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Abstract

**Background**—Rates of pregnancy and HIV infection are high among adolescents. However, their engagement in prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) services is poorly characterized. We compared engagement in the PMTCT cascade between adult and adolescent mothers in Kenya.

**Methods**—We conducted a nationally representative cross-sectional survey of mother–infant pairs attending 120 maternal child health clinics selected by probability proportionate to size sampling, with a secondary survey oversampling HIV-positive mothers in 30 clinics. Antenatal care (ANC) attendance, HIV testing, and antiretroviral (ARV) use were compared between adolescent (age ≤19 years) and adult mothers using χ² tests and logistic regression.

**Results**—Among 2521 mothers, 278 (12.8%) were adolescents. Adolescents were less likely than adults to be employed (16.5% vs. 37.9%), married (66.1% vs. 88.3%), have intended...
pregnancy (40.5% vs. 58.6%), or have disclosed their HIV status (77.5% vs. 90.7%) (P < 0.01 for all). Adolescents were less likely than adults to attend ≥4 ANC visits (35.2% vs. 45.6%, P = 0.002). This effect remained significant when adjusting for employment, household crowding, pregnancy intention, gravity, and HIV status [adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) = 0.54 (0.37 to 0.97), P = 0.001]. Among 2359 women without previous HIV testing, 96.1% received testing during pregnancy; testing levels did not differ between adolescents and adults. Among 288 HIV-positive women not on antiretroviral therapy before pregnancy, adolescents were less likely than adults to be on ARVs (65.0% vs. 85.8%, P = 0.01) or to have infants on ARVs (85.7% vs. 97.7%, P = 0.005).

Conclusions—Adolescent mothers had poorer ANC attendance and uptake of ARVs for PMTCT. Targeted interventions are needed to improve retention of this vulnerable population in the PMTCT cascade.
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INTRODUCTION
Adolescence, defined by the World Health Organization as the second decade of life (age 10–19 years), is a time of enormous developmental, social, and biological transition. This multifaceted transition creates a combination of risk factors for several health outcomes. Sexual debut during this period brings with it a risk of pregnancy, as well as sexually transmitted infections, and the psychological, socioeconomical, and legal circumstances of adolescents render this group especially vulnerable to the consequences of these events. Globally, 11% of all births are to adolescent mothers, with much of this burden in low-income countries. In Kenya, for example, the 2014 demographic health survey reported that 40% of 19-year olds had already begun childbearing. The risks associated with these pregnancies are particularly pronounced: adolescents bear 23% of the global burden of disease due to pregnancy and childbirth. Many of the countries with the highest rates of adolescent pregnancy also have high HIV prevalence, creating parallel risks of pregnancy and HIV for adolescent women, and of vertical HIV transmission to their infants. Indeed, approximately 2.1 million adolescents were HIV infected globally in 2013, and the majority of these (56%) were female. Adolescents and young adults show low rates of HIV testing, poor linkage to care, and high loss to follow-up from antiretroviral therapy (ART) programs. These disparities are thought to be responsible for the alarming observation that although overall AIDS-related deaths decreased by 24% between 2004 and 2011, they increased by 50% in adolescents during the same period. Adolescents therefore need improved delivery of HIV prevention and treatment services, including prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) of HIV services.

The “PMTCT cascade” refers to the series of events that enable identification of an HIV-infected pregnant woman and prevention of HIV transmission to her infant. The cascade begins with antenatal care (ANC), followed by HIV testing, and use of antiretrovirals (ARVs) by the HIV-positive mother and her HIV-exposed infant. Optimization of the PMTCT cascade is not only critical to the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS...
goal of eliminating mother-to-child transmission but also an opportunity to integrate pregnancy-related care and linkage of HIV-positive women to treatment and prevention services. Although the need for greater attention to pregnant adolescents in the HIV response is recognized, analysis of engagement of pregnant adolescents in the PMTCT cascade has been limited. A number of studies in sub-Saharan Africa have reported lower ARV uptake and higher loss to follow up from PMTCT programs in young women, aged 24 years or younger. Three studies have reported lower coverage of ARVs and higher rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV in adolescent women, aged 19 years or younger. No studies have characterized the correlates of lower PMTCT uptake among adolescent mothers.

We compared uptake of ANC, HIV testing, and maternal and infant ARVs by adolescent women with that by adults and determined the correlates of engagement in the PMTCT cascade, overall and within adolescents in 2 surveys including a total of 141 clinics in Kenya.

METHODS

Study Design and Population

Data for this study were from a national evaluation of Kenya’s PMTCT program, the Collaborative HIV Impact on MCH Evaluation study. Mobile teams performed 2 facility-based cross-sectional surveys conducted from June to December 2013. The primary national survey sampled 120 maternal child health (MCH) clinics in 7 of 8 provinces in Kenya (see Supplemental Digital Content, Fig. S1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A917). Facilities were selected by probability proportionate to size sampling. All women bringing their infants for 6-week or 9-month infant immunizations to the selected clinics within a fixed 5-day period were recruited to participate, regardless of HIV status. The secondary Nyanza oversample survey purposively sampled HIV-positive women attending 30 large (≥1000 annual antenatal care visits) facilities in Nyanza, the province with the highest HIV prevalence. All HIV-positive women bringing their infants for 6-week or 9-month infant immunizations to the selected clinics during a fixed 10-day period were recruited to participate. Across both surveys, 141 clinics were sampled (9 were included in both surveys).

Data Collection

Study staff administered a questionnaire using Open Data Kit (opendatakit.org) on tablet computers. The same questionnaire was used in both surveys. Data were based on self-report and verified by the mother’s Maternal Child Health Booklet, when available. The questionnaire included uptake of ANC, maternal HIV testing, and the use of ARVs, and maternal and paternal demographics, household characteristics, reproductive and family planning history, depression, intimate partner violence (IPV), and use of ARVs and HIV testing in HIV-exposed infants.
Ethics Approval
Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethical review committees at the Kenya Medical Research Institute, the University of Washington, and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Statistical Analyses
Analyses included subsets of women, based on the outcome of interest. For analysis of ANC attendance, all women in the primary survey were included irrespective of their HIV status. For analysis of HIV testing, all women in the primary survey who reported attending any ANC were included, irrespective of HIV status. For analysis of infant ARV use, all HIV-positive women in both the primary and secondary surveys were included to achieve maximal power. For analysis of maternal ARV use, all HIV-positive women in both the primary and secondary surveys who did not report initiating ART before pregnancy were included. Adolescent age was defined as ≤19 years old, as defined by the World Health Organization.

Standardized tools were used to measure IPV (HITS) in the year before study participation and depression (PHQ9) in the 2 weeks before study participation. For IPV, responses to the HITS instrument were scored 1–5 and summed; a score of >10 was considered positive. For depression, responses to the PHQ9 instrument were scored 0–3 and summed; a score of ≥5 (mild depression or greater) was considered positive. Household crowding was defined as ≥3 people per room. Characteristics of adolescent and nonadolescent participants were compared by χ² test or t test as appropriate. Associations of participant characteristics with ANC and PMTCT outcomes were determined by logistic regression. Variables showing an effect on ANC and PMTCT outcomes in univariable analysis at a significance of P < 0.10 were included in multivariable analysis. All proportions, means, and regression coefficients were adjusted for clinic-level clustering effects. Analyses of the primary survey also included inverse-probability adjustment for sampling weights. We determined a priori to adjust all analyses for clinic location in Nyanza province (the high HIV-prevalence region that was oversampled in the secondary survey). Analyses presented are based on self-reported data; analyses restricted to data confirmed by Maternal & Child Health Booklet (available for 78% of women’s reported ANC visit numbers, 80% of reported maternal ARV use, and 50% of reported infant ARV use) yielded no significant differences from the overall data. Data were analyzed using Stata/SE 13.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

RESULTS
Characteristics of Adult and Adolescent Mothers
Between June and December 2013, 2521 women attending 6-week and 9-month infant immunization visits at 120 clinics were enrolled in the primary national survey. Among the women in the primary survey, 278 (12.8%) were adolescents, aged 19 years or younger. Table 1 presents the sociodemographic characteristics of women in the study. Compared with adults, adolescents had lower rates of employment (16.5% for adolescents vs. 37.9% for adults, P < 0.001); less partnership stability (66.1% of adolescents vs. 88.3% of adults were married, P < 0.001); and less experience of IPV (5.1% vs. 10.2%, P = 0.04). More
adolescent mothers reported that their most recent pregnancy was their first (77.8% vs. 27.4%, \( P < 0.001 \)) and fewer were intended (40.5% vs. 58.6%, \( P < 0.001 \)). Fewer adolescents had disclosed their HIV status (either positive or negative) to their current intimate partner or to their most recent partner if they did not currently have one (77.5% vs. 90.7%, \( P < 0.001 \)).

A total of 498 HIV-positive women were sampled across the primary national and secondary Nyanza oversample surveys (200 women in the primary survey and 298 in the secondary). Of these, 21 (4.2%) were adolescents. Comparison of HIV-positive adolescents and adults showed similar trends to those in the primary survey, with the following exceptions. Fewer HIV-positive adolescents than adults reported symptoms of at least mild depression (0.0% vs. 24.3%, \( P = 0.02 \)), the proportion of HIV-positive adolescents reporting IPV did not differ from their adult counterparts (16.7% vs. 18.5%) and the proportion of intended pregnancies did not differ between HIV-positive adolescents and adults (57.1% vs. 54.7%).

Lower Adolescent Engagement in the PMTCT Cascade

Figure 1 summarizes the proportion of adult and adolescent mothers engaged in each step of the PMTCT cascade. Among all participants in the primary survey (HIV-positive and negative), almost all adults and adolescents reported attending at least one ANC visit (97.8% and 97.9% respectively). The mean gestational age at first ANC was 23.4 week [95% confidence interval (CI) 22.9 to 23.8 weeks] and did not differ significantly between adolescents and adults (23.8 vs. 23.3 weeks, \( P = 0.48 \)). However, the proportion of women attending at least 4 ANC visits, as recommended by national guidelines, was significantly lower in adolescents than adults (35.2% vs. 45.6%, \( P = 0.002 \)). Logistic regression analysis evaluating the association between engagement in each step of the PMTCT cascade and adolescent (vs. adult) status is summarized in Table 2. Being an adolescent was associated with a significantly lower likelihood of attending 4 or more ANC visits [odds ratio (OR) 0.64 (95% CI: 0.49 to 0.85), \( P = 0.002 \)]. In addition to being an adolescent, a number of other covariates showed significant associations with ANC attendance in univariable analysis (summarized in Supplemental Digital Content, Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A918). In a multivariable model adjusted for employment, household crowding, pregnancy intention, gravidity, and HIV status, being an adolescent remained a significant predictor of lower attendance of complete ANC [adjusted OR (aOR) 0.54 (95% CI: 0.37 to 0.79), \( P = 0.001 \)].

Among women who attended at least one ANC visit, almost all were either tested for HIV in pregnancy if their status was negative or unknown (95.7% of adolescents vs. 91.6% of adults) or they already knew they were HIV positive before pregnancy (0.4% vs. 4.7%). There were no significant differences between adults and adolescents in coverage of HIV testing in pregnancy [OR 0.95 (95% CI: 0.19 to 4.71), \( P = 0.95 \)].

ARV use for PMTCT was evaluated in all HIV-positive women attending at least one ANC visit, from the 2 surveys combined. A lower proportion of adolescent women were on ART for their own health before pregnancy than adult women (4.8% vs. 43.1%, respectively). Of the women who were not on ART pre-pregnancy (n = 288), 85.8% of adults but only 65.0% of adolescents took ARVs for PMTCT (\( P = 0.01 \) for the comparison). Adolescents had one-
third odds of using maternal ARVs for PMTCT compared with adults [OR 0.31 (95% CI: 0.12 to 0.80), \( P = 0.02 \)]. In a model adjusted for marital status, HIV status disclosure and attendance of at least 4 ANC visits, being an adolescent remained a significant predictor of lower maternal ARV use [aOR 0.32 (95% CI: 0.11 to 0.92)] (see Table 2 and Supplemental Digital Content, Table S1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A918). The most common reasons women gave for not using ARVs for PMTCT were not receiving them from the health care provider (52.6% of adults who had not received ARVs and 57.1% of adolescents who had not received ARVs), and being diagnosed with HIV late in pregnancy or after delivery (18.4% of adults and 42.9% of adolescents).

Among HIV-positive women who attended at least one ANC visit (Fig. 1), 97.7% of infants born to adult women received ARVs for PMTCT compared with 85.7% of infants born to adolescent women \( (P = 0.005) \). Being an adolescent was negatively associated with use of infant ARVs [OR 0.15 (95% CI: 0.03 to 0.65), \( P = 0.01 \)]. In a model adjusted for timing of HIV diagnosis, HIV status disclosure, and facility delivery, a trend persisted for the association between being an adolescent and infant ARV use [aOR 0.21 (95% CI: 0.03 to 1.52), \( P = 0.12 \)] (see Table 2 and Supplemental Digital Content, Table S1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A918). Notably, infant ARV uptake in both adults and adolescents was higher than maternal ARV uptake. The most common reasons that women gave for their infants not using ARVs were not receiving them from their health care provider (18.2% of adults, 66.6% of adolescents), being diagnosed with HIV after delivery (27.3% of adults, 33.3% of adolescents) and not disclosing their HIV status (27.3% of adults, not cited by adolescents).

As an additional assessment of possible mediation or modification of the effect of being an adolescent on engagement in the PMTCT cascade by sociodemographic characteristics of adolescent women, analyses were stratified by characteristics that differed between adolescents and adults and showed a significant association with our outcome of interest. Figure 2 summarizes the association between being an adolescent and attendance of 4 or more ANC visits, stratified by employment, gravidity, pregnancy intention, and HIV status. In most strata, the association between being an adolescent and incomplete ANC attendance persisted, although CIs were large in analyses of employed women, women who were not in their first pregnancy, and HIV-positive women. These were the smallest strata, with fewer than 20 observations in the adolescent group. Given the small number of HIV-positive adolescents in our study \( (n = 21) \), we did not have sufficient power to conduct stratified analyses of maternal or infant ARV uptake.

### Correlates of ANC Attendance Within Adolescents

To explore the adolescent-specific correlates of engagement in the PMTCT cascade, characteristics of adolescents who were engaged in the PMTCT cascade were compared with those who were not. Given the small sample size of HIV-positive adolescents, ANC attendance among adolescents of all HIV statuses was evaluated. Table 3 summarizes correlates of adolescent attendance of 4 or more ANC visits. Although 74.3% of adolescents who attended fewer than 4 ANC visits had disclosed their HIV status to their partner, 82.8% of adolescents who attended at least 4 ANC visits had disclosed. In logistic regression,
Disclosure had a borderline association with attendance of at least 4 ANC visits [OR 1.67 (95% CI: 0.98 to 2.84), \( P=0.06 \)]. Similarly, although 63.3% of adolescents who attended fewer than 4 ANC visits were married, 71.8% of adolescents who attended at least 4 ANC visits were married, and this also had a borderline association by logistic regression [OR 1.66 (95% CI: 0.91 to 3.04), \( P=0.10 \)].

**DISCUSSION**

Pregnant adolescent women represent a vulnerable group with respect to HIV incidence, HIV testing coverage, and HIV-related mortality.\(^4\),\(^21\) Integrated PMTCT services in routine antenatal care present a crucial opportunity to identify young HIV-infected pregnant women and link them to HIV care and PMTCT services.

In this study, we evaluated engagement of adolescent and adult women in the PMTCT cascade, using data from a national evaluation of the Kenyan PMTCT program. We found that 12.8% of women of any HIV status and 4.2% of HIV-positive women were 19 years old or younger, and these adolescent women showed lower engagement at 3 steps in the PMTCT cascade: attendance of 4 or more ANC visits by women of any HIV status, use of maternal ARVs by HIV-positive women, and use of infant ARVs by HIV-positive women. We found that attendance of at least 4 ANC visits was significantly associated with maternal and infant ARV uptake (Supplemental Digital Content, Table S1, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A918), highlighting the interrelatedness of the steps in the PMTCT cascade.

Our findings are consistent with previous studies reporting lower PMTCT coverage in adolescent mothers in other African contexts.\(^15\)–\(^17\),\(^22\) Furthermore, our analysis of individual steps in the PMTCT cascade helps define the points at which adolescents are underserved. We found no significant difference between adults and adolescents in attendance of at least one ANC visit, gestational age at first ANC, or HIV testing in pregnancy. This suggests that initial presentation at ANC and HIV testing is similar across age groups, but adolescents show lower retention in ANC irrespective of HIV status, and HIV-positive adolescents show lower uptake of ARVs for PMTCT. Echoing the calls for improved HIV testing and treatment of adolescents,\(^23\) these findings argue that adolescents face unique challenges in uptake of PMTCT services, specifically in returning for the recommended number of ANC visits and using ARVs for PMTCT.

Our findings suggest that maternal age alone, a characteristic routinely ascertained in clinical care, can act as an indicator of risk and need for targeted support. Furthermore, we observed that >70% of HIV-positive adolescents were diagnosed during pregnancy, highlighting the importance of antenatal care as an opportunity to identify HIV-positive women in this group and link them to prevention and care.

Development of interventions that improve adolescent engagement in the PMTCT cascade requires an understanding of the barriers experienced by adolescent pregnant women. Our analysis offers some insight into factors associated with adolescent engagement. In multivariable and stratified analyses, we found that being an adolescent remained significantly associated with incomplete ANC attendance independent of employment.
household crowding, pregnancy intention, gravidity and HIV status. This suggests that lower attendance by adolescents is not explained by differences in the other maternal predictors of attendance we identified. Similarly, maternal ARV use was independently associated with being an adolescent in multivariable analysis adjusted for marital status and HIV status disclosure, suggesting lower uptake of ARVs by adolescents was not explained by these characteristics. The association of being an adolescent with infant ARV showed borderline statistical significance in multivariable analysis, suggesting it may partly be explained by differences in HIV status disclosure or facility delivery between the groups (although this may also be due to limited statistical power).

A number of factors beyond the demographic and obstetric characteristics that we measured may be responsible for our observation of lower adolescent engagement in the PMTCT cascade. When asked why they had not received maternal or infant ARVs, both adult and adolescent women reported not being prescribed ARVs by their provider and being diagnosed with HIV late, suggesting health system factors may be at play. Our sample size was too small for a formal comparison of reasons between age groups. Previous studies examining PMTCT uptake in women of all ages have suggested both individual level and systemic facilitators and barriers (reviewed in Refs. 22,24,25). Facilitators include knowledge of HIV and PMTCT, skills to manage practical demands of taking medication and access to social support; barriers include transport to clinic, stigma, and negative interactions with health care workers. Few studies have investigated adolescent-specific barriers to ANC and PMTCT uptake; those that have report negative experiences with clinic staff26 and stigma related to both HIV status and adolescent pregnancy.27 Several of the barriers experienced by all women (transport, lack of HIV knowledge, lack of skills managing medication, and lack of social support) are likely heightened in younger women due to their psychological development, limited experience, and diminished power and agency. Interestingly, although we observed a disparity between adults and adolescents in uptake of both maternal and infant ARVs, levels of infant ARV use were overall higher than maternal ARV use, suggesting that barriers to maternal ARV use may be especially challenging.

Our analysis of the correlates of ANC attendance within adolescent women provides support for the role of social support in enabling PMTCT uptake. We observed a trend for adolescents who had disclosed their HIV status to their partner (whether positive or negative) and married adolescents being more likely to attend 4 or more ANC visits. Our statistical power was limited for this analysis, and our sample size too small to explore correlates of ARV use in HIV-positive adolescent women. However, these findings suggest that supportive partnerships in which women feel comfortable disclosing their HIV status act as an enabler to ANC attendance in adolescents. Of note, in analysis of the overall cohort, marital status was not significantly associated with ANC attendance, pointing to partner support as being especially important in adolescent women. Interventions that supplement adolescents’ support structures, such as peer mentoring28 or mHealth29 approaches, may be promising for this group. Although not evaluated in our study, it is possible that as previously reported,26 negative interactions with clinic staff contributed to adolescents’ lower PMTCT uptake in our study. Addressing this barrier may be an important step in improving adolescent antenatal care. Strategies proposed to achieve this include training.
staff to interact sensitively with adolescent patients, allowing more time for appointments
with adolescents, and consulting adolescents in the design of youth-friendly services.30,31

Our findings must be interpreted in light of the study’s limitations. Although this study was
large and sampled 7 of 8 provinces in Kenya, the study was not designed for comparison of
adult and adolescent mothers, so statistical power was limited for some of our analyses, in
particular those restricted to HIV-positive women. Second, the data presented here are
derived from self-reported responses to survey questions. However, women’s responses were
verified in their MCH booklet if available, and analyses restricted to verified data showed
similar results. More than 75% of women’s reported ANC attendance and maternal ARV
use, but only 50% of reported infant ARV use, could be confirmed in their booklet. The
findings related to infant ARV use should therefore be interpreted with some caution. Third,
we collected data only on those women who presented for their infants’ vaccination visits,
thus excluding women who fell out of the system completely. These women are likely
younger and have lower rates of ANC attendance,32 so their inclusion might further
strengthen the association reported here.

In conclusion, this study highlights the need for improved engagement of adolescent
pregnant women in antenatal care and the PMTCT cascade, and calls for more detailed
studies on challenges faced by these women to inform interventions that help overcome
them.
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Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
under the terms of COAG#U2GPS002047, and the National Institutes of Health (T32 CA080416 to K.R., K24
HD054314 and P30 AI027757 to G.J.S., T32 AI007140 and K12HD052023 to C.J.M.), as well as the University of
Washington Global Center for Integrated Health of Women Adolescents and Children.

The authors thank the women who participated in the study and the study staff.

References


default/files/en/media/unaids/contentassets/documents/unaidspublication/2014/

   [PubMed: 24918590]

   for newly diagnosed HIV-positive adolescents within fifteen adolescent medicine clinics in the


22. Larlaithe MO, Grede N, de Pee S, et al. Economic and social factors are some of the most common barriers preventing women from accessing maternal and newborn child health (MNCH) and prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) services: a literature review. AIDS Behav. 2014; 18:516–530.


FIGURE 1.
Comparison of adult and adolescent engagement in the PMTCT cascade. The proportion of adult and adolescent women engaged and not engaged in each step of the PMTCT cascade is displayed. All women in the primary national survey (HIV positive and HIV negative) are included in the ANC attendance step; all women in the primary national survey who attended any ANC are included in the HIV testing step; all HIV-positive women in the primary and Nyanza oversample surveys who attended any ANC are included in the ARV use steps. Proportions displayed for analyses of the primary survey are weighted for survey design.
FIGURE 2.
Stratified analysis of association between adolescent age and attendance of complete ANC. The proportion and odds ratio of adolescent attendance of ≥4 ANC visits are displayed overall and in the subgroups listed. Arrow heads signify that the confidence interval extends beyond 1.5.
### TABLE 1

Characteristics of Adult and Adolescent Mothers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Women, Primary Survey</th>
<th>HIV+ Women, Primary and Secondary Surveys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adolescent (n = 278)</td>
<td>Adult (n = 2243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs</td>
<td>17.9 (17.7 to 18.1)</td>
<td>26.7 (26.4 to 27.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>43 (16.5)</td>
<td>887 (37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowding</td>
<td>173 (58.6)</td>
<td>1330 (56.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>22 (8.6)</td>
<td>243 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPV</td>
<td>9 (5.1)</td>
<td>189 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No partner</td>
<td>81 (26.9)</td>
<td>206 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>22 (7.0)</td>
<td>47 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabiting</td>
<td>175 (66.1)</td>
<td>1990 (88.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or both parents deceased</td>
<td>84 (30.6)</td>
<td>859 (37.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primigravida</td>
<td>220 (77.8)</td>
<td>682 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy intended</td>
<td>108 (40.5)</td>
<td>1362 (58.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility delivery</td>
<td>207 (73.6)</td>
<td>1745 (72.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status disclosed</td>
<td>207 (77.5)</td>
<td>2022 (90.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>257 (97.2)</td>
<td>2017 (92.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive pre-pregnancy</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>113 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive in pregnancy</td>
<td>9 (2.4)</td>
<td>77 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold type highlights P values > 0.05.
Association Between Adolescent Age and Engagement in the PMTCT Cascade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attended ≥4 ANC</th>
<th>Received HIV Testing</th>
<th>Used Maternal ARVs for PMTCT</th>
<th>Used Infant ARVs for PMTCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univariable</td>
<td>0.64 (0.49 to 0.85)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.95 (0.19 to 4.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable</td>
<td>0.54 (0.37 to 0.79)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.38 (0.23 to 8.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.12 to 0.80)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03 to 0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.32 (0.11 to 0.92)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.21 (0.03 to 1.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Among women in primary survey with known number of ANC visits. Multivariable adjusted for employment, crowding, pregnancy intention, gravidity, HIV status, and attendance ≥4 ANC visits.
† Women in primary survey ≥1 ANC visit and not known HIV+ pre-pregnancy. Adjusted for IPV, depression, and pregnancy intention. Multivariable adjusted for IPV, depression, and pregnancy intention.
‡ Among HIV+ women in primary and secondary surveys who attended ≥1 ANC visit and were not on ART before pregnancy. Multivariable adjusted for HIV status disclosure, marital status, and attendance ≥4 ANC visits.
§ Among HIV+ women in primary and secondary surveys who attended ≥1 ANC visit. Multivariable adjusted for timing of HIV diagnosis, HIV status disclosure, facility delivery, and attendance ≥4 ANC visits.
|| Multivariable analyses include all variables showing an effect in univariate analysis at a significance of P < 0.1.

Bold type highlights P values > 0.05.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>≥4 ANC visits (n = 98), n (%)</th>
<th>&lt;4 ANC visits (n = 169), n (%)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>15 (21.9)</td>
<td>25 (13.6)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.64 to 5.04)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowding (≥3 people/room)</td>
<td>40 (38.6)</td>
<td>66 (35.11)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.63 to 2.13)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No partner</td>
<td>24 (21.5)</td>
<td>55 (30.6)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>7 (6.7)</td>
<td>14 (6.8)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.36 to 5.46)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabiting</td>
<td>67 (71.8)</td>
<td>101 (63.3)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.91 to 3.04)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimate partner violence</td>
<td>5 (7.5)</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>1.98 (0.34 to 11.43)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>10 (10.1)</td>
<td>10 (6.7)</td>
<td>1.56 (0.46 to 5.30)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primigravida</td>
<td>80 (79.2)</td>
<td>132 (76.4)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.53 to 2.62)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy intended</td>
<td>44 (46.9)</td>
<td>60 (36.2)</td>
<td>1.56 (0.81 to 2.98)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>92 (96.0)</td>
<td>155 (97.7)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive pre-pregnancy</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive in pregnancy</td>
<td>4 (2.8)</td>
<td>5 (2.3)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.27 to 5.73)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status disclosed</td>
<td>80 (82.8)</td>
<td>118 (74.3)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.98 to 2.85)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>