



Published in final edited form as:

BMJ. ; 345: e7818.

Should India launch a national immunisation programme against rotavirus? Yes

Johnie Rose¹ [senior instructor] and Umesh D Parashar² [medical epidemiologist]

¹Preventive Medicine Residency, Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, Division of Research, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

²Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

The World Health Organization recommends inclusion of rotavirus vaccination of infants into all national immunisation programmes, with a strong recommendation for introduction of vaccine in countries like India where diarrhoeal deaths account for 10% of child mortality.¹ The health burden of rotavirus in India is well established. WHO estimated that 98 621 Indian children died from rotavirus gastroenteritis in 2008, representing about one third of deaths from diarrhoeal disease and 4% of all child deaths in India.² More recent data from the Million Death Study, a nationally representative survey of 1.1 million Indian households, estimated that the virus causes 113 000 deaths a year.³ Both of these figures are conservative compared with an estimate of 147 000 annual rotavirus deaths obtained by directly extrapolating rates of laboratory confirmed rotavirus mortality from a contemporary community based birth cohort study in India.⁴

Non-fatal rotavirus gastroenteritis results in around 880 000 hospital admissions and 1.26 million clinic visits annually in Indian children, costing \$65m (358 crore; £41m; €1m).⁵ Because rotavirus affects essentially all children in both developing and industrialised countries by the age of 5 years, interventions to improve hygiene and provide clean water alone are considered inadequate to prevent transmission. In addition, although severe and fatal outcomes of rotavirus diarrhoea can be reduced by timely access to medical treatment, a national survey in 2005–6 found that a quarter of Indian children under 5 years with diarrhoea received no treatment and only 39% received oral rehydration therapy.⁶ Vaccination is therefore the best method to prevent deaths and morbidity.

Efficacious vaccines with favourable benefit:risk ratio

Two internationally licensed rotavirus vaccines have shown 85%–98% efficacy against severe rotavirus disease in large clinical trials in middle and high income countries^{7,8} and their routine use has led to notable reductions in severe morbidity and mortality from

Correspondence to: J Rose johnie.rose@case.edu.

The views expressed in this article do not necessarily represent those of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Competing interests: Both authors have completed the ICJME unified declaration form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years, no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

childhood diarrhoea.⁹¹⁰ Neither vaccine has been tested for efficacy in India. Concerns about local efficacy have been raised, especially given the large diversity of rotavirus strains prevalent in India. However, pre-licensing and post-licensing data from diverse settings indicate that both vaccines provide good protection against a range of strains (including vaccine mismatch strains).⁹¹¹ The efficacy of the vaccines in India is likely to be similar to that in low income countries in Africa and Asia (40%–60%, including 42.7% in Bangladesh).¹² Although this efficacy may seem low, the absolute public health benefits of vaccination are likely to be substantial because of the large health burden of rotavirus disease. With coverage similar to the first two doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP) vaccine (73% and 63%, respectively), a 50% efficacious rotavirus vaccine would prevent around 44 000 deaths and 290 000 hospital admissions in Indian children each year.⁵

The benefits of rotavirus vaccination have to be weighed against possible risks. An earlier rotavirus vaccine was withdrawn in the United States in 1999 after it was linked to an increased risk of intussusception (about one case per 10 000 vaccinated infants).¹³ However, risk of intussusception was not increased in pivotal trials of both currently licensed rotavirus vaccines conducted in over 60 000 infants each.⁷⁸ Post-licensing data have shown a small risk of intussusception, mainly in the first week after the first vaccine dose, in some high and middle countries but not in others.¹⁴ Data on intussusception risk from India or from other low income settings are lacking. Even if we assumed that the intussusception risk seen in some international settings (1–2 cases per 100 000 vaccinated infants) were to exist in India, the benefits of vaccination (around 44 000 rotavirus deaths prevented) would far exceed this risk. Similar benefit-risk considerations in other settings have led WHO and other policy bodies to continue support for use of rotavirus vaccines globally.¹⁴

Vaccines are cost effective and being locally developed

In a country where health spending is \$54 per capita, cost effectiveness and affordability of vaccination are important considerations. Two separate analyses evaluated cost effectiveness of vaccination at prices ranging up to \$7 per dose for a two dose schedule and showed that rotavirus vaccines could be considered very cost effective based on international standards, although substantial resources would be required to provide vaccine at the higher end of the price range.⁵¹⁵ Currently, India has the opportunity to apply for financial support from the GAVI Alliance for procurement of rotavirus vaccines with a cofinancing requirement of \$0.20 a dose.

Meanwhile, several Indian companies are manufacturing rotavirus vaccines, including an Indian neonatal rotavirus strain vaccine set to finish phase III testing in 2013.⁶¹³¹⁴ If trial results are favourable, this vaccine could be licensed in India within two years. The manufacturer has pledged to provide vaccine at \$1 per dose,¹⁶ which would make a vaccination programme highly cost effective. Furthermore, the potential availability of low cost, indigenously manufactured vaccines would allay concerns about long term sustainability of financial support from donors and would assure adequate vaccine supply.

References

1. Meeting of the Immunization Strategic Advisory Group of Experts, April 2009—conclusions and recommendations. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec.* 2009; 84:220–36. [PubMed: 19499606]
2. Tate JE, Burton AH, Boschi-Pinto C, Steele AD, Duque J, Parashar UD. 2008 estimate of worldwide rotavirus-associated mortality in children younger than 5 years before the introduction of universal rotavirus vaccination programmes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2012; 12:136–41. [PubMed: 22030330]
3. Morris SK, Awasthi S, Khera A, Bassani DG, Kang G, Parashar UD, et al. Rotavirus mortality in India: estimates based on a nationally representative survey of diarrhoeal deaths. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2012; 90:720–7. [PubMed: 23109739]
4. Tate JE, Chitambar S, Esposito DH, Sarkar R, Gladstone B, Ramani S, et al. Disease and economic burden of rotavirus diarrhoea in India. *Vaccine.* 2009; 27(Suppl 5):F18–24. [PubMed: 19931713]
5. Esposito DH, Tate JE, Kang G, Parashar UD. Projected impact and cost-effectiveness of a rotavirus vaccination program in India, 2008. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011; 52:171–7. [PubMed: 21288839]
6. International Institute for Population Sciences, Macro International. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3), 2005–06: India. 2007; 1:242. pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNADK385.pdf.
7. Ruiz-Palacios GM, Perez-Schael I, Velazquez FR, Abate H, Breuer T, Clemens SC, et al. Safety and efficacy of an attenuated vaccine against severe rotavirus gastroenteritis. *N Engl J Med.* 2006; 354:11–22. [PubMed: 16394298]
8. Vesikari T, Matson DO, Dennehy P, Van Damme P, Santosham M, Rodriguez Z, et al. Safety and efficacy of a pentavalent human-bovine (WC3) reassortant rotavirus vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2006; 354:23–33. [PubMed: 16394299]
9. Patel MM, Glass R, Desai R, Tate JE, Parashar UD. Fulfilling the promise of rotavirus vaccines: how far have we come since licensure? *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2012; 12:561–70. [PubMed: 22742639]
10. Richardson V, Hernandez-Pichardo J, Quintanar-Solares M, Esparza-Aguilar M, Johnson B, Gomez-Altamirano CM, et al. Effect of rotavirus vaccination on death from childhood diarrhea in Mexico. *N Engl J Med.* 2010; 362:299–305. [PubMed: 20107215]
11. Steele AD, Neuzil KM, Cunliffe NA, Madhi SA, Bos P, Ngwira B, et al. Human rotavirus vaccine Rotarix provides protection against diverse circulating rotavirus strains in African infants: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2012; 12:213. [PubMed: 22974466]
12. Babji S, Kang G. Rotavirus vaccination in developing countries. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2012; 2:443–8. [PubMed: 22698800]
13. Murphy TV, Gargiullo PM, Massoudi MS, Nelson DB, Jumaan AO, Okoro CA, et al. Intussusception among infants given an oral rotavirus vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2001; 344:564–72. [PubMed: 11207352]
14. World Health Organization. Statement on Rotarix and Rotateq vaccines and intussusception. 2010. www.who.int/vaccine_safety/committee/topics/rotavirus/rotarix_and_rotateq/intussusception_sep2010/en/
15. Rose J, Hawthorn RL, Watts B, Singer ME. Public health impact and cost effectiveness of mass vaccination with live attenuated human rotavirus vaccine (RIX4414) in India: model based analysis. *BMJ.* 2009; 339:b3653. [PubMed: 19783581]
16. Bharat Biotech. Bharat Biotech announces the price of Rotavac its potential vaccine against rotavirus diarrhoea. Jun 6. 2011 Press release www.indiaprwire.com/pressrelease/biotechnology/2011060688451.htm