

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript J Atmos Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 July 10.

Published in final edited form as:

J Atmos Chem. 2017 September ; 74(3): 325–338. doi:10.1007/s10874-016-9344-6.

# **Identification and quantification of carbonyl-containing** α**-pinene ozonolysis products using O-tert-butylhydroxylamine hydrochloride**

**Stephen R. Jackson**1, **Jason E. Ham**1, **Joel C. Harrison**1, and **J. R. Wells**<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Exposure Assessment Branch, Health Effects Laboratory Division, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 1095 Willowdale Road, Morgantown, WV 26505, USA

# **Abstract**

The yields of carbonyl-containing reaction products from the ozonolysis of α-pinene have been investigated using concentrations of ozone found in the indoor environment ( $[O_3]$  = 100 ppb). An impinger was used to collect gas-phase oxidation products in water, where the derivatization agent <sup>O</sup>-tert-butylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (TBOX) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry were used to identify carbonyl-containing species. Seven carbonyl-containing products were observed. The yield of the primary product, pinonaldehyde was measured to be 76 %. Using cyclohexane as a hydroxyl radical (OH) scavenger, the yield of pinonaldehyde decreased to 46 %, indicating the influence secondary OH radicals have on α-pinene ozonolysis products. Furthermore, the use of TBOX, a small molecular weight derivatization agent, allowed for the acquisition of the first mass spectral data of oxopinonaldehyde, a tricarbonyl reaction product of α-pinene ozonolysis. The techniques described herein allow for an effective method for the collection and identification of terpene oxidation products in the indoor environment.

## **Keywords**

Ozonolysis; Reaction products; Derivatization; α-Pinene; Sampling; Terpene

# **1 Introduction**

Since most people spend between 70 and 90 % of their time indoors, it is acknowledged that indoor air pollutants can make a substantial contribution to time-weighted exposures to many compounds (Samet et al. 1987, 1988). In particular, building occupants, especially cleaning personnel, are exposed to a wide variety of airborne chemicals when cleaning agents and air fresheners are used in buildings (Nazaroff and Weschler 2004). Several epidemiological studies have identified associations between exposure to cleaning and respiratory effects, although the causative chemical or class of chemicals remain to be identified (Henneberger et al. 2003; Mendonça et al. 2003; Rosenman et al. 2003). One class of compounds commonly used in these solutions, terpenes, are highly reactive with

Correspondence to: J. R. Wells.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10874-016-9344-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

important indoor oxidants such as ozone  $(O_3)$  and hydroxyl radicals (OH) due to the presence of carbon-carbon double bonds in their structure. These reactions form highly oxidized species, including ketone and aldehyde products, which have been implicated in a number of deleterious health effects including occupational asthma (Jarvis et al. 2005).

(+)- $\alpha$ -Pinene ((1R,5R)-2,6,6-Trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-ene) is one such terpene found in a variety of cleaning products used in occupational settings (Colombo et al. 1991; Zhu et al. 2001). α-Pinene is a ten-carbon bicyclic hydrocarbon with an endocyclic double bond and, therefore, reacts quickly with O<sub>3</sub> and OH (5.7  $\times$  10<sup>-16</sup> and 1.2  $\times$  10<sup>-11</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecules<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) (Eddingsaas et al. 2012; Gill and Hites 2002; Khamaganov and Hites 2001). The identification and quantification of α-pinene oxidation products in reaction chamber experiments have been monitored by real-time measurements, using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Brusse et al. 2008; Orlando et al. 2000) or atmospheric pressure chemical ionization-mass spectrometry (Warscheid and Hoffmann 2001; Müller et al. 2008). These on-line techniques are beneficial, since they allow for a direct insight into the product formation process; however, the specialized instrumentation may not be suitable when field sampling is needed. In these cases, methods involving cryotraps (Yokouchi and Ambe 1985), cartridges (Hakola et al. 1994; Grosjean et al. 1992; Kotzias et al. 1991), denuders (Yu et al. 1999), filters (Yasmeen et al. 2010; Surratt et al. 2007; Surratt et al. 2008; Kahnt et al. 2014; Szmigielski et al. 2007), or high-volume dichotomous samplers (Claeys et al. 2009; Claeys et al. 2007), followed by thermal desorption or solvent extraction have been utilized. These samples are then injected onto GC or LC columns, typically after a derivatization step when analyzing aldehydes, ketones, or carboxylic acids (Wells and Ham 2014; Lu et al. 2013).

While both the  $O_3$  and OH oxidation of  $\alpha$ -pinene have been extensively studied using a variety of techniques, large uncertainties in the identity and yields of its reaction products remain (Eddingsaas et al. 2012). For example, the reported yield of pinonaldehyde from the oxidation of α-pinene by OH ranges from 3 to 87 % (Nozière et al. 1999; Eddingsaas et al. 2012). Moreover, a survey of the literature shows that the majority of studies investigating α-pinene ozonolysis have used ozone concentrations ranging from 100 ppb to 20 ppm, concentrations that are well above oxidant concentrations found in indoor environments (Glasius et al. 2000; Winterhalter et al. 2003; Koch et al. 2000; Ma et al. 2008).

In this study, we describe a method for quantification of products resulting from terpene ozonolysis in which we utilize a Teflon impinger to capture gas-phase reaction products in water. Yields of products from the oxidation of α-pinene under conditions found in indoor environments were determined, focusing on carbonyl-containing products due to their potential health effects (Anderson et al. 2012). Experiments were performed both in the presence and absence of cyclohexane to determine the influence secondary OH have on the overall yield of ozonolysis products. Additionally, by utilizing a low molecular weight derivatization agent, we are able to provide, for the first time, the mass spectral information for oxopinonaldehyde, a tricarbonyl reaction product of α-pinene ozonolysis. A formation mechanism is proposed based on the observation that the yield of oxopinonaldehyde increases when peroxyl radical concentrations increase.

# **2 Materials and methods**

## **2.1 Chemicals and solvents**

All compounds were used as received and had the following purities: from Sigma-Aldrich/ Fluka (St. Louis, MO): O-tert-Butylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (TBOX, 99 %), (+)-αpinene (99 %), toluene (HPLC grade, 99 %), cyclohexane (HPLC grade, 99 %), 2butanol (anhydrous, 99.5 %), glyoxal (40 wt.% in water), methylglyoxal (40 wt.% in water), and glutaraldehyde (50 wt.% in water). Methanol (HPLC grade 99 %) and cyclohexanone (99.8 %) were from Fisher Scientific. Deionized water (DI  $H_2O$ ) was distilled, deionized to a resistivity of 18 M $\Omega$  cm, and filtered using a Milli- $Q^{\textcircled{\tiny{\textcirc}}}$  filter system (Billerica, MA). Helium (UHP grade), the carrier gas, was supplied by Butler Gas (McKees Rocks, PA) and used as received.

Experiments were carried out at  $297 \pm 3$  K and 1 atm in an 80 L reaction chamber constructed from 5-mil FEP Teflon film (Welch Fluorocarbon Inc., Dover, NH). Compressed air from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) facility was passed through anhydrous  $CaSO<sub>4</sub>$  (Drierite, Xenia, OH) and molecular sieves (Drierite) to remove both moisture and organic contaminants. This treated dry air from the NIOSH facility flowed through a mass flow controller and into a humidifying chamber and was subsequently mixed with dry air to the pre-determined relative humidity (RH) of 50 %. The 80 L Teflon reaction chamber was equipped with a heated syringe injection port constructed of a 6.4-mm Swagelok (Solon, OH) tee fitting with a 10 mm Ice Blue septum (Restek, Bellefonte, PA) which allowed for the introduction of liquid reactants into the chamber. All reactant mixtures were generated by this system. Ozone was produced by photolyzing air with a mercury pen lamp (Jelight, Irvine, CA) in a separate Teflon chamber. Aliquots of this  $O_3$ /air mixture were added to the 80 L Teflon reaction chamber using a gas-tight syringe. Background measurements of the NIOSH facility air showed concentrations of  $O_3$ , NO, and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  at less than 1.0, 1.2, and 0.5 ppb, respectively.  $O<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations were measured using a Thermo Electron (Waltham, MA) ultraviolet photometric ozone analyzer Model 49C. Background NO and NO2 concentrations were measured using a Thermo Electron (Waltham, MA) NOx analyzer Model 49i.

#### **2.2 System calibration**

Cyclohexanone, glyoxal, methylglyoxal, and glutaraldehyde were used to calibrate for products from the  $\alpha$ -pinene/O<sub>3</sub> reaction system using a modified version of a previously reported technique (Wells and Ham 2014). Briefly, calibration plots were made by analyzing triplicate measurements of standard solutions that were injected into the 80 L Teflon reaction chamber at 50 % RH, ranging in concentration from 5 to 30 ppb  $(1.2-7.4 \times 10^{11}$  molecule cm−3). Samples were obtained by pulling 60 L of air using a pump (URG 3000-02Q, Chapel Hill, NC) from the chamber into 25 mL of deionized water in a 60 mL Teflon impinger (Savillex, Eden Prairie, MN). After collection, samples were transferred to 40 mL glass vials, then derivatized with 100 μL aqueous 250 mM TBOX, and placed in a heated water bath at 70 °C for 2 h. After removing the vial from the water bath and allowing to cool to room temperature, 0.5 mL of toluene was added to the vial. The vial was shaken for 30 s and the organic phase and aqueous phase were allowed to separate. A 100 μL aliquot was taken

from the organic phase and placed in a 2 mL autosampler vial with a 100 μL glass insert (Restek, Bellefonte, PA).

#### **2.3** α**-Pinene + O3 reactions**

In an 80 L volume of air at 50 % RH, O<sub>3</sub> (20–100 ppb;  $0.5-2.5 \times 10^{12}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>) was added to 1.7 ppm (+)- $\alpha$ -pinene (4.25 × 10<sup>13</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>), and allowed to react for 30 min. After the reaction, 60 L of sample was collected, at 4 L/min, through 25 mL of deionized water using an impinger (as described above). The samples were then TBOX derivatized and extracted as described above. Additional experiments included the addition of 283 ppm (7.0 × 10<sup>15</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>) cyclohexane or 334 ppm 2-butanol (8.2 × 10<sup>15</sup>) molecule cm−3) to scavenge OH radicals formed from Criegee intermediates of α-pinene ozonolysis to gain information on secondary product formation chemistry (Docherty and Ziemann 2003). Control experiments conducted with a 400 mm denuder (URG-2000-30B5, URG, Chapel Hill, NC) coated with ground XAD-4 resin (average particle size 0.7 μm) in line before the impinger led to no products being seen in the impinger sample suggesting that all observed products are in the gas-phase. The results from each of these experiments are described below. Each experiment was done in triplicate.

## **2.4 GC-MS analysis**

All samples were analyzed using an Agilent (Santa Clara, CA) 7890B GC coupled to an Agilent 240 Internal EI/CI ion trap mass spectrometer. Samples were analyzed in both electron ionization (EI) and chemical ionization (CI) modes with liquid methanol serving as the CI reagent. Compound separation was achieved by an Agilent (Santa Clara, CA) HP-5MS (0.25 mm I.D., 30 m long, 0.25 μm film thickness) column and the following GC oven parameters: 40 °C for 2 min, then 5 °C min−1 to 200 °C, then 25 °C min−1 to 280 °C and held for 5 min. One μL of each sample was injected in the splitless mode with the injector temperature at 130 °C. The ion trap mass analyzer was tuned using perfluorotributylamine (FC-43). Full-scan mass spectra were collected in the  $m/z$  range 40– 1000.

# **3 Results and discussion**

#### **3.1 System calibration**

Quantitative determination of reaction products identified in the  $\alpha$ -pinene/O<sub>3</sub> experiments was made possible by using calibration factors, recoveries, and collection efficiencies of known concentrations of standard compounds. Standard solutions were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used to quantify the observed reaction products cyclohexanone, glyoxal, and methylglyoxal. Standards for the remaining detected products were not commercially available, so quantification was estimated by using a surrogate dicarbonyl compound, glutaraldehyde. Previous studies have estimated that when using a structurally similar surrogate the associated error for yields is roughly  $\pm 30$  % (Glasius et al. 2000; Jaoui and Kamens 2001; Yu et al. 1999). The retention times for the derivatized standard compounds were 13.8 min for cyclohexanone, 15.3 min for glyoxal, 16.2 min for methylglyoxal, and 22.0, 22.2, and 22.5 min for glutaraldehyde. The occurrence of multiple peaks for a single compound is a common phenomenon for oximes, like TBOX-derivatized glutaraldehyde, as

they have stereoisomers that can be resolved by a GC column (Kourtchev et al. 2012; Yu et al. 1995; Yu et al. 1998). When integrating peak areas, all stereoisomer peaks for a

#### **3.2** α**-Pinene + O3 experiments**

Seven carbonyl-containing products from α-pinene ozonolysis were observed and are described in Table 1. Identification of products is made possible by analyzing both the CI and EI spectra. In the EI spectra, due to the *tert*-butyl  $(C_4H_9^+)$  fragments from the oximes the relative abundance of the  $m/z$  57 ion was greater than 25 % and could be effectively used to generate selected ion chromatograms to identify derivatized carbonyl compounds. The ease of the loss of the tert-butyl fragment often makes the molecular ion challenging to distinguish in an EI spectrum; however, an  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> ion was readily observed for the derivatized oxime compounds when analyzed by CI (Figs. 1 & 2).

compound were used. Calibration plots are shown in Fig. S1.

The chromatographic peaks for the oxime observed at 24.8, 25.3, 28.8, 29.2, 29.6, and 30.0 min are derivatized versions of pinonaldehyde, the major product of α-pinene ozonolysis. Tentative peak assignments to a specific stereoisomer based on chromatographic and mass spectral data can be seen in Fig. S2. The two earliest eluting peaks correspond to singlyderivatized pinonaldehyde while the four later peaks result from doubly-derivatized pinonaldehyde. In a previous study using TBOX, none of the multi-carbonyl compounds detected resulted in partial derivatization (Wells and Ham 2014). It is likely then that at least one unique orientation of singly-derivatized pinonaldehyde sterically hinders the second carbonyl from derivatization. This is not surprising given the unique structure of the (S,S) conformation of carbons 1 and 3 in the butane ring of pinonaldehyde and many other αpinene ozonolysis products. The designation of the ketonyl group of pinonaldehyde as the underivatized carbonyl was made due to the presence of a  $m/z$  43 ion in the mass spectrum for the peaks at 24.8 and 25.3 min, corresponding to an acetyl fragment (COCH3).

A similar phenomenon was found with the observed tricarbonyl product, oxopinonaldehyde, as peaks for both the doubly- and triply-derivatized compound were detected. In the literature, there is some discrepancy as to the identity of the α-pinene ozonolysis product with a molecular weight of 182 g/mol. It has been described as 4-oxopinonaldehyde (Yu et al. 2008), 10-oxopinonaldehyde (Kamens and Jaoui 2001), or both (Jaoui and Kamens 2003). Unfortunately, the mass spectra obtained do not show peaks that are specific to one isomer, so a definitive designation cannot be made. Formation pathways for both 4 oxopinonaldehyde and 10-oxopinonaldehyde from the ozonolysis of α-pinene, wherein an intermolecular reaction of two peroxyl radicals form the tricarbonyls, are proposed in Fig. 3.

#### **3.3 Effects of cyclohexane addition and OH yields**

Decomposition of the primary ozonide formed by alkene ozonolysis produces the Criegee intermediate. Further unimolecular decay of the Criegee intermediate may then lead to formation of OH radicals (Paulson and Orlando 1996). The OH yield from ozonolysis of α pinene has been reported to be 68–91 % (Zhang and Zhang 2005; Atkinson and Arey 2003). As with  $O_3$ , OH can add to the carbon-carbon double bond of  $\alpha$ -pinene and/or abstract available hydrogens to generate oxygenated products. Furthermore, OH may react with

ozonolysis products yielding second generation products. The addition of excess cyclohexane (283 ppm) to the reaction system effectively scavenges the OH radicals, preventing them from reacting with the terpene or ozonolysis products (Aschmann and Atkinson 1998). This allows for the determination of which oxidation products are formed solely from ozonolysis and which are formed from a combination of  $O_3$  and OH.

Hydroxyl radical yields were estimated based on the cyclohexanone production from the OH initiated oxidation of cyclohexane, while employed as an OH scavenger. With the large concentration of cyclohexane, the formation of cyclohexanone is an indirect measure of the OH formation, since cyclohexane will scavenge the OH radicals. The cyclohexanone yield as a function of ozone concentration in this study is 26 % (Fig. S3). In order to derive the absolute values of the OH yield from the cyclohexanone yield, the branching ratio of the reaction OH + cyclohexane into cyclohexanone or cyclohexanol must be taken into account. For room temperature, this value has been reported to be approximately 0.5 (Atkinson et al. 1992; Berndt et al. 2003). Applying this ratio to the yield of cyclohexanone in this study, gives an OH yield of 52 %. When considering the mole balance under OH scavenging conditions, this value is consistent with the observed yield of pinonaldehyde in this study (46 %), since OH and pinonaldehyde put a mutual constraint on one another's formation (Tillmann et al. 2010).

In the presence of cyclohexane, the observed yield of pinonaldehyde decreased. This is unsurprising due to the existence of a pinonaldehyde formation pathway beginning with the OH-initiated oxidation of α-pinene (Eddingsaas et al. 2012). Simultaneously, OH may degrade pinonaldehyde to form smaller fragments of carbonyl compounds like CH3CO, CH<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>CHO, etc. (Fantechi et al. 2002; Grosjean et al. 1992); however, Hallquist et al. estimated the lifetime of pinonaldehyde to be a few hours with respect to OH, a reaction nearly five times slower than that of OH with α-pinene (Hallquist et al. 1997).

#### **3.4 Oxopinonaldehyde formation**

Unlike pinonaldehyde, oxopinonaldehyde yields decrease when OH is present (7 % to 2.3 %). One possible cause for this is if OH reacts rapidly with oxopinonaldehyde or one of its precursors. Using AOPWIN v1.92 (EPA, 2000), the calculated overall oxopinonaldehyde/ OH rate constant is  $1.83 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecules<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. While fast, this calculated rate constant is not so fast that one would expect to see a nearly 70 % decrease in yield due to OH degradation of oxopinonaldehyde.

A more likely explanation is that the peroxyl radicals formed from the reaction of OH and cyclohexane aid in formation of oxopinonaldehyde. When cyclohexane is present to scavenge OH, a hydrogen is abstracted generating an alkyl radical, which then reacts in a diffusion-controlled manner with  $O<sub>2</sub>$  to produce a cyclohexylperoxyl radical (Atkinson 2003). In the proposed mechanisms shown in Fig. 3, the peroxyl radicals formed from αpinene reacts with another peroxyl radical (i.e., cyclohexylperoxyl radicals), producing the tricarbonyl and an alcohol. This contribution to the pool of  $RO<sub>2</sub>$  radicals in the system allows for an increased chance for  $\alpha$ -pinene-derived peroxyl radicals to react with another  $RO<sub>2</sub>$ radical instead of an alternative route. When cyclohexane is not present, OH will predominantly react by addition to excess α-pinene. While this reaction will produce

peroxyl radicals as well, it has been found that the majority of these species will likely undergo H-shift or ring closure reactions which would lead to a decrease in the number of available peroxyl radicals (Vereecken et al. 2007; Eddingsaas et al. 2012).

To investigate this theory we used 2-butanol as an alternative OH scavenger. While the oxidation of cyclohexane exclusively produces RO<sub>2</sub> radicals, the major oxidation route of 2butanol leads to the formation of  $HO<sub>2</sub>$  radicals and 2-butanone (Chew and Atkinson 1996). As a result, cyclohexane and 2-butanol have a different influence on the number of peroxyl radicals when used as OH radical scavengers, which ultimately has an influence on the product distribution from the ozonolysis of α-pinene (Jenkin 2004). This is shown by the chromatograms in Fig. 4, where the peaks for oxopinonaldehyde are largest when cyclohexane is used as an OH scavenger compared to 2-butanol or when there is no scavenger present.

It is also possible that oxopinonaldehyde is formed by degradation of the corresponding unstable hydroperoxide compound after being trapped in the aqueous medium. Recently Ehn et al. found evidence for highly oxygenated products from α-pinene ozonolysis in the gasphase that contain hydroperoxy groups (Ehn et al. 2014). In their suggested mechanism, the RO2 formed upon ozonolysis would undergo intramolecular H-atom abstraction, followed by  $O_2$  addition at the formed alkyl radical site, forming a hydroperoxide moiety and a new peroxy radical group on the C-atom where the hydrogen abstraction took place. While some of the observed oxopinonaldehyde may be formed this way, the decrease in yield observed when 2-butanol was used as a scavenger as opposed to cyclohexane suggests that a significant portion of the overall yield is likely produced by  $RO<sub>2</sub>-RO<sub>2</sub>$  bimolecular reactions.

#### **3.5 Comparisons with previous studies**

Some studies have investigated oxidation products of α-pinene by using other carbonyl derivatization agents like O-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenyzl)hydroxylamine hydrochloride (PFBHA) (Jang 1999) or 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) (Van den Bergh et al. 2002). Derivatization often allows highly polar materials to be converted to thermally stable compounds so that they can be eluted at reasonable temperatures without decomposition or molecular rearrangement. In addition, derivatization methods are often very useful since identifying the number of specific functional groups on a molecule makes identification of the compound significantly easier, but steric hindrance and molecular mass play a very important role in the choice of the best suited derivatization reagent (Temime et al. 2007; Reisen et al. 2003). Many benchtop mass spectrometers have an upper  $m/z$  limit of 650, (Yinon 1991) but a tricarbonyl product like oxopinonaldehyde would have a molecular weight above that threshold when derivatized with PFBHA (767 g/mol for oxopinonaldehyde), making it difficult to detect with certain mass spectrometers.

Differences seen in the yield of OH radicals in our study compared to others' may be attributed to differences in oxidant concentrations. Along with OH, HO2 may be formed during the multistep degradation of the Criegee intermediate (Nguyen et al. 2015). In studies that use large  $O_3$  concentrations,  $HO_2$  can then be converted to OH by  $O_3$ , giving rise to a higher yield of OH than observed in this study, using low  $O_3$  concentrations (Atkinson et al. 2004; Jenkin 2004).

The pinonaldehyde yield found in this work (76 % w/ OH, 46 % w/o OH) is on the higher end of values reported in previous studies using a wide range of techniques (Hakola et al. 1994; Alvarado et al. 1998; Yu et al. 1999; Baker et al. 2002; Tillmann et al. 2010). This discrepancy could arise from a number of different sources. Most notably our experiments reflect the products in the presence of an abundance of α-pinene. Therefore it is not surprising that our values for pinonaldehyde yields are higher than reports where ozone and α-pinene are on the same order of magnitude (Warscheid and Hoffmann 2001; Ma et al. 2008; Yu et al. 1999), since there is a greater likelihood for OH to react with an α-pinene molecule yielding pinonaldehyde, versus reacting with pinonaldehyde or another ozonolysis product.

For the purposes of investigating chemistry occurring in the indoor environment, all experiments in this study were performed at 50 % RH. However, humidity has been suggested to influence both gas and particle-phase composition and distributions in the degradation of unsaturated compounds by  $O_3$  (Bonn et al. 2002; Jonsson et al. 2006; von Hessberg et al. 2009; Wegener et al. 2007; Tillmann et al. 2010). The reported impact of RH varies significantly with regard to pinonaldehyde formation. Alvarado et al. suggested that the reaction of the stabilized Criegee Intermediate with water yielded pinonaldehyde under humid conditions (Alvarado et al. 1998). Warscheid and Hoffman reported an increase in pinonaldehyde yield with increased relative humidity (Warscheid and Hoffmann 2001) yet other studies have presented negative (Berndt et al. 2003) or neutral (Baker et al. 2002) dependence of pinonaldehyde production on water concentration.

Finally, these experiments were carried out under low  $NO_x$  conditions. At higher  $NO_x$ concentrations, additional reactions will likely be introduced into the system. If NO is present, it would react with  $O_3$  to form  $NO_2$  and  $O_2$ . OH radicals could also react with  $NO_2$ to generate nitric acid. These reactions compete with the oxidants for α-pinene, which would decrease the yields for the ozonolysis products observed in this study. Future studies will study these reactions by adding varying concentrations of NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  to the system, with an emphasis on detecting nitrogenated  $\alpha$ -pinene oxidation products formed including nitrate esters and peroxyacyl nitrates.

# **4 Conclusion**

Because of its large global emission, the oxidation mechanisms and resulting products of αpinene have been a considerable focus of study. These efforts have led to the development of new analytical methods to explain the observed reaction products, some of which are designed with the indoor environment in mind, as ozonolysis of terpene compounds is a likely oxidation process indoors. The challenge of understanding this complex oxidation is the successful collection and identification of the oxidation products. Using a new derivatization technique, we identified and quantified a number of carbonyl-containing reaction products in the gas phase from the oxidation of  $\alpha$ -pinene with O<sub>3</sub>. Yields for individual products have been determined using the response factor of standards or similar reference compounds. Our findings show a high yield for pinonaldehyde from the oxidation of excess α-pinene with levels of ozone found indoors. The highly oxidized species oxopinonaldehyde was identified, and a formation pathway is proposed through a peroxyl

radical precursor. Future investigations of  $\alpha$ -pinene and other terpenes indoors using these techniques will provide for a better understanding of total exposures and their related health effects.

#### **4.1 Disclaimer**

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Mention of any commercial product or trade name does not constitute endorsement by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/ NIOSH.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### **References**

- Alvarado A, Arey J, Atkinson R. Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions of OH and NO3 radicals and O3 with the monoterpene reaction products pinonaldehyde, Caronaldehyde, and Sabinaketone. J Atmos Chem. 1998; 31(3):281–297. DOI: 10.1023/a:1006010915971
- Anderson SE, Franko J, Jackson LG, Wells JR, Ham JE, Meade BJ. Irritancy and allergic responses induced by exposure to the indoor air chemical 4-oxopentanal. Toxicological sciences: an official journal of the society of Toxicology. 2012; 127(2):371–381. DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfs102 [PubMed: 22403157]
- Aschmann SM, Atkinson R. Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions of the oh radical with selected glycol ethers, glycols, and alcohols. In J Chem Kinet. 1998; 30(8):533–540. DOI: 10.1002/ (sici)1097-4601(1998)30:8<533::aid-kin2>3.0.co;2-t
- Atkinson R. Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with alkanes and cycloalkanes. Atmos Chem Phys. 2003; 3(6):2233–2307. DOI: 10.5194/acp-3-2233-2003
- Atkinson R, Arey J. Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds. Chem Rev. 2003; 103(12):4605–4638. DOI: 10.1021/cr0206420 [PubMed: 14664626]
- Atkinson R, Aschmann SM, Arey J, Shorees B. Formation of OH radicals in the gas phase reactions of O3 with a series of terpenes. J Geophys Res. 1992; 97(D5):6065.doi: 10.1029/92jd00062
- Atkinson R, Baulch DL, Cox RA, Crowley JN, Hampson RF, Hynes RG, Jenkin ME, Rossi MJ, Troe J. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: volume I. Atmos Chem Phys. 2004; 4(6):1461–1738. DOI: 10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004
- Baker J, Aschmann SM, Arey J, Atkinson R. Reactions of stabilized criegee intermediates from the gas-phase reactions of O3 with selected alkenes. In J Chem Kinet. 2002; 34(2):73–85. DOI: 10.1002/kin.10022
- Berndt T, Böge O, Stratmann F. Gas-phase ozonolysis of α-pinene: gaseous products and particle formation. Atmos Environ. 2003; 37(28):3933–3945. DOI: 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00501-6
- Bonn B, Schuster G, Moortgat GK. Influence of water vapor on the process of new particle formation during monoterpene ozonolysis. J Phys Chem A. 2002; 106(12):2869–2881. DOI: 10.1021/ jp012713p
- Brusse I, Duvekot J, Jongerling J, Steegers E, De Koning I. Impaired maternal cognitive functioning after pregnancies complicated by severe pre-eclampsia: a pilot case-control study. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica. Scandinavica. 2008; 87(4):408–412. DOI: 10.1080/00016340801915127 [PubMed: 18382865]
- Chew AA, Atkinson R. OH radical formation yields from the gas-phase reactions of O3 with alkenes and monoterpenes. J Geophys Res. 1996; 101(D22):28649.doi: 10.1029/96jd02722

- Claeys M, Szmigielski R, Kourtchev I, Van der Veken P, Vermeylen R, Maenhaut W, Jaoui M, Kleindienst TE, Lewandowski M, Offenberg JH, Edney EO. Hydroxydicarboxylic Acids: Markers for Secondary Organic Aerosol from the Photooxidation of α-Pinene. Environ Sci Technol. 2007; 41(5):1628–1634. DOI: 10.1021/es0620181 [PubMed: 17396652]
- Claeys M, Iinuma Y, Szmigielski R, Surratt JD, Blockhuys F, Van Alsenoy C, Böge O, Sierau B, Gómez-González Y, Vermeylen R, Van der Veken P, Shahgholi M, Chan AWH, Herrmann H, Seinfeld JH, Maenhaut W. Terpenylic Acid and Related Compounds from the Oxidation of α-Pinene: Implications for New Particle Formation and Growth above Forests. Environ Sci Technol. 2009; 43(18):6976–6982. DOI: 10.1021/es9007596 [PubMed: 19806730]

Colombo A, De Bortoli M, Knöppel H, Schauenburg H, Vissers H. Small chamber tests and headspace analysis of volatile organic compounds emitted from household products. Indoor Air. 1991; 1(1): 13–21. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0668.1991.02-11.x

Docherty KS, Ziemann PJ. Effects of stabilized criegee intermediate and OH radical scavengers on aerosol formation from reactions of β-pinene with O 3. Aerosol Sci Technol. 2003; 37(11):877– 891. DOI: 10.1080/02786820300930

Eddingsaas NC, Loza CL, Yee LD, Seinfeld JH, Wennberg PO. α-pinene photooxidation under controlled chemical conditions – Part 1: Gas-phase composition in low- and high-NO < sub > x</sub > environments. Atmos Chem Phys. 2012; 12(14):6489–6504. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-12-6489-2012

Ehn M, Thornton JA, Kleist E, Sipila M, Junninen H, Pullinen I, Springer M, Rubach F, Tillmann R, Lee B, Lopez-Hilfiker F, Andres S, Acir I-H, Rissanen M, Jokinen T, Schobesberger S, Kangasluoma J, Kontkanen J, Nieminen T, Kurten T, Nielsen LB, Jorgensen S, Kjaergaard HG, Canagaratna M, Maso MD, Berndt T, Petaja T, Wahner A, Kerminen V-M, Kulmala M, Worsnop DR, Wildt J, Mentel TF. A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol. Nature. 2014; 506(7489):476–479. doi:10. 1038/nature13032. [PubMed: 24572423]

- Fantechi G, Vereecken L, Peeters J. The OH-initiated atmospheric oxidation of pinonaldehyde: detailed theoretical study and mechanism construction. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2002; 4(23):5795– 5805. Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: quantum chemical and RRKM results. See [http://www.Rsc.Org/suppdata/cp/b2/b205901k.](http://www.Rsc.Org/suppdata/cp/b2/b205901k) DOI: 10.1039/b205901k
- Gill KJ, Hites RA. Rate constants for the gas-phase reactions of the hydroxyl radical with isoprene, αand β-pinene, and limonene as a function of temperature. J Phys Chem A. 2002; 106(11):2538– 2544. doi:10. 1021/jp013532q.
- Glasius M, Lahaniati M, Calogirou A, Di Bella D, Jensen NR, Hjorth J, Kotzias D, Larsen BR. Carboxylic Acids in Secondary Aerosols from Oxidation of Cyclic Monoterpenes by Ozone. Environ Sci Technol. 2000; 34(6):1001–1010. DOI: 10.1021/es990445r
- Grosjean D, Williams EL, Seinfeld JH. Atmospheric oxidation of selected terpenes and related carbonyls: gas-phase carbonyl products. Environ Sci Technol. 1992; 26(8):1526–1533. DOI: 10.1021/es00032a005
- Hakola H, Arey J, Aschmann SM, Atkinson R. Product formation from the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals and O3 with a series of monoterpenes. J Atmos Chem. 1994; 18(1):75–102. DOI: 10.1007/bf00694375
- Hallquist M, WÄngberg I, LjungstrÖm E. Atmospheric Fate of Carbonyl Oxidation Products Originating from α-Pinene and Δ3-Carene: Determination of Rate of Reaction with OH and NO3Radicals, UVAbsorption Cross Sections, and Vapor Pressures. Environ Sci Technol. 1997; 31(11):3166–3172. DOI: 10.1021/es970151a
- Henneberger PK, Derk SJ, Davis L, Tumpowsky C, Reilly MJ, Rosenman KD, Schill DP, Valiante D, Flattery J, Harrison R, Reinisch F, Filios MS, Tift B. Work-related reactive airways dysfunction syndrome cases from surveillance in selected US states. J Occup Environ Med. 2003; 45(4):360– 368. DOI: 10.1097/01.jom.0000063620.37065.6f [PubMed: 12708139]
- Jang M. Newly characterized products and composition of secondary aerosols from the reaction of αpinene with ozone. Atmos Environ. 1999; 33(3):459–474. DOI: 10.1016/s1352-2310(98)00222-2
- Jaoui M, Kamens RM. Mass balance of gaseous and particulate products analysis from αpinene/NOx/air in the presence of natural sunlight. J Geophys Res. 2001; 106(D12):12541.doi: 10.1029/2001jd900005

- Jaoui M, Kamens RM. Gas phase photolysis of pinonaldehyde in the presence of sunlight. Atmos Environ. 2003; 37(13):1835–1851. DOI: 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00033-5
- Jarvis J, Seed MJ, Elton R, Sawyer L, Agius R. Relationship between chemical structure and the occupational asthma hazard of low molecular weight organic compounds. Occup Environ Med. 2005; 62(4):243–250. DOI: 10.1136/oem.2004.016402 [PubMed: 15778257]
- Jenkin ME. Modelling the formation and composition of secondary organic aerosol from α- and βpinene ozonolysis using MCM v3. Atmos Chem Phys. 2004; 4(7):1741–1757. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-4-1741-2004
- Jonsson AM, Hallquist M, Ljungstrom E. Impact of humidity on the ozone initiated oxidation of limonene, delta3-carene, and alpha-pinene. Environ Sci Technol. 2006; 40(1):188–194. DOI: 10.1021/es051163w [PubMed: 16433350]
- Kahnt A, Iinuma Y, Blockhuys F, Mutzel A, Vermeylen R, Kleindienst TE, Jaoui M, Offenberg JH, Lewandowski M, Böge O, Herrmann H, Maenhaut W, Claeys M. 2-Hydroxyterpenylic Acid: An Oxygenated Marker Compound for α-Pinene Secondary Organic Aerosol in Ambient Fine Aerosol. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48(9):4901–4908. DOI: 10.1021/es500377d [PubMed: 24697354]
- Kamens RM, Jaoui M. Modeling Aerosol Formation from α-Pinene + NOxin the Presence of Natural Sunlight Using Gas-Phase Kinetics and Gas-Particle Partitioning Theory. Environ Sci Technol. 2001; 35(7):1394–1405. DOI: 10.1021/es001626s [PubMed: 11348073]
- Khamaganov VG, Hites RA. Rate constants for the gas-phase reactions of ozone with isoprene, α- and β-pinene, and limonene as a function of temperature. J Phys Chem A. 2001; 105(5):815–822. DOI: 10.1021/jp002730z
- Koch S, Winterhalter R, Uherek E, Kolloff A, Neeb P, Moortgat GK. Formation of new particles in the gas-phase ozonolysis of monoterpenes. Atmos Environ. 2000; 34(23):4031–4042. DOI: 10.1016/ s1352-2310(00)00133-3
- Kotzias D, Nicollin B, Duane M, Daiber R, Eijk JV, Rogora L, Schlitt H. Carbonyls in the forest atmosphere ? Evidence for the monoterpene/ozone reaction. Naturwissenschaften. 1991; 78(1):38– 40. DOI: 10.1007/bf01134044
- Kourtchev I, Bejan I, Sodeau JR, Wenger JC. Gas phase reaction of OH radicals with (E)-β-farnesene at  $296 \pm 2$  K: rate coefficient and carbonyl products. Atmos Environ. 2012; 46(0):338–345. doi: 10.1016/j. atmosenv.2011.09.061.
- Lu Y, Yao D, Chen C. 2-Hydrazinoquinoline as a derivatization agent for LC-MS-based Metabolomic investigation of diabetic ketoacidosis. Metabolites. 2013; 3(4):993–1010. DOI: 10.3390/ metabo3040993 [PubMed: 24958262]
- Ma Y, Russell AT, Marston G. Mechanisms for the formation of secondary organic aerosol components from the gas-phase ozonolysis of alpha-pinene. Physical chemistry chemical physics PCCP. 2008; 10(29):4294–4312. DOI: 10.1039/b803283a [PubMed: 18633550]
- McLafferty, FW., Ture ek, F. Interpretation of Mass Spectra. 4. Sausalito, CA: University Science Books; 1993.
- Coeli Mendonça EM, Algranti E, De Freitas JBP, Rosa EA, Dos Santos Freire JA, Santos UDP, Pinto J, Bussacos MA. Occupational asthma in the city of São Paulo, 1995–2000, with special reference to gender analysis. Am J Ind Med. 2003; 43(6):611–617. DOI: 10.1002/ajim.10210 [PubMed: 12768611]
- Müller L, Reinnig MC, Warnke J, Hoffmann T. Unambiguous identification of esters as oligomers in secondary organic aerosol formed from cyclohexene and cyclohexene/α-pinene ozonolysis. Atmos Chem Phys. 2008; 8(5):1423–1433. DOI: 10.5194/acp-8-1423-2008
- Nazaroff WW, Weschler CJ. Cleaning products and air fresheners: exposure to primary and secondary air pollutants. Atmos Environ. 2004; 38(18):2841–2865. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.02.040
- Nguyen TL, Lee H, Matthews DA, McCarthy MC, Stanton JF. Stabilization of the Simplest Criegee Intermediate from the Reaction between Ozone and Ethylene: A High-Level Quantum Chemical and Kinetic Analysis of Ozonolysis. J Phys Chem A. 2015; 119(22):5524–5533. DOI: 10.1021/ acs.jpca.5b02088 [PubMed: 25945650]

- Nozière B, Barnes I, Becker K-H. Product study and mechanisms of the reactions of α-pinene and of pinonaldehyde with OH radicals. J Geophys Res. 1999; 104(D19):23645.doi: 10.1029/1999jd900778
- Orlando JJ, Nozière B, Tyndall GS, Orzechowska GE, Paulson SE, Rudich Y. Product studies of the OH-and ozone-initiated oxidation of some monoterpenes. J Geophys Res. 2000; 105(D9): 11561.doi: 10.1029/2000jd900005
- Paulson SE, Orlando JJ. The reactions of ozone with alkenes: an important source of HOx in the boundary layer. Geophys Res Lett. 1996; 23(25):3727–3730. DOI: 10.1029/96GL03477
- Reisen F, Aschmann SM, Atkinson R, Arey J. Hydroxyaldehyde products from hydroxyl radical reactions of Z-3-hexen-1-ol and 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol quantified by SPME and API-MS. Environ Sci Technol. 2003; 37(20):4664–4671. DOI: 10.1021/es034142f [PubMed: 14594376]
- Rosenman KD, Reilly MJ, Schill DP, Valiante D, Flattery J, Harrison R, Reinisch F, Pechter E, Davis L, Tumpowsky CM, Filios M. Cleaning products and work-related asthma. J Occup Environ Med. 2003; 45(5):556–563. DOI: 10.1097/01.jom.0000058347.05741.f9 [PubMed: 12762081]
- Samet JM, Marbury MC, Spengler JD. Health effects and sources of indoor air pollution. Part I. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1987; 136(6):1486–1508. DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm/136.6.1486 [PubMed: 3318602]
- Samet JM, Marbury MC, Spengler JD. Health effects and sources of indoor air pollution. Part II. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1988; 137(1):221–242. DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm/137.1.221 [PubMed: 3276256]
- Surratt JD, Lewandowski M, Offenberg JH, Jaoui M, Kleindienst TE, Edney EO, Seinfeld JH. Effect of Acidity on Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Isoprene. Environ Sci Technol. 2007; 41(15):5363–5369. DOI: 10.1021/es0704176 [PubMed: 17822103]
- Surratt JD, Gómez-González Y, Chan AWH, Vermeylen R, Shahgholi M, Kleindienst TE, Edney EO, Offenberg JH, Lewandowski M, Jaoui M, Maenhaut W, Claeys M, Flagan RC, Seinfeld JH. Organosulfate formation in biogenic secondary organic aerosol. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A. 2008; 112(36):8345–8378. DOI: 10.1021/jp802310p [PubMed: 18710205]
- Szmigielski R, Surratt JD, Gómez-González Y, Van der Veken P, Kourtchev I, Vermeylen R, Blockhuys F, Jaoui M, Kleindienst TE, Lewandowski M, Offenberg JH, Edney EO, Seinfeld JH, Maenhaut W, Claeys M. 3-methyl-1,2,3-butanetricarboxylic acid: An atmospheric tracer for terpene secondary organic aerosol. Geophys Res Lett. 2007; 34(24):n/a–n/a. DOI: 10.1029/2007GL031338
- Temime B, Healy RM, Wenger JC. A denuder-filter sampling technique for the detection of gas and particle phase carbonyl compounds. Environ Sci Technol. 2007; 41(18):6514–6520. DOI: 10.1021/ es070802v [PubMed: 17948802]
- Tillmann R, Hallquist M, Jonsson ÅM, Kiendler-Scharr A, Saathoff H, Iinuma Y, Mentel TF. Influence of relative humidity and temperature on the production of pinonaldehyde and OH radicals from the ozonolysis of α-pinene. Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; 10(15):7057–7072. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-10-7057-2010
- Van den Bergh V, Coeckelberghs H, Vanhees I, De Boer R, Compernolle F, Vinckier C. HPLC-MS determination of the oxidation products of the reaction between alpha- and beta-pinene and OH radicals. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2002; 372(5–6):630–638. DOI: 10.1007/s00216-002-1246-6 [PubMed: 11941431]
- Vereecken L, Muller JF, Peeters J. Low-volatility poly-oxygenates in the OH-initiated atmospheric oxidation of [small alpha]-pinene: impact of non-traditional peroxyl radical chemistry. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2007; 9(38):5241–5248. DOI: 10.1039/B708023A [PubMed: 19459287]
- von Hessberg C, von Hessberg P, Pöschl U, Bilde M, Nielsen OJ, Moortgat GK. Temperature and humidity dependence of secondary organic aerosol yield from the ozonolysis of β-pinene. Atmos Chem Phys. 2009; 9(11):3583–3599. DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-3583-2009
- Warscheid B, Hoffmann T. On-line measurements of α-pinene ozonolysis products using an atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation ion-trap mass spectrometer. Atmos Environ. 2001; 35(16):2927–2940. DOI: 10.1016/s1352-2310(00)00513-6
- Wegener R, Brauers T, Koppmann R, Rodríguez Bares S, Rohrer F, Tillmann R, Wahner A, Hansel A, Wisthaler A. Simulation chamber investigation of the reactions of ozone with short-chained alkenes. J Geophys Res-Atmos. 2007; 112(D13):n/a–n/a. DOI: 10.1029/2006jd007531

- Wells JR, Ham JE. A new agent for derivatizing carbonyl species used to investigate limonene ozonolysis. Atmos Environ. 2014; 99(0):519–526. DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.015
- Winterhalter R, Van Dingenen R, Larsen BR, Jensen NR, Hjorth J. LC-MS analysis of aerosol particles from the oxidation of α-pinene by ozone and OH-radicals. Atmos Chem Phys Discuss. 2003; 3(1): 1–39. DOI: 10.5194/acpd-3-1-2003
- Yasmeen F, Vermeylen R, Szmigielski R, Iinuma Y, Böge O, Herrmann H, Maenhaut W, Claeys M. Terpenylic acid and related compounds: precursors for dimers in secondary organic aerosol from the ozonolysis of α- and β-pinene. Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; 10(19):9383–9392. DOI: 10.5194/ acp-10-9383-2010
- Yinon J. Forensic applications of mass spectrometry. Mass Spectrom Rev. 1991; 10(3):179–224. DOI: 10.1002/mas.1280100303
- Yokouchi Y, Ambe Y. Aerosols formed from the chemical reaction of monoterpenes and ozone. Atmos Environ (1967). 1985; 19(8):1271–1276. DOI: 10.1016/0004-6981(85)90257-4
- Yu J, Jeffries HE, Le Lacheur RM. Identifying airborne carbonyl compounds in isoprene atmospheric photooxidation products by their PFBHA oximes using gas chromatography/ion trap mass spectrometry. Environ Sci Technol. 1995; 29(8):1923–1932. DOI: 10.1021/es00008a009 [PubMed: 22191338]
- Yu J, Flagan RC, Seinfeld JH. Identification of Products Containing -COOH, -OH, and -CO in Atmospheric Oxidation of Hydrocarbons. Environ Sci Technol. 1998; 32(16):2357–2370. DOI: 10.1021/es980129x
- Yu J, Cocker DR Iii, Griffin RJ, Flagan RC, Seinfeld JH. Gas-phase ozone oxidation of monoterpenes: gaseous and particulate products. J Atmos Chem. 1999; 34(2):207–258. DOI: 10.1023/a: 1006254930583
- Yu Y, Ezell MJ, Zelenyuk A, Imre D, Alexander L, Ortega J, D'Anna B, Harmon CW, Johnson SN, Finlayson-Pitts BJ. Photooxidation of α-pinene at high relative humidity in the presence of increasing concentrations of NOx. Atmos Environ. 2008; 42(20):5044–5060. DOI: 10.1016/ j.atmosenv.2008.02.026
- Zhang D, Zhang R. Ozonolysis of alpha-pinene and beta-pinene: kinetics and mechanism. J Chem Phys. 2005; 122(11):114308.doi: 10.1063/1.1862616 [PubMed: 15836216]
- Zhu J, Cao XL, Beauchamp R. Determination of 2-butoxyethanol emissions from selected consumer products and its application in assessment of inhalation exposure associated with cleaning tasks. Environ Int. 2001; 26(7–8):589–597. DOI: 10.1016/S0160-4120(01)00046-0 [PubMed: 11485228]



# **Fig. 1.**

CI **a** and EI **b** spectra for TBOX-derivatized pinonaldehyde. m/z 181 & 254 are likely a result of hydrogen rearrangement from a t-butyl group to the attached oxygen followed by adjacent cleavage (McLafferty and Ture ek 1993)



# **Fig. 2.**

CI **a** and EI **b** spectra for TBOX-derivatized oxopinonaldehyde. m/z 266 & 339 are likely a result of hydrogen rearrangement from a t-butyl group to the attached oxygen followed by adjacent cleavage (McLafferty and Ture ek 1993)

 Author ManuscriptAuthor Manuscript



# **Fig. 3.**

Proposed formation pathways for 4-oxopinonaldehyde and 10-oxopinonaldehyde from the ozonolysis of α-pinene



# **Fig. 4.**

Comparison of chromatogram peaks for oxopinonaldehyde when (i) a high-yield  $RO<sub>2</sub>$ producing scavenger is used (α-pinene +100 μL cyclohexane), (ii) a low-yield RO<sub>2</sub> producing scavenger is used (α-pinene +100 μL 2-butanol), and (iii) when no OH scavenger is used. \* denotes peaks for  $C_{10}H_{16}O_4$  while  $\ddagger$  denotes the peak for oxopinonic acid. All chromatograms are presented on the same scale



**Table 1**

Author Manuscript

**Author Manuscript** 





Jackson et al. Page 18

Yield w/o OH

Yield w/ OH

 $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{I} \left( \mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z} \right)$ 

57 (100) 88 (38) 41 (29) 144 (14)

57 (100) 102 (90) 41 (34) 158 (22)

181<br>(100)<sup>d</sup><br>(100)<sup>d</sup><br>57 (42)<br>85 (18)

98<br>(100)<sup>e</sup><br>57 (60)<br>54 (60)<br>11 (51)

266 (100) f 210 (51) 339 (27) 57 (21)

57 (100) 41 (38) 213 (30) 154 (26)

269<br>(100)<br>325 (79)<br>57 (50)<br>57 (50)

<1% ND

 $<1\%$ 

 $\hbox{\large\it p}$ 

<1% ND

 $1\%$ 

 $\Xi$ 

2.3% 7%

 $2.3%$ 

 $7%$ 

 $2.0\%$  1.7%

 $2.0%$ 

 $1.7%$ 

76% 46%

76%

46%

 $-1.5\%$ <sup>a</sup> |  $-1.5\%$ <sup>a</sup>

 $-1.5\%$ <sup>2</sup>

 $-1.5\%$ <sup>2</sup>

 $1.7\%$  1.4%

 $1.7%$ 

 $1.4%$ 

 $b$  etention times and masses corresponding to  $\text{singly-derivative}$  pinonal<br>dehyde Retention times and masses corresponding to singly-derivatized pinonaldehyde

 Author Manuscript**Author Manuscript** 

# Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Retention times and masses corresponding to doubly-derivatized oxopinonaldehyde Retention times and masses corresponding to doubly-derivatized oxopinonaldehyde

 $d_{\mbox{\small{specified}}\mbox{\small{ions}}}$  and abundances for peak eluting at 29.2 min

Specified ions and abundances for peak eluting at 29.2 min

Specified ions and abundances for peak eluting at 26.9 min Specified ions and abundances for peak eluting at 26.9 min  $\emph{f}_{\emph{specificd} }$  ions and abundances for peak eluting at 35.8 min Specified ions and abundances for peak eluting at 35.8 min

 ${}^g\!340.2$  m/z represents the [M]+ ion. An [M+H]+ ion was not observed for this compound  $\mathscr{E}_{340.2 \text{ m/z}}$  represents the [M]+ ion. An [M+H]+ ion was not observed for this compound