

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016 December; 37(12): 1433-1439. doi:10.1017/ice.2016.225.

Clinical and molecular characterization of community-onset urinary tract infections due to extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae

Judith A. Anesi¹, Ebbing Lautenbach^{1,2,3}, Irving Nachamkin⁴, Charles Garrigan⁴, Warren B. Bilker^{2,3}, Mary Wheeler^{2,3}, Pam Tolomeo^{2,3}, and Jennifer H. Han^{1,2,3}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

³Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁴Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Abstract

Objectives—We sought to evaluate risk factors for and molecular characteristics of communityonset extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant (ESC-R) Enterobacteriaceae (EB) urinary tract infections (UTIs) in a United States health system.

Methods—A case-control study was conducted from 2010 through 2013. All patients presenting to the Emergency Department (ED) or outpatient practices with EB UTIs were included. Case patients had ESC-R EB UTIs. Control patients had ESC-susceptible EB UTIs and were matched 1:1 on study year. Risk factors for ESC-R EB UTI were assessed using multivariable conditional logistic regression. A subset of case isolates was evaluated for extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs).

Results—302 patients with community-onset EB UTI were included, of which 151 were cases. On multivariable analysis, risk factors for ESC-R EB UTI included: trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole use in the prior 6 months (odds ratio [OR], 2.40; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.22-4.70; P=0.011), older age (OR, 1.03; 95% CI, 1.01-1.04; P<0.001), diabetes (OR, 2.91; 95% CI, 1.32–6.41; *P*=0.008), and presentation to the ED (OR, 2.42; 95% CI, 1.31–4.46; *P*=0.005). The prevalence of ESBLs among 120 case isolates were: 52% CTX-M, 29% TEM, 20% OXA, and 13% SHV. The prevalence of AmpC was 25%. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of the CTX-M E. coli isolates showed no distinct clusters.

Conclusions—We found that use of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, older age, diabetes, and presentation to the ED were associated with community-onset ESC-R EB UTI. There was a high

Corresponding author: Judith A. Anesi, MD, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Spruce Street, 3 Silverstein, Suite E, Philadelphia, PA 19104, Telephone: 215-615-4724; Fax: 215-662-7611, judith.anesi@uphs.upenn.edu.

prevalence of CTX-M among our community isolates. Further studies are needed to determine strategies to limit emergence of these organisms in the community.

Keywords

Enterobacteriaceae; Extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant; Extended-spectrum betalactamase; Urinary tract infection; Community onset

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance continues to emerge and threaten our antibiotic arsenal (1). Of particular concern has been the emergence of bacteria resistant to extended-spectrum cephalosporins (ESC-R), particularly among Enterobacteriaceae (EB) species. Several studies have shown that ESC-R EB infections are associated with increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs (2, 3). The primary mechanisms causing ESC-R among EB species include production of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) or an AmpC beta-lactamase (4).

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most common bacterial infection among adults in the community setting (5), and recent data have demonstrated marked increases in bacterial resistance to first line antibiotics used to treat UTIs in ambulatory settings (6). Resistance has been associated with increased microbiologic and clinical failure (7).

While ESC-R EB infections in health care settings have been highlighted as an important issue for many years, the emergence of ESC-R EB infections in the community has only recently been recognized. Since its initial description, the incidence of community-onset ESC-R EB infections has increased significantly (8–12). One study performed in Switzerland reported an increase in ESC-R EB prevalence among outpatients from 0.9% in 2004 to 5.3% in 2011 (13).

Prior studies have sought to investigate risk factors for ESC-R EB infections in the community setting (9, 10, 12, 14–21). Identified risk factors have varied significantly across studies and have included: advanced age (10, 15, 16, 18, 20); diabetes mellitus (10, 22–24); prostatic disease (15); antibiotic use in the prior 3 months (10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21); and prior UTIs (15, 21). However, these studies have had significant limitations, including small sample sizes, focus on only bloodstream infections, which are generally less common in the community setting, and focus on only one organism. Further, only one prior study evaluating risk factors for community-onset UTI with an ESC-R organism was conducted in the United States (US); this study included only ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates, did not differentiate between true infection and colonization, and was performed nearly 10 years ago (20), raising concerns about generalizability to the present time given marked increases in resistance over the past decade (13). Given the limitations of the one prior study done in the US, and the marked differences in antibiotic use and expected ESC-R EB epidemiology across countries, the generalizability of the prior findings to the current US population is uncertain.

Characterizing the clinical and molecular epidemiology of ESC-R EB UTIs in the community setting is critical for identification of modifiable risk factors to curb further emergence of resistance, as well as for guiding appropriate empiric antibiotic therapy. Therefore, the objective of our study was to evaluate risk factors for community-onset ESC-R EB UTIs among patients cared for in a large academic health system in the US. We also sought to evaluate the molecular epidemiology of ESC-R EB isolates causing community UTIs, including prevalence and types of ESBLs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting

A case-control study was performed at two Emergency Departments (EDs) and a network of outpatient practices within the University of Pennsylvania Health System (UPHS), as follows: 1) the ED at the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania (HUP), a 776-bed quaternary care medical center; 2) the ED at Penn Presbyterian Medical Center (PPMC), a 331-bed academic medical center, and 3) the Practice-Based Research Network (PBRN), which is a collaboration among 246 primary care physicians at community and hospital-based practices.

Study population

The initial source population was comprised of all patients presenting to an ED or an outpatient practice with a community-onset urine culture positive for EB between December 21, 2010 and April 22, 2013. Eligible patients were identified through the HUP Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, which processes all cultures from HUP, PPMC, as well as >90% of urine cultures from PBRN practices. A patient was designated as having a community-onset urine culture if it was obtained in the ED, outpatient practices, or within 72 hours of hospital admission from the ED or an outpatient clinic. Subsequently, only patients with a true urinary tract infection were included, as we sought to identify risk factors for ESC-R EB UTI rather than urinary colonization. A urine culture was considered indicative of an infection based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) definitions (25), with medical record review performed by an infectious diseases-trained physician (J.H.H.). Though these criteria were developed for surveillance purposes, they represent the only standardized definition of UTI and include the salient clinical features of UTI (25).

Case patients were defined as those with an EB UTI demonstrating resistance to an ESC (i.e., ceftriaxone or ceftazidime minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC] >1 μ g/mL) according to recent Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) criteria (26). Control patients were those who had a UTI with ESC-susceptible EB during the study period (i.e., ceftriaxone and ceftazidime MICs $1~\mu$ g/mL). Control patients were randomly selected using a computerized random number generator from the source population and matched with case patients on study year in a 1:1 ratio.

Each patient was included as a subject only once. If an ESC-R EB was isolated on multiple occasions in the same patient, only the first episode of infection was considered. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Pennsylvania.

Data collection

Data on case and control patients were abstracted from the UPHS electronic medical record system. Information was collected on demographics (e.g., age, gender, race), comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, malignancy, hemodialysis), urologic disorders (e.g., prior UTIs, urinary catheters, prostate disease within the last 6 months), recent skilled nursing facility or hospital stay, and culture location (ED versus outpatient practice).

All inpatient and outpatient antibiotic therapy in the preceding 6 months was documented. Antibiotics were classified for the purposes of analysis, as follows: penicillins (i.e. ampicillin, amoxicillin, nafcillin); extended-spectrum penicillins (amoxicillin-clavulanate, ampicillin-sulbactam, piperacillin-tazobactam); first-generation cephalosporins (i.e. cefadroxil, cefazolin, cephalexin); extended-spectrum cephalosporins (i.e. ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefpodoxime, cefepime); aminoglycosides; macrolides; fluoroquinolones; carbapenems; metronidazole; intravenous vancomycin; clindamycin; trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; nitrofurantoin; and fosfomycin.

Susceptibility and molecular testing of Enterobacteriaceae isolates

Susceptibility testing of EB isolates was performed at the HUP Clinical Microbiology Laboratory. All isolates identified from study subjects were tested as part of routine care for susceptibility to other antibiotics using the semi-automated Vitek 2 identification and susceptibility system (bioMerieux, Inc., Durham, NC). Updated MIC breakpoints for ceftriaxone and ceftazidime were used without confirmatory ESBL testing according to CLSI guidelines (26).

One hundred and twenty (79.5%) of the 151 ESC-R EB isolates were available for further microbiologic testing. These isolates underwent confirmatory ESBL testing using the double disk method, using both cefotaxime and ceftazidime (26). Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using SYBR dye for qualitative detection of TEM, SHV, OXA-1, and CTX-M type beta-lactamase genes, followed gel confirmation of the band sizes, were detected and grouped as previously described by the investigators was also performed (27). All strains with detectable TEM/SHV genes were further confirmed by Sanger sequencing. In addition, multiplex PCR for plasmid mediated AmpC enzymes was performed as previously described (28). Finally, the ESC-R EB isolates were screened for the presence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC), using ertapenem susceptibility screening (29). Isolates with elevated ertapenem MICs were tested for the presence of KPC by PCR (29).

Molecular typing by pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

The genetic relatedness of isolates was determined by molecular typing using PFGE as described previously (30). *E. coli* isolates that were positive for CTX-M ESBL were included in PFGE analysis. XbaI was used to prepare chromosomal digests (31). All results were analyzed using the Fingerprinting II Informatix Software version 3.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) and interpreted according to established criteria (32).

Statistical analysis

Case and control patients were characterized by potential risk factors, including demographics, comorbidities, and prior antibiotic use. Continuous variables were compared using the Student t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test, and categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 or Fisher exact test. Conditional bivariable logistic regression was used to examine the relationship between each potential risk factor and ESC-R EB UTI. An odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated to evaluate the strength of any association. Multivariable conditional logistic regression was then performed, with variables from bivariable analyses with P values <0.20 considered for inclusion in the final multivariable model. Backward stepwise selection was performed for selection of variables in the final explanatory model, with results confirmed using likelihood ratio testing (33). All analyses were performed using STATA v.13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas).

RESULTS

Study population

A total of 574 patients had a community-onset urine culture with EB during the study period. Of these, 258 were excluded as they did not represent true UTI. Among the 316 community-onset UTIs, 151 were due to ESC-R EB and 165 were due to ESC-susceptible EB. One hundred fifty-one of the 165 control patients with community-onset UTI due to an ESC-susceptible EB were included and matched to the 151 case patients based on study year.

Among the entire study cohort of 302 patients, the median age was 56 years (interquartile range [IQR], 37–68), and 62 (21%) were men. With regard to racial classification, 143 (47%) were categorized as "white." Eighty-five (28%) patients presented to the ED, while 217 (72%) presented to an outpatient practice. The most common pathogens isolated were *Escherichia coli* (76%), *Klebsiella* species (13%), and *Enterobacter* species (9%). Forty-three (14%) patients required admission to a hospital (HUP or PPMC).

Risk factors for community-onset UTI due to ESC-R Enterobacteriaceae

On bivariable analysis (Table 1), several variables were noted to be significantly associated with community-onset UTI due to ESC-R EB, including older age (P<0.001), male gender (OR, 2.71; 95% CI, 1.47–5.01; P=0.001), presentation to the ED (OR, 2.39; 95% CI, 1.38–4.14; P=0.002), surgery in the prior 6 months (OR, 1.79; 95% CI, 1.02–3.14; P=0.042), hospitalization in the prior 6 months (OR, 2.55; 95% CI, 1.52–4.28; P<0.001), diabetes mellitus (OR, 2.70; 95% CI, 1.31–5.58; P=0.007), malignancy (OR, 3.50; 95% CI, 1.73–7.07; P<0.001), liver disease (OR, 3.67; 95% CI, 1.02–13.14; P=0.046), and receipt within the prior 6 months of a first-generation cephalosporin (OR, 2.42; 95% CI, 1.01–5.86; P=0.048), extended-spectrum cephalosporin (OR, 4.75; 95% CI, 1.62–13.96; P=0.005), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) (OR, 2.06; 95% CI, 1.14–3.75; P=0.017), and intravenous vancomycin (OR, 10.0; 95% CI, 1.28–78.12; P=0.028).

On subsequent multivariable analysis (Table 2), receipt of SXT within the prior 6 months was significantly associated with ESC-R EB UTI (OR, 2.40; 95% CI, 1.22–4.70; *P*=0.011).

Other independent risk factors associated with an ESC-R EB UTI were older age (OR, 1.03; 95% CI, 1.01–1.04; P<0.001), diabetes mellitus (OR, 2.91; 95% CI, 1.32–6.41; P=0.008), and presentation to the ED (OR, 2.42; 95% CI, 1.31–4.46; P=0.005).

Susceptibility testing

Susceptibility testing was performed on all of the EB isolates. Among the 151 ESC-R isolates, the majority were also resistance to fluoroquinolones (67%) and SXT (57%). In addition, 20% were resistant to aminoglycosides, and 18% were resistant to nitrofurantoin (18%). Two percent were resistant to meropenem.

Microbiologic characterization

One hundred and twenty (79.5%) of the 151 ESC-R EB case isolates were available for further microbiologic testing. Seventy-five (62.5%) of these isolates were positive on double disk testing. Among the isolates that were negative on double disk testing, 31% had at least one ESBL present on PCR testing and 45% were positive for AmpC. Among the isolates that were positive on double disk testing, 4% did not have an ESBL present on follow-up PCR testing. The prevalence of ESBLs among the ESC-R isolates were as follows (Table 3): 52% CTX-M, 29% TEM, 20% OXA, and 13% SHV. The number of ESBLs present in each ESC-R isolate varied: 28.3% had no ESBLs (specifically, no CTX-M, TEM, OXA, or SHV ESBLs), 34.2% had one ESBL, 34.2% had two ESBLs, 2.5% had three ESBLs, and 0.8% (one patient) had four ESBLs. Of the 28.3% ESC-R isolates that did not have an ESBL, the majority (n=19; 56%) was positive for AmpC.

Thirty (25%) of the 120 characterized isolates were positive for AmpC, and five (4%) isolates were positive for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC). Among the 120 ESC-R EB isolates, there were 57 *E. coli* isolates that were positive for CTX-M. There were no distinct clusters among the 57 CTX-M *E. coli* isolates on PFGE analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). CTX-M groups among these 57 isolates were as follows: 34 (59.6%) were CTX-M-1, 22 (38.6%) were CTX-M-4, and 1 (1.8%) was ungrouped.

DISCUSSION

In this case-control study, we found that recent use of SXT, older age, diabetes mellitus, and presentation to the ED (as opposed to an outpatient practice) were significant risk factors for community-onset ESC-R EB UTI in a US health system. Furthermore, we identified a high prevalence of CTX-M-producing EB causing UTIs in the community setting, with more than half of characterized ESC-R isolates positive for CTX-M. The results of our study are strengthened by a large sample size including patients who presented to two EDs and a large network of primary care practices in the US, focus on UTI which is the most common bacterial infection among adults in the community setting, and inclusion of all EB species that were resistant to extended-spectrum cephalosporins. This is only the second study to date to determine risk factors for community ESC-R EB UTI in the US and is the larger of the studies (20).

Our study showed that receipt of SXT during the prior 6 months was associated with the development of ESC-R EB UTI. Prior studies have found that antibiotic exposure is

associated with development of ESC-R EB UTIs, including receipt of penicillins, fluoroquinolones, second- and third-generation cephalosporins, or any beta-lactam antibiotic (10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20). Only one prior study has shown a specific association between SXT and ESC-R EB infection (21). The association between SXT use and ESC-R EB UTI is likely due to SXT exerting broad selection pressure on gastrointestinal flora and promoting the development of resistance. This association is particularly significant because SXT is a commonly prescribed antibiotic in the community setting; given the high prevalence of ESBL EB organisms in the community, this suggests that SXT should be used cautiously in the community, and that agents with the narrowest possible spectrum, such as nitrofurantoin, should be used when appropriate. This finding also underscores the importance of effective antibiotic stewardship measures in the community setting. Further study is indicated to assess whether decreased use of SXT can decrease the incidence of community ESC-R EB UTIs.

Similar to previous studies (10, 15, 16, 18), we found that older age was also a significant risk factor for development of an ESC-R EB UTI in the community setting. UTIs are one of the most common infections in community-dwelling older adults due to a number of agerelated risk factors, including increased rates of neurogenic bladder, increased use of urinary catheters, benign prostatic hypertrophy in men, and vaginal atrophy and increased incontinence in women (34). Furthermore, older adults may be at higher risk for colonization and subsequent infection with antibiotic-resistant organisms, including ESC-R EB, as a result of chronic comorbidities and aging-related immunosenescence.

Comorbid diabetes mellitus was also associated with the development of community-onset ESC-R EB UTI in our study. The presence of diabetes mellitus is a well-described risk factor for infections due to ESC-R organisms (e.g., UTI, bacteremia) (10, 22–24). This elevated risk is likely related to the hyperglycemia-related impairment of immune response in diabetes mellitus as well as increased prescription of antibiotics for conditions such as asymptomatic bacteriuria (35).

The results of this study also showed that presentation to the ED (as opposed to an outpatient practice) was significantly associated with development of an ESC-R EB UTI in the community setting. Medically complex patients with greater healthcare and antibiotic exposures are more likely to present to the hospital ED, rather than an outpatient clinic, for presumed infection. It is also possible that patients with ESC-R EB UTIs were more symptomatic than those with an ESC-susceptible EB UTI and were thus more likely to present to the ED. Of note, in contrast to prior studies, our study did not show any significant association between recent hospitalization or stay in a long-term care facility and acquisition of an ESC-R EB UTI in the community (14, 19).

Finally, we found that there was a high prevalence (52%) of CTX-M ESBLs among the community ESC-R EB isolates that we evaluated, as well as a high prevalence of AmpC (25%). This is consistent with prior reports that have found an increasing prevalence of CTX-M in the community (9, 36–38). This high prevalence of CTX-M is concerning, given the association between CTX-M and multi-drug resistance, particularly to oral agents that are typically prescribed for community-onset UTIs. High rates of resistance to these oral

agents were confirmed in our study, with a resistance rate of 72% to both fluoroquinolones and SXT in CTX-M-positive isolates.

There are potential limitations of our study. Misclassification is a concern in case-control studies. However, the outcome of community ESC-R EB UTI was validated through medical record review by an infectious diseases-trained physician, rather than relying on diagnostic or billing codes. While we evaluated 80% of the 151 ESC-R EB isolates, lack of characterization of the entire group may have impacted estimates of ESBL prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility profiles. Finally, the present study was conducted in a single healthcare system, and the results may not be generalizable to other dissimilar institutions.

In conclusion, the results of our study demonstrated that recent use of SXT, older age, diabetes mellitus, and presentation to the ED were significant risk factors for the development of a community-onset UTI with an ESC-R EB organism in a US health system. Further studies are needed to evaluate the clinical impact of these ESC-R EB infections, as well as to determine optimal infection control strategies to limit the spread of these highly resistant and increasingly common organisms in the community.

Acknowledgments

Funding information. This work was supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (grant number R18-HS020002 to E.L.); the National Institutes of Health (grant numbers K24-AI080942 to E.L., K01-AI103028 to J.H.H.); and by the CDC Cooperative Agreement (grant number FOA#CK000163 to E.L.) Epicenters for the Prevention of Healthcare Associated Infections.

References

- 1. Tenover FC. Development and spread of bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents: an overview. Clin Infect Dis. 2001; 33(Suppl 3):S108–115. [PubMed: 11524705]
- Lautenbach E, Patel JB, Bilker WB, Edelstein PH, Fishman NO. Extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae: risk factors for infection and impact of resistance on outcomes. Clin Infect Dis. 2001; 32:1162–1171. [PubMed: 11283805]
- 3. Schwaber MJ, Navon-Venezia S, Kaye KS, Ben-Ami R, Schwartz D, Carmeli Y. Clinical and economic impact of bacteremia with extended- spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006; 50:1257–1262. [PubMed: 16569837]
- Bradford PA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in the 21st century: characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistance threat. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001; 14:933– 951. table of contents. [PubMed: 11585791]
- Simonsen L, Conn LA, Pinner RW, Teutsch S. Trends in infectious disease hospitalizations in the United States, 1980–1994. Arch Intern Med. 1998; 158:1923–1928. [PubMed: 9759689]
- 6. Talan DA, Krishnadasan A, Abrahamian FM, Stamm WE, Moran GJ. Group EMINS. Prevalence and risk factor analysis of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole- and fluoroquinolone-resistant Escherichia coli infection among emergency department patients with pyelonephritis. Clin Infect Dis. 2008; 47:1150–1158. [PubMed: 18808361]
- 7. Talan DA, Stamm WE, Hooton TM, Moran GJ, Burke T, Iravani A, Reuning-Scherer J, Church DA. Comparison of ciprofloxacin (7 days) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (14 days) for acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis pyelonephritis in women: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2000; 283:1583–1590. [PubMed: 10735395]
- 8. Pitout JD, Nordmann P, Laupland KB, Poirel L. Emergence of Enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) in the community. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2005; 56:52–59. [PubMed: 15917288]

 Calbo E, Romani V, Xercavins M, Gomez L, Vidal CG, Quintana S, Vila J, Garau J. Risk factors for community-onset urinary tract infections due to Escherichia coli harbouring extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006; 57:780–783. [PubMed: 16492721]

- Colodner R, Rock W, Chazan B, Keller N, Guy N, Sakran W, Raz R. Risk factors for the development of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing bacteria in nonhospitalized patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2004; 23:163–167. [PubMed: 14986159]
- Apisarnthanarak A, Kiratisin P, Mundy LM. Predictors of mortality from community-onset bloodstream infections due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008; 29:671–674. [PubMed: 18624669]
- Apisarnthanarak A, Kiratisin P, Saifon P, Kitphati R, Dejsirilert S, Mundy LM. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of community-onset, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli infections in Thailand: a case-case-control study. Am J Infect Control. 2007; 35:606–612. [PubMed: 17980240]
- 13. Kronenberg A, Hilty M, Endimiani A, Muhlemann K. Temporal trends of extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in in- and outpatients in Switzerland, 2004 to 2011. Euro Surveill. 2013:18.
- 14. Ben-Ami R, Rodriguez-Bano J, Arslan H, Pitout JD, Quentin C, Calbo ES, Azap OK, Arpin C, Pascual A, Livermore DM, Garau J, Carmeli Y. A multinational survey of risk factors for infection with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae in nonhospitalized patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 49:682–690. [PubMed: 19622043]
- Azap OK, Arslan H, Serefhanoglu K, Colakoglu S, Erdogan H, Timurkaynak F, Senger SS. Risk factors for extended-spectrum beta-lactamase positivity in uropathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from community-acquired urinary tract infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010; 16:147–151. [PubMed: 19689464]
- 16. Rodriguez-Bano J, Alcala J, Cisneros JM, Grill F, Oliver A, Horcajada JP, Tortola T, Mirelis B, Navarro G, Cuenca M, Esteve M, Pena C, Llanos AC, Canton R, Pascual A. Escherichia coli producing SHV-type extended-spectrum beta-lactamase is a significant cause of community-acquired infection. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009; 63:781–784. [PubMed: 19223299]
- 17. Yilmaz E, Akalin H, Ozbey S, Kordan Y, Sinirtas M, Gurcuoglu E, Ozakin C, Heper Y, Mistik R, Helvaci S. Risk factors in community-acquired/onset urinary tract infections due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Chemother. 2008; 20:581–585. [PubMed: 19028620]
- Rodriguez-Bano J, Alcala JC, Cisneros JM, Grill F, Oliver A, Horcajada JP, Tortola T, Mirelis B, Navarro G, Cuenca M, Esteve M, Pena C, Llanos AC, Canton R, Pascual A. Community infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli. Arch Intern Med. 2008; 168:1897–1902. [PubMed: 18809817]
- Moor CT, Roberts SA, Simmons G, Briggs S, Morris AJ, Smith J, Heffernan H. Extendedspectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing enterobacteria: factors associated with infection in the community setting, Auckland, New Zealand. J Hosp Infect. 2008; 68:355–362. [PubMed: 18353497]
- Banerjee R, Strahilevitz J, Johnson JR, Nagwekar PP, Schora DM, Shevrin I, Du H, Peterson LR, Robicsek A. Predictors and molecular epidemiology of community-onset extended-spectrum betalactamase-producing Escherichia coli infection in a Midwestern community. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2013; 34:947–953. [PubMed: 23917909]
- 21. Rogers BA, Ingram PR, Runnegar N, Pitman MC, Freeman JT, Athan E, Havers SM, Sidjabat HE, Jones M, Gunning E, De Almeida M, Styles K, Paterson DL. Australasian Society for Infectious Diseases Clinical Research N. Community-onset Escherichia coli infection resistant to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins in low-prevalence countries. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014; 58:2126–2134. [PubMed: 24468775]
- Laupland KB, Church DL, Vidakovich J, Mucenski M, Pitout JD. Community-onset extendedspectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing Escherichia coli: importance of international travel. J Infect. 2008; 57:441–448. [PubMed: 18990451]
- 23. Rodriguez-Bano J, Navarro MD, Romero L, Martinez-Martinez L, Muniain MA, Perea EJ, Perez-Cano R, Pascual A. Epidemiology and clinical features of infections caused by extended-spectrum

- beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in nonhospitalized patients. J Clin Microbiol. 2004; 42:1089–1094. [PubMed: 15004058]
- 24. Briongos-Figuero LS, Gomez-Traveso T, Bachiller-Luque P, Dominguez-Gil Gonzalez M, Gomez-Nieto A, Palacios-Martin T, Gonzalez-Sagrado M, Duenas-Laita A, Perez-Castrillon JL. Epidemiology, risk factors and comorbidity for urinary tract infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing enterobacteria. Int J Clin Pract. 2012; 66:891–896. [PubMed: 22897466]
- Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. Am J Infect Control. 2008; 36:309–332. [PubMed: 18538699]
- CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing M100-S20. CLSI; Wayne, PA: 2010.
- McGettigan SE, Hu B, Andreacchio K, Nachamkin I, Edelstein PH. Prevalence of CTX-M betalactamases in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. J Clin Microbiol. 2009; 47:2970–2974. [PubMed: 19587301]
- Pérez-Pérez FJ, Hanson ND. Detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamase genes in clinical isolates by using multiplex PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2002; 40:2153–2162. [PubMed: 12037080]
- McGettigan SE, Andreacchio K, Edelstein PH. Specificity of ertapenem susceptibility screening for detection of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases. J Clin Microbiol. 2009; 47:785–786.
 [PubMed: 19144799]
- Lautenbach E, Fishman NO, Metlay JP, Mao X, Bilker WB, Tolomeo P, Nachamkin I. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of fecal Escherichia coli isolates with decreased susceptibility to fluoroquinolones: results from a large hospital-based surveillance initiative. J Infect Dis. 2006; 194:79–85. [PubMed: 16741885]
- 31. Sabbuba NA, Mahenthiralingam E, Stickler DJ. Molecular epidemiology of Proteus mirabilis infections of the catheterized urinary tract. J Clin Microbiol. 2003; 41:4961–4965. [PubMed: 14605124]
- 32. Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH, Swaminathan B. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J Clin Microbiol. 1995; 33:2233–2239. [PubMed: 7494007]
- 33. Mickey RM, Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. Am J Epidemiol. 1989; 129:125–137. [PubMed: 2910056]
- Hu KK, Boyko EJ, Scholes D, Normand E, Chen CL, Grafton J, Fihn SD. Risk factors for urinary tract infections in postmenopausal women. Arch Intern Med. 2004; 164:989–993. [PubMed: 15136308]
- 35. Muller LM, Gorter KJ, Hak E, Goudzwaard WL, Schellevis FG, Hoepelman AI, Rutten GE. Increased risk of common infections in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Infect Dis. 2005; 41:281–288. [PubMed: 16007521]
- 36. Woodford N, Ward ME, Kaufmann ME, Turton J, Fagan EJ, James D, Johnson AP, Pike R, Warner M, Cheasty T, Pearson A, Harry S, Leach JB, Loughrey A, Lowes JA, Warren RE, Livermore DM. Community and hospital spread of Escherichia coli producing CTX-M extended-spectrum betalactamases in the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004; 54:735–743. [PubMed: 15347638]
- Munday CJ, Whitehead GM, Todd NJ, Campbell M, Hawkey PM. Predominance and genetic diversity of community- and hospital-acquired CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in York, UK. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004; 54:628–633. [PubMed: 15294889]
- 38. Ho PL, Poon WW, Loke SL, Leung MS, Chow KH, Wong RC, Yip KS, Lai EL, Tsang KW. group Cs. Community emergence of CTX-M type extended-spectrum beta-lactamases among urinary Escherichia coli from women. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007; 60:140–144. [PubMed: 17496058]

Table 1

Bivariable conditional logistic regression of risk factors for community-onset UTI due to extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Age, median years (IQR) 60 (46-70) 49 (27-64) 400 ID Male sex 43 (28) 19 (13) 2.71 (1.47-5.01) 0.00 Emergency Department 55 (36) 30 (20) 2.39 (1.38-4.14) 0.00 Surgery in prior 6 months 36 (24) 21 (14) 1.79 (1.02-3.14) 0.00 Hospitalization in prior 6 months 66 (44) 35 (33) 2.55 (1.52-4.28) 0.00 Non-white race 78 (52) 81 (54) 0.92 (0.59-1.45) 0.72 UTI in prior 6 months 65 (43) 77 (38) 1.22 (0.79-1.00) 0.32 UTI in prior 6 months 46 (34) 3 (2) 1.60 (0.81-18-84) 0.00 UTI in prior 6 months 14 (9) 8 (5) 1.86 (0.74-4.65) 0.18 UTI in prior 6 months 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.61-159) 0.00 UTI in prior 6 months 31 (21) 4 (9 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.00 UTI in prior 6 months 31 (21) 4 (9 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.00 UTI in prior 6 months 31 (21) 4 (9	Variable	Cases (n=151) ^a	Controls (n=151) ^a	OR (95% CI)	P value
Emergency Department 55 (36) 30 (20) 2.39 (1.38.4.14) 0.002 Surgery in prior 6 months 36 (24) 21 (14) 1.79 (1.02-3.14) 0.042 Hospitalization in prior 6 months 66 (44) 35 (23) 2.55 (1.52-4.28) <0.001	Age, median years (IQR)	60 (46–70)	49 (27–64)		< 0.001
Surgery in prior 6 months 36 (24) 21 (14) 1.79 (1.02-3.14) 0.042 Hospitalization in prior 6 months 66 (44) 35 (23) 2.55 (1.52-4.28) <0.001	Male sex	43 (28)	19 (13)	2.71 (1.47–5.01)	0.001
Hospitalization in prior 6 months 66 (44) 35 (23) 2.55 (1.52-4.28) <0.001 Non-white race 78 (52) 81 (54) 0.92 (0.59-1.45) 0.729 UTI in prior 6 months 65 (43) 57 (38) 1.22 (0.79-1.90) 0.372 Urinary catheter 14 (9) 8 (5) 1.86 (0.74-4.65) 0.187 Rehabilitation or SNF stay in prior 6 months 9 (6) 3 (2) 4.00 (0.85-18.84) 0.080 Prostate disease (if male) 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.06-15.99) >0.999 Comorbidities Urinary catheter 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73-7.07) <0.001 Hemodialysis 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142 Solid organ transplant 13 (9) 6 (4) 2.17 (0.82-5.70) 0.117 Respiratory disease Urinary disease Urina	Emergency Department	55 (36)	30 (20)	2.39 (1.38–4.14)	0.002
Non-white race 78 (52) 81 (54) 0.92 (0.59-1.45) 0.729 UTT in prior 6 months 65 (43) 57 (38) 1.22 (0.79-1.90) 0.372 Urinary catheter 14 (9) 8 (5) 1.86 (0.74-4.65) 0.187 Rehabilitation or SNF stay in prior 6 months 9 (6) 3 (2) 4.00 (0.85-18.84) 0.080 Prostate disease (if male) 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.06-15.99) >0.999 Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73-7.07) <0.001	Surgery in prior 6 months	36 (24)	21 (14)	1.79 (1.02–3.14)	0.042
UTI in prior 6 months 65 (43) 57 (38) 1.22 (0.79-1.90) 0.372 Urinary catheter 14 (9) 8 (5) 1.86 (0.74-4.65) 0.187 Rehabilitation or SNF stay in prior 6 months 9 (6) 3 (2) 4.00 (0.85-18.84) 0.080 Prostate disease (if male) 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.06-15.99) >0.999 Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73-7.07) <0.001	Hospitalization in prior 6 months	66 (44)	35 (23)	2.55 (1.52–4.28)	< 0.001
Urinary catheter 14 (9) 8 (5) 1.86 (0.74-4.65) 0.187 Rehabilitation or SNF stay in prior 6 months 9 (6) 3 (2) 4.00 (0.85-18.84) 0.080 Prostate disease (if male) 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.06-15.99) >0.999 Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73-7.07) <0.001	Non-white race	78 (52)	81 (54)	0.92 (0.59–1.45)	0.729
Rehabilitation or SNF stay in prior 6 months 9 (6) 3 (2) 4.00 (0.85–18.84) 0.080 Prostate disease (if male) 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.06–15.99) >0.999 Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31–5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73–7.07) <0.001 Hemodialysis 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142 Solid organ transplant 13 (9) 6 (4) 2.17 (0.82–5.70) 0.117 Respiratory disease b 29 (19) 17 (11) 1.80 (0.96–3.38) 0.068 Liver disease c 11 (7) 3 (2) 3.67 (1.02–13.14) 0.046 Medications d ** Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60–9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88–8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01–5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3)	UTI in prior 6 months	65 (43)	57 (38)	1.22 (0.79–1.90)	0.372
Prostate disease (if male) 20 (47) 6 (32) 1.00 (0.06-15.99) >0.999 Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31-5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73-7.07) <0.001	Urinary catheter	14 (9)	8 (5)	1.86 (0.74–4.65)	0.187
Comorbidities Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31–5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73–7.07) <0.001	Rehabilitation or SNF stay in prior 6 months	9 (6)	3 (2)	4.00 (0.85–18.84)	0.080
Diabetes mellitus 31 (21) 14 (9) 2.70 (1.31–5.58) 0.007 Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73–7.07) <0.001	Prostate disease (if male)	20 (47)	6 (32)	1.00 (0.06–15.99)	>0.999
Malignancy 39 (26) 14 (9) 3.50 (1.73-7.07) <0.001 Hemodialysis 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142 Solid organ transplant 13 (9) 6 (4) 2.17 (0.82-5.70) 0.117 Respiratory disease b 29 (19) 17 (11) 1.80 (0.96-3.38) 0.068 Liver disease c 11 (7) 3 (2) 3.67 (1.02-13.14) 0.046 Medications d Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60-9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88-8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01-5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62-13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69-1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14-3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 10 (7)<	Comorbidities				
Hemodialysis 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142 Solid organ transplant 13 (9) 6 (4) 2.17 (0.82-5.70) 0.117 Respiratory disease b 29 (19) 17 (11) 1.80 (0.96-3.38) 0.068 Liver disease c 11 (7) 3 (2) 3.67 (1.02-13.14) 0.046 Medications d Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60-9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88-8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01-5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62-13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69-1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14-3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.18-22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) <td>Diabetes mellitus</td> <td>31 (21)</td> <td>14 (9)</td> <td>2.70 (1.31–5.58)</td> <td>0.007</td>	Diabetes mellitus	31 (21)	14 (9)	2.70 (1.31–5.58)	0.007
Solid organ transplant 13 (9) 6 (4) 2.17 (0.82-5.70) 0.117 Respiratory disease b 29 (19) 17 (11) 1.80 (0.96-3.38) 0.068 Liver disease c 11 (7) 3 (2) 3.67 (1.02-13.14) 0.046 Medications d	Malignancy	39 (26)	14 (9)	3.50 (1.73–7.07)	< 0.001
Respiratory disease b 29 (19) 17 (11) 1.80 (0.96-3.38) 0.068 Liver disease c 11 (7) 3 (2) 3.67 (1.02-13.14) 0.046 Medications d *** Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60-9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88-8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01-5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62-13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69-1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14-3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18-22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.00 (1.28-78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31-28.84) 0.341 Macrolides	Hemodialysis	5 (3)	1 (1)	5.00 (0.58-42.80)	0.142
Liver disease c 11 (7) 3 (2) 3.67 (1.02–13.14) 0.046 Medications d Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60–9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88–8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01–5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62–13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69–1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14–3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62–1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18–22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28–78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) <td>Solid organ transplant</td> <td>13 (9)</td> <td>6 (4)</td> <td>2.17 (0.82–5.70)</td> <td>0.117</td>	Solid organ transplant	13 (9)	6 (4)	2.17 (0.82–5.70)	0.117
Medications d Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60-9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88-8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01-5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62-13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69-1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14-3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18-22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28-78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31-28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09-2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21-2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 1 (1)	Respiratory disease ^b	29 (19)	17 (11)	1.80 (0.96–3.38)	0.068
Penicillins 8 (5) 4 (3) 2.33 (0.60-9.02) 0.220 Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88-8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01-5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62-13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69-1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14-3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18-22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 1.00 (1.28-78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31-28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09-2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21-2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40-6.97) 0.484	Liver disease $^{\mathcal{C}}$	11 (7)	3 (2)	3.67 (1.02–13.14)	0.046
Extended-spectrum penicillins 12 (8) 5 (3) 2.75 (0.88-8.64) 0.083 First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01-5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62-13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69-1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14-3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18-22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28-78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31-28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09-2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40-6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142	$Medications^d$				
First-generation cephalosporins 18 (12) 8 (5) 2.42 (1.01–5.86) 0.048 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62–13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69–1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14–3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62–1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18–22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28–78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	Penicillins	8 (5)	4 (3)	2.33 (0.60–9.02)	0.220
Extended-spectrum cephalosporins 19 (13) 4 (3) 4.75 (1.62–13.96) 0.005 Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69–1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14–3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62–1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18–22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28–78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	Extended-spectrum penicillins	12 (8)	5 (3)	2.75 (0.88–8.64)	0.083
Fluoroquinolones 97 (64) 94 (62) 1.08 (0.69–1.69) 0.733 SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14–3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62–1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18–22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28–78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	First-generation cephalosporins	18 (12)	8 (5)	2.42 (1.01–5.86)	0.048
SXT 36 (24) 19 (13) 2.06 (1.14–3.75) 0.017 Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62–1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18–22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28–78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	Extended-spectrum cephalosporins	19 (13)	4 (3)	4.75 (1.62–13.96)	0.005
Nitrofurantoin 28 (19) 26 (17) 1.09 (0.62-1.91) 0.773 Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18-22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28-78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31-28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09-2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21-2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40-6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142	Fluoroquinolones	97 (64)	94 (62)	1.08 (0.69–1.69)	0.733
Fosfomycin 2 (1) 1 (1) 2.00 (0.18–22.06) 0.571 Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28–78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	SXT	36 (24)	19 (13)	2.06 (1.14–3.75)	0.017
Vancomycin 10 (7) 1 (1) 10.0 (1.28-78.12) 0.028 Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31-28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09-2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21-2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40-6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142	Nitrofurantoin	28 (19)	26 (17)	1.09 (0.62–1.91)	0.773
Aminoglycosides 3 (2) 1 (1) 3.00 (0.31–28.84) 0.341 Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09–2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	Fosfomycin	2(1)	1 (1)	2.00 (0.18–22.06)	0.571
Macrolides 2 (1) 4 (3) 0.50 (0.09-2.73) 0.423 Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21-2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40-6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142	Vancomycin	10 (7)	1 (1)	10.0 (1.28–78.12)	0.028
Clindamycin 4 (3) 5 (3) 0.80 (0.21–2.98) 0.739 Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40–6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	Aminoglycosides	3 (2)	1 (1)	3.00 (0.31–28.84)	0.341
Doxycycline 5 (3) 3 (2) 1.67 (0.40-6.97) 0.484 Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58-42.80) 0.142	Macrolides	2(1)	4 (3)	0.50 (0.09–2.73)	0.423
Carbapenems 5 (3) 1 (1) 5.00 (0.58–42.80) 0.142	Clindamycin	4 (3)	5 (3)	0.80 (0.21–2.98)	0.739
	Doxycycline	5 (3)	3 (2)	1.67 (0.40-6.97)	0.484
Metronidazole 6 (4) 3 (2) 2.00 (0.50–7.97) 0.327	Carbapenems	5 (3)	1 (1)	5.00 (0.58-42.80)	0.142
	Metronidazole	6 (4)	3 (2)	2.00 (0.50–7.97)	0.327

^bCOPD or chronic bronchitis.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range; OR, odds ratio; SNF, skilled nursing facility; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; UTI, urinary tract infection.

 $^{^{}c}$ Hepatitis or cirrhosis.

dReceipt in the prior 6 months.

Table 2

Multivariable conditional logistic regression model of risk factors for community-onset UTI due to extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae.

Variable	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age	1.03 (1.01–1.04)	< 0.001
Presentation to ED	2.42 (1.31–4.46)	0.005
Diabetes mellitus	2.91 (1.32–6.41)	0.008
SXT receipt within prior 6 months	2.40 (1.22–4.70)	0.011

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ED, Emergency Department; OR, odds ratio; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Table 3

Prevalence of ESBLs, AmpC, and KPC among cases.

ESBLs	Prevalence ^a N (%)
CTX-M (CTX-M-1, CTX-M-4)	62 (52)
TEM (TEM-1, TEM-12)	35 (29)
OXA (OXA-1)	24 (20)
SHV (SHV 1, 11, 12, 28)	15 (13)
ampC (CIT, FOX, DHA)	30 (25)
KPC (KPC-1)	5 (4)

^aFor 120 case isolates. The presence of ESBLs are not mutually exclusive (e.g., SHV and TEM can co-occur), so the total prevalence will be greater than 100%.

Abbreviations: ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; KPC, Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase.