Conclusion. We have demonstrated the feasibility of implementing large-scale, saliva-based cCMV screening program within one hospital system. Universal screening detected twice as many infected infants than would have targeted screening based on newborn hearing screen and growth parameters.

Disclosures. J. P. Devincenzo, AstraZeneca/MedImmune: Investigator, Research support

950. Evidence for Cross-species Influenza A Virus Transmission within Swine Farms, China

Mai-Juan Ma, MD¹; Guo-Lin Wang, PhD student¹; Benjamin Anderson, PhD²; Zhen-Qiang Bi, MD^{3,4}; Bing Lu, MS⁵; Xian-Jun Wang, MS^{3,4}; Chuang-Xin Wang, MS⁶; Shan-Hui Chen, MS⁵; Yan-Hua Qian, MPH⁵; Shao-Xia Song, MS^{3,4}; Min Li, MS⁶; John A. Lednicky, PhD⁷; Teng Zhao, PhD student¹; Meng-Na Wu, MS student¹; Wu-Chun Cao, PhD¹; Gregory Gray, MD, MPH^{2,8,9}; Istate Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing, China; ²Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Duke University's School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina; ³Shandong Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Jinan, China; ⁴Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Disease Control and Prevention, Jinan, China; ⁵Wuxi Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Kuina; ⁶Licheng District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Jinar, China; ⁶Dublic Health & Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida; ⁸Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore; ⁹Duke Kunshan University, Kunshan, China

Session: 122. Public Health Potpourri

Friday, October 6, 2017: 2:00 PM

Background. Our understanding of the risk factors for swine influenza A virus transmission between humans and pigs is sparse.

Methods. Beginning in 2015, we used a One Health approach and serial sampling to prospectively study 299 swine workers and 100 controls, their 9000 pigs, and six pig farm environments in China for influenza A viruses (IAVs) using molecular, culture, and immunological techniques. Study subjects were closely monitored for influenza-like illness (ILI) events.

Results. Upon enrollment, swine workers had higher serum neutralizing antibody titers against swine H1N1 and higher nasal wash total IgA and specific IgA titers against swine H1N1 and H3N2 viruses. Over a period of 12 months, IAVs were detected by qRT-PCR in 52 (12%) of 432 environmental swabs, 275 (7.6%) of 3600 pig oral secretion, 25 (5.8%) of 432 water, 24 (5.5%) of 432 aerosol, and 20 (4.6%) of 432 fecal-slurry specimens. Five (15.6%) of 32 subjects with ILI events had nasopharyngeal swab specimens that were positive for IAV and 17 (53%) demonstrated 4-fold rises in neutralization titers against a swine virus. Reassorted Eurasian avian-like swine H1N1, pdm09(H1N1)-like virus, and swine-like H3N2 viruses were identified in pig farms. The H1N1 viruses were nearly genetically identical with the human H1N1 viruses isolated from the subjects with ILI.

Conclusion. There was considerable evidence of A(H1N1)pdm09-like, swine H1N1 and swine H3N2 viruses reassorting and circulating within the pig farms and crossing species. These data suggest that stronger surveillance for novel influenza virus emergence within swine farms is imperative.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

951. Causes of In-hospital and Post discharge Mortality Among Patients Hospitalized with Laboratory-Confirmed Influenza, Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network, 2014–2015

Craig McGowan, MS¹; Carmen Arriola, DzcvVM, PhD¹; Charisse Nitura Cummings, MPH¹; Pam D. Kirley, MPH²; Lisa Miller, MD³; James I. Meek, MPH⁴; Evan J. Anderson, MD⁵; Maya Monroe, MPH⁶; Susan Bohm, MS⁷; Melissa McMahon, MPH⁸; Marisa Bargsten, MPH⁹; Shelley Zansky, PhD¹⁰; Nancy Bennett, MD, MS¹¹; Krista Lung, MPH¹²; Ann Thomas, MD, MPH¹³; William Schaffner, MD, FIDSA, FSHEA¹⁴; Andrea Price, LPN15; Sandra Dos Santos Chaves, MD1; Carrie Reed, PhD1; Shikha Garg, MD, MPH¹; ¹Influenza Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; ²California Emerging Infections Program, Oakland, California; ³Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Denver, Colorado; 4Connecticut Emerging Infections Program, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut; ⁵Pediatrics and Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; ⁶Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Baltimore, MD; ⁷Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Lansing, Michigan; ⁸Minnesota Department of Health, St. Paul, Minnesota; ⁹New Mexico Department of Health, Santa Fe, New Mexico; 10 Emerging Infections Program, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York; ¹¹University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York; ¹²Bureau of Infectious Diseases, Ohio Department of Health, Columbus, Ohio; ¹³Oregon Public Health Division, Portland, Oregon; 14Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee; 15 Salt Lake County Health Department, Salt Lake City, Utah

Session: 122. Public Health Potpourri

Friday, October 6, 2017: 2:00 PM

Background. Influenza results in an estimated 12,000–56,000 deaths annually in the USA. While in-hospital deaths are well characterized, less is known about deaths that occur after discharge among those hospitalized with influenza.

Methods. We identified patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza who died during hospitalization or within 30 days after discharge during the 2014–2015 influenza season for 11 Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network sites. We matched cases to the National Center for Health Statistics Electronic Death Registration System and abstracted cause and location of death from death certificates. We compared clinical characteristics between those who died during hospitalization and those who died after hospital discharge using χ^2 tests.

Conclusion. Over half of deaths among patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza occurred after discharge. Patients who died after discharge were older and less likely to have influenza listed as a cause of death. Deaths that occur after an influenza-related hospitalization represent an important and under-characterized contribution to the burden of seasonal influenza.

Disclosures. E. J. Anderson, AbbVie: Consultant, Consulting fee; NovaVax: Research Contractor, Research support; Regeneron: Research Contractor, Research grant; MedImmune: Research Contractor, Research grant and Research support. W. Schaffner, Pfizer: Scientific Advisor, Consulting fee; Merck: Scientific Advisor, Consulting fee; Novavax: Consultant, Consulting fee; Dynavax: Consultant, Consulting fee; Sanofi-pasteur: Consultant, Consulting fee; GSK: Consultant, Consulting fee; Seqirus: Consultant, Consulting fee

952. Estimating Risk to Humans Exposed to Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Outbreaks in the United States, 2014–2017

Sonja Olsen, PhD¹; Fiona Havers, MD, MHS¹; Melissa Rolfes, PhD, MPH¹; Lenee Blanton, MPH¹; Jane Rooney, DVM²; Thomas Gomez, DVM, MS³; Deborah Nelson, PhD²; Steven Karli, MD⁴; Susan C. Trock, DVM, MPH¹; Alicia M. Fry, MD, MPH¹; ¹Influenza Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; ²Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, Fort Collins, Colorado; ³Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, Atlanta, Georgia; ⁴Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, US Department of Agriculture, Riverdale, Maryland

Session: 122. Public Health Potpourri

Friday, October 6, 2017: 2:00 PM

Background. In the USA, poultry outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses (AI) caused by H5 and H7 viruses have raised concern about the risk of infections in humans. Based on data from Asian lineage H5 and H7 AI, which sporadically transmit from poultry to humans, CDC currently recommends active daily monitoring of persons exposed to H5 and H7 AI viruses, including those who wear personal protective equipment (PPE).

Methods. Persons exposed to HPAI-infected birds or contaminated environments in the USA were actively monitored during exposure and for 10 days post-exposure for illness, during 2014–2017. Some exposed persons were monitored on-site by USDA or contract safety officers, company staff, or state health officials. State health department staff monitored people during the 10-day post-exposure period. Persons reporting any respiratory illness or conjunctivitis were swabbed for molecular influenza testing. Preliminary results are presented.

Results. From 2014 to 2017, 270 detections in poultry/wild birds were reported and at least 606 persons were potentially exposed to AI virus by exposure to birds, carcasses, or environment. Most exposed persons wore PPE. No human infections with AI viruses were detected.

Conclusion. The risk of transmission of these H5 and H7 AI viruses to humans was low. These preliminary data offer evidence to change the recommendations for monitoring in persons exposed to these viruses. If final data support these findings, self-monitoring by workers with reporting to health departments if symptoms develop, rather than active monitoring by public health personnel, could be considered. However, it will be important to reconsider and update recommendations as the viruses evolve. Furthermore, risk of infection likely varies by exposure and those without PPE should be actively monitored.

Year	HPAI virus	No. of detections reported	Estimated no. birds destroyed	No. of persons exposed	No. HPAI positive/no of ill and tested	Percent ill of all exposed (95% exact binomial confidence interval)
December	H5N2	241	15,639,861	103	0/5	0 (0-0.02)
2014-	H5N8	22	254,669	56		
June	H5N1	2	0	3		
2015	H5			2		
				164		
2016	H7N8	1	42,600	319	0/20	0 (0-0.01)
	H5N2	1	0	Missing	Missing	
2017	H7N9	2	127,956	123	0/1	0 (0-0.03)
	H5N2	1	0	Missing	Missing	

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.