	(B) Camp
	California	25	4/24		+		Fruit salad	(D) Office
	California	2	4/25				Unknown	(C) Home
	California	15	4/30				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	California	12	5/30	+			Ice cream	(D) Home
	California	4	6/05				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	California	5	6/07				Unknown	(D) Home
	California	2	6/11				Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	California	17	7/21		+	÷	Ham & turkey salad	(D) Office
	California	5	7/26				Unknown	(D) Home
	California	6	8/16				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	California	7	8/19				Salad dressing	(B) Réstaurant
	California	10	9/11				Pizza	(B) Restaurant
	California	8	9/19				Unknown	(D) Office
	California	40	10/20				Unknown	(D) Sr.Citizen (
	California	12	11/05				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Colorado	15	6/05			-	Unknown	(D) Home
	Colorado	9	11/18				Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Connecticut	2	2/05				Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Connecticut	10	2/10		+		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Connecticut	12	3/11				Unknown	(D) Other
	Connecticut	45	4/02				Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Connecticut	. 21	4/02				Unknown	(D) Restaurant

Connecticut	2	4/10
Connecticut	21	4/16
Connecticut	4	4/24
Connecticut	142	5/05
Connecticut	23	5/16
Connecticut	17	5/20
Connecticut	4	5/28
Connecticut	10	5/29
Connecticut	6	5/29
Connecticut	2	7/19
Connecticut	4	9/05

Connecticut	2	4/10		Chinese food	(B) Home
Connecticut ,	21	4/16		Unknown	(D) Home
Connecticut	4	4/24		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Connecticut	142	5/05		Unknown	(D) School
Connecticut	23	5/16	+	Clams	(B) Restaurant
Connecticut	17	5/20		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Connecticut	4	5/28		Clams	(D) Restaurant
Connecticut	10	5/29		Clams	(D) Picnic
Connecticut	6	5/29		Clams	(D) Picnic
Connecticut	2	7/19		Clams	(B) Other
Connecticut	4	9/05		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Connecticut	35	9/10	+	Beef	(D) Restaurant
Connecticut	19	12/10		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
Connecticut	14	12/22		Fried rice	(D) Other
Florida	2	1/12		Unknown	(C) Home
Florida	10	3/12		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Florida	2	3/?		Unknown	(D) Delicatessen
Florida	3	4/05		Unknown	(D) Other
Florida	8	4/08		Unknown	(D) Home
Florida	2	4/09		Unknown	(D) Unknown
Florida	11	6/19		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Florida	11	6/20		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Florida	2	10/21		Unknown	(D) Pienie
Florida	8	10/30		Unknown	(D) Restaurant

<i></i>	
(D)	Home
(D)	Restaurant
(D)	School
(B)	Restaurant
(D)	Restaurant
(D)	Restaurant
(D)	Picnic
(D)	Picnic
(B)	Other

28

Etiology	State	Number of Cases	Date of <u>Onset</u>	Lab Data Food- Patient Vehicle Handler	Vehicle	Location Where Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	Georgia	60	1/15		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Georgia	200	9/25		Unknown	(B) Other
	Hawaii	17	4/28		Seafood newberg	(B) Restaurant
	Hawaii	15	11/28		Unknown	(D) Other
	Idaho	35	8/07		Unknown	(D) Unknown
	Idaho	5	8/09		Unspecified meat	(B) Restaurant
	Idaho	12	8/12		Unknown	(D) Camp
	Idaho	24	8/13		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Illinois	74	8/05	- +	Unspecified fish	(C) Home
	Illinois	21	11/30	+	Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Indiana	74	8/15		Ham	(C) Home
	Kansas	9	4/24		Unknown	(D) Other
	Kansas	5	6/18		Unknown	(D) Home
	Kansas	14	6/25		Unknown	(B) Other
	Kansas	3	11/20		Unknown	(D) Other
	Maine	27	2/17		Unknown	(D) Other
	Maine	27	3/01		Unknown	(D) Unknown
	Maine	39	6/04		Unknown	(D) Home
	Maryland	24	3/24		Unknown	(B) Other
	Maryland	150	4/29		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Maryland	25	4/?		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Maryland	3	5/17		Unknown	(D) Home
	Maryland	135	6/13		Unknown	(B) Other
	Maryland	6	7/08		Unknown	(C) Home

Maryland	50	7/18	+	Unknown
Maryland	2	8/15		Unknown
, Maryland	15	9/10		Unknown
Maryland	42	10/28		Unknown
Maryland	2	11/06		Unknown
Maryland	100	11/23		Turkey & dressing
Maryland	2	11/25		Unknown
Maryland	38	12/05		Unknown
Maryland	29	12/13		Unknown
Maryland	14	12/15		Unknown
Maryland	57	12/23	+	Unknown

30

Michigan 9	2/26	+	+	
------------	------	---	---	--

Michigan	110	4/21		Unknown	(B) School
Minnesota	6	3/26		Unknown	(D) Home
Minnesota	22	3/31		Cornish hens/dressing	(B) Restaurant
Minnesota	2	5/18	+	Unknown	(B) Other
Minnesota	2	7/09		Chinese food	(C) Home
Minnesota	16	8/22	+	Unspec. baked food	(C) Home
Minnesota	5	8/25		Chinese food	(B) Restaurant
Minnesota	3	9/22		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
Minnesota	15	10/26		Mexican food	(B) Restaurant
Minnesota	9	10/27		Sausage	(B) Restaurant
Minnesota	2	12/21		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
Missouri	31	3/16		Unknown	(D) Restaurant

Beef

(B) Camp

(B) Other

(B) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(D) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(D) Restaurant (D) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(B) Restaurant

(D) School

Etiology	State	Number of <u>Cases</u>	Date of <u>Onset</u>	Lab Data	Food-	Vehicle	Location Where Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	Missouri	47	6/17			Unknown	(D) Nursing home
	Missouri	8	11/14			Seafood platter	(D) Restaurant
	Montana	45	5/06			Beef stroganoff	(B) Restaurant
	Montana	40	5/14			Roast beef/sauce	(D) Restaurant
	Montana	3	12/01			Unknown	(C) Home
	Montana	27	12/15			Pork	(B) Restaurant
	Nebraska	11	7/16			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Nebraska	95	9/27			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Nebraska	8	11/18			Unknown	(D) Clinic
	Nebraska	20	12/05	+		Unknown	(D) Home
	New Hampshire	19	7/22			Unknown	(D) Wedding
	New Jersey	8	1/27			Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	New Jersey	10	3/05		+	Unknown	(D) Home
	New Jersey	74	4/23	+		Unknown	(B) Police academy
	New Jersey	12	4/24			Beef	(D) Home
	New Jersey	26	5/07			Clams	(C) Home
	New Jersey	900	5/20			Unknown	(B) Church
	New Jersey	47	6/12			Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	New Jersey	26	12/16			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New Mexico	4	6/29			Unknown	(C) Home
	New Mexico	2	8/26			Unknown	(C) Home
	New Mexico	2	11/08			Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	New Mexico	2	?/?			Unknown	(D) Unknown
	New Mexico	2	?/?			Unknown	(D) Restaurant

New Mexico	2	?/?	Unknown	(D)	Other
New Mexico	2	?/?	Unknown	(D)	Home
New Mexico	19	?/?	Beef	(D)	Restaurant
New Mexico	50	?/?	Other, not spec	(D)	School
New Mexico	3	?/?	Chicken	(D)	Restaurant
New Mexico	5	?/?	Unspecified diary	(D)	Restaurant
New Mexico	<u>μ</u>	?/?	Chicken	(D)	Home
New Mexico	2	?/?	Chicken	(D)	Home
New Mexico	3	?/?	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Mexico	4	?/?	Unspec salads/sauce	(D)	Restaurant
New Mexico	2	?/?	Chicken salad	(D)	Restaurant
New York	18	8/14	Ham	(D)	Home
North Carolina	8	4/18	Unknown	(D)	Showroom
Oklahoma	40	?/?	Unknown	(D)	Other
Oregon	7	3/27	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Oregon	17	6/11	Unknown	(D)	Other
Oregon	27	7/22	Unknown	(D)	Camp
Oregon	14	8/03	Unknown	(D)	Home
Oregon	5	8/30 +	Mexican food	(B)	Restaurant
Pennsylvania	4	1/18	Unknown	(D)	Home
Pennsylvania	3	3/23	Unknown	(D)	Pizzeria
Pennsylvania	2	4/01	Unknown	(D)	Unknown
Pennsylvania	15	4/03	Unknown	(D)	Private club
Pennsylvania	19	4/30	Shrimp	(D)	Restaurant

.

32

Etiology	State	Number of Cases	Date of <u>Onset</u>	Lab Data Patient Vehicle	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Location Where Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	Pennsylvania	4	5/01			Pizza	(D) Home
	Pennsylvania	2	5/19			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Pennsylvania	3	5/20			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Pennsylvania	83	5/26			Unknown	(B) Camp
	Pennsylvania	34	6/05			Cake	(D) Picnic
	Pennsylvania	9	6/18			Unknown	(B) Camp
	Pennsylvania	61	7/20			Egg salad	(D) Other
	Pennsylvania	4	7/22			Unknown	(D) Home
	Pennsylvania	6	7/30			Unknown	(D) Home
	Pennsylvania	25	10/24			Unknown	(B) Cafeteria
	Pennsylvania	5	11/16			Unknown	(B) Home
	Pennsylvania	15	12/04			Unknown	(D) Banquet hall
	Rhode Island	80	1/05			Turkey & gravy	(D) School
	South Carolina	3	6/19			Unknown	(B) Break room
	South Dakota	16	5/22			Unknown	(D) Mil. kitchen
	Texas	45	5/05			Unknown	(D) School
	Vermont	44	2/05			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Virginia	3	3/?			Unknown	(D) Office
	Virginia	18	4/16	+		Beef	(D) Fast food
	Virginia	50	5/16			Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Virginia	10	10/13			Unknown	(B) Office
	Washington	3	1/29			Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Washington	3	2/02			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Washington	2	2/05			Unknown	(B) Restaurant

Washi	ngton	2	2/07		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ngton	2	3/05		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Washi	ngton 5	3	3/17	+	Unknown	(B)	Sr citizen ctr
Washi	ngton	5	3/23		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ngton	2	3/23		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	.ngton 1	0	4/04		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	2	4/06		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ngton	2	4/07		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	2	4/16	+	Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ngton	4	4/23		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	3	4/23		Unknown	(D)	Home
Washi	ngton	2	5/02		Unknown	(D)	Home
Washi	ington	2	5/06		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	3	6/01		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	2	6/01		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	3	6/12		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington 1	14	6/14		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	2	6/18		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	6	6/20		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	4	7/07		Fried rice	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	3	7/11		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	2	7/13	+	Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	4	7/15		Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Washi	ington	2	7/29		Chinese food	(B)	Restaurant

-

Etiology	State	Number of <u>Cases</u>	Date of Onset	Lab Data Food- Patient Vehicle Handler	Vehicle	Location Where Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	Washington	5	8/05		Unknown	(C) Home
	Washington	2	8/22		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Washington	21	9/07		Unknown	(C) School
	Washington	2	9/18		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Washington	2	9/24		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Washington	2	9/25		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Washington	б	11/09		Unknown	(D) Home
	Washington	1	11/09		Unknown	(D) Home
	Washington	3	11/14		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Washington	4	11/24		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	Washington	2	11/27		Unknown	(D) Réstaurant
	Washington	2	12/03	-,	Ünknown [.]	(C) Home
	Washington	22	12/10		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Washington	54	12/13		Unknown	(B) Cafeteria
	Wisconsin	4	2/14	e e	Unknown	(C) Home
	Wisconsin	51	12/09		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	Guam	10	5/06	+	Shellfish	(C) Home
	Guam	23	12/09		Unknown	(B) Prison
	New York City	2	1/07		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	1/07		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	3	1/10		Unknown	(D) Other
	New York City	4	1/14		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	1/16		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	1/20		Unknown	(D) Other

New Yor	k City	2	1/21		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	k City	67	1/22		Unknown	(D)	Other
New Yor	k City	4	1/22		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	k City	3	1/22		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	k City	2	1/25		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	4	1/25		Unknown	(D)	Other
New Yor	•k City	2	1/26		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	k City	8	1/27		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	•k City	3	1/27		Unknown	(D)	Home
New Yor	rk City	3	1/31		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	2	2/02		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	3	2/02		Unknown	(D)	Other
New Yor	rk City	3	2/04		Unknown	(Đ)	Home
New Yor	rk City	2	2/05		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	2	2/05		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	3	2/07		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	2	2/25		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	3	2/26		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	2	2/26		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Neŵ Yoı	rk City	2	2/28		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	4	2/?	+	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New Yor	rk City	3	3/01	+	Unknown	(D)	Home
New Yor	rk City	3	3/10		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
New You	rk City	2	3/11		Unknown	(D)	Restaurant

. .

Etiology	State	Number of Cases	Date of <u>Onset</u>	Lab Data Patient <u>Vehicle</u>	Food- Handler	Vehicle	Location Where Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	New York City	2	3/14			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	3/14			Unknown	(D) Home
	New York City	4	3/18			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
<u>م</u> ``	New York City	3	3/19			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	3/20			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	3/26			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	4	4/02			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	4/04			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	4/08			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	4/09			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	4/09			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	4/10			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	7	4/13			Unknown	(D) Home
	New York City	3	4/18			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	4	4/20			Unknöwn	(D) Other
	New York City	2	4/23			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	5	5/02			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	3	5/03			Unknown	(D) Home
	New York City	2	5/08			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	5/09			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	5/11			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	5/21			Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	35	5/22			Unknown	(D) Other
	New York City	3	5/27			Unknown	(D) Restaurant

Ne	w York	City	2	5/31	Unknown	(D)	School
Ne	w York	City	5	6/01	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	36	6/04	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	6/07	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	4	6/08	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	35	6/11	Unknown	(D)	Home
Ne	w York	City	3	6/13	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	30	6/22	Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	9	6/22	Unknown	(B)	Other
Ne	w York	City	2	6/27	Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	6/28	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	7/11	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	7/12	Unknown	(B)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	7/12	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	7/22	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	4	8/07	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	8/12	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	3	8/28	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	9/05	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	9/16	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	2	9/17	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
· Ne	w York	City	2	9/19	Unknown	(D)	Home
Ne	ew York	City	2	9/22	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant
Ne	w York	City	5	9/26	Unknown	(D)	Restaurant

Etiology	State	Number of Cases	Date of Onset	Lab Data Food- Patient Vehicle Handle	r <u>Vehicle</u>	Location Where Food Mishandled* and Eaten
UNKNOWN	New York City	4	9/26		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	3	9/28		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	9/29		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	10/08		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	10/11		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	39	10/15		Unknown	(B) Restaurant
	New York City	3	10/15		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	50	11/07		Unknown	(D) Other
	New York City	2	11/07		Unknown	(D) Other
	New York City	3	11/07		Unknown	(D) Other
	New York City	3	11/09		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	11/11		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	11/14		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	3	11/19		Unknown	(D) Other
	New York City	2	11/20		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	11/26		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	11/26		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	11/29		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	11/30		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	12/02		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	12	12/02		Unknown	(D) Home
	New York City	2	12/07		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	25	12/09		Unknown	(D) Restaurant
	New York City	2	12/11		Unknown	(D) Restaurant

New	York	City	3	12/11	Unknown (D) F	lestaurant
New	York	City	2	12/15	Unknown (D) F	Restaurant
New	York	City	2	12/20	Unknown (D) ()ther
New	York	City	4	12/20	Unknown (D) F	lestaurant
New	York	City	2	12/30	Unknown (D) F	Restaurant
New	York	City	12	?/?	Unknown (B) (Other
New	York	City	20	?/?	Unknown (D) S	School
New	York	City	2	?/?	Unknown (D) H	Restaurant
New	York	City	2	?/?	Unknown (D) I	Restaurant

.

40

*(A)--Food processing establishment; (B)--Food service establishment; (C)--Home; (D)--Unknown; (E)--Not applicable

· ·

G. Guidelines for Confirmation of Foodborne Disease Outbreak

		Laboratory and/or
	Clinical Syndrome	Epidemiologic Criteria
BACTERIAL		
1. <u>Bacillus</u> cereus	a) incubation period 2-16 hrs. b) gastrointestinal syndrome	a) isolation o f ≥ 10 ⁵ organ- isms per gram in epidemiologi- cally incriminated food OR
		b) isolation of organism from stools of ill person.
2. <u>Brucella</u>	a) incubation period several days to several months	a) 4-fold increase in titer OR b) positive blood culture
	b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with brucellosis	
3. <u>Clostridium</u> <u>botulinum</u>	a) incubation 2 hours-8days, usually 12-48 hours	a) detection of botulinal toxin in human sera, feces, or food OR
	b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with botulism (see CDC Botulism Manual)	 b) isolation of <u>C.</u> botulinum organism from epidemiologically incriminated food or stools <u>OR</u> c) food epidemiologically incriminated
4. <u>Clostridium</u> <u>perfringens</u>	a) incubation period 9-15 hrs. b) lower intestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea but little vomiting or fever	 a) organisms of same serotype in epidemiologically incrimi- nated food and stool of ill individuals. OR b) isolation of organisms with same serotype in stool of most ill individuals and not in stool of controls OR c) ≥10⁵ organisms per gram in epidemiologically incrimi- nated food provided specimen properly handled
5. <u>Escherichia</u> <u>coli</u>	a) incubation period 6-36 hrs. b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea	 a) demonstration of organisms of same serotype in epidemio- logically incriminated food and stool of ill individuals and not in stool of controls <u>OR</u> b) isolation from stool of most ill individuals, organisms of the same serotype which have been shown to be enterotoxi- genic or invasive by special laboratory techniques
6. <u>Salmonella</u>	a) incubation period 6-48 hrs. b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea	 2) isolation of <u>Salmonella</u> or- ganism from epidemiologically implicated food <u>OR</u> b) isolation of <u>Salmonella</u> organism from stools of ill individuals

I		Clinical Syndrome	Laboratory and/or Epidemiologic Criteria
7.	<u>Shigella</u>	a) incubation period 12-50 hours b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea	 a) isolation of <u>Shigella</u> organism from epidemiologically implicated food <u>OR</u> b) isolation of <u>Shigella</u> organism from stools of ill individuals
8.	<u>Staphylococcus</u> <u>aureus</u>	 a) incubation period 30 min 8 hours (usually 2-4 hrs.) b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with vomiting 	 a) detection of enterotoxin in epidemiologically implicated food OR b) organisms with same phage type in stools or vomitus of ill individuals and, when pos-sible, implicated food and/or skin or nose of food handler OR c) isolation of ≥10⁵ organ-isms per gram in epidemiologi-cally implicated food
9.	Streptococcus Group A	a) incubation period 1-4 days b) febrile URI snydrome	 a) isolation of organisms with same M and T type from implicated food <u>OR</u> b) isolation of organisms with same M and T type from throats of ill individuals
10.	<u>Vibrio</u> cholerae	a) incubation period 1-3 days b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea and without fever	 a) isolation of <u>V</u>. cholerae from epidemiologically incri- minated food <u>OR</u> b) isolation of organisms from stools or vomitus of ill individuals <u>OR</u> c) significant rise in vibrio- cidal, bacterial agglutinating or antitoxin antibodies in acute and early convalescent sera, or significant fall in vibriocidal antibodies in early and late convalescent sera in persons not recently immunized
11.	<u>Vibrio</u> parahaemolyticus	a) incubation period 15-24 hrs. b) gastrointestinal syndrome majority of cases with diarrhea	 a) isolation of ≥10⁵ organ- isms from epidemiologically implicated food (usually seafood)
12.	Others	clinical data appraised in individual circumstances	laboratory data appraised in individual circumstances

	Clinical Syndrome	Laboratory and/or Epidemiologic Criteria
CHEMICAL		
 Heavy metals Antimony Cadmium Copper Iron Tin Zinc, etc 	 a) incubation period 5 min. to 8 hrs. (usually less than 1 hr) b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with heavy metal poison- ingusually gastrointestinal syndrome and often metallic taste 	demonstration of high concen- tration of metallic ion in epidemiologically incriminated food or beverage
2. Ichthyosarcotoxin		
Ciguatoxin	 a) incubation period 1-36 hrs. (usually 2-8 hrs.) b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with ciguaterausually initial gastrointestinal symptoms followed by dry mouth, pares- thesia of lips, tongue, throat or extremities. A sensation of looseness and pain in the teeth and and a paradoxical tempera- ture sensation are characteris- tic 	a) demonstration of ciguatoxin in epidemiologically incrimi- nated fish <u>OR</u> b) ciguatera-associated fish epidemiologically incriminated
Puffer fish (tetrodotoxin)	a) incubation period 10 min. to 3 hrs. (usually 10-45 min.)	a) demonstration of tetrodo- toxin in fish OR
	b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with puffer fish poison- ingparesthesia of lips, ton- gue, face or extremities often followed by numbness, loss of proprioception or a "floating" sensation	b) puffer fish epidemiological- ly incriminated
Scombrotoxin	a) incubation period 1 min. to 3 hours (usually less than 1 hour)	a) demonstration of elevated histamine levels in epidemio- logically incriminated fish OR
	b) clinical syndrome compatible with scombroid fish poisoning often including flushing, head- ache, dizziness, burning of mouth and throat, upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms, urti- caria and generalized pruritus	b) fish of order Scombrodei or fish associated with scombroid poisoning (e.g., mahi-mahi) epidemiologically incriminated

	Clinical Syndrome	Laboratory and/or Epidemiologic Criteria
3. Monosodium glutamate	a) incubation period 3 min. to 2 hours (usually less than 1 hour)	history of large amounts (usually ≥1.5 grams) of MSG having been added to epidemio- logically incriminated food
	b) clinical syndrome compatible with monosodium glutamate in- toxicationoften including burning sensations in chest, neck, abdomen or extremities, sensations of lightness and pressure over face, or a heavy feeling in the chest	
4. Mushroom poison		
Group containing ibotenic acid and muscimol	a) incubation period 1-12 hrs. (usually less than 4 hrs.)	a) demonstration of toxic chemical in epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms
~	b) clinical syndrome compatible with mushroom poisoning by this groupoften including confusion, delirium, visual disturbances	OR b) epidemiologically incri- minated mushrooms identified as a toxic type
Group containing amanitotoxins and phallotoxins, or gyromitrin	a) incubation period 5-18 hrs.	a) demonstration of toxic chemical in epidemiologically
	b) characteristic clinical syn- drome compatible with mushroom poisoning by this groupupper and lower gastrointestinal symp- toms followed by hepatic and/or renal failure	incriminated mushrooms OR b) epidemiologically incrimi- nated mushrooms identified as a toxic type
Groups containing muscarine, psilo- cybin and psilocin, gastrointestinal irritants, disul- firam-like compounds	a) characteristic incubation period	a) demonstration of toxic chemical in epidemiologically incriminated mushrooms
	b) clinical syndrome compatible with mushroom poisoning by these groups	DR b) epidemiologically incrimi- nated mushroom identified as toxic type
5. Paralytic and neurotoxic shellfish	a) incubation period 30 min. to 3 hours	a) detection of toxin in epi- demiologically incriminated mollusks
poison	b) clinical syundrome compati- ble with paralytic shellfish poisoningoften including paresthesia of lips, mouth or face and often upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms	OR b) detection of large numbers of shellfish poisoning- associated species of dino- flagellates in water from which epidemiologically in- criminated mollusks gathered
6. Other chemical	clinical data appraised in individual circumstances	laboratory data appraised in individual circumstances

1. <u>Trichinella</u> <u>spiralis</u> a) incubation period 3-30 days a) muscle biopsy from ill individual

		Clinical Syndrome	Laboratory and/or Epidemiologic Criteria
		b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with trichinosisoften including fever, high eosino- phil count, orbital edema, myalgia	OR b) serological tests OR c) demonstration of larvae in incriminated food
2.	Hepatitis A	a) incubation period 10-45 days b) clinical syndrome compati- ble with hepatitisusually including jaundice, GI symp- toms, dark urine	liver function tests compatible with hepatitis in affected per- sons who consumed the epidemio- logically incriminated food
3.	Others	clinical evidence appraised in individual circumstances	laboratory evidence appraised in individual circumstances

H. <u>Selected Foodborne Outbreak Articles</u>, <u>Taken from Morbidity and Mortality Weekly</u> Report

Clostridium perfringens Food Poisoning--California (MMWR 27(19):164-165, 1978)

An outbreak of <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> food poisoning in Ojai, California, traced to the consumption of bean-filled burritos,* illustrates that foods other than meat, poultry, or gravy contain the essential amino acids to support growth of this organism.

The burritos that caused the outbreak were one of many Mexican-style foods offered for sale at an outdoor fund-raising event on September 18, 1977, in Ojai. On September 19, the Ventura County Environmental Health Division began receiving reports of illness and initiated an investigation. To identify cases, hospital and community doctors, school nurses, and the event-organizers were contacted, and press releases were distributed to the local media. By these means, 181 ill persons who had attended the event were identified. Information about 40 other persons who ate at the event but did not become ill was also obtained. It is not known how many persons consumed burritos at this event, although sales receipts showed that about 1,200 had been sold.

Symptoms consisted primarily of diarrhea (96.2%) and cramps (79.7%). Only 3 (1.7%) of those ill reported vomiting. The mean incubation period was 11 hours, with 87% of persons reporting illness from 8-22 hours after eating. The majority of ill persons were free of symptoms within 24 hours of onset. No one was hospitalized. Analysis of food histories incriminated the bean-filled burritos (p<.01).

Containers of leftover bean-burrito filling, green chili sauce, taco sauce, and shredded longhorn cheese refrigerated at $5^{\circ}C$ (40°F) were sampled by county investigators 3 hours after closing of the food stand. Samples of 1 frozen burrito, another held at room temperature, and a frozen enchilada were also obtained. All of the food samples were examined for the presence of <u>Salmonella</u>, <u>Shigella</u>, <u>Staphylococcus</u>, and <u>C</u>. <u>perfringens</u> and for total aerobic colony counts. The bean-burrito filling and the unrefrigerated whole burrito were found to contain 4.0 x 10^{6} and 7.1 x 10^{6} <u>C</u>. <u>perfringens</u> bacteria per gram, respectively. None of the other food specimens contained more than 30,000 <u>C</u>. <u>perfringens</u> organisms per gram. No Shigella, Salmonella, or Staphylococcus organisms were found in food specimens.

The bean-burrito filling contained no meat or meat extracts. It was prepared from dried pinto beans that had been boiled with water in metal pots, mashed, and stored in cafeteria refrigerators overnight. The pots of beans were kept refrigerated while they were being transported the following morning. They were transferred to smaller open containers and reheated for an undetermined period of time before being served in the burritos. Several persons throughout the day reported that the beans were not heated and the burritos were served at ambient temperature. During that day, shade temperatures reched 29.4°C (85°F). There was no evidence of cross contamination with meat products.

Editorial Note: Pinto beans and other legumes provide an excellent substrate for C. perfringens (1). If beans are not served immediately after cooking, they should be held at $\geq 60^{\circ}C$ (140°F) or rapidly cooled in shallow containers in refrigerators and reheated to 74°C (165°F) before being served.

Reference

1. Rockland LB, Gardiner BL, Pieczarka D. Stimulation of gas production and growth of <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> type A (No. 3624) by legumes. J Food Sci 1969;34:411-414.

*burrito: a flour tortilla filled with either meat or beans and generally garnished with hot sauce and cheese

Restaurant Outbreak of Salmonellosis Due to Undercooked Turkey---Washington (MMWR 27(51):514, 519, 1978)

During October an outbreak of febrile gastroenteritis due to <u>Salmonella muenster</u>, involving 19 persons, occurred in King County, Washington. Investigation traced the illness to turkey prepared at a local restaurant.

An epidemiologic investigation was initiated on October 9, when individuals representing 3 separate groups telephoned complaints of food poisoning to the county health department. Investigation and reports by physicians identified a total of 19 patients. Eighteen of these persons had eaten at 1 restaurant at different times during October 3-5, 1978; the other patient was a cook at the restaurant.

The symptoms of the 18 diners were diarrhea (100%), abdominal cramps (100%), fever (67%), and vomiting (44%) 8 to 39 hours (mean, 17 hours) after eating. The duration of illness ranged from 1 to 17 days (mean, 3 days). S. <u>muenster</u> was isolated from 10 stool specimens submitted by 11 of the 18 symptomatic patients, from 1 of 2 specimens submitted by asymptomatic customers, and from the cook who had had diarrheal illness during the same 3-day period.

Food histories of the 18 customers and of 9 other restaurant patrons showed that all 18 ill diners but only 2 of 8 well customers had eaten cold turkey in either cold sandwiches or turkey salad (p=.0012). Investigation revealed that all turkey served in the restaurant was cooked on the premises by roasting to an internal meat temperature of $135-140^{\circ}F$ (57-60°C), rather than the $165^{\circ}F$ (74°C) required by Washington state regulations. Cooked turkeys were then refrigerated until served in sandwiches or salad. Hot turkey dishes were prepared by reheating sliced meat to a temperature over $164^{\circ}F$ (74°C). No food specimens served during the implicated period were available for culture; a specimen of turkey obtained a week after the outbreak was culturenegative for salmonellae, as were several environmental cultures.

The restaurant complied promptly with all regulations; subsequently, no further cases have been reported.

Editorial Note: This outbreak of <u>S</u>. <u>muenster</u> enteritis serves as a timely reminder of the need for proper cooking of poultry and other products to prevent salmonellosis. In this outbreak, reheating apparently provided sufficient additional cooking, since only cold turkey dishes were implicated. The cook, although he was culture-positive, was probably not the source of the outbreak. He ate at the restaurant and probably became infected there.

> Botulism--Puerto Riço (MMWR 27(38):356-357, 1978)

The first outbreak of botulism reported in Puerto Rico occurred in August 1978, among a restaurant owner and 2 of his employees in Guaynabo.

On August 10, a 46-year-old Guaynabo businessman presented to a hospital emergency room with vomiting, ptosis, and blurred vision. No sensory abnormalities were noted. Initial treatment included intravenous fluids and observation, but as dysphagia and dyspnea developed, a neurologist was consulted, and the clinical diagnosis of botulism was made. He was admitted to a medical center in San Juan, where he was placed on ventilatory assistance. He received 4 vials of trivalent (ABE) botulinal antitoxin within 24 hours without noticeable improvement. He died of pneumonia on August 12.

The Puerto Rico Department of Health was notified of the case on August 10 and began an investigation immediately. It was found that the patient's wife had prepared marinated fish on approximately July 26. She had stored it in 3 large, narrow-mouthed, glass jars, closed with screw caps, and left it to "cure" under a table in her husband's cafeteria-pizzeria business. When the investigators found the jars, a thick layer of oil had formed between the fish mixture and the air remaining in each jar. The jars was confiscated.

Attempts by investigators to find who else might have consumed the fish led to the identification of 2 more cases. The second patient was a 24-year-old restaurant

employee who had ueveloped weakness on August 4 after eating fish for 3 days. He had been hospitalized on August 8 with weakness, neck pain, and blurred vision. He never developed respiratory symptoms but had ptosis, shoulder and neck weakness, and dysphagia. By August 10, when the diagnosis of botulism was made, he was slightly improved and was not given antitoxin.

Another employee, a 16-year-old man, was absent from work on August 10. He was found at home in a remote rural community with severe weakness, ptosis, and dysarthria. He had eaten small amounts of fish on August 8 and 9. His therapy included ventilatory assistance and 4 vials of antitoxin. He developed pneumonia, and has improved slowly.

Two other employees and 3 additional people ate the fish between July 27 and August 8, but they remained well.

Serum was obtained from the patients on August 10, and type A botulinal toxin was present in patients 1 and 3. Stool, obtained only from the second patient, had no toxin. Culture results are pending. The contents of the 3 jars of marinated fish all had type A toxin; pH levels ranged from 3.9 to 4.9.

Editorial Note: Marinated fish is a very common food in Puerto Rico. Perhaps the most significant factor in toxin production in this case was the use of closed, narrow-mouthed jars rather than the customary practice of marinating fish in wide, shallow trays. The thick oil layer between the fish and the air may have protected the fish from the acid marinade while preventing passage of oxygen into the solution. Although the pH level of the food approximated the 4.5 value generally regarded as inhibitory to germination of <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> spores (1), the variation in pH levels in the layers present may have allowed for nonprotective regions.

Although type E toxin is commonly associated with outbreaks traced to fish, type A toxin is also frequently responsible (2,3).

Prompt notification of the Puerto Rico Health Department made possible the identification of cases 2 and 3, confiscation of the implicated food, and control of the outbreak.

References

1. Ingram M, Robinson RH. A discussion of the literature on botulism in relation to acid foods. J Appl Bacteriol 1951;14:73-84.

2. Merson MH, Hughes JM, Dowell VR, et al. Current trends in botulism in the United States. JAMA 1974;229:1305.

3. Center for Disease Control. Botulism in the United States, 1899-1973. Handbook for epidemiologists, clinicians, and laboratory workers. Issued May 1977.

> Follow-up on <u>Vibrio cholerae</u> Infection--Louisiana (MMWR 27(39):367, 1978)

A fourth person clinically ill with cholera was identified in Louisiana. The patient, a 19-year-old woman who lives near Abbeville, had onset of diarrhea on September 18, 1978. She was hospitalized on September 21, treated with tetracycline, and recovered. Isolates from this case, the other 3 clinically ill persons, and 1 asymptomatic case were confirmed as Vibrio cholerae, biotype El Tor, serotype Inaba.

The 4 clinically ill persons had a history of ingesting steamed or boiled crab in the 2- to 5-day period before onset of illness; the asymptomatic case had also recently eaten such food. For each of the 4 ill persons, 2 matched controls were questioned about eating seafood. None of these 8 controls had recently eaten steamed or boiled crab. An isolate of <u>V</u>. cholerae, serotype Inaba, was made from a sample of shrimp collected in the area where crabs eaten by 1 patient were collected.

Editorial Note: These 5 persons come from 2 adjacent towns and had no single common contact or water supply. The seafoods eaten by these persons came from different locations along 60 miles of the Louisiana Gulf Coast. If the steamed crabs were a vehicle, they were insufficiently cooked to destroy V. cholerae organisms or they were recontaminated after cooking. Residents of the area were warned to take extra care with the preparation of crabs to insure that they were adequately cooked and not subsequently contaminated.

Since the United States will now be listed by the World Health Organization as having a cholera-infected area, the following countries will now require International Certificates of Vaccination against Cholera from travelers arriving from Vermilion Parish, Louisiana: Albania, Angola, Brunei, Cape Verde, China (People's Republic), China (Republic of), Egypt, Fiji, Iran, Iraq, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Mali, Nauru, Pakistan, Panama, Pitcairn Island, Oatar, Ryukyu Islands, Saint Helena, Seychelles, Swaziland, Yemen, and Zambia. Five countries that always require cholera vaccination from all travelers are Malawi, Maldives, Mozambique, Papua New Guinea, and Saudi Arabia. The following countries will require a Certificate only from travelers proceeding to a country with a cholera requirement: Burma, India, and Nigeria.

An area is considered infected until 10 days has passed "since the last case identified has died, recovered, or been isolated, and there is no epidemiological evidence of spread of that disease to any contiguous area" (1).

Reference

1. World Health Organization: International Health Regulations (1969). 2nd ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1974

I. Bibliography

GENERAL

1. Riemann H (ed): Foodborne Infections and Intoxications. New York, Academic Press, 1969

2. Food Research Institute: Annual Report 1977, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

3. Bryan FL: Emerging foodborne diseases. I. Their surveillance and epidemiology. II. Factors that contribute to outbreaks and their control. J Milk Food Technol 35:618-625, 632-638, 1972

4. Horwitz MA: Specific diagnosis of foodborne disease. Gastroent 73:375-381, 1977

5. Bryan FA: Factors that contribute to outbreaks of foodborne disease. J of Food Protection 41:816-827, 1978

BACTERIAL

Bacillus cereus

1. Goepfert JM, Spira WM, Kim HU: <u>Bacillus</u> cereus:' Food poisoning organism. A review. J Milk Food Technol 35:213-227, 1972

2. Mortimer PR, McCann G: Food poisoning episodes associated with <u>Bacillus cereus</u> in fried rice. Lancet 1:1043-1045, 1974

3. Terranova W, Blake PA: <u>Bacillus</u> <u>cereus</u> food poisoning. N Eng J Med 298:143-144, 1978

Brucella

1. Spink WW: The Nature of Brucellosis. Minneapolis, Lund Press, Inc., 1956

2. Buchanan TM, et al: Brucellosis in the United States 1960-1972. Med 53:403-439, 1974

3. Young EJ, Suvannaparrat U: Brucellosis outbreak attributed to ingestion of unpasteurized goat cheese. Arch Intern Med 135:240-243, 1975

4. Fox MD, Kaufman AF: Brucellosis in the United States, 1965-1974. J Infect Dis 136:312-316, 1977

Clostridium botulinum

1. Center for Disease Control: Botulism in the United States, 1899-1977. Handbook for Epidemiologists, Clinicians, and Laboratory Workers, CDC, Atlanta, May 1979, pp 12-13 Cherington M: Botulism. Ten-year experience. Arch Neurol 30:432-437, 1974
 Koenig MG, Drutz DJ, Mushlin AI, et al: Type B botulism in man. Am J Med
 42:208-219, 1967

4. Koenig MG, Spichard A, Cardella MA, et al: Clinical and laboratory observations of type E botulism in man. Med 43:517-545, 1964

5. Dowell VR Jr, McCroskey LM, Hatheway CL, et al: Coproexamination for botulinal toxin and <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> - A new procedure for laboratory diagnosis of botulism. JAMA 238:1829-1832, 1977

Clostridium perfringens

1. Bryan FL: What the sanitarian should know about <u>Clostridium</u> foodborne illness. J Milk Food Technol 32:381-389, 1969

2. Lowenstein MS: Epidemiology of <u>Clostridium perfringens</u> food poisoning. N Engl J Med 286(19):1026-1027, 1972

Escherichia coli

1. Marier R, Wells JG, Swanson RC, Callahan W, Mehlman IJ: An outbreak of enteropathogenic <u>Escherichia coli</u> foodborne disease traced to imported French cheese. Lancet 2:1376-1378, 1973

2. Sack RB: Human diarrheal disease caused by enterotoxigenic <u>Escherichia coli</u>. Ann Rev Microbiol 29:333-353, 1975

Salmonella

I. Aserkoff B, Schroeder SA, Brachman PS: Salmonellosis in the United States--A five-year review. Am J Epidemiol 92:13-24, 1970

2. Bryan FL: What the sanitarian should know about salmonellae and staphylococci in non-dairy foods. II. Salmonellae. J Milk Food Technol 31:131-140, 1968

3. Cohen ML, Blake PA: Trends in foodborne salmonellosis outbreaks: 1963-1975. J Food Protection 40:798-800, 1977

Shigella

1. Donadio JA, Gangarosa EJ: Foodborne shigellosis. J Infect Dis 119:666-668, 1969

2. Black RE, Craun GF, Blake PA: Epidemiology of common-source outbreaks of shigellosis in the United States, 1961-1975. Am J Epidemiol 108:47-52, 1978

Staphylococcus

 Bryan FL: What the sanitarian should know about salmonellae and staphylococci in non-dairy foods. I. Staphylococci. J Milk Food Technol 31:110-116, 1968
 Merson MH: The epidemiology of staphylococcal foodborne disease. Proceedings of the Staphylococci in Foods Conference, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, 1973, pp 20-37

3. Minor TE, Marth EH: <u>Staphylococcus aureus</u> and staphylococcal food poisoning. J Milk Food Technol 34:21-39, 77-83, 227-241, 1972, 35:447-476, 1973

Group A Streptococcus

1. Hill HR, Zimmerman RA, Reid GVK, Wilson E, Kitton RM: Foodborne epidemic of streptococcal pharyngitis at the United States Air Force Academy. N Engl J Med 280:917-921, 1969

2. McCormick JB, Kay D, Hayes M, Feldman RA: Epidemic streptococcal sore throat following a community picnic. JAMA 236:1039-1041, 1976

Vibrio cholerae

1. Finkelstein RA: Cholera. CRC Critical Reviews in Microbiology 2(4):553-623, 1973

2. Gangarosa EJ, Mosley WH: Epidemiology and surveillance of cholera: Cholera, edited by Barua D, Burrows W. Philadelphia, London, Toronto, WB Saunders Co., 1974, p 381

3. Center for Disease Control: Follow-up on <u>Vibrio</u> <u>cholerae</u> Infection--Louisiana. Morbidity Mortality Weekly Rep 27(39):367, 1978 Vibrio parahaemolyticus

1. International Symposium on <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u>, September 17-18, 1973, Fujino, Sakaguchi G, Sakazaki R, Takeday (ed). Saikon Publishing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, 1974

2. Barker WH: <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u> outbreaks in the United States. Lancet 1:551-554, 1974

3. Barrow GI, Miller DC: <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u> and seafoods. Soc Appl Bacteriol Symp Ser 4:181-195, 1976

4. Dadisman TA Jr, Nelson R, Molenda JR, et al: <u>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</u> gastroenteritis in Maryland. I. Clinical and epidemiologic aspects. Am J Epidemiol 96:414-426, 1972

CHEMICAL

Heavy Metal

Cadmium

1. Baker TD, Hafnew WG: Cadmium poisoning from a refrigerator shelf used as an improvised barbecue grill. Public Health Rep 76:543-544, 1961

Copper

1. Hopper SH, Adams HS: Copper poisoning from vending machines. Public Health Rep 73:910-914, 1958

2. Semple AB, Parry WH, Phillips DE: Acute copper poisoning: an outbreak traced to contaminated water from a corroded geyser. Lancet 2: 700-701, 1960

Tin

1. Barker WH, Runte V: Tomato juice-associated gastroenteritis. Washington and Oregon, 1969. Am J Epidemiol 96:219-226, 1972

Zinc

1. Brown MA, Thom JV, Orth GL, et al: Food poisoning involving zinc contamination. Arch Environ Health 8:657-660, 1964

Ichthyosarcotoxin

1. Hughes JM, Merson MH: Fish and shellfish poisoning. N Engl J Med 295:1117-1120, 1976

Ciguatoxin

1. Barkin RM: Ciguatera poisoning, A common-source outbreak. South Med J 67(1):13-16, 1974

2. Halstead BW: Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1978, pp 325-402

Puffer Fish (tetrodotoxin)

1. Halstead BW: Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1978, pp 437-548

2. Torda TA, Sinclair E, Ulyatt DB: Puffer fish (tetrodotoxin) poisoning: Clinical record and suggested management. Med J Aust 1:599-602, 1973

Scombrotoxin

1. Halstead BW: Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. Princeton, The Darwin Press, 1978, pp 417-435

2. Kimata M: The histamine problem: Fish as Food, edited by Borgstrom E, New York, Academic Press, 1961, pp 329-352

3. Merson MH, Baine WB, Gangarosa EJ, Swanson RC: Scombroid fish poisoning: outbreak traced to commercially canned tuna fish. JAMA 228: 1268-1269, 1974

Monosodium Glutamate

I. Schaumburg HH, Byck R, Gerstl R, Mashman JH: Monosodium L-glutamate; its pharmacology and role in the Chinese restaurant syndrome. Science 163:826-828, 1969

Mushroom Poison

1. Wieland T, Wieland O: The toxic peptides of Amanita species in Kadis S, Cregler A, Alj SJ (eds): Microbial toxins, Vol 8 Fungal toxins, New York and London, Academic Press, 1972, pp 249-280

2. Benedict RG: Mushroom toxins other than Amanita, Kadis S, Ciegler A, Ajl SJ: Microbial toxins, Vol 8 Fungal toxins, New York and London, Academic Press, 1972, pp 281-320

3. Tyler VE: Poisonous mushrooms: Progress in Chemical Toxicology. Vol 1, edited by Stolman A, New York, Academic Press, 1963, pp 339-384

4. Becker CE, et al: Diagnosis and treatment of Amanita phalloides type mushroom poisoning - use of thiotic acid. West J Med 125:100-109, 1976

Parylytic and Neurotoxic Shellfish Poison

1. Music SI, Howell JT, Brumback CL: Red tide: its public health implications. J Fla Med Assoc 60:27-29, 1973

2. Halstead BW: Poisonous and venomous marine animals of the world. The Darwin Press, Princeton, 1978, pp 43-78

3. Hughes JM, Merson MH: Fish and shellfish poisoning. N Engl J Med 295:1117-1120, 1976

PARASITIC

Anisakidae

1. Chitwood MD: Nematodes of medical significance found in market fish. Am J Trop Med Hyg 19:599-602, 1970

T. spiralis

1. Gould SE: Trichinosis in man and animals. Springfield, Ill, Charles C. Thomas, 1970

2. Zimmerman WJ, Steele JH, Kagan IG: Trichinosis in the U.S. population 1966-1970--prevalence and epidemiologic factors. Health Services Rep 88:606-623, 1973

T. gondii

1. Kean BH, Kimball AC, Christensen WN: An epidemic of acute toxoplasmosis. JAMA 208:1002-1004, 1969

VIRAL

Hepatitis A

1. Cliver DO: Implications of foodborne infectious hepatitis. Public Health Rep 81:159-165, 1966

2. Gravelle CR, Hornbeck CL, Maynard JE, et al: Hepatitis A: Report of a common-source outbreak with recovery of a possible etiologic agent. II. Laboratory studies. J Infect Dis 131:167-171, 1975

3. Leger RT, Boyer KM, Pattison CP, et al: Hepatitis A: Report of a commonsource outbreak with recovery oi a possible etiologic agent. I. Epidemiologic studies. J Infect Dis 131:163, 1975

· · ·

. .

.

.

. . .

.

.

STATE EPIDEMIOLOGISTS AND STATE LABORATORY DIRECTORS

The State Epidemiologists are the key to all disease surveillance activities and their contributions to this report are gratefully acknowledged. In addition, valuable contributions are made by State Laboratory Directors.

STATE Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia Guam Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Lowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Micronesia* Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York State New York City North Carolina North Dakota Northern Mariana Islands* Frank T Palacios, MD Ohio Oklahoma Oregon (Pennsylvania Puerto Rico Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas . Utah Vermont Virginia ' Virgin Islands Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming

STATE EPIDEMIOLOGIST Thomas J Chester, MD John P Middaugh, MD Philip M Hotchkiss, DVM, Acting Jon M Counts, DrPH John P Lofgren, MD James Chin, MD Richard S Hopkins, MD Anthony V Sardinas, MPH Ernest S Tierkel, VMD Martin & Levy, MD Robert A Gunn, MD R Keith Sikes, DVM Robert L Haddock, DVM Ned H Wiebenga, MD Fritz R Dixon, MD Byron J Francis, MD Charles L Barrett, MD, Acting Laverne A Wintermeyer, MD Donald E Wilcox, MD Joseph V Skaggs, DVM, Acting Charles T Caraway, DVM William S Nersesian, MD, Acting Ebenezer Israel, MD, Acting Nicholas J Fiumara, MD Norman S Hayner, MD Masao Kumangai, MD Andrew G Dean, MD Durward L Blakey, MD H Denny Donnell, Jr, MD Judy Gedrose, RN, MN, Acting Paul A Stoess, MD William M Edwards, MD Vladas Kaupas, MD William & Parkin, DVM Sandra C Lapham, MD, Acting Aaron Bond, MPH Richard Rothenberg, MD David O Carpenter, MD Stephen M Friedman, MD Martin P Hines, DVM Kenneth Mosser Thomas J Halpin, MD Mark A Roberts, PhD John A Googins, MD Ernest J Witte, VMD Antonio Hernandez, MD Gerald A Faich, MD Richard L Parker, DVM Richard L Parker, DVMArthur F Disalvo, HDJames D Corning, BAA Richard Melton, DrPHRobert H Hutcheson, Jr, MDMichael W Kimberly, DrPHCharles R Webb, Jr, MDCharles Sweet, DrPHRichard E Johns, Jr, MDFrancis M Urry, PhD Richard E Johns, Jr, MDFrancis M urry, rubRichard L Vogt, MDDymitry Pomer, DVMGrayson B Miller, Jr, MDFrank W Lambert, DrPHJohn N Lewis, MDNorbert Mantor, PhDJack Allard, PhD†Jack Allard, PhDLoretta E Haddy, MSJohn W Brough, DrPHJeffrey P Davis, MDRonald Laessig, PhD, ActingMartin D Skinner, MDDonald T Lee, PhD Loretta E Haddy, MS Jeffrey P Davis, MD Martin D Skinner, MD *Formerly Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (Dual Assignment

James L Holston, Jr, DrPH Harry J Colvin, PhD Robert T Howell, DrPH John M Heslep, PhD C D McGuire, PhD Jesse Tucker, PhD Mahadeo P Verma, PhD James B Thomas, rub Nathan J Schneider, PhD Frank M Rumph, MD Pedro L G Santos Albert I Oda D W Brock, DrPH Hugh-Bert Ehrhard, DrPH Josephine Van Fleet, MD W J Hausler, Jr, PhD Roger H Carlson, PhD B P Brown, MD Henry Bradford, PhD Howard E Lind, PhD J Mehsen Joseph, PhD William M McCormack, MD George R Anderson DVM C Dwayne Morse, DrPH

STATE LABORATORY DIRECTOR

R H Andrews, MS Elmer Spurrier, DrPH Douglas Abbott John Blosser Paul Pugazzotto, PhD Robert A Miliner, DTPH Bernard F Taylor, PhD Aaron Bond, MPH Bernard Davidow, PhD Mildred A Kerbaugh A A Gustafson

Charles C Croft, ScD Charles C Croft, Gary McKee, PhD William Murphey, PhD Vern Pidcoe, DrPH Jose L Villamil Raymond G Lundgren, PhD Arthur F DiSalvo, MD 2/18/81

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30333

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HHS HHS 396



đ