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#### Abstract

Purpose-A Youth Compendium of Physical Activities (Youth Compendium) was developed to estimate the energy costs of physical activities using data on youth only.


[^0]Methods-Based on a literature search and pooled data of energy expenditure measurements in youth, the energy costs of 196 activities were compiled in 16 activity categories to form a Youth Compendium of Physical Activities. To estimate the intensity of each activity, measured oxygen consumption $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ was divided by basal metabolic rate (Schofield age-, sex- and mass-specific equations) to produce a youth MET ( $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ). A mixed linear model was developed for each activity category to impute missing values for age ranges with no observations for a specific activity.

Results—This Youth Compendium consists of MET $_{y}$ values for 196 specific activities classified into 16 major categories for four age groups, 6-9, 10-12, 13-15, and $16-18$ years. MET $_{y}$ values in this Youth Compendium were measured (51\%) or imputed (49\%) from youth data.

Conclusion-This Youth Compendium of Physical Activities uses pediatric data exclusively, addresses the age-dependency of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and imputes missing $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values and thus represents advancement in the physical activity research and practice. This Youth Compendium will be a valuable resource for stakeholders interested in evaluating interventions, programs, and policies designed to assess and encourage physical activity in youth.
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## Introduction

Physical activity is a major component of daily energy expenditure, and the most variable among young individuals. An understanding of its relationship to growth and development is of paramount importance to the health and well-being of children (1). Physical activity is a complex construct often classified qualitatively into major categories based on function (occupation, recreation, sports, locomotion, self-care) or quantitatively based on intensity of effort (sedentary, light, moderate and vigorous) (2). Knowing the intensity level of the physical activities in which youth participate can help researchers and practitioners understand patterns of physical activity and prescribe and encourage an amount of activity for optimal health.

Assessment of physical activity and estimation of its energy costs in children has many applications for clinical practice, public health, and applied research. Valid methodology is needed to assess compliance with physical activity guidelines and test effectiveness of programs and interventions. In epidemiologic studies and clinical practice, self-report questionnaires, direct observation and monitoring devices are common tools to assess physical activity $(3,4)$. In these approaches, reported or observed physical activity data are converted to energy expenditure using previously determined metabolic equivalents (MET).

MET values are available from the Adult Compendium of Physical Activities (Adult Compendium) that classifies activities by function, specific type of activity, and intensity (5). METs are used to express the energy costs of physical activities as multiples of resting metabolic rate (RMR) (6). By convention, in adults 1 MET is taken to be an oxygen uptake of $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ or $1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{hr}^{-1}$. The Adult Compendium has 21 major activity
categories and 821 specific activities $(6,7)$. In the Adult Compendium, $68 \%$ of the MET values are based on referenced measurements and the remainder on expert opinion.

Adult MET values, however, are not applicable to children (8-10). Children have higher basal metabolic rates (BMR) per unit body mass than adults that decline gradually as children grow and mature. Sex-specific developmental changes in organ weights, organ specific metabolic rates, muscle mass and adiposity differentially affect BMR and are responsible for the decline in BMR $(11,12)$. BMR averages $\sim 6.5 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ or 1.9 $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ in a typical 6-year-old and approaches the adult value of $3.5 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ or $1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ in a typical 18-year-old. Use of the standard adult MET equivalency would underestimate BMR of children.

Also, young children compared to adolescents or adults have disproportionately higher energy expenditure per unit body mass for physical activities. The energy cost of performing submaximal activities increases with age when expressed as $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{min}$ but decreases with age when expressed relative to body mass ( $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) (10). In addition to the developmental changes in body size and composition discussed above, children become more skilled and efficient at performing certain activities. Consequently, the energy costs of physical activities are not constant throughout childhood.

To address these problems, Ridley and colleagues developed a Compendium of Energy Expenditures for Youth in 2008 (Ridley Compendium) that provided MET values for 244 activities, $38 \%$ of which were based on METs measured in children (13). The range of the number of data points used to assign an energy cost to an activity was 8 to 510. The remainder of the MET values were taken from the Adult Compendium (7), with the recommendation to multiply METs by child BMR when calculating total energy cost. Also, prediction equations for the energy costs of walking and running based on age and speed were provided. This was an important first step forward, especially in light of the available literature on youth energy expenditure at the time. However, the Ridley Compendium had several limitations: 1) small sample sizes in many studies, 2) a limited number of physical activities assessed, 3) only 19 pediatric published sources and 4) a constant MET value for each activity provided for all children aged $5-17 \mathrm{y}$. As with the Adult Compendium, the Ridley Compendium had 1) limited ability to precisely estimate energy expenditure of individuals, and 2) inherent errors for activities that can be performed at varying intensities.

To address these limitations, the Youth Energy Expenditure Workshop was convened by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the National Cancer Institute (NCI), and the National Collaborative for Childhood Obesity Research (NCCOR) on April 19-20, 2012 in Atlanta, GA. The Expert Panel agreed that an updated, expanded, and web-accessible compendium (named Youth Compendium of Physical Activities, henceforth referred to as Youth Compendium) would be a valuable contribution to the field and that two tasks would be necessary to accomplish this objective. The first was to conduct a systematic literature review in order to locate studies published since 2007 (the latest date covered in the Ridley Compendium). This search would broaden the scope of the Ridley Compendium by widening the age range and expanding the databases used to search for relevant studies. The
second task was to commission a workgroup of the Expert Panel to review and analyze existing data on the energy costs of physical activity in children.

The workgroup arrived at the conclusion that youth MET ( $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) presented by age groups was the preferred metric for presentation of the energy costs of physical activities in this Youth Compendium after a thorough evaluation of several options (9). Metrics of absolute oxygen uptake ( $\mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ), oxygen uptake per kilogram body mass ( $\dot{V} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ), net oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ - resting metabolic rate), allometric scaled oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in $\left.\mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-0.75} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}\left(\dot{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot\left[\text { resting } \dot{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{-1}\right)$ were evaluated based on pooled data of 947 children ages 5 to 18 years, who engaged in 14 different activities. No metric completely eliminated the influence of age, height, body mass, or sex for all 14 activities. Of the metrics examined, the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ displayed the least age dependency for sedentary and lowintensity activities. $\dot{V} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { ALLOMETRIC }}$ was the best for reducing age-dependency for moderate-to-vigorous intensity activities. Although $\dot{\operatorname{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { ALLOMETRIC }}$ appeared to work well for ambulatory activities, it did not work as well for activities that require additional equipment or more skills such as playing basketball. $V \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { ALLOMETRIC }}$ coefficients are complex to calculate and a different mass exponent may be required for each activity, making it challenging to directly compare activities. Based on these findings and considerations, the workgroup recommended the use of the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$.

Consistent with observations by Harrell et al. (14) and Trost et al. (15), the age-dependency of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values was a function of the type and intensity of the activity (9). $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for sedentary and light-intensity standing activities were not statistically influenced by age. For moderate-to-vigorous activities, $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ was positively correlated with age ( $\mathrm{r}=.28$ to .59). To account for the age-dependency in this Youth Compendium, $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values are presented for short, discrete age groups $-6-9,10-12,13-15$, and $16-18$ years. The errors in using a constant $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ value versus age groups or specific ages were evaluated in a separate paper by Pfeiffer et al. (16). The percent error reduction ranged from -0.2 to $21.7 \%$ for age groups and -0.23 to $18.2 \%$ for age in years compared to a constant $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ value.

This paper describes the development of a Youth Compendium, which presents the energy costs of 196 physical activities derived from pediatric data only, expressed in terms of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ for age categories $6-9,10-12,13-15$, and $16-18$ years. This Youth Compendium, which will be housed on the NCCOR website at www.nccor.org/nccor-tools/youthcompendium will be a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners interested in improving the health of children and adolescents through physical activity.

## METHODS

Data sources for this Youth Compendium included:

1. Systematic Reviews of the Literature (Ridley 2013, 2016 at www.nccor.org/ nccor-tools/youthcompendium): a) energy costs of physical activities excluding walking and running in children from 90 studies; and b) energy costs of walking and running in children from 75 studies (some studies overlap);
2. Pooled Dataset: energy costs of physical activities including walking and running derived from four publications (14,17-19);
3. 2016 JPAH Supplement: energy costs of physical activities in children including walking and running from 12 studies (20).

In these three data sources, BMR was predicted using age-, sex- and mass-specific Schofield equations (21) for calculation of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values. Schofield equations (21) were based upon 2060 children, ages $3-18$ y, from 44 published studies. Experimental conditions used for study inclusion stipulated true basal metabolism: 1) absence of gross muscular activity; 2) $\geq 12$-h post-absorptive state; 3) thermoneutrality; 4) emotional repose; and 5) stable nutritional status. No departure from goodness of fit was found when the equations were tested on a validation dataset. For ages 3-18 y, height did not contribute significantly to BMR, once weight had been taken into account.

The Schofield equations for boys, ages $3-10$ y and $10-18 \mathrm{y}$, are as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3-10 \text { y BMR }(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{min})=[22.706 \times \text { Weight }(\mathrm{kg})+504.3] / 1440 \\
& 10-18 \text { y BMR }(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{min})=[17.686 \times \text { Weight }(\mathrm{kg})+658.2] / 1440
\end{aligned}
$$

The Schofield equations for girls, ages 3-10 y and 10-18 y, are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3-10 \text { y BMR }(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{min})=[20.315 \times \text { Weight }(\mathrm{kg})+485.9] / 1440 \\
& 10-18 \text { y BMR }(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{min})=[13.384 \times \text { Weight }(\mathrm{kg})+692.6] / 1440 .
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Systematic Reviews of the Literature—Ridley and colleagues conducted two systematic literature reviews of the energy cost of physical activities in children (www.nccor.org/nccor-tools/youthcompendium). Study eligibility criteria included: full-text article, thesis/dissertation, or data provided from authors from a published conference abstract; data on children and adolescents; energy cost/expenditure of specific physical activities measured; energy cost/expenditure of walking and running measured at specific set speeds; and energy cost data measured using indirect calorimetry or direct calorimetry. Study exclusion criteria were: systematic reviews or meta-analysis and participant enrollment based on illness, with the exception of overweight and obesity. If studies
compared a control of healthy participants to a sample based on a specific pathology, data were extracted for the control sample only. The aim of the first search, completed in 2013, was to locate pediatric studies where the energy cost of specific physical activities excluding walking and running was measured. The aim of the second search, completed in 2016, was to locate pediatric studies where the energy cost of walking and running at selected speeds was measured. The following databases were searched: CINAHL, Cochrane library, EMBASE, Medline, Proquest, PsychINFO, SCOPUS, SportDiscus, and Web of Science. Where multiple metrics were provided for the energy cost of the activity, the following hierarchy was used to extract data: 1) $\dot{V} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 2$ ) energy expenditure (kJ), 3) energy expenditure (kcal), and 4) $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ calculated using the child's BMR. Where possible, energy cost data not provided as $\dot{V} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ml} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ were converted to this base metric for comparative purposes. Data not provided per unit mass were converted using the mean mass provided. Data presented in kcals were first converted to kJs using the conversion factor of 1 $\mathrm{kcal}=4.18 \mathrm{~kJ}$ and then converted to $\dot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ using a standard energy equivalent for oxygen uptake ( $20.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). The $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ cost was calculated by dividing the measured energy cost by the estimated mean BMR calculated using the age-, sex- and mass-specific Schofield prediction equations (21). The extracted dataset contained sampling schemes, methodology, and mean data for participant descriptive variables (sample size, age, weight, height) and energy costs of the activity (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, Activity-specific references for $\mathrm{MET}_{y}$ values).
2. Pooled Dataset-To supplement the literature review, $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for specific activities were compiled from a pooled dataset of energy costs of physical activities in 933 children, ages 5 to 18 y (9). Based on participant characteristics (age, sex, body mass) and activity-related oxygen uptake ( $\mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ), BMR was estimated by the Schofield prediction equation (21) and used to compute $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values. Studies by Crouter et al. (19), Trost et al. (17), and Harrell et al. (14) were located in the Ridley literature reviews; it should be noted, however, that $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values from these studies were not duplicated in this Youth Compendium.
3. 2016 JPAH Supplement—Energy costs of physical activities were extracted from recent publications in JPAH (22-33). BMR was estimated by the Schofield prediction equation (21) and used to compute MET $_{y}$ values. Data from Ridley et al. (34) were included in the 2013 literature review and the study by Trost et al. (15) were included in the pooled dataset and therefore not duplicated in this Youth Compendium.

## Development of this Youth Compendium

## Step 1. Classification of the Physical Activities into 16 Major Categories-

Specific activities from the literature review, the pooled dataset and the JPAH supplement were classified into 16 major categories taking into consideration body position (sitting, standing, lying down), upper or lower body movement, locomotion, weight or non-weight bearing, and intensity of effort. Because of the differences in child development and age dependency of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}(9)$, the observations were then classified into one of four a priori defined age groups, 6-9, 10-12, 13-15, and 16-18 years, based on the study mean age (median age when the mean was not provided).

Step 2. Profile Plots Constructed for 16 Major Categories—Age group specificmean $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were calculated for each specific activity. Profile plots were constructed for each of the 16 activity categories to show the missing data pattern for the different activities within the category. For the profile plots, each specific activity in a category was treated as a single observation, which meant one line per activity in each plot. Additionally, the percent of missing observations was calculated for each activity category within each age group.

Step 3. Multiple imputation of Missing MET $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{y}}$ Values-Multiple imputation of missing $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values was performed to maximize the utility and comprehensiveness of the Youth Compendium by providing $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values across all age groups for each activity. First, linear and quadratic regression models were computed to study the structure of the relationship between age and $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ cost for each activity with a sufficient number of observations using Proc GLM in SAS. Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was used to compare the fits of the linear and quadratic models to determine which model should be used to impute $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ cost in age ranges without observations. The linear component was significant for all specific activities and therefore the linear not the quadratic term was used in the imputations.

Next, a multiple imputation mixed model was used to impute missing values for age ranges in which there were no observations for a given activity. To take advantage of similar types of movement, a mixed model was used to properly account for the clustering in the data by specific activity within each activity category. The mixed model "borrowed" data from similar activities within a major category allowing for imputation of activities that had fewer observations. A previously published macro was used to perform the multiple imputation (35). A different imputation model was fit for each activity category to predict $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values from a linear age term and included random intercepts for the different activities in a category. Each missing value was imputed 20 times. The midpoint of each age range was used to calculate the imputed values. After the imputed values were generated, they were bounded with a lower bound of 1 and an upper bound of 3 standard deviations above the mean for the category. These bounds replaced any imputed values that were outside the predetermined range ( $1.1 \%$ of imputed $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were below 1.0 and $0.8 \%$ were above 3 SD, with no apparent clustering). Both the upper and lower bound adjustments took place after all imputations were performed, meaning that no imputations were skipped. Any abnormal imputed value that was changed was flagged in the imputed dataset.

Step 4. Review of the Observed and Imputed MET ${ }_{\mathrm{y}}$ Values-From the completed dataset, a table of the average $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values of each activity for each age group was generated, showing both observed and imputed values. Profile plots for each major activity category were created using the mean observed and imputed values. The table and plots of the average $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were reviewed for consistency among activities within the major activity categories and across age groups within each specific activity. Similar to the criterion for the imputed values, outlying values were defined as values 3 standard deviations above or below the mean for the category or contrary trends across age groups. A total of $4.6 \%$ of the mean $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were replaced by linear regression based on the age coefficient from the mixed model.

Step 5. Smoothing Observed and Imputed $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathbf{y}}$ Values-In order to smooth the observed and imputed $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values, mixed models for each of the major activity categories were conducted from the completed dataset to obtain model-based parameter estimates predicting $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ from age treated as a continuous variable. The activity- and age groupspecific $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were predicted using the fixed and random coefficients for the intercept and slope at the midpoint for each of the age groups. Profile plots for each major activity category were created using the model-based values. Similar to adjustments of the age-specific observed and imputed mean $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values, approximately $6 \%$ of model-based $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were adjusted to be consistent within the major activity categories and across age groups within each specific activity.

## Step 6. Development of a Coding Scheme for the Youth Compendium-To

 facilitate data coding, a six-digit coding scheme was developed for $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values in this Youth Compendium. In the coding scheme, the first two digits (1-16) represent the major activity category, the next three digits represent the specific activity, and the last digit represents the age group (1, reserved for $2-5 y ; 2$ for $6-9 y ; 3$ for $10-12 ; 4$ for 13-15; and 5 for 16-18 y). In Tables 1-4, the age group is designated by the placeholder X. For example, the activity codes for Aerobic Dance are 601002, 601003, 601004 and 601005 for the age groups 6-9 y, 10-12 y, 13-15 y, and 16-18 y, respectively. The corresponding $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values are $3.3,3.8,4.8$, and 4.0.
## RESULTS

In total, energy costs of 196 physical activities were extracted from 137 pediatric studies representing more than 37,000 observations on children, aged $11.5 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{y}$, with mean weight $44.8 \pm 14.4 \mathrm{~kg}$ and height $146.1 \pm 21.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1 , Activity-specific references for $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values). The literature review yielded energy cost values for 121 specific activities for children 6 years and older, excluding walking and running. The pooled dataset of 36 activities provided mean energy cost data of 14 additional activities and the JPAH supplement data of 78 activities provided mean energy costs of 34 additional activities. For walking and running activities, the mean energy costs of walking ( 12 levels) and running ( 15 levels) at various speeds available from all three sources were grouped by 0.5 mph increments (walking 0.5 to 5.0 mph ; running 3.0 to 8.0 mph ) where available. When speed was not provided, walking and running activities were classified by effort (e.g., slow, fast, self-paced).

The 196 specific activities were classified into 16 major categories. Most sports games were simulated and self-paced, in the sense that they were modified games imitating the typical movement patterns of the game (i.e., dribbling a soccer ball through cones to simulate movements in a soccer game). The Computer/Video Games (sitting) category reflected sedentary behaviors such as computer games, mobile phone games, Nintendo, and PS2 \& 3, which do not require children to stand or do much movement other than moving a joystick or pushing controls with hands or fingers. For the Active Video Games, the children performed some sort of movement, whether it was standing and imitating a sport such as swinging a tennis racket or performing a dance movement. The energy costs for Active

Video Games varied substantially and therefore were split into Active Video Games (Full Body) and Active Video Games (Upper Body) to distinguish the energy costs.

Youth Compendium database resulted in 397 observed mean MET $_{\mathrm{y}}$ values (51\%) and 380 imputed mean MET $_{y}$ values ( $49 \%$ ) in the four age groups for 196 specific activities (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, Table of observed and imputed $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values by activity and age group). The imputed and observed $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for the specific activities within each major category were graphically examined to identify inconsistencies (see Figure, Supplemental Digital Content 3, Activity-specific youth MET $_{y}$ values by age groups for each major activity category).

The final model-based MET $_{\mathrm{y}}$ values in the four age groups for 196 specific activities are presented in Tables 1-4 and as a supplemental file (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 4. Table of model-based $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values by activity and age group). The tables display the activity code, major activity category, specific activity and the model-based $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for the four age groups. The model-based $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values are graphically illustrated for the specific activities within four of the major categories (Figure 1). Figure 1 shows that the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for quiet play/schoolwork/television and housekeeping/work that are independent of age, in contrast to dance/aerobics/steps and running, where the agedependency for $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values and wider range of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ intensities are evident. In general, the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values of the more sedentary activities were similar across age groups, and the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values of the more intense activities increased with age.

An estimate of the energy cost of a physical activity can be computed based on the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ value from the Youth Compendium, a measured or computed BMR, and duration of the specific activity, as follows:

$$
\text { Energy cost }(\mathrm{kcal})=\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}} \times \mathrm{BMR}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{min}) \times \text { duration }(\mathrm{min})
$$

where the BMR for boys and girls is predicted using age-, sex- and mass-specific Schofield equations (21).

## DISCUSSION

This paper describes a Youth Compendium of Physical Activities based completely on empirical energy expenditure measurements in children. This Youth Compendium consists of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for 196 specific activities classified into 16 major categories for four age groups - 6-9, 10-12, 13-15 and 16-18 y. The methods used in formulating this Youth Compendium sought to address the unique developmental challenges in determining the energy costs of physical activities in children. First, all $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were measured or derived from pediatric data only. Second, missing MET $_{y}$ data were predicted using a specific imputation mixed model for each major activity category. Third, $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for each activity were provided for the four age groups to address the age-dependency of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values (9).

Past studies have shown that the age-dependency of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values is a function of the type and intensity of the activity. In our study, the $\mathrm{MET}_{y}$ values for sedentary and light-intensity standing activities were not appreciably influenced by age, whereas for moderate-tovigorous activities, MET $_{y}$ values were positively correlated with age (9). These observations were reinforced by the profile plots of this Youth Compendium which showed consistent overall trends within major activity categories. In general, the low intensity categories display no slope, and the higher intensity categories a positive slope across age groups.

The energy costs of many specific activities were not available from the literature search for all age groups. Therefore, we used a specific multiple imputation mixed model for each major activity category to fill-in missing data, resulting in $49 \%$ of the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values in the database. This approach maximized use of all the data and simultaneously considered linear trends across age groups and patterns within age-groups for similar activities. Presentation of the imputed values in the Supplemental Digital Content allowed for identification of gaps in the literature that may be filled with future research on the energy costs of physical activities in youth.

In the compilation and profile plots of the measured and imputed data on the energy cost of physical activities, variability within specific activities was evident. Variability in the measured values across physical activities and age groups can be attributed to different study designs, participant characteristics, procedures and equipment among studies, developmental changes in body size and composition, age-dependent levels of skill and efficiency, and participant self-selected pace and effort for uncontrolled activities. Some activities were controlled (e.g., walking and running at set speeds on a treadmill); whereas others were performed at self-selected intensities. These volitional activities would be expected to have greater variation in $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values among children because of differences in: 1) the fitness level of the child; 2) the child's perceptions of intensity; 3) the child's motivation; 4) previous experience with the activity; and 5) experimental conditions and instructions given.

To reconcile the irregularities in $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values within the major activity categories and across age groups within each specific activity, the observed and imputed values were smoothed producing more physiologically consistent $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values. While these values may be refined and expanded with future research, they currently represent the best estimates of the energy costs of physical activities in youth.

The comprehensive tables of model-based $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values can serve as a valuable resource for estimating energy costs of physical activities in research and clinical studies from self-report questionnaires, direct observation, and monitoring devices. This Youth Compendium also may assist in assessing physical activity guidelines such as the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans (www.health.gov/paguidelines) and estimating physical activity levels for applications that estimate energy requirements of children such as Choose My Plate (https://www.choosemyplate.gov/) or Dietary Reference Intakes (https:// www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/dietary-reference-intakes). Because application of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values requires tailoring to the child's BMR computed based on age, sex and body weight, the $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values presented here can be applied to a wide range of children and adolescents thus making them generalizable to healthy pediatric populations, ages 6 to 18 years.

Caveats of this Youth Compendium are not unlike the limitations acknowledged for the Ridley Compendium (13) and the Adult Compendium (5-7). In all cases, the MET values are averages, and therefore do not reflect individual variability due to body composition, fitness, effort, mechanical efficiency, or environmental conditions under which the activity is performed. Some activities in this Youth Compendium can be performed at varying intensities and therefore are inherently more variable than prescribed activities such as walking and running at set speeds. $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values will be more accurate at the group than the individual level. In cases where individual estimation is desired, users should realize that energy expenditure can be influenced by many factors not captured by the mean $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values presented here. Users should appreciate that these values are estimates and are not recommended to be used for precise estimation of energy balance.

In calculating $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values, the denominator BMR is computed based on age, sex, and body weight. Although incorporation of BMR greatly reduces the weight dependency, $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values are not completely independent of body weight, especially for locomotive activities. Average $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values can underestimate energy costs in children with obesity and overestimate them in children with underweight (36). Factors such as body composition, body shape, cross-sectional area of the primary muscles, leg length, and efficiency of body movement may influence the relationship of energy expenditure to body weight (9). For this Youth Compendium, data were not excluded on the basis of weight status. In fact, many studies did not provide information on the BMI status of their participants. Therefore, the average $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values in this Youth Compendium are applicable to all healthy children with the caveat that overestimation or underestimation of energy costs of some activities may occur with subgroups of children based on BMI status.

The breadth of child and adolescent activities in this Youth Compendium is incomplete. The majority of $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values were measured in mid-childhood, and therefore more data are needed in very young children and older adolescents. The energy costs of specific activities such as transport, gardening, and certain sports (e.g., kayaking, sailing, ice skating, skateboarding, water polo, horseback riding, martial arts, lacrosse, rugby, rock climbing, and softball) are not available. Particularly lacking in the current Youth Compendium are $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for occupational work, self-care, lawn and garden activities. We envisage this Youth Compendium will be updated and refined over time as more measured values are published. Future research efforts could solicit certain types of activities in specific age groups to fill the gaps. In addition, this Youth Compendium is not applicable to children with illnesses or disabilities that alter movement or mechanical efficiency and thereby the energy cost of activities. Research is needed to fill the paucity of energy expenditure data in special populations.

In conclusion, this Youth Compendium of Physical Activities presents $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for 196 activities across four age groups $-6-9,10-12,13-15$, and 16-18 years. The new Youth Compendium can be used to standardize the scoring and interpretation of youth physical activity data in research and public health surveillance applications. It will be a valuable resource to standardize the scoring and interpretation of youth physical activity data in research and public health surveillance applications.
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Figure 1.
Youth METs $\left(\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ are plotted by age groups - midpoint (y) for the following activity categories: Quiet Play/Schoolwork/Television (sitting) including the specific activities: $\mathrm{A}=$ arts and crafts; $\mathrm{B}=$ board games; $\mathrm{C}=$ coloring, reading, writing, internet; $\mathrm{D}=$ computer work; $\mathrm{E}=$ giving a speech; $\mathrm{F}=$ listening to radio; $\mathrm{G}=$ listening to story; $\mathrm{H}=$ playing quietly; I=playing stringed instrument; J=playing with bricks; $\mathrm{K}=$ playing with toys (cards, puzzles, cars, trains); L=puzzles; M=quietly sitting; $\mathrm{N}=$ reading; $\mathrm{O}=$ reading a book and listening to music; $\mathrm{P}=$ schoolwork; $\mathrm{Q}=$ sewing; $\mathrm{R}=$ singing; $\mathrm{S}=$ talking with friend; $\mathrm{T}=$ watching TV/DVD; U=writing; Housekeeping/Work including the specific activities: A=bedmaking; $\mathrm{B}=$ carpentry; $\mathrm{C}=$ dressing and undressing; $\mathrm{D}=$ dusting; $\mathrm{E}=$ dusting and sweeping; $\mathrm{F}=$ hanging out washing; $\mathrm{G}=$ housework; $\mathrm{H}=$ laundry; $\mathrm{I}=$ loading/unloading; $\mathrm{j}=$ setting the table; $\mathrm{J}=$ setting the table; K=shoveling; L=sweeping; M=vacuuming; N=washing the dishes; Dance/
Aerobics/Step including the specific activities: $\mathrm{A}=$ aerobic dance/dance; $\mathrm{B}=$ stair walkingascending; $\mathrm{C}=$ stair walking -ascending 80 steps/min; $\mathrm{D}=$ stair walking - ascending/ descending; $\mathrm{E}=$ stair walking - descending; $\mathrm{F}=$ step board; $\mathrm{G}=$ stepping - height 30-50\% leg length; Running including the specific activities: $\mathrm{A}=$ jog-fast; $\mathrm{B}=$ jog-slow; $\mathrm{C}=$ jog self-paced; $\mathrm{D}=$ run 3.0 mph ; $\mathrm{E}=$ run 3.5 mph ; $\mathrm{F}=$ run 4.0 mph ; $\mathrm{G}=$ run 4.5 mph ; $\mathrm{H}=$ run 5.0 mph ; $\mathrm{I}=$ run 5.5 mph ; $\mathrm{J}=$ run 6.0 mph ; $\mathrm{K}=$ run 6.5 mph ; $\mathrm{L}=$ run $7.0 \mathrm{mph} ; \mathrm{M}=$ run 7.5 mph ; $\mathrm{N}=$ run 8.0 mph ; $\mathrm{O}=$ run self-paced.
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Model-based youth MET ( $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) values for activities while lying and sitting for the Youth Compendium of Physical Activities

| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity | Youth MET (METy) by Age Group (years) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 2}$ |


| 35100 X | COMPUTER/VIDEO GAMES (SITTING) | COMPUTER GAMES (COMPILATION OF GAMES) | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35120 X | VIDEO GAMES - BOWLING | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 35140 X | VIDEO GAMES - DRIVING SIMULATOR | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
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| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity | Youth MET ( MET $_{\text {y }}$ ) by Age Group (years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6-9 | 10-12 | 13-15 | 16-18 |
| 35160X |  | VIDEO GAMES - GAMEBOY | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35180x |  | VIDEO GAMES - GAMEPAD | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35200X |  | VIDEO GAMES - HANDHELD | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35220x |  | VIDEO GAMES - MOBILE PHONE | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35240X |  | VIDEO GAMES - NINTENDO | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35260x |  | VIDEO GAMES - PS2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35280x |  | VIDEO GAMES - PS3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35300X |  | VIDEO GAMES - XBOX360 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 35320X |  | VIDEO GAMES (COMPILATION OF GAMES) | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
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Model-based youth MET ( $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) for activities while standing, doing housework, and playing active video games for the Youth Compendium of Physical

| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity | Youth MET ( MET $_{\text {y }}$ ) by Age Group (years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6-9 | 10-12 | 13-15 | 16-18 |
| 15160X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - CATCHING TARGETS | 2.6 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.4 |
| 15180X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - DANCE | 2.3 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| 15200X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - HOVERBOARD | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| 15220X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - KINECT ADVENTURE GAMES AND SPORTS | 3.1 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| 15240X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - LIGHTSPACE | 3.2 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 6.0 |
| 15260X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - OLYMPIC GAMES | 2.6 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.4 |
| 15280X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - SPORTWALL | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
| 15300X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - TRAZER | 2.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 5.5 |
| 15320X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WALKING ON TREADMILL AND BOWLING | 2.8 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.7 |
| 15340X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WATCHING TV/DVD - WALKING | 2.2 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| 15360X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII AEROBICS | 2.2 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| 15380X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII BOXING/TENNIS | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.8 |
| 15400X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII HOCKEY | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| 15420X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII MUSCLE CONDITIONING | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 15440X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII SKIING | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| 15460X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII STEP | 2.5 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| 15480X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII TENNIS | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 4.0 |
| 15500X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII YOGA | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| 15520X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - XAVIX | 4.2 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| 15540X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES (COMPILATION OF GAMES) | 3.9 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6.7 |
| 15560X |  | ARCADE VIDEO GAME - AIR HOCKEY | 2.4 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 5.1 |
| 15580X |  | ARCADE VIDEO GAME - HORSE RIDING SIMULATION | 4.1 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 |
| 20100X | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES (UPPER BODY) | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - BOWLING | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 20120X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - DRIVING SIMULATOR | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 20140X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII (COMPILATION OF GAMES) | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 20160X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII BALANCE | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 20180X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII BASKETBALL | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 20200X |  | ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES - WII GOLF | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 20220X |  | ARCADE VIDEO GAME - DRIVING SIMULATION | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
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| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity | Youth MET ( $\mathrm{MET}_{\mathbf{y}}$ ) by Age Group (years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6-9 | 10-12 | 13-15 | 16-18 |
| 20240X |  | ARCADE VIDEO GAME - SHOOTING HOOPS | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
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| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity | Youth MET ( MET $_{\text {y }}$ ) by Age Group (years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6-9 | 10-12 | 13-15 | 16-18 |
| 65320X |  | ROLLERBLADING | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| 65340X |  | SKIING | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| 65360X |  | SLIDE BOARD - 40 SLIDES/MIN | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| 65380X |  | SLIDE BOARD - 50 SLIDES/MIN | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| 65400x |  | SLIDE BOARD - 60 SLIDES/MIN | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| 65420X |  | SLIDE BOARD - 70 SLIDES/MIN | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 |
| 65440X |  | SLIDE BOARD - 80 SLIDES/MIN | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| 65460X |  | SOCCER - AROUND CONES | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| 65480X |  | SOCCER - GAME | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.7 |
| 65500x |  | TABLE TENNIS | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 65520X |  | TENNIS PRACTICE AND GAMES | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| 65540X |  | ULTIMATE FRISBBE | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| 65560X |  | volleyball | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| 40100X | DANCE/AEROBICS/STEPS | AEROBIC DANCE/DANCE | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| 40120X |  | STAIR WALKING - ASCENDING | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| 40140X |  | STAIR WALKING - ASCENDING 80 STEPS/MIN | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 7.1 |
| 40160X |  | STAIR WALKING - ASCENDING/DESCENDING | 5.5 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 7.7 |
| 40180X |  | STAIR WALKING - DESCENDING | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| 40200x |  | STEP BOARD | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| 40220X |  | STEPPING - HEIGHT 30\%-50\% LEG LENGTH | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| 25100X | BIKE/SCOOTER RIDING | RIDING A BIKE - FAST SPEED | -- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.5 | 7.3 | 8.1 |
| 25120X |  | RIDING A BIKE - MEDIUM SPEED | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 |
| 25140X |  | RIDING A BIKE - SELF PACED | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 |
| 25160X |  | RIDING A BIKE - SLOW SPEED | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
| 25180X |  | RIDING A MINI - SCOOTER | 5.7 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.4 |
| 25200X |  | RIDING SCOOTER | 4.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.8 |
| 10100X | ACTIVE PLAY | BALL GAMES - BOUNCING, KICKING, DRIBBLING BALL, REACTION BALL (MODERATE INTENSITY) | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 |


| Code | Activity Category | Specific Activity | Youth MET ( MET $_{\text {y }}$ ) by Age Group (years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 6-9 | 10-12 | 13-15 | 16-18 |
| 10120X |  | BALL GAMES - BOUNCING, KICKING, DRIBBLING BALL, REACTION BALL (VIGOROUS INTENSITY) | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| 10140X |  | DODGEBALL TYPE GAMES (E.G., CASTLES, HOT FEET) | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.3 |
| 10160X |  | FREE PLAY (BASKETBALL, ROPE, HOOP, CLIMB, LADDER, FRISBEE) | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
| 10180X |  | FREEZE/ZONE TAG (MODERATE INTENSITY) | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 |
| 10200x |  | FREEZE/ZONE TAG (VIGOROUS INTENSITY) | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| 10220x |  | HIKING | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| 10240X |  | HOPSCOTCH | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| 10260x |  | JUMP ROPE | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| 10280x |  | MARCHING - 75M.MIN INSTRUMENTS | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| 10300X |  | MARCHING - 75M.MIN NO INSTRUMENTS | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| 10320X |  | MARCHING - 91M.MIN NO INSTRUMENTS | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| 10340X |  | MISCELLANEOUS GAMES - VIGOROUS (E.G., SLAP THE BALL, BUILDERS AND BULLDOZERS, CLEAN THE ROOM) | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| 10360X |  | MISCELLANEOUS GAMES - MODERATE (E.G., SIMON'S SPOTLIGHT) | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| 10380X |  | OBSTACLE/LOCOMOTOR COURSE - MODERATE | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| 10400x |  | OBSTACLE/LOCOMOTOR COURSE - VIGOROUS | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.7 |
| 10420X |  | PLAYING GAMES (CATCH AND THROW BALLS, JUMPING JACKS) | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| 10440X |  | PLAYING TAG - MODERATE | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| 10460X |  | PLAYING TAG-VIGOROUS | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| 10480X |  | RELAY | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.3 |
| 10500x |  | SHARKS AND MINOOWS | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| 10520X |  | TRAMPOLINE | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.5 |
| 75100X | SWIMMING | SWIMMING - 200M | 10.6 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
| 75120X |  | SWIMMING - FRONT CRAWL 0.9 M.SEC | 9.7 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 8.8 |
| 75140X |  | SWIMMING - FRONT CRAWL 1.0 M.SEC | 10.0 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 9.2 |
| 75160X |  | SWIMMING - FRONT CRAWL 1.1 M. SEC | 10.6 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.1 |
| 75180X |  | SWIMMING - SELF-SELECTED PACE | 9.5 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 8.6 |
| 75200X |  | SYNCHRONISED SWIMMING | 10.1 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 9.5 |
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