

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Clin Oncol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 25.

Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Oncol. 2017 June 20; 35(18): 2053-2061. doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.71.9716.

Economic Burden of Chronic Conditions Among Survivors of Cancer in the United States

Gery P. Guy Jr,

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

K. Robin Yabroff,

US Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC.

Donatus U. Ekwueme,

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

Sun Hee Rim,

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

Rui Li, and

ASSOCIATED CONTENT Listen to the podcast by Dr Unger at ascopubs.org/jco/podcasts Appendix

https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.71.9716

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS Conception and design: All authors Collection and assembly of data: Gery P. Guy Jr, Rui Li Data analysis and interpretation: All authors Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

AUTHORS' DISLCSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/site/ifc.

Gery P. Guy Jr No relationship to disclose K. Robin Yabroff No relationship to disclose Donatus U. Ekwueme No relationship to disclose Sun Hee Rim No relationship to disclose Rui Li No relationship to disclose Lisa C. Richardson No relationship to disclose AUTHORS' DISLCSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jco.org.

Corresponding author: Gery P. Guy Jr, PhD, MPH, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, MS F-76, Atlanta, GA 30341; irm2@cdc.gov.

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

Lisa C. Richardson

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA

Abstract

Purpose—The prevalence of cancer survivorship and chronic health conditions is increasing. Limited information exists on the economic burden of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer. This study examines the prevalence and economic effect of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer.

Methods—Using the 2008 to 2013 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, we present nationally representative estimates of the prevalence of chronic conditions (heart disease, high blood pressure, stroke, emphysema, high cholesterol, diabetes, arthritis, and asthma) and multiple chronic conditions (MCCs) and the incremental annual health care use, medical expenditures, and lost productivity for survivors of cancer attributed to individual chronic conditions and MCCs. Incremental use, expenditures, and lost productivity were evaluated with multivariable regression.

Results—Survivors of cancer were more likely to have chronic conditions and MCCs compared with adults without a history of cancer. The presence of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer was associated with substantially higher annual medical expenditures, especially for heart disease (\$4,595; 95% CI, \$3,262 to \$5,927) and stroke (\$3,843; 95% CI, \$1,983 to \$5,704). The presence of four or more chronic conditions was associated with increased annual expenditures of \$10,280 (95% CI, \$7,435 to \$13,125) per survivor of cancer. Annual lost productivity was higher among survivors of cancer with other chronic conditions, especially stroke (\$4,325; 95% CI, \$2,687 to \$5,964), and arthritis (\$3,534; 95% CI, \$2,475 to \$4,593). Having four or more chronic conditions was associated with increased annual lost productivity of \$9,099 (95% CI, \$7,224 to \$10,973) per survivor of cancer. The economic impact of chronic conditions was similar among survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer.

Conclusion—These results highlight the importance of ensuring access to lifelong personalized screening, surveillance, and chronic disease management to help manage chronic conditions, reduce disruptions in employment, and reduce medical expenditures among survivors of cancer.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of chronic conditions is increasing in the United States.¹ More than one quarter of all adults (26%) and nearly two thirds of adults age 65 years (61%) have multiple (two or more) chronic conditions (MCCs).¹ Chronic conditions are particularly common among the estimated 14.5 million people who have received a cancer diagnosis (survivors of cancer), nearly three quarters of whom (72%) are age 60 years.² MCCs in this population have wide-ranging implications for treatment decision making, health care use, disease management, and quality of life.³

Survivors of cancer also face economic hardship as a result of limitations in employment, reduced income, and increased out-of-pocket health care costs.^{4–9} Among survivors of cancer, 32% reported limitations in daily activities, 25% reported that cancer interfered with their ability to perform required tasks, and 42% of employed survivors reported changing their work schedule because of cancer.¹⁰ People with other chronic conditions report similar health and functional impairments that negatively affect their ability to work and increase their health care expenses. However, limited information is available on the economic burden of MCCs,^{11–13} particularly among survivors of cancer.

Understanding the prevalence and burden of chronic conditions is an important consideration for national efforts, such as those by the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to optimize health and quality of life among the growing population of people with MCCs.^{14–16} This information can help improve patient care and care delivery and minimize the social and economic burden of lost productivity and health care costs.

The objectives of this study were to examine the prevalence of chronic conditions among adult survivors of cancer and people without a history of cancer and to estimate the effect of chronic conditions on health care use, medical care costs, and productivity loss among survivors of cancer in the United States.

METHODS

Data

The data source for these analyses was the 2008 to 2013 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) Household Component. MEPS representatives contacted a nationally representative sample of the US civilian noninstitutionalized population to collect detailed information on demographic characteristics, health status, health insurance, health care use and expenditures, and employment. In-person interviews were conducted with one person who responded for all members of the household. During the study years included in our analysis, the annual response rate ranged from 52.8% to 59.3%. Information from the Medical Provider Component was used to supplement, verify, or replace information provided by household respondents on the health services received and the cost of these services.¹⁷ A detailed description of MEPS is available elsewhere.^{18,19}

Analytic Sample

A survivor of cancer was defined as any person who has ever been diagnosed with cancer. We identified 10,293 survivors of cancer based on the question, "Has a doctor or other health provider ever told you that you have a cancer or malignancy of any kind?" The comparison group consisted of the remaining 135,151 adults who did not report a history of cancer. Similar to previous studies, people diagnosed solely with nonmelanoma skin cancer were not classified as survivors of cancer and were put in the comparison group.^{8,20}

Descriptive statistics were calculated for survivors of cancer and people without a history of cancer and compared using χ^2 statistics. Sociodemographic characteristics of survivors of cancer at the time of the survey included time since diagnosis, cancer site, age, sex, race/ ethnicity, educational attainment, marital status, health status, health insurance status, family

income, and the presence of chronic conditions. Chronic conditions included all those specified as priority conditions by MEPS and were identified through a series of questions about whether a physician or other health care professional ever told the person that he or she had any of the following: arthritis, asthma, diabetes, emphysema, heart disease (including coronary heart disease, angina, myocardial infarction, and other unspecified heart disease), high blood pressure, stroke, or high cholesterol. The prevalence of each condition was estimated among survivors of cancer and people without a history of cancer. The prevalence of MCCs was examined by summing the number of chronic conditions reported by each person (zero, one, two, three, or four or more conditions). All adjusted analyses controlled for survey year, age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, and education. Analyses were conducted using Stata, version 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Health Care Use and Medical Expenditures

Several measures of health care use were examined among survivors of cancer based on the reported use of health care services in the past year. These measures were the number of ambulatory visits, including office-based provider and outpatient visits; number of emergency room visits; number of inpatient hospital nights; and number of prescription medications. Generalized linear models with a negative binomial distribution and a log link were used to estimate the number of ambulatory visits and prescription medications. Twopart models were used to estimate emergency room visits and inpatient hospital stays, given the large number of observations without any use of these services. The first part of the model used logistic regression to predict the probability of any use, and the second part estimated use with a generalized linear model with a negative binomial distribution and a log link among those with use. Total annual medical expenditures for all health care services were estimated using generalized linear models with a gamma distribution and a log link. Separate models were used to evaluate incremental health care use and medical care expenditures for each of the chronic conditions plus the incremental effect of MCCs. All medical expenditures were adjusted to 2013 US dollars using the Personal Health Care Expenditure Price Index.²¹

Productivity Loss

Productivity loss was examined by assessing employment disability, missed work days, and additional days spent in bed among survivors of cancer. Employment disability was defined as being unable to work because of illness or injury. Lost productivity from employment disability was transformed to days by multiplying the adjusted percentage of people who reported employment disability by 260, the number of work days per year. Missed work days were defined as the number of days (half-day or more) of work that people who were employed missed because of illness, injury, or mental or emotional problems. Lost household productivity was assessed by determining the number of additional missed days, other than work or school, in which at least a half-day was spent in bed because of illness or injury. Multivariable logistic regression modeling was used to estimate employment disability, whereas generalized linear models with a negative binomial distribution and a log link were used to estimate missed work days and additional days spent in bed. Separate models were used to evaluate lost productivity for each of the chronic conditions and for the incremental effect of MCCs.

Total costs from productivity loss were calculated as the sum of productivity loss from employment disability, missed work days, and additional days spent in bed. Productivity loss

employment disability, missed work days, and additional days spent in bed. Productivity loss from employment disability was estimated by multiplying the adjusted percentage of people who reported employment disability by the median annual wage in 2013 (\$35,080) from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.²² Lost productivity from missed work days was estimated by multiplying the adjusted mean number of missed days by the cost per day using the median hourly wage (\$16.87) from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics.²² Because MEPS does not differentiate between missed full and partial days, we assumed each missed work day to be 6 hours. Lost household productivity was calculated by multiplying the mean number of additional days spent in bed by the value of daily household productivity (\$43.37 per day)²³ adjusted to 2013 US dollars using the Consumer Price Index.²⁴

Multivariable Analyses

Analyses examining the effect of chronic conditions on health care use, medical expenditures, and lost productivity among survivors of cancer were restricted to survivors of cancer. Additional analyses were performed to examine whether the economic burden of chronic conditions differed among survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer. In these models, an additional dichotomous variable was included to identify survivors of cancer, and an interaction term between the dichotomous variable and chronic conditions variables that provides the incremental impact of chronic conditions related to cancer survivorship. Similar to previous studies,²⁵ these models were estimated using linear regression to aid in interpretation.

All adjusted estimates are presented as predictive margins, which standardizes these estimates to the covariate distribution of the overall population.²⁶ Statistical significance was set at P .05, using two-tailed tests. Model goodness of fit was assessed with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. All analyses used MEPS person-level weights to account for the complex study design and to reflect the probability of selection and adjustments for nonresponse and poststratification to provide nationally representative estimates.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Survivors of Cancer and People Without a History of Cancer

Compared with people without a history of cancer, survivors of cancer were more likely to be older, female, non-Hispanic white, married, and in poorer health (Table 1). Among adult survivors of cancer younger than age 65 years, the presence of four or more chronic conditions was most common among those with Medicaid (17.1%), followed by the uninsured (14.7%) and those with private insurance (11.0%; data not shown). Among survivors of cancer age 65 years, having four or more chronic conditions was more common among people with Medicare and public insurance (31.5%) than among those with Medicare and private insurance (28.6%) or Medicare only (26.5%). Among survivors of cancer, the most common cancer sites were the breast (18.3%) and prostate (13.9%). Nearly one third of survivors of cancer (30.1%) were diagnosed within the past 4 years, whereas 39.2% were diagnosed 10 years before the survey (Table 2).

Prevalence of Chronic Conditions

Table 3 lists the unadjusted and adjusted prevalence of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer and people without a history of cancer. The unadjusted and adjusted prevalence estimates highlight the importance of controlling for differences (eg, age) between the two populations. Survivors of cancer were more likely than people without a history of cancer to have each chronic condition; after adjustment, the most common conditions for both groups were high blood pressure (35.7% v 32.7%, respectively), high cholesterol (35.0% v 30.5%, respectively), and arthritis (29.4% v 24.9%, respectively). Survivors of cancer were more likely to have MCCs, with 12.7% reporting four or more conditions compared with 9.5% of people without a history of cancer.

Health Care Use and Medical Care Costs

Among survivors of cancer, having a chronic condition, particularly MCCs, was associated with increased health care use (Table 4). Survivors of cancer with four or more chronic conditions had 10.5 additional ambulatory visits (95% CI, 8.7 to 12.3 visits; P < .001), 0.1 additional emergency room visits (95% CI, 0.03 to 0.1 visits; P = .002), and 50.1 additional prescription medications (including refills) (95% CI, 45.3 to 54.9 medications; P < .001) per year compared with survivors of cancer without chronic conditions.

Among survivors of cancer, several chronic conditions were associated with higher medical expenditures. Heart disease (\$4,595; 95% CI, \$3,262 to \$5,927) and stroke (\$3,843; 95% CI, \$1,983 to \$5,704) were associated with the largest annual expenditures (P < .001 for both). Annual medical care costs were \$10,280 (95% CI, \$7,435 to \$13,125) higher among survivors of cancer with four or more chronic conditions than among survivors with no chronic conditions (P < .001). The incremental effect of chronic conditions on health care use and expenditures was similar among survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer (Appendix Table A1, online only).

Productivity Loss

The presence of chronic conditions was associated with higher productivity loss in survivors of cancer, particularly those with MCCs (Table 5). Compared with survivors of cancer without chronic conditions, survivors of cancer with four or more chronic conditions reported an additional 56.1 days (95% CI, 45.7 to days) of productivity loss because of employment disability, 7.4 additional missed work days (95% CI, 4.5 to 10.3 days), and 18.0 additional lost household productivity days (95% CI, 13.6 to 22.4 days) each year (P < .001 for all). Annual lost productivity costs among survivors of cancer with four or more chronic conditions were \$9,099 (95% CI, \$7,224 to \$10,973) higher than survivors with no chronic conditions (P < .001).

The majority of chronic conditions were associated with more days lost because of employment disability and lost household productivity. Stroke (4,325; 95% CI, 2,687 to 5,964) and arthritis (3,534; 95% CI, 2,475 to 4,593) were associated with the highest annual costs (P < .001 for both). The incremental effect of chronic conditions on lost productivity was largely the same among survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer (Appendix Table A2).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that survivors of cancer are more likely than individuals without a history of cancer to have other chronic conditions, with 12.7% reporting four or more chronic conditions in addition to cancer. Among survivors of cancer, chronic conditions, particularly MCCs, were associated with increased health care use, medical expenditures, and lost productivity. Having four or more chronic conditions was associated with \$10,280 in annual excess medical expenditures and \$9,099 in excess lost productivity costs. The incremental economic burden of chronic conditions was similar among survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer. However, the increased prevalence of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer and their affect underscore the importance of ongoing efforts to improve survivorship care planning and employer wellness programs to minimize the negative effects of MCCs.

Although previous studies have found that chronic conditions are associated with higher medical expenditures, more employment limitations, and lost productivity among survivors of cancer,^{25,27} our study is the first, to our knowledge, to estimate the resulting lost productivity costs. We found that chronic conditions among survivors of cancer were associated with substantial lost productivity costs from employment disability, missed work days, and days spent in bed because of poor health. Our estimates of annual lost productivity costs associated with MCCs are of similar magnitude to those for excess medical expenditures, suggesting that future evaluations of interventions to manage chronic conditions include measures of productivity as outcomes.

Given the medical care needs of survivors of cancer, particularly those with chronic conditions, access to lifelong personalized screening and surveillance is needed. A higher prevalence of MCCs among survivors of cancer with Medicaid likely reflects eligibility rules that expand coverage to individuals in poor health, such as the medically needy and disabled. In addition, the higher rate of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer without health insurance underscores the importance of insurance in ensuring access to appropriate health care among this population. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) has made insurance available to more people by setting up a Health Insurance Marketplace and by providing states the opportunity to expand Medicaid. Additional components of the ACA, such as preventing insurance companies from denying coverage or charging more for pre-existing conditions, have also helped ensure access to health insurance among survivors of cancer with chronic conditions. In addition, cancer survivors with Medicare benefit from the elimination of the coverage gap in Medicare Part D to help make their prescription drugs more affordable.

The increased prevalence and burden of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer highlight the importance of chronic disease management in improving quality of life and reducing the need for care.²⁸ Community-based chronic disease self-management programs linked to clinical services can give survivors of cancer with chronic conditions the knowledge and skills to manage their conditions.²⁸ Effective self-management programs can improve quality of life and health status, while reducing health care use and improving productivity.^{29–31} Our study found that the presence of MCCs among survivors of cancer is

associated with increases in the number of prescribed medications. To improve adherence and knowledgeable use of medication, reminders and patient education can be used to reduce adverse drug effects, medication errors, and chronic disease progression.¹⁵

The National Academy of Medicine (formally known as the Institute of Medicine) has highlighted the complexities and need for care coordination for people with MCCs, given evidence that patients who receive care for a single chronic condition may not receive care for other, unrelated conditons.³¹ As part of its national initiative on MCCs, HHS released a strategic framework for maximizing care coordination and improving the health and quality of life of people with MCCs.¹⁵ HHS also partnered with the National Academy of Medicine to identify principles and action steps to improve clinical practice guidelines for patients with MCCs and to increase the focus on patient-centered care.¹⁴

The ACA has accelerated efforts to coordinate and manage care for people with MCCs through models such as accountable care organizations and patient-centered medical homes. ¹⁶ Several models are being examined, such as the COME HOME program, which uses a community oncology medical home model to actively integrate changes in infrastructure, clinical, and payment systems to deliver better patient-centered, coordinated, and comprehensive care.^{16,32} In addition, the ACA established a Medicaid Health Home plan that states can use to establish health homes for people with MCCs, with the goal of improving care coordination and reducing costs among populations most in need.^{16,33}

Our study found that the presence of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer is associated with lower worker productivity. To help curb increasing health care costs and increase worker productivity, many businesses offer workplace wellness programs. These programs are designed to support healthy behaviors and improve health outcomes among employees and have been shown to be cost beneficial, with a positive return on investment.³⁴ Workplace health promotion efforts among survivors of cancer could focus on encouraging screening for secondary cancers and reducing chronic disease risk factors, such as tobacco use, physical inactivity, and poor nutrition. Despite their potential health benefits and cost savings, such programs are underused.³⁴ Increased efforts in this area are needed for survivors of cancer, given their increased risk of developing other chronic conditions and secondary cancers.^{35–37}

Our study has limitations. First, the reliance on household-reported data introduces potential reporting biases and may reduce the reliability of some measures. However, studies have shown agreement between household reports and medical records,^{38,39} including prescription drug use.^{40,41} Second, our results only apply to the noninstitutionalized civilian adult population. Third, population-based household surveys generally underestimate rare and short-survival, high-cost cancers; they mainly consist of data from long-term survivors of common adult cancers many years after diagnosis.^{42,43} Fourth, the MEPS does not have information on stage of cancer diagnosis or the full cancer treatment history, which may be associated with developing other chronic conditions. Fifth, our measures of lost productivity are limited and not condition specific. However, we measured lost productivity the same way for survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer and examined each measure separately. Improving longitudinal measures of lost productivity is an important

area for future research. Sixth, the cross-sectional design of the study limits causal analysis. Seventh, although we control for several sociodemographic differences between survivors of cancer and individuals without a history of cancer, there may be unmeasured factors that we are unable to account for. Finally, we likely underestimated the burden of chronic conditions among survivors of cancer because we did not include patient and caregiver time and transportation costs for medical appointments and additional aspects of productivity loss.⁴⁴

Chronic conditions are more common among survivors of cancer and are associated with increased medical expenditures and lost productivity. Access to lifelong personalized screening, surveillance, and chronic disease self-management may be effective in managing chronic conditions, reducing medical expenditures, and minimizing disruptions in employment among survivors of cancer.

Acknowledgments

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the US Department of Health and Human Services.

Appendix

⊳
Ē
Ľ,
9
<
a
Z
ร
4
orip

Author Manuscript

Table A1.

Additional Medical Care Expenditures and Use by Select Services Among Survivors of Cancer Compared With Individuals Without a History of Cancer

Guy et al.

	Total M	edical Expenditur	es	Ambu	latory Visits [*]		Emerge	ency Room Visits		Inpa	atient Nights		Prescriptio	n Medication	s
Condition	Additional Cost (\$)	95% CI	ď	No. of Additional Visits	95% CI	Δ	No. of Additional Visits	95% CI	ď	No. of Additional Nights	95% CI	Δ	No. of Additional Prescriptions	95% CI	Δ
Model 1: Conditions															
High blood pressure	-509	-1,926 to 907	.479	-0.5	-1.1 to 1.0	.934	-0.02	-0.06 to 0.02	.329	-0.05	-0.4 to 0.3	.796	1.5	-0.2 to 3.1	.075
High cholesterol	-348	-1,657 to 961	.601	-0.2	-1.2 to 0.8	.667	-0.04	-0.07 to 0.002	.049	-0.1	-0.2 to 0.1	.001	-0.2	-1.8 to 1.3	.758
u Arthritis	-363	-1,922 to 1,196	.647	0.2	-0.8 to 1.2	.677	-0.03	-0.08 to 0.01	.131	-0.05	-0.4 to 0.3	.754	-0.8	-2.5 to 0.8	.339
$\frac{3}{10}$ Heart disease $\dot{\tau}$	978	-580 to 2,536	.217	1.0	-0.2 to 2.3	.094	0.03	-0.02 to 0.08	.266	0.4	-0.03 to 0.9	.067	0.6	-1.8 to 3.0	.635
th Diabetes	-226	2,142 to 1,689	.816	-0.8	-2.2 to 0.5	.228	0.01	-0.06 to 0.07	.818	0.4	-0.3 to 1.1	.269	1.2	-1.6 to 4.1	.382
u Asthma	<i>LL</i> 6	1526 to 3,481	.442	-0.01	-1.6 to 1.6	166.	0.04	-0.04 to 0.13	.294	-0.1	-0.7 to 0.5	.773	3.4	-0.3 to 7.1	.072
Stroke	-448	-3,522 to 2626	.774	-0.6	-3.1 to 1.8	.606	-0.02	-0.13 to 0.08	.654	0.1	-0.9 to 1.1	062.	0.1	-4.3 to 4.5	.961
di. Emphysema	-1,241	-3,552 to 1,071	.291	-0.6	-2.8 to 1.6	599	-0.11	-0.2 to 0.01	.062	-0.4	-1.1 to 0.4	.359	-1.5	-6.7 to 3.7	.567
Model 2: No. of															
able	-732	-3,297 to 1,833	.574	0.6	-0.7 to 1.9	.389	-0.1	-0.1 to 0.004	.035	-0.1	-0.5 to 0.2	.473	1.0	-0.3 to 2.3	.118
° in P	-780	-2,999 to 1,439	.489	1.0	-0.3 to 2.2	.127	-0.1	-0.1 to 0.001	.047	-0.1	-0.5 to 0.3	.611	1.8	0.3 to 3.3	.021
мс мс	-865	-2,964 to 1,235	.418	0.4	-1.1 to 1.8	.631	-0.1	-0.2 to 0.04	.002	0.2	-0.3 to 0.7	.545	0.4	-1.7 to 2.5	869.
→ 2018	-705	3,049 to 1,640	.554	0.6	0.9 to 2.2	.414	-0.04	– 0.1 to 0.3	.226	0.2	-0.4 to 0.8	.577	0.9	-1.8 to 3.7	.506
NOTE. All models are esti	imated using o	ordinary least square	e regressi	on controlling	for cancer star	tus, surv	ey year, age, se	ex, race/ethnicity,	marital s	status, educati	on, and other co	morbid	conditions. Resul	lts	

robject the interaction term between cancer status and chronic condition status. All measures reported are per person. All monetary amounts are converted to 2013 dollars using the Personal Health Care Expenditure Price Index.²¹

 $\overset{*}{}_{\rm A}$ Ambulatory visits include of fice-based provider visits and outpatient visits.

 \dot{f} Heart disease includes coronary heart disease, angina, myocardial infarction, and other heart disease.

~
-
5
-
2
0
_
~
\leq
Ma
Mar
Man
Manu
Manus
Manusc
Manuscr
Manuscri
Manuscrip
Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table A2.

Additional Lost Productivity and Source of Lost Productivity Among Survivors of Cancer Compared With Individuals Without a History of Cancer

		Total		Employme	ent Disability		Missed V	Vork Days		Lost Househo	ld Productivity	*_
Condition	Additional Cost (\$)	95% CI	Ρ	No. of Additional Days	95% CI	Р	No. of Additional Days	95% CI	Ρ	No. of Additional Days	95% CI	Ρ
Model 1: Conditions												
High blood pressure	84	-939 to 1,107	.598	1.1	-4.7 to 6.9	.703	-0.7	-2.1 to 0.6	.295	0.2	-2.3 to 2.6	.890
High cholesterol	-666	-1,543 to 212	.093	-4.2	-8.9 to 0.5	.079	-0.4	-1.9 to 1.1	.615	-1.3	-3.4 to 0.7	.206
Arthritis	-87	-1,063 to 888	.819	-0.1	-5.3 to 5.1	.967	-0.5	-2.1 to 1.0	.483	-0.4	-3.1 to 2.3	.767
Heart disease $\dot{\tau}$	-555	-1,647 to 536	.241	-3.9	-9.9 to 2.1	.206	-0.8	-2.3 to 0.8	.338	1.0	-1.9 to 3.9	.500
Diabetes	5	-1,427 to 1,438	.844	-1.4	-9.3 to 6.5	.727	1.1	-0.7 to 2.9	.241	2.0	-2.2 to 6.2	.353
Asthma	2,056	188 to 3,923	.013	13.4	2.8 to 24.1	.014	0.2	-2.0 to 2.5	.844	5.2	0.5 to 9.9	.031
Stroke	-828	-3,131 to 1,475	.431	-6.8	-19.0 to 5.5	.280	-1.2	-4.7 to 2.2	.474	4.8	-2.1 to 11.7	.172
Emphysema	-931	-3,834 to 1,972	.627	-4.0	-20.8 to 12.9	.642	-2.3	-5.8 to 1.2	.205	-3.8	-10.3 to 2.6	.242
Model 2: No. of conditions												
1	267	-727 to 1,262	.488	2.1	-3.3 to 7.6	.438	-0.2	-1.7 to 1.2	.756	0.0	-2.6 to 2.6	.993
2	320	-767 to 1,408	.530	2.8	-3.3 to 8.9	.366	-0.2	-1.9 to 1.4	.775	-0.8	-3.0 to 1.4	.475
3	-307	-1,501 to 887	.536	-3.2	-9.6 to 3.3	.337	0.6	-1.5 to 2.6	.600	1.5	-1.1 to 4.0	.252
4	-667	-2,212 to 879	.550	-3.9	-12.8 to 5.0	.390	-2.5	-4.3 to 0.7	.006	2.6	1.2 to 6.3	.177
NOTE. All monetary amounts	are in 2013 US	5 dollars. Total lost	product	ivity is the sum of los	st productivity fr	om emp	loyment disability, n	nissed work da	ays, and	lost household produ	uctivity. Lost pr	oductiv

ity (\$35,080) and the adjusted percentage of people unable to work as a result of illness or injury. Lost productivity from lost workdays is the value of the median national daily wage $(\$16.87 \text{ per hour} \times 6 \text{ hours})$ = \$101.22 per day) multiplied by the adjusted number of days lost from work. Lost household productivity is the value of daily home productivity (\$43.37) multiplied by the adjusted number of day spent in bed. Regression analyses controlled for survey year, age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, education, and other comorbid conditions. Results reflect the interaction term between cancer status and chronic from employment disability is the product of the adjusted percentage of people unable to work as a result of illness or injury and 260 (number of workdays in the year); cost is the median annual wage condition status.

Lost household productivity was not included in 2013 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. Results for this variable are for 2008 to 2012.

 $\dot{r}_{\rm Heart}$ disease includes coronary heart disease, angina, myocardial infarction, and other heart disease.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ward BW, Schiller JS, Goodman RA: Multiple chronic conditions among US adults: A 2012 update. Prev Chronic Dis 11:E62, 201424742395
- 2. DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, : Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 64:252–271, 201424890451
- 3. Zulman DM, Asch SM, Martins SB, : Quality of care for patients with multiple chronic conditions: The role of comorbidity interrelatedness. J Gen Intern Med 29:529–537, 201424081443
- 4. Brown ML, Riley GF, Schussler N, : Estimating health care costs related to cancer treatment from SEER-Medicare data. Med Care 40:IV-104–IV-117, 2002 (suppl 8)
- Yabroff KR, Warren JL, Banthin J, : Comparison of approaches for estimating prevalence costs of care for cancer patients: What is the impact of data source? Med Care47:S64–S69, 2009 (suppl 1)19536016
- Short PF, Moran JR, Punekar R: Medical expenditures of adult cancer survivors aged <65 years in the United States. Cancer 117:2791–2800, 201121656757
- Finkelstein EA, Tangka FK, Trogdon JG, : The personal financial burden of cancer for the workingaged population. Am J Manag Care 15:801–806, 200919895184
- Yabroff KR, Lawrence WF, Clauser S, : Burden of illness in cancer survivors: Findings from a population-based national sample. J Natl Cancer Inst 96:1322–1330, 200415339970
- 9. Moran JR, Short PF, Hollenbeak CS: Long-term employment effects of surviving cancer. J Health Econ 30:505–514, 201121429606
- Ekwueme DU, Yabroff KR, Guy GP, : Medical costs and productivity losses of cancer survivors-United States, 2008–2011. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 63:505–510, 201424918485
- Meraya AM, Raval AD, Sambamoorthi U: Chronic condition combinations and health care expenditures and out-of-pocket spending burden among adults, Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2009 and 2011. Prev Chronic Dis 12:E12, 201525633487
- 12. Lehnert T, Heider D, Leicht H, : Review: Health care utilization and costs of elderly persons with multiple chronic conditions. Med Care Res Rev 68:387–420, 201121813576
- Vogeli C, Shields AE, Lee TA, : Multiple chronic conditions: Prevalence, health consequences, and implications for quality, care management, and costs. J Gen Intern Med 22:391–395, 2007 (suppl 3)18026807
- Goodman RA, Boyd C, Tinetti ME,: IOM and DHHS meeting on making clinical practice guidelines appropriate for patients with multiple chronic conditions. Ann Fam Med 12:256–259, 201424821897
- Parekh AK, Goodman RA, Gordon C, : Managing multiple chronic conditions: A strategic framework for improving health outcomes and quality of life. Public Health Rep 126:460–471, 201121800741
- Parekh AK, Kronick R, Tavenner M: Optimizing health for persons with multiple chronic conditions. JAMA 312:1199–1200, 201425133982
- 17. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: MEPS-MPC sample design and data collection process. http://www.meps.ahrq.gov/survey_comp/mpc_data_collection.jsp
- Cohen JW, Monheit AC, Beauregard KM, : The Medical Expenditure Panel Survey: A national health information resource. Inquiry 33:373–389, 1996–1997
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: MEPS survey background. http://meps.ahrq.gov/ mepsweb/about_meps/survey_back.jsp
- 20. Guy GP, Ekwueme DU, Yabroff KR, : Economic burden of cancer survivorship among adults in the United States. J Clin Oncol 31: 3749–3757, 201324043731
- 21. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Using the appropriate price indices for analyses of health care expenditures or income across multiple years. http://meps.ahrq.gov/about_meps/ Price_Index.shtml
- 22. US Bureau of Labor Statistics: National occupational employment and wage estimates, United States. http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm

- 23. Grosse SD, Krueger KV, Mvundura M: Economic productivity by age and sex: 2007 estimates for the United States. Med Care 47:S94–S103, 2009 (suppl 1)19536021
- 24. US Bureau of Labor Statistics: Consumer Price Index. http://www.bls.gov/cpi/data.htm
- 25. Subramanian S, Tangka FK, Sabatino SA, : Impact of chronic conditions on the cost of cancer care for Medicaid beneficiaries. Medicare Medicaid Res Rev 2:mmr.002.04.a07, 2013
- 26. Graubard BI, Korn EL: Predictive margins with survey data. Biometrics 55:652–659, 199911318229
- 27. Dowling EC, Chawla N, Forsythe LP, : Lost productivity and burden of illness in cancer survivors with and without other chronic conditions. Cancer 119:3393–3401, 201323794146
- 28. Bauer UE, Briss PA, Goodman RA, : Prevention of chronic disease in the 21st century: Elimination of the leading preventable causes of premature death and disability in the USA. Lancet 384:45–52, 201424996589
- 29. Lorig KR, Ritter P, Stewart AL, : Chronic disease self-management program: 2-year health status and health care utilization outcomes. Med Care 39:1217–1223, 200111606875
- Lorig KR, Sobel DS, Ritter PL, : Effect of a self-management program on patients with chronic disease. Eff Clin Pract 4:256–262, 200111769298
- Committee on Quality of HealthCare in America, Institute of Medicine: Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century. Washington, DC, National Academies Press, 2001
- 32. COME HOME Program: The COME HOME Model. http://www.comehomeprogram.com/ index.php/come-home-practices
- 33. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services: Health homes. https://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Long-Term-Services-and-Supports/Integrating-Care/ Health-Homes/Health-Homes.html
- Anderko L, Roffenbender JS, Goetzel RZ, : Promoting prevention through the affordable care act: Workplace wellness. Prev Chronic Dis 9:E175, 201223237245
- Hudson MM, Landier W, Ganz PA: Impact of survivorship-based research on defining clinical care guidelines. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 20: 2085–2092, 201121980016
- Ng AK, Travis LB: Second primary cancers: An overview. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 22:271– 289, vii, 200818395150
- 37. Koh HK, Sebelius KG: Promoting prevention through the Affordable Care Act. N Engl J Med 363: 1296–1299, 201020879876
- Bush TL, Miller SR, Golden AL, : Self-report and medical record report agreement of selected medical conditions in the elderly. Am J Public Health 79:1554–1556, 19892817172
- 39. Harlow SD, Linet MS: Agreement between questionnaire data and medical records: The evidence for accuracy of recall.Am J Epidemiol 129:233–248, 19892643301
- 40. Zodet MW, Hill SC, Miller E: Comparison of Retail Drug Prices in the MEPS and MarketScan: Implications for MEPS Editing Rules. https://meps.ahrq.gov/data_files/publications/ workingpapers/wp_10001.pdf
- Hill SC, Zuvekas SH, Zodet MW: Implications of the accuracy of MEPS prescription drug data for health services research. Inquiry 48: 242–259, 201122235548
- 42. Byrne J, Kessler LG, Devesa SS: The prevalence of cancer among adults in the United States: 1987. Cancer 69:2154–2159, 19921544121
- 43. Hewitt M, Breen N, Devesa S: Cancer prevalence and survivorship issues: Analyses of the 1992 National Health Interview Survey. J Natl Cancer Inst 91:1480–1486, 199910469749
- 44. Yabroff KR, Guy GP, Ekwueme DU, : Annual patient time costs associated with medical care among cancer survivors in the United States. Med Care 52:594–601, 201424926706

Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics of Survivors of Cancer and People Without a History of Cancer: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2008 to 2013

	Survivors of C	ancer (n = 10,293)	People With Cancer	hout a History of (n = 135,151)	
Characteristic	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	Р
Age, years					< .001
18-39	7.2	6.4 to 8.0	41.7	40.8 to 42.5	
40-44	3.9	3.4 to 4.6	9.1	8.8 to 9.3	
45-49	5.4	4.8 to 6.1	9.2	8.9 to 9.5	
50-54	7.9	7.0 to 8.9	9.9	9.5 to 10.2	
55-59	10.2	9.3 to 11.1	8.4	8.2 to 8.7	
60-64	12.7	11.6 to 13.8	6.9	6.6 to 7.3	
65-69	12.5	11.4 to 13.6	5.0	4.8 to 5.3	
70-74	11.9	11.0 to 12.9	3.4	3.3 to 3.6	
75-79	10.2	9.2 to 11.2	2.6	2.4 to 2.8	
80	18.2	16.3 to 20.3	3.7	3.4 to 4.0	
Sex					< .001
Male	42.1	40.5 to 43.7	48.9	48.5 to 49.2	
Female	57.9	56.3 to 59.5	51.1	50.8 to 51.5	
Race/ethnicity					< .001
Non-Hispanic white	84.5	83.1 to 85.8	65.4	63.5 to 67.2	
Non-Hispanic black	7.1	6.3 to 7.9	11.9	10.7 to 13.2	
Hispanic	5.5	4.8 to 6.3	15.3	13.7 to 16.9	
Non-Hispanic other	3.0	2.3 to 3.9	7.5	6.5 to 8.5	
Education					.002
Less than high school graduate	14.5	13.4 to 15.7	16.2	15.6 to 16.9	
High school graduate	30.7	29.1 to 32.3	28.6	27.9 to 29.4	
Some college or more	54.5	52.6 to 56.4	54.7	53.6 to 55.7	
Marital status					< .001
Married	57.5	55.1 to 59.8	52.4	51.5 to 53.3	
Not married	42.5	40.2 to 44.9	47.6	46.7 to 48.5	
Health status					< .001
Excellent/very good	40.2	38.6 to 41.7	60.0	59.2 to 60.8	
Good	32.0	30.7 to 33.3	27.7	27.1 to 28.3	
Fair/poor	27.6	26.3 to 29.0	12.2	11.9 to 12.6	
Health insurance					
Age < 65 years					< .001
Any private	73.6	71.5 to 75.6	70.3	69.0 to 71.4	
Public only	15.6	14.0 to 17.3	10.8	10.2 to 11.5	
Uninsured	10.8	9.5 to 12.3	18.9	18.0 to 19.9	
Age 65 years					< .001
Medicare and private	55.3	52.5 to 58.1	50.1	48.4 to 51.8	

	Survivors of C	Cancer (n = 10,293)	People Wit Cancer	hout a History of (n = 135,151)	
Characteristic	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	Р
Medicare and public	8.5	7.4 to 9.8	11.0	10.0 to 12.1	
Medicare only	35.4	32.8 to 38.0	37.3	35.9 to 38.8	
Family income					<.001
Poor (< 100% FPL)	10.9	10.1 to 11.8	12.6	12.0 to 13.3	
Near poor (100% to 200% FPL)	20.0	18.8 to 21.3	17.9	17.4 to 18.5	
Not poor (> 200% FPL)	69.1	67.4 to 70.7	69.5	68.5 to 70.4	

Abbreviation: FPL, federal poverty level.

Table 2.

Characteristics of Survivors of Cancer: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2008 to 2013

	Surviv (N	ors of Cancer = 10,293)
Characteristic	%	95% CI
Cancer site *		
Bladder	2.1	2.2 to 3.1
Blood/leukemia/lymphoma	4.5	3.9 to 5.2
Breast	18.3	17.1 to 19.7
Cervix	7.2	6.5 to 8.1
Colorectal	6.3	5.6 to 7.1
Kidney	1.5	1.2 to 1.9
Lung	2.9	2.5 to 3.4
Melanoma	9.0	8.1 to 10.0
Ovary	1.6	1.3 to 2.0
Prostate	13.9	12.8 to 15.1
Thyroid	2.3	1.9 to 2.9
Uterus	4.2	3.7 to 4.8
Other	32.1	30.6 to 33.6
Time since cancer diagnosis, years $^{\not\!\!\!\!\!/}$		
0-4	30.1	28.5 to 31.7
5-9	21.6	20.4 to 22.8
10-19	22.0	20.6 to 23.4
20	17.2	16.0 to 18.5
Missing	9.1	7.8 to 10.5
Mean, years	10.8	10.5 to 11.2

* Percentages sum to greater than 100% as a result of some individuals reporting more than one cancer diagnosis.

 † Time since diagnosis was not available in the 2013 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey public use file. The results for time since diagnosis reflect 2008 to 2012 data (n = 8,617).

Table 3.

Prevalence of Chronic Conditions Among Survivors of Cancer and People Without a History of Cancer: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2008 to 2013

		1	Jnadjust	p				Adjusted		
	Surviv (n	ors of Cancer = 10,293)	Peop a F <u>Cancer</u>	de Without History of (n = 135, 151)		Surviv (n	ors of Cancer = 10,293)	Peop a F <u>Cancer</u>	de Without History of (n = 135,151)	
Condition	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	Ρ	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	Ρ
Model 1: conditions										
High blood pressure	57.1	55.3 to 58.9	30.7	30.1 to 31.3	<.001	35.7	34.3 to 37.2	32.7	32.2 to 33.1	< .001
High cholesterol	54.8	53.1 to 56.5	28.7	28.2 to 29.2	< .001	35.0	33.7 to 36.3	30.5	30.0 to 30.9	< .001
Arthritis	51.2	22.5 to 23.6	23.0	22.5 to 23.6	<.001	29.4	28.2 to 30.6	24.9	24.5 to 25.3	< .001
Heart disease $\dot{\tau}$	32.0	30.5 to 33.5	12.7	12.3 to 13.1	<.001	17.4	16.5 to 18.3	13.9	13.5 to 14.2	< .001
Diabetes	17.5	16.3 to 18.8	8.5	8.3 to 8.8	< .001	10.6	9.8 to 11.3	9.1	8.8 to 9.3	< .001
Asthma	11.4	10.5 to 12.3	9.1	8.8 to 9.4	< .001	11.9	10.9 to 12.8	9.1	8.8 to 9.4	< .001
Stroke	10.2	9.3 to 11.2	3.1	3.0 to 3.3	< .001	4.6	4.2 to 5.1	3.5	3.4 to 3.7	< .001
Emphysema	6.9	6.1 to 7.7	1.9	1.7 to 2.0	<.001	3.3	2.9 to 3.7	2.1	1.9 to 2.2	< .001
Model 2: No. of conditions										
0	14.5	13.4 to 15.8	45.1	44.4 to 45.7	< .001	34.1	32.3 to 36.0	42.9	42.4 to 43.4	< .001
1	18.3	17.1 to 19.6	22.6	22.2 to 23.0	< .001	21.0	19.7 to 22.3	22.3	21.9 to 22.7	.068
2	21.1	19.8 to 22.4	14.5	14.2 to 14.9	< .001	14.9	14.0 to 15.9	15.1	14.7 to 15.5	.759
3	19.5	18.2 to 20.8	9.4	9.1 to 9.6	<.001	11.0	10.2 to 11.7	10.1	9.8 to 10.4	.044
4	26.6	25.2 to 27.9	8.5	8.1 to 8.8	<.001	12.7	11.9 to 13.4	9.5	9.2 to 9.8	< .001

J Clin Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 25.

 $\dot{\tau}^{+}$ Heart disease includes coronary heart disease, angina, myocardial infarction, and other unspecified heart disease.

-	
-	
_	
—	
_	
_	
0	
()	
-	
_	
_	
<	
5	
a	
a	
lar	
lan	
lanu	
lanu	
lanus	
lanus	
lanus	
lanusc	
lanusc	
lanuscr	
lanuscri	
lanuscri	

Table 4.

Additional Medical Care Expenditures and Use Among Survivors of Cancer With Chronic Conditions Compared With Survivors of Cancer Without Chronic Conditions: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2008 to 2013

Guy et al.

		comm	Ambulatory VIS	its	Emergency Room V	Isits	Inpatient Nigh	uts	Prescription Medicat	tions
Condition	Additional Costs, \$ (95% CI)	Ρ	No. of Additional Visits (95% CI)	Ρ	No. of Additional Visits (95% CI)	Ρ	No. of Additional Nights (95% CI)	Ρ	No. of Additional Prescriptions (95% CI)	Ρ
Model 1: Conditions										
High blood pressure	726 (-682 to 2,134)	.315	0.8 (-0.2 to 1.8)	.113	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.04)	.406	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.4)	.422	13.5 (11.7 to 15.4)	< .001
High cholesterol	-96 (-1,453 to 1,260)	889.	0.99 (0.1 to 1.9)	.041	-0.02 (-0.1 to 0.01)	.117	0.02 (-0.3 to 0.3)	.905	6.5 (4.5 to 8.5)	< .001
Arthritis	3,145 (1,677 to 4,613)	<.001	4.3 (3.4 to 5.3)	<.001	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.03)	.408	-0.1 (-0.4 to 0.2)	.455	9.6 (7.7 to 11.5)	< .001
Heart disease $\dot{\tau}$	4,595 (3,262 to 5,927)	<.001	3.4 (2.4 to 4.4)	<.001	0.1 (0.03 to 0.1)	< .001	0.12 (-0.2 to 0.4)	.418	9.5 (7.6 to 11.4)	<.001
Diabetes	3,281 (1,918 to 4,644)	< .001	1.4 (0.4 to 2.5)	.006	0.003 (-0.02 to 0.03)	.757	0.2 (-0.1 to 0.6)	.174	15.2 (13.0 to 17.5)	< .001
Asthma	2,797 (754 to 4,840)	.008	3.0 (1.6 to 4.4)	< .001	0.03 (-0.002 to 0.1)	.071	-0.2 (-0.6 to 0.2)	.305	9.1 (6.3 to 12.0)	< .001
Stroke	3,843 (1,983 to 5,704)	<.001	0.6 (-1.0 to 2.2)	.451	0.1 (0.04 to 0.1)	< .001	0.4 (0.001 to 0.7)	.049	6.1 (3.4 to 8.8)	< .001
Emphysema	2,755 (925 to 4,585)	.003	0.1 (-1.5 to 1.7)	.872	0.01 (-0.03 to 0.1)	.505	0.4 (0.1 to 0.8)	.022	10.0 (6.6 to 13.5)	< .001
Model 2: No. of conditions										
1	626 (-2,534 to 3,787)	869.	3.4 (1.5 to 5.4)	<.001	-0.02 (-0.1 to 0.03)	.480	0.3 (-0.3 to 0.9)	.293	15.7 (11.8 to 19.5)	< .001
2	3,321 (409 to 6,233)	.026	6.3 (4.6 to 8.0)	<.001	0.04 (-0.003 to 0.1)	.066	0.2 (-0.3 to 0.7)	.519	29.4 (25.6 to 33.1)	< .001
3	5,571 (2,803 to 8,339)	<.001	7.7 (5.9 to 9.5)	<.001	0.04 (-0.01 to 0.1)	.108	0.3 (-0.2 to 0.8)	.254	37.8 (33.3 to 42.2)	< .001
4	10,280 (7,435 to 13,125)	< .001	10.5 (8.7 to 12.3)	<.001	0.1 (0.03 to 0.1)	.002	0.4 (-0.1 to 0.9)	.084	50.1 (45.3 to 54.9)	< .001

J Clin Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 25.

regressions controlled for survey year, age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, education, and other comorbid conditions. All measures reported were per person. All monetary amounts were adjusted to 2013 US dollars using the Personal Health Care Examining Data US dollars using the Personal Health Care Expenditure Price Index.²¹

* Ambulatory visits included office-based provider visits and outpatient visits. fHeart disease includes coronary heart disease, angina, myocardial infarction, and other unspecified heart disease.

\mathbf{r}
~
=
_
5
$\underline{\circ}$
<
a
_
_
Ĕ
SDL
nusc
nuscr
nuscrip

Table 5.

Additional Lost Productivity and Source of Lost Productivity Among Survivors of Cancer With Chronic Conditions Compared With Survivors of Cancer Without Chronic Conditions: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2008 to 2013

		Total		Employn	nent Disability		Misse	d Work Days		Lost Househ	old Productiv	ity*
Condition	Additional Cost (\$)	95% CI	Ρ	No. of Additional Days	95% CI	Ρ	No. of Additional Days	95% CI	Ρ	No. of Additional Days	95% CI	Ρ
Model 1: Conditions												
High blood pressure	1,325	174 to 2,475	.008	7.8	1.7 to 13.9	.013	1.2	-0.7 to 3.1	.203	3.4	0.3 to 6.6	.030
High cholesterol	-293	-1,141 to 656	669.	-1.8	-6.3 to 2.8	.447	0.5	-1.6 to 2.5	.668	-2.4	-5.3 to 0.6	.111
Arthritis	3,534	2,475 to 4,593	< .001	20.6	15.2 to 26.0	<.001	4.6	2.5 to 6.7	<.001	6.7	3.9 to 9.5	< .001
Heart disease $\dot{\tau}$	1,712	718 to 2,705	< .001	9.3	4.4 to 14.1	< .001	2.0	-0.3 to 4.3	.070	5.9	3.4 to 8.5	< .001
Diabetes	1,639	498 to 2,781	< .001	9.7	4.1 to 15.3	< .001	1.6	-0.7 to 4.0	.186	3.8	0.4 to 7.2	.026
Asthma	2,040	690 to 3,391	< .001	12.1	4.8 to 19.3	.001	1.7	-0.4 to 3.8	.101	5.5	1.8 to 9.2	.003
Stroke	4,325	2,687 to 5,964	< .001	23.3	16.1 to 30.4	<.001	6.3	1.4 to 11.2	.003	12.6	8.5 to 16.7	< .001
Emphysema	3,299	1,614 to 4,984	< .001	22.3	14.6 to 29.9	<.001	0.3	-4.3 to 4.9	889.	6.1	1.6 to 10.5	.005
Model 2: No. of conditions												
1	2,026	276 to 3,777	.003	12.9	3.2 to 22.6	.010	1.5	-0.8 to 3.7	.193	3.1	-1.7 to 8.0	.213
2	4,214	2,439 to 5,988	< .001	26.1	16.0 to 36.1	<.001	4.2	1.8 to 6.5	<.001	6.3	2.1 to 10.5	.004
6	5,703	3,881 to 7,524	< .001	33.6	23.5 to 43.7	<.001	6.5	3.6 to 9.4	<.001	11.7	7.9 to 15.4	< .001
4	660'6	7,224 to 10,973	< .001	56.1	45.7 to 66.4	<.001	7.4	4.5 to 10.3	< .001	18.0	13.6 to 22.4	< .001

J Clin Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 25.

b multiplied by the adjusted percentage of people unable to work because of illness or injury. Lost productivity from lost workdays is the median national daily wage (\$16.87 per hour × 6 hours = \$101.22 per from employment disability is the adjusted percentage of people unable to work because of illness or injury multiplied by 260 (number of work days in the year); cost is the median annual wage (\$35,080) day) multiplied by the adjusted number of days lost from work. Lost household productivity is the value of daily home productivity (\$43.37) multiplied by the adjusted number of additional days spent in bed. Regression analyses controlled for survey year, age, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, education, and other comorbid conditions.

* Lost household productivity was not included in the 2013 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. Results for this variable are for 2008 to 2012.

 \dot{f} Heart disease includes coronary heart disease, angina, myocardial infarction, and other unspecified heart disease.