



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Infect Control. 2017 November 01; 45(11): 1243–1248. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2017.05.016.

Workplace interventions associated with influenza vaccination coverage among health care personnel in ambulatory care settings during the 2013–14 and 2014–15 influenza seasons

Xin Yue, MPS, MS¹, Carla Black, PhD², Sarah Ball, MPH, ScD³, Sara Donahue, DrPH, MPH³, Marie A. de Perio, MD⁴, A. Scott Laney, MPH, PhD⁵, and Stacie Greby, DVM, MPH²

¹Leidos Inc., Reston, Virginia

²Assessment Branch, Immunization Service Division, NCIRD, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

³Abt Associates Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts

⁴Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Cincinnati, Ohio

⁵Respiratory Health Division, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Morgantown, West Virginia

Abstract

Background—Vaccination of health care personnel (HCP) can reduce influenza-related morbidity and mortality among HCP and their patients. The objective of this study was to investigate workplace policies associated with higher influenza vaccination coverage among HCP who worked in ambulatory care settings without influenza vaccination requirements.

Methods—Data were obtained from non-probability Internet panel surveys of HCP conducted in April 2014 and April 2015. Respondents were asked about vaccination status and workplace vaccination policies and interventions. Logistic regression models were used to assess the independent associations between each workplace intervention and influenza vaccination, controlling for occupation, age, and race/ethnicity. Statistics were calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling.

Results—Among HCP working in ambulatory care settings without a vaccination requirement (n=866), 65.7% reported receiving influenza vaccination for the previous influenza season. Increased vaccination coverage was independently associated with being offered free onsite vaccination for 1 day (prevalence ratio (PR) = 1.38 [1.07–1.78]) or >1 day (PR = 1.58 [1.29–1.94]) and employers sending personal reminders to be vaccinated (PR=1.20 [0.99–1.46]). Being age 65 years compared with age 18–49 years (PR=1.30 [1.07–1.56]) and working as a clinical professional or clinical non-professional compared with working as a non-clinical health care

Corresponding author: Xin Yue, MPS, MS, Leidos Inc., 2295 Parklake Dr NE #300, Atlanta, GA 30345, Phone: (404) 718-8664, Fax: (404)471-8229, hwg3@cdc.gov.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

The conclusions in this article are those of the authors and not represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

worker (PR=1.26[1.06–1.50] and PR=1.28[1.03–1.60], respectively) were also associated with higher coverage. Vaccination coverage increased with increasing numbers of workplace interventions.

Conclusion—Implementing workplace vaccination interventions in ambulatory care settings, including free onsite influenza vaccination that is actively promoted, could help increase influenza vaccination among HCP.

Introduction

Influenza causes significant morbidity and mortality each year.^{1–5} Health care personnel (HCP) can acquire influenza in the work setting and transmit influenza to patients at risk for influenza-related complications or death.⁶ Influenza vaccination of HCP has been shown to reduce the risk of influenza illness and absenteeism in vaccinated HCP^{7,8} and to reduce the risk of respiratory illness and deaths in nursing home residents.^{9,10} To reduce influenza-related morbidity and mortality among HCP and high-risk patients, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends that all HCP receive an annual influenza vaccination.⁶ According to data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), influenza vaccination coverage among HCP has improved from less than 50% before the 2009–10 influenza season to 65.4% in the 2013–14 season.^{11,12} However, coverage remains well below the national Healthy People 2020 target of 90%.¹³ Similarly, estimates from Internet panel surveys conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported that influenza vaccination coverage among HCP increased from 63.5% in the 2010–11 season to 75.2% in 2013–14, but remained stable between the 2013–14 and 2015–16 seasons.¹⁴

Reported influenza vaccination coverage has reached as high as 98% among HCP who are subject to an employer requirement for vaccination,^{15–17} though vaccination mandates have met resistance from HCP.^{15,18–22} Previous studies show providing flexible access to free vaccination at the worksite, offering gift incentives for vaccination, educating HCP about the risk of influenza and the overall benefits of influenza vaccination, requiring employees to sign a declination form if not vaccinated, and imposing penalties such as requiring non-vaccinated HCP to wear a mask have been associated with increased influenza vaccination uptake among HCP.^{11,23–27} However, the results are inconsistent across studies and the majority have focused on HCP working in hospital settings,^{24, 27} leaving a gap in knowledge of effective interventions in ambulatory care settings where approximately 30% of U.S. HCP work.²⁸ The purpose of this study was to investigate workplace interventions associated with increased influenza vaccination coverage among HCP working in ambulatory care settings without employer vaccination requirements.

Methods

Study sample

Data were analyzed from two Internet panel surveys of HCP conducted during April 2014 and April 2015. The surveys were conducted for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) by Abt Associates, Inc. (Cambridge, Massachusetts) to provide end-of-

season estimates of influenza vaccination coverage and vaccine-related knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors among HCP. Respondents were recruited from two preexisting national opt-in Internet panels, Medscape²⁹ and Survey Sampling International (SSI).³⁰ Survey participants in clinical occupations (excluding assistants and aides) were recruited from a list of members of WebMD Professional Network's professional health website, Medscape.com. Medscape has approximately two million U.S. health care professional members, including physicians, nurses, allied health practitioners, and clinical technical professionals. A general population Internet panel operated by SSI was used to recruit additional health care occupations, including assistants and aides, administrative support staff and managers, and non-clinical support staff. Medscape and SSI panel members 18 years and older and living in the United States were invited to participate in the surveys via email invitations and website messages.

Respondents were eligible for the surveys if they reported any patient contact or reported working in at least one of eight health care settings (hospital; physician's office or other ambulatory care setting; dentist office or dental clinic; pharmacy; nursing home, assisted living facility or other long-term care facility; home health agency or home health care; emergency medical service (EMS), ambulance, or other patient transport; or other health care settings); respondents could report working in multiple settings. A total of 3,906 eligible respondents completed the surveys. The current analysis is restricted to respondents who indicated that they worked in an ambulatory care setting, defined in the survey as a "physician's office or other non-hospital setting, such as any medical clinic, urgent care, or any other outpatient or ambulatory care setting." Of the 1,395 respondents who reported working in an ambulatory care setting, 529 (37.9%) were excluded because they reported that their employer in any of the settings in which they worked required them to be vaccinated, leaving a final analytic sample of 866.

Survey items included occupation, age, sex, education, race/ethnicity, work setting, self-reported vaccination status for the respective influenza season (vaccinated since July 2013 for those surveyed in April 2014 and since July 2014 for those surveyed in April 2015), workplace vaccination policies and interventions (including vaccination requirements and vaccination availability at the workplace), and promotion of vaccination (including educational activities; recognition, rewards, or compensation for vaccination; penalties for non-vaccination; personal reminders to be vaccinated; and free or subsidized vaccination).

Respondents could report working in more than one work setting, and 145 of the respondents included in this analysis reported working in at least one other setting in addition to an ambulatory care setting. The workplace vaccination interventions included in this analysis were those reported for any setting where the respondent worked. Occupation was classified as clinical professional (physicians, dentists, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, nurses, allied health professionals, pharmacists, and students in a medical-related field), clinical non-professional (technicians and technologists, paramedics, emergency medical technicians, and assistants and aides), and non-clinical support staff (administrative support staff/managers, housekeeping and food service staff, and other non-clinical support staff).

Data were weighted to the U.S. population of HCP by work setting, occupation, race/ethnicity, gender, age, and geographic region. A post-stratification weight for each responding person in the survey was developed through raking using the most recent Bureau of Labor Statistics Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates^{31,32} and Current Population Survey data.^{33,34}

Statistical analysis

All analyses include combined data from the 2014 and 2015 surveys (n=866 survey respondents). Logistic regression models were used to assess the unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals for the association of each workplace intervention with influenza vaccination. Adjusted prevalence ratios were obtained from a multivariable model containing variables for each workplace intervention, occupation, age, and race/ethnicity (Model I). A second multivariable model was constructed that used a composite variable for the total number of workplace interventions (0, 1, 2, or 3 interventions) instead of the variables for each individual intervention, occupation, age, and race/ethnicity (Model II). Education was not included in the multivariable models due to potential collinearity with the occupation variable. Workplace interventions reported by less than 30 respondents were excluded from the multivariable models. Analyses were conducted using SUDAAN version 11. P-values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Statistical measures were calculated using the assumption of random sampling.

Results

Table 1 presents the distribution of the study population by occupation, demographic characteristics, and presence of workplace vaccination interventions. The majority of respondents were 18–49 years (65.0%), female (76.9%), had a college education or higher (59.2%), and were non-Hispanic white (66.9%). Approximately 75% of HCP reported at least one workplace intervention in any location where they worked. The most commonly reported interventions were employers offering onsite vaccination for at least one day (55.8%), employers publicizing the risks and benefits of vaccination (54.1%), and being sent a personal reminder to be vaccinated (52.9%).

Table 2 presents the associations of occupation, demographic characteristics, and workplace interventions with influenza vaccination coverage among HCP working in ambulatory care settings without employer vaccination requirements. Overall, 65.7% of respondents in the analytic sample reported receiving influenza vaccination in the respective influenza season (Table 2). Among those vaccinated, 71.4% reported receiving the vaccination at work (data not shown). In bivariate analysis, higher vaccination coverage was associated with working as a clinical professional, age ≥ 65 years, being offered onsite vaccination for one or more days, being informed of the risks and benefits of vaccination, receiving a personal reminder to be vaccinated, being required to sign a waiver or declination form if not vaccinated, and having an employer who publicized vaccination coverage levels to employees (Table 2). After adjustment for other factors in multivariable analysis, the individual workplace interventions that remained associated with increased coverage were being offered onsite

vaccination for 1 day (adjusted prevalence ratio (aPR), 95% confidence interval=1.38 [1.07–1.78]) or >1 day (aPR=1.58 [1.29–1.94]) and receiving a personal reminder to be vaccinated (aPR=1.20 [0.99–1.46]) (Model I). However, HCP working in ambulatory care settings with two or more interventions of any type were about two times more likely to be vaccinated compared with those with no workplace interventions (Model II; aPR=2.01 [1.57–2.57] for two workplace interventions and aPR=1.92 [1.51, 2.44] for 3 workplace interventions). Working as a clinical professional or clinical non-professional compared with working as a non-clinical support staff and age ≥65 years compared with age 18–49 years remained significantly associated with vaccination in both multivariable models (Table 2).

Discussion

Among HCP working in ambulatory care settings without employer vaccination requirements, this study found that access to onsite vaccination and being sent a personal reminder to be vaccinated were independently associated with increased influenza vaccination after controlling for occupation, demographic characteristics, and other workplace interventions. However, while both of these interventions alone were associated with modest increases in vaccination coverage, a larger effect was observed with the use of multiple interventions. Vaccination coverage among HCP who reported at least two workplace interventions in any location where they worked was about twice that of HCP without any workplace interventions. These results were similar to results from recent review articles on interventions to increase influenza vaccination coverage among HCP, which reported that vaccination uptake increases with an increasing number of intervention program components in hospital and non-hospital settings.^{24,27,35}

Previous studies of workplace interventions to increase influenza vaccination among HCP have almost exclusively involved evaluating workplace interventions among HCP working within a single or a small number of hospitals or long-term care facilities, using their own facility as a historical control. Few prior studies have evaluated the effect of workplace interventions on vaccination coverage among HCP working in ambulatory care settings. In a randomized controlled trial conducted among employees of primary care clinics in the United Kingdom, Dey *et al.* found that an intense promotional campaign involving educational activities conducted by a visiting public health nurse had no effect on influenza vaccination uptake among HCP.³⁶ In contrast, Abramson *et al.* reported an increase in influenza vaccination coverage of approximately 26 percentage points among staff from primary care community clinics in Israel following implementation of an intervention consisting of educational lectures, personal email reminders, and vaccination advocates who personally approached each staff member.³⁷ This study is unique in that it is the first to evaluate the association of workplace interventions and influenza vaccination coverage among a sample of HCP working in ambulatory care settings across the United States. This study found that offering onsite vaccination, even for only one day, was the single factor most strongly associated with increased vaccination coverage among HCP working in ambulatory care settings. In addition, the majority of vaccinated respondents in the present study reported being vaccinated at work, underscoring the importance of convenient access to vaccination at workplaces in ensuring that HCP are vaccinated. However, larger increases in coverage were associated with exposure to two or more workplace interventions.

Previously reported data from the same survey indicated that 79.0% of HCP working in ambulatory care settings reported that their employers required, provided, or promoted influenza vaccination, compared with 97.4% of HCP working in hospital settings, suggesting that influenza vaccination is promoted less frequently in ambulatory than in hospital settings.¹⁴ More efforts are needed to promote vaccination and increase vaccination coverage in ambulatory settings.

The findings in this report are subject to several limitations. First, all results in the current survey are based on self-report and might be subject to recall bias. Second, non-coverage and non-response bias might remain after weighting adjustments. Third, use of financial incentives or rewards was not evaluated as a possible intervention in multivariable models because only 25 respondents reported receiving this incentive for vaccination. Fourth, the analysis included all respondents who reported working in any ambulatory care setting; the survey could not distinguish independent ambulatory care settings from those affiliated with a hospital or larger health system. Employees working in ambulatory care settings affiliated with a hospital or larger health system might be subject to the vaccination policies of the hospital or health system with which they are affiliated and therefore our results may overstate the impact of the interventions examined on vaccination coverage. Finally, the survey used a non-probability based sample of volunteer members of two Internet panels and was not randomly selected from HCP in the United States. As such, the results presented here are not generalizable to all U.S. HCP in ambulatory care settings. The sample was weighted to be more representative of the U.S. HCP population; however, estimates of vaccination coverage and interventions might be biased. Estimates of sampling error from non-random samples are usually not considered valid.³⁸ Since the opt-in Internet panel was not a random sample, the statistical measures of association presented here should be used as guides to implementing interventions that may improve HCP influenza vaccination coverage. In previous influenza seasons, vaccination coverage estimates among HCP from similar Internet panel surveys were higher than those obtained from the population-based sample of HCP in NHIS, though trends in coverage were similar across seasons.³⁹ The NHIS and other population-based surveys such as the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, however, do not collect information about workplace vaccination practices.

Conclusions

Use of multiple workplace influenza vaccination interventions was associated with increased influenza vaccination coverage among a national sample of U.S. HCP working in ambulatory care settings without employer vaccination requirements. In the present sample, vaccination coverage of approximately 80% was achieved without an employer requirement for vaccination among HCP reporting at least two different vaccine-related workplace interventions. Our results support the recommendations by the Task Force for Community Preventive Services, which recommends interventions with onsite, free, and actively promoted influenza vaccination to increase influenza vaccination among HCP.⁴⁰

Acknowledgments

Financial support. The Internet panel surveys are funded by contract #200-2014-F-61025 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to Abt Associates, Inc.

References

1. Grohskopf LA, Sokolow LZ, Broder KR, et al. Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices - United States, 2016–2017 influenza season. *MMWR Recom Rep.* 2016; 65(5):1–54.
2. Barker WH. Excess pneumonia and influenza associated hospitalization during influenza epidemics in the United States, 1970–78. *Am J Public Health.* 1986; 76:761–5. [PubMed: 3717461]
3. Barker WH, Mullooly JP. Impact of epidemic type A influenza in a defined adult population. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1980; 112:798–811. [PubMed: 7457471]
4. Poehling KA, Edwards KM, Weinberg GA, et al. The underrecognized burden of influenza in young children. *N Engl J Med.* 2006; 355:31–40. [PubMed: 16822994]
5. Fiore AE, Uyeki TM, Broder K, et al. Prevention and control of influenza with vaccines, recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recom Rep.* 2010; 59(RR08):1–62.
6. Shefer A, Atkinson W, Friedman C, et al. Immunization of health-care personnel: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recom Rep.* 2011; 60(RR07):1–45.
7. Saxen H, Virtanen M. Randomized, placebo-controlled double blind study on the efficacy of influenza immunization on absenteeism of health care workers. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 1999; 18:779–83. [PubMed: 10493337]
8. Wilde JA, McMillan JA, Serwint J, Butta J, O’Riordan MA, Steinhoff MC. Effectiveness of influenza vaccine in health care professionals: a randomized trial. *JAMA.* 1999; 281:908–13. [PubMed: 10078487]
9. Ahmed F, Lindley MC, Allred N, Weinbaum CM, Grohskopf L. Effect of influenza vaccination of healthcare personnel on morbidity and mortality among patients: systematic review and grading of evidence. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014; 58:50–7. [PubMed: 24046301]
10. Lemaitre M, Meret T, Rothan-Tondeur M, et al. Effect of influenza vaccination of nursing home staff on mortality of residents: a cluster randomized trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009; 57:1580–6. [PubMed: 19682118]
11. Caban-Martinez AJ, Lee DJ, Davila EP, et al. Sustained low influenza vaccination rates in US healthcare workers. *Prev Med.* 2010; 50:210–2. [PubMed: 20079761]
12. Williams WW, Lu PJ, O’Halloran A, et al. Surveillance of vaccination coverage among adult populations — United States, 2014. *MMWR Surveil Summ.* 2015; 65(1):1–36.
13. Healthy People 2020. [Accessed October 30, 2015] Topics and objectives—immunization and infectious diseases. Available at <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/immunization-and-infectious-diseases/objectives>
14. Black CL, Yue X, Ball SW, et al. Influenza vaccination coverage among health care personnel — United States, 2015–16 influenza season. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016; 65:1026–31. [PubMed: 27684642]
15. Finch M. Point: Mandatory influenza vaccination for all health care workers? Seven reasons to say “No”. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2006; 42:1141–3. [PubMed: 16575732]
16. Ball SW, Donahue SMA, Izrael D, et al. Influenza vaccination coverage among health-care personnel — United States, 2012–13 influenza season. *MMWR Morbid Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2013; 62:781–6.
17. Black CL, Yue X, Ball SW, et al. Influenza vaccination coverage among health care personnel — United States, 2013–14 influenza season. *MMWR Morbid Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2014; 63(38):805–11.
18. Lugo NR. Will carrots or sticks raise influenza immunization rates of health care personnel? *Am J Inf Control.* 2007; 35:1–6.
19. Isaacs D, Leask J. Should influenza immunisation be mandatory for healthcare workers? No. *BMJ.* 2008; 337:a2140.
20. Stewart AM. Mandatory vaccination of health care workers. *New Engl J Med.* 2009; 361:2015–7. [PubMed: 19890107]

21. Anikeeva O, Braunack-Mayer A, Rogers W. Requiring influenza vaccination for health care workers. *Am J Public Health*. 2009; 99:24–9. [PubMed: 19008501]
22. Sullivan PL. Influenza vaccination in healthcare workers: should it be mandatory? *Online J Issues Nurs*. 2010; 15:1. [Accessed November 3, 2015] Available at <http://www.nursingworld.org/MainMenuCategories/ANAMarketplace/ANAPeriodicals/OJIN/TableofContents/Vol152010/No1Jan2010/Articles-Previous-Topic/Mandatory-Influenza-Vaccination-in-Healthcare-Workers.html>.
23. Fricke KL, Gastañaduy MM, Klos R, Bégué RE. Correlates of improved influenza vaccination of healthcare personnel: A survey of hospitals in Louisiana. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2013; 34:723–9. [PubMed: 23739077]
24. Hollmeyer H, Hayden F, Mounts A, Buchholz U. Review: interventions to increase influenza vaccination among healthcare workers in hospitals. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2013; 7:604–21. [PubMed: 22984794]
25. Bryant KA, Stover B, Cain L, Levine GL, Siegel J, Jarvis WR. Improving influenza immunization rates among healthcare workers caring for high-risk pediatric patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2004; 25:912–7. [PubMed: 15566023]
26. Ajenjo MC, Woeltje KF, Babcock HM, Gemeinhart N, Jones M, Fraser VJ. Influenza vaccination among healthcare workers: ten-year experience of a large healthcare organization. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2010; 31:233–40. [PubMed: 20055666]
27. Lam PP, Chambers LW, MacDougall DMP, McCarthy AE. Seasonal influenza vaccination campaigns for health care personnel: systematic review. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2010; 182:E542–48. [PubMed: 20643836]
28. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Employment Statistics, May 2015 National Industry-specific Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates: Sector 62 – Health, Care and Social Assistance. (available at <http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oesrci.htm>)
29. <http://www.medscape.com/>.
30. <https://www.surveysampling.com/>.
31. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Employment Statistics, May 2012 National Industry-Specific Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates: Sector 62 – Health, Care and Social Assistance. (available at http://www.bls.gov/oes/2012/may/naics2_62.htm)
32. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Employment Statistics, May 2013 National Industry-specific Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates: Sector 62 – Health, Care and Social Assistance. (available at http://www.bls.gov/oes/2013/may/naics2_62.htm)
33. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Current Population Survey (CPS) – CPS Basic Sept 2013. Generated by the author via DataFerrett. Available at <http://dataferrett.census.gov/TheDataWeb/index.html>. Files generated November 12, 2013
34. U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Current Population Survey (CPS) – CPS Basic Sept 2014. Generated by the author via DataFerrett. Available at <http://dataferrett.census.gov/TheDataWeb/index.html>. Files generated November 11, 2014
35. Rashid H, Yin JK, Ward K, King C, Seale H, Booy R. Assessing interventions to improve influenza vaccine uptake among health care workers. *Health Affairs*. 2015; 25(2):284–92.
36. Baker R, Blumberg SJ, Brick JM, et al. Research synthesis AAPOR report on online panels. *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2010; 74:711–781.
37. Dey P, Halder S, Collins S, Benons L, Woodman C. Promoting uptake of influenza vaccination among health care workers: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Public Health Medicine*. 2001; 23(4):346–48. [PubMed: 11873900]
38. Abramson ZH, Avni O, Levi O, Miskin IN. Randomized trial of a program to increase staff influenza vaccination in primary care clinics. *Ann Fam Med*. 2010; 8(4):293–98. [PubMed: 20644183]
39. Lu PJ, Santibanez TA, Williams WW, et al. Surveillance of influenza vaccination coverage — United States, 2007–08 through 2011–12 influenza seasons. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2013; 62(SS04):1–28.
40. Community Preventive Services Task Force. Interventions to promote seasonal influenza vaccinations among healthcare workers. Atlanta, GA: The Guide to Community Preventive

Services; 2008. Available at <http://www.thecommunityguide.org/worksite/flu-hcw.html> [Assessed October 30, 201]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Distribution of occupation, demographic characteristics, and influenza vaccination-related workplace interventions among health care personnel (HCP) working in an ambulatory care setting without employer vaccination requirements –Internet panel surveys, United States, 2013–14 and 2014–15 influenza seasons

HCP characteristic/ workplace intervention	Unweighted N	Weighted % (95% confidence interval)
Total	866	100
Occupation		
Clinical professional [*]	615	45.6 (40.7, 50.4)
Clinical non-professional [†]	83	14.2 (10.7, 17.7)
Non-clinical support staff [‡]	168	40.3 (35.2, 45.4)
Age (years)		
18–49	487	65.0 (60.7, 69.4)
50–64	316	28.2 (24.2, 32.2)
65	63	6.8 (4.6, 9.0)
Sex		
Male	292	23.1 (18.9, 27.4)
Female	574	76.9 (72.6, 81.1)
Education		
Less than college	193	40.8 (35.8, 45.8)
College	151	29.6 (24.7, 34.4)
More than college	522	29.6 (25.7, 33.5)
Race/Ethnicity[§]		
White, non-Hispanic	516	66.9 (62.2, 71.9)
Black, non-Hispanic	114	12.3 (9.0, 15.7)
Hispanic	175	13.0 (10.0, 16.1)
Other, non-Hispanic	58	7.7 (4.7, 10.7)
Offered onsite vaccination		
For one day	180	16.8 (13.1, 20.4)
For >1 day	348	39.0 (34.2, 43.9)
No onsite vaccination	338	44.2 (39.2, 49.1)
Employer publicized risks and benefits of vaccination[§]		
Yes	509	54.1 (49.1, 59.1)
No	356	45.9 (40.9, 50.9)
Received personal reminder to be vaccinated[§]		
Yes	503	52.9 (48.0, 57.9)
No	362	47.1 (42.1, 52.0)
Required to sign waiver or declination form if not vaccinated[§]		
Yes	199	21.1 (17.3, 25.0)
No	625	78.9 (75.0, 82.7)
Employer publicized vaccination coverage level to employees		

HCP characteristic/ workplace intervention	Unweighted N	Weighted % (95% confidence interval)
Yes	136	17.6 (13.6, 21.6)
No	730	82.4 (78.4, 86.4)
Financial incentive or rewards		
Yes	25	3.9 (1.6, 6.2)
No	841	96.1 (93.8, 98.4)
Wear a badge or other visible sign of non-vaccination status		
Yes	36	3.2 (1.7, 4.7)
No	830	96.8 (95.3, 98.3)
Total number of workplace interventions		
0	191	25.4 (21.2, 29.7)
1	125	14.6 (11.1, 18.2)
2	139	17.0 (13.0, 21.0)
3	411	42.9 (38.1, 47.8)

* Physicians, dentists, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, nurses, allied health professionals, pharmacists, and students in a medical-related field.

† Technicians/technologists, emergency medical technicians, paramedics, and assistants/aides.

‡ Administrative support staff/managers, housekeeping and food service staff, and other non-clinical support staff.

§ Missing answers to these question.

Influenza vaccination coverage of health care personnel (HCP) working in an ambulatory care setting without employer vaccination requirements, by occupation and demographic characteristics, and influenza vaccination-related workplace interventions; and associations of characteristics and workplace interventions with vaccination coverage –Internet panel surveys, United States, 2013–4 and 2014–15 influenza seasons

Table 2

HCP characteristic/ workplace intervention	Unweighted n	Weighted % vaccinated	Unadjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI) (Model I) [*]	Adjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI) (Model II) [†]
Total	866	65.7			
Occupation					
Clinical professional [§]	615	77.1	1.46 (1.22, 1.76) [‡]	1.26 (1.06, 1.50) [‡]	1.31 (1.10, 1.54) [‡]
Clinical non-professional	83	66.3	1.26 (0.97, 1.63)	1.28 (1.03, 1.60) [‡]	1.30 (1.06, 1.59) [‡]
Non-clinical support staff [¶]	168	52.7	Referent	Referent	Referent
Age (years)					
18–49	487	62.8	Referent	Referent	Referent
50–64	316	68.8	1.10 (0.95, 1.27)	1.04 (0.90, 1.21)	1.05 (0.92, 1.20)
65	63	81.9	1.31 (1.07, 1.59) [‡]	1.30 (1.07, 1.56) [‡]	1.29 (1.09, 1.53) [‡]
Race/ethnicity					
White, non-Hispanic	516	64.1	Referent	Referent	Referent
Black, non-Hispanic	114	74.0	1.15 (0.95, 1.40)	1.06 (0.83, 1.36)	1.07 (0.87, 1.32)
Hispanic	175	68.1	1.06 (0.87, 1.30)	0.96 (0.77, 1.21)	0.94 (0.77, 1.15)
Other, non-Hispanic	58	67.1	1.05 (0.75, 1.46)	1.05 (0.82, 1.36)	1.03 (0.78, 1.35)
Offered onsite vaccination					
For one day	180	75.1	1.63 (1.32, 2.03) [‡]	1.38 (1.07, 1.78) [‡]	
For >1 day	348	84.1	1.83 (1.53, 2.19) [‡]	1.58 (1.29, 1.94) [‡]	
No onsite vaccination	338	46.0	Referent	Referent	
Employer publicized risks and benefits of vaccination					
Yes	509	74.7	1.36 (1.16, 1.59) [‡]	0.98 (0.82, 1.16)	
No	356	55.1	Referent	Referent	
Personal reminder to be vaccinated					
Yes	503	78.3	1.52 (1.29, 1.79) [‡]	1.20 (0.99, 1.46) [‡]	
No	362	51.6	Referent	Referent	

HCP characteristic/ workplace intervention	Unweighted n	Weighted % vaccinated	Unadjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI) (Model I) [*]	Adjusted prevalence ratio (95% CI) (Model II) [†]
Required to sign waiver or declination form if not vaccinated					
Yes	199	83.5	1.39 (1.22, 1.59) [‡]	1.14 (0.94, 1.38)	
No	625	60.0	Referent	Referent	
Employer publicized vaccination coverage level to employees					
Yes	136	78.3	1.24 (1.05, 1.47) [‡]	1.04 (0.83, 1.29)	
No	730	63.1	Referent	Referent	
Financial incentive or rewards					
Yes	25	50.5	0.76 (0.41, 1.41)		
No	841	66.4	Referent		
Wear a badge or other visible sign of non-vaccination status					
Yes	36	100	0.77 (0.60, 1.00)	0.77 (0.54, 1.10)	
No	830	64.2	Referent	Referent	
Total number of workplace interventions					
0	191	39.9	Referent		Referent
1	125	53.9	1.35 (0.96, 1.90)		1.31 (0.95, 1.81)
2	139	81.6	2.05 (1.59, 2.64) [‡]		2.01 (1.57, 2.57) [‡]
3	411	78.8	1.98 (1.54, 2.54) [‡]		1.92 (1.51, 2.44) [‡]

^{*} Contains variables for individual workplace interventions.

[†] Contains composite variable for number of workplace interventions.

[‡] p < 0.05 compared with referent category.

[§] Physicians, dentists, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, nurses, allied health professionals, pharmacists, and students in a medical-related field.

^{||} Technicians/technologists; emergency technicians, paramedics, and EMTs; and assistants/aides.

[¶] Administrative support staff/managers, housekeeping and food service staff, and other non-clinical support staff.