



Published in final edited form as:

*Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2018 September ; 221(8): 1077–1084. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.08.006.

## Home proximity to flower plantations and higher systolic blood pressure among children

Jose R. Suarez-Lopez<sup>a,\*</sup>, Vennis Hong<sup>b</sup>, Kelsey N. McDonald<sup>c</sup>, Jose Suarez-Torres<sup>d</sup>, Dolores López<sup>d</sup>, and Franklin De La Cruz<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA, jrsuarez@ucsd.edu

<sup>b</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA, vennishong@ucla.edu

<sup>c</sup>Macalester College, St. Paul, MN 55105, USA, kmcdonal@macalester.edu

<sup>d</sup>Fundacion Cimas del Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador, Suarez-Torres jsuarez@cimas.edu.ec, Lopez: dlopez@cimas.edu.ec

### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** Pesticide drift from agricultural plantations increase the chemical exposure potential of people living nearby. Some studies have described positive associations between pesticide exposures and blood pressure (BP) in adults, whereas limited evidence in children suggests negative associations. This study characterized the association between home proximity to plantations and BP among children living in a flower-growing county in Ecuador.

**METHODS:** We included 310 4–9-year-old children living in Pedro Moncayo County, Ecuador as part of The ESPINA study. We calculated age, gender and height-specific BP z-scores. Geographic coordinates of homes and flower plantations were collected using GPS receivers and satellite imagery. Exposure-outcome associations were analyzed using linear regression.

**RESULTS:** The mean home distance to the nearest flower plantation was 449m (SD: 347) and the median plantation area within 150m of participants' homes was 989m<sup>2</sup> (25<sup>th</sup>–75<sup>th</sup> percentile: 492–3164) among those with non-zero values. Children living closer to plantations had lower AChE activity. Systolic BP z-score increased with greater residential proximity to plantations (0.24 SD per 1000m [95% CI: 0.01, 0.47]) and with greater areas of flower plantations within 150m of homes (0.03 SD per 1000m<sup>2</sup> [0.00, 0.06]), after adjusting for socio-economic, anthropometric and other factors. Further adjustment for acetylcholinesterase and hemoglobin strengthened these associations.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Proximity of homes to flower plantations and greater plantation areas within 150m from homes were associated with higher systolic BP, independent of cholinesterase activity.

\*Corresponding author: jrsuarez@ucsd.edu, Address: Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, 9500 Gilman Dr. MC: 0725, La Jolla, CA 92093-0725.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Declarations of interest: None

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This suggests that non-cholinesterase inhibitor pesticide drift from agricultural plantations may be sufficient to induce physiologic changes on children living nearby.

## Keywords

Blood pressure; pesticides; agriculture; children; residential proximity; geospatial

## 1. Introduction

People living near agricultural fields are known to have an increased risk of chronic exposure to pesticides, which in turn may alter physiological processes. Pesticide exposures appear to affect the cardiovascular system, and could increase the risk for cardiovascular conditions including arrhythmia, coronary artery disease, and congestive heart failure (Hung et al., 2015). High blood pressure is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease (Kannel, 2000; Rapsomaniki et al., 2014) and a limited number of studies have described associations between history of pesticide exposures and blood pressure in children and adults (Gordon and Padnos, 2000; Grandjean et al., 2006; Samsuddin et al., 2016; Smith and Gordon, 2005; Suarez-Lopez et al., 2013).

Among mosquito control workers in Malaysia, duration and magnitude of pesticide exposure were associated with elevated diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) (Samsuddin et al., 2016). Among Sicilian women, twice the incidence rate of gestational hypertension was noted among those occupationally exposed to pesticides compared to those who had indirect exposures or were not exposed to pesticides (Ledda et al., 2015). In a study of Ecuadorian school children, maternal work in agriculture during pregnancy, but not concurrent pesticide exposure of children, was associated with elevated systolic blood pressure in children (Grandjean et al., 2006). These positive associations from epidemiological studies were also observed in experimental studies in which rats treated with the organophosphate chlorpyrifos, a cholinesterase inhibitor, developed increases in SBP and DBP (Gordon and Padnos, 2000; Smith and Gordon, 2005). In contrast to these findings, we previously observed lower systolic blood pressure among children who lived with a flower plantation worker, and observed a positive association between acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity and SBP and DBP, among children growing up in agricultural communities in Ecuador (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2013). AChE is a physiological marker of exposure to cholinesterase inhibitor pesticides; lower values reflect greater exposure (Taylor, 2011). The differing associations observed across the studies mentioned above may be due to differences in the age-groups of participants and in the construct of exposure used (e.g. urinary metabolites vs AChE activity vs history of work in agriculture). One construct of exposure which has not been studied in this context is home proximity to agricultural pesticide spray sites.

Several studies suggest that pesticide drift may play an important role in pesticide exposures and health of families living within the vicinity of the application sites. For instance, a 20% increase in an urinary organophosphate metabolite per mile of greater residential proximity to farmland was observed among families living in agricultural areas in Washington State, USA (Coronado et al., 2011). Similarly, dose-response relationships have been described

between acreage of farmland within 750 m of homes and herbicide levels in house dust among families in rural Iowa, USA (Ward et al., 2006). These effects have been observed at smaller distances in two pediatric studies, in which children living within 60m from fruit orchards and agricultural fields had higher pesticides levels in house dust and urinary metabolite levels than those living farther away (Bradman et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2000). Residential distance to plantations is an indicator of pesticide drift for many classes of pesticides, as fungicides, insecticides and herbicides are usually used concomitantly in agriculture.

There is some evidence that proximity to pesticide spray sites may affect the health of children. Pregnant mothers in California who lived near fields where organophosphate or pyrethroid pesticides were used were found to have offspring with lower cognitive function in childhood, or with greater risk of having autism spectrum disorders (Gunier et al., 2016; Roberts et al., 2007; Rowe et al., 2016; Shelton et al., 2014). Additionally, there is some evidence that proximity of pregnant women's homes to pesticide spray sites is associated with certain heart defects in their offspring (Carmichael et al., 2014). More studies assessing various health components of children living near agricultural sites are needed, as it is important to understand how far residential zones and schools should be from pesticide spray sites to minimize the potential for exposure and related adverse health problems.

The aim of this study was to determine whether proximity of homes to flower plantations was associated with blood pressure alterations among a cohort of Ecuadorian children who did not work in agriculture but lived in agricultural communities in Pedro Moncayo County, Ecuador. The floricultural industry in Pedro Moncayo has a prevalent use of insecticides (organophosphates, neonicotinoids and pyrethroids) and fungicides (quinone outside inhibitors, azoles, dithiocarbamates) (Grandjean et al., 2006; Handal et al., 2016; Harari, 2004; Suarez-Lopez et al., 2017).

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Study Description

The study of Secondary Exposures to Pesticides among Children and Adolescents (ESPINA, Exposición Secundaria a Plaguicidas en Niños y Adolescentes)(Suarez-Lopez et al., 2012) is aimed at understanding the effects of asymptomatic pesticide exposures on child development in a population of children living in Pedro Moncayo County, Pichincha, Ecuador. With approximately 1800 hectares of flower plantations (5.3% of the County's surface area) (Gobierno Municipal del Canton Pedro Moncayo, 2011), a sizeable portion of the residents of this Andean county live in the vicinity of a flower plantation.

We examined 313 boys and girls of ages 4–9 years in 2008, 73% of whom were recruited using contact information from their participation in the 2004 Survey of Access and Demand of Health Services in Pedro Moncayo County, a large representative survey of Pedro Moncayo County conducted by Fundación Cimas del Ecuador in collaboration with the communities of Pedro Moncayo county. The remaining 27% of participants were recruited by word of mouth or community announcements. We also conducted home interviews of 451 parents and agricultural workers who lived with the examined children. The ESPINA

study was carried out in response to the needs defined locally by the people and leaders of Pedro Moncayo County. Additional recruitment information has been described elsewhere (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2012). For the present analyses, we included 310 (98%) children who had all covariates of interest.

The ESPINA study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Fundación Cimas del Ecuador, the University of Minnesota, the University of California San Diego, Universidad San Francisco de Quito and the Ministry of Public Health of Ecuador. Informed consent, parental authorization of child participation and child assent of participants 7 years of age and older were obtained.

## 2.2 Survey

Information on socio-economic status, demographics, health, and pesticide exposure histories of participant children, children's parents and other adults in the household were obtained through home interviews by trained interviewers.

## 2.3 Examination

Children's heights were measured using a height board, following recommendations by the World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 2008). Resting heart rates were measured during a 30-second auscultation. Blood pressure was measured with a pediatric Omron aneroid sphygmomanometer, appropriate for the arm sizes of the children. Blood pressure measurements followed the recommendations of the American Heart Association (Pickering et al., 2005). Children were seated with uncrossed legs and both feet on the floor with the antecubital fossa supported at heart level. Two measurements were taken after at least 5 minutes of rest. The average of both blood pressure measurements were used in these analyses. Interpretation of blood pressure levels in pediatric populations is conducted using age, gender and height specific blood pressure z-scores (National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents, 2004). Such blood pressure z-scores were calculated using formulas from the Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents (National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents, 2004).

## 2.4 Biological measures

Erythrocytic AChE activity and hemoglobin concentrations were measured from a single finger stick sample using the EQM Test-mate ChE Cholinesterase Test System 400 (EQM AChE Erythrocyte Cholinesterase Assay Kit 470).

## 2.5 Geospatial information

Geographical coordinates of Pedro Moncayo County homes were obtained through portable global positioning system receivers in 2004, 2006 and 2010 by Fundación Cimas del Ecuador, as part of the System of Local and Community Information (Sistema de Información Local y Comunitario [SILC]). Flower plantation edges (areal polygons) were created in 2006 from satellite imagery. Residential distances from the nearest 1-meter segment of flower plantation edge were calculated using ArcGIS 9.3 (Esri, Redlands, CA).

To further quantify the amount of potential exposure from pesticide drift, areas of flower plantations within a 150 m radius of each participant's home were calculated.

## 2.6 Statistical Analysis

We calculated the mean and standard deviation of characteristics of all participants, and by strata of tertiles of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation. To test whether values differed across tertiles of distance, we calculated p-trend values using simple linear regression and with residential distance to the nearest plantation as a continuous variable.

We analyzed the association of children's blood pressure with residential distance to the nearest flower plantation and areas of flower plantations within 150m of their residence using multiple linear regression. We analyzed the variable of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation as a continuous variable and as tertiles. We also calculated the least square mean SBP and DBP for each tertile of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation and we tested the pair-wise differences between tertiles (reference category: tertile 1).

Areas of flower plantations within 150 m of the children's residence was analyzed as a continuous variable and as a 3-category variable: the first category included residences without flower plantations within the buffer; the second and third categories included the lower and upper 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of non-zero flower plantation area values, respectively. Least-square means were calculated for each category of area of flower plantations within 150m of children's residence, and blood pressure differences were calculated between the lower and upper 50<sup>th</sup> percentiles and the reference category (no flower plantations within 150 m of residences).

We ran multivariable linear regression models adjusting for several covariates, selected *a priori*, associated with exposure to pesticides and blood pressure. Model 1 adjusted for age, gender, race, height, income, maternal education, examination date, and cohabitation with a floricultural worker. We further adjusted for heart rate to control for transient blood pressure effects related to heart rate; the outcome of our hypothesis focuses on chronic (rather than transient) blood pressure changes associated with the exposure. In adolescents, heart rate is positively associated with blood pressure (Christofaro et al., 2017). Model 1 adjusted for examination date considering that participants in our study were examined between 63 and 100 days after the end of a peak-pesticide spray season (the Mother's day flower harvest); we previously observed that examination date was positively associated with AChE activity (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2017), affecting particularly children living in close proximity to plantations. We adjusted for cohabitation with a floricultural worker since we found that children living with flower plantation workers had lower AChE activity compared to children not living with agricultural workers (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2012). Both examination date and cohabitation with floricultural workers would hence be potential confounders considering that we previously described a strong positive association between AChE activity and both SBP and DBP in ESPINA study participants, and children living with flower plantations workers had lower blood pressure compared to children not living with agricultural workers (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2013). Model 2 further adjusted for AChE activity and hemoglobin concentration. As a standard practice, models including (red blood cell)

AChE activity are further adjusted for hemoglobin concentration to account for AChE differences related to hematocrit. We tested effect modification by sex and age using interaction models that included the exposure, effect modifier and the interaction term (exposure\*effect modifier). For a visual depiction of the association between residential distance to flower plantations and blood pressure, we plotted the model-2 adjusted least squared means of blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) across deciles of distance. Data were analyzed with SAS Version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC)

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Participant characteristics

The mean age of children was 6.6 years (SD: 1.6), 49% were female, 76% mestizo (mix of white and indigenous), 22% indigenous, and 49% lived with a flower worker (Table 1). Compared to children in the United States, blood pressure z-scores (age, gender and height appropriate) were similar for SBP (mean:  $-0.01$  SD, SD: 0.71) but lower for DBP (mean:  $-0.51$  SD, SD=0.60). The residences of 19.4% of the children were within 150 m of a flower plantation. Among these residences, the median (25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentile) area of flower plantations was 989 m<sup>2</sup> (492, 3164), with a range of 43 to 27,457 m<sup>2</sup>. Height, DBP, DBP z-score, and AChE levels were positively associated with residential distance to the nearest flower plantation, whereas flower worker cohabitation, income, SBP, and SBP z-score were negatively related. The distribution by gender and race did not vary by residential distance to the nearest flower plantation (Table 1).

#### 3.2 Blood pressure and heart rate as a function of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation edge.

SBP and DBP z-scores were positively related to residential proximity (hence, negatively related to distance) to the nearest flower plantation edge. In model 1, for every 1000m greater proximity to a plantation, SBP increased by 2.44 mmHg (95% CI: 0.00, 4.88) and SBP z-score increased by 0.24 SD (0.01, 0.47), Table 2 and Figure 1). This association was strengthened when further adjusted for hemoglobin and acetylcholinesterase activity in model 2 (SBP per 1000m greater proximity = 2.98 [95% CI: 0.49, 5.47]; SBP z-score per 1000m greater proximity = 0.29 SD (95% CI: 0.06, 0.53)). In model 1, children living within 233m (tertile 1) of a plantation had the highest SBP mean (95.0 mmHg) which was significantly higher by 2.2 mmHg and 2.6 mmHg than those of children living within 233–532m (tertile 2), and 533–1553m (tertile 3), respectively (Table 2). Residential distance to the nearest flower plantation edge was not associated with DBP or z-score of DBP. There was a significant association with pulse pressure (Model 1:  $\beta$  per 1000m =  $-3.9$  mmHg [95% CI:  $-6.2$ ,  $-1.6$ ]) and no association with heart rate (Model 1:  $\beta$  per 1000m =  $0.7$  bpm [95% CI:  $-3.3$ ,  $4.7$ ]). There was no evidence of effect modification by age or gender on any of the associations.

#### 3.3 Blood pressure and heart rate as a function of surface areas of flower plantations within 150 m of homes.

SBP was positively associated with areas of flower plantation within 150m of children's residences. For every 1000m<sup>2</sup> of flower plantation areas, SBP increased by 0.32 mmHg

(95% CI: 0.01, 0.64) and SBP z-score increased by 0.03 (0.00, 0.06) in model 1 (Table 3). These associations were strengthened, although minimally, after further adjusting for AChE and hemoglobin (model 2). Participants with the highest areas of flower plantations near their homes had the highest SBP and SBP z-scores (Table 3). In both models, we observed statistically significant differences in SBP and SBP z-score between the upper 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of areas of flower plantations (category 3) and having no areas of flower plantations within 150m of residences (category 1). No consistent associations were observed with DBP, and no associations were observed with heart rate (Model 1:  $\beta$  per 1000m<sup>2</sup> = 0.10 bpm [95% CI: -0.41, 0.62]). Pulse pressure was significantly associated with areas of flower plantation within 150m of homes (Model 1:  $\beta$  per 1000m<sup>2</sup> = 0.42 mmHg [95% CI: 0.12, 0.72]). There was no evidence of effect modification by age or gender on any of the associations.

#### 4. Discussion

The present study analyzed the associations between blood pressure and 2 constructs of off-target pesticide drift from pesticide spray sites: residential distance to flower plantations and areas of flower plantations near homes. SBP and pulse pressure, but not DBP or heart rate, was positively associated with residential proximity ( $-1 \times \text{distance}$ ) to flower plantations and with areas of flower plantations within 150 m of the homes. These findings suggest that off-target drift of pesticides may reach children living near plantations in sufficient amounts to alter their blood pressure.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to describe associations between home proximity to agricultural crops and alterations in blood pressure. Our findings concur with the few existing studies on the relationship between pesticide exposures and blood pressure. Among experimental studies, rats were found to have increases in systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure for up to 56hrs after administration of chlorpyrifos (Gordon and Padnos, 2000; Smith and Gordon, 2005). Among human studies, adult male mosquito control workers in Malaysia with self-reported exposure to pesticides were found to have increased heart rate, and elevated aortic and brachial SBP and DBP (Samsuddin et al., 2016). Among Sicilian women, the incidence of gestational hypertension was twice as high among women occupationally exposed to pesticides (12%) compared to those who had indirect or domestic exposures (6% and 7% respectively), and four times greater than the incidence among unexposed women (4%). (Ledda et al., 2015). Finally, a pilot study of Ecuadorian children aged 5–9 years living in the same area as our participants, reported a positive correlation between maternal work in floriculture during pregnancy and children's SBP (Harari et al., 2010). However, concurrent exposures to pesticides were not associated with blood pressure.

The findings in the present study contradicted our hypothesis that greater residential proximity would be associated with lower blood pressure, which was based on the previously observed positive associations between AChE activity and blood pressure in this cohort of Ecuadorian children (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2013). One explanation for this discrepancy is that AChE activity is a marker of exposure to cholinesterase inhibitors, whereas residential distance to flower plantation is an indicator of pesticide drift for many classes of pesticides. Floriculture in Pedro Moncayo county actively uses many classes of

fungicides, insecticides and herbicides (Harari, 2004; Suarez-Lopez et al., 2017). In fact, 23 different carbamates and organophosphate insecticides were reported to be used by flower plantations in Pedro Moncayo, over 50 different fungicides, including azoles, quinone outside inhibitors and dithiocarbamates (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2017), and many other insecticides and herbicides.

Currently it is unknown how pesticides such as fungicides, neonicotinoids, pyrethroids and others may affect the cardiovascular system; research is needed in this area.

In this study, home proximity to flower plantations and greater areas of flower plantations within 150m of homes were positively associated with SBP and pulse pressure but not with DBP or heart rate. The reasons for this are unclear. Pulse pressure, calculated as the difference between SBP and DBP, increases with age. In older adults, this increase is largely attributed to stiffness of the large arteries and early pulse wave reflection (Nichols et al., 1992; O'Rourke and Nichols, 2005), whereas peripheral vascular resistance and increases in stroke volume appear to be more important contributors to hypertension in younger adults (Franklin et al., 1997; Messerli et al., 1983). In children, diabetes is one of the most important predictors of increased pulse pressure (Dost et al., 2014). We can only speculate that the chronic drift of non-cholinesterase inhibitors onto homes nearby could increase the peripheral vascular resistance of children; cholinesterase inhibition appears to have opposing effects. In this cohort, we previously observed lower SBP and DBP, but no changes in heart rate, associated with lower AChE activity likely from greater cholinesterase inhibitor insecticide exposure (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2013). This suggested that cholinesterase inhibitor pesticides may primarily induce vasodilation in children, perhaps through stimulation of muscarinic M3 receptors in the vascular endothelium (Bény et al., 2008; Eltze et al., 1993; Gericke et al., 2011; Lamping et al., 2004). In the present study, this likely explains why the associations in Model 1 were further strengthened after adjusting for AChE activity and hemoglobin. The present analyses suggest that non-cholinesterase inhibitor agro-chemicals may be responsible for the greater blood pressure seen in children living near plantations.

We observed a crude positive association between AChE activity and residential distances of homes to plantations (Table 1), which suggests that cholinesterase inhibitors, and plausibly other pesticides, reached children in greater amounts if they lived closer to flower plantations. This provides some validation of residential distance to plantations as a construct of pesticide exposure. An extensive assessment of the associations of AChE activity and residential distance to plantations, and areas of flower plantations near homes is described in a separate manuscript (in preparation). Proximity of homes to agricultural crops has been found to be an informative predictor of pesticide exposures due to off-target drift of pesticides from plantations onto neighboring residential areas. For instance, a study on children living in Washington State found that families living within 60m of orchards had higher pesticide concentrations in house dust and in urine compared to children living at greater distances (Lu et al., 2000). Families living more than a quarter mile from orchards had lower concentrations of dust and urine metabolites and no detectable levels of metabolites on their hands. Similarly, young children living less than 60m from an agricultural field in California had increased concentrations of organophosphate urinary metabolites (dimethyl alkylphosphates and diethyl alkylphosphates) (Bradman et al., 2011).

These effects have also been observed in studies assessing pesticide impact at greater distances from agricultural sites. For instance, Coronado et al. (2011) observed a 20% decrease in urinary concentrations of the organophosphate metabolite dimethylthiophosphate per mile decrease in proximity from farmland. Similarly, Ward et al. (2006) observed a dose-response relationship between acreage of farmland within 750m and risk for detecting herbicides in house dust, suggesting that families surrounded by greater areas of farmland are exposed to greater amounts of pesticides than those surrounded by smaller areas of farmland.

Families living near agricultural sites are also at increased risk for illness. One population-based case-control study in California observed positive associations between pesticide use within 500m of a mother's home during pregnancy and some specific heart defect phenotypes (Carmichael et al., 2014). In a birth cohort in California, it was found that greater use of pesticides within 1 km of homes of pregnant women were associated with decreases in cognitive function of their children at 7-years (Gunier et al., 2016) and 10-years (Rowe et al., 2016) of age. For each standard deviation increase in agricultural use of total organophosphates (237 kg) there was a 2 point decrease (15% of a standard deviation) in Full-Scale IQ (Gunier et al., 2016). Two case-control studies of autism spectrum disorders (ASD) in California found that pregnant mothers had greater odds of having children later diagnosed with ASD if they lived near organophosphate or pyrethroid pesticide spray sites (Shelton et al., 2014), or where organochlorine pesticides were detectable (Roberts et al., 2007).

The pesticide exposure levels that children in Pedro Moncayo County are subjected to may not be very different from those of similar communities in the United States and other developed countries. A study of Ecuadorian children living in the vicinity of the present study area reported that urinary metabolite concentrations of organophosphate pesticides were similar to those of a representative sample of children in the United States (Barr et al., 2003; Grandjean et al., 2006). The cholinesterase inhibitor pesticide exposure, assessed through urinary metabolites levels, among children in Pedro Moncayo county have been found to be similar to those observed among children living in agricultural communities in the United States (Barr et al., 2003; Grandjean et al., 2006). Additionally, AChE levels in our cohort were on par to those of Hispanic children living in agricultural settings in Oregon (Higgins et al., 2001; Suarez-Lopez et al., 2013, 2012), after accounting for slight age differences between the groups.

Limitations of this study include the inability to account for factors related to blood pressure such as prenatal toxicant exposures, perinatal health history, or concurrent and previous dietary patterns (e.g., salt and fat consumption). Additionally, we did not account for direction of prevailing winds in this area during the examination periods. This potential non-differential misclassification of the amount of pesticide drift from plantations to homes may have biased our findings towards the null (Gordis, 2014), as distance to plantations includes participants with higher and lower exposure potential from living either downwind or upwind from the plantations. Also contributing to potential exposure misclassification is the lack of information regarding which flower fields used pesticides and which did not. Organic (non-pesticide using) flower plantations are few in Pedro Moncayo. In 2007, only 0.65% of

agricultural land in Ecuador was managed without pesticides (organic) (Willer et al., 2008) and the United States, the biggest importer of Ecuadorian Flowers, reported that only 0.6% of flower sales were organic in 2016 (United States Department of Agriculture, 2016).

Additionally, we do not have precise information regarding the exact locations in which participants spend most of the time, as pesticide drift from plantations may occur at various sites in the county. However, children were examined during the summer vacation and a majority of the daytime was probably spent at or near their homes.

Another limitation is that we were unable to discern which pesticide or class of pesticides were driving the associations described in these analyses. Although a growing number of pesticides can be quantified in urine, methods to quantify many pesticides in biospecimens, particularly fungicides and most herbicides, have not been developed. Finally, the crude validation of residential proximity to plantations as a construct of increased pesticide exposures through assessment of AChE activity is limited as its quantification occurred at 1 point in time so we cannot measure actual depression of activity.

## 5. Conclusions

Greater proximity of homes to plantations and greater areas of flower plantations in the vicinity of homes were associated with greater systolic and pulse pressures but had no association with DBP or heart rate in this cohort of 4-to-9 year-old children living in agricultural communities in Ecuador. These associations were independent of cholinergic activity. These findings indicate that pesticide drift from agricultural plantations may be sufficient to induce physiologic changes on children living nearby.

## Acknowledgments

**Acknowledgments:** The ESPINA study received funding from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (1R36OH009402) and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (R01ES025792, R21ES026084–01). We thank Fundacion Cimas del Ecuador, the commonwealth of Pedro Moncayo County, the Tabacundo Health Center from the Ministry of Public Health of Ecuador and the community members of Pedro Moncayo for their support on this project.

## Abbreviations:

|             |                               |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>AChE</b> | acetylcholinesterase activity |
| <b>SBP</b>  | Systolic blood pressure       |
| <b>DBP</b>  | Diastolic blood pressure      |


## References

- Barr DB, Bravo R, Weerasekera G, Calabiano LM, Whitehead RD, Olsson AO, Caudill SP, Schober SE, Pirkle JL, Sampson EJ, Jackson RJ, Needham LL, 2003 Concentrations of Dialkyl Phosphate Metabolites of Organophosphorus Pesticides in the U.S. Population. *Environ. Health Perspect* 112, 186–200. doi:10.1289/ehp.6503
- Bény J-L, Nguyen MN, Marino M, Matsui M, 2008 Muscarinic receptor knockout mice confirm involvement of M3 receptor in endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in mouse arteries. *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol* 51, 505–12. doi:10.1097/FJC.0b013e31816d5f2f [PubMed: 18460983]

- Bradman A, Castorina R, Barr DB, Chevrier J, Harnly ME, Eisen EA, McKone TE, Harley K, Holland N, Eskenazi B, 2011 Determinants of organophosphorus pesticide urinary metabolite levels in young children living in an agricultural community. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 8, 1061–83. doi:10.3390/ijerph8041061 [PubMed: 21695029]
- Carmichael SL, Yang W, Roberts E, Kegley SE, Padula AM, English PB, Lammer EJ, Shaw GM, 2014 Residential agricultural pesticide exposures and risk of selected congenital heart defects among offspring in the San Joaquin Valley of California. *Environ. Res* 135, 133–8. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2014.08.030 [PubMed: 25262086]
- Christofaro DGD, Casonatto J, Vanderlei LCM, Cucato GG, Dias RMR, 2017 Relationship between Resting Heart Rate, Blood Pressure and Pulse Pressure in Adolescents. *Arq. Bras. Cardiol* 108, 405–410. doi:10.5935/abc.20170050 [PubMed: 28492739]
- Coronado GD, Holte S, Vigoren E, Griffith WC, Barr DB, Faustman E, Thompson B, 2011 Organophosphate pesticide exposure and residential proximity to nearby fields: evidence for the drift pathway. *J. Occup. Environ. Med* 53, 884–91. doi:10.1097/JOM.0b013e318222f03a [PubMed: 21775902]
- Dost A, Molz E, Krebs A, Bechtold S, Kapellen T, Rohrer T, Raile K, Fritsch M, Schwab KO, Holl R, 2014 Pulse pressure in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus in Germany and Austria. *Pediatr. Diabetes* 15, 236–43. [PubMed: 25705749]
- Eltze M, Ullrich B, Mutschler E, Moser U, Bungardt E, Friebe T, Gubitz C, Tacke R, Lambrecht G, 1993 Characterization of muscarinic receptors mediating vasodilation in rat perfused kidney. *Eur. J. Pharmacol* 238, 343–355. [PubMed: 8405103]
- Franklin SS, Gustin W, Wong ND, Larson MG, Weber MA, Kannel WB, Levy D, 1997 Hemodynamic patterns of age-related changes in blood pressure. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 96, 308–15. [PubMed: 9236450]
- Gericke A, Sniatecki JJ, Mayer VGA, Goloborodko E, Patzak A, Wess J, Pfeiffer N, 2011 Role of M1, M3, and M5 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in cholinergic dilation of small arteries studied with gene-targeted mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol* 300, H1602–8. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00982.2010 [PubMed: 21335473]
- Gobierno Municipal del Canton Pedro Moncayo, 2011 El Cantón [WWW Document]. URL <http://www.pedromoncayo.gob.ec>
- Gordis L, 2014 Epidemiology, Epidemiology.
- Gordon CJ, Padnos BK, 2000 Prolonged elevation in blood pressure in the unrestrained rat exposed to chlorpyrifos. *Toxicology* 146, 1–13. doi:10.1016/S0300-483X(00)00158-X [PubMed: 10773358]
- Grandjean P, Harari R, Barr DB, Debes F, 2006 Pesticide exposure and stunting as independent predictors of neurobehavioral deficits in Ecuadorian school children. *Pediatrics* 117, e546–56. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-1781 [PubMed: 16510633]
- Gunier RB, Bradman A, Harley KG, Kogut K, Eskenazi B, 2016 Prenatal Residential Proximity to Agricultural Pesticide Use and IQ in 7-Year-Old Children. *Environ. Health Perspect* doi:10.1289/EHP504
- Handal AJ, Hund L, Páez M, Bear S, Greenberg C, Fenske RA, Barr DB, 2016 Characterization of Pesticide Exposure in a Sample of Pregnant Women in Ecuador. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol* 70, 627–639. doi:10.1007/s00244-015-0217-9 [PubMed: 26311023]
- Harari R, 2004 Seguridad, salud y ambiente en la floricultura. IFA, PROMSA, Quito.
- Harari R, Julvez J, Murata K, Barr D, Bellinger DC, Debes F, Grandjean P, 2010 Neurobehavioral deficits and increased blood pressure in school-age children prenatally exposed to pesticides. *Environ. Health Perspect* 118, 890–6. doi:10.1289/ehp.0901582 [PubMed: 20185383]
- Higgins GM, Muñiz JF, McCauley L. a, Muniz JF, 2001 Monitoring acetylcholinesterase levels in migrant agricultural workers and their children using a portable test kit. *J. Agric. Saf. Health* 7, 35–49. [PubMed: 11398901]
- Hung D-Z, Yang H-J, Li Y-F, Lin C-L, Chang S-Y, Sung F-C, Tai SCW, 2015 The Long-Term Effects of Organophosphates Poisoning as a Risk Factor of CVDs: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. *PLoS One* 10, e0137632. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137632 [PubMed: 26339906]
- Kannel WB, 2000 Elevated systolic blood pressure as a cardiovascular risk factor. *Am. J. Cardiol* 85, 251–5. [PubMed: 10955386]

- Lamping KG, Wess J, Cui Y, Nuno DW, Faraci FM, 2004 Muscarinic (M) receptors in coronary circulation: gene-targeted mice define the role of M2 and M3 receptors in response to acetylcholine. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol* 24, 1253–8. doi:10.1161/01.ATV.0000130661.82773.ca [PubMed: 15130910]
- Ledda C, Fiore M, Santarelli L, Bracci M, Mascali G, D'Agati MG, Busà A, Ferrante M, Rapisarda V, 2015 Gestational Hypertension and Organophosphorus Pesticide Exposure: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Biomed Res. Int* 2015, 280891. doi:10.1155/2015/280891 [PubMed: 26339602]
- Lu C, Fenske RA, Simcox NJ, Kalman D, 2000 Pesticide exposure of children in an agricultural community: evidence of household proximity to farmland and take home exposure pathways. *Env. Res* 84, 290–302. doi:10.1006/enrs.2000.4076 [PubMed: 11097803]
- Messerli FH, Sundgaard-Riise K, Ventura HO, Dunn FG, Glade LB, Frohlich ED, 1983 Essential hypertension in the elderly: haemodynamics, intravascular volume, plasma renin activity, and circulating catecholamine levels. *Lancet (London, England)* 2, 983–6.
- National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents, 2004 The Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. *Pediatrics* 114, 555–576. doi:10.1542/peds.114.2.S2.555 [PubMed: 15286277]
- Nichols WW, Nicolini FA, Pepine CJ, 1992 Determinants of isolated systolic hypertension in the elderly. *J. Hypertens. Suppl* 10, S73-7.
- O'Rourke MF, Nichols WW, 2005. Aortic diameter, aortic stiffness, and wave reflection increase with age and isolated systolic hypertension. *Hypertens. (Dallas, Tex. 1979)* 45, 652–8. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000153793.84859.b8
- Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, Jones DW, Kurtz T, Sheps SG, Roccella EJ, 2005 Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Cou. *Circulation* 111, 697–716. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000154900.76284.F6 [PubMed: 15699287]
- Rapsomaniki E, Timmis A, George J, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Shah AD, Denaxas S, White IR, Caulfi MJ, Deanfi JE, Smeeth L, Williams B, Hingorani A, Hemingway H, 2014 Blood pressure and incidence of twelve cardiovascular diseases: lifetime risks, healthy life-years lost, and age-specific associations in 1·25 million people. *Lancet* 383, 1899–1911. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60685-1 [PubMed: 24881994]
- Roberts EM, English PB, Grether JK, Windham GC, Somberg L, Wolff C, 2007 Maternal residence near agricultural pesticide applications and autism spectrum disorders among children in the California Central Valley. *Environ. Health Perspect* 115, 1482–9. doi:10.1289/ehp.10168 [PubMed: 17938740]
- Rowe C, Gunier R, Bradman A, Harley KG, Kogut K, Parra K, Eskenazi B, 2016 Residential proximity to organophosphate and carbamate pesticide use during pregnancy, poverty during childhood, and cognitive functioning in 10-year-old children. *Environ. Res* 150, 128–137. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2016.05.048 [PubMed: 27281690]
- Samsuddin N, Rampal KG, Ismail NH, Abdullah NZ, Nasreen HE, 2016 Pesticides Exposure and Cardiovascular Hemodynamic Parameters Among Male Workers Involved in Mosquito Control in East Coast of Malaysia. *Am. J. Hypertens* 29, 226–33. doi:10.1093/ajh/hpv093 [PubMed: 26112865]
- Shelton JF, Geraghty EM, Tancredi DJ, Delwiche LD, Schmidt RJ, Ritz B, Hansen RL, Hertz-Picciotto I, 2014 Neurodevelopmental disorders and prenatal residential proximity to agricultural pesticides: the CHARGE study. *Environ. Health Perspect* 122, 1103–9. doi:10.1289/ehp.1307044 [PubMed: 24954055]
- Smith EG, Gordon CJ, 2005 The Effects of Chlorpyrifos on Blood Pressure and Temperature Regulation in Spontaneously Hypertensive Rats. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol* 96, 503–511. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-7843.2005.pto\_15.x [PubMed: 15910416]
- Suarez-Lopez JR, Butcher CR, Gahagan S, Checkoway H, Alexander BH, Al-Delaimy WK, 2017 Acetylcholinesterase activity and time after a peak pesticide-use period among Ecuadorian children. *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health* doi:10.1007/s00420-017-1265-4

- Suarez-Lopez JR, Jacobs DR, Himes JH, Alexander BH, 2013 Acetylcholinesterase activity, cohabitation with floricultural workers, and blood pressure in Ecuadorian children. *Environ. Health Perspect* 121, 619–624. doi:10.1289/ehp.1205431 [PubMed: 23359481]
- Suarez-Lopez JR, Jacobs DR, Himes JH, Alexander BH, Lazovich D, Gunnar M, 2012 Lower acetylcholinesterase activity among children living with flower plantation workers. *Environ. Res* 114, 53–9. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2012.01.007 [PubMed: 22405996]
- Taylor P, 2011 Anticholinesterase Agents, in: Brunton L, Chabner B, Knollmann B (Eds.), Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. McGraw Hill Medical.
- United States Department of Agriculture, 2016 National Agricultural Statistics Service [WWW Document]. URL <https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/> (accessed 7.24.18).
- Ward MH, Lubin J, Giglierano J, Colt JS, Wolter C, Bekiroglu N, Camann D, Hartge P, Nuckols JR, 2006 Proximity to crops and residential exposure to agricultural herbicides in iowa. *Environ. Health Perspect* 114, 893–7. [PubMed: 16759991]
- Willer H, Yussefi-Menzler M, Sorensen N, 2008 The world of organic agriculture: Statistics and emerging trends 2008, The World of Organic Agriculture: Statistics and Emerging Trends 2008. doi:10.4324/9781849775991
- World Health Organization, 2008 Training Course on Child Growth Assessment 7, 25–36.



**Figure 1.**

Deciles of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation and blood pressure among children, n=310.

Dotted lines depict the slope of the associations using residential distance to the nearest flower plantation as a continuous variable.

Adjusted for age, gender, race, height, income, maternal education, heart rate, examination date, cohabitation with a floricultural worker, AChE, and hemoglobin concentration.

**Table 1.**

Demographic, social-economic characteristics and physiological variables distributed by residential distance to the nearest flower plantation edge.

|                                   | Total            | Teriles of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation |                  |                   | p-trend <sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                                   |                  | 1 <sup>st</sup>                                                  | 2 <sup>nd</sup>  | 3 <sup>rd</sup>   |                      |
| <b>Range, m</b>                   | <b>0 – 1553</b>  | <b>0 – 232</b>                                                   | <b>233 – 532</b> | <b>533 – 1553</b> |                      |
| <b>Mean (SD), m</b>               | <b>449 (347)</b> | <b>128.5(67)</b>                                                 | <b>369 (93)</b>  | <b>844(291)</b>   |                      |
| <b>N</b>                          | <b>310</b>       | <b>103</b>                                                       | <b>103</b>       | <b>104</b>        |                      |
| Age (years)                       | 6.6(1.6)         | 6.46(1.6)                                                        | 6.74(1.63)       | 6.53(1.60)        | 0.01                 |
| Gender (female) %                 | 49               | 46                                                               | 50               | 51                | 0.84                 |
| Race                              |                  |                                                                  |                  |                   |                      |
| Mestizo (%)                       | 76               | 72                                                               | 71               | 86                | 0.84                 |
| Indigenous (%)                    | 22               | 28                                                               | 23               | 14                | 0.76                 |
| Other (%)                         | 2                | 0                                                                | 6                | 0                 | -                    |
| Lived with floricultural worker % | 49               | 52                                                               | 50               | 45                | 0.01                 |
| Income level <sup>a</sup>         | 3.1 (0.8)        | 3.2(0.8)                                                         | 3.2 (0.9)        | 2.9(0.7)          | 0.01                 |
| Maternal education (years)        | 7.3 (3.8)        | 7.1 (3.6)                                                        | 7.8(4.1)         | 6.9(3.8)          | 0.78                 |
| Height (m)                        | 112.1(10.3)      | 110.7(9.7)                                                       | 113.2(10.2)      | 112.6(10.8)       | 0.02                 |
| SBP (mmHg)                        | 93.4(8.3)        | 94.6 (7.2)                                                       | 93.3(7.8)        | 92.3 (9.7)        | 0.10                 |
| DBP (mmHg)                        | 49.3 (7.2)       | 48.6(6.1)                                                        | 49.3 (6.8)       | 50.0(8.3)         | <0.01                |
| SBP z-score                       | -0.01(0.71)      | 0.16(0.62)                                                       | -0.05 (0.67)     | -0.14(0.81)       | 0.01                 |
| DBP z-score                       | -0.51 (0.60)     | -0.54(0.49)                                                      | -0.53 (0.60)     | -0.46 (0.70)      | <0.01                |
| Heart Rate (beats/min)            | 84.9(12.6)       | 84.7(12.0)                                                       | 84.3(12.0)       | 85.6(12.9)        | 0.59                 |
| Hemoglobin (mg/dl)                | 12.6(1.2)        | 12.6(1.1)                                                        | 12.67(1.1)       | 12.6(1.3)         | <0.01                |
| Acetylcholinesterase (U/ml)       | 3.14(0.49)       | 3.08 (0.48)                                                      | 3.13(0.51)       | 3.20(0.48)        | <0.01                |

SBP = systolic blood pressure and DBP = diastolic blood pressure.

<sup>a</sup>Data presented are percentage or mean (SD). Monthly income categories (USD): 1= 0–50, 2= 51–150, 3=151–300, 4=301–500, 5= 501–999, 6=>1000.

<sup>b</sup>p-trend corresponds to the continuous variable of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation

Adjusted associations between residential distance to the nearest flower plantation and blood pressure among children.

**Table 2.**

|                 | Teriles of residential distance to the nearest flower plantation |                      |                        |                        | Difference (β) per 1000m decrease (95% CI) <sup>a</sup> |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | Range, m                                                         | 1st                  | 2nd                    | 3rd                    |                                                         |
| <b>Model 1</b>  | <b>N</b>                                                         | <b>103</b>           | <b>103</b>             | <b>104</b>             |                                                         |
| SBP, mmHg       | Mean                                                             | 95.1 (93.7, 96.5)    | 92.8(91.4,94.2) *      | 92.4(91.0,93.8) *      | 2.44 (0.00, 4.88) *                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          | -                    | -2.2 (-4.1, -0.2) *    | -2.6 (-4.6, -0.6) *    |                                                         |
| SBP z-score, SD | Mean                                                             | 0.14(0.01,0.27)      | -0.07 (-0.20, 0.06) *  | -0.11 (-0.24,0.02) *   | 0.24 (0.01, 0.47) *                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          | -                    | -0.21 (-0.39, -0.02)   | -0.26 (-0.44, -0.06)   |                                                         |
| DBP, mmHg       | Mean                                                             | 48.8 (47.6, 50.0)    | 48.9(47.7,50.1)        | 50.2(48.9,51.4)        | -1.47 (-0.68, 3.62)                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          | -                    | -0.13 (-1.86, 1.61)    | 1.38 (-3.16, 0.39)     |                                                         |
| DBP z-score, SD | Mean                                                             | -0.55 (-0.66, -0.45) | -0.54 (-0.64, -0.43)   | -0.44 (-0.55, -0.33)   | -0.11 (-0.30, 0.08)                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          |                      | 0.02 (-0.14, 0.17)     | 0.12 (-0.04, 0.27)     |                                                         |
| <b>Model 2</b>  |                                                                  |                      |                        |                        |                                                         |
| SBP, mmHg       | Mean                                                             | 95.1 (93.7, 96.5)    | 92.9(91.5,94.2) *      | 92.2 (90.9, 93.6) *    | 2.98 (0.49, 5.47) *                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          | -                    | -2.2 (-4.2, -0.3) *    | -2.8 (-4.9, -0.8) *    |                                                         |
| SBP z-score, SD | Mean                                                             | 0.15 (0.02, 0.28)    | -0.06 (-0.19, 0.07) *  | -0.12 (-0.26, 0.01) *  | 0.29 (0.06, 0.53) *                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          | -                    | -0.21 (-0.40, -0.03) * | -0.28 (-0.47, -0.09) * |                                                         |
| DBP, mmHg       | Mean                                                             | 48.9 (47.7, 50.2)    | 49.0 (47.8, 50.2)      | 49.9(48.7,51.2)        | -0.75 (-2.93, 1.44)                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          | -                    | 0.08 (-1.63, 1.80)     | 1.01 (-0.76,2.79)      |                                                         |
| DBP z-score, SD | Mean                                                             | -0.54 (-0.65, -0.44) | -0.53 (-0.64, -0.42)   | -0.46 (-0.56, -0.35)   | -0.05 (-0.25, 0.14)                                     |
|                 | Difference vs tertile 1                                          |                      | 0.01 (-0.14,0.17)      | 0.09 (-0.07, 0.24)     |                                                         |

Values presented are Mean (95% CI) or blood pressure difference per 1000m

SBP = systolic blood pressure. DBP = diastolic blood pressure (mmHg).

Model 1 covariates: age, gender, race, height, income, maternal education, heart rate, examination date, cohabitation with a floricultural worker.

Model 2 covariates: model 1 + hemoglobin concentration and acetylcholinesterase activity.

<sup>a</sup> Calculation based on a natural log-transformed variable of distance.

\*  
P<sub>trend</sub> or P<sub>difference</sub> < 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**Table 3.**  
Associations between surface areas of flower plantations with 150 m of children's homes and blood pressure.

| Categories of areas of flower plantations within 150 m of participants' homes |                         |                      |                       |                      | Blood pressure difference (β) per 1000 m <sup>2</sup> (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                               | 1                       | 2 <sup>a</sup>       | 3 <sup>a</sup>        |                      |                                                                |
| <b>Range, m<sup>2</sup></b>                                                   | 0                       | 43 – 989             | 990–27,457            |                      |                                                                |
| <b>N</b>                                                                      | 250                     | 32                   | 28                    |                      |                                                                |
| <b>Model 1</b>                                                                |                         |                      |                       |                      |                                                                |
| SBP, mmHg                                                                     | Mean                    | 93.0 (92.2, 93.9)    | 93.8(91.3,96.3)       | 96.0 (93.2, 98.7)    | 0.32 (0.01, 0.64)*                                             |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | 0.72 (-1.93, 3.38)    | 2.91 (0.04, 5.79)*   |                                                                |
| SBP z-score                                                                   | Mean                    | -0.04 (-0.13, 0.04)  | 0.03 (-0.21, 0.26)    | 0.23 (-0.03, 0.48)   | 0.03 (0.00, 0.06)*                                             |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | 0.07 (-0.18, 0.32)    | 0.27 (0.00, 0.54)*   |                                                                |
| DBP, mmHg                                                                     | Mean                    | 49.5 (48.7, 50.3)    | 47.0 (44.8, 49.2)     | 50.0 (47.6, 52.4)    | -0.09 (-0.37, 0.19)                                            |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | -2.53 (-4.87, -0.20)* | 0.50 (-2.02, 3.03)   |                                                                |
| DBP z-score                                                                   | Mean                    | -0.49 (-0.56, -0.42) | -0.71 (-0.90, -0.52)  | -0.44 (-0.65, -0.23) | -0.01 (-0.03, 0.02)                                            |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | -0.22 (-0.43, -0.01)* | 0.05 (-0.17, 0.27)   |                                                                |
| <b>Model 2</b>                                                                |                         |                      |                       |                      |                                                                |
| SBP, mmHg                                                                     | Mean                    | 93.0(92.1,93.9)      | 94.0(91.5,96.5)       | 96.1 (93.3,98.8)     | 0.35 (0.03, 0.67)*                                             |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | 1.00 (-1.67, 3.67)    | 3.07(0.19,5.94)*     |                                                                |
| SBP z-score                                                                   | Mean                    | -0.05 (-0.13, 0.04)  | 0.05 (-0.19, 0.29)    | 0.24 (-0.02, 0.49)   | 0.03 (0.00, 0.06)*                                             |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | 0.09 (-0.16, 0.35)    | 0.28(0.01,0.55)*     |                                                                |
| DBP, mmHg                                                                     | Mean                    | 49.4 (48.7, 50.2)    | 47.4 (45.2, 49.5)     | 50.2 (47.8, 52.6)    | -0.06 (-0.33, 0.22)                                            |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | -2.09 (-4.42, 0.24)   | 0.75 (-1.75,3.25)    |                                                                |
| DBP z-score                                                                   | Mean                    | -0.50 (-0.56, -0.43) | -0.68 (-0.87, -0.49)  | -0.43 (-0.64, -0.22) | -0.05 (-0.03, 0.02)                                            |
|                                                                               | Difference vs Tertile 1 | -                    | -0.19 (-0.39, 0.02)   | 0.07 (-0.15, 0.29)   |                                                                |

Values presented are Mean (95% CI) or blood pressure difference per 1000m

SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure.

Model 1 covariates: age, gender, race, height, income, maternal education, heart rate, examination date, cohabitation with a floricultural worker.

Model 2 covariates: model 1 + hemoglobin concentration and acetylcholinesterase activity.

<sup>a</sup>Median split of non-zero values.

\*  
P trend or P difference <0.05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript