



Influenza Summary and WG Considerations

Lisa Grohskopf, MD, MPH

February 22, 2017

Acknowledgments

Influenza Division

Leslie Sokolow
Lynnette Brammer
Brendan Flannery
Jessie Chung
Joe Bresee
Alicia Fry
Jill Ferdinands
Lenee Blanton
Alicia Budd
Natalie Kramer
Krista Kniss
Desiree Mustaquim
Noreen Alabi
Sonja Olsen
Jerry Tokars
Dan Jernigan
Jackie Katz
Tim Uyeki

Immunization Safety Office

Karen Broder
Frank Destefano
Penina Haber
Tom Shimabukuro

Immunization Services Division

Carolyn Bridges
Sam Graitcer
Andrew Kroger
Amy Parker Fiebelkorn
Jeanne Santoli

2017-18 ACIP Influenza Recommendations

- No new policy language proposed for consideration at this meeting.
- 2017-18 Statement will reiterate core recommendation that annual influenza vaccination is recommended for all persons aged 6 months and older who do not have contraindications.

Work Group Considerations:

Afluria (IIV3) and Afluria Quadrivalent (IIV4)

- Presentations on Afluria Quadrivalent pre-licensure data for adults (presented to ACIP in October) and children age ≥ 5 years
- Presentation summarizing safety investigation into etiology of febrile seizures and reactions associated with 2010 Southern Hemisphere trivalent formulation
- Work Group proposed no change in language for Afluria trivalent; awaits licensure of the quadrivalent formulation for age ≥ 5 years

Work Group Considerations:

Fluzone High-Dose, Fluad, and Flublok for Older Adults

- Presentation of Gravenstein long-term care facility data
- Currently, two vaccines are licensed specifically for age ≥ 65 years. Data heard by ACIP include:
 - Fluzone High-Dose (high-dose IIV3, Sanofi Pasteur)
 - Superior VE to standard-dose IIV3 against protocol-defined ILI associated with lab-confirmed influenza in a two-season RCT of $\sim 32,000$ persons age ≥ 65 years
 - Fluad (adjuvanted IIV3, Seqirus)
 - Superior VE to unadjuvanted IIV3 against lab-confirmed influenza in an analysis of 227 participants in a one-season observational study of persons age ≥ 65 years
- ACIP has previously heard data from a 2014-15 season randomized trial of Flublok Quadrivalent (RIV4, Protein Sciences) noting superiority over IIV4 for persons age ≥ 50 years
- No direct comparisons of these vaccines with one another
- ACIP currently expresses no preference for one vaccine over another
- WG proposed no change in language, and looks forward to further discussion of efficacy and effectiveness data for these vaccines in this high-risk population
- Data for vaccines for this population will be summarized in upcoming 2017-18 ACIP Influenza Statement

Influenza Vaccine Coverage Among Children

Preliminary Estimates, 2016-17—NIS-Flu

- CDC has updated early season influenza vaccination coverage estimates (NIS-Flu) to evaluate potential impact of the recommendation to not use LAIV for the 2016-17 season.
- Preliminary estimates reflecting reported vaccinations received by end of December, 2016.
 - Coverage among children ages 6 months–17 years increased from 37% by early November to 50% by end of December.
 - Coverage through December (50%) was similar to coverage through December last season (51%).
 - By age group, no statistically significant differences for 2016-17 compared to 2015-16 season (percentage point differences ranged from 2.7% for ages 13-17 years to -2.8% for ages 5-12 years).
- As in past seasons, coverage was higher in younger children: 66% for ages 6-23 months, 56% for ages 2-4 years, 50% for ages 5-12 years, and 40% for ages 13-17 years.
- In past seasons, influenza vaccination of children continued to be reported past December; for 2015-16, coverage increased from 52% by the end of December 2015 to 59% by end of May 2016.

Influenza Division Activities

Vaccine Effectiveness

- Ongoing evaluation of vaccine effectiveness via the U.S. Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness Network
 - Intraseasonal waning and decision tree analysis regarding timing of vaccination
 - Research studies ongoing to assess immunologic effects of repeat vaccination
- LAIV Studies
 - Systematic Review of literature and meta-analysis of efficacy and effectiveness of LAIV since 2010-11
 - Combined US individual patient-level LAIV effectiveness analysis (CDC, DoD, MedImmune)
- Production and publication of annual ACIP influenza statement

Work Group Considerations:

FluMist (LAIV)

- Best evidence to support recommendation for use would be effectiveness data for LAIV (containing a new H1N1 component) against H1N1 viruses
- Anticipated data timelines:
 - 2016-17 effectiveness data (H3N2) from U.S., U.K, Finland--June 2017
 - Efficacy (H3N2) from Japan, U.S. pediatric shedding/immunogenicity--October 2017
- Will not be able to assess effectiveness against H1N1 from current season's data
- Cannot predict when next H1N1-predominant season will occur (therefore, possibly several years before H1N1-specific effectiveness or efficacy data are available)

Work Group Considerations:

FluMist (continued)

- In the absence of effectiveness/efficacy data for FluMist with a new H1N1 component, the following would be reassuring:
 - Demonstration that the new virus exhibits improved fitness in animals (ferrets), and particularly in human shedding and immunogenicity studies,
 - Demonstration that performance (e.g., replicative fitness) is similar to that of pre-pandemic H1N1 viruses (which were demonstrated to be effective)
- A caveat--there is no adequate correlate of protection for LAIV against influenza viruses
 - Shedding and antibody levels do not always correlate with effectiveness
 - Shedding is an indication of replicative fitness and vaccine “take”; however, lack of shedding has not always correlated with poor effectiveness
 - Therefore, there is inherent difficulty in interpreting a negative (poor shedding) result
- However, human shedding and antibody (immunogenicity) data (anticipated October 2017) are probably the most constructive data that can be collected within 1-2 season timeframe

Work Group Considerations: FluMist (continued)

**Does the ACIP feel these data will be sufficient to re-consider
whether to recommend LAIV?**

For more information, contact CDC
1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636)
TTY: 1-888-232-6348 www.cdc.gov

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

