

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Obstet Gynecol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Obstet Gynecol. 2016 February ; 127(2): 360–368. doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000001239.

Vaginal and Rectal *Clostridium sordellii* and *Clostridium* perfringens Presence Among Women in the United States

Erica Chong, MPH, Beverly Winikoff, MD, MPH, Dyanna Charles, MPH, Kathy Agnew, BS, Jennifer L. Prentice, MS, Brandi M. Limbago, PhD, Ingrida Platais, MS, Karmen Louie, MPH, Heidi E. Jones, PhD, MPH, Caitlin Shannon, PhD, and for the NCT01283828 Study Team^{*} Gynuity Health Projects, the City University of New York School of Public Health and Hunter College, and EngenderHealth, New York, New York; the University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia

Abstract

OBJECTIVE—To characterize the presence of *Clostridium sordellii* and *Clostridium perfringens* in the vagina and rectum, identify correlates of presence, and describe strain diversity and presence of key toxins.

METHODS—We conducted an observational cohort study in which we screened a diverse cohort of reproductive-aged women in the United States up to three times using vaginal and rectal swabs analyzed by molecular and culture methods. We used multivariate regression models to explore predictors of presence. Strains were characterized by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and tested for known virulence factors by polymerase chain reaction assays.

RESULTS—Of 4,152 participants enrolled between 2010 and 2013, 3.4% (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.9–4.0) were positive for *C sordellii* and 10.4% (95% CI 9.5–11.3) were positive for *C perfringens* at baseline. Among the 66% with follow-up data, 94.7% (95% CI 88.0–98.3) of those positive for *C sordellii* and 74.4% (95% CI 69.0–79.3) of those positive for *C perfringens* at baseline were negative at follow-up. At baseline, recent gynecologic surgery was associated with *C sordellii* presence, whereas a high body mass index was associated with *C perfringens* presence in adjusted models. Two of 238 *C sordellii* isolates contained the lethal toxin gene, and none contained the hemorrhagic toxin gene. Substantial strain diversity was observed in both species with few clusters and no dominant clones identified.

CONCLUSION—The relatively rare and transient nature of *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* presence in the vagina and rectum makes it inadvisable to use any screening or prophylactic approach to try to prevent clostridial infection.

*Members of the NCT01283828 study team are listed in Appendix 1, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/A751.

Financial Disclosure

Corresponding author: Erica Chong, MPH, Gynuity Health Projects, 15 East 26th Street, Suite 801, New York, NY 10010; echong@gynuity.org.

Presented at the National Abortion Federation's 38th Annual Meeting: Standing Tall, April 5–8, 2014, San Francisco, California; the Infectious Diseases Society for Obstetrics and Gynecology Annual Meeting, August 7–9, 2014, Stowe, Vermont; the North American Forum on Family Planning, October 12–13, 2014, Miami, Florida; and XXI FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) World Congress of Gynecology and Obstetrics, October 4–9, 2015, Vancouver, Canada.

The authors did not report any potential conflicts of interest.

Clostridia are Gram-positive, spore-forming, anaerobic bacteria commonly found in soil and are relatively uncommon human pathogens.^{1,2} Obstetric–gynecologic cases of *Clostridium sordellii* and *Clostridium perfringens* infections have resulted in a toxic shock-like syndrome^{2–17} (Reis T, Chaves C, Soares A, Moreira M, Boaventura L, Ribeiro G. A *Clostridium sordellii* fatal toxic shock syndrome post-medical-abortion in Portugal, presented at the 21st European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, May 7–10, 2011, Milan, Italy) with a high fatality rate.

Cases of fatal pelvic clostridial infections have followed events including miscarriage, fullterm delivery, stillbirth, abortion, and cervical procedures. Eight of the deaths followed medical abortions with mifepristone and misoprostol, although no causal pathway has been identified.¹⁸ A disproportionate number of cases occurred in the western United States.

The emergence of clostridial infections affected medical abortion services in the United States. In 2006, the Planned Parenthood Federation of America changed its Medical Standards and Guidelines to recommend buccal over vaginal administration of misoprostol and to require routine use of antibiotics after medical abortion,¹⁹ most commonly 7 days of doxycycline or a single dose of azithromycin.²⁰ Many independent abortion providers chose to adopt the same measures.

Because clostridial pelvic infections are very rare, this observational cohort study explores clostridial presence. Primary objectives include: estimating the prevalence of *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* in the vagina, rectum, or both; exploring how presence changes over time; and identifying predictors of presence in exploratory analyses. Secondary objectives included describing strain diversity and identifying the presence of key toxins in the isolated strains. 21,22

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Women aged 18–45 years not seeking or undergoing emergency or oncologic care were eligible to participate in the study. Twenty-five Metropolitan Statistical Areas were randomly selected weighted by population data from the 2007 U.S. Census. Counties were randomly selected within each Metropolitan Statistical Area (also weighted by population) within which suitable study sites were sought. Sites were selected to access a diverse patient population and included private obstetrics–gynecology practices, family planning clinics, abortion clinics, university hospital gynecology clinics, and research centers.

The study enrolled participants from November 2010 to April 2013, and participation involved up to three visits. Participants were screened for vaginal and rectal presence of C sordellii and C perfringens at the initial visit, were asked to return 2 weeks later for a second screening (which coincided with the follow-up visit for patients undergoing abortion), and participants who were positive at the second visit were asked to return for a third screening approximately 4 weeks after the second visit. After providing written informed consent, participants provided a detailed medical history, a study clinician took swab samples from

the vagina and from the rectum, measured vaginal pH, and prepared a Gram stain slide. All samples were obtained before any other procedures were performed. Additional diagnostics and care provided as part of the clinical visit were documented. Participants also completed a computer assisted self-interview survey to collect additional information on demographics, sexual and reproductive history, and other relevant behaviors. The survey was administered in either English or Spanish using online electronic data capture with DatStat Illume 4.11. At follow-up visits, vaginal and rectal swabs, vaginal pH, and Gram stains were collected. Participants underwent a physical examination and responded to a shortened version of the computer survey on behaviors and practices that occurred since the previous visit.

A central laboratory scored the Gram stains for bacterial vaginosis using Nugent Criteria²³ and screened swab specimens by culture and by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for both *C perfringens* and *C sordellii*. During the pilot phase of the project when we tested the laboratory methods, PCR was better at detecting *C perfringens* and culture was better at detecting *C sordellii*; thus, a participant was considered "positive" if she had a positive result with either PCR or culture. Please refer to Appendix 2, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/A751, for specifics of culture and PCR methods. All isolates obtained through the study were sent to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for confirmatory identification using the MALDI Biotyper and strain typing by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and PCR. Conventional multiplex PCR assays were used to test for toxins. Please refer to Appendix 3, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/A751, for specific primers and probes used.

We selected a sample size of 4,000 to estimate the prevalence for both species of Clostridium at either anatomical site with a precision of \pm 1% points at baseline. This sample size would also yield a substantial number of isolates for study by the CDC. Prevalence estimates were generated in SPSS 19.0, and bivariate analyses and multivariate regression models were conducted using Stata 12.1.

Because bacteria are transferred easily between the rectum and vagina and thus presence at either site could result in a pelvic infection, we combined vaginal and rectal presence for analyses of predictors as well as for changes in presence over time. Because the pathways of exposure and risk may differ for these two bacteria, we analyzed predictors for each bacterial species separately. We used generalized estimating equations with robust standard error estimation and exchangeable correlation structures to account for clustering of the observations by study site to explore predictors for the presence of *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* at baseline and at time two. We explored any variable that was statistically significant in the bivariate analyses for inclusion in the final adjusted models. We tested for correlations between covariates and selected which variables to include in our final adjusted model based on model fit and biological plausibility. We used a threshold of statistical significance of 0.001 based on a critical α value of 0.15 for these exploratory analyses with a Bonferroni adjustment for the 150 variables tested.

The study was approved by the New England institutional review board, the Colorado Multiple institutional review board, the University of Arizona institutional review board, and the University of Cincinnati institutional review board.

RESULTS

We found 26 suitable sites in 18 of the 25 randomly selected Metropolitan Statistical Areas. We enrolled and obtained baseline samples from 4,152 women; 2,755 women (66%) completed visit 2 procedures, and 255 of the 350 women positive at visit 2 (73%) completed visit 3 procedures (Fig. 1). The median days between visit 1 and visit 2 were 14 days (interquartile range 13–17). Participants were approximately evenly distributed among the four census regions, had an average age of 28.6 years, and 36% were patients who were undergoing abortion. In addition to seeking abortion services, other common reasons for presenting included study participation (26%), well-woman visits (21%), and gynecologic complaints (6%).

At baseline, 12.7% (95% confidence interval [CI] 11.7–13.7) of participants were positive for either species at either anatomical site; 10.4% (95% CI 9.5–11.3) were positive for *C perfringens* and 3.4% (95% CI 2.9–4.0) for *C sordellii*. Rectal presence was more common than vaginal presence for both species with 9.8% (95% CI 8.9–10.7) and 1.2% (95% CI 0.9–1.6) of samples screening positive for *C perfringens*, respectively, and 3.2% (95% CI 2.7–3.8) and 0.2% (95% CI 0.1–0.4) for *C sordellii*. Few participants had both species present (1%, 95% CI 0.7–1.3); and few had the same species in both anatomic sites (0.6%, 95% CI 0.4–0.9 for *C perfringens* and 0.05%, 95% CI 0.006–0.2 for *C sordellii*).

We tested more than 150 variables for associations with presence; Table 1 displays a small subset of these variables. In bivariate analysis, no statistically significant differences in presence of either species were found by age, race–ethnicity, household income, number of days since last menses, or vaginal pH. Predictors were rarely significant for both species.

In adjusted baseline models, having gynecologic surgery in the previous 3 months was significantly associated with *C sordellii* presence (Table 2; adjusted odds ratio [OR] 4.86, P5.001). For *C perfringens*, taking medication for a gastrointestinal disorder in the previous 3 months (adjusted OR 2.00, *P*<.001), ever having a surgical intervention related to abortion (surgical abortion or a surgical completion of a medical abortion; adjusted OR 1.40, *P*=. 001), and body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight (kg)/[height (m)]²) of 35 or greater (adjusted OR 1.83, *P*<.001) were significantly associated with presence. Medical abortion was not associated with presence of either species.

Of the participants who tested positive at baseline, 94.7% (95% CI 88.0–98.3) of patients positive for *C sordellii* and 74.4% (95% CI 69.0–79.3) of those positive for *C perfringens* were no longer positive by visit 2 (Fig. 1). Women positive for clostridia at visit 1 were more likely than negative women to be positive at visit 2. No related serious adverse events were reported.

Changes in *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* presence between visits 1 and 2 were not significantly different when comparing patients who had undergone abortion and nonabortion patients, medical abortion and surgical abortion patients, and those taking antibiotics compared with those who did not take any antibiotics.

In adjusted models for presence at visit 2, no variables were significant for *C sordellii*, although presence at baseline shows some relationship in the abortion participant-only model (Table 3). For *C perfringens*, lower education and presence at baseline show a strong association in the overall model, and BMI of 35 or greater and having two or more sexual partners since the previous visit shows some relationship. These results did not change when the analysis was restricted to women with 7–21 days between visits.

C perfringens isolates (n=212) and *C sordellii* isolates (n=238) were confirmed and characterized at the CDC. No *C sordellii* isolates were positive for hemorrhagic toxin and two (0.8%, 95% CI 0.1–3.0) were positive for lethal toxin. The two lethal toxin-positive isolates were from baseline rectal samples of surgical abortion participants from the West. Both participants tested negative for *C sordellii* at their second visit. Among the *C perfringens* isolates, 211 (99.5%, 95% CI 97.4–100) were positive for a toxin, and 175 (82.5%, 95% CI 76.8–87.4) were positive for θ toxin; none was positive for β or ε toxin.

Substantial strain diversity was observed in both *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* with few clusters and no dominant clones identified for either species. Twelve indistinguishable *C sordellii* and 18 indistinguishable *C perfringens* were recovered from more than one participant, nearly all of which were from different geographic locations. Significant strain diversity was found even among isolates recovered from the same participant. There were seven instances in which *C perfringens* was recovered from both rectal and vaginal samples at the same visit; in six cases, the strains were different. Of 15 instances when a participant had *C perfringens* isolated from the same anatomical site across visits (eg, positive vaginal sample at visits 1 and 2), 13 had different strains. For *C sordellii*, one of two participants with positive rectal and vaginal samples at the same visit carried a different strain, and eight of nine cases with isolates from the same site at different visits had different strains.

DISCUSSION

This study documents that vaginal and rectal presence of *C* sordellii is relatively rare and presence of *C* perfringens is more common in our sample of reproductive-aged U.S. women. In our regression models for *C* sordellii, only gynecologic surgery in the previous 3 months appeared significant at baseline (likely reflecting the opportunity for organisms to be introduced), and nothing was significant at visit 2. For *C* perfringens, BMI of 35 or greater was found to be significantly associated with presence of the bacteria at baseline and approached significance at visit 2. We also witnessed a trend of increasing ORs with increasing BMI, which may reflect reduced bacterial diversity^{24,25} (and thus a more hospitable environment for clostridia) and a reduced ability for hygiene. Clostridial presence did not appear to be related to pregnancy status, antibiotic use, geographic region, or medical abortion.

From the women who screened positive and returned for a follow-up visit, we can infer that presence of *C sordellii* or *C perfringens* very rarely leads to adverse outcomes. Furthermore, it appears that the presence of these bacteria is transient with the majority of women screening positive changing status by the next study visit. As such, we prefer the term bacterial "presence" rather than "colonization" or "carriage."

Because strains are usually only recovered and studied in cases of disease or death, the prevalence of strains containing virulence factors in a healthy population was not previously documented. For *C sordellii*, lethal toxin has been implicated in all the fatal cases in the literature, but only 2 of 238 strains in our sample contained that gene. Hemorrhagic toxin is also considered to play a large role in pathogenesis; however, no strains tested positive for this gene.

Although our sampling scheme was designed to enroll a diverse cohort of reproductive-aged women, the generalizability of this study's findings should be approached with caution. We used the computer assisted self-interview survey to encourage more honest reporting of sensitive behaviors,^{26–28} but it is likely that there was still some underreporting, and this methodology may have been an obstacle for those participants who were less computer-literate.

Use of swab samples is vulnerable to sampling error, where clostridia may be present but the swab did not capture the bacteria. Swab samples were chosen over stool samples for logistic reasons, but it likely resulted in an underestimate of the presence of clostridia in the rectum. In addition, it is possible that the two species were present on the swabs but were not detected by culture and PCR methods either because of the difficulty of isolating the clostridia from the high number of other bacteria present or because clostridia were present in such low quantities that they were undetectable. The number of false-positives was minimal for PCR as a result of the specificity of the primers used. The CDC confirmed identification of all viable culture isolates using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry, and 95.1% of the original identifications were correct.

Because *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* presence was relatively rare, we may not have had sufficient power to detect predictors of presence, especially at the second visit when one third of our sample was lost to follow-up. Cohen's effect sizes comparing demographic characteristics of women who returned to those lost to follow-up at visit 2 were small (0.1), with the exception of region of residence which was medium (Cramer's V=0.2) (women in the South were more likely to be lost to follow-up). Because our study was not designed to bring back participants who were negative at visit 2 for a third visit, we cannot make accurate estimations of reappearance rates (those who went from positive to negative and back to positive).

This study suggests that the chances are exceedingly small that a woman would have C *sordellii* present in the vagina, that the lethal toxin gene would be present in that particular strain, and that the bacteria would remain long enough in the vagina and conditions would be suitable for the bacteria to flourish and produce toxins.

The rarity and transient nature of *C sordellii* and *C perfringens* presence make it inadvisable to use any screening or prophylactic approach to try to prevent clostridial infection. Presence is not predicted by any particular characteristic that could be used to target certain subgroups. Furthermore, based on these data, use of antibiotics at the time of medical abortion would likely not be effective at reducing the presence of clostridia or reducing clostridial infection.

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by an unrestricted research grant from an anonymous donor, who had no involvement in the study design, data collection or analysis, or writing of the results.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

References

- 1. Hatheway CL. Toxigenic clostridia. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1990; 3:66-98. [PubMed: 2404569]
- Ho CS, Bhatnagar J, Cohen AL, Hacker JK, Zane SB, Reagan S, et al. Undiagnosed cases of fatal Clostridium-associated toxic shock in Californian women of childbearing age. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 201:459.e1–7. [PubMed: 19628200]
- Fischer M, Bhatnagar J, Guarner J, Reagan S, Hacker JK, Van Meter SH, et al. Fatal toxic shock syndrome associated with *Clostridium sordellii* after medical abortion. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353:2352–60. [PubMed: 16319384]
- Cohen AL, Bhatnagar J, Reagan S, Zane SB, D'Angeli MA, Fischer M, et al. Toxic shock associated with Clostridium sordellii and Clostridium perfringens after medical and spontaneous abortion. Obstet Gynecol. 2007; 110:1027–33. [PubMed: 17978116]
- Zane S, Guarner J. Gynecologic clostridial toxic shock in women of reproductive age. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2011; 13:561–70. [PubMed: 21882086]
- Sinave C, Le Templier G, Blouin D, Léveillé F, Deland E. Toxic shock syndrome due to *Clostridium sordellii*: a dramatic postpartum and postabortion disease. Clin Infect Dis. 2002; 35:1441–3. [PubMed: 12439811]
- 7. Zane SB, Berg CJ. Deaths from Clostridium sordellii after medical abortion (letter to the editor). N Engl J Med. 2006; 354:1646–7.
- Aldape MJ, Bryant AE, Stevens DL. *Clostridium sordellii* infection: epidemiology, clinical findings, and current perspectives on diagnosis and treatment. Clin Infect Dis. 2006; 43:1436–46. [PubMed: 17083018]
- Rorbye C, Petersen IS, Nilas L. Postpartum Clostridium sordellii infection associated with fatal toxic shock syndrome. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000; 79:1134–5. [PubMed: 11130102]
- Bitti A, Mastrantonio P, Spigaglia P, Urru G, Spano AI, Moretti G, et al. A fatal postpartum *Clostridium sordellii* associated toxic shock syndrome. J Clin Pathol. 1997; 50:259–60. [PubMed: 9155682]
- Sosolik RC, Savage BA, Vaccarello L. Clostridium sordellii toxic shock syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 1996; 4:31–5. [PubMed: 18476062]
- McGregor JA, Soper DE, Lovell G, Todd JK. Maternal deaths associated with Clostridium sordellii infection. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1989; 161:987–95. [PubMed: 2801850]
- Hogan SF, Ireland K. Fatal acute spontaneous endometritis resulting from Clostridium sordellii. Am J Clin Pathol. 1989; 91:104–6. [PubMed: 2910010]
- 14. Soper DE. Clostridial myonecrosis arising from an episiotomy. Obstet Gynecol. 1986; 68(suppl): 26S–28S. [PubMed: 3737071]
- 15. Golde S, Ledger WJ. Necrotizing fasciitis in postpartum patients. A report of four cases Obstet Gynecol. 1977; 50:670–3. [PubMed: 337196]
- Hao Y, Senn T, Opp JS, Young VB, Thiele T, Srinivas G, et al. Lethal toxin is a critical determinant of rapid mortality in rodent models of Clostridium sordellii endometritis. Anaerobe. 2010; 16:155– 60. [PubMed: 19527792]
- Meites E, Zane S, Gould C, C. sordellii Investigators. Fatal Clostridium sordellii infections after medical abortions. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363:1382–3. [PubMed: 20879895]

- Winikoff B. Clostridium sordellii infection in medical abortion. Clin Infect Dis. 2006; 43:1447–8. [PubMed: 17083019]
- Fjerstad M, Trussell J, Lichtenberg ES, Sivin I, Cullins V. Severity of infection following the introduction of new infection control measures for medical abortion. Contraception. 2011; 83:330– 5. [PubMed: 21397090]
- Jones H, O'Connell White K, Lichtenberg ES, Paul M. Medical abortion provision in the United States. Contraception. 2014; 90:301.
- Thiele TL, Stuber TP, Hauer PJ. Detection of Clostridium sordellii strains expressing hemorrhagic toxin (TcsH) and implications for diagnostics and regulation of veterinary vaccines. Vaccine. 2013; 31:5082–7. [PubMed: 24016805]
- Stevens DL, Aldape MJ, Bryant AE. Life-threatening clostridial infections. Anaerobe. 2012; 18:254–9. [PubMed: 22120198]
- Nugent R, Krohn MJ, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol. 1991; 29:297–301. [PubMed: 1706728]
- 24. Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. Human gut microbes associated with obesity. Nature. 2006; 444:1022–3. [PubMed: 17183309]
- 25. Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, Ley RE, et al. A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. Nature. 2009; 457:480–4. [PubMed: 19043404]
- 26. Kissinger P, Rice J, Farley T, Trim S, Jewitt K, Margavio V, et al. Application of computer-assisted interviews to sexual behavior research. Am J Epidemiol. 1999; 149:950–4. [PubMed: 10342804]
- Ghanem KG, Hutton HE, Zenilman JM, Zimba R, Erbelding EJ. Audio computer assisted selfinterview and face to face interview modes in assessing response bias among STD clinic patients. Sex Transm Infect. 2005; 81:421–5. [PubMed: 16199744]
- Rogers SM, Willis G, Al-Tayyib A, Villarroel MA, Turner CF, Ganapathi L, et al. Audio computer assisted interviewing to measure HIV risk behaviours in a clinic population. Sex Transm Infect. 2005; 81:501–7. [PubMed: 16326855]



Fig. 1.

Presence of *Clostridium sordellii* (CS) and *Clostridium perfringens* (CP) across study visits. Denominators in boxes not marked LTF (lost to follow-up) indicate the number of participants for whom usable specimens for that visit were available. *Chong. Presence of Clostridia Bacteria in Women. Obstet Gynecol 2016.*

Table 1

Presence of Any Site Clostridium sordellii and Clostridium perfringens at Baseline by Selected Participant Characteristics

			CS P	resence			CP I	resence	
Participant Characteristics	Column %	u	Z	Row%	P^*	u	Z	Row%	P^*
Total		141	4,152	3.4		430	4,152	10.4	
Age (y) (n=4,149)									
18–20	11.2	14	464	3.0	.139	54	464	11.6	.354
21–25	29.6	37	1,230	3.0		138	1,230	11.2	
26–30	24.2	48	1,005	4.8		109	1,005	10.8	
31–35	15.3	15	633	2.4		54	633	8.5	
36-40	10.4	13	430	3.0		39	430	9.1	
41–45	9.3	14	387	3.6		36	387	9.3	
Education (n=4,124)									
Less than high school	5.5	8	226	3.5	.563	35	226	15.5	.042
High school or high school equivalency certificate	21.8	26	006	2.9		103	906	11.4	
Some college or associates	41.5	54	1,712	3.2		176	1,712	10.3	
Bachelor's degree	18.1	26	748	3.5		68	748	9.1	
Some graduate or graduate degree	13.0	24	538	4.5		47	538	8.7	
Race-ethnicity (n=4,121)									
White	46.7	60	1,925	3.1	.633	190	1,925	9.9	.659
Latina or Hispanic	11.6	20	478	4.2		48	478	10.0	
Black or African American	29.3	41	1,209	3.4		138	1,209	11.4	
Asian, Pacific Islander, or Hawaiian	3.1	9	129	4.7		11	129	8.5	
Other	9.3	11	380	2.9		41	380	10.8	
Region of current residence t' (n=4,145)									
Northeastern United States	22.3	41	929	4.4	.255	100	929	10.8	.051
Southern United States	26.4	30	1,091	2.7		88	1,091	8.1	
Midwestern United States	24.3	37	1,009	3.7		116	1,009	11.5	
Western United States	26.8	33	1,110	3.0		125	1,110	11.3	
Outside the United States (including Puerto Rico)	0.1	0	9	0.0		0	9	0.0	
Currently live with partner or spouse (n=4,123)									

Author Manuscript

		CSP	resence			CPI	resence	
Column %	u	Z	Row%	P^*	u	Z	Row%	P^*
39.0	57	1,607	3.5	.661	186	1,607	11.6	.036
0	ç	1120	((1110	ч с	

Participant Characteristics	Column %	u	N	Row%	P^*	u	Z	Row%	P^*
Yes	39.0	57	1,607	3.5	.661	186	1,607	11.6	.036
No	61.0	83	2,516	3.3		239	2,516	9.5	
Currently pregnant (n=4,150)									
Yes	38.0	50	1,575	3.2	.596	182	1,575	11.6	.052
No	62.0	91	2,575	3.5		248	2,575	9.6	
$BMI (kg/m^2) (n=4,128)$									
Less than 18.5	2.8	2	116	1.7	.306	12	116	10.3	<.001
18.5–24.9	41.4	52	1,711	3.0		155	1,711	9.1	
25.0–29.9	25.2	32	1,039	3.1		91	1,039	8.8	
30.0–34.9	15.4	24	635	3.8		75	635	11.8	
Greater than 35.0	15.2	29	627	4.6		95	627	15.2	
		-							

CS, Clostridium sordellii; CP, Clostridium perfringens; BMI, body mass index.

* Fisher exact P. ž⁴ Northeastern United States (Connecticut, Delaware, Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont); Southern United States (Alabama, Arkansas, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia); Midwestern United States (Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Dakota, Nebraska, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin); Western United States (Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming).

Table 2

Regression Models of Characteristics Associated With Baseline Presence of Clostridium sordellii and Clostridium perfringens

		lostridi	ım sordellii		G	ostridiu	m perfringen	S
Participant Characteristics	Crude OR	P^*	aOR (n=3,897)	P^*	Crude OR	P^*	aOR (n=3,855)	P^*
Age	1.00	970.	1.00	.844	0.99	.107	0.98	.024
Race-ethnicity								
White (ref)	1.00		1.00		1.00			
Latina or Hispanic	1.37	.252	1.36	.291	1.01	.961		
Black or African American	1.12	.506	1.11	.489	1.18	.211		
Asian, Pacific Islander, or Hawaiian	1.48	.230	1.84	.042	0.87	.484		
Other	0.93	.894	0.80	.663	1.08	.754		
Education								
Less than high school (ref)	1.00				1.00			
High school or high school equivalency certificate	0.80	.574			0.71	.245		
Some college or associates	0.87	.727			0.63	.081		
Bachelor's degree	0.97	.954			0.57	.159		
Some graduate or graduate degree	1.29	.528			0.54	.074		
BMI (kg/m ²)								
Less than 18.5	0.55	.587	0.63	.655	1.18	.653	1.00	.992
18.5–24.9 (ref)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
25.0–29.9	1.01	.962	1.04	.846	0.95	.774	0.95	.789
30.0–34.9	1.25	.340	1.35	.197	1.32	.013	1.31	.071
35 or greater	1.54	.105	1.74	.024	1.75	.001	1.83	<:001
Gynecologic surgery past 3 mo	3.75	.011	4.86	.001				
Ever been told had any STI	0.68	.005	0.75	.069				
Douche past 3 mo	0.61	.041	0.57	.017				
Never used a barrier method	1.66	.020	1.64	.031				
Currently live with partner or spouse					1.24	.041	1.27	.030
Heavy bleeding last menses					0.73	.014	0.69	.004
Ever anal sex with man					1.30	900.	1.34	.003
Ever surgical intervention for abortion					1.33	.004	1.40	.001

		Clostridi	um sordellii		Ū	ostridiu	m perfringe	ns
Participant Characteristics	Crude OR	P^*	aOR (n=3,897)	P^*	Crude OR	P^*	aOR (n=3,855)	P^*
Ever told had BV					0.78	.011	0.73	.004
Medication for GI disorder past 3 mo					1.69	.002	2.00	<.001
Ever thyroid disease					0.49	.017	0.45	.035
Asthma in past 3 mo					1.66	.001	1.51	.013
When took last antibiotic								
Currently taking (ref)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
4 wk or less	4.00	.199	4.11	.181	1.81	.291	1.61	.447
More than 4 wk ago	3.10	.278	2.75	.327	2.39	690.	2.23	.123
Never	6.54	.133	6.68	.125	3.70	.017	3.85	.010
Do not know	6.99	.081	6.76	.079	2.52	.012	2.78	.007

OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; ref. referent; BMI, body mass index; STI, sexually transmitted infection; BV, bacterial vaginosis; GI, gastrointestinal.

 $_{\rm x}^{\rm x}$ Both crude and adjusted estimates are based on generalized estimating equation models to estimate robust standard errors after adjusting for clustering at the recruitment clinic or site using an exchangeable correlation structure. Adjusted models are fully adjusted for all of the variables listed with adjusted estimates in the respective column.

-
-
_
_
_
_
-
\mathbf{O}
\mathbf{U}
_
-
_
_
0
a
lar
lan
lanu
lanu
lanus
lanus
lanuso
lanusc
lanuscr
lanuscri
lanuscri
lanuscrip
lanuscript

Table 3

Regression Models of Characteristics Associated With Visit 2 Presence of Clostridium sordellii and Clostridium perfringens

		Clostrid	ium sordellii			Clostridiu	n perfringens	
	<u>All Particip</u>	ants (n=2,651)	Abortion Parti	cipants (n=931)	All Participants	(n=2,468)	Abortion Particip	ants (n=916)
Participant Characteristics	aOR	P^*	aOR	P^*	aOR	P^*	aOR	P^*
Age	66:0	.656	66:0	.819	0.97	.003	66.0	.487
Education								
Less than high school (ref)	1.00				1.00			
High school or high school equivalency certificate	06.0	797.			0.54	<.001		
Some college	0.56	.178			0.58	<.001		
Bachelor's degree	0.89	.800			0.54	.016		
Some graduate	0.78	.501			0.60	.042		
<i>C sordellii</i> present at T1	2.38	.028	4.49	.003				
C perfringens present at T1					3.53	<.001	3.51	<.001
Took antibiotics for abortion								
None or a few (ref)	NA		1.00		NA		1.00	
All or almost all	NA		0.57	.207	NA		1.41	.299
Nearly half	NA		0.92	.893	NA		2.52	.114
Race-ethnicity								
White (ref)	1.00		1.00					
Latina	09.0	.286	0.82	.716				
African American	0.37	.035	0.31	.131				
Asian, Pacific Islander, or Hawaiian	0.44	.455	0.92	.937				
Other	0.85	.653	0.57	.344				
Used vaginal medication since T1	2.74	.010						
Currently pregnant	4.29	.024						
Type of abortion at or since T1								
No abortion (ref)	1.00		NA					
Medical	1.20	.702	0.78	.658				
Surgical	1.44	.399	1.00 (ref)					
Had vaginal sex since T1	1.45	.196						

Author	
Manuscrip	

All Participants (n=36) All Participants (n=36) Anoticipants (n=34) Anooticipants (n=34) Anooticipants (n			Clostrid	lium sordellii			Clostridiu	n perfringens	
Participant Characteristics a) p ^a		All Particip	ants (n=2,651)	Abortion Parti	icipants (n=931)	All Participants	s (n=2,468)	Abortion Partici	pants (n=916)
Action Lee part 3104 Nowe 091 700 Light (ref) 0.0 336 Light (ref) 0.0 336 Modenne 0.0 1.00 Modenne 0.0 336 Modenne 0.0 336 Moden fam since first visit 2.86 009 BM (kg/m) 1.00 322 1.00 BS 2-0.9 (ref) 1.33 1.00 32 1.00 BM (kg/m) 1.55 0.09 1.01 260 202 BM (kg/m) 1.55 1.00 2.02 1.01 20 20 BM (kg/m) 1.55 1.00 2.02 1.01 2.02 20 Statistic statistic 1.24 0.02 1.02 1.01 2.02 2.01 Statistic statistic 1.14 2.25 0.02 1.02 2.02 2.02 Statistic statistic 1.24 0.02 1.02 1.01 2.02 1.01 Statistic statentine<	Participant Characteristics	aOR	P^*	aOR	P^*	aOR	P^*	aOR	P^*
Nome 0.01 .00 Light (ref) 1.00	Alcohol use past 30 d								
Light (ref) 100 Modenee 0.89 536 Havy 1.69 336 Havy 1.69 380 Modenee 0.89 536 Worked on fam since first visit 2.86 .09 S.5 -329 (ref) 1.40 S.5 -39 1.40 S.5 -39 S.6 -399	None	0.91	.700						
Modena 039 836 Harry 1.06 1.80 Moden of fam since first visit 1.30 1.90 3.22 1.00 BMI (km ⁻) Less than 18.5 1.40 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 BMI (km ⁻) Less than 18.5 1.40 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 3.00	Light (ref)	1.00							
Hary 169 180 Worked on fam since first visit 2.86 009 32 100 BMI (kg/m) Less than 18.5 1.40 322 100 Less than 18.5 1.32 1.00 8et ⁴ 8et ⁴ Less than 18.5 1.32 1.00 1.17 8et ⁴ 18.5-24.9 (tcl) 1.32 1.00 1.17 8et ⁴ 18.5-24.9 (tcl) 1.32 1.00 1.17 8et ⁴ 18.5-24.9 (tcl) 1.32 1.00 1.17 8et ⁴ 30.0-34.9 3.02-34.9 1.00 1.17 8et ⁴ 30.0-34.9 3.02-34.9 1.00 1.17 1.00 30.0-34.9 3.02 1.00 1.17 1.00 1.17 30.0-34.9 1.30 1.12 1.17 1.00 1.17 1.00 30.0-34.9 1.00 1.13 0.01 1.14 1.00 1.00 10.0 0.6 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14	Moderate	0.89	.836						
Worked on farm since first visit 2.86 0.09 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.22 1.00 3.00	Heavy	1.69	.180						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Worked on farm since first visit	2.86	600.						
Les than 18.5 1.40 32.2 1.00 $18.5-2.4.9$ (ref) 1.3 1.0 Ref^4 $25.0-2.99$ 1.3 1.6 1.17 8.6^4 $25.0-2.99$ 1.33 1.60 1.17 8.6^4 $25.0-2.99$ $30.0-34.9$ 0.65 1.17 8.6^4 $30.0-34.9$ 35σ grave 1.56 0.65 1.17 0.67 $30.0-34.9$ 35σ grave 1.26 0.67 1.17 0.67 $30.0-34.9$ 0.00 1.42 0.29 1.09 0.92 35σ grave 1.12 0.73 0.12 1.72 0.92 100 0.60 0.73 0.12 1.16 0.11 100 0.73 0.12 1.16 0.12 0.12 100 0.60 0.12 0.01 1.16 0.11 100 0.61 1.16 0.12 1.16 1.16 100	BMI (kg/m ²)								
$13.5.4.9$ (rc) 100 gef^4 $250-299$ 1.33 1.60 1.17 603 $250-349$ $300-349$ 1.56 0.55 1.17 603 $300-349$ $350-349$ 0.02 1.59 0.03 $350-349$ $350-349$ 0.02 1.59 0.02 $350-349$ $500-349$ 0.02 1.92 0.02 $350-349$ 0.02 1.92 0.02 1.92 0.02 100 1.42 0.73 0.12 1.02 1.02 1.02 100 1.42 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.01 1.142 0.01 100 1.134 1.134 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.01 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02 1.142 0.02	Less than 18.5					1.40	.322	1.00	
250-299 1.3 160 1.17 60 300-349 2.00 0.25 1.17 60 35 or greater 2.00 0.02 1.59 60 35 or greater 1.42 0.29 1.83 0.0 10 set feminie product since T1 0.73 0.12 1.9 0.0 10 kerscription medication since T1 0.73 0.12 2.92 0.0 11 kerscription medication since T1 0.73 0.12 2.92 0.0 11 kerscription medication since T1 0.73 0.12 2.92 0.0 11 kerscription medication since T1 0.14 2.92 0.0 0.0 11 kerscription medication since T1 1.0 1.0 1.1 2.92 0.0 11 kerscription medication since T1 1.1 1.1 2.05 3.19 0.0 11 kerscription since Mathematican 1.1 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 11 kerscription since Mathematican 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 11 kerscription since Mathematican 1.12 1.14 1.14 1.14<	18.5–24.9 (ref)					1.00		$\operatorname{Ref}^{\not{ au}}$	
300-349 1.56 0.55 1.17 60 35 or greate 2.00 0.02 1.59 0.9 Used feminine product since T1 1.42 0.29 1.83 0.02 Took prescription medication since T1 0.73 0.12 2.92 0.03 No. of sex partners 0.73 0.12 2.92 0.01 No. of sex partners NA 1.02 2.92 0.01 No. of sex partners NA 1.03 2.92 0.01 No. of sex partners NA 1.03 2.92 0.01 No. of sex partners NA 2.92 0.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 No. of sex partners 0.06 1.14 1.00 1.14 1.02 1.01 Or etc. 0.01 1.13 1.14 1.01 1.01 1.01 In estice last antibiotic use Na mitbiotics (ref) 1.02 3.19 0.01 1.14 1.01 In estice last antibiotic use Na mitbiotics (ref) 1.02 3	25.0-29.9					1.33	.160	1.17	.628
35 or greatet 200 002 1.59 00 Used feminine product since T1 1.42 0.29 1.83 0.20 Took prescription medication since T1 0.73 0.12 2.92 0.00 Beeding during abortion required intervention 0.67 0.12 2.92 0.00 No. of sex pattnets NA 2.92 0.00 1.14 6.65 No. of sex pattnets 1.00 1.38 0.60 1.14 6.65 No. of sex pattnets 2.56 0.02 3.19 0.1 I 1 2.56 0.02 3.19 0.1 In esitive last antibiotic use 1.00 2.56 0.02 3.19 0.1 In esitive last antibiotic use 1.13 1.14 1.00 1.14 1.00 In esitive last antibiotic use 1.13 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 In esitive last antibiotic use 1.13 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.	30.0–34.9					1.56	.055	1.17	.604
Used feminine poduct since T1 1.42 0.29 1.83 0.23 To k prescription medication since T1 0.73 0.12 0.02 Bleeding during aboriton required intervention NA 2.92 0.00 No. of sex partners NA 2.92 0.00 No. of sex partners 1.00 1.00 1.00 No antibiotic use 2.56 0.02 3.19 No antibiotic use 0.02 3.19 0.12 Inces than 7 d 0.21 0.21 0.42 0.42 I + 2.0d 0.21 0.21 0.42 0.42 I + 2.0d 0.310 0.21 0.21 0.21	35 or greater					2.00	.002	1.59	.091
Took prescription medication since T1 0.73 012 Be define during abortion required intervention NA 2.92 $.00$ No. of sex partners 1.00 1.00 1.00 $.010$ No. of sex partners 1.00 1.00 1.14 $.651$ No for the structure 1.00 1.13 0.00 1.14 $.651$ Intersince last antibiotic use 2.56 $.002$ 3.19 $.01$ Time since last antibiotic use 1.00 1.14 $.651$ $.012$ $.012$ Time since last antibiotic use 1.26 $.002$ 3.19 $.01$ Time since last antibiotic use 1.00 1.14 $.051$ $.012$ Time since last antibiotic use 0.001 0.02 3.19 $.011$ Intersion of the since last antibiotic use 0.010 0.02 0.02 0.01 Intersion of the since last antibiotic use 0.010 0.02 0.01 0.01 Intersion of the since last antibiotic use 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01	Used feminine product since T1					1.42	.029	1.83	.023
Bleding during abortion required intervention NA 2.92 $.00$ No. of sex partners 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 No. of sex partners 1.00 1.00 1.14 $.65$ No. of sex partners 1.38 0.60 1.14 $.65$ I 1.38 0.60 1.14 $.65$ I 2.56 $.002$ $.319$ $.01$ The since last antibiotic use $.002$ $.319$ $.01$ No antibiotics (ret) $.002$ $.002$ $.319$ $.01$ Less than 7 d $.02$ $.029$ $.092$ $.021$ $.042$ $.1-13 d$ $.1-20 d$ $.12$ $.042$ $.12$ $.215$ $.215$ $.1-20 d$ $.033$ $.310$ $.031$ $.042$	Took prescription medication since T1					0.73	.012		
No. of sex partners 1.00 1.00 1.00 0 (ref) 1.38 0.60 1.14 .65 1 2 or more 2.56 .002 3.19 .01 Time since last antibiotic use 2 or more 2.56 .002 3.19 .01 Time since last antibiotic use No antibiotics (ref) .002 3.19 .01 .01 Time since last antibiotic use 1.00 0.98 .899 .01 .01 1 -13 d 1.00 .042 .172 .215 .215 1 -2.04 0.83 .310 .031 .310	Bleeding during abortion required intervention					NA		2.92	.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. of sex partners								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 (ref)					1.00		1.00	
2 or more 2.56 .002 3.19 .01 Time since last antibiotic use .002 3.19 .01 No antibiotics (ref) 1.00 .098 .899 .01 Less than 7 d 0.98 .899 .899 .012 .042 7-13 d 7-13 d .021 .042 .042 .042 14-20 d 1.72 .215 .215 .215 .215 21-30 d .083 .310 .013 .014 .014 .016 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.38</td><td>.060</td><td>1.14</td><td>.650</td></t<>	1					1.38	.060	1.14	.650
Time since last antibiotic use1.00No antibiotics (ref) 1.00 Less than 7 d 0.98 7-13 d 0.21 7-13 d 1.72 14-20 d 1.72 21-30 d 0.83	2 or more					2.56	.002	3.19	.017
No antibiotics (ref) 1.00 Less than 7 d 0.98 .899 7-13 d 0.21 .042 14-20 d 1.72 .215 21-30 d 0.83 .310	Time since last antibiotic use								
Less than 7 d 0.98 .899 7-13 d 0.21 0.42 14-20 d 1.72 .215 21-30 d 0.83 .310	No antibiotics (ref)					1.00			
7-13 d 0.21 .042 14-20 d 1.72 .215 21-30 d 0.83 .310	Less than 7 d					0.98	899.		
14-20 d 1.72 .215 21-30 d 0.83 .310	7–13 d					0.21	.042		
21–30 d 0.83 .310	14–20 d					1.72	.215		
	21–30 d					0.83	.310		

Obstet Gynecol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; ref. referent; T1, time 1; NA, not applicable; BMI, body mass index.

* Based on generalized estimating equation models to estimate robust standard errors after accounting for clustering at the recruitment clinic or site using an exchangeable correlation structure. Adjusted models are fully adjusted for all of the variables listed with adjusted estimates in the respective column.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

 $\stackrel{f}{\sim} Combined BMI less than 18.5 with BMI 18.5–24.9 as a result of small cell sizes.$ Author Manuscript