# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Am J Prev Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 23.

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Prev Med. 2015 December; 49(6 Suppl 4): S412-S425. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.03.005.

# Racial and ethnic disparities in vaccination coverage among adult populations

Peng-jun Lu, MD, PhD, Alissa O'Halloran, MSPH, Walter W. Williams, MD, MPH, Megan C. Lindley, MPH, Susan Farrall, MPH, and Carolyn B. Bridges, MD

Immunization Services Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Mail Stop A-19, Atlanta, GA 30333

#### **Abstract**

**Background**—Reducing racial/ethnic disparities in immunization rates is a compelling public health goal. Disparities in childhood vaccination rates have been absent in recent years for most vaccines.

**Purpose**—The objective of this study is to assess adult vaccination by race/ethnicity in the United States.

**Methods**—The 2012 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) was analyzed in 2014 to assess adult vaccination by race/ethnicity for six vaccines routinely recommended for adults: The vaccines are: influenza, Tetanus, pneumococcal, human papilloma virus, and zoster vaccines. A multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors independently associated with all adult vaccinations.

**Results**—Vaccination coverage was significantly lower among non-Hispanic blacks, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic Asians compared with non-Hispanic whites, with only a few exceptions. Age, sex, education, health insurance, usual place of care, number of physician visits in the past 12 months, and health insurance were independently associated with receipt of most of the vaccines examined. Racial/ethnic differences narrowed, but gaps remained after taking these factors into account.

**Conclusions**—Racial and ethnic differences in vaccination levels narrow when adjusting for socioeconomic factors analyzed in this survey, but are not eliminated, suggesting that other factors that associated with vaccination disparities were not measured by the NHIS and could also contribute to the differences in coverage. Additional efforts including systems changes to ensure routine assessment and recommendations for needed vaccination among adults for all racial/ethnic groups are essential for improving vaccine coverage.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be sent to: Peng-jun Lu, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Mail Stop A-19, Atlanta, GA 30333, lhp8@cdc.gov, Phone: 404-639-8844, Fax: 404-417-0805.

#### **Keywords**

Adult vaccination; vaccination coverage; race/ethnicity; disparities; National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)

#### Introduction

Every year thousands of adults in the United States suffer serious health problems, are hospitalized, and even die due to diseases for which vaccines are available. For example, an annual average of 226,000 people may be hospitalized due to influenza and 75% of the influenza hospitalizations are among patients aged 50 years. Annual deaths from influenza have ranged from approximately 3,000 to 49,000, with 90% of these deaths occurring among adults. Due to the widespread use of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7) in children and the dramatic reductions of invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) in children, by 2012, approximately 32,000 cases of IPD occur, about 90% of which are among adults, and among those cases, as many as 3,300 die, with more than 95% of these deaths occurring among adults. As many as 8,300 adults die annually from HPV-associated cancers. About 1 million cases of shingles occur annually among older adults, with approximately 10–50% suffering post-herpetic neuralgia. 5–7

Vaccination is the most effective strategy for preventing vaccine-preventable diseases and their complications. The adult immunization schedule, <sup>(8)</sup> updated annually by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), provides current recommendations for vaccinating adults and a ready resource for practitioners who provide health-care services for adults in various settings. Adult vaccination coverage, however, remains low for most routinely recommended vaccines and well below *Healthy People 2020* targets. <sup>9–11</sup> Further, uptake of vaccines has historically been lower among all minority racial and ethnic groups compared to non-Hispanic white populations. <sup>9, 12–25</sup>

Data from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) was used to assess national levels of vaccination by race and ethnicity in the United States for six vaccines (influenza, pneumococcal (including both polysaccharide and conjugate vaccines), tetanus (tetanus-diphtheria toxoid [Td]), human papilloma virus (HPV), and herpes zoster (shingles)) routinely recommended for adults and to examine associations of vaccination uptake with demographic and access to care factors.

#### Methods

The 2012 NHIS were analyzed in 2014. The NHIS is an annual household survey conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, which collects health information on the U.S. civilian, non-institutionalized population.<sup>26</sup> The NHIS sample is selected through the use of complex sampling design involving stratification, clustering, and multistage sampling. Face to face interviews are conducted each week throughout the year in a probability sample of households. In the sample adult core, questions about receipt of recommended vaccinations for adults and other factors are

asked of one randomly selected adult within each family in the household. In 2012, the final response rate for the sample adult core was 61.2%.

Vaccination coverage for influenza, pneumococcal, Td, shingles, and HPV vaccination from coded survey questions on receipt of these vaccines were assessed. To determine influenza vaccination status, respondents were asked "During the past 12 months, have you had a flu shot?" and "The seasonal flu vaccine sprayed in the nose is also called FluMist™. During the past 12 months, have you had a seasonal flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose?" An affirmative answer to either question is considered receiving influenza vaccination. Racial/ethnic groups were defined as non-Hispanic white only, non-Hispanic black only, non-Hispanic Asian only, and other race. Other race includes American Indian/Alaska Native and persons reporting multiple races.

Selected adult vaccination coverage (influenza, pneumococcal, Td, shingles, HPV) was stratified by race and ethnicity. Persons with high-risk conditions for pneumococcal vaccination include those who reported asthma, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, liver disease, kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema, chronic bronchitis, cancer (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), and current smoking.

SUDAAN statistical software (Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC) was used to calculate point estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of vaccination coverage.<sup>27</sup> Data are weighted by age, sex, and race/ethnicity to reflect the adult civilian population of the United States. T tests were used to check for associations with the significance level set at α<0.05. Multivariable logistic and predictive marginal models were conducted to derive adjusted vaccination coverage, and to identify factors independently associated with vaccinations. Multivariable logistic regression and predictive marginal analyses on stratified samples by age were conducted to assess adjusted vaccination coverage and prevalence ratio adjusted for age group (19-64: 19-49, 50-64; 65+: 65-74, 75–84, 85+; 60+: 60–64, 65–74,75–84, 85+; 19–26: 19–21, 22–26), sex (male/female), race/ ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, Asian, and other), marital status (married, widowed/divorced/separated, never married), education (less than high school, high school, some college or more), employment status (employed, unemployed, not in work force), number of physician contacts in the previous year (0, 1, 2–3, 4–9, 10 or more), routine place of care (yes, no), health insurance status (private, public, none), selfreported health status (excellent/very good, good, fair, poor), duration of residence the United States (born in the U.S., born outside the U.S. and stayed < 10 years in the U.S., born outside the U.S. and stayed >=10 years in the U.S.), and region of residence (Northeast, Midwest, South, West). All variables listed were also included in multivariable models. Predictive marginal model is a multivariable analysis that could generate prevalence estimates adjusted for all variables in a multivariable logistic model using a direct standardization procedure. The NHIS was approved by Research Ethics Review Board (the ERB number is 2009–16) of the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

### Results

A total of 34,218 adults 18 years from the 2012 NHIS were included in the study. The demographic characteristics of the study populations are shown in Table 1. For both age groups (19–64 and 65 years), the distribution of race and ethnicity differed significantly by all demographic and access to care characteristics (Table 1).

Influenza vaccination coverage among adults 19-64 years was 33.5% for non-Hispanic whites, 27.5% for non-Hispanic blacks, 25.3% for Hispanics, 37.4% for Asians, and 32.4% for other races (Table 2). Influenza vaccination coverage among adults 65 years was 68.8% for non-Hispanic whites, 53.0% for non-Hispanic blacks, 57.5% for Hispanics, 65.2% for Asians, and 56.5% for other races (Table 2). Pneumococcal vaccination coverage among adults 19-64 years with high-risk conditions was 21.4% for non-Hispanic whites, 19.7% for non-Hispanic blacks, 13.8% for Hispanics, 13.2% for Asians, and 20.2% for other races. Pneumococcal vaccination coverage among adults 65 years was 64.0% for non-Hispanic whites, 46.1% for non-Hispanic blacks, 43.4% for Hispanics, 41.3% for Asians, and 44.7% for other races. Tetanus vaccination coverage among adults 19-64 years was 68.9% for non-Hispanic whites, 54.9% for non-Hispanic blacks, 53.6% for Hispanics, 52.8% for Asians, and 71.5% for other races. Tetanus vaccination coverage among adults 65 years was 57.7% for non-Hispanic whites, 44.6% for non-Hispanic blacks, 44.8% for Hispanics, 45.8% for Asians, and 50.2% for other races. Shingles vaccination coverage among adults 60 years was 22.8% for non-Hispanic whites, 8.8% for non-Hispanic blacks, 8.7% for Hispanics, 16.9% for Asians, and 19.7% for other races. HPV vaccination coverage among female adults 19–26 years was 42.2% for non-Hispanic whites, 29.1% for non-Hispanic blacks, 18.7% for Hispanics, 15.6% for Asians, and 41.2% for other races (Table 2).

Overall, vaccination coverage was significantly lower among non-Hispanic blacks compared with non-Hispanic whites, except for pneumococcal vaccination among persons 19–64 years with high-risk conditions (Table 2). Hispanics had significantly lower vaccination coverage compared with non-Hispanic whites for all the vaccines examined (Table 2). Except for influenza vaccination among persons 19–64 years, non-Hispanic Asians had significantly lower vaccination coverage compared with non-Hispanic whites for all the vaccines (Table 2). Persons of other race had coverage similar to non-Hispanic whites except for pneumococcal vaccination among adults 65 years (Table 2). Vaccination coverage was similar among Hispanics compared with non-Hispanic blacks, except for pneumococcal vaccination among persons 19–64 years with high-risk conditions and HPV vaccination among females 19–26 years (Table 2). Other multiple comparisons are showed in Table 2.

For influenza and pneumococcal vaccination, smaller racial and ethnic disparities in the 19–64 year age group were observed compared with adults 65 years of age (Table 2). Differences in vaccination compared with non-Hispanic whites among adults 19–64 years ranged from –1.1 (influenza vaccination among other racial/ethnic group) to –8.2 (influenza vaccination among Hispanics and pneumococcal vaccination among non-Hispanic Asians). Differences in vaccination compared with non-Hispanic whites among adults 65 years of age ranged from –3.6 (influenza vaccination among non-Hispanic Asians) to –22.7 (pneumococcal vaccination among non-Hispanic Asians) (Table 2).

In most of the multivariable logistic models, non-Hispanic blacks, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic Asians were significantly less likely to receive vaccinations compared with non-Hispanic whites after controlling for other demographic and access to care characteristics (including age, sex, marital status, education, employment status, health insurance, number of doctor visits in the past year, usual source of care, self-reported health status, duration of U.S. residence, and region of residence) (Table 3). Persons of other race had adjusted vaccination coverage similar to non-Hispanic whites except for pneumococcal vaccination among adults 65 years. Racial and ethnic differences in adjusted vaccination coverage narrowed compared with gaps in unadjusted vaccination coverage, but most of the coverage disparities remained statistically significant after taking these socio-demographic and access to care factors into account (Table 3). Other multiple comparisons are showed in Table 3.

Factors that were independently associated with each vaccination in the multivariable logistic models are shown in Table 4. Race and ethnicity, age, sex, education, health insurance, and usual place of care were independently associated with receipt of most of the vaccines examined (Table 4). The number of physician visits in the past 12 months was also independently associated with all the vaccinations assessed in this study. Having any health insurance was associated with adult vaccination coverage except for HPV vaccination among females (Table 4).

#### **Discussion**

Overall, vaccination coverage among non-Hispanic blacks, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic Asians was lower compared with that of non-Hispanic whites. Racial and ethnic differences narrowed, but gaps remained after taking into account socio-demographic and access to care factors for most vaccines and populations. Race and ethnicity, age, sex, education, health insurance, and having a usual place for medical care were independently associated with most of the vaccinations, and number of physician visits in the past 12 months was independently associated with all the vaccinations assessed in this study. For the three vaccines in this report that are included in *Healthy People* 2020 (influenza, pneumococcal, and herpes zoster) vaccination coverage in all race and ethnic groups was well below the respective target levels of 70% for influenza vaccination among adults 18 years, 60% for pneumococcal vaccination among adults 18–64 years with high-risk conditions, 90% for pneumococcal vaccination among adults 65 years, and 30% for shingles vaccination among adults 60 years.<sup>11</sup>

Although studies indicate that racial and ethnic disparities in childhood vaccination have been significantly reduced or not observed in recent data for some vaccinations, <sup>12, 13, 28</sup> racial and ethnic disparities in adult vaccination persist and have been reported previously. <sup>9, 14–23</sup> School entry vaccination requirements and the Vaccines for Children program (VFC) which provides vaccines to uninsured children, children on Medicaid, and other selected children, might contribute to the reduced racial and ethnic disparities in vaccination coverage for children. <sup>29–31</sup> Multiple factors contribute to racial and ethnic differences in adult vaccination, including differences in attitudes toward vaccination and preventive care, propensity to seek and accept vaccination, variations in the likelihood that providers recommend vaccination, differences in quality of care received by racial and ethnic

populations, and differences in concerns about vaccination, including vaccine safety. <sup>9, 14–23</sup> Additionally, non-Hispanic black and Hispanic adults are more likely to be uninsured. In one study in 2011, uninsured prevalence was higher among non-Hispanic blacks (19.5%) and Hispanics (30.1%) compared with non-Hispanic whites (11.1%). <sup>32</sup> Our study showed that health insurance had a positive impact on adult vaccination coverage. Lack of medical insurance has been an important predictor of low adult vaccination uptake. <sup>20–23, 33–34</sup> The Affordable Care Act and other healthcare reform holds the promise of reducing the number of uninsured adults and related barriers to care and reducing missed opportunities for vaccination related to health insurance coverage and access to care. <sup>35, 36</sup> Our data suggest, however, that health insurance, although beneficial in improving access to health care services, might not be sufficient in itself to achieve optimal adult vaccination. Additional effort will be needed to increase rates and close gaps in adult vaccination coverage.

Previous research has indicated a variety of possible causes for the continued racial and ethnic disparities in adult influenza and pneumococcal vaccination rates, including patient, provider, and system factors. <sup>14, 15, 17, 19</sup> African-American older adults report more negative attitudes toward influenza vaccination than white adults; <sup>15, 24</sup> however, studies of standardized offering of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines have demonstrated reductions in racial and ethnic coverage disparities. <sup>25, 37</sup> Standardized offering of vaccination by healthcare provider to all eligible patients may work in part by addressing the fact that older African-American adults are less likely than whites to actively seek influenza vaccination. <sup>38–39</sup> In another study, vaccination disparities were reduced among older adults using an intensive combination of patient tracking, vaccination reminders for providers and patients, and patient outreach and assistance. <sup>40</sup> Incorporating the standards of practice for adult immunizations, which include routinely assessing vaccination needs during clinical encounters, providing a strong recommendation for vaccination to patients in need of vaccines, and then offering vaccination at the visit, can have a significant impact on coverage and reduce disparities. <sup>41</sup>

Shingles and HPV vaccination have been recommended for adults since 2006–2007. <sup>42–43</sup> Gaps in early uptake of these vaccines by race and ethnicity after 1–2 years following ACIP recommendations were small and not statistically significant. <sup>33, 34, 44</sup> Racial and ethnic gaps in vaccination might not be apparent during the first few years following ACIP recommendations. Our study showed that, in 2012, shingles and HPV vaccination coverage were generally significantly lower among non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics compared with non-Hispanic whites. One of the reasons that might contribute to lower coverage is differential awareness of those three newer vaccines. Studies have shown that awareness of shingles, and HPV vaccines was significantly lower among racial and ethnic minorities compared with non-Hispanic whites. <sup>33, 34, 44</sup>

Studies have shown that healthcare provider recommendations for vaccination are strongly associated with vaccination coverage. <sup>20–23</sup>, <sup>44–47</sup> In this report, number of physician visits in the past 12 months was independently associated with all adult vaccinations assessed in this study. This finding was consistent with previous studies <sup>20–23</sup> and suggests that physician contact might have facilitated a discussion about vaccines that were indicated and a recommendation and decision to vaccinate.

The findings in this report are subject to limitations. First, adult vaccination coverage was self-reported and therefore might be subject to recall bias. However, self-reported influenza (previous 12 months), pneumococcal polysaccharide (ever received), hepatitis A (ever received), hepatitis B (ever received), shingles (received since 2006) and HPV vaccination status (received since 2005) among adults have been shown to be sensitive and specific. 48–52 In one study, self-reported tetanus vaccination (received last 10 years) was sensitive but not specific.<sup>52</sup> Additional study is needed for accuracy of recall by young adults of vaccinations they may have been received as children or adolescents (HPV, Tdap, HepB). The findings for HPV vaccination among younger females 18–26 years should be viewed with caution, based on comparison with estimates based on provider-reported vaccinations from the NIS-Teen.<sup>53</sup> While from NHIS we observed a large disparity with lower coverage among Hispanics, NIS-Teen estimates indicate that among girls age 17 years in 2008–2010 (ages 19-21 in 2012), Hispanic girls had higher HPV vaccination initiation coverage than non-Hispanic white girls. Second, other factors associated with vaccination disparities were not measured by the NHIS and could not be ascertained in this analysis. Finally, it might be more difficult to identify disparities with low vaccination rates (e.g., shingles vaccination rate was <30%).

Adult vaccination coverage remains suboptimal, particularly among racial and ethnic minority groups. Substantial improvement in vaccination of recommended groups is needed to maximally reduce the health impact of vaccine-preventable diseases. To improve coverage and eliminate disparities in adult vaccination, greater implementation of evidence-based interventions are needed, including the use of reminder/recall systems, standing orders for vaccination, regular assessments of vaccination coverage levels among provider practices, vaccination registries, and improving public and provider awareness of the importance of vaccinations for adults. 9, 21-23, 25, 37, 41, 54-55 Broad use of interventions to remove barriers to access and to make offering of adult vaccines in health care and other settings a routine practice are important components of efforts to reduce adult vaccination disparities (e.g., influenza vaccine has been available in multiple settings for many years, and gaps in vaccination coverage among non-Hispanic blacks and Asians were slightly reduced compared to non-Hispanic white from 2007 to 2012). 21, 23, 55 Multi-sector collaborations including culturally relevant communications to reach specific target populations and implementation of effective interventions are important for reducing vaccination and other health disparities in the United States. 56, 57 Routine monitoring and reporting of vaccine coverage by race and ethnicity and other socio-demographic factors might also help reduce racial and ethnic disparities.<sup>58</sup> Identifying other factors associated with vaccination that are not currently measured by health surveys like the NHIS is also needed.

## **Acknowledgments**

We thank James A. Singleton and Stacie M. Greby for their thoughtful review of the manuscript.

#### References

 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). [Accessed January 17, 2015] Adult vaccinations. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/adults/vpd.html

2. Thompson WW, Shay DK, Weintraub E, Brammer L, Bridges CB, Cox N, et al. Influenza-associated hospitalization in the United States. JAMA. 2004; 292:1333–1340. [PubMed: 15367555]

- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Estimates of deaths associated with seasonal influenza—United States, 1976–2007. MMWR. 2010; 59:1057–62. [PubMed: 20798667]
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). [Accessed January 26, 2015] Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Report, Emerging Infections Program Network. Streptococcus pneumoniae. 2013. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/abcs/reports-findings/survreports/spneu12.html
- 5. Cohen JI. Clinical practice: Herpes zoster. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369:255–263. [PubMed: 23863052]
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevention of Herpes Zoster Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR. 2008; 57(05):1–30.
- Yawn BP, Saddier P, Wollan PC, St Sauver JL, Kurland MJ, Sy LS. A population-based study of the incidence and complication rates of herpes zoster before zoster vaccine introduction. Mayo Clin Proc. 2007 Nov; 82(11):1341–9. [PubMed: 17976353]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices Recommended Immunization Schedule for Adults Aged 19 Years or Older — United States, 2014. MMWR. 2014; 63(05):110–12. [PubMed: 24500291]
- 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Non-influenza vaccination coverage among adults. MMWR. 2014; 63(05):95–102. [PubMed: 24500288]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). [Accessed April 4, 2014] Influenza vaccination coverage—FluVaxView. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/flu/professionals/vaccination/ vaccinecoverage.htm
- [Accessed January 17, 2015] Healthy People 2020. Topics & Objectives Immunization and Infectious Diseases. http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/immunizationand-infectious-diseases/objectives
- Wooten KG, Luman ET, Barker LE. Socioeconomic factors and persistent racial disparities in childhood vaccination. Am J Health Behav. 2007 Jul-Aug;31(4):434–45. [PubMed: 17511578]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Reduction of Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Vaccination Coverage, 1995–2011. MMWR. 2014; 63(01):7–12.
- Singleton JA, Santibanez TA, Wortley PM. Influenza and pneumococcal vaccination of adults aged
   racial/ethnic differences. Am J Prev Med. 2005; 29(5):412–20. [PubMed: 16376704]
- Lindley MC, Wortley PM, Winston CA, Bardenheier BH. The role of attitudes in understanding disparities in adult influenza vaccination. Am J Prev Med. 2006; 31:281–5. [PubMed: 16979451]
- Link MW, Ahluwalia IB, Euler GL, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in influenza vaccination coverage among adults during the 2004–2005 season. Am J Epidemiol. 2006; 163:571–8.
   [PubMed: 16443801]
- 17. Bach PB, Pham HH, Schrag D, Tate RC, Hargraves JL. Primary care physicians who treat blacks and whites. N Engl J Med. 2004; 351:575–84. [PubMed: 15295050]
- 18. Schneider EC, Zaslavsky AM, Epstein AM. Racial disparities in the quality of care for enrollees in medicare managed care. JAMA. 2002; 287:1288–94. [PubMed: 11886320]
- 19. Gemson DH, Elinson J, Messeri P. Differences in physician prevention practice patterns for white and minority patients. Community Health. 1988; 13:53–64.
- 20. Lu PJ, Nuorti JP. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination among adults aged 65 years and older, U.S., 1989–2008. Am J Prev Med. 2010 Oct; 39(4):287–95. [PubMed: 20837278]
- Lu PJ, Nuorti JP. Uptake of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccination among working-age adults with underlying medical conditions, United States, 2009. Am J Epidemiol. 2012 Apr 15; 175(8): 827–37. [PubMed: 22403807]
- Lu PJ, Singleton JA, Euler GL, Williams WW, Bridges CB. Seasonal influenza vaccination of adult populations, U.S., 2005–2011. Am J Epidemiol. 2013 Nov 1; 178(9):1478–87. [PubMed: 24008912]
- 23. Lu PJ, Santibanez TA, Williams WW, Zhang J, Ding H, Bryan L, et al. Surveillance of influenza vaccination coverage United States, 2007–08 through 2011–12 influenza seasons. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2013 Oct 25; 62(Suppl 4):1–29.

24. Chi R, Neuzil KM. The association of sociodemographic factors and patient attitudes on influenza vaccination rates in older persons. Am J Med Sci. 2004; 327(3):113–117. [PubMed: 15090748]

- Schwartz KL, Neale VA, Northrup J, Monsur J, Patel DA, Tobar R Jr, et al. No racial differences in response to standardized offer of influenza vaccination: a Metronet study. J Gen Intern Med. 2006; 21(4):346–51. [PubMed: 16686810]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). [Accessed October 12, 2014] National Health Interview Survey. Available at: ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health\_Statistics/NCHS/ Dataset\_Documentation/NHIS/2012/srvydesc.pdf
- Shah, B., Barnwell, B., Bieier, G. SUDAAN. User's Manual, Release 10.1. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute; 2010.
- 28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National, state, and local area vaccination coverage among children aged 19–35 months United States, 2012. MMWR. 2013; 62(36):733–740. [PubMed: 24025754]
- 29. Jain N, Hennessey K. Hepatitis B vaccination coverage among U.S. adolescents, National Immunization Survey-Teen, 2006. J Adolesc Health. 2009 Jun; 44(6):561–7. [PubMed: 19465320]
- 30. Enger KS, Stokley S. Meningococcal conjugate vaccine uptake, measured by Michigan's immunization registry. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2007; 40:398–404. [PubMed: 17448396]
- 31. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). [Accessed September 23, 2014] Vaccines for Children Program (VFC). Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/vfc/index.html
- 32. US census bureau. [Accessed January 23, 2013] Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States. 2011. Available at: http://www.census.gov/prod/2012pubs/p60-243.pdf
- 33. Williams WW, Lu PJ, Saraiya M, Yankey D, Dorell C, Rodriguez JL, Kepka D, Markowitz LE. Factors associated with human papillomavirus vaccination among young adult women in the United States. Vaccine. 2013 Jun 19; 31(28):2937–46. [PubMed: 23643629]
- 34. Jain N, Euler GL, Shefer A, Lu PJ, Yankey D, Markowitz L. Human papillomavirus (HPV) awareness and vaccination initiation among women in the United States, National Immunization Survey-Adult 2007. Prev Med. 2009 May; 48(5):426–31. [PubMed: 19100762]
- 35. [Accessed February 25, 2014] 111th Congress. Public Law 111–148 March. 23, 2010. 124 STAT. 119 (H.R. 3590). An Act Entitled: The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-111publ148/pdf/PLAW-111publ148.pdf
- 36. Koh HK, Sebelius KG. Promoting prevention through the Affordable Care Act. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363:1296–99. [PubMed: 20879876]
- 37. Daniels NA, Gouveia S, Null D, Gildengorin GL, Winston CA. Acceptance of pneumococcal vaccine under standing orders by race and ethnicity. J Nat Med Assoc. 2006; 98(7):1089–1094.
- 38. Hebert PL, Frick KD, Kane RL, McBean AM. The causes of racial and ethnic differences in influenza vaccination rates among elderly Medicare beneficiaries. Health Services Research. 2005; 40(2):517–537. [PubMed: 15762905]
- 39. Groom HC, Zhang F, Fisher AK, Wortley PM. Differences in adult influenza vaccine-seeking behavior: the roles of race and attitudes. J Pub Health Manag Pract. 2014; 20(2):246–250. [PubMed: 23715220]
- 40. Humiston SG, Bennett NM, Long C, Eberly S, Arvelo L, Stankaitis J, et al. Increasing inner-city adult influenza vaccination rates: a randomized controlled trial. Public Health Rep. 2011; 126(Suppl 2):39–47.
- 41. Recommendations from the National Vaccine Advisory Committee: Standards for Adult Immunization Practice. Public Health Reports. 2014; 129:115–23. [PubMed: 24587544]
- 42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevention of Herpes Zoster Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR. 2008; 57(05):1–30.
- 43. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Quadrivalent Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR. 2007; 56(RR-2):1–24.
- 44. Lu PJ, Euler GL, Jumaan AO, Harpaz R. Herpes zoster vaccination among adults aged 60 years or older in the United States, 2007: uptake of the first new vaccine to target seniors. Vaccine. 2009 Feb 5; 27(6):882–7. [PubMed: 19071175]

45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination among pregnant women, the 2011–12 season. MMWR. 2012; 61(38):758–63. [PubMed: 23013721]

- 46. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination coverage among health-care personnel--the 2011–12 season. MMWR. 2012; 61(38):753–57. [PubMed: 23013720]
- 47. Winston CA, Wortley PM, Lees KA. Factors associated with vaccination of Medicare beneficiaries in five U.S. communities: results from the racial and ethnic adult disparities immunization initiative survey, 2003. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2006; 54:303–10. [PubMed: 16460383]
- 48. Donald RM, Baken L, Nelson A, Nichol KL. Validation of self-report of influenza and pneumococcal vaccination status in elderly outpatients. Am J Prev Med. 1999; 16(3):173–177. [PubMed: 10198654]
- Zimmerman RK, Raymund M, Janosky JE, Nowalk MP, Fine MJ. Sensitivity and specificity of patient self-report of influenza and pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccinations among elderly outpatients in diverse patient care strata. Vaccine. 2003; 21:1486–1491. [PubMed: 12615445]
- 50. Mangtani P, Shah A, Roberts JA. Validation of influenza and pneumococcal vaccine status in adults based on self-report. Epidemiol Infect. 2007 Jan; 135(1):139–143. [PubMed: 16740194]
- 51. Shenson D, DiMartino D, Bolen J, Campbell M, Lu PJ, Singleton JA. Validation of self-reported pneumococcal vaccination in behavioral risk factor surveillance surveys: experience from the sickness prevention achieved through regional collaboration (SPARC) program. Vaccine. 2005; 23:1015–1020. [PubMed: 15620474]
- 52. Rolnick SJ, Parker ED, Nordin JD, Hedblom BD, Wei F, Kerby T, et al. Self-report compared to electronic medical record across eight adult vaccines: do results vary by demographic factors? Vaccine. 2013; 31(37):3928–3935. [PubMed: 23806243]
- 53. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National and state vaccination coverage among adolescents aged 13–17 years United States, 2012. MMWR. 2013; 62(34):685–693. [PubMed: 23985496]
- 54. Poland GA, Shefer AM, McCauley M, Webster PS, Whitley-Williams PN, Peter G. Standards for adult immunization practices. Am J Prev Med. 2003; 25(2):144–150. [PubMed: 12880883]
- 55. Task Force on Community Prevention Services. The guide to community preventive services. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2005. Available at http://www.thecommunityguide.org/library/book/index.html [Accessed January 23, 2014]
- 56. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CDC Health Disparities and Inequalities Report United States, 2013. MMWR. 2013; 62(03):3–5.
- 57. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CDC Health Disparities and Inequalities Report United States, 2011. MMWR. 2011; 60(Suppl):1–116.
- 58. Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2008/WHO\_IER\_CSDH\_08.1\_eng.pdf [Accessed May 23, 2014]

Table 1

Demographic and access to care characteristics of study population, National Health Interview Survey, 2012

cteristic         Non-Hispanic white white white white white hack white white hack white who white hack white see the solution or white who wed/Divorced/Separated who wed/Divorced/Separated solution who wed/Divorced/Separated solution ser married solution solution solution solution solution solution service service solution service service service service service service solution service solution service			19–64 yea	19–64 years (N=26,836)	()			65 yea	65 years (N=7,382)		
ale     49.5     45.1     50.7       smale     50.5     54.9     49.3       striad status     56.3     34.6     53.8       ridowed/Divorced/Separated     13.1     17.6     11.3       rever married     30.6     47.8     34.9       sever married     30.6     47.8     34.9       ration     7.2     13.8     33.2       ligh school     7.2     13.8     33.2       ligh school graduate     25     29.2     27.2       nollege or higher     67.8     57.1     39.7       nemployed     6.0     12.3     8.9       nemployed     6.0     12.3     8.9       not in work force     20.7     23.3     22.4       th insurance     73.8     52.4     40.5       sivate     14.7     23.7     41.9       doctor visits in past year     18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1     15.1       25.3     20.1     15.1       19.0     22.3     20.1     15.1       19.1     15.1     15.1	Characteristic	Non-Hispanic white %a	Non-Hispanic black %	Hispanic %	Non-Hispanic Asian %	Other %	Non-Hispanic white %	Non-Hispanic black %	Hispanic %	Non-Hispanic Asian %	Other %
49.5 45.1 50.7 50.5 54.9 49.3 56.3 34.6 53.8 56.3 34.6 53.8 30.6 47.8 34.9 7.2 13.8 33.2 25 29.2 27.2 67.8 57.1 39.7 6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 73.8 52.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 20.1 15.1	Sex										
50.5 54.9 49.3 56.3 34.6 53.8 30.6 47.8 34.9 7.2 13.8 33.2 25 29.2 27.2 67.8 57.1 39.7 6.0 12.3 8.9 6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 18.8 22.6 35.5 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 20.1 15.1	Male	49.5	45.1	50.7	46.6	48.1	44.7	39.7	42.9	43.4	37.8
56.3 34.6 53.8 30.6 47.8 34.9 30.6 47.8 34.9 47.2 13.8 33.2 25 29.2 27.2 67.8 57.1 39.7 6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 21.8	Female	50.5	54.9	49.3	53.4	51.9	55.3	60.3	57.1	56.6	62.2
56.3 34.6 53.8  10.4 13.1 17.6 11.3  10.5 47.8 34.9  10.2 13.8 33.2  25 29.2 27.2  67.8 57.1 39.7  6.0 12.3 8.9  20.7 23.3 22.4  11.5 23.9 17.6  14.7 23.7 41.9  18.8 22.6 35.5  19.0 19.4 19.1  26.4 27.3 21.8	Marital status										
13.1 17.6 11.3 30.6 47.8 34.9 7.2 13.8 33.2 25 29.2 27.2 67.8 57.1 39.7 6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 73.8 52.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 18.8 22.6 35.5 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 21.8	Married	56.3	34.6	53.8	6.19	*40.8	58.3	38.9	47.7	58.3	* 2.74
30.6       47.8       34.9         7.2       13.8       33.2         25       29.2       27.2         67.8       57.1       39.7         6.0       12.3       8.9         20.7       23.3       22.4         73.8       52.4       40.5         11.5       23.9       17.6         14.7       23.7       41.9         18.8       22.6       35.5         19.0       19.4       19.1         22.3       20.1       15.1         22.3       20.1       15.1	Widowed/Divorced/Separated	13.1	17.6	11.3	6.4	14.7	36.2	54.1	42.4	34.8	46.2
7.2     13.8     33.2       25     29.2     27.2       67.8     57.1     39.7       73.3     64.4     68.7       6.0     12.3     8.9       20.7     23.3     22.4       73.8     52.4     40.5       11.5     23.9     17.6       14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1       15.1     15.1	Never married	30.6	47.8	34.9	31.7	44.5	5.5	7.0	8.6	6.9	6.3
7.2     13.8     33.2       25     29.2     27.2       67.8     57.1     39.7       6.0     12.3     8.9       6.0     12.3     8.9       20.7     23.3     22.4       73.8     52.4     40.5       11.5     23.9     17.6       14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	Education										
25       29.2       27.2         67.8       57.1       39.7         73.3       64.4       68.7         6.0       12.3       8.9         20.7       23.3       22.4         73.8       52.4       40.5         11.5       23.9       17.6         14.7       23.7       41.9         18.8       22.6       35.5         19.0       19.4       19.1         26.4       27.3       21.8         22.3       20.1       15.1	< High school	7.2	13.8	33.2	8.0	$10.9^*$	14.7	36.3	47.5	18.9	26.2*
67.8     57.1     39.7       73.3     64.4     68.7       6.0     12.3     8.9       20.7     23.3     22.4       73.8     52.4     40.5       11.5     23.9     17.6       14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1       15.1     15.1     15.1	High school graduate	25	29.2	27.2	14.9	23.8	31.4	26.4	22.9	24.0	27.6
73.3 64.4 68.7 6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 73.8 52.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 18.8 22.6 35.5 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 21.8	College or higher	8.79	57.1	39.7	77.1	65.3	53.9	37.3	29.6	57.1	46.2
73.3 64.4 68.7 6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 73.8 52.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 18.8 22.6 35.5 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 21.8	Employment										
6.0 12.3 8.9 20.7 23.3 22.4 73.8 52.4 40.5 11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 18.8 22.6 35.5 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 21.8	Employed	73.3	64.4	68.7	71.6	* 0.49	16.1	11.8	19.4	19.1	13.5
20.7     23.3     22.4       73.8     52.4     40.5       11.5     23.9     17.6       14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	Unemployed	6.0	12.3	8.9	6.1	0.6	8.0	1.1	1.3	0.3	0.7
73.8     52.4     40.5       11.5     23.9     17.6       14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	Not in work force	20.7	23.3	22.4	22.2	27.0	83.1	87.1	79.3	9.08	85.8
73.8     52.4     40.5       11.5     23.9     17.6       14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	Health insurance										
11.5 23.9 17.6 14.7 23.7 41.9 18.8 22.6 35.5 19.0 19.4 19.1 26.4 27.3 21.8 22.3 20.1 15.1	Private	73.8	52.4	40.5	71.4	55.3*	56.3	38.5	23.0	38.6	52.1*
14.7     23.7     41.9       18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	Public	11.5	23.9	17.6	6.7	26.1	43.3	8.09	73.9	59.5	46.8
18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	None	14.7	23.7	41.9	18.9	18.6	0.4	0.7	3.1	1.8	1.0
18.8     22.6     35.5       19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	# of doctor visits in past year										
19.0     19.4     19.1       26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	0	18.8	22.6	35.5	27.9	$19.8^{*}$	6.2	6.4	10.1	10.8	12.4*
26.4     27.3     21.8       22.3     20.1     15.1	1	19.0	19.4	19.1	22.9	20.0	11.5	8.4	9.1	8.1	8.0
22.3 20.1 15.1	2–3	26.4	27.3	21.8	26.6	22.8	26.5	25.8	24.7	26.7	15.4
	4-9	22.3	20.1	15.1	15.3	21.4	35.5	41.0	35.9	37.2	31.1
13.5 10.6 8.4	10	13.5	10.6	8.4	7.2	15.9	20.2	18.5	20.2	17.1	40.3

		19–64 yea	19–64 years (N=26,836)	()			65 yea	65 years (N=7,382)		
Characteristic	Non-Hispanic white %a	Non-Hispanic black %	Hispanic %	Non-Hispanic Asian %	Other %	Non-Hispanic white %	Non-Hispanic black %	Hispanic %	Non-Hispanic Asian %	Other %
Usual source of health care										
Yes	84.6	81.6	8.99	9.62	<b>79.4</b>	2.96	6.76	95.1	6.96	6.96
No	15.4	18.4	33.2	20.4	20.6	3.3	2.1	4.9	3.1	3.1
Self-reported health status										
Excellent/very good	66.3	53.0	58.2	68.5	* 6.85	49.5	26.5	29.7	35.9	$29.0^*$
Good	23.7	29.9	28.5	24.7	24.5	32.5	36.1	37.0	41.4	41.6
Fair	7.4	13.0	10.8	4.6	12.7	13.5	28.8	23.4	15.6	16.4
Poor	2.6	4.1	2.5	2.2	3.9	4.5	8.6	10.0	7.1	12.9
Duration of U.S. residence										
U.S. born	95.1	88.5	40.7	24.8	91.3*	95.0	93.1	36.5	21.1	92.2
In U.S. <10 yrs	8.0	2.9	12.8	23.0	1.9	0.0	0.4	1.6	5.5	0.7
In U.S. 10 yrs	4.1	8.6	46.5	52.2	6.7	4.9	6.5	61.9	73.4	7.1
Region of residence										
Northeast	19.3	18.3	14.3	19.3	7.5*	19.0	16.1	12.5	20.0	<b>4.4</b>
Midwest	28.4	15.7	0.6	16.6	20.0	24.9	18.6	7.7	8.0	21.1
South	33.1	57.8	37.0	21.2	33.8	36.5	55.8	42.9	19.2	41.7
West	19.2	8.1	39.8	42.8	38.6	19.6	9.5	36.8	52.8	32.8

Note: Boldface indicates significance.

 $^*$  p < 0.05 by chi-square test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weighted percentage.

**Author Manuscript** 

Table 2

Unadjusted adult vaccination coverage by race and ethnicity<sup>a</sup>, National Health Interview Survey - 2012, United States

	Total manager	W. Lotal section 187	Non-Hispanic white	Non-Hispanic black	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic Asian	Other
	total unweignreu iva total weignreu iv	rotai weignteu in	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
Influenza vaccination (past 12 months) $^b$							
19–64	26,309	185,655,124	33.5 (32.4–34.5)	27.5 (25.8–29.3)*	$25.3 \ (23.5-27.1)^*$	37.4 (34.5–40.3) *, **, ***	32.4 (27.5–37.7)
+59	7,234	40,926,304	68.8 (67.0–70.5)	53.0 (48.9–57.1)*	$57.5 \ (52.9-62.1)^*$	$65.2 \ (58.7–71.2)^{\ **}$	56.5 (44.1–68.2)
Pneumococcal vaccination (ever received)							
19–64 HR <sup>C</sup>	9,333	63,041,071	21.4 (20.1–22.9)	19.7 (17.4–22.2)	13.8 (11.5–16.4) *, **	$13.2 (9.5–18.1)^{*,**}$	20.2 (15.2–26.2) ***, ***
+59	7,076	40,052,113	64.0 (62.3–65.7)	46.1 (41.7–50.6) *	43.4 (39.0–48.0)*	41.3 (35.4-47.5)*	44.7 (32.6–57.5)*
Tetanus vaccination (past 10 yrs)							
19–64	25,452	179,536,112	(6.9–67.9–68.9)	$54.9\ (52.8–57.0)^*$	53.6 (51.6–55.6)*	$52.8 \ (49.6-56.0)^*$	71.5 (66.7–75.8) **, ***, ***
+59	6,905	39,054,443	57.7 (55.9–59.5)	44.6 (40.8–48.4)*	44.8 (40.1–49.6)*	$45.8 \ (39.5–52.2)^*$	50.2 (36.8–63.6)
Shingles vaccination (ever received)							
+09	9,924	58,333,459	22.8 (21.5–24.0)	$8.8\ (6.9-11.2)^*$	8.7 (6.6 – 11.4)*	16.9 (13.2–21.5) *, **, ***	$19.7 (11.5–31.6)^{**, ***}$
Human papillomavirus vaccination ( 1 dose)							
19–26 Female	2,300	16,721,170	42.2 (38.5–46.0)	29.1 (23.4–35.7)*	18.7 (14.9–23.1) *, **	15.6 (9.5–24.5)*,**	41.2 (28.7–55.0) ***, ****

Note: Boldface indicates significance.

Abbreviations: CI=Confidence interval.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Persons of Hispanic or Latino origin may be of any race or combination of races. "Others" included American Indian/Alaska Native, and multiple race.

estimates may differ from the Kaplan Meier influenza vaccination coverage estimates based on coverage for an influenza season (e.g. NHIS interviews conducted from September 2012 through June 2013 Influenza vaccination coverage estimates represent the proportion of respondents who answered that they had received an influenza vaccination in the past 12 months based on NHIS 2012 data. These and vaccinations received from July 2012 through May 2013) published on FluVaxView (http://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluvaxview/nhis-flu-vax.htm).

coronary heart disease, angina, heart attack, or other heart condition; had a diagnosis of cancer during the previous 12 months (excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer); had ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had lymphoma, leukemia, or blood cancer; or they had been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had chronic bronchitis or weak or failing kidneys during the Cadults were considered at high risk for pneumococcal disease if they had ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had diabetes, emphysema, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, preceding 12 months or had an asthma episode or attack during the preceding 12 months; or they were current smokers.

p < 0.05 by t-test (comparing against non-Hispanic white).

 $\overset{\scriptscriptstyle \circ}{p}<0.05$  by t-test (comparing against non-Hispanic black).

\* p < 0.05 by t-test (comparing against Hispanic).</p>

 $^{****}$  p < 0.05 by t-test (comparing against non-Hispanic Asian).

**Author Manuscript** 

Table 3

Adjusted<sup>a</sup> adult vaccination coverage by race and ethnicity<sup>b</sup>, National Health Interview Survey - 2012, United States

	Non-Hispanic white % (95% CI)	Non-Hispanic black % (95% CI)	Hispanic % (95% CI)	Non-Hispanic Asian % (95% CI)	Other % (95% CI)
Influenza vaccination (past 12 months) $^{\mathcal{C}}$					
19–64	31.2 (30.2, 32.2)	28.7 (27.0, 30.5)*	$33.5 (31.1, 35.9)^{**}$	38.7 (35.3, 42.2) *, **, ***	33.2 (28.8, 37.9)
65+	67.6 (65.8, 69.4)	54.4 (50.1, 58.7)*	$66.4 (61.0, 71.3)^{**}$	71.9 (65.0, 77.9)	56.8 (45.1, 67.8)
Pneumococcal vaccination (ever received)					
19–64 HR <i>d</i>	21.2 (19.9, 22.6)	$17.6(15.5,19.9)^*$	$16.5 \left(13.5, 20.1\right)^*$	$15.7\ (11.4,21.3)^{*}$	18.5 (14.3, 23.6)
65+	62.5 (60.7, 64.3)	$46.4  (42.1, 50.7)^*$	56.4 (51.1, 61.6) ***	53.7 (45.9, 61.2)*	42.5 (30.7, 55.1) *, ***
Tetanus vaccination (past 10 yrs)					
19–64	67.0 (65.8, 68.1)	56.2 (54.1, 58.3)*	60.8 (58.2, 63.2) *, **	56.2 (52.5, 59.8) *, ***	69.5 (64.8, 73.8) **, ***, ****
65+	56.4 (54.6, 58.2)	48.7 (44.5, 53.0)*	54.8 (49.2, 60.3)	51.0 (43.9, 58.1)	48.9 (35.4, 62.5)
Shingles vaccination (ever received)					
+09	21.4 (20.2, 22.7)	$11.4\ (8.9, 14.5)^*$	$14.4  (10.6, 19.3)^{ *}$	21.0 (15.7, 27.5)**,***	20.7 (12.4, 32.5)
Human papillomavirus vaccination ( 1 dose)					
19–26 Female	39.3 (35.6, 43.1)	28.7 (23.1, 34.9)*	24.1 (19.1, 29.9) $^{\ast}$	20.9 (13.2, 31.6) *	37.4 (27.3, 48.8) ***, ***

Note: Boldface indicates significance.

Abbreviations: CI=Confidence interval.

adjusted estimates control for age, sex, marital status, education, employment status, health insurance, number of doctor visits in the past year, usual source of care, self-reported health status, duration of U.S. residence, and region of residence.

bPersons of Hispanic or Latino origin may be of any race or combination of races. "Others" included American Indian/Alaska Native, and multiple race.

estimates may differ from the Kaplan Meier influenza vaccination coverage estimates based on coverage for an influenza season (e.g. NHIS interviews conducted from September 2012 through June 2013 Influenza vaccination coverage estimates represent the proportion of respondents who answered that they had received an influenza vaccination in the past 12 months based on NHIS 2012 data. These and vaccinations received from July 2012 through May 2013) published on FluVaxView (http://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluvaxview/nhis-flu-vax.htm).

debults were considered at high risk for pneumococcal disease if they had ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had diabetes, emphysema, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease, angina, heart attack, or other heart condition; had a diagnosis of cancer during the previous 12 months (excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer); had ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had lymphoma, leukemia, or blood cancer; or they had been told by a doctor or other health professional that they had chronic bronchitis or weak or failing kidneys during the preceding 12 months or had an asthma episode or attack during the preceding 12 months; or they were current smokers.

 $p < 0.05 \ by \ t\text{-test} \ (comparing against non-Hispanic white)$ 

\*\* p < 0.05 by t-test (comparing against non-Hispanic black).

\*\*\* p < 0.05 by t-test (comparing against Hispanic).

\*\*\*\* p < 0.05 by t-test (comparing against non-Hispanic Asian).

Table 4

Adult vaccination and multivariable logistic regression analysis among adults 18 years in the United States, by demographic and access-to-care characteristics—NHIS 2012

	Influenza vaccination (past 12 months)	nation (past 12 (ths)	Pneumococcal v recei	Pneumococcal vaccination (ever received)	Tetanus vaccinati	Tetanus vaccination (past 10 years)	Shingles vaccination (ever received)	Human papillomavirus vaccination (1 dose)
	19–64	+59	19-64 HR	+59	19–64	+59	+09	19-26 female
	$APR^d$ (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)
Race/ethnicity								
Non-Hispanic white	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Non-Hispanic black	$0.92\ (0.86,0.99)^*$	$0.80\ (0.74,\ 0.87)^*$	$0.83 \ (0.73, 0.95)^*$	$0.74 (0.67, 0.82)^*$	$0.84 (0.81, 0.87)^*$	$0.86{(0.79,0.95)}^*$	$0.53 (0.42, 0.68)^*$	$0.73 (0.58, 0.92)^*$
Hispanic	1.07 (0.99, 1.17)	0.98 (0.90, 1.07)	$0.78 \ (0.63, 0.97)^*$	$0.90 \ (0.82, 1.00)^*$	$0.91 \ (0.87, 0.95)^*$	0.97 (0.87, 1.09)	$0.67 (0.49, 0.92)^*$	0.61 (0.48, 0.78)*
Non-Hispanic Asian	$1.24 (1.13, 1.37)^*$	1.06 (0.96, 1.17)	$0.74 \ (0.54, 1.02)^*$	$0.86 \ (0.74, 1.00)^*$	$0.84{(0.78,0.90)}^*$	0.90 (0.78, 1.05)	0.98 (0.73, 1.31)	$0.53 \ (0.35, 0.82)^*$
Other	1.06 (0.92, 1.23)	0.84 (0.68, 1.03)	0.87 (0.68, 1.13)	$0.68 \ (0.51, 0.91)^*$	1.04 (0.97, 1.11)	0.87 (0.65, 1.15)	0.97 (0.60, 1.57)	0.95 (0.71, 1.28)
Age								
19–49	Reference	NA	Reference	NA	Reference	NA	NA	NA
50–64	$1.36\ (1.30, 1.44)^*$	NA	$1.72 (1.53, 1.94)^*$	NA	$0.94 (0.91, 0.97)^*$	NA	NA	NA
65–74	NA	Reference	NA	Reference	NA	Reference	NA	NA
75–84	NA	$1.10{(1.05,1.16)}^*$	NA	$1.16 (1.10, 1.22)^*$	NA	$0.84 (0.79, 0.89)^*$	NA	NA
85+	NA	$1.19 (1.14, 1.26)^*$	NA	1.08 (0.99, 1.18)	NA	$0.72 (0.64, 0.81)^*$	NA	NA
60–64	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Reference	NA
65–74	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$1.58 \left(1.35, 1.84\right)^*$	NA
75–84	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$1.63 (1.37, 1.94)^*$	NA
85+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$1.45 (1.16, 1.82)^*$	NA
19–21	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Reference
22–26	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$0.71 \ (0.62, 0.82)^*$
Sex								
Male	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	NA
Female	$1.12 (1.06, 1.17)^*$	1.03 (0.98, 1.07)	1.07 (0.95, 1.20)	$1.10 (1.05, 1.16)^*$	$0.91 (0.89, 0.94)^*$	$0.90\ (0.85,0.96)^*$	$1.30\ (1.17, 1.45)^*$	NA

	Influenza vaccinat months	Influenza vaccination (past 12 months)	Pneumococcal v recei	Pneumococcal vaccination (ever received)	Tetanus vaccinati	Tetanus vaccination (past 10 years)	Shingles vaccination (ever received)	Human papillomavirus vaccination (1 dose)
	19–64	+59	19-64 HR	+59	19–64	+59	+09	19-26 female
	$APR^d$ (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)
Marital status								
Married	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Widowed/Divorced/Separated	$0.92 \ (0.86, 0.98)^*$	$0.95 (0.91, 1.00)^*$	1.17 (1.03, 1.33)*	0.97 (0.92, 1.02)	0.97 (0.93, 1.00)	0.96 (0.91, 1.02)	$0.83 \ (0.75, 0.94)^*$	1.14 (0.57, 2.30)
Never married	$0.86\ (0.81,0.91)^*$	0.97 (0.89, 1.06)	1.02 (0.90, 1.16)	0.92 (0.83, 1.03)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.89 (0.79, 1.02)	0.83 (0.67, 1.03)	1.76 (1.36, 2.28)*
Education								
< High school	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
High school graduate	0.95 (0.87, 1.04)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)	0.99 (0.85, 1.16)	1.05 (0.97, 1.13)	$1.05 (1.01, 1.10)^*$	$1.10 (1.01, 1.21)^*$	$1.26 (1.02, 1.55)^*$	0.81 (0.59, 1.12)
College or higher	$1.18 (1.09, 1.27)^*$	1.11 (1.04, 1.18)*	$1.20 (1.03, 1.40)^*$	$1.14{(1.06, 1.22)}^*$	$1.11  (1.06, 1.16)^*$	$1.35 (1.23, 1.47)^*$	$1.84  {(1.52, 2.21)}^*$	1.14 (0.86, 1.50)
Employment								
Employed	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Unemployed	$0.89\ (0.80, 1.00)^*$	0.92 (0.69, 1.23)	1.08 (0.87, 1.35)	1.13 (0.88, 1.46)	1.02 (0.97, 1.06)	1.01 (0.68, 1.49)	0.89 (0.52, 1.52)	1.11 (0.89, 1.39)
Not in work force	1.04 (0.98, 1.10)	$1.10 \ (1.03, 1.17)^*$	1.38 (1.21, 1.58)*	$1.21(1.11,1.31)^*$	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	$1.23 (1.08, 1.41)^*$	0.90 (0.76, 1.07)
Health insurance								
Private	$1.54  (1.41, 1.68)^{ *}$	$1.54 (1.00, 2.36)^*$	1.45 (1.22, 1.71)*	1.26 (0.84, 1.89)	$1.06 (1.02, 1.10)^*$	1.25 (0.82, 1.91)	1.40 (0.94, 2.08)	1.19 (0.93, 1.52)
Public	$1.53 \left(1.39, 1.69\right)^*$	1.47 (0.96, 2.24)*	1.44 (1.21, 1.72)*	1.20 (0.80, 1.79)	$1.05 (1.01, 1.11)^*$	1.24 (0.81, 1.88)	1.16 (0.78, 1.74)	1.10 (0.84, 1.44)
None	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Number of doctor visits in past year								
0	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
1	$1.21  (1.09, 1.35)^*$	$1.27 (1.09, 1.47)^*$	$1.24 (0.94, 1.62)^*$	$1.26 \ (1.06, 1.50)^*$	$1.11 \ (1.06, 1.15)^*$	$1.31 \ (1.12, 1.52)^*$	1.97 (1.44, 2.68)*	$1.21 (0.91, 1.62)^*$
2–3	$1.56  (1.43, 1.71)^*$	$1.46  (1.27, 1.69)^*$	$1.56 (1.25, 1.95)^*$	$1.41(1.21,1.65)^*$	$1.17 (1.12, 1.22)^*$	$1.32 (1.14, 1.52)^*$	$2.17 (1.61, 2.92)^*$	$1.35 (1.05, 1.74)^*$
4-9	$1.77 \left(1.61, 1.94\right)^*$	$1.55 (1.34, 1.79)^*$	$1.58 (1.27, 1.97)^*$	$1.57 (1.35, 1.83)^*$	$1.22 (1.17, 1.28)^*$	$1.44  (1.24, 1.66)^*$	$2.29 (1.70, 3.09)^*$	$1.47 (1.12, 1.93)^*$
10	$1.91 \ (1.73, 2.11)^*$	$1.60 \ (1.37, 1.85)^*$	2.19 (1.74, 2.76)*	$1.67 (1.43, 1.95)^*$	$1.33 (1.27, 1.39)^*$	$1.63(1.41,1.89)^*$	$2.54 (1.85, 3.49)^*$	$1.44{(1.06,1.94)}^*$
Usual source of health care								
Yes	1.59 (1.45, 1.75)*	$1.82 (1.42, 2.32)^*$	$1.30 \; (1.08, 1.56)^*$	$1.98{(1.50, 2.60)}^*$	$1.10 (1.06, 1.14)^*$	1.16 (0.96, 1.39)	1.39 (0.93, 2.09)	1.11 (0.89, 1.39)
No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference

Author Manuscript	
Author Manuscript	

**Author Manuscript** 

**Author Manuscript** 

	mom	months)	received)	r neumococcar vaccination (ever received)	Letanus vaccinati	Tetanus vaccination (past 10 years)	Shingles vaccination (ever received)	Human papillomavirus vaccination ( 1 dose)
	19–64	+59	19–64 HR	+59	19–64	+59	+09	19-26 female
7	APR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)	APR (95% CI)
Self-reported health status								
Excellent/very good	0.89 (0.77, 1.03)	1.11 (0.99, 1.24)	$0.56 \ (0.46, 0.69)^*$	0.95 (0.84, 1.08)	1.05 (0.97, 1.15)	1.08 (0.95, 1.23)	$1.79 (1.35, 2.37)^*$	0.79 (0.38, 1.62)
) poog	0.92 (0.79, 1.06)	$1.12 (1.00, 1.27)^*$	$0.70 \ (0.58, 0.84)^*$	1.01 (0.90, 1.14)	1.04 (0.96, 1.13)	1.01 (0.89, 1.16)	$1.48 \ (1.10, 1.98)^*$	0.63 (0.30, 1.34)
Fair (	0.92 (0.80, 1.06)	1.08 (0.95, 1.22)	0.95 (0.79, 1.15)	0.97 (0.86, 1.11)	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	1.00 (0.88, 1.14)	1.21 (0.87, 1.68)	0.60 (0.25, 1.44)
Poor	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Duration of U.S. residence								
U.S. born	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
In U.S. <10 yrs	1.09 (0.96, 1.25)	0.84 (0.59, 1.21)	0.86 (0.53, 1.38)	1.00 (0.72, 1.39)	0.96 (0.90, 1.03)	1.13 (0.86, 1.48)	0.88 (0.39, 1.97)	$0.52 (0.28, 0.98)^*$
In U.S. 10 yrs	1.00 (0.92, 1.07)	$0.89\ (0.80,0.98)^*$	0.87 (0.70, 1.09)	$0.74 (0.66, 0.83)^*$	$0.89 (0.84, 0.93)^*$	$0.81 (0.72, 0.91)^*$	$0.74 \left(0.57, 0.97\right)^*$	$0.71{(0.50,0.99)}^*$
Region of residence								
Northeast	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Midwest	1.02 (0.94, 1.10)	0.94 (0.88, 1.01)	1.03 (0.88, 1.20)	1.07 (0.98, 1.16)	$1.07 (1.03, 1.11)^*$	$1.12 (1.02, 1.23)^*$	1.10 (0.94, 1.29)	$0.59 (0.48, 0.73)^*$
South	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)	1.02 (0.88, 1.18)	1.03 (0.95, 1.12)	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	1.02 (0.93, 1.11)	1.07 (0.92, 1.23)	$0.70 \ (0.58, 0.84)^*$
West	0.95 (0.88, 1.03)	0.97 (0.89, 1.04)	1.06 (0.90, 1.25)	1.04 (0.95, 1.13)	$1.08 \ (1.04, 1.12)^*$	$1.17 (1.07, 1.27)^* 1.33 (1.14, 1.56)^*$	1.33 (1.14, 1.56)*	$0.77 (0.63, 0.95)^*$

Note: Boldface indicates significance.

Abbreviations: CI=Confidence interval; NA=Not applicable.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it A}{\rm djusted}$  prevalence ratios, adjusted for all variables included in the table.

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\ast}}\xspace p < 0.05$  comparing to reference group.